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Historical catch information for the Miramichi gaspereau fishery shows a drastic decline from a peak of 11,381 tonnes (1952) to levels as low as 119 tonnes (1964). Since 1977, landings showed some improvement but remained in the range of 3343 tonnes (1979) to 1072 tonnes (1982). Commercial catch/net/hour information was combined with biological data from Millbank samples for 1981 and 1982 to assess the current stock status. Age structure of the catch indicates that the 1975 year-class of bluebacks made a strong contribution to the fishery in 1981 and 1982 and probably in 1979 and 1980 as well. This year-class will make only a minor contribution to the fishery in 1983 but there is some indication that the 1978 and 1979 year-classes of alewives may be strong enough to maintain the fishery. Nevertheless, Paloheimo mortality rates calculated at 0.86 and 0.79 for alewives and bluebacks respectively suggest an excessive level of commercial exploitation. Alternative measures for increasing spawning escapement are examined.

## RESUME

Les statistiques de prises historiques de gaspareau dans la Miramichi indiquent une diminution dramatique, d'un sommet de 11381 t (1952) à des niveaux aussi bas que 119 t (1964). Depuis 1977, les débarquements se sont quelque peu améliorés, mais sont demeurés dans la gamme de 3343 t (1979) à 1072 t (1982). Dans le but d'évaluer l'état actuel du stock, nous avons combiné les données de prises commerciales/filet/heure avec les données biologiques d'échantillons prélevés à Millbank en 1981 et 1982 . La structure par âge des prises indique que la classe d'âge de 1975 de l'alose d'été a contribué significativement à la pêche en 1981 et 1982, et probablement aussi en 1979 et 1980. Cette classe d'age ne contribuera que très peu à la pêche en 1983, mais on croit, à certains signes, que les classes d'âge de 1978 et 1979 du gaspareau sont suffisamment abondantes pour alimenter cette pêche. Néanmoins, les taux de mortalité de Paloheimo, calculés à 0,86 et 0,79 pour le gaspareau et l'alose d'été respectivement, indiqueraient un niveau d'exploitation commerciale excessif. Nous examinons diverses mesures visant à favoriser l'échappement en vue de la reproduction.

## INTRODUCTION

The commercial trap net fishery of the Miramichi River estuary is regarded as the greatest gaspereau fishery in the Gulf Region. However, in 1982 the estimated harvest of 1,142 tonnes was only slightly higher than the 1,043 tonnes harvested in the Margaree River fishery. Since the peak (1952) recorded catch is more than 10 times the 1982 harvest, it is assumed that the fishery is far below its potential annual harvest. Industrial and municipal waste disposal, logging practices, aerial applicaton of insecticides, and overexploitation in the commercial fishery are among the factors which may have contributed to a decline in the fishery. More recently, fishermen have claimed that channel dredging in the estuary has contributed to declining catches.

This paper reviews the status of the Miramichi River gaspereau fishery with emphasis on trends in the fishery characteristics. New information is provided on the biology of the species based on recent data collections.

## BACKGROUND

The Miramichi River estuary gaspereau fishery includes catches of alewife (Alosa pseudoharengus) and blueback herring (Alosa aestivalis) in statistical districts 70, 71, 72 and 73 (Fig. 1). However, harvest in districts 71 and 72 generally exceeds $90 \%$ of the total annual landings. Harvest in districts 71 and 72 is also likely to represent harvest of gaspereau produced in either the northwest or southwest Miramichi whereas harvest in districts 70 and 73 may include production from other areas such as Bay du Vin or Baie-Ste-Anne tributaries. Detailed catch-effort data available from logbooks completed by almost, all fishermen active in districts 71 and 72 during 1981 and 1982 are therefore considered to represent the fishery. Biological samples collected at Millbank were assumed to be representative of the Miramichi fishery (districts 71 \& 72).

The gaspereau fishery in districts 71 and 72 is conducted in the period May 15 to June 15. The number of licences, which peaked at 231 in 1954, has been limited to 35 trap nets or fewer since the early 1970's but, in fact has been close to that level since the mid-1960's. These traps are located approximately as indicated on the attached map (Fig. 1). The 1982 fishery continued to operate seven days per week, contrary to a recommendation from the Research Branch for a return to two-day-per-week closure which was in offect prior to 1979.

Detailed catch-effort data reported through a voluntary logbook system was considered to be sufficiently reliable for general use in 1981 and 1982. Fishing effort is recorded in hours per day and catch in kilograms per day for each net. The detailed information by fisherman is confidential.

Fish for biological sampling were collected from the experimental trap net operated at Millbank by Fisheries and Oceans personnel. In both 1981 and 1982, approximately 50 fish were collected twice each week. Each fresh specimen was measured to the nearest mm fork length and total length and weighed to the nearest gram using an electronic balance. Sex and state of maturity were determined by examining dissected gonads and species was identified by examining the colour of the peritoneal lining. The peritoneum in alewives was considered to vary from pink to pearly-grey while it was sooty-black in blueback (Scott and Crossman, 1973). A sample consisting of 6 - 8 non-regenerated scales was collected from an area below the dorsal fin and extending above and below the lateral line; these were field mounted . on acetate slides. Regenerated scales could usually be identified by visual inspection. Age of each specimen was subsequently determined in two independent readings by examining scales at a magnification of 25 X and applying the criteria established by Cating (1953) (Rothschild, 1963). Where there was disagreement between the two age determinations a third reading was made and the age common to two readings was accepted.

Data on mean fish size, species composition and age structure from samples collected at Millbank were applied to the daily catch records as reported in logbooks for a detailed examination of the commercial catch in 1981 and 1982.

## RESULTS \& DISCUSSION

The number of fishing licences for gaspereau on the Miramichi varied from 220 in 1950 down to 163 in 1951 then to a maximum level of 231 in 1954 followed by a steady decline, reaching a minimum of 23 in 1969 (Fig. 2, Table 1). Between 1975 and 1982, the number of licences has been limited to 35 or fewer trap nets. Unfortunately, the number of licences issued per year may not be a good measure of anmal fishing effort because of differences in gear type and fishing season, changes in gear design, and gear location, variable fishing effort by individual fishermen and differences in run timing. The 1979 regulation change allowing a sever-day-per-week fishery may alone account for a $40 \%$ increase in fishing effort.

The catch statistics also show large annual fluctuations with a severe decline particularly apparent following the maximum recorded catch of 11,381 tonnes in 1952 to a low level of 119 tonnes in 1964 (Fig. 2, Table 1). The decline in catch preceeds the decline in numbers of licences and it is assumed that fishermen were leaving the fishery in response to a declining catch per net. This is supported by the general trend toward declining catches per net from 1952 until 1961 (Fig. 3, table 1). After 1961 however, the general trend is toward an annual increase in catch per net, although some poor catches such as that in

1975 have been noted. Prior to the limit on the number of nets in 1975, the mean annual catch per net was 18.5 tonnes. Including even the poor return in 1975, the mean annal catch per net in the eight years following the restriction increased to 50.8 tonnes. The decline in catch per net to 41.5 tonnes in 1981 and 31.5 tonnes in 1982 (Fig. 3, Table 1) is disappointing, but these values remain well above the mean annual pre-restriction levels of 18.5 tonnes or the 33 year mean annual catch of 26.3 tonnes per net.

Most nets are set at the opening of the season although some fishermen may delay until significant numbers of fish appear in the estuary. Daily fishing effort then remains relatively constant until the end of the season. This pattern was apparent from logbook reports in both 1981 (Fig. 4, Table 2) and 1982 (Fig. 5, Table 3). Because effort is stable, fluctuations in daily catch per net hour in both 1981 (Fig. 6, Table 4) and in 1982 (Fig. 7, Table 5) are nearly identical to the daily pattern of total catch for these years (Fig. 8, Fig. 9). Although logbook returns for 1981 were incomplete ( 19 of 27 fishermen reported), the average catch of $94.8 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ is useful for comparison with the 1982 value of only $49.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. This trend was checked by comparing the catch per hour for 17 fishermen who returned logbooks in both years. The results were similar with a decline from $98.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ in 1981 to 51.2 in 1982. This represents nearly a $50 \%$ drop in catch per hour compared to an approximate $25 \%$ drop in the catch per net between the two years.

In 1981, mean weight of alewives declined from an average of 373 g near the beginning of the harvest on May 15 to only about 184 g at the end of the harvest in late June (Table 6). When weighted by numbers, the mean weight of alewives was 295.9 g . Similarily, the mean weight of blueback herring declined from approximately 427 g at the start of the run to 232 g at the end (Table 6). Mean weight of blueback herring was 332.6 g . The decrease in mean fish size with time is a reflection of changes in age structure of the catch with older and, therefore, larger fish arriving earlier.

When the daily catch was proportioned by species, it became apparent that in 1981, alewives (Fig. 10, Table 6) began contributing to the fishery about 10 to 12 days earlier than blueback (Fig. 11, Table 6) but that the blueback quickly overtook the alewives in total contribution to the fishery. Catch, in total numbers of gaspereau per day, is a blend of the two species and shows (Fig. 12) a near normal distribution. It is estimated that the 1981 gaspereau fishery harvested $1,067,700$ alewives or $24.5 \%$ of the total number, compared to $3,289,700$ blueback representing the remaining $75.5 \%$. Harvest by weight was $315,976 \mathrm{~kg}$ or $22.4 \%$ of the total as alewives and $1,094,265 \mathrm{~kg}$ or $77.6 \%$ as blueback. This contribution by blueback herring was surprisingly high and is in sharp contrast to the 1981 gaspereau fishery in the Margaree which was estimated to be comprised of $92 \%$ alewives by weight. (R. Crawford, pers. comm., N.S. Dept. of Fisheries, Halifax, Nova Scotia)

An examination of the 1981 age structure by species indicated that alewives (Fig. 13, Table 7) were well represented in ages 4, 5, $6 \& 7$ with very few fish at age 3. Bluebacks (Fig. 13, Table 8) showed an even greater age distribution with good catches at ages 4 through 9. The gaspereau fishery as a whole was heavily dependent on age 6 blueback herring.

In the 1982 fishery, the size of alewives again declined through the run with an average weight of 356 g near the beginning and 165 g at the end (Table 9). Mean weight through the whole run was 312 g which is an increase from 1981. Average size of bluebacks also declined from about 400 g at the start of the season to 226 g at the end with a season average of 323 g . This mean weight represents a decrease from 1981 , but again, the average size of bluebacks during the season was greater than that for alewives. Although the alewives (Fig. 14, Table 9) again arrived in the fishery in small numbers a few days ahead of the blueback (Fig. 15, Table 9), the bulk of the numbers arrived during a peak in the blueback harvest. Consequently, the 1982 alewife harvest in particular (Fig. 14) and the gaspereau fishery in general (Fig. 16) were concen trated into only about five days. Of the total 1982 landings, $73 \%$ was taken in the six days between May 31 and June 5 , inclusive. Although alewives made a slightly greater contribution to the 1982 fishery and represented $39 \%$ by both weight and numbers ( $1,379,200$ and $426,822 \mathrm{~kg}$, Table 9), the blueback herring again supported the bulk of the fishery.

More alewives were harvested in 1982 than in 1981. The age structure (Fig. 17, Table 10) also showed a marked shift toward a heavy dependence on age 3. Age 3 and age 4 combined accounted for more than $80 \%$ of the alewife harvest with only small mubers of age 5, 6 and older. Since recruitment to the fishery is considered to be highly incomplete for age 3 and age 4 , this could be an indication that the 1979 and 1978 yearclasses are exceptionally strong and will make a greater contribution to the fishery in 1983 . This would suggest that some improvement might be expected in the 1983 alewife fishery.

In contrast to the alewives, the 1982 harvest of bluebacks showed a decline from the 1981 level. Again the bluebacks showed a wide age distribution with ages $4,5,6$ and 7 each contributing strongly and some fish at age 3, 8, 9, and 10 (Fig. 17, Table 11). Nevertheless, the 1975 year-class which supported the bulk of the fishery at age 6 in 1981 continued to show a strong but deciining contribution to the 1982 fishery at age 7. This year-class can not be expected to produce large numbers of fish for harvest in 1983 and the decline may offset any anticipated increase in the harvest of alewives.

The history of low annual catches of gaspereau in the Miramichi since about 1957 compared to earlier landings suggests that the population has been greatly depleted for an extended period of time. In contrast, there is some belief that the low catch in the 1960's and early $1970^{\prime}$ s was a consequence of poor market conditions rather than population depletion. Improved landings after 1976 could therefore be a reflection of improved markets or increased numbers of fish or both. However, if the improved catch is a result of improved markets, then
failure to show a correlation between annual catches at Millbank and in the commercial fishery (Table 12) may be ignored. The consistent decline in catches at Millbank may indeed be a true index of declining abundance. Then fitted to an exponential curve, the data can be used to predict a 1983 Millbank catch of only 24,807 gaspereau. This speculative decline in abundance is consistent with the observed $50 \%$ drop in catch per net hour from 1981 to 1982. Paloheimo mortality rates, calculated for alewives and bluebacks to be 0.86 and 0.79 respectively (Table 13), are a Eurther indication of high commercial exploitation.

There are currently insufficient biological data to prove that recent increases in harvest of gaspereau on the Miramichi will contribute to further declines in future harvest. Nevertheless the long term depression of the fishery does indicate that spawning escapement may be inadequate and that any increase in the level of exploitation should be avoided. In the near future, some action may be required to temporarily reduce harvest in order to improve and possibly even to maintain future harvest on the long term.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The number of gaspereau licences should be limited to not more than 35 in 1983. Any increase in nets would contribute to a further decline in catch per net unless stocks also begin to increase. If catch continues to decline then consideration could be given to reducing the number of nets possibly through attrition.

NOTE: The above recommendation assumes that the catch per net is at or below levels which are socially and economically desirable in the fishery. If lower levels are acceptable, then more nets could be licenced, provided that other measures are taken to limit harvest.
2. Although current levels of spawning escapement are not known, declining catches suggest that spawing escapement may be inadequate to maintain stocks. Action may be required to improve escapement. Two alternatives have been examined for consideration by fisheries managers.
a) It has often been suggested that the two-day-per-week closure (Saturday and Sunday) which was in effect prior to 1979 should be re-instituted. If this had been in effect in 1981 and 1982, and assuming that fish which were caught on weekends would then have escaped to spawn, then the increase in spawning escapement can be calculated from examination of Table 6 and Table 9 . The results (Table 14) indicate that landings would have been reduced by $27 \%$ $(381,800 \mathrm{~kg})$ in 1981 and by $11 \%(118,900 \mathrm{~kg})$ in 1982 . In 1981 , the alewife and blueback herring harvest would have both decreased by $27 \%$ thus allowing additional escapement of 283,000 alewives and 903,000 blueback herring. In 1982 , the reduction in harvest would have been only $9 \%$ for alewives and $13 \%$ for blueback herring. Spawning escapement would, therefore, have increased by 120,000 for alewives and 278,000 for blueback herring. This option was recommended for 1982 but not implemented.
b) A second alternative considered is to delay the season opening until June 1. Again the potential effects of this action can be determined for 1981 and 1982 by examination of Table 6 and Table 9. The results ( T able 14 ) show that overall reduction in harvest would have been about $25 \%$ in 1981 and $14 \%$ in 1982. The reduction is similar to that achieved by weekend closures. However, when examined by species, it appears that alewife harvest would have been reduced $50 \%$ thus allowing an additional 539,000 spawners to ascend the river in 1981 and by $22 \%$ for an increase of 303,000 spawners in 1982. Compensating for this is the more modest reduction in blueback herring harvest estimated at $14 \%$ in 1981 and $6 \%$ in 1982. Consequently, spawning escapement of this species would have increased by 458,000 in 1981 and by 119,000 in 1982.

Since the early run of fish and, consequently, the alewife population is subject to the heaviest fishing pressure and appears to be in the greatest danger of severe overharvest, the second option of a delayed fishing season is biologically more desirable. It is probable that escapement will increase nearly as predicted yet harvest may be reduced less than estimated since at least some of the post-spawning gaspereau will be taken in the June fishery. Fishermen may be reluctant to accept this option fearing that they will miss the run almost entirely.
3. It is recommended that biological sampling be maintained in 1983 at levels at least equal to that of 1982 . Detailed information on landings is also essential to proper stock assessment and it is hoped that logbook reporting can be maintained and preferably expanded to other areas. Consideration should be given by managers, to mandatory logbook reporting. At present, biological and harvest data are inadequate or insufficient to permit the use of sophisticated modelling techniques for stock predictions.

Related factors such as the influence of dredging, the impact of by-catch in mackerel and herring nets, and the influence of market conditions including over-the-side sales should be examined.
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TABLE 1. Annual catch statistics and number of fishing licences for the Miramichi River, N.B., gaspereau fishery.

| Year | Districts 71 and 72 |  |  | Dist. 70 to 73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch (mt) | No. Licences | Catch/1icence | Catch (mt) |
| 1950 | 4952 | 220 | 22.51 | 5311 |
| 1951 | 8014 | 163 | 49.17 | 8163 |
| 1952 | 11381 | 180 | 63.23 | 11608 |
| 1953 | 8026 | 178 | 45.09 | 8095 |
| 1954 | 4649 | 231 | 20.13 | 4859 |
| 1955 | 3413 | 181 | 18.86 | 3648 |
| 1956 | 3009 | 166 | 18.13 | 3327 |
| 1957 | 884 | 135 | 6.55 | 1056 |
| 1958 | 816 | 120 | 6.80 | 871 |
| 1959 | 1596 | 108 | 14.78 | 1716 |
| 1960 | 716 | 120 | 5.97 | 786 |
| 1961 | 161 | 109 | 1.48 | 199 |
| 1962 | 733 | 67 | 10.94 | 875 |
| 1963 | 543 | 66 | 8.23 | 617 |
| 1964 | 119 | 37 | 3.22 | 128 |
| 1965 | 425 | 36 | 11.81 | 501 |
| 1966 | 746 | 41 | 18.20 | 875 |
| 1967 | 532 | 34 | 15.65 | 677 |
| 1968 | 436 | 27 | 16.15 | 567 |
| 1969 | 175 | 23 | 7.61 | 237 |
| 1970 | 874 | 28 | 31.21 | 969 |
| 1971 | 469 | 37 | 12.68 | 555 |
| 1972 | 468 | 26 | 18.00 | 592 |
| 1973 | 967 | 35 | 27.63 | 1012 |
| 1974 | 271 | 35 | 7.74 | 415 |
| 1975 | 141 | 34 | 4.15 | 219 |
| 1976 | 406 | 34 | 11.94 | 483 |
| 1977 | 2240 | 34 | 65.88 | 2385 |
| 1978 | 1434 | 34 | 42.18 | 1587 |
| 1979 | 3343 | 34 | 98.32 | 3622 |
| 1980 | 3767 | 34 | 110.79 | 3948 |
| 1981 | 1410 | 34 | 41.47 | 1503 |
| 1982 | 1072 | 34 | 31.53 | 1142 |

TABLE 2. Sumary of daily fishing effort (hours) by all traps reporting in logbooks for districts 71 and 72, Miramichi River gaspereau fishery, 1981.

| date | DISTRICT | CPUE (KG/HOUR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MON | TUES | WED | THURS | FRI | SAT | SUN |
| May 11-17 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 52 | 64 |
|  | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 52 | 64 |
| May 18-24 | 71 | 192 | 192 | 192 | 216 | 212 | 168 | 144 |
|  | 72 | 66 | 22 | 22 | 66 | 68 | 22 | 138 |
|  | TOTAL | 258 | 214 | 214 | 282 | 280 | 190 | 282 |
| May 25-31 | 71 | 264 | 246 | 241 | 262 | 256 | 239 | 215 |
|  | 72 | 282 | 282 | 284 | 246 | 286 | 282 | 284 |
|  | total | 546 | 528 | 525 | 508 | 542 | 521 | 499 |
| June 01-07 | 71 | 238 | 234 | 234 | 232 | 214 | 190 | 220 |
|  | 72 | 332 | 332 | 332 | 332 | 332 | 332 | 332 |
|  | total | 570 | 566 | 566 | 564 | 546 | 522 | 552 |
| June 08-14 | 71 | 230 | 226 | 224 | 222 | 221 | 234 | 216 |
|  | 72 | 332 | 332 | 288 | 332 | 332 | 332 | 240 |
|  | TOTAL | 562 | 558 | 512 | 554 | 553 | 566 | 456 |
| June 15-21 | 71 | 153 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 40 | 36 | 24 |
|  | 72 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 331 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 40 | 36 | 24 |
| June 22-28 | 71 | 39 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
|  | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | total | 39 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |

TABLE 3 Sumary of daily fishing effort (hours) by all traps reporting in logbooks for districts 71 and 72, Miramichi River gaspereau fishery, 1982.

| DATE | DISTRICT | CPUE (KG/HOUR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MON | TUES | WED | THURS | FRI | SAT | SUN |
| May 17-23 | 71 | 120 | 336 | 360 | 384 | 408 | 432 | 432 |
|  | 72 | 48 | 48 | 96 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 240 |
|  | TOTAL | 168 | 384 | 456 | 624 | 648 | 672 | 672 |
| May 24-30 | 71 | 408 | 408 | 408 | 408 | 408 | 456 | 504 |
|  | 72 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 |
|  | TOTAL | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 768 | 816 |
| May 31-June 06 | 71 | 528 | 528 | 528 | 528 | 528 | 504 | 504 |
|  | 72 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 |
|  | TOTAL | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 816 | 816 |
| June 07-13 | 71 | 528 | 504 | 504 | 504 | 504 | 504 | 504 |
|  | 72 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 264 |
|  | TOTAL | 840 | 816 | 816 | 816 | 816 | 816 | 768 |
| June 14-20 | 71 | 456 | 404 | 120 | 120 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
|  | 72 | 48 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 504 | 452 | 120 | 120 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| June 21-27 | 71 | 72 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 72 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 0 | 0 |

TABLE 4. Sumary of daily catch per unit effort (kg/hour), Miramichi River gaspereau fishery, districts 71 and 72, 1981. Values are based on gaspereau catch and effort logbook returns.

| DATE | DISTRICT | CPUE (KG/HOUR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MON | TUES | WED | THURS | FRI | SAT | SUN |
| May 11-17 | 71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 66.17 | 28.35 | 18.61 |
|  | 72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | TOTAL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 66.17 | 28.35 | 18.61 |
| May 18-24 | 71 | 43.41 | 16.66 | 9.19 | 45.10 | 36.11 | 65.54 | 77.57 |
|  | 72 | 0.00 | 15.46 | 0.00 | 42.11 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 35.33 |
|  | TOTAL | 32.31 | 16.54 | 8.24 | 44.40 | 29.77 | 57.95 | 56.90 |
| May 25-31 | 71 | 43.97 | 43.37 | 18.01 | 77.82 | 146.73 | 123.40 | 83.21 |
|  | 72 | 59.71 | 53.65 | 72.87 | 21.20 | 58.29 | 83.64 | 102.97 |
|  | total | 52.10 | 48.86 | 47.69 | 50.40 | 100.06 | 101.88 | 94.46 |
| June 01-07 | 71 | 156.31 | 198.07 | 134.98 | 136.35 | 239.43 | 179.86 | 128.89 |
|  | 72 | 144.18 | 201.70 | 211.16 | 81.64 | 144.21 | 181.97 | 197.72 |
|  | total | 149.24 | 200.20 | 179.66 | 103.78 | 181.53 | 181.21 | 169.12 |
| June 08-14 | 71 | 142.63 | 42.90 | 113.20 | 34.22 | 63.17 | 54.52 | 36.49 |
|  | 72 | 281.64 | 103.02 | 8.66 | 95.24 | 111.17 | 35.88 | 24.57 |
|  | TOTAL | 224.75 | 78.67 | 54.40 | 70.79 | 91.99 | 43.59 | 30.22 |
| June 15-21 | 71 | 42.99 | 32.74 | 23.67 | 16.76 | 14.18 | 13.22 | 14.17 |
|  | 72 | 50.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | TOTAL | 46.76 | 32.74 | 23.67 | 16.76 | 14.18 | 13.22 | 14.17 |
| June 22-28 | 71 | 33.64 | 7.42 | 7.42 | 7.42 | 7.42 | 7.42 | 7.42 |
|  | 72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | total | 33.64 | 7.42 | 7.42 | 7.42 | 7.42 | 7.42 | 7.42 |

TABLE 5. Summary of daily catch per unit effort (kg/hour), Miramichi River gaspereau fishery, districts 71 and 72, 1982. Values are based on gaspereau catch and effort logbook returns.

|  |  | CPUE (KG/HOUR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| DATE | DISTRICT | MON | TUES | WED | THURS | FRI | SAT | SUN |  |  |
| May 17-23 | 71 | 0.00 | 15.23 | 9.61 | 9.60 | 5.21 | 4.23 | 0.66 |  |  |
|  | 72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.68 | 2.05 | 0.00 | 2.61 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | TOTAL | 0.00 | 15.23 | 9.20 | 6.69 | 3.28 | 3.66 | 0.42 |  |  |
| May 24-30 | 71 | 0.56 | 7.85 | 10.98 | 5.98 | 4.08 | 6.09 | 34.31 |  |  |
|  | 72 | 2.10 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.55 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | TOTAL | 1.22 | 4.45 | 6.36 | 3.63 | 2.12 | 3.64 | 21.19 |  |  |
| May 31-June 06 | 71 | 184.17 | 360.39 | 274.36 | 155.37 | 79.90 | 64.35 | 26.55 |  |  |
|  | 72 | 2.23 | 200.27 | 229.89 | 118.49 | 94.14 | 63.79 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | TOTAL | 116.59 | 300.91 | 257.84 | 141.67 | 85.19 | 64.14 | 16.40 |  |  |
| June 07-13 | 71 | 51.76 | 42.94 | 37.73 | 40.68 | 30.19 | 19.07 | 25.85 |  |  |
|  | 72 | 112.49 | 33.98 | 22.90 | 14.18 | 33.80 | 20.35 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | TOTAL | 74.32 | 39.52 | 32.06 | 30.55 | 31.56 | 19.56 | 16.97 |  |  |
| June 14-20 | 71 | 14.17 | 33.89 | 10.39 | 4.25 | 14.77 | 5.31 | 8.87 |  |  |
|  | 72 | 51.98 | 18.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | TOTAL | 17.77 | 32.30 | 10.39 | 4.25 | 14.77 | 5.31 | 8.87 |  |  |
| June 21-27 | 71 | 11.82 | 0.00 | 17.73 | 0.00 | 9.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | TOTAL | 11.82 | 0.00 | 17.73 | 0.00 | 9.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |

TABLE 6. Estimated commercial catch of alewife and blueback herring in Miramichi River fishery (Districts 71 and 72), 1981.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Catch } \\ & (\mathrm{Kg}) \end{aligned}$ | Alewife |  |  | Blueback |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & (\times 1000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{wt}, \\ (\mathrm{Kg}) \end{gathered}$ | mean wt. (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ (\mathrm{x} 1000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{wt} . \\ (\mathrm{Kg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mean wt. } \\ & (g) \end{aligned}$ |
| May 15 | 1696 | 4.6 | 1696 | 373.0 | 0.0 | - | - |
| 16 | 1575 | 4.2 | 1555 | 373.0 | 0.05 | 20 | 427.0 |
| 17 | 1272 | 3.4 | 1256 | 373.0 | 0.05 | 16 | 427.0 |
| 18 | 8904 | 23.6 | 8792 | 373.0 | 0.3 | 112 | 427.0 |
| 19 | 3780 | 9.9 | 3685 | 372.7 | 0.2 | 95 | 427.0 |
| 20 | 1884 | 5.0 | 1788 | 359.3 | 0.2 | 96 | 427.0 |
| 21 | 13374 | 35.6 | 12317 | 345.9 | 2.5 | 1057 | 427.0 |
| 22 | 8904 | 25.8 | 8426 | 326.2 | 1.2 | 478 | 411.4 |
| 23 | 11762 | 34.1 | 11131 | 326.2 | 1.5 | 631 | 411.4 |
| 24 | 17140 | 49.7 | 16221 | 326.2 | 2.2 | 919 | 411.4 |
| 25 | 30388 | 88.2 | 28758 | 326.2 | 4.0 | 1630 | 411.4 |
| 26 | 27555 | 87.4 | 26784 | 306.4 | 1.9 | 771 | 411.4 |
| 27 | 26742 | 49.8 | 14761 | 296.4 | 29.1 | 11981 | 411.4 |
| 28 | 27350 | 21.2 | 6074 | 286.3 | 53.8 | 21276 | 395.8 |
| 29 | 57933 | 33.7 | 10027 | 297.4 | 126.8 | 47906 | 377.7 |
| 30 | 56699 | 33.0 | 9814 | 297.4 | 124.1 | 46885 | 377.7 |
| 31 | 50350 | 29.3 | 8715 | 297.4 | 110.2 | 41635 | 377.7 |
| June 1 | 90870 | 52.9 | 15728 | 297.4 | 199.0 | 75142 | 377.7 |
| 02 | 121039 | 46.7 | 14404 | 308.5 | 296.6 | 106635 | 359.5 |
| 03 | 108625 | 56.2 | 16848 | 300.0 | 257.6 | 91777 | 356.3 |
| 04 | 62743 | 41.1 | 11965 | 291.5 | 143.9 | 50778 | 353.0 |
| 05 | 105875 | 58.1 | 15319 | 263.8 | 275.7 | 90556 | 328.5 |
| 06 | 101040 | 55.4 | 14619 | 263.8 | 263.1 | 86421 | 328.5 |
| 07 | 99721 | 54.7 | 14429 | 263.8 | 259.6 | 85292 | 328.5 |
| 08 | 134921 | 74.0 | 19522 | 263.8 | 351.3 | 115399 | 328.5 |
| 09 | 46898 | 19.8 | 4682 | 236.0 | 138.9 | 42216 | 304.0 |
| 10 | 29750 | 9.0 | 2050 | 229.0 | 96.4 | 27700 | 287.5 |
| 11 | 41891 | 6.9 | 1522 | 222.0 | 149.0 | 40369 | 271.0 |
| 12 | 54338 | 24.7 | 5926 | 239.5 | 181.5 | 48412 | 266.8 |
| 13 | 26353 | 12.0 | 2874 | 239.5 | 88.0 | 23479 | 266.8 |
| 14 | 14718 | 6.7 | 1605 | 239.5 | 49.2 | 13113 | 266.8 |
| 15 | 16535 | 7.5 | 1803 | 239.5 | 55.2 | 14732 | 266.8 |
| 16 | 1609 | 1.2 | 310 | 257.0 | 5.0 | 1299 | 262.6 |
| 17 | 1163 | 0.6 | 152 | 244.0 | 3.9 | 1011 | 261.2 |
| 18 | 824 | 0.3 | 61 | 231.0 | 2.9 | 763 | 259.7 |
| 19 | 606 | 0.3 | 59 | 230.9 | 2.2 | 547 | 244.2 |
| 20 | 509 | 0.2 | 49 | 230.9 | 1.9 | 460 | 244.2 |
| 21 | 363 | 0.2 | 35 | 230.9 | 1.3 | 328 | 244.2 |
| 22 | 1402 | 0.6 | 136 | 230.9 | 5.2 | 1266 | 244.2 |
| 23 | 190 | 0.1 | 19 | 230.9 | 0.7 | 171 | 244.2 |
| 24 | 190 | 0.1 | 23 | 230.7 | 0.7 | 167 | 228.6 |
| 25 | 190 | 0.1 | 9 | 183.6 | 0.8 | 181 | 232.3 |
| 26 | 190 | 0.1 | 9 | 183.6 | 0.8 | 181 | 232.3 |
| 27 | 190 | 0.1 | 9 | 183.6 | 0.8 | 181 | 232.3 |
| 28 | 190 | 0.1 | 9 | 183.6 | 0.8 | 181 | 232.3 |
| Totals | 1410241 | 1067.7 | 315976 |  | 3289.7 | 1094265 |  |
| Mean |  |  |  | 295.9 |  |  | 332.6 |
| \% | 100 | 24.5 | 22.4 |  | 75.5 | 77.6 |  |

TABLE 7. Escimated number of alewives at age, by day, 1981 Miramichi River comercial Sishery.

| Dace | Age 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 15 | - | - | 518 | 414 | 1446 | 1950 | 209 | - | - | - |
| 16 | - | - | 475 | 379 | 1326 | 1797 | 192 | - | - | - |
| 17 | - | - | 384 | 306 | 1071 | 1452 | 155 | - | - | - |
| 18 | - | - | 2687 | 2145 | 7496 | 10159 | 1084 | - | - | - |
| 19 | - | - | 1127 | 900 | 3144 | 4261 | 455 | - | - | - |
| 20 | - | 174 | 861 | 518 | 1369 | 1652 | 348 | 55 | - | - |
| 21 | - | 2493 | 8297 | 4131 | 8297 | 8261 | 3312 | 819 | - | - |
| 22 | - | 1188 | 7801 | 4314 | 5528 | 5502 | 1214 | 284 | - | - |
| 23 | - | 1570 | 10305 | 5699 | 7303 | 7268 | 1604 | 375 | - | - |
| 24 | - | 2287 | 15018 | 8304 | 10642 | 10592 | 2337 | 547 | - | - |
| 25 | - | 4055 | 26625 | 14723 | 18867 | 18779 | 414. | 970 | - | - |
| 25 | - | 1923 | 32343 | 18969 | 17133 | 17046 | - | - | - | - |
| 27 | - | 4382 | 18825 | 7321 | 8715 | 8665 | - | 1892 | - | - |
| 28 | - | 3267 | 8169 | 1634 | 3267 | 3257 | - | 1612 | - | - |
| 29 | - | 2596 | 14936 | 1281 | 8227 | 5395 | - | 1281 | - | - |
| 30 | - | 2541 | 14618 | 1254 | 8052 | 5280 | - | 1254 | - | - |
| 31 | - | 2256 | 12981 | 1114 | 7150 | 4688 | - | 1114 | - | - |
| June I | - | 4072 | 23428 | 2010 | 12904 | 8461 | - | 2010 | - | - |
| 02 | - | - | 23345 | - | 15548 | 7797 | - | - | - | - |
| 03 | - | - | 22464 | - | 20611 | 13085 | - | - | - | - |
| 04 | - | - | 12314 | - | 16418 | 12314 | - | - | - | - |
| 05 | - | - | 8711 | 14518 | 26132 | 8711 | - | - | - | - |
| 06 | - | - | 8313 | 13855 | 24938 | 8313 | - | - | - | - |
| 07 | - | $\cdots$ | 8204 | 13674 | 24613 | 8204 | - | - | - | - |
| 08 | - | - | 11100 | 18500 | 33300 | 11100 | - | - | - | - |
| 09 | - | - | - | 9919 | 9919 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10 | - | - | 4476 | 2238 | 2238 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | - | - | 6856 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | - | 1386 | 15119 | 2747 | 1361 | 4132 | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | - | 672 | 7332 | 1332 | 660 | 2004 | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | - | 375 | 4095 | 744 | 369 | 1119 | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | - | 421 | 4601 | 836 | 414 | 1258 | - | - | - | - |
| 16 | - | 134 | 268 | 268 | 134 | 403 | - | - | - | - |
| June 17 | - | 348 | 69 | 69 | 34 | 104 | $-$ | - | - | - |
| 18 | - | 263 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19 | - | 148 | 42 | 21 | 42 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - |
| 20 | - | 125 | 36 | 18 | 36 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 21 | - | 89 | 25 | 13 | 25 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22 | - | 344 | 98 | 50 | 98 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23 | - | 47 | 13 | 7 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24 | - | 17 | 34 | 17 | 34 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25 | - | 17 | 21 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | - | 17 | 21 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27 | - | 17 | 21 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 | - | 17 | 21 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL * | 0 | 37,241 | 336,997 | 154,258 | 308,906 | 203,029 | 15,054 | 12,213 | 0 | 0 |
| * | 0.00 | 3.49 | 31.56 | 14.45 | 28.93 | 19.02 | 1.41 | 1.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

TABLE 8. Escimated numbers of blueback herring at age, by day, 1981 Miramich River gaspereau comercial fishery.

| Lace | Age 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 16 | - | - | - | - | 46 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | - | - | - | - | 37 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 | - | - | - | - | 262 | - | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | - |
| 19 | - | - | - | - | 222 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | - | - | - | 37 | 149 | - | 37 | - | - | - |
| 21 | - | - | - | 825 | 825 | - | 825 | - | - | - |
| 22 | - | - | - | 194 | 773 | - | 194 | - | - | * |
| 23 | - | - | - | 256 | 1021 | - | 256 | - | - | - |
| 24 | - | - | - | 373 | 1488 | - | 373 | - | - | - |
| 25 | - | $\rightarrow$ | - | 661 | 2638 | - | 662 | - | - | - |
| 26 | - | - | - | - | 1875 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27 | - | - | - | 2650 | 23386 | 1777 | 1311 | - | - | - |
| 28 | - | - | - | 9783 | 32575 | 6504 | 4892 | - | - | - |
| 29 | - | - | - | 19913 | 71789 | 15981 | 17503 | 1649 | - | - |
| 30 | - | - | - | 19489 | 70260 | 15641 | 17130 | 1614 | - | - |
| 31 | - | - | - | 17307 | 62392 | 13889 | 15212 | 1433 | - | - |
| June 1 | - | $\cdots$ | - | 31235 | 112604 | 25067 | 27455 | 2586 | - | - |
| 02 | - | - | - | 39154 | 156023 | 39154 | 54578 | 7712 | - | - |
| 03 | - | - | 7470 | 28077 | 148625 | 31683 | 31.168 | 10561 | - | - |
| 04 | - | - | 8199 | 12371 | 90480 | 16399 | 8199 | 8199 | - | - |
| 05 | - | - | 18359 | 28862 | 169149 | 22798 | 18359 | 18139 | - | - |
| 06 | - | - | 17522 | 27544 | 161424 | 21756 | 17521 | 17310 | - | - |
| 07 | - | - | 17292 | 27185 | 159316 | 21472 | 17292 | 17084 | - | - |
| 08 | - | - | 23396 | 36780 | 215553 | 29052 | 23396 | 23115 | - | - |
| 09 | - | - | 9929 | 16525 | 82627 | 6666 | 9929 | 9860 | - | 3333 |
| 10 | - | - | 10020 | 18884 | 49427 | 8864 | 3468 | 4528 | - | 1256 |
| June 11 | - | - | 20259 | 40667 | 64352 | 20259 | - | 3426 | - | - |
| 12 | - | 4899 | 27037 | 41916 | 73489 | 22137 | 7440 | 4536 | - | - |
| 13 | - | 2376 | 13112 | 20328 | 35641 | 10736 | 3608 | 2200 | - | - |
| 14 | - | 1327 | 7323 | 11353 | 19905 | 5996 | 2015 | 1229 | - | - |
| 15 | - | 1491 | 8227 | 12755 | 22362 | 6736 | 2264 | 1380 | - | - |
| 16 | - | 267 | 804 | 935 | 1870 | 534 | 403 | 134 | - | - |
| 17 | - | 147 | 1002 | 754 | 1161 | 422 | 244 | 139 | - | - |
| 18 | - | 65 | 1046 | 588 | 652 | 326 | 131 | 131 | - | - |
| 19 | - | 103 | 843 | 484 | 379 | 229 | 135 | 49 | - | - |
| 20 | - | 87 | 708 | 407 | 318 | 192 | 130 | 41 | - | - |
| 21 | - | 62 | 504 | 290 | 227 | 137 | 93 | 30 | - | - |
| 22 | - | 238 | 1949 | 1120 | 876 | 529 | 358 | 114 | - | - |
| 23 | - | 32 | 264 | 152 | 119 | 72 | 48 | 15 | - | - |
| 24 | - | 51 | 288 | 170 | 85 | 68 | 68 | - | - | - |
| 25 | - | 44 | 234 | 188 | 174 | 85 | 37 | 16 | - | - |
| 26 | - | 44 | 234 | 188 | 174 | 85 | 37 | 16 | - | - |
| 27 | - | 44 | 234 | 188 | 174 | 85 | 37 | 16 | - | $\square$ |
| 28 | - | 4 | 234 | 188 | 174 | 85 | 37 | 16 | - | - |
| TOTAL * | 0 | 11321 | 196488 | 470776 | 1837098 | 345416 | 286865 | 137278 | 0 | 4489 |
| * | 0.00 | 0.34 | 5.97 | 14.31 | 55.84 | 10.50 | 8.72 | 4.17 | 0.00 | 0.14 |

* Total Catch (all ages) $=3,289,731$ Eish

TABLE 9. Estimated commercial catch of alewife and blueback herring in Miramichi River fishery (district 71 and 72), 1982.

| Date | Total Catch Kg | Alewife |  |  | Blueback |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | wt | mean wt | No. | wt | mean wt |
|  |  | X 1000 | Kg | (g) | X 1000 | Kg | (g) |
| May 18 | 5118 | 14.4 | 5118 | 356 | 0.0 | 0 |  |
| 19 | 4196 | 11.4 | 4007 | 353 | 0.5 | 189 | 400 |
| 20 | 4177 | 10.9 | 3799 | 350 | 0.9 | 378 | 400 |
| 21 | 2127 | 5.6 | 1876 | 337 | 0.6 | 251 | 406 |
| 22 | 2459 | 6.4 | 2169 | 337 | 0.7 | 290 | 406 |
| 23 | 284 | 0.7 | 250 | 337 | 0.1 | 34 | 406 |
| 24 | 881 | 2.3 | 750 | 323 | 0.3 | 131 | 412 |
| 25 | 3201 | 8.5 | 2862 | 335 | 0.8 | 339 | 412 |
| 26 | 4581 | 12.2 | 4095 | 335 | 1.2 | 486 | 412 |
| 27 | 2610 | 7.0 | 2438 | 346 | 0.4 | 172 | 412 |
| 28 | 1779 | 4.9 | 1488 | 301 | 0.7 | 291 | 412 |
| 29 | 2792 | 6.2 | 2021 | 327 | 2.0 | 771 | 391 |
| 30 | 17293 | 38.3 | 12515 | 327 | 12.2 | 4778 | 391 |
| 31 | 97937 | 174.5 | 61612 | 353 | 98.2 | 36325 | 370 |
| June 1 | 252767 | 382.3 | 120817 | 316 | 361.5 | 131950 | 365 |
| 2 | 216586 | 327.6 | 103523 | 316 | 309.8 | 113062 | 365 |
| 3 | 119005 | 140.8 | 39281 | 279 | 222.1 | 79724 | 359 |
| 4 | 71555 | 69.4 | 18589 | 268 | 166.6 | 52966 | 318 |
| 5 | 52334 | 50.7 | 13595 | 268 | 121.8 | 38738 | 318 |
| 6 | 13381 | 13.0 | 3476 | 268 | 31.1 | 9905 | 318 |
| 7 | 62426 | 45.7 | 11753 | 257 | 182.9 | 50672 | 277 |
| 8 | 32246 | 15.4 | 3615 | 235 | 101.2 | 28631 | 283 |
| 9 | 26159 | 12.5 | 2933 | 235 | 82.1 | 23226 | 283 |
| 10 | 24925 | 5.6 | 1317 | 235 | 81.9 | 23594 | 288 |
| 11 | 25753 | 4.1 | 960 | 235 | 93.2 | 24793 | 266 |
| 12 | 15960 | 2.5 | 595 | 235 | 57.8 | 15365 | 266 |
| 13 | 13030 | 2.1 | 486 | 235 | 47.2 | 12544 | 266 |
| 14 | 8958 | 0.7 | 173 | 235 | 36.0 | 8785 | 244 |
| 15 | 14600 | 0.6 | 141 | 235 | 59.3 | 14459 | 244 |
| 16 | 1247 | 0.1 | 12 | 235 | 5.1 | 1235 | 244 |
| 17 | 510 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 2.1 | 510 | 243 |
| 18 | 1418 | 0.4 | 100 | 235 | 5.7 | 1318 | 232 |
| 19 | 510 | 0.2 | 36 | 235 | 2.0 | 474 | 232 |
| 20 | 851 | 0.3 | 60 | 235 | 3.4 | 791 | 232 |
| 21 | 851 | 0.5 | 115 | 212 | 3.3 | 736 | 221 |
| 22 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0.0 | 0 |  |
| 23 | 851 | 0.5 | 109 | 199 | 3.4 | 742 | 221 |
| 24 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0.0 | 0 |  |
| 25 | 454 | 0.8 | 136 | 165 | 1.4 | 318 | 226 |
| Totals | 1105812 | $\overline{1379.2}$ | 426822 |  | $\overline{2099.4}$ | 678973 |  |
| Mean |  |  |  | 312 |  |  | 323 |
| $\%$ | 100 | 39 | 39 |  | 61 | 61 |  |

TABLE 10. Estinated number of alewives at age, by day, 1982 Miramichi River comercial fishery.

| Dace | Age 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 18 | - | 2386 | 5886 | 906 | 2401 | 589 | 604 | 604 | - | - |
| 19 | - | 1805 | 6175 | 727 | 1317 | 602 | 363 | 238 | - | 125 |
| 20 | - | 1650 | 6611 | 706 | 706 | 706 | 239 | - | - | 239 |
| 21 | - | 802 | 3468 | 434 | 501 | 245 | 61 | - | - | 61 |
| 22 | - | 927 | 4009 | 502 | 579 | 283 | 71 | - | - | 71 |
| 23 | - | 107 | 463 | 58 | 67 | 33 | 8 | - | - | 8 |
| 24 | - | 316 | 1477 | 211 | 265 | 53 | - | - | - | - |
| 25 | - | 1836 | 4476 | 641 | 991 | 350 | 256 | - | - | - |
| 26 | - | 2626 | 6403 | 915 | 1416 | 500 | 365 | - | - | - |
| 27 | - | 2071 | 2902 | 415 | 830 | 415 | 415 | - | - | - |
| 28 | - | 529 | 2121 | 707 | 885 | 351 | 351 | - | - | - |
| 29 | - | 809 | 3158 | 636 | 847 | 315 | 414 | - | - | - |
| 30 | - | 5014 | 19558 | 3942 | 5243 | 1952 | 2564 | - | - | - |
| 31 | - | 27227 | 103675 | 10996 | 16406 | 5410 | 10995 | - | - | - |
| June 1 | - | 120435 | 194225 | 32116 | 17970 | 5735 | 11852 | - | - | - |
| 02 | - | 103196 | 166424 | 27519 | 15397 | 4914 | 10156 | - | - | - |
| 03 | - | 66735 | 59273 | 14783 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 04 | - | 40715 | 21571 | 3676 | 3468 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 05 | - | 29778 | 15777 | 2689 | 2536 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 06 | - | 7614 | 4034 | 687 | 649 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 07 | - | 32013 | 9147 | - | 4573 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 08 | - | 7954 | 4108 | - | 769 | 2569 | - | - | - | - |
| 09 | - | 6453 | 3332 | - | 624 | 2084 | - | - | - | - |
| 10 | - | 1867 | 1867 | - | - | 1867 | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | - | 682 | 2725 | - | - | 682 | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | . - | 423 | 1690 | - | - | 423 | - | - | - | $\rightarrow$ |
| June 13 | - | 345 | 1379 | - | - | 345 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ |
| 14 | - | - | 735 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | - | 300 | 300 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 16 | - | 26 | 26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | - | - | - | - | $\sim$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 | - | 214 | 214 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19 | - | 77 | 77 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | - | 129 | 129 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - |
| 21 | - | 542 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23 | 78 | 391 | 78 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | - | - | - |
| 25 | 421 | 287 | 118 | ${ }^{*}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL * | 499 | 468,281 | 658,608 | 103,266 | 78,440 | 30,423 | 38,714 | 842 | 0 | 304 |
| \% | 1.04 | 33.94 | 47.74 | 7.49 | 5.69 | 2.21 | 2.81 | 0.06 | $0 . \infty$ | 0.04 |

* Tocal Catch (all ages) ( 1,379,577 Eish

TABLE 11. Escimaced nubers of blueback hering at age, by day, 1982 Miramichi River comercial fishery.


Table 12. Annual catch of gaspereau in the Millbank experimental trap ( $N=$ number) and in the commercial fishery ( $T=$ tonnes) in districts 71 and 72 (1977-1982). Correlation coefficients (r) and regression coefficients a and $b$ are shown for selected correlations as indicated.

| Year | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Millbank(N) | 173,632 | 126,581 | 103,946 | 74,819 | 43,551 | 34,164 |
| Commercial (T) | 2,240 | 1,434 | 3,343 | 3,767 | 1,410 | 1,072 |
| Regression type |  | Exponential |  |  | Linear |  |
| Variable X |  | Millbank catch |  |  | Millbank catch |  |
| Variable Y |  | Year |  |  | Commercial catch |  |
| r |  |  | 99 |  |  |  |
| a |  |  | 807 |  | 69, |  |
| b |  |  | 33 |  |  |  |

TABLE 13. Estimates of catch at age for alewife and blueback herring in the 1981 and 1982 gaspereau fishery in the Miramichi River estuary. Instantaneous mortality ( $Z$ ), annual mortality (A), and annual survival (S) are estimated using Paloheimo's catch effort method.

| Age | Alewife |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch |  |  | Catch/hr |  |
|  | 1981 | 1982 |  | 1981 | 1982 |
| 3 | 37241 | 468281 |  | 2.67 | 21.21 |
| 4 | 336997 | 658608 |  | 24.19 | 29.83 |
| 5 | 154258 | 103266 |  | 11.07 | 4.68 |
| 6 | 308906 | 78440 |  | 22.18 | 3.55 |
| 7 | 203029 | 30423 |  | 14.57 | 1.38 |
| 8 | 15054 | 38714 |  | 1.08 | 1.75 |
| 9 | 12213 | 842 |  | 0.88 | 0.04 |
|  |  |  |  | 5-8 | 6-9 |
|  |  |  |  | 48.90 | 6.72 |
| 1981 Effort 13930 hrs 6-9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 Effort 22076 hrs 5-8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blueback Herring |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ag | Catch |  |  | Catch/hr |  |
|  | 1981 | 1982 |  | 1981 | 1982 |
| 3 | 11321 | 31920 |  | 0.81 | 1.45 |
| 4 | 196488 | 425505 |  | 14.11 | 19.27 |
| 5 | 470776 | 626739 |  | 33.80 | 28.39 |
| 6 | 1837098 | 253819 |  | 131.88 | 11.50 |
| 7 | 345416 | 628568 |  | 24.80 | 28.47 |
| 8 | 286865 | 57456 |  | 20.59 | 2.60 |
| 9 | 137278 | 74238 |  | 9.85 | 3.36 |
| 10 |  | 1138 |  |  | 0.05 |
|  |  |  |  | 5-9 | 6-10 |
| 1981 Effort 13930 hrs |  | 6-10 |  |  |  |
| 1982 Effort 22076 hrs |  | $=0.21$ | $z=1.57$ | $s=0.2080$ | $A=0.7920$ |

TABLE 14. Estimates of hypothetical increase in spawning escapement for alewife and blueback herring and the impact on the fishery from: A. week-end fishing closure and B. delayed season opening to June 1.

| Option | Year | Increased Escapement |  |  |  | Loss to Fishery |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Alewives |  | Blueback |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\mathrm{X} 1000) \end{gathered}$ | Harvest Reduction \% | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\mathrm{X} 1000) \end{gathered}$ | Harvest Reduction \% \% | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{kg} \\ (\mathrm{X1000}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reduction } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1981 | 283.1 | 27 | 902.8 | 27 | 381.9 | 27 |
| A | 1982 | 120.4 | 9 | 278.3 | 13 | 118.9 | 11 |
|  | 1981 | 538.5 | 50 | 458.1 | 14 | 347.3 | 25 |
| B | 1982 | 303.3 | 22 | 118.6 | 6 | 149.4 | 14 |



Fig. 1. Map of Mramichi estuary showing boundaries of statistical districts, location of commercial gaspereau traps and location of Millbank trap site.



Fig. 3 Annual catch (mt) per license in the Miramichi River gaspereau fishery (districts $71 \& 72$ combined).



Fig. 5 Daily fishing effort (trap hours) by gaspereau traps in the miramichi kiver estuary (districts $71 \& 72$ ), 1982 .


Fig. 6 Daily catch per unit effort (kg/trap hour)for gaspereautraps in the miramichi River estuary (districts 71 \& 72), 1981 .



Fig. 8 Estimated catch (mt) of gaspereau per day in the Miramichi River estuary (districts $71 \& 72)$, 1981.


Fig. 9 Estimated catch (mt) of gaspereau per day in the Miramichi kiver estuary (districts 71 \& 72) , 1982.





Fig. 13 Catch (percentage of total estimated catch) of alewives and blueback herring, in each age group, in the Miramichi River estuary gaspereau fishery (districts 71 \& 72), 1981.


Fig. 14 Estimated number of alewives caught per day in the Miramichi River estuary gaspereau fishery (districts 71872 ), 1982.


Fig. 15 Estimated number of blueback herring caught per day in the Miramichi River estuary gaspereau fishery (districts $71 \& 72$ ), 1982.


Fig. 16 Litimated number of alewives and blueback herring caught per day in the Miramichi River estuary gaspereau fishery (districts 71\&72), 1982.


