# 4VsW Cod: Background to the 1979 Assessement 

by

David F. Gray<br>Marine Fish Division<br>Resource Branch Maritimes<br>Bedford Institute of Oceanography<br>Dartmouth, Nova Scotia<br>B2Y 4A2

## Introduction

In 1978 major changes took place in the fishery for cod in subdivision $4 V$ s and division 4 W . Catch rates showed a marked improvement in both commerical and research catches and the stock seemed to concentrate more on Middle Bank and Banquereau than usual. After a number of years in which the assessments have indicated a declining or depressed stock size, these changes were noted with interest by both industry and the government scientists. It was decided that a detailed analysis of this stock was needed to determine their meaning and implications. The CAFSAC Groundfish Subcommittee held a special meeting to consider this stock on April 19-20, 1979 and gave it further consideration at the annual assessment meeting May 28-June 9, 1979. This document presents the data considered at those meetings with an explanation of sources and methods of analysis.

## Nominal Catches

From 1958 to 1972, the nominal catch fluctuated between 50 and 70 thousand metric tonnes and the average catch was 61 thousand mt. TAC's were first set on this stock in 1973 and for the first three years they were set at about this average catch level: 60,500 mt in 1973, 60,000 mt in 1974 and 1975. However the TAC was not reached in any of these years with little over $50 \%$ of it being taken in 1975. Based on a detailed reappraisal of the stock, the TAC was cut to 30,000 mt for 1976. As a result of analyses on the stock that showed it to be in a severe decline and a catch in 1976 that was again below allowed levels, the TAC was reduced to $7,000 \mathrm{mt}$ for 1977 and 1978. In both of these years there was a problem with the accuracy of reported catch areas for the Canadian mobile fleet but it is estimated that for both years the catch was above allocation, in fact in 1978 it was probably at least three and a half times the TAC. These nominal catches and

TAC's are shown in Tables 1 and 1 a and Figure 1. All values up until 1977 are ICNAF Statistical Bulletin figures. For 1978 the figures are best estimates developed from flash reports, International Obersver data and provisional data submitted to ICNAF.

Prior to 1977 , there does not appear to be a problem with the reported area of catch for the Canadian fleet and therefore the Canadian nominal catches are probably fairly accurate. In spite of low allocations and a shortage of fish in most areas, Canada never took its allocations in the years 1973-1976 with utilization falling to only $41 \%$ in 1975. In 1974 and 1975 the catches of most other countries were also well under their allocation with the notable exception of the USSR whose reported catch approximately equals its quota in all years. Catches against allocations are shown in Table 2.

The composition of the Canadian fleet has varied considerably over the years. Gears other than otter trawlers, mainly longliner and gillnets with an increasing number of seiners in recent years, have consistently taken about 6,000 mt per year mainly from 4 W . The ot ter trawl catch has varied much more in amount and area reacting more to available catch rates in different parts of 4 V sW as well as catch rates and allocations in other areas. Both gear groups had catches in 1978 that were nearly historical highs. Canadian catch by gear grouping and area is shown in Table 3 and Figure 2.

## Research Survey Results

Since 1970, there has been a summer research survey using the A.T. Cameron every year. In 1978 there was also a fall survey run using the Lady Hammond and this will become part of the regular research program. The two trips in 1978 agreed quite well as to age composition and population estimates, but since this was the first fall cruise it is impossible to say whether any differences are due to the vessel, the time of year or random fluctuation. Therefore only the A.T. Cameron cruises were used in this analysis.

Due to the small number of tows per stratum, the survey results show large apparently random fluctuations. The 1977 increase over 1976 appeared to be due to this variation or a change in availability since all age groups showed an increase. However the increase continued in the 1978 data which showed definite improvement in the numbers of younger fish. This trend had not been as apparent from a look at preliminary data from this cruise in the fall of 1978. These revised figures along with those for previous years are found in Table 4a.

In 1973, two sets hit dense concentrations of young fish, one in stratum 58 and one in stratum 59 (the area on and north of Middle Bank). Though young fish are known to concentrate in these areas, patches with densities such as found on these two sets are rarely
encountered on the research cruises and distort the entire set of estimates. To determine an estimate for 1973 that was more comparable with the average situation, these two sets were removed and the figures reworked. The adjusted data is presented in Table 4b.

The data still shows a highly variable pattern so to try to produce a better picture of long-term stock trends, a three year running average of the $4+$ and $5+$ population estimates was tried. This does not give an estimate for 1978. To get such a figure, various averagings can be used and a straight 1977-78 average was accepted. This is more conservative than putting more weight on the 1978 number but it does produce a fairly smooth trend from 1975 to 1978. Averaged figures for the adjusted and unadjusted series are presented in Table 5 and Figure 3. All series show a marked decline to 1974 or 1975 followed by a slightly slower recovery.

## Commerical Catch Per Unit Effort

In 1978, about $97 \%$ of the catch went to the Canadian fleet. Much of this catch, particularly most of the portion caught by otter trawls was misreported as to catch location. Reported effort levels were also unreliable, and therefore there is no usable effort data for 1978. Hence most of the catch per unit effort series presented have appeared before (Gray, 1978).

Table 6 and Figure 4 review Spanish catch per unit effort for all Subareas. There is a consistency in apportionment of catches between Subareas but it is in keeping with normal trends and variations in the relative success of fishing in the various Subareas as reflected by the statistical record of other countries. Catch rate trends of Spanish pair trawlers fishing cod, although similar in different Subareas and Divisions, agreed well with catch rate trends of other countries and reflect the general downward trend in catch rates for northwestern Atlantic cod stocks in the late 1960's until the mid 1970's. There is no reason to disbelieve that the catch rate trends of Spanish pair trawlers in Division $4 V$ sW are an accurate reflection of their fishing success.

Table 7 presents five commercial catch per unit effort series. The first is derived from the total catch and effort by Spanish pair trawls tonnage class 4 in February and March. The second and third are from trips that caught greater than $50 \%$ cod in February and March by Canada Maritimes and Quebec otter trawlers tonnage class 4 and 5 respectively. In 1974-75 the Canadian tonnage class 5 vessels changed from a Western trawl to an Engel trawl with an estimated change in efficiency of $30-40 \%$. Figures are also given that account for this adjustment. The last series comes from the USSR mixed fishery by tonnage class 7 and used the Chikuni (1976) method for analysing catch and effort. Figures given are for cod levels of $10 \%$ of the catch.

The first four CPUE series are also presented in Figure 5 while Figure 6 compares the Spanish PTB4 series, the Canadian OTB5 series and the survey $4+$ population estimates rescaled to show relative changes over the past 12 years. Figure 6 shows a marked decline in all series until 1974 or 1975 with improvements in more recent years. The largest declines are in the Spanish and research series. The former is for a directed cod fishery and the latter is for a fishery with randomly allocated effort. The Canadian fishery is an opportunistic fishery in which effort depends on available allocations, open areas, catch rates, prices and costs. Figure 2 demonstrated the volatility of this fishery. Without a detailed analysis of cost and price pressures and available catch rates in other areas, it is impossible to determine to what extent values derived from this fishery depend on biological interactions or economic trends.

## Catch at Age

For 1978 there were length and age samples of the catch of most segments of the Canadian fleet and length samples from the International Observer Program for the catches of most other countries. The length samples for foreign fisheries were "aged" with research survey age-length keys. Catch at age was estimated by weighting the appropriate samples with catch by country and gear in the usual fashion.

The catch at age for past years differs from Gray (1978) for two reasons. The 1977 catch figures are adjusted to reflect final reported catch while the earlier figures used preliminary data. International Observer data indicates that the USSR reported cod by-catch is below the actual cod by-catch. Estimates were made of the cod by-catch by bumping up the amount of cod caught on trips with observers by using the ratio of cod to silver hake or squid caught. This produced estimated cod catches of 367 mt in 1977 and 479 mt in 1978, the latter being used in Table 1. In 1977, the USSR reported (preliminary ICNAF Statistical Bulletin) 97 mt and in 1978 they reported 310 mt to Flash. The average ratio of estimated catch to reported catch for the two years is 2.66. The figures for 1977 were adjusted to include a catch of 367 mt by USSR and their catch for $1966-1976$ was multiplied by 2.66 . Table 9 gives the new adjusted estimates of removals at age. Table 10 shows the adjustment made for years 1966-1977; this is a substantial increase in the estimated catch of small fish.

Table 9 shows marked change in the age distribution of the catch for the last two years. With the removal the Spanish directed cod fishery and the restrictions placed on the small mesh fisheries, the catch of young fish has been substantially reduced.

## Mean Age and Lengths

Table 8 presents data on the size and age of the otter trawl catch in $4 V_{s}$ in February. Traditionally, February has been the peak month for the fishery and the sampling is most consistent for that month. Though the figures are highly variable, this years catch is younger and larger at age than most previous years. The large size may indicate a small population while the lower mean age may indicate improved recruitment.

## Cohort Analysis

To run a cohort analysis on the developed catch matrix, it was necessary to decide on a partial recruitment and a final fishing mortality. It was not possible to develop partial recruitments by the usual method of averaging values in earlier years after making a trial run because of the recent extreme change in the fishery.

Various data were analysed to determine if the partial recruitment vector used in last year's assessment (Gray, MS 1978) was reasonable. The 1978 commercial catch curve supports the conclusion that full recruitment takes place at age 5 and implies a curve of the same general shape as used in 1978. It appears that the lack of 1 year olds in the catch in 1977 was only partially due to the small 1976 year-class and the partial recruitment on age 1 had to be raised to obtain estimates of the 1977 year-class within reasonable bounds; 0.015 was accepted for initial runs. Comparing commercial and research catch curves implied full recruitment did not occur until age 8 . However it appears that the fishery concentrated on Middle Ground and Banquereau where, assuming cod stratify somewhat with depth as shown on a research cruise using the Cape Bauld in 1978, younger fish concentrate. When partial recruitments of this sort were tried in cohort analyses no agreement between population size estimates could be obtained. However the commerical fishery does not peak at the time of the research cruise, so this difference in age distributions may just show seasonal changes in availability. Thus the partial recruitment vector used in 1978 was accepted for initial runs with the value for age 1 raised to 0.015.

With this partial recruitment vector, trials were made to find the final $F$ that gave the best agreement between estimates of $4+$ population size from the smoothed research data and from the cohort analysis. The process was started with an $F$ set at the $F_{0.1}$ level because results from a tagging program on a concentration of fish in the heavily fished area indicated it could possibly be this high. However such an $F$ gave a poor fit and the fit only improved if $F$ was lowered. Good agreement was achieved between the two sets of numbers when $F$ was lowered to 0.15 but the 1978 point was not well predicted by earlier points. At an $F$ of 0.18 , the correlation between the two sets of numbers gave an $R^{2}$ of .74 and the differences between the 1978 point from the cohort and that predicted by earlier points was about 5\%.

This method adjusting the cohort analysis only considered ages 4+ and thus is not affected by the size of the 1975-77 year-classes. The size of these year-classes versus the average size of earlier year-classes in this cohort run did not agree with the ratio between the estimates of these and earlier year-classes from research data. For population estimates-at-age for the 1975-77 year-classes each estimate was divided by the average estimate for the same age group from 1970-74. This provided one or more ratios for each year-class and the average was taken for each year-class. This was compared with the similiar average for the year-class from the research cruise estimates (Table 16a). The partial recruitments were adjusted until the averages from cohort agreed with the averages from research data (Table 16b). This led to the partial recruitments in Table 11 and the final estimates of population numbers and fishing mortalities are given in Tables 12 and 13.

The survey $4+$ numbers were used to decide on the cohort parameters and the agreement is shown in Figure 7. The results were also compared with some other series and the results are presented in Table 14 and Figures 8 and 9. The cohort biomasses agree well with the Canadian OTB5 and Spanish PTB4 CPUE series. The Canadian OTB4 series does not agree with either other series or the cohort biomasses.

## Yield Per Recruit

Using the mean weights at age and partial recruitments of Table 11 and an M of 0.2, a Thompson-Bell yield per recruit analysis was run. This gave a maximum yield per recruit of 0.81 kg at a fishing mortality of 0.34 and an $F_{0.1}$ of 0.20 with a yield per recruit of 0.76 kg .

## Catch Projection

The cohort numbers in 1978 adjusted by the research cruise data estimates of the sizes of the 1975-77 year-classes were projected to 1980 assuming a catch of $30,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1979 and fishing at F0. 1 in 1980. The 1978 and 1979 year-classes were set at 100 miliion fish, the geometric mean of the 1965-1973 year-classes. The results are presented in Table 15. This analysis implies that fishing at Fo. 1 in 1980 would result in a yield of 45 thousand mt.

## Summary

A re-evaluation of the research survey data, the verification that the catch of small cod in the small mesh fisheries was a larger problem than first reported, and the evidence that the cutback in these fisheries has released a much improved recruitment to the Canadian cod fishery has led to a more optimistic view of the short-term future of this stock. The long run predictions still indicate that catch levels could return to pre-1970 values. However since these predictions are
based on catches being concentrated on older fish than was the case in the 1960 's, to support such a fishery stock numbers and biomass will have to be greater than they have been for some time. Therefore some conservatism is needed in the immediate future if these stock levels are to be reached in a reasonable amount of time.
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Table 1 Div. 4Vs-W Cod - Nominal Catches (m,t.)

| Year | Canada | France | Portugal | Spain | USSR | Others | Total | Div. 4Vs | Div. 4 W | Catch Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1958 | 17,938 | 4,577 | 1,095 | 14,857 | - | 124 | 38,591 | 23,790 | 14,801 | - |
| 1959 | 20,069 | 16,378 | 8,384 | 19,999 | - | 1,196 | 66,026 | 47,063 | 18,963 | - |
| 1960 | 18,390 | 1,018 | 1,720 | 29,391 | - | 126 | 50,645 | 27,689 | 22,956 | - |
| 1961 | 19,697 | 3,252 | 2,321 | 40,884 | 113 | 42 | 66,309 | 34,237 | 32,072 | - |
| 1962 | 17,579 | 2,645 | 341 | 42,146 | 2,383 | 60 | 65,154 | 26,350 | 38,804 | - |
| 1963 | 13,144 | 72 | 617 | 44,528 | 9,505 | 307 | 68,173 | 27,566 | 40,607 | - |
| 1964 | 14,330 | 1,010 | - | 39,690 | 7,133 | 1,094 | 63,257 | 25,496 | 37,761 | - |
| 1965 | 23,104 | 536 | 88 | 39,280 | 7,856 | 124 | 70,988 | 36,713 | 34,275 | - |
| 1966 | 17,690 | 1,494 | - | 43,157 | 5,473 | 356 | 68,170 | 27,163 | 41,007 | - |
| 1967 | 18,464 | 77 | 102 | 33,934 | 1,068 | 512 | 54,157 | 26,607 | 27,550 | - |
| 1968 | 24,888 | 225 | - | 50,418 | 4,865 | 29 | 80,425 | 48,781 | 31,644 | - |
| 1969 | 14,188 | 217. | - | 32,305 | 2,783 | 664 | 50,157 | 22,309 | 27,848 | - |
| 1970 | 11,818 | 420 | 296 | 41,926 | 2,521 | 446 | 57,427 | 28,632 | 28,795 | - |
| 1971 | 17,064 | 4 | 18 | 30,864 | 4,506 | 107 | 52,563 | 24,128 | 28,435 | - |
| 1972 | 19,987 | 495 | 856 | 28,542 | 4,646 | 7,119 | 61,645 | 36,533 | 25,112 | - |
| 1973 | 15,929 | 922 | 849 | 30,883 | 2,918 | 2,569 | 54,070 | 23,401 | 30,669 | 60,500 |
| 1974 | 10,700 | 34 | 1,464 | 27,384 | 3,097 | 1,060 | 43,740 | 19,610 | 24,130 | 60,000 |
| 1975 | 9,939 | 1,867 | 546 | 15,611 | 3,042 | 1,512 | 32,517 | 11,694 | 20,823 | 60,000 |
| 1976 | 9,567 | 697 | - | 11,090 | 1,018 | 2,035 | 24,407 | 11,553 | 12,854 | 30,000 |
| 1977 | 9,890 | 68 | - | - | 97 | 31 | 10,086 | 2,873 | 7,213 | 7,000 |
| $1978{ }^{1}$ | 24,631 | 250 | 22 | 31 | 479 | 41 | 25,454 | - | - | 7,000 ${ }^{2}$ |

$\bullet$

1 Preliminary
2 By-catch

Table 1a Nominal Catches (mt) - Breakdown of 'Other' Category

| Year | Bulgaria | Cuba | Denmark | FRG | Ireland | Italy | Japan | Norway | Poland | USA | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 4 | 481 | 622 | 5 | 4 | - | - | 381 | - | 15 | 1,512 |
| 1976 | - | 587 | 1417 | - | - | - | - | 26 | - | 5 | 2,035 |
| 1977 | - | 19 | - | 8 | - | - | + | - | 2 | 2 | 31 |
| $1978{ }^{1}$ | 3 | 21 | - | - | - | 14 | 3 | - | - | - | 41 |

1 Preliminary

Table 2 4VsW Cod - Reported Catch vs Quota Allocation (mt)

| Country | 1973 |  | 1974 |  | 1975 |  | 1976 |  | 1977 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quota | Catch | Quota | Catch | Quota | Catch | Quota | Catch | Quota | Catch |
| CANADA | 18400 | 15929 | 24250 | 10700 | 24250 | 9939 | 17500 | 9567 | 6550 | 9890 |
| FRANCE | 500 | 922 | 1500 | 34 | 1500 | 1867 | 700 | 697 | 250 | 68 |
| PORTUGAL | 1150 | 849 | - | 1464 | 500 | 546 | 150 | - | - | - |
| SPAIN | 31550 | 30883 | 28500 | 27384 | 28500 | 15611 | 9600 | 11090 | - | - |
| USSR | 2900 | 2918 | 2900 | 3097 | 2900 | 3042 | 900 | 1018 | - | 97 |
| OTIERS | 6000 | 2569 | 2850 | 1060 | 2350 | 1512 | 1150 | 2035 | 200 | 31 |
| TOTAL | 60500 | 54070 | 60000 | 43740 | 60000 | 32517 | 30000 | 24407 | 7000 | 10086 |

Table 3 . Div. 4 VsW Cod: Canadian nominal catches by otter trawls ${ }^{1}$ and other gear


[^0]Table 4a. 4VsW cod: Summer research cruise population estimates (thousands of fish).

|  | 1970 | 1971 |  | 1972 | 1973 |  | 1974 |  | 1975 |  | 1976 |  | 1977 | 1978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 97 | 23 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 866 |  | 69 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 174 |
| 1 | 1,273 | 1,539 |  | 6,210 | 16,128 |  | 5,174 |  | 3,372 |  | 2,242 |  | 808 | 3,053 |
| 2 | 16,123 | 7,680 |  | 9,674 | 122,780 |  | 32,961 |  | 8,412 |  | 14,066 |  | 10,145 | 13,065 |
| 3 | 5,196 | 35,664 |  | 11,881 | 104,965 |  | 19,246 |  | 13,000 |  | 16,098 |  | 26,372 | 31,245 |
| 4 | 7,682 | 8,027 |  | 31,536 | 59,948 |  | 5,623 |  | 6,171 |  | 10,187 |  | 17,059 | 34,205 |
| 5 | 3,734 | 15,803 |  | 5,812 | 22,524 |  | 2,017 |  | 2,959 |  | 6,621 |  | 11,353 | 9,461 |
| 6 | 1,227 | 5,775 |  | 5,989 | 1,870 |  | 2,244 |  | 675 |  | 1,264 |  | 4,893 | 3,490 |
| 7 | 1,532 | 3,459 |  | 1,621 | 2,907 |  | 372 |  | 867 |  | 656 |  | 1,081 | 889 |
| 8 | 466 | 1,475 |  | 547 | 901 |  | 463 |  | 235 |  | 1,308 |  | 878 | 185 |
| 9 | 104 | 638 |  | 495 | 431 |  | 224 |  | 433 |  | - |  | 244 | 90 |
| 10+ | 701 | 471 |  | 153 | 910 |  | 340 |  | 91 |  | 1,180 |  | 223 | 158 |
| UK | 274 | 112 |  | 0 | 202 |  | 44 |  | 74 |  | 36 |  | 114 | 53 |
| TOTAL | 38,408 | 80,666 |  | 73,917 | 333,564 |  | 69,575 |  | 36,359 |  | 53,657 |  | 73,171 | 96,067 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{5+/ 6+}$ |  | . 42 | 1.14 |  | 0.73 | 2.09 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.18 | 80 | . 41 | 1.3 |  |

Table 4b. 4VsW cod: Summer research cruise population estimates (thousands of fish), adjusted to remove two large anomalous sets in 1973.

|  | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 |  | 1973 |  | 1974 |  | 1975 |  | 1976 |  | 1977 | 1978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 97 | 23 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 866 |  | 69 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 174 |
| 1 | 1,273 | 1,539 | 6,210 |  | 2,295 |  | 5,174 |  | 3,372 |  | 2,242 |  | 808 | 3,053 |
| 2 | 16,123 | 7,680 | 9,674 |  | 8,778 |  | 32,961 |  | 8,412 |  | 14,066 |  | 10,145 | 13,065 |
| 3 | 5,196 | 35,664 | 11,881 |  | 13,760 |  | 19,246 |  | 13,000 |  | 16,098 |  | 26,372 | 31,245 |
| 4 | 7,682 | 8,027 | 31,536 |  | 10,788 |  | 5,623 |  | 6,171 |  | 10,187 |  | 17,059 | 34,205 |
| 5 | 3,734 | 15,803 | 5,812 |  | 6,799 |  | 2,017 |  | 2,959 |  | 6,621 |  | 11,353 | 9,461 |
| 6 | 1,227 | 5,775 | 5,989 |  | 428 |  | 2,244 |  | 675 |  | 1,264 |  | 4,893 | 3,490 |
| 7 | 1,532 | 3,459 | 1,621 |  | 951 |  | 372 |  | 867 |  | 656 |  | 1,081 | 889 |
| 8 | 466 | 1,475 | 547 |  | 676 |  | 463 |  | 235 |  | 1,308 |  | 878 | 185 |
| 9 | 104 | 638 | 495 |  | 96 |  | 224 |  | 433 |  | - |  | 244 | 90 |
| 10+ | 701 | 471 | 153 |  | 534 |  | 340 |  | 91 |  | 1,180 |  | 223 | 158 |
| UK | 274 | 112 | 0 |  | 202 |  | 44 |  | 74 |  | 36 |  | 114 | 53 |
| TOTAL | 38,408 | 80,666 | 73,917 |  | 45,306 |  | 69,575 |  | 36,359 |  | 53,657 |  | 73,171 | 96,067 |
| $\mathrm{z}_{5+/ 6+}$ |  | -0.42 | 1.14 | 1.69 |  | 0.96 | 6 | 0.90 | 0 | 0.18 | 80 | 0.41 | 1 | 36 |

Table 5. 4VsW Cod: Summer Research Cruises 4+ and $5+$ numbers (in thousands)

|  | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $4+$ | 15446 | 35648 | 46153 | 89491 | 11283 | 11431 | 21216 | 35731 | 48478 |
| $5+$ | 7764 | 27621 | 14617 | 29543 | 5660 | 5260 | 11029 | 18672 | 14273 |
| $4+1973$ adjusted |  |  | 20272 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5+1973$ adjusted |  |  | 9484 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3 year moving average using unadjusted numbers

| $4^{+}$ | 32416 | 57097 | 48976 | 37402 | 14643 | 22793 | 35142 | $42105^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $5^{+}$ | 16667 | 23927 | 16607 | 13488 | 7316 | 11654 | 14658 | $16473^{1}$ |

3 year moving average using adjusted numbers

| $4+$ | 32416 | 34024 | 25903 | 14329 | 14643 | 22793 | 35142 | $42105^{1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5+$ | 16667 | 17241 | 9920 | 6801 | 7316 | 11654 | 14658 | $16473^{1}$ |

1 two year average

Table 6. Cod Catch \& Effort - Spain PTB-4

| Year | Subarea |  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 Catch (mt) | - | 21925 | 4 | 139929 | 41292 | 13749 | - | 216,899 |
| Effort (hrs fished) | - | 19546 | 24 | 100082 | 24280 | 9373 | - | 153,305 |
| CPUE | - | 1.12 | 0.17 | 1.40 | 1.70 | 1.47 | - | 1.41 |
| 1970 Catch (mt) | - | 17499 | 214 | 146447 | 48154 | 7249 | - | 219563 |
| Effort (hrs fished) | - | 15802 | 70 | 111454 | 31918 | 5325 | - | 164569 |
| CPUE | - | 1.11 | 3.06 | 1.31 | 1.51 | 1.36 | - | 1.33 |
| 1971 Catch (mt) | - | 22086 | 367 | 151645 | 36261 | 7619 | - | 217978 |
| Effort (hrs fished) | - | 19276 | 217 | 120321 | 29073 | 5892 | - | 174779 |
| CPUE | - | 1.15 | 1.69 | 1.26 | 1.25 | 1.29 | - | 1.25 |
| 1972 Catch (mt) | - | 1776 | - | 90346 | 16934 | 3842 | - | 112898 |
| Effort (hrs fished) | - | 3191 | - | 93665 | 16444 | 4998 | - | 118298 |
| CPUE | - | 0.56 | - | 0.96 | 1.03 | 0.77 | - | 0.95 |
| 1969 Catch (\%) | - | 10 | + | 65 | 19 | 6 | - | 100 |
| Effort (\%) | - | 13 | + | 65 | 16 | 6 | - | 100 |
| CPUE | - | 0.79 | 0.12 | 0.99 | 1.21 | 1.04 | - | 1.00 |
| average CPUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 Catch (\%) | - | 8 | + | 67 | 22 | 3 | - | 100 |
| Effort (\%) | - | 10 | + | 68 | 19 | 3 | - | 100 |
| CPUE | - | 0.83 | 2.30 | 0.98 | 1.14 | 1.02 | - | 1.00 |
| average CPUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 Catch (\%) | - | 10 | + | 70 | 17 | 3 | - | 100 |
| Effort (\%) | - | 11 | + | 69 | 17 | 3 | - | 100 |
| $\frac{\text { CPUE }}{\text { average CPUE }}$ | - | 0.92 | 1.35 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.03 | - | 1.00 |
| 1972 Catch (\%) | - | 2 | - | 80 | 15 | 3 | - | 100 |
| Effort (\%) | - | 3 | - | 79 | 14 | 4 | - | 100 |
| $\frac{\text { CPUE }}{\text { average CPUE }}$ | - | 0.59 | - | 1.01 | 1.37 | 0.81 | - | 1.00 |

Table 6 cont'd
17.

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1973 Catch (mt) | - | 1874 | 20 | 62432 | 16593 | 2676 | - | 83595 |
| Effort (hrs fished) | - | 3847 | 36 | 82009 | 19966 | 3466 | - | 109324 |
| CPUE | - | 0.49 | 0.56 | 0.76 | 0.83 | 0.77 | - | 0.76 |


| 1974 Catch (mt) | - | 532 | 860 | 46184 | 10763 | 478 | - | 58817 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effort (hrs fished) | - | 1007 | 991 | 70345 | 17173 | 655 | - | 90171 |
| CPUE | - | 0.53 | 0.87 | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.73 | - | 0.65 |
| 1975 Catch (mt) | - | 720 | 111 | 26161 | 6165 | 2272 | - | 35429 |
| Effort (hrs fished) | - | 3812 | 166 | 38689 | 15720 | 17226 | - | 75613 |
| CPUE | - | 0.19 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.39 | 0.13 | - | 0.47 |
| 1976 Catch (mt) | - | - | - | 10954 | 5048 | 970 | - | 16972 |
| Effort (hrs fished) | - | - | - | 12190 | 7906 | 2392 | - | 22488 |
| CPUE | - | - | - | 0.90 | 0.64 | 0.41 | - | 0.75 |


| 1973 | Catch (\%) | - | 2 | + | 75 | 20 | 3 | - | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Effort (\%) CPUE | - | 4 | + | 75 | 18 | 3 | - | 100 |
| average CPUE |  | - | 0.64 | 0.74 | 1.00 | 1.09 | 1.01 | - | 1.00 |
| 1974 | Catch (\%) | - | 1 | 1 | 79 | 18 | 1 | - | 100 |
|  | Effort (\%) | - | 1 | 1 | 78 | 19 | 1 | - | 100 |
| average CPUE |  | - | 0.82 | 1.34 | 1.02 | 0.97 | 1.12 | - | 1.00 |
| 1975 | Catch (\%) | - | 2 | + | 74 | 17 | 6 | - | 100 |
|  | Effort (\%) | - | 5 | + | 51 | 21 | 23 | - | 100 |
|  | CPUE | - | 0.40 | 1.43 | 1.45 | 0.83 | 0.28 | - | 1.00 |
| average CPUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | Catch (\%) | - | - | - | 65 | 30 | 6 | - | 100 |
|  | Effort (\%) | - | - | - | 54 | 35 | 11 | - | 100 |
| $\frac{\text { CPUE }}{\text { average CPUE }}$ |  | - | - | - | 1.20 | 0.85 | 0.55 | - | 1.00 |

18. 

Table 7. 4VsW Cod: Catch per unit effort series (mt/hour).

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Spanish Pair Trawls }}{\text { TC4 }} \\ & \text { February - March } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Cänadi } \\ \hline \mathrm{T} \\ \mathrm{TC4} \end{array}$ | ) 0 Ct March TC5 | Trawls <br> TC5 | Russian Otter Trawls <br> TC7 Chikuni method at $10 \% \mathrm{Cod}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 1.875 | . 549 | . 753 |  | . 197 |
| 1968 | 2.472 | . 528 | . 746 |  | . 359 |
| 1969 | 1.783 | . 483 | . 562 |  | . 265 |
| 1970 | 1.477 | . 413 | . 610 |  | . 052 |
| 1971 | 1.207 | . 437 | . 665 |  | . 232 |
| 1972 | 1.142 | . 573 | . 575 | $35 \%$ increase in efficiency about 1975 | . 237 |
| 1973 | 0.820 | . 442 | . 548 |  | t . 240 |
| 1974 | 0.603 | . 362 | . 384 |  | . 269 |
| 1975 | 0.234 | . 554 | . 488 | . 361 | . 216 |
| 1976 | 0.509 | . 445 | . 502 | . 372 | . 272 |
| 1977 | - | . 553 | . 664 | . 492 | . 205 |

Table 8. Mean age and mean lengths at age (cm). Canada (M.Q.) otter trawl samples - 4Vs - February: ( ) indicates 5\% of sample.

| Year | No of Samples | Mean Age | Mean Age for Can (M.Q.) OTB Complete Year, 4Vs $\mathcal{G} 4 \mathrm{~W}$ | Mean Length at Age (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 1978 | 1 | 6.14 . | 5.00 | 46.49 | 56.99 | 64.63 | 72.63 | (81.37) | 68.10 |
| 1977 | 2 | 7.42 | 5.93 | (44.87) | 52.57 | 57.59 | 60.89 | 69.85 | 70.28 |
| 1976 | - | - | 5.76 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1975 | 1 | 7.33 | 6.16 | (41.71) | 46.43 | 53.60 | 60.96 | 63.53 | (69.77) |
| 1974 | - | - | 4.54 | - - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1973 | 1 | 5.83 | 5.85 | 43.89 | 46.24 | 51.99 | 56.28 | 56.62 | (59.73) |
| 1972 | 1 | 6.75 | 4.94 | 44,00 | 49.90 | 54.72 | 63.32 | 64.89 | (77.51) |
| 1971 | 4 | 6.40 | 5.87 | 47.29 | 53.48 | 55.71 | 61.42 | 69.28 | (78.35) |
| 1970 | 1 | 5.86 | 5.63 | 44.56 | 54.04 | 63.39 | 67.99 | 70.84 | (82.00) |
| 1969 | - | - | 5.34 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1968 | 1 | 6.78 | 5.45 | (47.93) | 48.86 | 53.83 | 57.61 | 59.05 | 58.47 |
| 1967 | 1 | 5.28 | 5.45 | 47.16 | 54.05 | . 59.29 | 59.77 | (65.59) | (66.16) |
| 1966 | - | - | 5.08 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1965 | - | - | 5.44 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1964 | - | - | 5.38 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1963 | - | - | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1962 | - | - | 5.61 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1961 | - | - | 5.89 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1960 | - | - | 5.97 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 9. UVsW Cod: Removals at age, adjusted for underreporting in small mesh fishery (thousands of fish).

| Age | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2806 | 548 | 2495 | 1426 | 1293 | 2311 | 2383 | 1418 | 1482 | 1792 | 728 | 2 | 177 |
| 2 | 17891 | 4235 | 16045 | 9097 | 8631 | 15218 | 17738 | 12142 | 8451 | 9979 | 4061 | 24 | 153 |
| 3 | 17493 | 6267 | 17413 | 7684 | 8886 | 12582 | 14227 | 14881 | 12885 | 9485 | 3587 | 386 | 1004 |
| 4 | 13973 | 7989 | 17783 | 13724 | 14802 | 9146 | 13362 | 7507 | 9947 | 4341 | 3713 | 1073 | 3650 |
| 5 | 10577 | 9456 | 15633 | 10248 | 13673 | 8809 | 9661 | 9755 | 7130 | 4549 | 4818 | 1559 | 4621 |
| 6 | 4461 | 4338 | 8297 | 6073 | 4539 | 10262 | 8780 | 3823 | 2766 | 2594 | 2412 | 871 | 2441 |
| 7 | 3256 | 1467 | 3482 | 2144 | 1942 | 5160 | 3432 | 2996 | 944 | 2627 | 1426 | 501 | 768 |
| 8 | 1590 | 1239 | 895 | 510 | 759 | 1849 | 1919 | 3724 | 1323 | 612 | 611 | 220 | 213 |
| 9 | 856 | 664 | 816 | 23 ? | 236 | 496 | 358 | 1166 | 413 | 497 | 184 | 128 | 112 |
| 10 | 496 | 647 | 361 | 50 | 72 | 114 | 393 | 273 | 369 | 660 | 49 | 35 | 80 |
| 11 | 666 | 325 | 152 | 95 | 137 | 131 | 79 | 299 | 15 | 153 | 22 | 44 | 26 |
| 12 | 24 | 65 | 211 | 58 | 56 | 72 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 126 | 107 | 55 | 28 |
| 13 | 14 | 16 | 33 | 12 | 9 | 98 | 37 | 7 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 11 | 26 |
| 14 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 2 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 9 |
| 15 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 51 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 16 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 17 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 7 | 2 |

Table 10: 4VsW Cod: Estimated removals at age added to previous figures to adjust for underreporting in small mesh fishery (thousands of fish).

| AGE | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1751 | 342 | 1557 | 890 | 807 | 1442 | 1487 | 885 | 925 | 1118 | 373 | 1 |
| 2 | . 11165 | 2178 | 9925 | 5677 | 5143 | 9193 | 9477 | 7379 | 5153 | 6229 | 2083 | 17 |
| 3 | 7224 | 1409 | 6423 | 3674 | 3328 | 5948 | 6132 | 3770 | 4271 | 5164 | 1727 | 161 |
| 4 | 1313 | 256 | 1167 | 669 | 606 | 1081 | 1116 | 715 | 730 | 882 | 295 | 88 |
| 5 | 438 | 86 | 388 | 222 | 201 | 360 | 372 | 314 | 106 | 128 | 43 | 19 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 48 | 58 | 20 | 4 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 11: 4VsW Cod: Mean weights at age and partial recruitments used in the analysis.

| Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weight (kg) | 0.20 | 0.62 | 0.95 | 1.25 | 1.68 | 2.47 |

Partial

| recruitment | 0.013 | 0.023 | 0.10 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Age | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weight (kg) | 3.61 | 5.23 | 5.59 | 6.54 | 7.92 | 9.21 |

Partial
recruitment 0.9
0.9
0.9
0.9
0.9
0.9

| Age | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weight (kg) <br> Partial <br> Recruitment <br> 0.40 | 0.9 | 0.75 | 8.68 | 12.21 |

Table 12 4VsW Cod: Population estimates (thousands of fish) from a cohort analysis run on the catch matrix of Table 9 using M=0.2, fully recruited $F$ in 1978 of 0.18 and the partial recruitments of Table 11.

| Age | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 170086 | 134973 | 92214 | 109819 | 96174 | 97691 | 82236 | 84234 | 91859 | 113601 | 23447 | 49905 | 83552 |
| 2 | 121727 | 136715 | 110011 | 73241 | 88621 | 77571 | 77892 | 65173 | 67682 | 73867 | 91387 | 75849 | 40857 |
| 3 | 82468 | 83473 | 108101 | 75551 | 51733 | 64747 | 49740 | 47722 | 42373 | 47766 | 51448 | 71147 | 62078 |
| 4 | 52381 | 51691 | 62672 | 72750 | 54903 | 34315 | 41626 | 27850 | 25607 | 23033 | 30525 | 38876 | 57901 |
| 5 | 25546 | 30243 | 35092 | 35220 | 47144 | 31557 | 19819 | 21991 | 16009 | 11965 | 14930 | 21632 | 30858 |
| 6 | 9446 | 11345 | 16205 | 14585 | 19563 | 26227 | 17866 | 7485 | 9178 | 6656 | 5680 | 7864 | 16301 |
| 7 | 7453 | 3697 | 5363 | 5760 | 6447 | 11910 | 12187 | 6683 | 2669 | 5012 | 3102 | 2468 | 5650 |
| 8 | 3709 | 3156 | 1700 | 1240 | 2776 | 3521 | 5082 | 6873 | 2761 | 1331 | 1726 | 1250 | 1567 |
| 9 | 2353 | 1598 | 1463 | 582 | 554 | 1586 | 1210 | 2425 | 2257 | 1063 | 536 | 860 | 824 |
| 10 | 1735 | 1152 | 707 | 459 | 262 | 240 | 850 | 666 | 930 | 1474 | 421 | 272 | 589 |
| 11 | 949 | 972 | 358 | 252 | 331 | 149 | 93 | 340 | 299 | 428 | 610 | 300 | 191 |
| 12 | 64 | 174 | 502 | 155 | 121 | 147 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 231 | 212 | 479 | 206 |
| TOTAL | 477917 | 459188 | 434386 | 389615 | 368629 | 349662 | 308605 | 271448 | 261631 | 286427 | 294024 | 270902 | 300574 |
| BIOMASS | 284 | 319 | 297 | 261 | 277 | 201 | 209 | 164 | 173 | 146 | 171 | 237 | 312 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4+ } \\ & \text { BIOMASS } \\ & \text { (thousand } \\ & \text { of mt) } \end{aligned}$ | 150 | 163 | 161 | 161 | 184 | 130 | 137 | 102 | 96 | 72 | 80 | 12.0 | 206 |

Table 13. 4VsW cod: fishing mortalities estimated by cohort analysis rum on the catch matrix of Table 9 , using $M=0.2$, a fully recruited F in 1978 of 0.18 and the partial recruitments of Table 11.

| AGE | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.018 | 0.004 | 0.030 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.026 | 0.033 | 0.019 | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.009 | 0.000 | 0.1010 |
| 2 | 0.177 | 0.035 | 0.176 | 0.148 | 0.114 | 0.244 | 0.290 | 0.231 | 0.148 | 0.162 | 0.050 | 0.000 | 0.10 .4 |
| 3 | 0.267 | 0.087 | 0.196 | 0.119 | 0.211 | 0.242 | 0.380 | 0.423 | 0.410 | 0.248 | 0.080 | 0.006 | 0.018 |
| 4 | 0.349 | 0.187 | 0.376 | 0.234 | 0.354 | 0.349 | 0.438 | 0.354 | 0.561 | 0.234 | 0.144 | 0.031 | 0.072 |
| 5 | 0.612 | 0.424 | 0.678 | 0.388 | 0.386 | 0.369 | 0.774 | 0.674 | 0.678 | 0.545 | 0.441 | 0.083 | 0.180 |
| 6 | 0.738 | 0.549 | 0.834 | 0.616 | 0.296 | 0.566 | 0.783 | 0.831 | 0.405 | 0.563 | 0.634 | 0.131 | 0.180 |
| 7 | 0.659 | 0.577 | 1.264 | 0.530 | 0.405 | 0.652 | 0.373 | 0.684 | 0.496 | 0.866 | 0.709 | 0.254 | 0.102 |
| 8 | 0.642 | 0.569 | 0.872 | 0.606 | 0.360 | 0.868 | 0.540 | 0.913 | 0.754 | 0.710 | 0.496 | 0.216 | 0.162 |
| 9 | 0.514 | 0.615 | 0.959 | 0.598 | 0.636 | 0.424 | 0.396 | 0.758 | 0.226 | 0.727 | 0.477 | 0.180 | 0.162 |
| 10 | 0.380 | 0.970 | 0.830 | 0.128 | 0.362 | 0.744 | 0.716 | 0.603 | 0.577 | 0.683 | 0.138 | 0.153 | 0.102 |
| 11 | 1.496 | 0.461 | 0.634 | 0.538 | 0.612 | 3.506 | 2.734 | 3.575 | 0.057 | 0.503 | 0.041 | 0.177 | 0.102 |
| 12 | 0.525 | 0.525 | 0.615 | 0.525 | 0.705 | 0.765 | 0.900 | 1.065 | 1.185 | 0.900 | 0.800 | 0.135 | 0.102 |
| $5+F$ <br> weighted by population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 648 | . 490 | . 784 | . 465 | . 367 | . 515 | . 665 | . 761 | . 558 | . 631 | . 505 | . 115 | . 177 |

Table 14. 4VsW Cod: Correlations between CPUE series and biomass estimates from cohort analysis.

Canadian OTB

| - | Canadian OTB (Directed Catch) TC 5 | Spanish PTB4 | Cohort Biomass estimates$4+\quad 1+$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canadian OTB TC 4 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.11 | 0.28 |
| (Directed catch) TC 5 |  | 0.89 | 0.82 | 0.81 |
| Spanish PTB4 |  |  | 0.88 | 0.92 |
| Cohort Biomass 4+ |  |  |  | 0.91 |
| Estimates |  |  |  |  |

Table 15: 4VsW Cod - Catch projection
Partial Recruitment and Weights as in Table 11. Recruitment 100 million at age 1 after 1978. Catch for 1979 set at $30,000 \mathrm{mt} . \quad \mathrm{F}$ fully recruited 1980 set at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=0.20$.

| Year | Pop <br> (Mi1lions) | Biomass <br> (thousands of $t$ ) | $4+$ Biomass <br> (thousands of $t$ ) | Catch <br> (thousands of $t$ ) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | 301 | 309 | 208 | 25 |
| 1979 | 334 | 361 | 267 | 30 |
| 1980 | 361 | 423 | 299 | 45 |

Table 16a.4VsW Cod - Year-class size comparisons for adjusted research estimates and initial cohort runs.

Adjusted Research Data

| Age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean Population } \\ & \text { estimate }\left(\times 10^{-3}\right) \\ & 197.0-74 \end{aligned}$ | Population estimates |  |  | Ratios |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | $\frac{1976}{\text { mean }}$ | $\frac{1977}{\text { mean }}$ | $\frac{1978}{\text { mean }}$ |
| 1 | 3298.2 | 2242 | 808 | 3053 | 0.680 | 0.245 | 0.926 |
| 2 | 15043.2 |  | 10145 | 13065 |  | 0.674 | 0.868 |
| 3 | 17149.4 |  |  | 31245 |  |  | 1.822 |

Cohort Numbers


Table 16b.
4VsW Cod - Year-class size comparisons for the new cohort estimates

| Age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean population } \\ & \text { estimates }\left(\times 10^{-3}\right) \\ & 1970-74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Pc} \\ 1976 \end{gathered}$ | ion est 1977 | ates $1978$ | $\frac{1976}{\text { mean }}$ | Ratios 1977 mean | $\frac{1978}{\text { mean }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 90438.8 | 93447 | 49905 | 83552 | 1.033 | 0.552 | 0.924 |
| 2 | 75387.8 |  | 75849 | 40857 |  | 1.006 | 0.542 |
| 3 | 51263.0 |  |  | 62078 |  |  | 1.211 |


| Year-class | Average ratio <br> Research | Average ratio <br> cohort | $\frac{\text { Research }}{\text { cohort }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 1.059 | 1.083 | 0.978 |
| 1976 | 0.557 | 0.547 | 1.018 |
| 1977 | 0.926 | 0.924 | 1.002 |

Figure 1. Div. 4Vsw Cod: Catch and Total Allowable Catch


Figure 2. Div. 4VsW Cod: Canadian Nominal Catch by Otter Trawls and other Gears

29.


Fig. 3. 4VsW Cod: 3-Year Moving Average of Survey $4+$ and 5+ Population Estimates

Figure 4. Cod catch per Unit Effort By Subarea Spain PTB-4


Figure 5: 4VsW Cod: CPUE.


Fig. 6. 4VsW Cod: Comparison of some catch rates scaled to indicate relative changes; catch rates of Spanish 151-500 gt pair trawlers (mt/hr fished) in 1967-76, catch rates of Canadian 501-900 gt otter trawlers (mt/hr fished) in 1967-77 and in the period 1975-77 showing catch rates unadjusted and also adjusted for changed gear efficiency due to adoption of the Engel trawl, and research vessel survey estimates of age 4+ population numbers shown as three year running averages except the 1978 point which is the average of 1977 and 1978.


Fig. 7. 4Vsw Cod: Comparison of $4+$ population size from research surveys and cohort analysis

34.

Fig. 8. 4VsW Cod: CPUE Spanish pair traw1s vs $1+$ biomass estimates, line fitted by eye.


Fig. 9 4VsW Cod: CPUE Spanish pair trawls vs 4+ biomass estimates, line fitted by eye.



[^0]:    1 Total of OTB1, OTB2, OTM, OTB
    ${ }^{2}$ Does not include catch reported only as $4 V$ which is included in Table 1.
    ${ }_{4}^{3}$ Preliminary, Maritimes only; Newfoundland is included in Table 1.
    ${ }^{4}$ Totals may differ from Table 1 due to rounding.

