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## Abstract

After several consecutive years of substantially declining angling catches on Deadman's Brook, Anchor Brook, and Tides Brook, the recreational fishery on these systems was closed as a stock restoration measure beginning in 1978. Angling statistics collected during a limited open season (July 9-22) and redd counts in 1983 (year of first major adult returns from the first year of the ban) were compared with data for the pre-closure and closure periods respectively. Both indicators showed that Deadman's Brook and Anchor Brook improved while the reverse was true for Tides Brook. Parr densities (determined in the summer of 1983) for Deadman's Brook and Anchor Brook compared favorably with other insular Newfoundland rivers for the same habitat type; densities on Tides Brook were lower. The reliability of using indices of abundance such as angling statistics, redd counts and parr densities for assessment purposes as alternative to complete smolt and/or adult counts is discussed.

In 1983, a marked decline in recreational catch and catch per unit effort compared with historic levels was noted for Main River (Sop's Arm). An examination of commercial salmon fishery landings in the immediate area revealed evidence to suggest that a large number of salmon destined for that river were taken in cod traps. This situation is examined in relation to environmental conditions prevailing in Main River from mid-June through July.

## Résumé

Après plusieurs années consécutives de baisse des prises de la pêche à la ligne dans les ruisseaux Deadman, Anchor et Tides, la pêche rēcréative dans ces cours d'eau a été interrompue en 1978 par mesure de protection des populations. Des statistiques sur la pêche à la ligne établies au cours d'une saison de pêche limitée ( $9-22$ juillet) et des calculs de population en frayère effectuēs en 1983 (année des premiers retours importants d'adultes depuis la première année de l'interdiction) ont été comparēs à des données relatives aux pēriodes antērieures et postérieures à la fermeture respectivement. Les deux indicateurs ont permis d'observer une amélioration dans les ruisseaux Deadman et Anchor, alors que l'inverse se produisait dans le cas du ruisseau Tides. Les densités de tacons (dēterminées a l'été 1983) dans les ruisseaux Deadman et Anchor se comparaient avantageusement à celles d'autres cours d'eau insulaires de Terre-Neuve pour le même type d'habitat; les densitēs du ruisseau Tides ētaient plus faibles. Nous analysons la fiabilité de l'utilisation, à des fins d'ēvaluation, d'indices d'abondance comme les statistiques sur la pēche à la ligne, les calculs de population en frayère et les densitēs de tacons, comme solution de rechange au dēnombrement complet des smolts et des adultes.

En 1983, on a observé, dans le cas de la rivière Main (Sop's Arm) une baisse marquēe des prises et des prises par unité d'effort récréatives par rapport aux niveaux habituels. Un examen des débarquements commerciaux de saumon dans la région porte à croire qu'un grand nombre de saumons destinés à cette rivière ont été capturēs par des pièges à morue. Nous examinons cette situation dans ses rapports avec les conditions environnementales qui règnent dans la rivière Main de la mi-juin à la fin de juillet.

## Introduction

As a result of substantially declining recreational catches over several consecutive years on Deadman's Brook, Anchor Brook (Fig. 1) and Tides Brook (Fig. 2) it was decided to close these rivers to angling as a restoration measure for a period of five years beginning in 1978. This paper assesses the effects of these closures by examining changes in certain indicators of escapement levels compared before and after the ban. In 1983, a marked decrease in recreational catch was noted for Main River (Sop's Arm) (Fig. 3) while the level of effort remained unchanged. A possible explanation for this decline is presented. Figure 4 shows the location of all of the above rivers in the context of insular Newfoundland and the appropriate Statistical Area.

## Materials and Methods

The 1983 recreational catch and effort data for Deadman's Brook, Anchor Brook, Tides Brook and Main River (Sop's Arm) were added to that previously presented by Moores et al. (1978), Moores and Tucker (1979, 1980), Ash (unpublished) and Moores and Ash (unpublished). Effort in the recreational fishery was presented as rod days (defined as any day or part thereof on which an angler fishes) and represents actual effort reported by DFO personnel. During staff days off, estimates of catch and effort were provided.

Effort for the commercial fishery for communities in the vicinity of Main River (Sop's Arm) in the bottom of White Bay was presented as the number of gear units (one unit constitutes 50 fathoms of gillnet or salmon trap) licenced to prosecute the fishery. Mean weights of fish for each community were determined from commercial purchase slips. Numbers of fish were calculated for each community by dividing total catch weight by the derived mean weight.

Means and standard deviations for ratio variables were calculated according to Cochran (1977) as used previously by $0^{\prime}$ Connell et al. (1983).

The DeLury removal method (Ricker 1975) was used to estimate parr density for all stations except the one on Deadman's Brook when, due to the fact that greater depth of water relative to the other stations hampered electrofishing efficiency, the Petersen mark-recapture method (Ricker 1975) was adopted. Ages of parr were estimated for each station by means of a length frequency distribution.

Results
Deadman's Brook/Anchor Brook
Angling Statistics. These rivers constitute two outlets or branches from a single system (Fig. I). Angling occurs mainly between salt water and the lower-most pond on each branch.

Beginning in 1970, recreational catches for each branch showed a marked decline being most pronounced for the period 1974-76 (Tables 1 and 2). In the event that recreational catches were indicative of spawning escapements, both streams were completely closed to angling from 1978 to 1982 as a restoration measure. This was in spite of a marked increase in angling success in 1977 (both catch and catch per unit effort were substantially higher than the 197377 mean). However, in view of the relatively poor catches in 1974-76 and the possibility that low spawning escapements in those years would negatively impact on future abundance, the closure was not rescinded. In 1983, an attempt was made to assess the effect of the closure by opening the streams to angling from July 9 to July 22 and comparing catch and effort statistics obtained within that time frame with those available for the same time period for years immediately preceeding the ban. It was hoped that this approach would provide some indication of the degree of success or failure of the closure and at the same time maximize spawning escapement. Given a modal smolt age of $3+$ years and the fact that predominantly grilse are produced, most adults returning in 1983 would have been the progeny of the 1978 spawning escapement (the first year of the ban). The results of the limited angling season are presented in Table 3. Excluding 1977, it is evident that Deadman's Brook showed a substantial improvement while Anchor Brook did not (catch responded to effort for the former but not the latter).

Spawning Surveys. Redd counts were conducted in early to mid-November of 1978, 1979, and 1983. The number and distribution of redds for each year are shown in Fig. 1. The total number of redds located in 1978 and 1979 (obviously before the return of progeny from the closure period) was 260 and 143 respectively. This compares with 315 in 1983, the year in which the progeny of 1978 spawners were expected to return. Therefore, using redd counts as a criterion, it would appear that the 1983 escapement improved over levels recorded during the angling closure. It should be noted that the number of redds counted in 1978 and 1979 most likely included fish which otherwise would have been taken in the recreational fishery in addition to the progeny of spawners prior to the ban.

Parr Density. Parr density (Table 4) was determined at one station on each of Deadman's Brook and Anchor Brook during August 25-26, 1983. The locations of these stations are shown in Fig. 1. Density for Deadman's Brook was substantially higher than that of Anchor Brook.

## Tides Brook

Angling Statistics. Historical recreational catch and effort data for Tides Brook are presented in Table 5. Angling closure was invoked on this river for the same reasons as given above for Deadman's Brook/Anchor Brook and covered the same time frame. Tides Brook was also opened to angling from July 9 to July 22, 1983. While catch showed an increase during these two weeks over a comparable period prior to the ban (Table 6), effort also increased with little attendant increase in catch per unit effort.

Spawning Surveys. Redd counts (Fig. 2) were conducted in early to midNovember in 1978 and 1983. The total number of redds located in 1983 was 39; this was a marked decline from 1978 when 183 were encountered.

Parr Density. Parr density was determined at two stations (Fig. 2) during August $8-12,1983$. The results are presented in Table 7. Density for Tides Brook was considerably lower than for Deadman's Brook/Anchor Brook.

## Main River (Sop's Arm)

Recreational catch on this river declined substantially in 1983 (Table 8) while effort remained much the same as the previous year; catch per unit effort showed a dramatic decline. Effort in 1982 increased markedly over previous years with only a slight decline in catch per unit effort. The commercial fishery in the vicinity of this river was examined for a possible explanation for the poor escapement as indicated by recreational catch. This was prompted by reports of large numbers of salmon being taken in cod traps in the area. An examination of purchase slips from fish plants revealed an overall increase in landings in 1983; this was most pronounced for catches ( $80 \% \mathrm{grilse}$ ) landed at Purbeck's Cove and Westport (Table 9). By looking at the catches of each individual fisherman in these two communities it was learned that fishermen with cod traps caught more fish than those with salmon nets in both 1982 and 1983. However, as can be seen in Table 10, the difference between the two groups was phenomenally higher in 1983 than in 1982. The cod traps in question (a total of 3 ) were located across the bottom of White Bay opposite the mouth of Main River (Fig. 3). Salmon nets were spread along both sides of the bay in the vicinity of each community. The cod traps were operated in the same locations in both 1982 and 1983. Why then the dramatic increase in landings in 1983? If one assumes that the majority of salmon caught in the bottom of White Bay originates there, and considers the fact that Main River is by far the largest in the area, it is reasonable to conclude that a substantial portion of the commercial catch could belong to Main River. Comparison of mean weekly water temperatures recorded at Indian River fishway in 1983 with previous years (Table 11) shows that maximum temperatures (and most likely minimum water levels) occurred during the first two weeks of July in 1983 as opposed to the last two weeks and early August in previous years. Because of the close geographic proximity of Indian River to Main River (Fig. 4), the same temperature trends most likely applied to the latter stream for that period. In fact, information obtained from an angler log book survey indicates that low water conditions prevailed for a period of about a week prior to the first week of temperature data presented in Table 11 for 1983 (week ending June 25). Most of the commercial catch referred to above for both 1982 and 1983 was taken between mid-June and mid-July. The great increase in commercial catch in 1983 therefore could be due to the fact that high temperatures and low water levels in Main River caused fish to remain in salt water for a longer than normal period and hence became more susceptible to commercial gear, especially cod traps.

## Discussion

Both angling statistics and spawning surveys indicate that Deadman's Brook/Anchor Brook on the whole showed an improvement in 1983 over years preceeding closure of the recreational fishery. The reverse was true for Tides Brook using these two indicators. The total number of redds counted on Tides Brook in 1983 not only showed a considerable decline from 1978 but was even
lower than the number of fish caught during the two week angling period. Field staff who conducted redd counts on this river in 1983 noted that a considerable amount of vehicular traffic (all terrain vehicles and pickup trucks) was occurring up and down the river bed over the major spawning area located on Main Brook tributary (Fig. 2) during the time of the survey. It was suspected that evidence of redds was erased as a result of this practice. Local Resource Management personnel indicated that this traffic occurs throughout the year and has been going on for the past 10 years or more. This combined possibly with a reported high incidence of poaching activity could account in large part for the demise of this salmon stock. During surveys on Deadman's Brook/Anchor Brook in 1983, several beaver dams were located throughout the system. One such dam was located at the mouth of Ten Mile Pond in July which was assessed to be a total obstruction. If it were allowed to remain intact it would have prevented fish from reaching the large spawning area between Ten Mile Pond and Whitney Pond, indicated in Fig. 1. This dam was subsequently opened prior to the spawning season. The prevalence of beaver dams over the years could have in part contributed to the apparent decrease in stock size through limiting access to both spawning and rearing areas.

Unfortunately parr densities were not determined during the period of angling closure (which would have been the progeny of fish spawning prior to the closure) for either Deadman's Brook/Anchor Brook or Tides Brook. Hence, comparisons cannot be made with the pre-closure period. Densities for Deadman's Brook/Anchor Brook compare favourably with those recorded for other insular Newfoundland rivers (R. J. Gibson, unpublished data) for the same habitat type. It is evident from Fig. 1 that there is very little stream habitat available on Deadman's Brook/Anchor Brook and that standing waters constitute the bulk of available rearing area. Parr rearing in standing waters is a common phenomenon in insular Newfoundland (Pepper 1976; Chadwick 1982; $0^{\prime}$ Connell and Reddin 1983). A fyke trap set overnight in Anchor Pond on July 8, 1983 caught 9 salmon parr, 1 salmon fry, 1 trout, 7 eels, and a number of sticklebacks. If the stream is the preferred habitat type for parr, it is possible that these areas could be occupied to the maximum under both low and high stock size situations. Therefore, in future for systems of this type, before and after population estimates for lakes and ponds might be more meaningful than estimates for stream habitat. Compared with other insular Newfoundland rivers, parr density in Tides Brook was low. Regardless of the level of spawning escapements or egg/juvenile survival (for reasons referred to above), based on habitat type this system appears to be rather unproductive. The lower river consists of deep water with sandy and muddy substrate, the intermediate section is characterized by pebble and cobble, and upstream sections are boulder and bedrock.

The use of recreational catches, redd counts and parr densities as indices of abundance can produce inconclusive results due to the influence of such factors as annual variation in environmental conditions. In the case of recreational catch, its index value was lost for the five years angling was suspended. Even though the cautious approach of opening the season for a brief period might result in a higher spawning escapement, annual variation in the onset and duration of high water temperatures and low water levels in relation to the angling interval in question could result in non-representative data which are not comparable with previous years. When the angling interval is
mid-season, an accumulation of fish from the beginning of the upstream migration, which in previous years would have been subject to exploitation, could artificially increase catch per unit effort in the reduced season. With respect to spawning surveys, the number of redds per female can vary from year to year and there can be variability in the completeness of counts. Ideally, complete smolt and/or adult counts during the closure period and the predicted time of impact of closure would be the most effective means of assessment. It is regrettable that no counts were conducted during the years that the above rivers were closed, hence there is no basis for comparison should such assessments be conducted next year and succeeding years (the first year of expected returns from the closure was 1983 as already mentioned).

There is strong evidence to suggest that the number of salmon taken in cod traps in 1983 in the region of Main River (Sop's Arm) had a severe impact on the level of escapement to that river. The decline in catch on this river was opposite to the situation for most other Newfoundland Region (insular) rivers where catches compared favourably with previous years ( $0^{\prime}$ Connell et al. 1983). Even though it is possible that the earlier occurrence of the period of high water temperatures and low water levels in the river which prevented entry of salmon contributed to the "success" of the commercial fishery this year, the potential exists for this to happen every year. It is interesting to note that very little cod has been landed from these berths traditionally. Nearly all of the cod landed by the fishermen concerned came from gillnets set further out the bay.
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Table 1. Summary of recreational Atlantic salmon catch and effort data for Deadman's Brook, 1953-83.

```
RIVER: DEADMANS BROOK (DEADMANS BAY)
CODE: 09089500
```

| YEAR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EFFORT } \\ & \text { ROD DAYS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRILSE } \\ & <2.7 \mathrm{KG} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SALMON: } \\ & >2.7 K G \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL CATCH | CuE | PERCENT GRILSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1953 | 477 | 28 | 3 | 31 | 0.06 | 3 |
| 1954 | 308 | 27 | 2 | 29 | 0.09 | 93 |
| 1955 | 74 | 31 | 0 | 51 | 0.42 | 100 84 |
| 1957 | 184 | i12 | 4 | 116 | 0.63 | 93 |
| 1958 | 141 | 112 | 3 | 115 | 0.82 | 97 |
| 1959 | 311 | 96 | 8 | 104 | 0.33 | 93 |
| 1960 | 214 | 63 | 15 | 78 | 0.36 | 86 |
| 1961 | 150 | 58 | 9 | 67 | 0.45 | 88 |
| 1962 | 391 | 202 | 22 | 224 | 0.57 | 72 |
| 1963 | 508 | 158 | 23 | 181 | 0.36 | 90 |
| 1964 | 357 | 124 | 5 | 129 | 0.36 | 97 |
| 1965 | 409 | 109 | 1 | 110 | 0.27 | $\begin{array}{r}99 \\ 100 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 1966 | 254 | $\bigcirc 0$ | 0 | 90 | 0.35 | 100 |
| 1967 | 444 457 | 121 228 | ${ }_{0}^{2}$ | 123 228 | 0.28 0.50 | 98 1.00 |
| 1968 1969 | 457 486 | 228 129 | 1 1 | 228 130 | 0.50 0.27 | 100 |
| 1970 | 376 | 68 | 0 | 68 | 0.18 | 100 |
| 1971 | 363 | 34 | 0 | 34 <br> 55 | 0.09 | 100 |
| 1972 | 186 | $\bigcirc 54$ | 1 |  | 0.30 |  |
| 1973 | 288 632 | 116 | 0 | 116. | 0.40 0.04 | 100 100 |
| 1974 1975 19 | 632 256 | 28 $\times 8$ | 0 | 18 9 | -0.04 | 100 |
| 1976 | 215 | \% 10 | 0 | 10 | 0.05 | 100 |
| 1977 | 467 | 180 | 19 | 199 | 0.43 | 34 |
| 1978 |  | , | - | - | - |  |
| 1979 1980 | , | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1980 1981 | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | - |  |
| 1982 |  | 6 | : | - |  |  |
| 1983 | 102 | 46 | 0 | 46 | 0.45 |  |

MEANS STANDARD DEVIATIONS NIS:

| $\begin{gathered} 53-57 \\ S_{N} \cdot D . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 329.8 \\ 215.1 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.0 \\ 36.1 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 2.2 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \cdot 0 \\ 37 \cdot 2 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16 \\ & \therefore 0.07 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 92 3 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 58-62 \\ S_{N} \cdot 0 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 241.4 \\ 107.7 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 106.2 \\ 58.2 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.4 \\ 7.3 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117.6 \\ 62.5 \\ 5: \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.49 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 89 |
| $\begin{gathered} 63-67 \\ \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{D} \\ \mathrm{~N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 394.4 \\ 95.8 \\ 5 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120.4 \\ 24.9 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.2 \\ & 9.6 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126.6 \\ 33.9 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \\ & 0.02 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 96 2 5 |
| $\begin{gathered} 68-72 \\ \underset{N}{S} \cdot 0 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 373.6 \\ 117.2 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102.6 \\ 78.6 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & 0.5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103.0 \\ 78.5 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 5.08 \end{aligned}$ | 100 0 5 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 73-77 \\ S_{\mathrm{N}} .0 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 371.6 \\ 174.5 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68.6 \\ 76.3 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 3.8 8.5 5 | 72.4 83.4 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19 \\ & 0.10 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 92 9 5 |
| $\begin{gathered} 78-82 \\ S_{N} .0 . \end{gathered}$ | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ | : | - | $:$ | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 69-82 \\ S_{N} .0 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 363.2 \\ & 145: 3 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 69.8 59.5 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 6: 3 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.1 \\ & 64.2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.06 \\ & 9.06 \end{aligned}$ | 97 3 9 |

PERCENT GRILSE FIGURES ARE CALCULATED USING LAGGED GRILSE VALUES - IN THE.ABOVE TABLE indicates no data for that year

Table 2. Summary of recreational Atlantic salmon catch and effort data for Anchor Brook, 1953-83.

RIVER: ANCHOR BROJK
CODE: 09089400

| YEAR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EFFORT } \\ & \text { ROD DAYS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRILSE } \\ & \angle 2.7 K G \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SALMON } \\ & >2.7 K G \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL CATCH | cue | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PERCENT } \\ & \text { GRILSE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1953 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.13 |  |
| 1954 | 34 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.24 | 100 |
| 1955 | 60 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0.32 | 100 |
| 1956 | 364 | 16 | 2 | 18 | 0.05 | 90 |
| 1957 | . 66 | - 39 | 4 | 43 | 0.65 | 80 |
| 1958 | 148 | 110 | 19 | 129 | 0.87 | 67 |
| 1959 | 345 | 65 | 7 | 72 | 0.21 | 84 |
| 1960 | 341 | 81 | 10 | 91 | O. 27 | 87 98 |
| 1961 | 143 | 51 | 2 | 538 | 0.37 | 98 |
| 1962 | 499 | 183 | 14 | 197 | 0.39 | 78 99 |
| 1963 | 620 | 192 307 | $\frac{1}{9}$ | 193 316 | - 0.37 | 96 |
| 1964 | 715 | 108 108 | 0 | 108 | 0.15 | 100 |
| 1966 | 621 | 134 | 1 | 135 | 0.22 | 99 |
| 1967 | 785 | 128 | 2. | 130 | 0.17 | 99 |
| 1968 | 496 | 130 | 1 | 131 | 0.26 | 99 |
| 1969 | 704 | 133 | 2. | 135 | 0.19 | 198 |
| 1970 | 462 | 47 | 0 | 47 | 0.10 | 100 |
| 1971 | 241 290 |  | 0 | 28 | - 0.13 | 100 |
| 1972 1973 | 290 384 | 28 | 0 | 47 | 0.12 | 100 |
| 1974 | 353 | 13 | 2 | 15 | 0.04 | 96 |
| 1975 | 252 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0.04 | 100 |
| 1976 | 206 | 26 | 12 |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 557 | 234 | 12 | 246 | 0.44 | 68 |
| 1978 1979 |  | $\bullet$ | - | - | - |  |
| 1980 |  | - | $\bullet$ |  | - |  |
| 1981 |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1982 1983 | 238 | 32 | : | 32 | 0.13 |  |

MEANS STANDARD DEVIATIONS NVS:


PERCENT GRILSE FIGURES ARE CALCULATEJ USING LAGGEO GRILSE VALUES - IN THE ABOVE TABLE IND.ICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR

Table 3. Recreational Atlantic salmon catch and effort data for the period July 9-22, 1983 compared with the same time period for 1975-77 for Deadman's Brook/Anchor Brook.

| Year | Deadman's Brook |  |  | Anchor Brook |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total catch | *Effort | CUE | Total catch | *Effort | CUE |
| 1975 (July 21Aug. 8) | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 50 | 0.12 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1976 \text { (July 19- } \\ \text { Aug. 1) } \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 32 | 0.03 | 4 | 32 | 0.13 |
| 1977 (July 18-31) | 62 | 113 | 0.55 | 76 | 140 | 0.54 |
| 1983 (July 9-22) | 46 | 102 | 0.45 | 32 | 238 | 0.13 |

* Rod Days

Table 4. Parr density for a single station on each of Deadman's Brook and Anchor Brook. Also shown is the size of each station and substrate type.

| Station location | Station size | Substrate type | No. of Parr/100 m² |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0+ | $1+$ | 2+ | $3+$ | 4+ | Total |
| $1^{\text {Deadman's }}$ Brook | $\begin{aligned} & 444.10 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ & (31.80 \times 13.96) \end{aligned}$ | Boulder, rubble | 62.6 | 15.3 | 4.1 | 2.5 | - | 84.5 |
| 2Anchor Brook | $\begin{aligned} & 317.68 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ & (31.80 \times 9.99) \end{aligned}$ | Boulder, rubble | 23.9 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 34.6 |

1Petersen Mark-Recapture Method
${ }^{2}$ DeLury Depletion Method

Table 5. Summary of recreational Atlantic salmon catch and effort data for Tides Brook, 1953-83.

RIVER: TIDES BROOK:
CODE: 32064100

| YEAR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EFFORT } \\ & \text { ROD DAYS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRILSE } \\ & <2.7 \mathrm{KG} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SALMON } \\ & >2.7 K G . \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL CATCH | CUE | PERCENT GRILSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1953{ }^{\circ}$ | 165 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0.15 | - |
| 1954 | 43 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 0.19 | 96 |
| 1955 | 99 | $\bigcirc 5$ | 0 | 5 | 0.05 | 100 |
| 1956 | 152 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0.16 | 100 |
| 1957 | 261 | 21 | 2 | 23 | 0.09 | 93 |
| 1958 | 565 | 183 | 10 | 193 | 0.34 | 68 |
| 1959 | 228 | 48 | 2 | 50 | 0.22 | 99 |
| 1960 | 226 | 41 | 2 | 43 | 0.19 | 96 |
| 1961 | . 97 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0.12 | 100 |
| 1962 | 278 | 20 | 57 | 77 | 0.28 | 17 |
| 1963 | 576 | 147 | 2 | 149 | 0.26 | 91 |
| 1964 | 296 | 202 | 1 | 203 | 0.69 | 99 |
| 1955 | 675 | 249 | 1 | 250 | 0.37 | 100 |
| 1966 | 862 | 151 | 2 | 153 | 0.18 | 99 |
| 1967 | 620 | 81 | 1 | 82 | 0.13 | 998 |
| 1968 | 458 | 90 | 0 | 90 | 0.20 | 100 |
| 1969 | 296 | 88 | 3 | 91 | 0.31 | 97 |
| 1970 | 280 | . 78 | 0 | 78 | 0.28 | 100 |
| 1971 | 322 | 153 | 2 | 155 | 0.48 | 97 |
| 1972 | 135 | 48 | 1 | 49 | 0.36 | 99 |
| 1973 | 298 | 100 | 1 | 101 | 0.34 | 98 |
| 1974 | 392 | 49 | 0 | 49 | 0.13 | 100 |
| 1975 | 248 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.02 | 100 |
| 1976 | 263 | . 7 | 0 | 7 | 0.03 | 100 |
| 1977 | 513 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 0.05 | 100 |
| 1978 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1979 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1980 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1981 | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | - |  |
| 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 470 | 34 | 18 | 52 | 0.11 |  |

MEANS STANDARD DEVIATIONS NIS:

| $\begin{gathered} 53-57 \\ S . D \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 144.0 \\ 81.3 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.6 \\ & 9.8 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 0.9 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \cdot 2 \\ 9 \cdot 9 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.12 \\ & 0.02 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 95 2 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 58-62 \\ 5-0 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 278.8 \\ 173.4 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.8 \\ 69.9 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \cdot 2 \\ 24 \cdot 2 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75.0 \\ 69.9 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.27 \\ & 0.04 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ 17 \\ 5 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 63-67 \\ S .0 . \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 605.8 \\ 204.6 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 166.0 \\ 63.2 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \cdot 4 \\ & 0 \cdot 5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 167 \cdot 4 \\ 63 \cdot 1 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \cdot 28 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 99 0 5 |
| $\begin{gathered} 68-72 \\ 5 \cdot 0 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 298.2 \\ 115.2 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \cdot 4 \\ 38 \cdot 3 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \cdot 2 \\ & \frac{1}{5} \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92 \cdot 6 \\ 38 \cdot 8 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.31 \\ & 0.06 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 99 1 5 |
| $\begin{gathered} 73-77 \\ S .0 . \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 342.8 \\ 110.4 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.0 \\ 39.4 \\ 5 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 0.4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \cdot 2 \\ 39 \cdot 8 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.11 \\ & 0.05 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 100 0 5 |
| $\begin{gathered} 78-82 \\ S \cdot D \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\text { - }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | - | $\stackrel{+}{*}$ | - |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 69-62 \\ 5 \cdot D \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 305.2 \\ 103.6 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.3 \\ & 4 R_{0} .4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \cdot 1 \\ 49 \cdot 1 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.06 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 99 0 9 |

PERCENT GRILSE FIGURES $\triangle R E$ CALCULATED USING LAGGED GRILSE VALUES - IN THE ABOVE TABLE INTICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR

Table 6. Recreational Atlantic catch and effort data for the period July 9-22, 1983 compared with the same time period for 1975-77 for Tides Brook.

|  | Total <br> catch | Effort <br> (rod days) | CUE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 (July 21-Aug. 3) | 2 | 50 | 0.04 |
| 1976 (July 19-Aug. 1) | 1 | 46 | 0.02 |
| 1977 (July 18-31) | 0 | 103 | 0.02 |
| 1983 (July 9-22) | 52 | 470 | 0.11 |

Table 7. Parr density for 2 stations on a tributary of Tides Brook. Also shown is the size of each station and substrate type.

| Station location | Station <br> size | Substrate type | No. of Parr/100 m² |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0+ | 1+ | $2+$ | $3+$ | Total |
| Main Brook | $\begin{aligned} & 306.60 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ & (34.30 \times 8.94) \end{aligned}$ | Cobble, gravel, pebble | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 5.6 |
| Trib. of Main Brook | $\begin{aligned} & 301.90 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ & (44.40 \times 6.80) \end{aligned}$ | Cobble, gravel, pebble | 3.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | - | 4.3 |

Table 8. Summary of recreational Atlantic salmon catch and effort data for Main River (Sop's Arm), 1953-83.

RIVER: MAIN RIVER (SOPS ARM) CODE: 04031100

| YEAR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EFFORT } \\ & \text { ROD DAYS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRILSE } \\ & <2.7 K G \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SALMON } \\ & >2.7 K G . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { CATCH } \end{aligned}$ | CUE | PERCENT GRILSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1953 | 17 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 0.65 | 0 |
| 1954 | 48 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0.52 | 100 |
| 1955 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 1956 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  |
| 1957 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.50 | 0 |
| 1958 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 3 | $0 \cdot 30$ | 100 |
| 1959 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.13 | 100 |
| 1950 | . 5 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 50.40 | 100 |
| 1961 | 110 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 0.22 | 100 |
| 1962 | 112 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 0.54 | 100 |
| 1963 | 164 | 89 | 0 | 89 | 0.54 | 100 |
| 1964 | 465 | 284 | 0 | 284 | 0.61 | 100 |
| 1965 | 666 | 538 | 4 | 542 | 0.81 | 99 |
| 1966 | 1350 | 911 | 20 | 931 | 0.69 | 96 |
| 1967 | 891 | 128 | 1 | 129 | 0.14 | 100 |
| 1968 | 1036 | 749 | 26 | 775 | 0.75 | 83 |
| 1969 | 1625 | 600 | 44 | 734 | 0.45 | 94 |
| 1970 | 832 | 472 | 1 | 473 | 0.57 | 100 |
| 1971 | 713 | 405 | 9 | 414 | 0.58 | 98 |
| 1972 | 703 | 281 | 0 | 281 | 0.40 | 100 |
| 1973 | 685 | 409 | 0 | 409 | 0.60 | 100 |
| 1974 | 797 | 464 | 0 | 464 | 0.58 | 100 |
| 1975 | 1231 | 782 | 0 | 782 | 0.64 | 100 |
| 1976 | 1082 | 501 | 0 | 501 | 0.46 | 100 |
| 1977 | 1041 | 693 | 0 | 693 | 0.67 | 100 |
| 1978 | 616 | 252 | 0 | 252 | 0.41 | 100 |
| 1979 | 830 | 983 | 0 | 983 | 1.18 | 100 |
| 1980 | 916 | 976 | 35 | 1011 | 1.10 | 107 |
| 1981 | 1098 | 1275 | 2 | 1277 | 1.16 | 100 |
| 1982 | 1848 | 1620 | 87 | 1707 | 0.92 | 94 100 |
| 1983 | 1812 | $48^{2}$ | 1 | 483 | 0.27 | 100 |

MEANS STANDARD DEVIATIOŃS NIS:

| $\begin{gathered} 53-5 ? \\ 5 \cdot 0 . \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.8 \\ 18.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.3 \\ 11.4 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \cdot 3 \\ & 0.5 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \cdot 5 \\ 11 \cdot 4 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.44 \\ & \because \quad 4.13 \end{aligned}$ | 100 0 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 58-62 \\ 5 \cdot 0 . \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.4 \\ 52.5 \\ 5 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.8 \\ 24.7 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \cdot 8 \\ 24 \cdot 7 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \cdot 34 \\ & 0.11 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 100 0 5 |
| $\begin{gathered} 63-67 \\ S: D \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 707: 2 \\ 447: 9 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 390.0 \\ 340.5 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 0 \\ & 8 \cdot 5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 395 \cdot 0 \\ 348 \cdot 4 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.56 \\ & 0.14 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 99 1 5 |
| $\begin{gathered} 68-72 \\ 5 \cdot D \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 981.8 \\ 383.8 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 519.4 \\ 196.2 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.0 \\ & 18.8 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 535 \cdot 4 \\ 212 \cdot 3 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.55 \\ & 0.06 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 97 2 5 |
| $\begin{gathered} 73-77 \\ 5=0 . \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 967.2 \\ 221.8 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 569.8 \\ 159: 7 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 569 \cdot 8 \\ 159 \cdot 7 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.59 \\ & 0.04 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 100 0 5 |
| $\begin{gathered} 78-82 \\ 5 \cdot D . \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1061.6 \\ 472.5 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1021.2 \\ 504.5 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \cdot 8 \\ 37 \cdot 8 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1046 \cdot 0 \\ 530 \cdot 5 \\ 5 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.99 \\ & 0.10 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 97 2 5 |
| $\begin{gathered} 69-82 \\ S \cdot 0 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1001.2 \\ 362.0 \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 700.2 \\ 395.7 \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.7 \\ & 25.6 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 712.9 \\ & 413.1 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.71 \\ 0.08 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | 98 1 14 |

PERCENT GRILSE FIGURES ARE CALCULATED USING LAGGED GRTLSE VALUES: - IN THE ABOVE TABLE INDICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR

Table 9. Commercial landings (approximately $80 \%$ grilse) for communities in the vicinity of Main River (Sop's Arm), White Bay.

| Community <br> where catch <br> landed | 1982 |  |  | 1983 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wt. (kg) | No. |  | Wt. (kg) | No. | Percent increase <br> in catch (weight). |
| Jackson's Arm | 4077 | 1649 |  | 8835 | 3237 | 117 |
| Sop's Arm | 2284 | 925 | 5432 | 2732 | 138 |  |
| Purbeck's Cove | 1679 | 1022 |  | 8799 | 4732 | 424 |
| Westport | 1602 | 820 |  | 6143 | 2730 | 283 |

Table 10. Commercial catch statistics for fishermen with and without cod traps in the vicinity of Main River (Sop's Arm), White Bay. Number of fishermen in parentheses.

| Year | Catch (kg) |  | Average catch per fisherman (kg) |  | **Effort <br> (No. gear units) |  | CUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{T}$. | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{N}$. | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{T}$. | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{N}$. | $1^{1} . \mathrm{T}$. | ${ }^{2}$ S.N. | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{T}$. | ${ }^{2}$ S.N. |
| 1982 | 1450 | 1367 | 290(5)* | 80(17) | 3.0 | 48.8 | 483.3 | 28.0 |
| 1983 | 7450 | 3554 | 1490(5)* | 155(23) | 3.0 | 62.8 | 2483.3 | 56.6 |

$1_{\text {Fishermen }}$ landing salmon who operate cod traps plus salmon nets.
${ }^{2}$ Fishermen landing salmon from salmon nets who do not operate cod traps.

* 2 crews for a total of 5 fishermen; 3 cod traps operated.
** Gear unit $=50$ fathoms for both cod traps and salmon nets.

Table 11. Mean weekly water temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) In 1983 recorded at the Indian River fishway compared with previous years.

| Year |  |  |  | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (June 18- } \\ & 24 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ \text { (June } 25- \\ \text { July } 1 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.6 \\ & \text { (July } 2- \\ & 8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.1 \\ & \text { (July } 9- \\ & 15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.7 \\ & \text { (July 16- } \\ & 22 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.2 \\ & \text { (July } 23- \\ & \text { 29) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.7 \\ (\text { July } 30- \\ \text { Aug. 5) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.4 \\ \text { (Aug. } 6- \\ \text { 12) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.8 \\ & \text { (Aug. 13- } \\ & \text { 19) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.8 \\ & (\text { Aug. } 20- \\ & 26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \\ \text { (Aug. 27- } \\ \text { Sept. 2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Sept. 3- } \\ & \text { 9) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1979 | $\underset{\left(\text { June }^{-}\right.}{\text {23) }} 17-$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.1 \\ & \left(\begin{array}{l} \text { June } 24- \\ 30) \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \quad 15.8 \\ & \text { (July } \\ & 7 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { July } \\ 14)}}{17.1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.0 \\ & (\text { July } 15- \\ & 21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.6 \\ & \text { (July } 22- \\ & 28 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.3 \\ & \text { (July 29- } \\ & \text { Aug. 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.4 \\ \text { (Aug. } \\ \text { 11) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.6 \\ & \text { (Aug. } \\ & \text { 18) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \cdot 4 \\ \left(\begin{array}{l} \text { Aug. } \\ 25) \end{array}\right. \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ \text { (Aug. 26- } \\ \text { Sept. 1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.6 \\ & (\mathrm{Sept} \cdot 2- \\ & 8) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1980 | (June 1521) | $\begin{aligned} & 16.6 \\ & \text { ( June 22- } \\ & 28 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.4 \\ & \text { (June 29- } \\ & \text { July 5) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.1 \\ \text { (July } 6- \\ 12 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.7 \\ & \text { (July } 13- \\ & 19) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.3 \\ & \text { (July } 20- \\ & 26 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.5 \\ \text { (July 27- } \\ \text { Aug. 2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.0 \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \text { Aug. } 3 \text { - } \end{array}-\right. \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.9 \\ & \left(\begin{array}{l} \text { (Aug. } \\ 16) \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.9 \\ \text { (Aug. } 17- \\ 23 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.3 \\ \text { (Aug. 24- } \\ 30 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.4 \\ \text { (Aug. 31- } \\ \text { Sept. } 6 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.0 \\ & \text { (June 14- } \\ & 20 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0 \\ & \text { (June } 21 \text { - } \\ & 2.7 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & \text { (June 28- } \\ & \text { July 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.2 \\ \left(\text { July }_{11)} 5-\right. \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.9 \\ & \text { (July 12- } \\ & 18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.9 \\ & \text { (July } 19- \\ & 25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.6 \\ & \text { (July 26- } \\ & \text { Aug. 1) } \end{aligned}$ | 15.4 <br> (Aug. 28) | $\begin{gathered} 18.0 \\ (\text { Aug. } 9- \\ 15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.5 \\ & \text { (Aug. } 16- \\ & \text { 22) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Aug. } 23- \\ & 29 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | (Aug. 30Sept. 5) |
| 1982 | (June 1319) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (June 20- } \\ & 26 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.5 \\ & \text { (June 27- } \\ & \text { July 3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.9 \\ & \text { ( July } 4- \\ & 10 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.0 \\ & \text { ( July } 18- \\ & 24)^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.8 \\ & \text { (July } 25- \\ & 31 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ (\text { Aug. } \\ 7 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.1 \\ & \text { (Aug. } 8- \\ & \text { 14) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.9 \\ & \text { (Aug. } 15- \\ & 21 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.1 \\ \text { (Aug. 22- } \\ 28) \end{gathered}$ | (Aug. 29Sept. 4) |
| 1983 | (June 1218) | $\begin{aligned} & 17.8 \\ & \text { (June 19- } \\ & 25 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.0 \\ \text { (June 26- } \\ \text { July 2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \\ & \left(\begin{array}{l} \text { July } \\ 9) \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0 \\ & \left(\begin{array}{l} \text { (July } 10- \\ 16) \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.6 \\ & \left(\begin{array}{l} \text { (July } \\ 23) \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.3 \\ (\mathrm{July} 24- \\ 30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.8 \\ \text { (July 31- } \\ \text { Aug. 6) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.8 \\ \text { (Aug. } 7- \\ 13 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Aug. } \\ 20)^{-} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Aug. } 21- \\ & 27 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | (Aug. 28Sept. 3) |
| $\bar{x}$ (1978-82) | - | 13.7 | 14.0 | 15.7 | 15.5 | 16.2 | 17.2 | 15.6 | 15.5 | 15.1 | 13.5 | 14.5 |



Fig. 1. Map of Deadman's Brook/Anchor Brook showing the location and number of redds for 1978, 1979, and 1983, as well as the location of electrofishing stations.


Fig. 2. Map of Tides Brook showing the number and location of redds for 1978 and 1983 as well as the location of electrofishing stations and the area where vehicular traffic occurs.



Fig. 4. Map of insular Newfoundland showing the location of Deadman's Brook/Anchor Brook, Tides Brook, and Main River (Sop's Arm).. Also shown are the Statistical Areas and Sections.

