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## INTRODUCTION

The status of the redfish stock in Subarea $2+D$ ivision $3 K$ was evaluated in 1974 (Parsons et al, 1976) using the general production model as modified by Gulland (1961) and updated in 1977 (McKone and Parsons, 1977) and 1978 (McKone, 1978). This paper re-evaluates the stock using a simple production mode1. The standardization method uses information from the bycatch to determine a redfish-directed level of fishing effort.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In previous assessments, redfish-directed catches were defined as those in which $50 \%$ or greater of the catch was redfish. As no single country was consistently represented over the years in the redfish-directed effort data, tonnage class formed the basis for standardization of effort. Tonnage class 7 was used as a standard with conversion factors for tonnage classes 4, 5 and 6. The country composition of the tonnage classes varied from year to year.

From multilinear regression analysis of catch and effort data (Gavaris, C., 1979; Gavaris, S., 1979) it was found that differences between countries of the same tonnage class were often large. Differences between Poland and USSR tonnage class 7 otter trawl were noted by McKone and Parsons (1977) in their assessment of the stock and contributed to anomalous results for effort in 1975. In addition the percentage of the total nominal redfish catch taken by a redfish directed fishery has been relatively low since 1967 (Table 2).

For this assessment, USSR tonnage class 7 otter trawl, which has generally taken a substantial proportion of the nominal redfish catch over the years of the fishery, was chosen as the standard. However, most of its redfish catch has been a by-catch of a mixed or groundfish fishery with a low percentage of the catches consisting of $50 \%$ or greater redfish. This by-catch information can be used to determine the catch rate which would be obtained if fishing effort were redfish directed, using the method described by Chikuni (1976).

A positive linear relationship between percentage of redfish in the catch and catch rate was assumed. For each year, a least squares regression of catch rate versus percentage of redfish in the catch by division and month was performed for USSR tonnage class 7 otter trawl catches. The resulting equations were used to predict the catch rate which would be obtained if the fishing effort were redfish directed.

To determine what percentage of redfish in the catch would be characteristic of redfish directed effort, the historical catches in Subarea 2 + Division 3 K were examined. In 1958 and 1959, when USSR tonnage
class 7 otter trawl reported redfish-directed catches, the percentage of redfish in the catches was over $85 \%$. Poland tonnage class 7 otter trawl has reported redfish-directed catches sporadically over the years from 1961 to 1977 which contained from $38 \%$ to $79 \%$ redfish. Canada (MaritimesQuebec and Newfoundland) tonnage class 5 otter trawl vessels reported catches of 75 to $85 \%$ redfish in 1976 and 1977. In this assessment, $70 \%$ redfish was chosen as an appropriate "average" level to describe a redfish directed fishery over the years.

Similar calculations were performed for Poland tonnage class 7 otter trawl vessels. A linear regression of the catch rate at $70 \%$ redfish for the years 1961 to 1977 of Poland versus USSR tonnage class 7 otter traw 1 did not produce satisfactory results. Since a conversion factor could not be obtained for the Poland vessels, USSR alone was used as a standard.

The advantages of this approach to the standardization of effort were:

1. catch rates based on the same level of percentage redfish caught were compared from year to year.
2. the same country-gear-tonnage category used as a standard from year to year may better reflect changes in the condition of the stock than would a combination of country-tonnage class types where their inter-relationship is not well defined.

The disadvantages were:

1. the relationship between percentage redfish in the catch and catch rate may not be linear throughout all possible values, making prediction outside the range of observed values particularly dangerous.
2. the percentage of redfish in the catch defined as characteristic of redfish-directed effort may vary from year to year in response to the condition of the stock.

The simple production model was applied to the standardized effort
and catch data as information for the years 1964 to 1966 was inadequate and averaged effort could not be calculated. The years 1958 to 1960 were disregarded in the analysis as the extremely high catches of the early years would not reflect an equilibrium condition.

Age frequencies of male and female redfish in Divisions $2 J$ and 3 K from the 1977 Canadian research survey are shown (Fig. 4). The length frequencies of the redfish stock by depth from the 1978 research surveys to Subarea $2+$ Division 3 K are shown in Figs. 6-8 and the associated mean catch per tow at length are listed in Tables 3-5.

The length frequencies by month of redfish in Division 3 K , as sampled from the 1978 Canadjan commercial catch are shown in Fig. 5.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The prediction equations for catch rate, given the percentage of redfish in the catch, based on USSR tonnage class 7 otter trawl, were calculated and the catch rate associated with $70 \%$ redfish catch found (Table 1). In all cases the regression coefficients were significant ( $p<0.01$ ).

Trends in the nominal catch, catch per unit effort and total standardized effort for both the previously used standard and that based on the"Chikuni" method are shown in Table 2. Discrepancies between the two methods are apparent. This may be due to differences in calculating catch per unit effort on a daily versus hourly basis, the percentage of the total catch accounted for by each method, or the country composition
of the tonnage class categories of the previously used standard changing from year to year while the presently used standard lacks the additional information from other countries.

Catches in the 1970's have been fairly variable, ranging from 39 thousand tons in 1973 to 17 thousand tons in 1977. It is highly unlikely that this period would represent an equilibrium condition for the stock. Therefore the results from using the simple production model which follow, should be interpreted with caution.

The regression of catch per unit effort on standard effort resulted in a significant regression coefficient ( $\mathrm{P}<.01$ ) of -0.688 (Fig. 1). The yield curve based on the regression (Fig. 2) gave a maximum sustainable yield estimate of 38 thousand tons for 39 thousand hours of effort. The sustainable yield at twothirds the effort at MSY was 34 thousand tons. The 1977 point was located slightly above the curve, corresponding to the lowest reported redfish catch in the history of the fishery. The yield curve, based as it is on unaveraged effort, would not reflect equilibrium conditions.

The yield curves from the 1978 analysis of the stock (McKone, 1978) are shown in Fig. 3 with the 1977 point included. The yield estimates at two-thirds the effort at MSY were 36,39 and 42 thousand tons for the 10,8 and 6 year averaging of effort respectively, based on catch and effort data up to 1972. The estimates are higher than they would be if the early years of the fishery (1958-60) had been omitted from the calculations. The 1977 point, as with all years since 1965 , was located below the yield curve.

The age frequencies for redfish sampled during the 1977 Canadian research survey in Divs. 2J, 3K showed the bulk of the stock to be between 8 and 20 years of age.

The year classes entering the fishery did not appear to be unusually strong.
The mean number per standard tow at length obtained by the Canadian research cruise to Div. 3 K in 1978 (Table 3) suggested that the $17-19 \mathrm{~cm}$ groups were relatively stronger than the neighbouring groups of pre-recruits. This showed up in both the mean numbers per tow for the 201-300 m depth zone and in the overall mean for the division. The same apparent strength was not evident in Divs. 2G, 2 H or 2 J (Tables 4 and 5). A comparison of the mean catch per tow between Divs. 2 J and 3 K (Tables 3 and 4) showed that the larger fish were more abundant in 2 J . Divs. 2 H and 2 G are not strictly comparable with Divs. 2 J and 3 K as different survey methods were used and not all "redfish" depths were sampled in 2 G and 2 H .

Of the commercial fishing for redfish in Subarea $2+$ Div. 3K, most has been carried out in Divs $2 \mathrm{~J}, 3 \mathrm{~K}$. From the length frequency by month obtained from samples of the Canadian (Nfld.) commercial redfish catch in 3 K in 1978 (Fig.5), the bulk of the catch was comprised of $27-38 \mathrm{~cm}$ fish, with fish Jarger than 38 cm abundant only at the greater depths. The tendency for larger fish to be found at greater depths was shown by the length frequencies by depth from both commercial and research sources.

## CONCLUSION

The two methods of standardization of effort referred to in this paper did not account for all years. Therefore the averaging of effort, which would correspond to equilibrium conditions (Gulland, 1961), was not possible. The results of the simple production model analysis must be interpreted with caution.

The sustainable yield at two-thirds the effort at MSY suggested by the simple production model was 34 thousand tons. Earlier assessments, based
on catch and effort data up to 1972 set the yield at two-thirds the effort at MSY at 36,39 and 42 thousand tons for the 10,8 and 6 year averaging of effort respectively.

The age frequencies of the redfish stock in Divisions 2 J and 3 K sampled in 1977 by a Canadian research cruise did not show any unusually strong year classes entering the fishery. The significance to the fishery in the near future of the small-sized redfish which showed up in the 1978 research sampling in Div. 3 K is not known.
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Table 1. Least squares regression equations of CPUE ( $y$ ) against percentage of redfish in the catch ( $x$ ) for USSR 70T. The predicted CPUE at the $70 \%$ level, considered to represent a redfish directed fishery, was calculated and used as the standard CPUE.

| YEAR | EQUATION | $r^{2}$ | $X=70 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1960 | $\hat{Y}=-0.064+0.024 X$ | .628 | 1.616 |
| 1961 | $\hat{Y}=0.224+0.011 X$ | .553 | 1.008 |
| 1962 | $\hat{Y}=0.109+0.015 X$ | .555 | 1.159 |
| 1963 | $\hat{Y}=-0.028+0.032 X$ | .771 | 2.191 |
| 1967 | $\hat{Y}=-0.001+0.025 X$ | .558 | 1.749 |
| 1968 | $\hat{Y}=-0.032+0.031 X$ | .715 | 2.138 |
| 1969 | $\hat{Y}=-0.012+0.021 X$ | .981 | 1.458 |
| 1970 | $\hat{Y}=-0.047+0.023 X$ | .928 | 1.563 |
| 1971 | $\hat{Y}=0.048+0.010 X$ | .908 | 0.748 |
| 1972 | $\hat{Y}=0.022+0.015 X$ | .974 | 1.104 |
| 1973 | $\hat{Y}=0.030+0.016 X$ | .893 | 1.150 |
| 1974 | $\hat{Y}=-0.025+0.021 X$ | .788 | 1.445 |
| 1975 | $\hat{Y}=0.006+0.017 X$ | .880 | 1.196 |
| 1976 | $\hat{Y}=0.036+0.019 X$ | .773 | 1.366 |
| 1977 | $\hat{Y}=-0.113+0.031 X$ | .936 | 2.057 |

Table 2. Total catch, catch per effort, and total effort in Div. $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ for the standards where - (1) represents tonnage classes $7,6,5$ and 4 where $50 \%$ or greater of the catch was redfish and (2) USSR 7 OT using the Chikuni method and predicted CPUE at $70 \%$ redfish.

TOTAL

| YEAR | TOTAL CATCH | $\text { (1) } \begin{gathered} \% ~ O F \\ \text { TTL. } \mathrm{CATCH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STANDARD } \\ & \text { C/DAY } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { STAN. EFFORT (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% 0 \mathrm{~F} \\ \text { ITL.CATCH } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | STANDARD C/ HOUR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STAN. EFFORT } \\ & (1000 \text { HRS. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1958 | 150,428 | 30 | 39.78 | 3,781 |  |  |  |
| 1959 | 186,837 | 43 | 32.34 | 5,777 |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 129,773 | 40 | 22.85 | 5,679 | 42 | 1.616 | 80.305 |
| 1961 | 55,455 | 35 | 13.25 | 4,185 | 33 | 1.008 | 55.015 |
| 1962 | 19,657 | 28 | 17.54 | 1,121 | 24 | 1.159 | 16.960 |
| 1963 | 23,671 | 64 | 20.09 | 1,177 | 24 | 2.191 | 10.804 |
| 1964 | 56,178 | 35 | 13.36 | 4,205 | 7 |  |  |
| 1965 | 42,653 | 50 | 17.36 | 2,457 | 2 |  |  |
| 1966 | 32,730 | 49 | 11.67 | 2,804 | 8 |  |  |
| 1967 | 26,162 | 43 | 13.23 | 1,977 | 22 | 1.749 | 14.958 |
| 1968 | 18,913 | 8 | 14.31 | 1,319 | 27 | 2.138 | 8.846 |
| 1969 | 24,786 | 15 | 14.30 | 1,720 | 50 | 1.458 | 17.000 |
| 1970 | 21,970 | 4 | 14.95 | 1,458 | 47 | 1.563 | 14.056 |
| 1971 | 19,356 | 18 | 11.70 | 1,650 | 50 | 0.748 | 25.877 |
| 1972 | 20,033 | 11 | 12.84 | 1,560 | 67 | 1.104 | 18.146 |
| 1973 | 38,965 | 16 | 16.84 | 2,314 | 62 | 1.150 | 33.883 |
| 1974 | 30,145 | 30 | 16.38 | 1,840 | 34 | 1.445 | 20.861 |
| 1975 | 25,559 | 5 |  |  | 44 | 1.196 | 21.370 |
| 1976 | 25,965 | 35 | 17.15 | 1,514 | 55 | 1.366 | 19.008 |
| 1977 | 17,351 | 43 | 14.34 | 1,210 | 44 | 2.057 | 8.435 |

Table 3. Mean number caught at length per half-hour tow for male and female redfish in Div. 3 K from 1978 Canadian research sampling.

|  | Males |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{(\mathrm{cm})}{\text { Length }} \underset{(\mathrm{m})}{\text { Depth }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 201- \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 301- \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 401- \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 501- \\ & 750 \end{aligned}$ | Al1 Depths | $\begin{aligned} & 201- \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 301- \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 401- \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 501- \\ & 750 \end{aligned}$ | A11 Depths |
| 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 2 | 7 |  |  |  | 4 | 6 |  |  |  | 3 |
| 3 | 7 |  |  |  | 4 | 6 |  |  |  | 3 |
| 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 15 | 3 |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 6 | 7 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 6 |  |  |  | 3 |
| 7 | 15 | 1 | 1 |  | 8 | 12 | 1 | 1 |  | 7 |
| 8 | 21 | 2 | 2 |  | 11 | 17 | 2 | 1 |  | 9 |
| 9 | 16 | 2 | 1 |  | 9 | 15 | 1 | 1 |  | 8 |
| 20 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 |  | 3 |
| 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 |  | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 |  | 3 |
| 2 | 2 | 10 | 1 |  | 4 | 1 | 12 | 0 |  | 4 |
| 3 | 1 | 29 | 2 |  | 10 | 1 | 23 | 1 |  | 8 |
| 4 | 2 | 70 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 2 | 39 | 2 | 1 | 14 |
| 25 | 2 | 86 | 4 | 2 | 29 | 2 | 55 | 3 | 3 | 19 |
| 6 | 2 | 102 | 5 | 5 | 34 | 1 | 78 | 5 | 1 | 26 |
| 7 | 2 | 60 | 5 | 2 | 21 | 1 | 52 | 3 | 6 | 18 |
| 8 | 2 | 68 | 7 | 9 | 24 | 1 | 56 | 6 | 7 | 20 |
| 9 | 3 | 47 | 6 | 16 | 18 | 1 | 37 | 7 | 14 | 14 |
| 30 | 2 | 44 | 9 | 22 | 17 | 1 | 38 | 6 | 13 | 14 |
| 1 | 3 | 33 | 8 | 26 | 15 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 19 | 9 |
| 2 | 3 | 35 | 6 | 18 | 14 | 1 | 20 | 4 | 26 | 9 |
| 3 | 2 | 29 | 3 | 14 | 11 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 16 | 7 |
| 4 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 23 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 4 |
| 35 | 1 | 22 | 2 | 21 | 9 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 20 | 6 |
| 6 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 15 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 19 | 3 |
| 7 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 3 |
| 8 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 24 | 5 |
| 9 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 20 | 3 |
| 40 |  | 2 |  | 4 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 3 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 4 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 5 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 45 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 3 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 6 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |

Table 4. Mean number caught at length per half-hour tow for male and female redfish in Div. 2 J from 1978 Canadian research sampling.



Table 5. Mean number caught at length per half hour tow for male and female redfish in Divs. 2 G and 2 H from 1978 Canadian research sampling.

|  | 2G | MALES |  | 2G | FEMALES | 2H | MaLES | 2H FEMALES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth $(\mathrm{m})$ | $301-$ | $401-$ | $301-$ | $401-$ | $301-$ | $301-$ |  |  |
| Length $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 400 | 500 | 400 | 500 | 400 | 400 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




Fig. 1. Least squares regression of catch per standard hour fished against standard hour fished (USSR 7 OT).


Fig. 2. Yield curve derived from the regression shown in Fig. 1.


Figure 3. Yield curves for redfish Subarea $2+$ Division 3 K derived from catch per unit effort using 6 year, 8 year, and 10 year running averages of standard days fished from Parsons et al 1976 extended to include 1973-1977.


Fig. 4. Age frequencies of the 1977 Canadian research sampling in Divisions $2 \mathrm{~J}, 3 \mathrm{~K}$.


Fig. 5. Length frequencies of redfish in Div. 3K from Canadian commercial catches in 1978. Otter trawl catches at greater depths contained larger sized redfish than those at shallow depths


Fig. 6. Length frequencies of male and female redfish from Canadian research random stratified samples in Div. 3K in 1978. An increase in size of redfish with depth is clearly shown.


Fig. 7. Length frequencies of male and female redfish in Div. 2J from Canadian research sampling using a random stratified design.


Fig. 8. Length frequencies of male and female redfish in Div. 2 H and Div. 2G from Canadian research sampling by line survey.

