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#### Abstract

The fishery for witch flounder in the Gulf of St. Lawrence has traditionally been a directed otter trawl fishery in the Esquiman Channel in winter on a prespawning concentration as well as a Danish seine fishery on the south side of St. George's Bay in summertime. Landings have averaged 2,000-3,500 t over the last 10 years. Effort appears to have been constant over the past several years with no apparent trend in CPUE. A large reduction in the numbers of older fish ( $>16$ years) has been experienced since 1976 and a substantial increase in the average size at age for the remaining age groups. Biomass surveys for groundfish in the Gulf of St. Lawrence have indicated that estimates of trawable biomass have been fairly stable over the past few years despite the reduction in numbers of old fish. This would indicate compensation by increased growth and recruitment.


## Résumé

La pêche de la plie grise dans le golfe Saint-Laurent a été traditionnellement une pêche au chalut axée sur une concentration de poissons en condition préreproductrice dans le chenal Esquiman en hiver. En été, par contre, la pêche se pratique à la senne danoise dans le sud de la baie St. Georges. Les débarquements annuels moyens au cours des 10 dernières années ont été de 2000 à 3500 t. Depuis plusieurs années, l'effort de pêche semble se maintenir au même niveau et les prises par unité d'effort ne manifestent pas de tendance détectable. Depuis 1976 cependant, une forte diminution du nombre de poissons âgés (>16 ans) à été rapportée alors que la taille moyenne à un âge donné chez les autres groupes d'âge a augmentée. Les relevés de la biomasse des poissons de fond dans le golfe Saint-Laurent indiquent que les estimations de la biomasse chalutable ont été passablement uniformes au cours des dernières années malgré la diminution du nombre de poissons âgés. Il y aurait, semble-t-il, compensation par croissance et recrutement accrus.

## The fishery

The fishery in the Gulf of St. Lawrence has been traditionally by Newfoundland otter trawlers and Danish seiners. The otter trawlers generally fish in the wintertime in the Esquiman Channel just south west or west of St. Georges' Bay where these fish form a prespawning concentration. The Danish seiners, on the other hand, usually fish in the summertime on the south side of St. Georges' Bay where the bottom consists of muddy sand, a substrate highly conducive to witch flounder. Whether this area has a concentration of witch all year round or whether it is only occupied after spawning has not been determined.

Except for 1972 and 1973 the landings for the past 10 years from this stock has generally been in the order of 2000-3500 $t$ with a couple of years of higher landings (Table 1). The landings from this stock have generally fluctuated around the status of the cod stock in the area in that when the cod quota was taken early, more pressure was placed upon witch as an alternate and vice-versa.

## Management strategy

The first quota placed upon this stock was in 1977 at a level of 3500 t , which was considered to be a precautionary TAC based upon average catches of recent years. With the lack of an analytical assessment this TAC was carried forward and remained in effect for the year 1978. An analytical assessment presented in 1978 (Bowering, 1978) indicated the presence of many large old slow growing fish, many of which were being landed in jellied condition. While the assessment indicated that 3500 t was probably the maximum sustainable catch of this stock in its present condition the Subcommittee felt that it would be beneficial if the numbers of very old fish could
be reduced in order to enhance the quality of fish as well as bring about an increase in the growth rate of the recruiting fish. It was therefore decided to increase the TAC for 1979 to 5000 t with the understanding that this was not a sustainable level and later would probably be reduced when the objectives were reached.

An assessment in 1979, (Bowering, 1979) indicated that the management strategy was in fact working since the older fish were now less abundant in the surveys and in the commercial catch. It was again decided, however, that another year should be given to the 5000 t TAC since it was not reached in 1979. This was mainly due to a diversion of fishing effort from witch to Greenland halibut in the same general area. Consequently, the TAC for 1980 was again set at a level of 5000 t .

This document will attempt to assess the status of this stock in relation to management strategy over the past few years.

Age composition of the commercial otter trawl landings
Over the past 4 years from 1976 to 1979 the commercial landings of witch from Newfoundland otter trawlers have been sampled at processing plants on the south coast of Newfoundland usually in the month of January. The following commercial samples were available:

| YEAR |  | NO. MEAS. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NO. AGED |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1976 |  | 2875 | 536 |
| 1977 |  | 2875 | 719 |
| 1978 | 384 |  | 457 |
| 1979 | 3812 | 852 |  |

Unfortunately, samples from the Danish seiners have never been taken.
Since there was no appreciable difference in the catch composition of males and females, the sexes were combined.

As indicated by Bowering (1979) the numbers caught at age have shown a marked reduction in the numbers of old fish. The age composition of 1976 catches ranged
from 8-26 years, 1977 from 7-21 years and 6-20 years in 1978. The catch figures for 1979 now show that there were no fish caught beyond age 16 indicating a remarkable reduction in the numbers of old fish since 1976 (Table 2). The value 1 was fabricated to accommodate a computer program for a later analysis for some age groups in the 1975, 1978 and 1979 landings (see Table 2).

It is also quite evident that the numbers of younger fish in the recent landings have increased in considerable proportions indicating a shift in the partial recruitment pattern with higher mortality on the younger age groups. It is also likely, however, that many of the younger age groups were caught in earlier years, discarded and never reported.

## Catch per unit effort and mortality from the fishery

Catch per unit effort figures were available from the Newfoundland trawler fishery from 1976-79. Only effort data from the stern trawlers was used since this comprised the major portion of the fishery. The catch per unit effort was applied to the total landings of all gears to arrive at total effort figures applicable to the stern trawlers (Table 2). The CPUE fluctuated over the past 4 yr with no apparent trend. The total effort appears to have been reasonably stable between 9.4 and 12.9 thousand hrs throughout the same period.

In order to obtain some recent estimate of fishing mortality the catch numbers at age were broken down into a standard CPUE at age based upon effort derived from the trawler fishery (Table 2). From this, Paloheimo Z's were calculated between years for what appeared to be the fully recruited age groups. The values derived for 1976-77 of $F=0.56$ and Between 1978-79 of $F=0.70$ for ages $13+$ appear reasonable, however, the value for 1977-78 of $\mathrm{F}=0.27$ for ages $17+$ would not seem to be of much use. A natural mortality of $M=0.2$ was assumed in all cases.

Average lengths at age from the commercial catches
For the years 1976-79, the average lengths at age were calculated from the commercial sampling statistics for each year separately. Since the computer
program breaks down the catch by sex, the average lengths at age were calculated separately (Table 3I. For both sexes, it can be seen that the growth rate has increased from 1976 to 1979 for both sexes. This is apparent particularly in the fully recruited age groups and the groups which make up the bulk of the commercial landings (Table 3, Fig. 1).

Average weights in grams at age were then calculated for 1976 and 1979 and the difference between the two years is presented in Table 3.

An appreciable difference in average weight at age is apparent in fully recruited age groups. It is suspected that the negative values in the younger age groups may reflect discarding of small fish and an overestimation of average size at age in the earlier years.

Trends in age composition from biomass surveys
During January of 1978, 1979 and 1980, stratified-random biomass surveys have been carried out in the Gulf of St. Lawrence by the research vessel Gadus Atlantica with special emphasis on Division 4R. The results of the 1978 and 1979 surveys were presented in Bowering (1979) and in order to be comparable, the 1980 survey presented here was adjusted to the mean numbers at age per set for the same strata and methods as described in the previous document. The comparable results of the 1980 survey are presented in Table 4.

For comparison, the results of the three surveys are presented in Table 5 and Figures 2 and 3. The trends here tend to be similar to those shown in the commercial catch statistics with large reductions in numbers in the older age groups. Fish beyond 14 years old did not even occur in the catches for the 1980 survey. The total numbers caught per set were very similar for 1978 and 1979, however, the numbers for 1980 are less than half the 1979 values (Table 5).

## Cohort analysis

While the series of commercial catch data was short, nevertheless, an attempt was made at running a cohort analysis. The numbers at age used in the analysis were those calculated in Table 2 assuming it was run from ages 6-21 with sexes combined. For the ages where no fish were caught, a value of one was substituted in order to allow the calculations to proceed.

Average weights
From the average lengths at age presented for each year in Table 3 a mean average length was calculated of 1976-78 combined. These lengths as well as the average lengths for 1979 were applied to a weight-length equation from Bowering (1976) and were used to calculate the biomass in the analysis for 1976-79. The average weights computed for 1979 were used later in future catch projections. All values are shown in Table 3.

## Terminal $F$.

This presented the most difficulty since the data series for effort and numbers at age were too short to calculate any reliable relationship between fishing mortality and fishing effort. Consequently, the only available estimate of fishing mortality in the last year was that derived from the Palaheimo $z$ computed between 1978 and 19.79. commercial catch numbers presented in Table 2. A value of $F=0.70$ was therefore used to initiate the calculations.

## Partial recruitment

In order to derive a partial recruitment vector a comparison was made between the research vessel data and the commercial data in the most recent year ie:1979. The total population numbers from the survey was considered to be representative of the population structure as a whole and the percentage age composition was derived considering age $6+$ to be $100 \%$ since age 6 was the age at first entry to the commercial fishery (Table 6). The commercial catch numbers were broken down in a similar fashion.

The ratios between the percentage at age for the commercial catch and the percentage at age for the research catch were calculated and these values were plotted in Fig. 4. A smooth curve was then drawn by eye through the points. From the actual curve, values were selected at each age and these were considered to be the relative partial recruitment values. It appeared that the fish were fully recruited at age 13 and levelled at this point. Age 13 and beyond was therefore given a partial recruitment value of one. The younger ages were given partial recruitment values in proportion to the relative partial recruitment value of age 13 (3.14). The partial recruitment vector used in the analysis is presented in the last column of Table 6.

It should be noted that the PR value for age 6 may be high since the survey gear is probably not selecting this age fish in high enough proportion as it actually is in the population.

Results of the cohort analys is at $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}=0.70$ are presented in Table 7. Yield per recruit

Using the average weights at age for the 1979 commercial catch (Table 3) and the partial recruitment vector for 1979 (Table 6) a yield per recruit curve was generated and presented in Fig. 5. The value of $F_{0.1}$ from yield per recurit curve was calculated as $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=0.274$.
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| Year | 4 R | 45 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 3147 | 251 | 3398 |
| 1971 | 1996 | 132 | 2128 |
| 1972 | 550 | 402 | 952 |
| 1973 | 751 | 136 | 887 |
| 1974 | 2208 | 312 | 2520 |
| 1975 | 1664 | 281 | 1945 |
| 1976 | 3623 | 1718 | 5341 |
| 1977 | 1968 | 631 | 2599 |
| 1978 | 3429 | 866 | $4295^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1979 | 2858 | 358 | $3216^{\text {b }}$ |
|  |  |  | $3439{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| a - Revised January, 1980. |  |  |  |
| b - not including Maritimes |  |  |  |
| c - total catch from quota report(1979) |  |  |  |

Table 2. Numbers caught at age for witch 4RS Commercial M+F based on revised catch statistics (Nos. in '000's)
Age $1976 \quad c / 1000 \mathrm{hr} 1977 \quad \mathrm{c} / 1000 \mathrm{hr} 1978 \quad \mathrm{c} / 1000 \mathrm{hr} \quad 1979 \quad \mathrm{c} / 1000 \mathrm{hr}$

| 6 | 1 | 0.09 | 2 | 0.15 | 50 | 5.27 | 14 | 4.07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 1 | 0.09 | 36 | 2.78 | 168 | 17.78 | 249 | 72.40 |
| 8 | 61 | 8.52 | 113 | 8.74 | 515 | 54.52 | 425 | 123.58 |
| 9 | 103 | 9.31 | 315 | 24.36 | 623 | 65.98 | 512 | 148.88 |
| 10 | 221 | 19.99 | 524 | 40.53 | 1196 | 126.70 | 1039 | 302.12 |
| 11 | 537 | 48.56 | 788 | 60.94 | 1213 | 128.54 | 1602 | 465.83 |
| 12 | 556 | 77.41 | 834 | 64.50 | 1372 | 145.40 | 1807 | 525.44 |
| 13 | 1055 | 95.41 | 844 | 65.27 | 1272 | 134.73 | 1266 | 368.13 |
| 14 | 936 | 84.64 | 796 | 61.56 | 986 | 104.44 | 249 | 72.40 |
| 15 | 588 | 53.17 | 351 | 27.15 | 496 | 52.55 | 131 | 38.09 |
| 16 | 475 | 42.96 | 199 | 15.39 | 270 | 28.58 | 29 | 8.43 |
| 17 | 207 | 18.72 | 100 | 7.73 | 152 | 16.07 | 1 |  |
| 18 | 153 | 13.84 | 52 | 4.02 | 58 | 6.19 | 1 |  |
| 19 | 55 | 4.97 | 15 | 1.16 | 9 | 0.92 | 1 |  |
| 20 | 41 | 3.71 | 21 | 1.62 | 9 | 0.92 | 1 |  |
| 21 | 47 | 4.25 | 8 | 0.62 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & F=0.56 \\ & 13+ \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & F=0.27 \\ & 17+ \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & F=0.70 \\ & 13+ \end{aligned}$ |  |


| Total Catch | 5341 tons | 2599 tons | 4295 tons | 3439 tons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Effort | $11058 \mathrm{hrs}$. | 12930 hrs. | $9440 \mathrm{hrs}$. | 10026 hrs . |
| CPUE | 0.483 tons/hr | 0.201 tons/hr. | 0.455 tons/hr | 0.343 tons/hr. |

Table 3. Average Lengths for commercial witch in $4 R$

| MALE Age | 1976 Feb. | 1977 Feb. | 1978 Jan. | 1979 Jan. | ```Difference in L from 1976 to 1979(cm)``` | Difference in W from 1976 to 1979(gms) | ```Unweighted \overline{L} for males + Females 1976-79``` | Unweighted W (kg) M+F 1976-79 | $\begin{aligned} & W \operatorname{ld} W \\ & (\mathrm{~kg}) \\ & 1976(\mathrm{M} \\ & +F) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  |  | 28.50 | 24.21 |  |  | 26.36 | 0.087 | 0.064 |
| 6 |  | 30.50 | 30.37 | 29.77 |  |  | 29.63 | 0.133 | 0.125 |
| 7 |  | 35.59 | 32.80 | 33.54 |  |  | 32.76 | 0.191 | 0.197 |
| 8 | 37.41 | 35.83 | 36.73 | 33.75 | -3.66 | -95.87 | 36.20 | 0.274 | 0.224 |
| 9 | 36.53 | 36.92 | 37.03 | 36.81 | +0.28 | + 7.92 | 37.09 | 0.299 | 0.281 |
| 10 | 38.57 | 38.44 | 38.79 | 38.39 | -0.18 | - 5.78 | 38.59 | 0.345 | 0.328 |
| 11 | 39.16 | 38.13 | 39.54 | 40.10 | +0.94 | +32.58 | 39.97 | 0.392 | 0.398 |
| 12 | 40.42 | 39.78 | 41.36 | 41.91 | +1.49 | +57.04 | 41.57 | 0.452 | 0.490 |
| 13 | 42.36 | 40.52 | 42.55 | 46.25 | +3.89 | +180.68 | 43.64 | 0.538 | 0.653 |
| 14 | 43.16 | 42.23 | 44.12 | 48.97 | +5.81 | +299.22 | 45.85 | 0.644 | 0.952 |
| 15 | 43.03 | 44.55 | 45.24 | 48.50 | $+5.47$ | +276.86 | 46.88 | 0.697 | 1.037 |
| 16 | 43.93 | 47.86 | 47.92 |  |  |  | 48.58 | 0.793 | 1.185 |
| 17 | 51.07 | 49.50 | 48.92 |  |  |  | 50.05 | 0.883 |  |
| 18 | 48.73 | 51.50 | 50.50 |  |  |  | 50.94 | 0.942 |  |
| 19 |  |  | 52.50 |  |  |  | 53.01 | 1.087 |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53.33 | 1.111 |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54,56 | 1,207 |  |

FEMALE

| 6 |  |  | 31.02 | 26.50 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 |  | 28.50 | 34.39 | 31.75 |  |  |
| 8 | 36.50 | 37.31 | 36.48 | 35.58 | -0.92 | -24.88 |
| 9 | 36.17 | 37.59 | 39.87 | 35.83 | -0.34 | -9.17 |
| 10 | 39.35 | 38.61 | 39.54 | 37.04 | -2.31 | -73.32 |
| 11 | 41.22 | 39.91 | 41.54 | 40.18 | -1.04 | -38.65 |
| 12 | 40.76 | 41.96 | 43.36 | 43.02 | +2.26 | +90.59 |
| 13 | 42.88 | 43.83 | 44.76 | 45.99 | +3.11 | +145.49 |
| 14 | 44.47 | 45.99 | 46.60 | 51.29 | $+6.82$ | +388.87 |
| 15 | 44.82 | 47.70 | 48.64 | 52.52 | $+7.70$ | +458.62 |
| 16 | 46.45 | 49.53 | 50.06 | 54.29 | +7.84 | +510.73 |
| 17 | 49.42 | 49.60 | 51.79 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 50.21 | 51.82 | 52.88 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 51.65 | 52.58 | 55.30 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 50.89 | 52.61 | 56.50 |  |  |  |
| 21 | 54.20 | 53.83 | 55.64 |  |  |  |

Table 4. Witch Gadus 311980 Division 4R Av. No./Set

| MALE |  | STRATUM |  |  |  |  |  | Wtd. <br> Mean <br> No/Set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 801 | 802 | 809 | 810 | 811 | 812 | 813 |  |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sq. Miles | 354 | 399 | 451 | 223 | 439 | 1355 | 1154 |  |
| 3 | 0.33 |  | 0.33 |  |  |  |  | 0.06 |
| 4 | 0.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 |
| 5 | 1.34 | 0.33 | 2.07 |  |  | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.44 |
| 6 | 0.87 | 1.58 | 5.52 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.79 | 0.69 | 1.22 |
| 7 | 0.47 | 3.02 | 3.46 | 1.19 | 0.37 | 0.65 | 0.29 | 1.05 |
| 8 | 0.56 | 3.36 | 2.28 | 1.05 | 0.10 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.86 |
| 9 | 1.26 | 6.58 | 2.62 | 2.38 |  | 0.25 | 0.15 | 1.21 |
| 10 | 3.09 | 10.93 | 2.60 | 3.56 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 1.78 |
| 11 | 1.07 | 3.31 | 0.87 | 1.65 | 0.07 |  |  | 0.57 |
| 12 | 0.33 | 0.56 | 0.24 | 0.29 |  |  |  | 0.12 |

FEMALE

| 3 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.19 | 0.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 1.58 | 0.10 | 0.10 |  |  |  | 0.71 | 0.33 |
| 5 | 2.25 | 0.69 | 2.80 | 0.16 |  | 0.01 | 0.49 | 0.67 |
| 6 | 1.13 | 1.91 | 5.04 | 0.64 |  | 0.11 | 0.33 | 0.94 |
| 7 | 0.18 | 4.14 | 2.38 | 1. 34 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.81 |
| 8 | 0.41 | 3.19 | 1.01 | 0.89 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.55 |
| 9 | 1.22 | 6.61 | 1.65 | 1.56 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 1.04 |
| 10 | 1.47 | 13.36 | 4.08 | 3.33 | 0.15 | 0.55 | 0.10 | 2.14 |
| 11 | 1.39 | 15.05 | 3.47 | 2.62 | 0.52 | 0.69 | 0.26 | 2.31 |
| 12 | 0.38 | 5.62 | 0.93 | 1.02 | 0.31 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.80 |
| 13 |  | 0.66 | 0.23 | 0.68 | 0.13 |  |  | 0.13 |
| 14 |  |  |  | 0.25 |  |  |  | 0.01 |

Table 5. Wtd. Mean No./Set from January Surveys in Division $4 R$ MALE

| Age | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.10 |  | 0.06 |
| 4 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| 5 | 0.62 | 0.33 | 0.44 |
| 6 | 1.66 | 0.35 | 1.22 |
| 7 | 1.74 | 1.13 | 1.05 |
| 8 | 1.59 | 1.60 | 0.86 |
| 9 | 2.71 | 1.59 | 1.21 |
| 10 | 3.62 | 4.18 | 1.78 |
| 11 | 1.86 | 4.12 | 0.57 |
| 12 | 3.43 | 2.84 | 0.12 |
| 13 | 1.04 | 0.73 |  |
| 14 | 0.21 |  |  |
| 15 | 0.07 | $\begin{aligned} & F=0.82 \\ & 8+ \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Tota1 | $\underline{18.90} \quad \underline{16.90}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## FEMALE

| 3 | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0.49 | 0.07 | 0.33 |
| 5 | 0.31 | 0.49 | 0.67 |
| 6 | 1.91 | 0.45 | 0.94 |
| 7 | 1.34 | 1.13 | 0.81 |
| 8 | 0.60 | 1.33 | 0.55 |
| 9 | 1.19 | 1.99 | 1.04 |
| 10 | 1.76 | 2.32 | 2.14 |
| 11 | 2.06 | 3.93 | 2.31 |
| 12 | 3.02 | 6.34 | 0.80 |
| 13 | 2.77 | 2.75 | 0.13 |
| 14 | 1.78 | 0.82 | 0.01 |
| 15 | 0.86 | 0.61 |  |
| 16 | 0.18 | 0.04 |  |
| 17 | 0.06 |  |  |
| 18 | 0.02 | $\begin{gathered} F=2.42 \\ 12+ \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 18.64 | $\underline{22.32}$ | 9.78 |

Table 6. 1979 Partial Recruitment 4Rs witch (sexes combined)

| AGE | $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \quad p \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Survey } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.000 \text { !s } \\ \% \\ 6-16 \end{gathered}$ | 1979 Nos. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Comm Catch } \\ \% \\ 6-16 \end{gathered}$ | ```Relative Partial Parital Recruitment 只ecruitment``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 186 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 604 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 457 | 2.92 | 14 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.028 |
| 7 | 1268 | 8.10 | 117 | 1.60 | 0.20 | 0.062 |
| 8 | 1534 | 9.80 | 344 | 4.69 | 0.48 | 0.107 |
| 9 | 1441 | 9.20 | 403 | 5.50 | 0.60 | 0.140 |
| 10 | 2655 | 16.95 | 600 | 8.19 | 0.48 | 0.207 |
| 11 | 2777 | 17.73 | 1368 | 18.66 | 1.05 | 0.317 |
| 12 | 3640 | 23.24 | 1968 | 26.85 | 1.16 | 0.467 |
| 13 | 1309 | 8.36 | 1924 | 26.25 | 3.14 | 1.00 |
| 14 | 424 | 2.71 | 410 | 5.59 | 2.71 | 1.00 |
| 15 | 130 | 0.83 | 146 | 1.99 | 3.27 | 1.00 |
| 16 | 26 | 0.17 | 36 | 0.49 | 2.88 | 1.00 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-16 | 15661 |  | 7330 |  |  |  |

Table 7.

円ロモ

Figure 1．Age－length plots for commercial witch in Division 4RS．


Figure 2. Average number of male witch per set by age from Gadus Atlantica research surveys in Divs. 4RS.


Figure 3. Average number of female witch per set by aqe from Gadus Atlantica rocoarrh clinvave in nive ADC


FGE
Figure 4. Ratio of percent-at-age for commercial catch and percent-at-age for research catch plotted against age for witch in Divs 4RS.


Fiqure 5. Yield per recruit curve for witch in Divs $4 \sqrt{5}$.

