Not to be cited without permission of the authors¹

Canadian Atlantic Fisheries Scientific Advisory Committee

CAFSAC Research Document 86/72

Ne pas citer sans autorisation des auteurs¹

Comité scientifique consultatif des pêches canadiennes dans l'Atlantique

CSCPCA Document de recherche 86/72

Dispersion of tagged lobsters (<u>Homarus</u> <u>americanus</u>) in two areas of Northumberland Strait

bу

Donald R. Maynard and Yvon Chiasson Department of Fisheries and Oceans Invertebrates Research Section Marine Biology Research Centre Université de Moncton Moncton, NB E1A 3E9

¹This series documents the scientific basis for fisheries management advice in Atlantic Canada. As such, it addresses the issues of the day in the time frames required and the Research Documents it contains are not intented as definitive statements on the subjects addressed but rather as progress reports on ongoing investigations.

Research Documents are produced in the official language in which they are provided to the Secretariat by the author. ¹Cette série documente les bases scientifiques des conseils de gestion des pêches sur la côte atlantique du Canada. Comme telle, elle couvre les problèmes actuels selon les échéanciers voulus et les Documents de recherche qu'elle contient ne doivent pas être considérés comme des énoncés finals sur les sujets traités mais plutôt comme des rapports d'étape sur les études en cours.

Les Documents de recherche sont publiés dans la langue officielle utilisée par les auteurs dans le manuscrit envoyé au secrétariat.

ABSTRACT

In 1982, totals of 4954 and 4919 lobsters (<u>Homarus</u> <u>americanus</u>) were tagged with sphyrion spaghetti tags and released at Beach Point and Egmont Bay, Prince Edward Island respectively. Of these, 423 lobsters (9% of number tagged) in Beach Point and 613 (12%) in Egmont Bay were recovered. The rates of dispersion of combined male and female tagged lobster was higher in Egmont Bay than Beach Point, 1.1748 km²/day and 0.5996 km²/day, respectively. The majority (99%) of the lobsters recaptured from the Beach Point area remained on the Prince Edward Island coast within the 20 fathom contour, While the lobsters recaptured that were released from the Egmont Bay area, were found on both the Prince Edward Island and New Brunswick sides of Northumberland Strait.

RESUME

Des totaux respectifs de 4954 et 4919 homards (<u>Homarus</u> <u>americanus</u>) ont été marqués (étiquette spagetti de type "sphyrion") et relâchés dans les régions de Beach Point et de la baie de Egmont (Ile du Prince Edouard), en 1982. Les retours ont été de 423 homards (9%) et de 613 homards (12%) pour les régions de Beach Point et de la baie de Egmont respectivement. La vitesse de dispersion des homards mâles et femelles combinés a été plus élevée dans la région de la baie de Egmont (1,1748 km²/jour) comparativement à la région de Beach Point (0,5996 km²/jour). La majorité des homards provenant de la Baie de Egmont ont été recapturés sur les côtes de l'Ile du Prince Edouard et du Nouveau-Brunswick.

INTRODUCTION

Tagging studies in the Beach Point and Egmont Bay areas of Northumberland Strait have investigated movements, growth and survival (Wilder, 1963), movements (Campbell and Maynard, unpublished data; Robinson, unpublished data) and growth at molt, of lobsters (Homarus americanus) (Conan et al., 1982). A comprehensive overview of the movement in Egmont Bay has been restricted, as only legal size lobsters were tagged in previous studies (Wilder, 1963; Campbell et al., 1983). Growth at molt was shown to be significantly different for Beach Point and Egmont Bay areas (Conan et al., 1982). However a comparison of the dispersion of all sizes of lobsters in these two areas has not been completed.

This paper presents the preliminary results comparing the dispersion of tagged lobsters over a two year period in each of the above areas of Northumberland Strait.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 4954 lobsters in Beach Point and 4919 lobsters in Egmont Bay were caught with traps, tagged and released in July 1982 and late October 1982 respectively. The lobsters were tagged with an orange sphyrion spaghetti tag (Scarratt and Elson, 1965), and released immediately at the capture site. The LORAN-C coordinates of release sites and information on each tagged lobster were recorded.

The study was advertised using posters and the media, in the areas surrounding the tagging sites on Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia. The objective was to ensure that a high proportion of recaptured lobster tags were returned with information such as recapture location, depth, sex and carapace size. Observers were stationed on the wharves in the Beach Point and Egmont Bay areas to receive tags and information from fishermen as they landed their lobster catches.

The tagging and tag recovery data were entered and processed on a HP9845. Recapture sites were determined from recovery information and plotted on a map. The straight line distance from release to recapture site was calculated by: Distance = $60 \cdot \cos -1$ [sin (LAT_{re}) $\cdot \sin$ (LAT_{re}) + cos (LAT_{re}) $\cdot \cos$ (LAT_{rc}) cos (LNG_{rc} - LNG_{re})], where re is the release site, rc is the recapture site, LAT is the latitude and LNG is the longitude.

Distances moved were tested for differences between the sexes of each area and the same sexes between each area with a Kruskal- Wallis test at P = 0.05.

The rate of dispersion of tagged lobsters was calculated by the mean square dispersion coefficient (km²/day): (Saila and Flowers, 1968; Campbell, et al. 1983).

 $a^{2} = \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{r^{2}}{t} - \frac{(r \cos \theta)^{2}}{t} \right]$

where n= number of individual tag recoveries; r= apparent "straight line" distance traveled (km) from release to recapture point; t= time (days) from release to recapture.

All angles (0) are presented as deviations of true north.

RESULTS

There were 423 recoveries at Beach Point and 613 at Egmont Bay which represent 9% and 12%, respectively, of the numbers tagged. The tubing on which the tag number was printed would sometimes become detached from the filament leader and anchor, leaving only the unnumbered tag on the lobster. Unnumbered tag recoveries from Beach Point and Egmont Bay were 59 and 11 respectively.

The locations of the tag recoveries, including the unnumbered tags are plotted on Figures 1 and 2.

The range of movement by sex and tagging site is summerized in (Table 1).

Comparison with a Kruskal-Wallis test showed that the distances moved by males and females are significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) in Beach Point (Table 2) while in Egmont Bay there is no difference (Table 3). The distance moved for the same sex differ significantly ($P \le 0.05$) between the two areas (Table 4a,b). The rates of dispersion, of combined males and females, are 0.5996 km²/day and 1.1748 km²/day for Beach Point and Egmont Bay respectively.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Unnumbered tags recovered constituted 6% of the total tag returns and did not represent a large loss of information. Results of the statistical tests indicate that Beach Point male and female lobsters differ in distance moved, while in Egmont Bay the distance moved by males and females did not differ significantly. (Table 2, 3). Further comparison of the same sex from the two areas shows that the movement of lobsters in the two areas is significantly different (Table 4a, b).

Comparison of the dispersion between the two areas reveals that the lobsters from Beach Point do not disperse as far as those from Egmont Bay.

Figure 2 shows that in Egmont Bay the lobsters disperse coast to coast in the Northumberland Strait, traveling through depths of 10 to 15 fathoms. In Beach Point, the dispersion of 99% of the recaptured lobsters is restricted to the Prince Edward Island coast within the 20 fathom depth contour, (Figure 1). It is possible that the depth of the water may be a factor restricting the dispersion of lobsters in Beach Point. The absence of deep water in the Egmont Bay area could allow a greater dispersion of lobsters.

In conclusion, lobsters in the Egmont Bay area disperse over an area covering both the Prince Edward Island and New Brunswick sides of the Northumberland Strait. The lobsters in the Beach Point area disperse mainly along the Prince Edward Island coast. If future management strategy for the lobster fishery (e.g. carapace increase) includes sub-dividing the lobster districts 8 or 7B1 it should be taken into consideration that through natural dispersion lobsters would cross a mid strait boundary in Western Northumberland Strait but not in Eastern Northumberland Strait.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the many people who assisted in the tagging, tag recovery and data processing. We also wish to thank Elmer Wade and Mike Ritchie for the computer programming. Mikio Moriyasu, Marc Lanteigne, Leslie-Anne Davidson, Mary Willett and Gérard Conan provided constructive criticism of the report. Jerry Ennis and Peter Rubec reviewed the report for upgrading.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Campbell, A., S.E. Bellis, G.E. Fawkes, and C. Hastey. 1983. Computer programs for analysis of lobster (<u>Homarus</u> <u>americanus</u>) movements from tag release - recapture data. Can. Ms. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1705: 22pp.
- Conan, G.Y., D.G. Robinson, and D.R. Maynard. 1982. Growth at molt of lobsters in two areas of Northumberland Strait, Canada. ICES, Shellfish Committee, C.M. 1982/K:35.
- Saila, S.B., and J.M. Flowers. 1968. Movements and behaviour of berried female lobsters displaced from offshore areas to Narrangansett Bay, Rhode Island. J. Cons. 31: 342 - 351.
- Scarratt, D.J., and P.F. Elson. 1965. Preliminary trials of a tag for salmon and lobsters. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 22: 421 - 423.
- Wilder, D.G. 1963. Movements growth and survival of marked and tagged lobsters liberated in Egmont Bay, Prince Edward Island. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 20: 305 - 318.

Distances moved (km)	Egmont % of males	Bay, P.E.I. % of females	Beach Po: % of males	int, P.E.I. % of females
0 – 5	7.29	3.99	73.28	63.00
5.1 - 10	32.53	35.07	15.53	8.00
10.1 - 15	15.54	15.54	6.50	16.00
15.1 - 20	12.13	13.15	3.61	5.00
20.1 - 25	10.19	8.36	0.36	1.00
25.1 - 30	15.05	14.34	0	0
30.1 - 35	3.88	3.98	0.72	0
35.1+	3.39	5.57	0	7.00

Table 1. Range of movement and percentage of lobsters recovered from the Egmont Bay and Beach Point studies.

Table 2 - Kurskal-Wallis test of distances moved of Beach Point male lobsters versus Beach Point female lobsters.

Beach Point male lobsters Beach Point female lobsters

Sample size 276 Sum (ranks) 49834.0 100 21042.0

H Statistic

Number of tied groups: 31 Correction factor D 0.97749 H 5.66893 Degrees of freedom 1 Alpha 0.01722

Table 3 - Kruskal-Wallis test of distances moved of Beach Point male lobsters versus Egmont Bay female lobsters.

Beach Point male lobstersEgmont Bay female lobstersSample size 195253Sum (ranks) 43142.057434.0

<u>H Statistic</u>

Number of tied groups: 81 Correction factor D 0.99931 H 0.21893 Degrees of freedom 1 Alpha 0.68083 Table 4a - Kruskal-Wallis test of distances moved of Beach Point male lobsters versus Egmont Bay male lobsters.

Beach Point m	ale lobsters	Egmont (Bay male	lobsters
Sample size 276 Sum (ranks) 4399	92.5		195 67163.	. 5

H Statistic

Number of tied groups: 61 Correction factor D 0.99488 H 212.26539 Degrees of freedom 1 Alpha 0.0000

Table 4b - Kruskal-Wallis test of distances moved of Beach Point female lobsters versus Egmont Bay female lobsters.

Beach Point female lobsters

Egmont Bay female lobsters

253 52115.5

H Statistic

Number of tied groups: 66 Correction factor D 0.99910 H 72.14192 Degrees of freedom 1 Alpha 0.0000

Sample size 100

Sum (ranks) 10365.5





Recovery sites of lobsters released at Beach Point, 1982.

-9-



Figure 2.

Recovery sites of lobsters released at Egmont Bay, 1982.