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## Abstract

The St. Mary's River is the largest producer of sport-caught Atlantic salmon in eastern Nova Scotia. Evidence that a small, high-profile 3SW virgin component of the stock has diminished relative to the 1SW component has contributed to increased protection for MSW salmon and a need to determine target spawning escapements and stock status.

An estimated stream production area of $3.1 \times 106 \mathrm{~m} 2$ and target egg deposition of 2.4 eggs/m² combined with the 1972-84 1SW, 2SW and 3SW age and sex compositions suggested that target spawning escapement should consist of $2,436 \mathrm{ISW}$ and small repeat-spawning salmon, 437 small MSW and 2811 arge MSW salmon.

Available data do not permit a statistically valid assessment of the stock status in 1985. However, a significant correlation between sport catches and resultant age $0+$ parr densities on the West River, St. Mary's, suggested that 1 SW salmon alone likely contributed $50 \%$ of the required egg deposition on that river. It was inferred from the catch and release of 807 MSW salmon that the escapement of MSW fish likely contributed to the balance of requirements.

## Rēsumé

Dans l'est de la Nouvelle-Ecosse, c'est dans la rivière St. Mary's que les pēcheurs sportifs capturent le plus grand nombre de saumons atlantiques. Des indications selon lesquelles une composante petite mais très visible de saumons vierges 3 HM avait diminuē par rapport à la composante de saumon 1 HM , ont amené un renforcement de la protection assurēe aux saumons PHM et ont fait ressortir la nēcessitē de dēterminer l'état du stock et d'établir un niveau-cible en ce qui a trait aux échappements pour la reproduction.

La superficie estimēe de la zone productrice en eau courante ( $3,1 \times 10^{6}$ $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), l'objectif de ponte ( 2,4 oeufs $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) et la composition en fonction de 1'äge et du sexe des populations de saumons 1 HM, 2 HM et 3 HM pour 1972-1984, indiquent que 1 'objectif du frai devrait comprendre 2436 saumons 1 HM et petits saumons ayant frayé au moins une fois, 437 petits saumons PHM et 281 grands saumons PHM.

Les donnēes actuelles ne permettent pas de faire une évaluation statistiquement valable de l'ētat du stock en 1985. Une corrélation significative entre les prises provenant de la pêche sportive et les densitēs subsēquentes de tacons d'âge $0+$ sur la rivière West, St. Mary's, indiquent que le saumon 1 HM à vraisemblablement fourni à lui seul $50 \%$ de la ponte requise dans cette rivière. On a dēduit à partir de la capture et de la libération de 807 saumons PHM que l'ēchappement des poissons PHM à vraisemblablement fourni le reste.

## Introduction

The St. Mary's River sustains the largest recreational fishery for Atlantic salmon on the eastern shore of Nova Scotia. This document presents both physical and biological data to; a) estimate a target egg deposition and spawning requirement and b) suggest recent status of the stocks. Data are insufficient to estimate specific numbers of salmon spawning in 1985 or forecast to return in 1986.

## Background

The St. Mary's River (Fig. 1) drains a mostly forested area of $1,355 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ in portions of Guysborough, Antigonish, Pictou and Halifax counties, N.S. The river consists of two main branches - the East River St. Mary's which is 27 km in length and has two large lakes in its main stream and the West River St. Mary's which is 56 km in length and is without mainstream lakes. The two branches join to form the St. Mary's River at Melrose and descend 19 km to head-of-tide at Sherbrooke.
pH values 55.4 in April 1982 (McPhail pers. comm.) suggest that the East River is not immediately threatened by acidification. The larger West River has tributaries with fishery-threatening pH values of 5.1-5.4. Sites on Indian Man and South Lake brooks provided pH readings in the range 4.7-5.0 which induce some fish mortality.

With an average annual sport catch of 795 salmon, 1970-1983, the St. Mary's River has provided more than four times the yield of its nearest rival on the eastern shore (Salmon Fishing Area 20; Table 1). Perhaps the highest appeal for recreational fishermen is the 3 SW virgin component of the stock purportedly unique to the East River, St. Mary's.

While sport and commercial statistics go back over 50 and 30 years, respectively, biological data and juvenile indices of abundance are generally limited to short time series collected by several investigators (ref. Acknowledgements) during the last 15 years. Both the public and fisheries managers have been concerned about the diminishing number of MSW fish relative to ISW fish in the recreational harvest. Management plans have restricted open seasons and fishing areas (Table 2) in an effort to increase the spawning escapment of the valuable 3SW component.

## Methods

## Salmon Landings

Exploitation of the St. Mary's salmon resource occurs in homewater commercial and recreational fisheries and, presumably, distant commercial fisheries. Commercial catches in salmon and non-salmon gear, 1949-1984, for Fishery Statistical District (FSD) 17 (Fig. 1; salmon landings in FSDs 16 and 18 are insignificant) and angling catches since 1930 are shown in Table 3. Landings of
three commercial fishermen within the estuary of the St. Mary's River, 1979-1984, were extracted from logbooks, adjusted to a June 1 - July 31 season and examined as a possible index of spawning escapement.

The contribution to salmon landings of releases of hatchery fry (age-0+ fish) in the 1950's (e.g., $0.46 \times 10^{6}$ fish of Miramichi origin in 1954) and early 1960's is unknown but suspected to be minimal. Plantings of tagged fish, 1972-1974 (Gray and Cameron 1980) and 1982 to the present (Semple pers. comm.), have contributed only one known fish (1SW) to local landings.

## Biological Characteristics

Biological data from salmon of the St. Mary's River were recorded by various investigators who sampled adult fish from the sport fishery, estuarial berths of the commercial fishery or various broodstock collections.

Length-weight A length-weight relationship was established for 401 male and female fish collected in 1969-70 and 1972-74 and is of the form:

$$
W t=0.00000247 \mathrm{FL}^{3.3424}\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.92 ;\right. \text { Fig. 2a) }
$$

Fecundity A length-fecundity relationship was estimated from 25 individual and five different lots of age-graded broodstock removed to, and spawned at, fish culture stations in 1972, 1983, 1984 and 1985. For each of the five lots, regression analysis was weighted by the number of fish comprising mean length and egg count data. Egg numbers were determined by the displacement method. The equation is of the form:

Fec $=340.832 e^{0.0389 F L}(r 2=0.93 ; n=53$; Fig. 2b).
Sex Ratios Estimates of sex ratios were derived from a composite of 383 fish examined and aged in the sport fishery, 1972-74, 1979-81 and 1983-84 (Table 4). Seventy-eight percent of the sample was $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ fork length (incl. a few repeat spawners) and of a male:female ratio of $1.0: 1.1(52 \% \mathrm{~F})$. The remaining 86 MSW fish provided a ratio of $1.0 \mathrm{M}: 1.7 \mathrm{~F}(63 \% \mathrm{~F})$. Within the MSW component, repeat spawning and virgin 2SW and 3SW fish were 59, 87 and $57 \%$ female, respectively ( $n=17,53$ and 16). Repeat spawning and $3 S W$-virgin or large salmon combined were $73 \%$ female.

Sea-age Proportions The sea-age composition of St. Mary's River salmon was determined from the same data base used to derive sex ratios (Table 4). One sea-winter fish (incl. a few small repeat spawners) averaged $78 \%$ of the sample. MSW fish were divided into small and large fish constituting 14 and $9 \%$ of the aged sample. Despite the general contention that the virgin 3SW fish originate specifically from the East River, St. Mary's, the recreational data were considered inadequate to estimate separately the sea-age ratios of salmon originating from each of the East, West and main rivers, St. Mary's.
J.R. Semple, Freshwater and Anadromous Division, DF0, Halifax, N.S. B3J 2S7

## Steam Production Area

Estimates of spawning/rearing substrate are dependent on spot measurements of widths from a ground survey by MacEachern (1954) and stream length measurements from NTS maps, scale 1:50,000. The estimated production area excluding lakes is:

|  | Area(m2) | \% of total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| West River | $1,868,400$ | 61 |
| East River | 704,800 | 23 |
| Main River | 505,300 | 16 |
| Total | $3,078,500$ | 100 |

## Egg Deposition/Spawning Requirements

Requirements for egg deposition were assumed to be 2.4 eggs $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for the $3,078,500 \mathrm{~m} 2$ of production area. The number of 1 SW , 2 SW (small salmon) and $3 \mathrm{SW}+$ repeat spawners (large salmon) required for the total river was calculated by the method of Cutting and Gray (MS 1984) as:
$\frac{\text { egg requirements }}{\text { eggs/fish }} \times$ proportion of each sea-age (size-group) in the population.
Eggs/fish is the sum of the products of the mean fecundity, proportion that size group was of the population and percentage females in each size group. A mean fecundity for grilse (incl. a few repeat spawners) was calculated by solving the length-fecundity equation for a length of 57 cm . This length was calculated by solving the mean weight for grilse ( 1.8 kg ) in the sport catch, 1970-83, in the length-weight relationship. Fecundities for the small and large MSW fish were calculated by using respective mean lengths of 74 cm and 85 cm derived from 283 fish sampled in broodstock collections, the sport fishery and commercial fishery.

## Juvenile Densities

Electrofishing has been conducted in various years since 1969 at a number of sites on both the East and West rivers (Gray et al. 1978; Cameron and Gray 1979; Ingram pers. comm.). Removal data for sites with some continuity over the years were reanalyzed to provide maximum likelihood estimates of age-0+ and age-1+ parr (Tables 5 and 6). Age-2+ parr comprised less than $3 \%$ and $6 \%$ of the total age 1 and older parr densities on the East and West rivers, respectively, and are excluded from this document. Significant (pz 0.05) correlations between densities in neighbouring sites over time permitted the estimation of some missing values and the calculation of annual mean densities for each river using a larger number of continuously "sampled" sites. Densities theoretically descriptive of the combined East and West rivers were calculated by weighting individual means by their respective production areas (East=0.27; West=0.73).

## Spawning Escapement

Indices of spawning escapement were sought by examining correlation coefficients between sport fishery statistics, local and FSD 17 commercial statistics and juvenile densities on both the East and West rivers (Table 7). Multiple regressions were run to determine if river discharge in July and August explained additional variation between sport catch and both juvenile densities and commercial landings.

## Forecasting Adult Recruitment

Indices of adult recruitment were also sought among the variables listed in Table 7. Correlations between 1SW and 2SW sport data were also "enhanced" by removing $5 \%$ of grilse (assumed to be small repeat spawners) from landings each year and by splitting 2 SW fish ( 4.4 kg average) from older ( 6.9 kg average) large salmon. Correlation coefficients between sport and commercial statistics of FSD 17 and sport and commercial statistics of other FSDs of the Atlantic coast background to Amiro et al. (MS 1985) were also reviewed.

## Results

## Egg requirements

Egg requirements for the entire St. Mary's River were calculated as:

$$
2.4 \text { eggs } m^{-2} \times 3,078,500 m^{2}=7,388,400 \text { eggs }
$$

Spawning Requirements
The egg deposition of an average fish was calculated as follows:

| Size/age class | Eggs/ female | x | Prop. | X | Prop. run | = | Eggs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```57 cm; 1SW/smal1 repeats``` | 3,130 |  | 0.52 |  | 0.78 |  | 1,270 (.54) |
| 74 cm ; small MSW | 6,060 |  | 0.57 |  | 0.14 |  | 484 (.20) |
| 85 cm ; large MSW | 9,300 |  | 0.73 |  | 0.09 |  | 611 (.26) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,365 (1.00) |

The total number of fish required was derived as:

$$
7,388,400 / 2,365=3,124
$$

Size/age class requirements are:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1SW/sma11 repeats } & 3,124 \times 0.78=2,436 \\ \text { sma11 MSW } & 3,124 \times 0.14= \\ \text { 1arge MSW } & 3,124 \times 0.09=281\end{array}$

No significant correlations were found between sport and commercial statistics (Table 8). Significant correlations are, however, shown for the numbers of both grilse (column 6) and total salmon (column 7) in the sport fishery and age-0+ parr in the West River in the following year ( $r=0.73 ; 0.70$; p0.05=0.71). MSW fish by themselves in the sport fishery (col. 5) were not correlated with West River juvenile densities ( $r=0.13$; $\mathrm{p}_{0} .05=0.71$ ) to the same extent as were MSW fish in FSD 17 commercial fisheries (column 1) ( $r=0.68$; $\mathrm{p}_{0} .05=0.71$ ) and sport plus commercial fisheries (col. 2 and 7) ( $r=0.78$; $p_{0.05}=0.71$ ).

Available information does not permit the estimation of the number of fish escaping to spawn in the entire St. Mary's River, 1985. This is because sport landings and juvenile densities on the East River and combined East and West rivers (possible index of escapement) are not correlated (Table 8).

Inferences about the relative level of spawning escapement in the West River, 1985, may be inferred from a Conservation and Protection Branch (C\&P) estimate of the retention of 1 SW fish ( 0.66 of the value estimated from angler licence stub returns, 1984), a significant relationship between C\&P estimates of 1SW fish retained (column 6) and the index of age-0+ parr (fry) abundance in the West River in the following year and the assumption that approximately 29 age-0+ parr/100 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ represents a "normal" abundance (E1son 1967) resultant of a target egg deposition of 2.4 eggs $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Thus, available data suggest that substitution of a C\&P harvest value of 779 1SW fish $(0.66 \times 1,180)$ in the equation age-0+ parr=3.09+.01 Catch ( $r=0.73$ ) forecasts an index density of 10.8 age-0+ parr/ $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in the West River, 1986. This value may be construed to be $37 \%$ (10.8/29) of a normal abundance and perhaps resultant of a spawning escapement of only $37 \%$ of the target.

Similar inferences cannot be made about the level of escapement to the East River, St. Mary's. However, release by the sport fishery of an estimated 807 MSW fish and the absence of a commercial fishery suggest an index of age-0+ parr abundance in 1986 should at least equal the 7 -year mean value of 29/100 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ for years when there were both sport and commercial removals. Such a value implies that the target escapement had been met in 1985.

## Forecasts

Regression of the limited age-0+ and age-1+ parr data on 1SW and MSW recruits to the sport fishery two to four years later (assumes $100 \%$ of juveniles smoltify at age 2) provided the following correlation coefficients:

| X | $Y$ | $r$ | df | $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East R. age $0+$ | 1SW sport | 0.56 | 2 | 0.95 | $N$ |
|  | MSW sport | 0.41 | 2 | 0.95 | N |
| East R. age 1+ | ISW sport | 0.05 | 3 | 0.88 | $N$ |
|  | MSW sport | 0.81 | 2 | 0.95 | $N$ |
| West R. age $0+$ | 1SW sport | 0.02 | 3 | 0.88 | $N$ |
|  | MSW sport | 0.96 | 2 | 0.95 | $\underline{Y}$ |
| West R. age 1+ | 1SW sport | 0.05 | 4 | 0.81 | N |
|  | MSW sport | 0.04 | 3 | 0.88 | N |

The utility of a single relationship based on four pairs of data is marginal but could be construed to suggest that an age-0+ parr density of $9.8 / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in 1981 could contribute to 231 MSW fish in the 1986 sport fishery (second highest since 1970 with commercial fishery operating). One sea-winter recruits to the sport fishery from estimated egg equivalents of 1SW and 1SW + MSW fish harvested in the sport fishery four or five years previous provided no leads.

A correlation coefficient for the regression of 2SW sport, 1971 to 1984, on 1SW sport, 1970-83 exclusive of 1974-75 and 1975-76 which were believed to have been impacted by low river discharges and a closure of the sport fishery, was significant ( $r=0.73 ; p=0.01$ ). The significance and utility of same was lost however when 1969-70 C\&P data and 1984-85 license stub data were included.

No correlations, particularly those of MSW fish in the sport or commercial fisheries FSD 17 on ISW fish in other fisheries (sport in particular) were indicative of other regionally useful predictors.

## Discussion

The absence of a significant correlation between juvenile densities of the East and West rivers, the apparent higher production level of the East River (average of 3 times the age-0+ parr and 2 times the age-1+ parr) and the correlation between age-0+ parr and age-1+ parr on the East River only suggest that the usual variables indicative of stock strength are not all encompassing on the St. Mary's. This is perhaps because of differential selection by fisheries (in part imposed by regulation), non-random distribution of spawners between the East and West rivers, establishment of electrofishing sites which are not representative of juvenile-producing habitat or shortness of the time series.

Concerns about the selection of a target egg requirement for the West River of $2.4 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ are raised by the apparent stability of low age-1+ parr densities (2.8/100 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$; range of 2.2 to 5.9 ) resulting from highly variable age-0+ densities and the suspected limitations of occasionally low pH values.

Presently no model will predict ISW and MSW returns to the St. Mary's River. Deductively, however, a high 1SW return to the sport fishery in 1985 suggests relatively high returns of 2SW fish in 1986.

Returns in 1986 will be augmented by 1 SW fish resultant of a release of 22,100 smolts in 1985. Of 2- and 3SW parentage and 1- and 2-year smolt age, it is premature to forecast the proportion which will return as grilse in 1986.
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TABLE 1. Average recreational landings of 1SW and MSW salmon, 1SW:MSW ratios and acidity profiles for rivers of eastern shore Nova Scotia averaging $>20$ recreational fish/season, 1970-1983.

| Rank | River | 14-year mean no. of fish |  | Ratio 1SW: MSW | $\mathrm{pH}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TSW | MSW |  |  |
| 1 | St. Mary's | 670 | 125 | 5.4:1 | $4.7->5.4^{\text {b }}$ |
| 2 | Moser's | 169 | 11 | 15.4:1 | $4.7->5.4$ |
| 3 | West River, S.H. | 142 | 7 | 20.3:1 | $<4.7$ - 5.0 |
| 4 | Ecum Secum | 89 | 4 | 22.3:1 | - - - - |
| 5 | Musquodoboit | 35 | 43 | 0.8:1 | $>5.4$ |
| 6 | Salmon, Pt. Dufferin | 70 | 3 | 23.3:1 | 4.7 - 5.0 |
| 7 | Salmon, Guysborough | 49 | 23 | 2.1:1 | > 5.4 |
| 8 | Liscomb | 59 | 5 | 11.8:1 | < 4.7 - 5.0 |
| 9 | Ship Harbour | 40 | 9 | 4.4:1 | 5.1 - 5.4 |
| 10 | Kirby | 35 | 1 | 35:1 | - - - - |
| 11 | Gaspereau Br. | 28 | 1 | 28:1 | - - - |
| 12 | Tangier | 25 | 2 | 12.5:1 | $<4.7-5.0$ |
| 13 | New Harbour | 17 | 10 | 1.7:1 | 4.7 - 5.4 |
| 14 | Country Harbour | 14 | 11 | 1.3:1 | > 5.4 |
| 15 | Isaac's Harbour | 17 | 4 | 4.3:1 | $4.7-5.0$ |

a inference of pH values: <4.7, no natural reproduction; 4.7-5.0, some mortalities likely; 5.1-5.4 fisheries threatened; $>5.4$ no immediate acidification threat.
b East, West and Main rivers >5.4; most tributaries of West River, 5.1-5.4; Archibald, Indian Man and South L. brooks, 4.7-5.0, in April.

TABLE 2. Open fishing seasons for recreational fishermen of the St. Mary's River and commercial salmon fishermen of FSD 17, 1970-85.

| Year | Location | Dates of open season |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Regular | Exceptions |
| a) Recreational |  |  |  |
| 1970-74 | St. Mary's | May 1 - Sep 19 | Portions: May 1-Jul 17 (low HOH) |
| 1975 | St. Mary's | May 1 - Sep 19 |  |
| 1976 |  |  | East R. below Aspen; May 1-Jun 14 |
| 1977 | " | " " | East R. below Aspen; May 1-31 |
| 1978 | " " | " " | " " " " ; May 1-31 |
| 1979 |  |  | East and West rivers; May 1-Aug 11 |
|  | " " | " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | East R. below Aspen; May 1-31 |
| 1980 | " " | " " | " " " " ; May 1-28 <br> Remainder; May 1-Aug 29 |
| $1981$ | " ." | May 15 - Aug 15 | East River, May 15-Jun 20 |
| $1982$ | Main r. | May 22 - Aug 15 |  |
|  | East R. | May 22-31 |  |
|  | West R . | Jun 1-Jul 31 |  |
| 1983 | Main r . | May 18 - Aug 15 |  |
|  | East R. | May 18 - Jun 4 |  |
|  | East R. | Ju1 1-31 (gri | only) |
|  | West R. | Jun 1-Jul 31 |  |
| 1984 | Main r. | May 18 - Aug 15 | "17se only) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | East R. | May 18-Jul 31 |  |
|  | West R. | Jun 1-Jul 31 | " " |
| 1985 |  |  |  |
|  | East R. | May 18 - Aug 14 | " " |
|  | West R. | Jun 1 - Aug 14 | " " |
| b) Commercial |  |  |  |
| 1970-80 | FSD 17 | Apr 15 - Aug 15 |  |
| 1981-83 |  | Jun 1-Jul 31 |  |
| 1984 | " " | Jun 18 - Jul 6 |  |
| 1985 | " " | None |  |

a Not an enforceable regulation for most of the 1984 season.

TABLE 3. Recreational and commercial catch data for St. Mary's River and FSD 17, respectively, 1930-1985 (core sources: Redbooks ${ }^{\text {a }}$; Allen and Lindsey, 1967)

| Year | Recreational |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weight } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Commercial |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grilse | Salmon | Total |  | Numbers | Weight(kg) |
| 1930 |  |  | $\bar{x}=312$ |  |  |  |
| - 39 |  |  | $\mathrm{s}=244.7$ |  |  |  |
| 1940 |  |  | 617 |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  | 301 |  |  |  |
| 42 |  | - | 208 |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  | 1,005 |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  | 651 |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  | 806 |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  | 207 |  |  |  |
| 47 |  |  | 237 |  |  |  |
| 48 |  |  | 1,063 |  |  |  |
| 49 |  |  | , 336 |  |  | 8,029 |
| 1950 |  |  | 264 |  |  | 5,534 |
| 51 |  |  | 1,070 | 3,894 |  | 2,948 |
| 52 |  |  | , 831 | 3,524 |  | 4,853 |
| 53 54 |  |  | 1,164 | 4,950 |  | 5,352 |
| 54 55 |  |  | 415 | 2,181 |  | 3,039 |
| 55 56 |  |  | 253 | 1,211 |  | 1,588 |
| 57 |  |  | 188 | 1,446 525 |  | 998 357 |
| 58 |  |  | 735 | 2,795 |  | 1,860 |
| 59 |  |  | 550 | 2,290 |  | 2,158 |
| 1960 |  |  | 278 | 1,036 |  | 2,070 |
| 61 |  |  | 451 | 1,842 |  | 5,199 |
| 62 |  |  | 869 | 2,860 |  | 4,204 |
| 63 |  |  | 480 | 2,023 |  | 3,790 |
| 64 |  |  | 994 | 3,052 |  | 2,025 |
| 65 66 | 352 271 | 130 102 | 482 | 1,534 |  | 2,412 |
| 67 | 204 | + 95 | 373 | 1,058 |  | 59 |
| 68 | 240 | 162 | 402 | 1,947 |  | 2,859 |
| 69 | 499 | 160 | 659 | 1,785 |  | 1,010 |
| 1970 | 454 | 213 | 667 | 1,900 | 98 | 800 |
| 71 | 269 | 73 | 342 | , 832 | 188 | 432 |
| 72 | 470 | 105 | 575 | 1,372 | 358 | +687 |
| 73 | 505 | 146 | 651 | 1,618 | 265 | 1,498 1,169 |
| 74 | 1,314 | 164 | 1,478 | 3,221 | 200 | 1,169 |
| 75 | 180 | 55 | 235 | 538 | 479 | 2,014 |
| 75 | 1,050 | 97 | 1,147 | 2,573 | 440 | 2,025 |
| 77 | 458 | 120 | 578 | 1,167 | 427 | 1,707 |
| 78 | 151 | 97 | 248 | , 758 | 404 | 1,940 |
| 79 1980 | 1,152 | 66 | 1,218 | 1,900 | 191 | 828 |
| 1980 81 | 1,492 | 152 | 1,644 | 2,721 | 649 | 2,550 |
| 81 | 858 | 272 | 1,130 | 2,635 | 399 | 1,608 |
| 82 | 566 | 61 | 627 | 1,086 | 405 | 1,380 |
| 83 | 456 | 117 | 573 | 1,163 | 285 | 1,120 |
| 84 85 | $\left.\begin{array}{l}461(903){ }^{\text {b }} \\ -(1,180\end{array}\right)$ | $61(228){ }^{\text {b }}$ $-(807) ~$ | 522 | 1,022 | 209 | 817 |
| 85 | --(1,180) ${ }^{\text {dC }}$ | --(807) ${ }^{\text {dc }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- |

a Atlantic Salmon Sport Catch Statistics (C\&P) and Atlantic Salmon Commercial Catch Statistics, Maritime Provinces, annual series beginning 1970 and 1967, respectively. Published by DFO and its precursors.
b license stubs incl. releases
c preliminary

TABLE 4. Composite sex ratios for 383 aged and measured Atlantic salmon harvested in the recreational fishery, St. Mary's River, 1972-74, 1979-81, and 1983-84.


TABLE 5. Site and mean densities (maximum likelihood estimates) per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of age- $0+$ juvenile Atlantic salmon in the St. Mary's River, 1975-1985.

| Site | 1975 | 1976 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mckeen Bk. \#2 | --- | 13.6 |  | 23.7 | --- |  |  | 24.7 | 11.7 | --- |
| East R. \#3 | 11.3 | 42.7 |  | 21.4 | 28.6 |  |  | 16.0 | 13.8 | 20.8 |
| East R. \#4 | 52.3 | 60.6 |  |  | 29.1 |  |  | -- | 19.7 | 4 |
| Black Bk. \#7 | 56.4 | 5.9 |  | 64.6 | 19.3 |  |  | 9.6 | 13.7 | 14.6 |
| East R. \#9 | 18.2 | 4.7 |  |  | 12.3 |  |  | --- | 0. | --- |
| Moose R. \#12 | 28.5 | 0.3 |  | 58.2 | 118.2 |  |  | 0.5 | 15.5 | 11. |
| Garden R. \#19 | --- | 16.4 |  | 64.4 | 33.9 |  |  | 48.0 | 15.0 | --- |
| Mean3 ${ }^{\text {(SD }}$ ) | 32.1 (22.8) | $16.3(23.0)$ |  | 48.1(23.3) | 55.4(54.6) |  |  | $8.7(7.8)$ | $14.3(1.0)$ | 15.5(4.9) |
| Mean ${ }_{\text {( }}$ (SD) | 33.3 (20.2) | 20.6(22.5) |  | 46.5(22.0) | 40.2(39.0) |  |  | 19.8(18.1) | 12.8(6.0) | $20.8^{\text {a }}$ |
| West River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West R. \#21 |  |  | 4.1 | 12.3 | 18.0 | 20.6 | 9.3 | 13.4 | 7.8 | $13.2^{\text {a }}$ |
| West R. \#24 |  |  | 1.7 4.0 | 3.2 5.0 | 1.9 7.9 | 18.0 14.9 | 3.0 9.3 | 11.4 6.4 | 5.1 3.9 | $7.5{ }^{\text {6 }}$ |
| West R. \#25 West R. 26 |  |  | 4.0 0.6 | 5.0 0.2 | 7.9 5.9 | 14.9 9.9 | 9.3 2.2 | $6.4{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $3.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{6.4}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| South Bk. \#27 |  |  | 4.6 | 37.6 | 7.4 | 33.0 | 14.0 | 12.4 | 13.2 | 41.9 |
| Nelson R. \#30 |  |  | 3.6 | 8.1 | 24.2 | 49.0 | 21.6 | 15.2 | 10.4 | 7.0 |
| West R. \#34 |  |  | 1.9 | 2.6 | 7.8 | 10.8 | 4.4 | 4.8 | $2.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $4.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| West R \#35 |  |  | 6.6 | 13.7 | 2.7 | 30.9 | 14.3 | 12.7 | 5.8 | 9.1 |
| $\mathrm{Mean}_{4}(\mathrm{SD})$ |  |  | 4.7(1.3) | 16.1(14.8) |  |  |  |  |  | 16.1(17.2) |
| Meang (SD) |  |  | 3.4(1.9) | 10.3(12.0) | $9.5(7.7)$ | 23.4(13.4) | 9.8(6.7) | 9.8(4.7) | $6.1(4.3)$ | 11.4(12.7) |
| East and West (wt'd) |  |  |  | 20.1 | 17.8 |  |  | . 12.5 | 7.9 | 13.9 |

[^0]TABLE 6. Site and mean densities (maximum likelihood estimates) per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of age-1+ juvenile Atlantic salmon in the St. Mary's River, 1975-1985.

| Site | 1975 | 1976 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mckeen Bk. \#2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.7 |  |  |  | 10.9 | 1.8 |  |
| East R. \#3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 2.0 | 1.5 |  |  | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.9 |
| East R.\#4 | 1.7 | 5.0 |  | $2.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.3 |  |  | $2.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.9 | $2.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Black BK. \#7 | 4.0 | 11.6 |  | 5.1 | 9.2 |  |  | 4.8 | 2.0 | 4.8 |
| East R. \#9 | 8.0 | 1.8 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  |  | 1.7 |  |
| Moose R. \#12 | 11.8 | 9.8 |  | 3.7 | 26.5 |  |  | 11.8 | 2.1 | 4.8 |
| Garden R. \#19 | $10.6{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.8 |  | 5.5 | 26.6 |  |  | 11.4 | 5.5 | $4.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Mean ${ }^{\text {( SD }}$ ) | $5.3(6.0)$ | 7.1 (6.2) |  | 3.6 (1.6) | $12.4(12.8)$ |  |  | $5.9(5.5)$ | 1.6(0.7) | $4.2(1.1)$ |
| Mean5 (SD) | 5.6(5.3) | 6.8(4.5) |  | $3.7(1.6)$ | 13.6(12.1) |  |  | 6.2(5.1) | 2.3(1.9) | 3.8(1.2) |
| West River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West R. \#21 |  |  | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 0.9 | $1.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| West R. \#24 |  |  | 3.9 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 3.4 | $2.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| West R. \#25 |  |  | 4.5 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 2.8 |
| West R. 26 |  |  | 4.8 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 2.1 | $3.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $1.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $2.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| South BK. \#27 |  |  | 13.8 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 11.8 |
| Nelson R. \#30 |  |  | 2.3 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 11.9 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 4.0 |
| West R. \#34 |  |  | 2.8 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 3.3 | $4.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $4.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| West R \#35 |  |  | 2.7 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 7.6 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 2.2 |
| $\mathrm{Mean}_{4}$ (SD) |  |  | 5.8(5.4) | $2.2(0.6)$ | 3.1 (0.4) | $8.0(2.7)$ | $4.6(2.0)$ | 2.5(1.2) | $2.0(1.7)$ | 5.2(4.5) |
| Meang (SD) |  |  | 4.5(3.9) | 2.3(1.0) | $3.0(0.9)$ | 5.9(2.9) | $3.8(2.0)$ | 2.4(1.2) | 2.2(1.5) | 4.0(3.3) |
| East and West (wt |  |  |  | 2.7 | 5.9 |  |  | 3.4 | 2.2 | 4.0 |

[^1]TABLE 7. Sport, commercial, juvenile and discharge data used in search of indicies of escapement and recruitment for the St. Mary's River, $1970-85$.

| Year i Colum | Commercial harvest |  |  |  | Sport harvest ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sport + } \\ & \text { Corm. } \\ & (2+7) \end{aligned}$ | Jul-Aug Dischg. (9) | Juvenile densities ( $\mathrm{no} . / 100 \mathrm{~m}$ ²) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | East R. | West R. |  | East \& West (wt ${ }^{\top}$ d) |  |  |
|  |  | \% | Loca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age |  | Agetr | Ageot |  |  | Agelt |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ISW( })^{a-} \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSWI } \\ & \text { (3) } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> (4) | MSW <br> (5) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 S W \\ & (6) \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> (7) | $\operatorname{Eggs}\left(10^{6}\right)$ (8) |  |  |  | $(11)^{e}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i+2 \\ & (12)^{f} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i+7 \\ & (13)^{9} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i+2 \\ & (14) \mathrm{g} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i+7 \\ & (15) \end{aligned}$ | $i+2$ <br> (16) |
| 1970 | 87(16) | 93 |  |  | 21.3 | 454 | 667 | 1.7 |  | 760 | 90.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 134 | 178 |  |  | 73 | 269 | 342 | 0.7 |  | 520 | 119.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 322 | 350 |  |  | 105 | 470 | 575 | 1.2 | 925 | 46.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 252(3) | 264 |  |  | 146 | 505 | 651 | 1.4 | 915 | 59.3 |  |  | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 178(3) | 200 |  |  | 164 | 1,314 | 1,478 | 2.8 | 1,678 | 25.2 | 32.1 | 33.3 | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 416(27) | 440 |  |  | 55 | 180 | 235 | 0.5 | 675 | 1.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 433 | 477 |  |  | 97 | 1,050 | 1,147 | 2.2 | 1,624 | 10.3 |  |  |  |  | 4.5 |  |  |
| 1977 | 348 | 428 |  |  | 120 | 458 | 578 | 1.1 | 1,006 | 59.2 |  |  | 3.7 | 3.4 | 2.3 |  | 2.7 |
| 1978 | 404(32) | 405 |  |  | 97 | 151 | 248 | 0.7 | 653 | 10.1 | 48.1 | 46.5 | 13.6 | 10.3 | 3.0 | 20.1 | 5.9 |
| 1979 | 180 | 190 | 79(7) | 87 | 66 | 1,152 | 1,218 | 1.8 | 1,408 | 61.3 | 55.4 | 40.2 |  | 9.5 | 5.9 | 17.8 |  |
| 1980 | 559(16) | 650 | 190(6) | 133 | 152 | 1,492 | 1,644 | 2.6 | 2,264 | 16.0 |  |  |  | 23.4 | 3.8 |  |  |
| 1981 | 322(20) | 398 | 101 (8) | 115 | 272 | 858 | 1,130 | 2.5 | 1,528 | 35.2 |  |  | 6.2 | 9.8 | 2.4 |  | 3.4 |
| 1982 | 211 (24) | 403 | 75(14) | 86 | 61 | 566 | 627 | 1.0 | 1,030 | 31.1 | 8.7 | 19.8 | 2.3 | 9.8 | 2.2 | 12.5 | 2.2 |
| 1983 | 259(6) | 311 | $71(3)$ | 86 | 117 | 456 | 573 k | 1.1 | 884 | 92.0 | 14.3 | 12.8 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 7.9 | 4.0 |
| 1984 | 218(2) | $330(515)^{j}$ | 192(3) | 124 | 61(228) ${ }^{k}$ | $461(698){ }^{k}$ | $522(926){ }^{k}$ | 0.9(1.9) | 852 (1,441) | 24.4 | 15.5 | 20.8 |  | 11.4 |  | 13.9 |  |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0(807) | $-(1,180)$ | $-(1,987)^{\mathrm{n}}$ | -- | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a estimate of $3 S W$ and older fish ( $\overline{>} 6.9 \mathrm{~kg}$ )
b log books from 3 inside berths adjusted to Jun 1-Jul 31 season c C\&P estimates
${ }^{d} 3$ sites
en sites
f 5 sites
98 sites
h 3-week season
$j$ catch in salmon gear adjusted to Jun 1-Jul 31 and is comprised of 104 1SW and 411 MSW fish; by catch unadjusted
$k$ picence stubs; retained
m licence stubs; released
n preliminary

TABLE 8. Summary of regression analyses in search of indices of stock strength of Atlantic salmon, St. Mary's River, N.S.

| Colums | Commercial harvest |  |  |  | Sport harvest ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  | Sport + <br> Corm. <br> (2+7) | Jul-Aug Dischg. (9) | Juvenile densities ( $\mathrm{no} . / 100 \mathrm{~m}$ 2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | East R. | West R. |  | East \& west (wt'd) |  |  |
|  |  | comm | Loc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age | el | Agel+ | Ageot | Agel+ | Ageot | Agel+ |
|  | $\text { MSN( }{ }^{a}$ <br> (1) | Total <br> (2) | $\operatorname{MsW}()^{d}$ <br> (3) | Total <br> (4) | MSW <br> (5) | $\begin{aligned} & 15 W \\ & (6) \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> (7) | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Eggs}\left(10^{6}\right) \\ & (8) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $+1(11)^{e}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i+2 \\ & (12)^{f} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i+1 \\ & (13)^{9} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i+2 \\ & (14)^{g} \end{aligned}$ | (15) | (16) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.07 |  |  | 0.68 | 0.11 | 0.28 |  |
| 1 |  |  | 0.97 |  | 0.03 |  | 0.26 |  |  |  |  | 0.55 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 0.37 |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.66 |  |  |  | 0.20 | 0.20 |  | 0.74 | 0.03 |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |  | 0.44 |  |  |  | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.95 | 0.75 | 0.21 |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.38 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.24 | 0.73 | 0.53 |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.22 |  | 0.21 | 0.70 | 0.45 |  | 0.52 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.35 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.58 | 0.29 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.78 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 0.54 |
| 2+7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.98 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.20 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]

FIG. 1. The St. Mary's River, its tributaries and electrofishing sites and (insets) the location of rivers averaging $\geq 20$ salmon per season, 1970-83, and Fishery Statistical Districts (FSDs) of the eastern shore, Nova Scotia.


FIG. 2. Relationship between fork length of Atlantic salmon in cm and (a) weight in kg , and (b) egg carrying capacity of femoles, st. Mary's River, N.S.


[^0]:    a Estimated from significant ( $p<0.05$ ) regressions of densities at that site(s) on densities in a neighbouring site(s).

[^1]:    a Estimated from significant ( p <0.05) regressions of densities at that site on densities in a neighbouring site.

[^2]:    a estimate of $3 S W$ and older fish ( $\overline{3} 6.9 \mathrm{~kg}$ )
    b 10 g books from 3 inside berths adjusted to Jun $1-J u 131$ season f 5 sites
    c C\&P estimates 98 sites
    d 3 sites

