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#### Abstract

A simple surplus production model which indicated a yield at two-thirds effort MSY of $34,000 \mathrm{t}$ was updated based on the 1978 CPUE of the standard (USSR TC7 ØT). The 1978 catch rate, down from 1977, was close to the expected catch rate at two-thirds effort MSY. To account for the increasing participation of Canadian vessels in the fishery, a new effort standard based on Canada (Nfld) TC 5 otter trawlers was developed. Both standards indicated a generally stable condition for the stock. A preliminary cohort analysis was presented but no projections were made due to the too few years of data available.


Résumé
Un simple modèle de production excédentaire qui indiquait un rendement de $34,000 \mathrm{t}$ avec les deux tiers de 1'effort de RMS a été mis à jour en se fondant sur les prises par unité d'effort de 1978, selon la norme d'effort des chalutiers de 1'URSS (CT7). Le taux de capture de 1978, en baisse par rapport à celui de 1977, se rapproche du taux de capture anticipé avec les deux tiers de l'effort de RMS. Afin de tenir compte de la participation accrue des bateaux canadiens aux opérations de pêche, une nouvelle norme d'effort, fondée sur les chalutiers canadiens de classe de tonnage 5(T.-N.), a été établie. Les deux normes indiquent une condition généralement stable du stock. Cet article présente une analyse préliminaire par cohortes, sans toutefois faire de prédictions, à cause du peu d'années pour lesquelles on ait des données.

## Introduction

Since the mid-60's, catches of redfish in $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ have generally averaged between 20 and 30 thousand tonnes. In the last few years, Canadian participation in the fishery has increased greatly so that in 1978 and 1979 more than $80 \%$ of the catch was harvested by Canadian vessels. This has necessitated a change in the effort standard used for the most recent years.

Ageing of the commercial catch over the past four years has made possible a preliminary cohort analysis of this stock.

Materials and Methods

## Standardization of CPUE data

For the purpose of updating last year's general production model, the 1978 catch rate of USSR $70 T$ vessels was calculated, using the Chikuni method (Gavaris, 1979), and added to the equilibrium curve (Fig. 1).

To obtain an effort standard with which the estimates from cohort could be compared, the standardizing procedure described by Gavaris (1980) was used. Redfish directed catches were defined as those in which $50 \%$ or greater of the catch was redfish. Effort data for the major participants in the fishery were obtained from ICNAF records and for 1979 from Economics reports. Catch rates were weighted by effort and the variable categories used in the regression were country-year-tonnage class combination, division, months and years.

## Numbers at age

Age/length keys derived from the commercial fishery were applied to the commercial catch to give the age composition of the catch from 1976 to 1979 (Table 2). The sampling coverage has improved over the four years, presently consisting of Canadian sampling from almost all months.

## Research surveys

Research surveys to $2 \mathrm{~J}, 3 \mathrm{~K}$ have been conducted in 1978 and 1979, with the coverage in 1978 being more extensive. Catch at age was available from a 1977 research cruise which sampled mainly in division 2 J .

## Estimation of Parameters

## Standardization of CPUE

The results of the multilinear regression were significant (multiple $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ 0.55 ) with the assumptions of the model satisfactorily met. Canada (Newfoundland) tonnage class 5 ottertrawl vessels were chosen as the new reference category on the basis of a lower average coefficient of variation of their predicted catch rates than those of other vessels. A summary of the historical catches and the catch rates and effort of the two standards (Can N $5 \emptyset T$ and USSR $7 \emptyset T$ ) is given in Table 1.

## Partial recruitment and mean weight at age

An estimate of the partial recruitment vector used in the cohort analysis and yield per recruit was calculated from the ratio of the precent composition of the catch at age between the commercial and research catch. As only one year of ageing was available from the research survey data, the estimate was based on only one year's data. The partial recruitment vector is shown along with the mean weight at age in Table 3.

## Natural and fishing mortalities

A natural mortality of 0.1 was assumed for all cohort runs as a "reasonable" estimate for a long-lived species such as redfish. A range of fishing mortalities from 0.05 to 0.25 was used.

## Results

The general production model, as calculated last year, suggested a MSY and a two-thirds effort at MSY of 38 and 34 thousand tonnes respectively. The 1978 catch-effort point was located close to the equilibrium curve, the catch rate being approximately equal to the expected at $2 / 3 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$.

The catch at age data for males and females combined from the 1977 research cruise was used to calculate a catch curve (Fig. 2). A Zvalue of 0.23 was found which would suggest a fishing mortality of 0.13 ( $\mathrm{m}=0.10$ ).

The catch at age matrix (Table 4) showed an abundance of young redfish which may reflect good recruitment. The greater commercal acceptance of small fish may also be an important factor. An attempt was made to calculate survival rates (Paloheimo method) for the fully recruited age classes (14-28) from the commercial catch at age and effort data over a single and two year period. Years 1976-1977 and 1977-1978 estimate F at approximately 0.20. The 1979 effort, derived from Newfoundland data only, could be an underestimate of effort, leading to the anomolous result of survival between 1978 and 1979. The unusual result obtained from a two year period may have been caused by large changes in effort between the years.

A yield per recruit analysis, using the mean weight at age and partial recruitment vectors of Table 3 gave an F 0.1 and Fmax of 0.13 and 0.28 respectively (Table 5).

The population numbers and fishing mortalities from the cohort runs, using terminals $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ s of 0.10 and 0.15 are shown in Table 6 . Both these levels of fishing mortality would suggest that the stock biomass was in a stable condition. The relationship between the population biomass of ages 6 to 29 years from the various cohort trials and CPUE is shown in Table 7. The rank correlation, a non-parametric measure of the agreement in trends ( +1 denotes perfect agreement, -1 perfect disagreement) was calculated using all years and without the 1979 CPUE. In the first case, the trends in CPUE agree most highly with the biomass trends using terminal $F=0.10$. In the second case, terminal F's of $0.05-0.15$ agree equally well (or poorly).

The correlations between effort and $F$ values for various terminal $F^{\prime}$ s are presented in Table 8.

Conclusions
The catch rates of the two standards (USSR TC 7 and Canada (Nf1d.) TC 5 otter trawlers) indicated a generally stable condition for the stock. The simple surplus production model presented in a previous assessment which gave a yield at $2 / 3 \mathrm{f}_{\text {MSY }}$ of $34,000 \mathrm{t}$, was updated with the 1978 catch/effort point (USSR TC 7 otter trawlers as the effort standard). The 1978 catch rate, down from 1977, was close to the expected catch rate at $2 / 3 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$. The preliminary cohort analysis suggested that the stock was in stable condition. Catch projections were not made due to the too few years of data involved in the calculations.
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Table 1. Historical catches and CPUE of the two standards used in the assessment.

|  |  | USSR 7 OT |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Catch | C/HR | Effort |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 186,837 |  |  |
| 1959 | 129,773 | 1.616 | 80,305 |
| 1960 | 55,455 | 1.008 | 55,015 |
| 1961 | 19,657 | 1.159 | 16,960 |
| 1962 | 23,671 | 2.191 | 10,804 |
| 1963 | 56,178 |  |  |
| 1964 | 42,653 |  |  |
| 1965 | 32,730 |  |  |
| 1966 | 26,162 | 1.749 | 14,958 |
| 1967 | 18,913 | 2.138 | 8,846 |
| 1968 | 24,786 | 1.458 | 17,000 |
| 1969 | 21,970 | 1.563 | 14,056 |
| 1970 | 19,356 | 0.748 | 25,877 |
| 1971 | 20,033 | 1.104 | 18,146 |
| 1972 | 38,965 | 1.150 | 33,883 |
| 1973 | 30,145 | 1.445 | 20,861 |
| 1974 | 25,559 | 1.196 | 21,370 |
| 1975 | 25,965 | 1.366 | 19,008 |
| 1976 | 17,539 | 2.057 | 8,435 |
| 1977 | 28,896 | 1.281 | 22,575 |
| 1978 | 30,409 |  |  |
| 1979 |  |  |  |


| Year | C/HR | Can N 5 OT <br> STD.ER. | EFFORT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1976 | 0.553 | 0.098 | 46953 |
| 1977 | 0.616 | 0.099 | 28472 |
| 1978 | 0.574 | 0.053 | 50341 |
| 1979 | 0.704 | 0.098 | 43195 |

Table 2. Catch at age $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ redfisin.

| AGE/YEAR | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 5.979 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 7. | 22 | 4. | 240. |
| 7 | 30. | 102 | 400 | 2159. |
| 8 | 136. | 219. | 1241. | 5670. |
| 9 | 1265. | 64. | 3297. | 8793. |
| 自 1 | 2067. | 043. | 4071. | 925i. |
| 11 | 3366. | 1569. | 4495. | 5700. |
| $\underline{12}$ | 5580. | 1930. | 5006. | A0全. |
| $\underline{3}$ | 7898. | 224. | 6207. | 7374. |
| 14 | 665. | 3315. | 6257. | 6sab. |
| 55 | 5615. | 3162. | 5265. | 5571. |
| $\pm 5$ | 2700. | 2776. | 5331. | 6075. |
| 17 | 1896. | 2504. | 3969. | 5544. |
| 18 | 946. | 1812. | 2250. | 1796. |
| 19 | 757 , | 1770. | 1000. | 124. |
| 20 | 1128. | 1633. | 1495. | 3391. |
| 21 | 968. | 895. | 1004. | 5422. |
| 22 | 885. | 940. | 950. | 789. |
| 23 | 1100. | 555. | 591. | 573. |
| 24 | 1005. | 618. | 883. | 599. |
| 25 | 684. | 598. | 828. | 930. |
| 26 | 678. | 514. | 746. | 569. |
| 27 | 5 ¢ | 435. | $50 \%$. | 590. |
| 22 | 632. | Asc. | 535. | 589. |
| 29 | 234. | 200. | 139. | 283. |

Table 3. The mean weight at age and partial recruitment of redfish in $2+3 K$.

| Age | Mean Weight | Partial Recruitment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.103 | 0.16 |
| 7 | 0.135 | 0.22 |
| 8 | 0.169 | 0.29 |
| 9 | 0.205 | 0.40 |
| 10 | 0.243 | 0.48 |
| 11 | 0.282 | 0.54 |
| 12 | 0.322 | 0.56 |
| 13 | 0.362 | 0.76 |
| 14 | 0.403 | 1.00 |
| 15 | 0.443 | 1.00 |
| 16 | 0.482 | 1.00 |
| 17 | 0.521 | 1.00 |
| 18 | 0.559 | 1.00 |
| 19 | 0.596 | 1.00 |
| 20 | 0.631 | 1.00 |
| 21 | 0.665 | 1.00 |
| 22 | 0.698 | 1.00 |
| 23 | 0.730 | 1.00 |
| 24 | 0.759 | 1.00 |
| 25 | 0.788 | 1.00 |
| 26 | 0.815 | 1.00 |
| 27 | 0.841 | 1.00 |
| 28 | 0.866 | 1.00 |
| 29 | 0.889 |  |

Table 4. Survival and fishing mortality rates calculated for the fully recruited age classes from catch at age data.

| Years | Age group | S | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{M}=0.10)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $76-77$ | $14-28$ | 0.672 | 0.197 |
| $77-78$ | $14-28$ | 0.671 | 0.199 |
| $78-79$ | $14-28$ | 1.051 |  |
| $76-78$ (2 years) | $14-27$ | 0.841 | 0.074 |
| $76-77$ | $14-27$ | 1.133 | 0.372 |
| $77-78$ | $15-28$ | 0.624 | 0.372 |

Taule 3 . Yield per recruit analysis using partial recruitment vector and mean weight at age from Table 3.

|  | FISHTME MOFTALTTT | caten <br> ( (UMMEF) | $\begin{gathered} \text { rumex } \\ \langle K G\rangle \end{gathered}$ | AVE, wexGHT $(K \sigma)$ | YTELE FEE USTT EFFOET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.1000 | 0.337 | 0.127 | 0.378 | 1.000 |
| F0.1- | 0.1342 | 0.394 | 0.140 | 0.355 | 0.818 |
|  | 0.2000 | 0.471 | 0.150 | 0.317 | 0.589 |
| FWAK- | 0.2911 | 0.539 | 0.153 | 0.284 | 0.413 |
|  | 0.3000 | 0.544 | 0.153 | $0+281$ | 0.400 |
|  | 0.4000 | 0.594 | 0.151 | 0.255 | 0.297 |
|  | 0.5000 | 0.631 | 0.149 | 0.236 | 0.234 |
|  | 0.6000 | 0.659 | 0.146 | 0.222 | 0.191 |
|  | 0.7000 | 0.683 | 0.144 | 0.211 | 0.161 |
|  | 0.8000 | 0.703 | 0.141 | 0.201 | 0.139 |
|  | 0.9000 | 0.71 .9 | 0.139 | 0.194 | 0.121 |
|  | 1.0000 | 0.734 | 0.137 | 0.187 | 0.100 |
|  | 1.1000 | 0.747 | 0.135 | 0.181 | 0.097 |
|  | 1.2000 | 0.759 | 0.134 | 0.176 | 0.088 |
|  | 1.3000 | 0.769 | 0.132 | 0.172 | 0.080 |
|  | 1.4000 | 0.778 | 0.131 | 0.168 | 0.073 |
|  | 1.5000 | 0.787 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.068 |

Table 6a. Fishing mortalities and population numbers at age using Terminal $F=0.102$
FISHING MORTALITIES

| AGE/YEAR | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6. | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 016 |
| 7 | .000 | . 000 | . 002 | . 022 |
| 8 | . 005 | . 001 | . 005 | . 029 |
| 9 | . 0.12 | . 004 | . 0.55 | .040 |
| 10 | . 014 | .007 | . 029 | . 048 |
| 15 | . 037 | .012 | . 054 | . 054 |
| 12 | . 053 | . 021 | . 053 | . 056 |
| 13 | . 078 | . 024 | . 077 | . 076 |
| 14 | . 093 | . 037 | .079 | . 100 |
| 15 | . 156 | . 040 | -072 | .100 |
| 16 | . 311 | . 096 | . 080 | .100 |
| 17 | . 075 | . 123 | . 174 | . 100 |
| 18 | . 039 | . 089 | . 145 | . 500 |
| 19 | . 052 | . 086 | . 088 | .100 |
| 20 | $\because 104$ | . 135 | . 087 | .100 |
| 21 | . 090 | . 101 | .112 | . 100 |
| 22 | . 058 | : 107 | . 134 | . 100 |
| 23 | . 107 | $\cdots$ | . 022 | . 100 |
| 24 | . 1096 | . 073 | .077 | .100 |
| 25 | . 089 | . 069 | . 118 | .200 |
| 26 | . 124 | . 061 | . 103 | .100 |
| 27 | . 290 | . 098 | . 072 | .100 |
| 23 | . 627 | . 362 | . 151. | .500 |
| 29 | . 627 | . 362 | .174 | ann |

POPULATTON MUHEERS

| ACE/YEAR | 1976 | 1977 | 1970 | 1.978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 319889. | 255386. | 145212. | 15887. |
| 7 | 284403. | 28944. | 231062. | 104944. |
| 8 | 186791. | 257310. | 261800 | 203693. |
| 9 | 112502. | 168886. | 2326.5 | 235706. |
| 10 | 154286. | 500593. | 152232. | 207343. |
| 1.1 | 113250. | 137538. | 90218. | 133875. |
| 12 | 1.14381. | 98803. | 123047. | 77357. |
| 13 | 109308. | 98189. | 87565. | 105835. |
| 1.4 | 102184. | 91.469. | 86713. | 73327. |
| 15 | 40973. | 84239. | 77512. | 72500. |
| 3.6 | 2719.9. | 31.733. | 73206. | 67027. |
| 17 | 26652. | 21970. | 26072. | 61369. |
| 18 | 26009. | 22379. | 5.7498. | 198i6. |
| 19 | 15942. | 22534. | 18526. | 13692. |
| 20 | 13.979. | 13614. | 18789. | 15347. |
| 21 | 11790. | 9766. | 10760. | 15579. |
| 22 | 16560. | 9747. | 7986. | 8705. |
| 23 | 15412. | 14142. | 7925. | 5322. |
| 24 | 11496. | 9280. | 12268. | 6609. |
| 25 | 10742. | 9446. | 7909. | 1026i. |
| 26 | 6120. | 9069. | 7979. | 6278. |
| 37 | 2137. | 4893. | 7717. | 6510. |
| 28 | 1427. | 14.47. | 4013. | 6499. |
| 29 | 638. | 690. | 911. | 3122. |

POPULATIOA GIOMASS AGES 6 TO 29

| YEAR | 1.976 | 1977 | 1979 | 1979 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BYOMASS | 443140. | 461092. | 471763. | 450563. |

Table 6b. Fishing mortalities and population numbers at age using Terminal $F=0.15$.


Table 7. Comparison of trends in CPUE and biomass estimates from cohort for a range of terminal $F$ values.
a. Actual values

Population Biomass
Year CPUE $F=0.05 \quad F=0.10 \quad F=0.15 \quad F=0.20 \quad F=0.20$

| 1976 | 0.553 | 806,872 | 443,140 | 321,964 | 261,427 | 225,145 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1977 | 0.616 | 865,152 | 461,092 | 326,474 | 259,217 | 218,904 |
| 1978 | 0.574 | 901,859 | 471,763 | 328,474 | 256,881 | 213,967 |
| 1979 | 0.704 | 901,306 | 458,563 | 311,051 | 237,346 | 193,164 |

b. Ranked

Population Biomass
Year CPUE $F=0.05 \quad F=0.10 \quad F=0.15 \quad F=0.20 \quad F=0.25$

| 1976 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1977 | 3 | 2 |
| 1978 | 2 | 4 |
| 1979 | 4 | 3 |

1
3
4
2

| 2 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 2 |
| 1 | 1 |

4
3
2
1979
Rank correlation

| (all years) | 0.40 | 0.60 | -0.40 | -0.80 | -0.80 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Rank correlation

| (without 1979) | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | -0.50 | -0.50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 8. Correlation of effort with fishing mortality, given a range of terminal $\mathrm{F}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$.

|  | Years | Effort |  | 6-28 | Age group $10-28$ | 14-28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Terminal } F \\ 0.05 \end{gathered}$ | 1976 | 46953 |  | . 016 | . 035 | . 055 |
|  | 1977 | 28472 |  | . 009 | . 021 | . 037 |
|  | 1978 | 50341 |  | . 019 | . 035 | . 048 |
|  | 1979 | 43195 |  | . 029 | . 037 | . 050 |
|  |  |  | $r^{2}$ | . 31 | . 83 | . 72 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Terminal } F \\ 0.10 \end{gathered}$ | 1976 |  |  | . 030 | . 063 | . 096 |
|  | 1977 |  |  | . 018 | . 039 | . 067 |
|  | 1978 |  |  | . 037 | . 068 | . 092 |
|  | 1979 |  |  | . 058 | . 075 | . 100 |
|  |  |  | $r^{2}$ | . 26 | . 72 | . 75 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Terminal } \\ 0.15 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | . 043 |  | . 128 |
|  | 1977 |  |  | . 026 | . 054 | . 093 |
|  | 1978 |  |  | . 054 | . 099 | . 131 |
|  | 1979 |  |  | . 087 | . 112 | . 150 |
|  |  |  | $r^{2}$ | . 24 | . 64 | . 59 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Terminal } \mathrm{F} \\ 0.20 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | . 055 |  |  |
|  | 1977 |  |  | . 033 | . 068 | . 115 |
|  | 1978 |  |  | . 070 | . 127 | . 168 |
|  | 1979 |  |  | . 116 | . 149 | . 200 |
|  |  |  | $r^{2}$ | . 23 | . 55 | . 47 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Terminal } \\ 0.25 \end{gathered}$ | 1976 |  |  | . 065 | . 124 | . 176 |
|  | 1977 |  |  | . 040 | . 081 | . 135 |
|  | 1978 |  |  | . 086 | . 154 | . 201 |
|  | 1979 |  |  | . 145 | . 187 | . 250 |
|  |  |  |  |  | . 47 | . 36 |



Fig. 1. Catch curve derived from catch at age data (males and females combined) collected on 1977 Canadian research cruise to Division 2 J .


Fig. 2. Equilibrium yield curve based on USSR TC 7 ottertrawl standards, years 1961-77, with 1978 point added.

