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#### Abstract

This paper updates the acoustic biomass estimates based on turnover presented at the Herring Assessment meeting in March 2013 and published in Melvin et al. (2014). In addition to updating the acoustic biomass estimates based on turnover to current year (2017), the data calculations used in 2013 were revisited and rechecked for accuracy and the biomass estimates amended where necessary. The acoustic biomass estimates were then analysed and presented in a manner and format as was done in the acoustic summary document (Singh et al. 2016) including the determination of the 3 -year moving average and the Limit Reference Point (LRP).


## INTRODUCTION

This paper updates the acoustic biomass estimates based on turnover presented at the Herring Assessment meeting in March 2013 and published in Melvin et al. (2014). In addition to updating the acoustic biomass estimates based on turnover to current year (2017), the data calculations used in 2013 were revisited and rechecked for accuracy and the biomass estimates amended where necessary. The acoustic biomass estimates were then analysed and presented in a manner and format as was done in the acoustic summary document (Singh et al. 2016) including the determination of the 3 -year moving average and the Limit Reference Point (LRP). The LRP for 4WX herring was defined in 2012 (Clark et al. 2012) as the average acoustic SSB for Scots Bay and German Bank between 2005 and 2010. The 3 -year moving average of the two spawning grounds is used to define trends in abundance.

The intention here is not to repeat the entire text presented in Melvin (2014), however, wherever it is deemed necessary some text may be repeated verbatim. Readers are encouraged to refer to Melvin et al. (2014) for further details where necessary.

Currently, the spawning stock biomass (SSB) of Atlantic herring, Clupea harengus, for the southwest Nova Scotia/Bay of Fundy (SWNS/BoF) spawning component of the 4WX herring stock utilizes trends in the total annual acoustic estimates. The observed biomass from multiple surveys conducted over the entire spawning season on the two major spawning grounds is summed (Figure 1). The surveys are separated by a period of approximately two weeks to ensure that no double counting occurs between surveys. The assumption is that all spawning herring have left the spawning grounds during this interval and that fish present at the time of a survey are independent of those present during any of the previous surveys.
A multi-year tagging study was implemented in 2009 to investigate residency time of spawning fish on German Bank. Arriving on the spawning ground in waves is a common characteristic occurring among both the Atlantic and Pacific herring (Lambert, 1987). Unlike many of the previous tagging studies conducted in the NAFO Divisions 4 VWX , where tagging events were ad hoc and sporadic, this 3 -year study was designed to cover the entire spawning period. Some of the previous tagging studies support a residence time for herring in the order of 10-14 days, but there is variability between spawning grounds and it is known that not all herring leave within the assumed window. Unlike the previous tagging studies conducted on the spawning grounds of Scots Bay and German Bank, the 3-year tagging project had a specific focus on turnover issues within a single spawning ground, German Bank. The main objective of the project was to investigate the potential uncertainty of SSB due to double counting or overestimating from survey to survey.

## METHOD

During the 3 -year study a total of 37 independent tagging events took place on German Bank, between August 19 and October 12 with 15, 10, and 12 events in 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively (Table 1). Details of the tagging dates, number of events and number of fish tagged from earlier studies (Clark, 2006; Paul, 1999; Maxner et al., 2010) and used in this analysis are also presented in Table 1. The details on tagging method are described in Melvin et al. (2014). Based on tag returns, estimates were made of the proportion of herring remaining on the spawning grounds relative to the elapsed time between marking and recapture. These proportions were used to develop regression analyses specific to the two main spawning grounds (Scots Bay and German Bank) which were then used to adjust the acoustic biomass estimates for all available years (1999-2017). The adjusted biomass numbers were then used
to recalculate the new LRP using the average adjusted acoustic SSB for Scots Bay and German Bank between 2005 and 2010 and to track the trends in the 3-year moving average.

## RESULTS

The cumulative percent of tag returns by days at large, or elapsed time, for all years independently in both Scots Bay and on German Bank were determined. Figures 2 and 3 show the raw and landings standardized proportions of tag returns by day and year for German Bank and Scots Bay. The data from each year were used to develop a relationship between the cumulative portion of returns (standardized by landings) and elapsed time. A log linear relationship was used to estimate the proportion of fish remaining on the spawning grounds relative to the (log) days at large for Scots Bay and German Bank (Figure 4). A cut off time for days at large of 31 and 29 days respectively was used to indicate no remaining fish.
The proportional results were applied to the survey data from each spawning ground for each year to estimate the amount of herring biomass remaining on the spawning grounds based on the number of days between surveys. The biomass estimates for the entire time series (1999 to 2017) for Scots Bay are presented in Tables 2-20 and for German Bank (Tables 21-39) for only those surveys that were a minimum of 10 days apart with three exceptions. The first two were on German Bank in 2001 and 2002 when one survey from each year (4 and 9 days apart, respectively) was accepted because there was evidence of turnover. The third time was again on German Bank when the September 17, 2017 survey on German Bank which was 9 days from the previous one was accepted. This survey was included because the exclusion of this one survey would leave a gap of 28 days before the next acceptable survey, at a time when spawning herring are known to be present on the bank. This survey was conducted on the ninth day after the previous survey due to pending bad weather.
Melvin et al. (2014) estimated how well the adjustments preformed for elapsed times of less than the standard 10-14 day by estimating the adjusted biomass for the valid surveys and compared the results with the estimate which included all surveys regardless of the elapsed time. The results of adjusting for fish remaining on the spawning grounds in both cases produced very similar total biomass estimates and thereby provide general support for using the equations to adjust the SSB. The unadjusted biomass estimates are those reported in the annual acoustic survey Research Document and SAR for the 4WX herring stock (DFO 2013, 2015; Singh et al. 2014, 2016).
Overall, applying the regression equations to the elapsed time for other survey year's resulted in a decrease of between $2 \%$ and $21 \%$ in the annual estimated Scots Bay spawning biomass compared with $10 \%$ and $26 \%$ decline for German Bank. Figure 5 illustrates the results of these adjustments on the Scots Bay and German Bank SSB estimates for the entire time series (1999-2017). The combined Scots Bay and German Bank total unadjusted and turnover adjusted annual survey SSB for the time series are presented in Figure 6. On both spawning grounds the estimates show the same trends although, the magnitude is different from year to year ranging from $10 \%$ to $26 \%$ (Figure 6). Most of the variability in difference occurred during the early survey years when timing was more sporadic. In all cases the SSB was reduced from the original estimate due to the presence of fish remaining on the spawning grounds from previous surveys.
Using these turnover equations for both Scots Bay and German Bank, the biomass estimates were adjusted for all the available years (Table 40). The turnover adjusted biomass estimates were then used to provide the 3 -year moving average which is used to determine the biomass trends in relation to the Lower Reference Point (LRP) (Figure 7). The LRP based on the
biomass for the years 2005-2010 decreased 17\% from 371,067t (unadjusted) to 316,313t with the turnover adjusted biomass estimates.

## DISCUSSION

This report brought together all spawning ground tagging results from German Bank and Scots Bay since 1998 to develop equations that estimate the proportion of fish remaining on the spawning grounds over time and to estimate the associated inter-annual error. While one of the limitations of this type of study was the small number of tag returns relative to the number released, this is consistent with what can be expected. Due to the large amounts of bulk handling of herring catches, return rates of $<1 \%$ are not uncommon. The results on these spawning grounds provide an estimate of the amount and variability of time herring spend on the spawning grounds during several spawning seasons.

Based on the results of this report, the assumption of a complete turnover of fish occurring during the 10-14 day window is invalid. This also means that surveys less than 10 days would also result in double counting, however, the confidence of the adjustment to the biomass would decrease as the number of days decreased. Double counting likely occurs for acoustic surveys on both spawning grounds, thereby resulting in an over estimate of SSB. Both regressions were highly correlated ( $r=0.83$ and 0.0 .97 respectively) and demonstrate that significant amounts ( $13 \%$ in Scots Bay and $18 \%$ on German Bank) of biomass remain on the spawning grounds beyond the 10-14 day window and that the percentages can vary from year to year. Comparison of the biomass estimates from all surveys and the valid surveys showed the equation to be fairly robust in determining total biomass estimates. Estimates of percent of fish remaining on the spawning ground at the time of a subsequent survey can be applied to the SSB using the elapsed time between acoustic surveys to obtain a more accurate abundance estimate.

By applying the estimates of the percent of fish remaining on the spawning ground to account for double counting there is a reduction of the SSB. The 3 -year moving average using the turnover adjusted biomass estimates indicates that the 2017 data point is at the LRP; however, the confidence interval indicates that this actual value can be higher or lower than the LRP (Figure 7). The 3-year moving average has been basically flat from about 2011 to 2016, however, the 2017 data point decreased to be at the LRP.
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## TABLES

Table 1. Summary of tagging events, application dates and the number of herring tagged on German Bank and in Scots Bay from 1998-2011.

| Spawning <br> ground <br> tagging <br> location | Year | Tagging dates | Number <br> of <br> dagging <br> days | Number of <br> fish tagged <br> on spawning <br> ground | Number of <br> tags returned <br> from spawning <br> ground | Percent <br> recaptured <br> on spawning <br> ground |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| German Bank | 1998 | Aug 20-Sep 22 | 14 | 9730 | 30 | 0.3 |
|  | 1999 | Sep 21-Sep 22 | 2 | 821 | 1 | 0.1 |
|  | 2001 | Sep 17-Sep 19 | 3 | 9402 | 47 | 0.5 |
|  | 2005 | Aug 30-Oct 5 | 5 | 8487 | 43 | 0.5 |
|  | 2009 | Aug 19-Sep 30 | 15 | 10333 | 94 | 0.9 |
|  | 2010 | Aug 19-Oct 12 | 10 | 6036 | 22 | 0.4 |
|  | 2011 | Aug 24-Sep 29 | 12 | 6623 | 36 | 0.5 |
| Scots Bay | 1998 | Aug 23-Aug 25 | 2 | 2367 | 21 | 0.9 |
|  | 1999 | Aug 11-Aug 21 | 2 | 2832 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2005 | Jul 28-Aug 24 | 4 | 5047 | 150 | 3.0 |
|  | 2006 | Jul 28-Aug 20 | 3 | 3800 | 45 | 1.2 |

Table 2. Scots Bay 1999 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey <br> Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 25-Jul-99 | 1 | 24,335 | 3,287 | 428 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 08-Aug-99 | 2 | 14 | 9,380 | 1,541 | 165 | 0 |  |
| 20-Aug-99 | 3 | 26 | 12 | 12,194 | 2,378 | 127 |  |
| 03-Sep-99 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 14 | - | 0 | 45,909 |
| Adjusted total |  | 24,335 | 6,093 | 10,224 | 0 | 0 | 40,652 |

Table 3. Scots Bay 2000 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey <br>  <br>  <br> Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |  |
| 01-Aug-00 | 1 | 91,816 | 13,693 | 324 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 14-Aug-00 | 2 | 13 | 28,999 | 3,537 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 29-Aug-00 | 3 | 28 | 15 | 64,683 | 0 | 0 | 185,498 |  |
| Adjusted total |  | 91,816 | 15,306 | 60,821 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 6 7 , 9 4 3}$ |  |

Table 4. Scots Bay 2001 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2001 | Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-Jul-01 | 1 | 98,923 | 12,067 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31-Jul-01 | 2 | 15 | 79,250 | 8,696 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-Aug-01 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 37,842 | 0 | 0 | 216,015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted total |  | 98,923 | 67,183 | 29,146 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 9 5 , 2 5 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Scots Bay 2002 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |  |
|  | 1 | 38,856 | 5,248 | 1,274 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 28-Jul-02 | 2 | 14 | 15,047 | 2,993 | 742 | 0 |  |  |
| 11-Aug-02 | 24 | 10 | 72,016 | 16,592 | 752 |  |  |  |
| 21-Aug-02 | 3 | 24 | 12 | 3,346 | 452 | 129,265 |  |  |
| 02-Sep-02 | 4 | 0 | 22 | 12 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 1 6 , 4 0 3}$ |  |
| Adjusted total |  | 38,856 | 9,799 | 67,749 | 0 |  |  |  |

Table 6. Scots Bay 2003 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2003 | Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |  |
| 31-Jul-03 | 1 | 8,759 | 1,742 | 287 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 10-Aug-03 | 2 | 10 | 73,331 | 9,905 | 765 | 0 |  |  |
| 24-Aug-03 | 3 | 24 | 14 | 30,351 | 4,526 | 0 |  |  |
| 06-Sep-03 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 13 | 10,564 | 0 | 123,005 |  |
| Adjusted total |  | 8,759 | 71,589 | 20,159 | 5,272 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 0 5 , 7 7 9}$ |  |

Table 7. Scots Bay 2004 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 19-Jul-04 | 1 | 1,042 | 141 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 02-Aug-04 | 2 | 14 | 16,886 | 2,281 | 176 | 0 |  |
| 16-Aug-04 | 3 | 28 | 14 | 63,327 | 9,444 | 661 |  |
| 29-Aug-04 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 13 | 27,110 | 3,662 |  |
| 12-Sep-04 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 14 | 6,697 | 115,042 |
| Adjusted total |  | 1,042 | 16,745 | 61,042 | 17,489 | 2,374 | 98,693 |

Table 8. Scots Bay 2005 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2005 | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |  |
| 31-Jul-05 | 1 | 12,404 | 721 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 21-Aug-05 | 2 | 21 | 7,618 | 443 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 11-Sep-05 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 1,206 | 0 | 0 | 21,228 |  |
| Adjusted total |  | 12,404 | 6,897 | 763 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 6 4}$ |  |

Table 9. Scots Bay 2006 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 22-Jul-06 | 1 | 21,886 | 2,956 | 77 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 06-Aug-06 | 2 | 15 | 586 | 87 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 19-Aug-06 | 3 | 28 | 13 | 9,144 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 25-Aug-06 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 13 | - | 0 | 31,616 |
| Adjusted total |  | 21,886 | 0 | 8,979 | 0 | 0 | 30,865 |

Table 10. Scots Bay 2007 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | Totals |
|  | Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14-Jul-07 | 1 | 8,899 | 1,202 | 31 | 0 | 113 |  |  |  |
| 28-Jul-07 | 2 | 14 | 31,962 | 4,317 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11-Aug-07 | 3 | 28 | 14 | 8,806 | 1,189 | 92 |  |  |  |  |
| 25-Aug-07 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 3,032 | 410 | 52,699 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted total |  | 8,899 | 30,760 | 4,457 | 1,730 | 0 | $\mathbf{4 5 , 8 4 6}$ |  |  |  |

Table 11. Scots Bay 2008 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Survey } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 12-Jul-08 | 1 | 5,992 | 809 | 21 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 26-Jul-08 | 2 | 14 | 14,318 | 1,934 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 09-Aug-08 | 3 | 28 | 14 | 3,212 | 0 | 0 | 23,442 |
| Adjusted total |  | 5,992 | 13,509 | 1,257 | 0 | 0 | 20,757 |

Table 12. Scots Bay 2009 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 27-Jun-09 | 1 | 7,542 | 1,019 | 27 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 11-Jul-09 | 2 | 14 | 45,744 | 6,179 | 162 | 0 |  |
| 25-Jul-09 | 3 | 28 | 14 | 19,338 | 2,612 | 857 |  |
| 08-Aug-09 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 14,877 | 3,154 |  |
| 21-Aug-09 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 14 | 256 | 87,757 |
| Adjusted total |  | 7,542 | 44,725 | 13,133 | 12,103 | 0 | 77,503 |

Table 13. Scots Bay 2010 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Survey } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 10-Jul-10 | 1 | 21,808 | 2,946 | 77 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 24-Jul-10 | 2 | 14 | 9,439 | 1,275 | 33 | 0 |  |
| 07-Aug-10 | 3 | 28 | 14 | 13,528 | 1,827 | 0 |  |
| 21-Aug-10 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 8,011 | 977 |  |
| 05-Sep-10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1,238 | 54,024 |
| Adjusted total |  | 21,808 | 6,493 | 12,176 | 6,150 | 261 | 46,888 |

Table 14. Scots Bay 2011 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 02-Jul-11 | 1 | 37,706 | 5,093 | 133 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 16-Jul-11 | 2 | 14 | 38,600 | 5,214 | 136 | 0 |  |
| 30-Jul-11 | 3 | 28 | 14 | 34,576 | 4,670 | 361 |  |
| 13-Aug-11 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 16,898 | 2,520 |  |
| 26-Aug-11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 13 | 12,933 | 140,713 |
| Adjusted total |  | 37,706 | 33,507 | 29,229 | 12,091 | 10,052 | 122,585 |

Table 15. Scots Bay 2012 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 30-Jun-12 | 1 | 59,795 | 8,077 | 211 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 14-Jul-12 | 2 | 14 | 55,787 | 7,535 | 197 | 0 |  |
| 28-Jul-12 | 3 | 28 | 14 | 38,756 | 5,235 | 137 |  |
| 11-Aug-12 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 20,939 | 2,828 |  |
| 25-Aug-12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 9,550 | 184,827 |
| Adjusted total |  | 59,795 | 47,710 | 31,009 | 15,507 | 6,585 | 160,606 |

Table 16. Scots Bay 2013 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey | Survey <br> Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Totals |
| 22-Jun-13 | 1 | 13,245 | 1,789 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 06-Jul-13 | 2 | 14 | 8,098 | 988 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 21-Jul-13 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 11,949 | 2,533 | 125 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 03-Aug-13 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 13 | 9,759 | 1,318 | 34 | 0 |  |
| 17-Aug-13 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 14 | 15,068 | 2,035 | 53 |  |
| 31-Aug-13 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 13,917 | 1.880 |  |
| 14-Sep-13 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 4,181 | 76,217 |
| Adjusted total |  | 13,245 | 6,309 | 10,961 | 7,197 | 13,625 | 11,847 | 2,248 | 66,184 |

Table 17. Scots Bay 2014 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey <br> Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Totals |
| 21-Jun-14 | 1 | 57,552 | 5,653 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 08-Jul-14 | 2 | 17 | 106,927 | 19,337 | 2,677 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 19-Jul-14 | 3 | 28 | 11 | 24,748 | 3,343 | 87 | 0 |  |
| 02-Aug-14 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 14 | 20,565 | 2,778 | 73 |  |
| 16-Aug-14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 7,190 | 971 |  |
| 30-Aug-14 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 9,142 | 226,124 |
| Adjusted total |  | 57,552 | 101,274 | 5,208 | 14,545 | 4,325 | 8,098 | 191,001 |

Table 18. Scots Bay 2015 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Survey } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Totals |
| 27-Jun-15 | 1 | 82,428 | 11,134 | 291 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 11-Jul-15 | 2 | 14 | 81,672 | 11,032 | 289 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 25-Jul-15 | 3 | 28 | 14 | 41,192 | 5,564 | 146 | 0 |  |
| 08-Aug-15 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 34,234 | 4,624 | 0 |  |
| 22-Aug-15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 29,424 | 2,890 |  |
| 08-Sep-15 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 16,245 | 285,194 |
| Adjusted total |  | 82,428 | 70,538 | 29,868 | 28,382 | 24,654 | 13,255 | 249,225 |

Table 19. Scots Bay 2016 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Totals |
| 18-Jun-16 | 1 | 23,989 | 3,240 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 02-Jul-16 | 2 | 14 | 41,093 | 5,551 | 145 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 16-Jul-16 | 3 | 28 | 14 | 9,423 | 1,273 | 33 | 0 |  |
| 30-Jul-16 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 11,165 | 1,508 | 39 |  |
| 13-Aug-16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 26,951 | 3,640 |  |
| 27-Aug-16 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 3,047 | 115,669 |
| Adjusted total |  | 23,989 | 37,853 | 3,788 | 9,747 | 25,409 | 0 | 100,787 |

Table 20. Scots Bay 2017 survey biomass (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey <br> Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Totals |
| 21-Jun-17 | 1 | 75,364 | 14,992 | 2,471 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 01-Jul-17 | 2 | 10 | 26,669 | 3,602 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 15-Jul-17 | 3 | 24 | 14 | 24,731 | 3,341 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 29-Jul-17 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 6,270 | 847 | 22 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 12-Aug-17 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 17,959 | 2,426 | 187 | 0 |  |
| 26-Aug-17 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 11,923 | 1,778 | 42 |  |
| 08-Sep-17 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 14 | 8,188 | 999 |  |
| 23-Sep-17 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 15 | 1,751 | 172,855 |
| Adjusted total |  | 75,364 | 11,677 | 18,658 | 2,385 | 17,025 | 9,745 | 6,222 | 710 | 141,966 |

Table 21. German Bank 1999 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |  |
|  | $27-$ Aug-99 | 1 | 165,085 | 32,194 | 4,606 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10-Sep-99 | 2 | 14 | 240,453 | 43,085 | 8,646 | 0 |  |  |
| 25-Sep-99 | 3 | 29 | 15 | 85,892 | 18,211 | 0 |  |  |
| 08-Oct-99 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 13 | 3,900 | 0 | 495,330 |  |
| Adjusted total |  | 165,085 | 208,259 | 38,201 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{4 1 1 , 5 4 5}$ |  |

Table 22. German Bank 2000 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2000 | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey <br>  <br> Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |  |
| 29-Aug-00 | 1 | 100,250 | 19,550 | 2,797 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 12-Sep-00 | 2 | 14 | 132,399 | 23,724 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 27-Sep-00 | 3 | 29 | 15 | 80,923 | 12,176 | 0 |  |  |
| 14-Oct-00 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 20,369 | 0 | 333,941 |  |
| Adjusted total |  | 100,250 | 112,849 | 54,402 | 8,193 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 7 5 , 6 9 4}$ |  |

Table 23. German Bank 2001 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 27-Aug-01 | 1 | 39,160 | 8,303 | 5,892 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 09-Sep-01 | 2 | 13 | 36,481 | 17602 | 2602 | 0 |  |
| 13-Sep-01 | 3 | 17 | 4 | 123,426 | 13,968 | 0 |  |
| 03-Oct-01 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 20 | 58,223 | 0 | 257,290 |
| Adjusted total |  | 39,160 | 28,178 | 99,332 | 41,653 | 0 | 208,924 |

Table 24. German Bank 2002 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Totals |
| 11-Aug-02 | 1 | 3,843 | 689 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 26-Aug-02 | 2 | 15 | 114,119 | 20,448 | 8,140 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10-Sep-02 | 3 | 30 | 15 | 108,837 | 32,260 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 19-Sep-02 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 174,042 | 47,379 | 21,744 |  |
| 29-Sep-02 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 10 | 4,857 | 0 |  |
| 08-Oct-02 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 19 | 9 | 10,403 | 416,101 |
| Adjusted total |  | 3,843 | 113,430 | 88,312 | 133,642 | 0 | 0 | 339,227 |

Table 25. German Bank 2003 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey | Sumber |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
|  | Nurveys | 5 | Totals |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29-Aug-03 | 1 | 107,204 | 29,184 | 12,132 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 08-Sep-03 | 2 | 10 | 101,447 | 27,616 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 18-Sep-03 | 3 | 20 | 10 | 52,765 | 4,817 | 0 |  |  |
| 10-Oct-03 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 66,781 | 18,179 |  |  |
| 20-Oct-03 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20,579 | 348,776 |  |
| Adjusted total |  | 107,204 | 72,263 | 13,017 | 61,964 | 2,400 | $\mathbf{2 5 6 , 8 4 7}$ |  |

Table 26. German Bank 2004 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2004 | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey <br>  <br> Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |  |
| 02-Sep-04 | 1 | 113,333 | 22,102 | 4,075 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 16-Sep-04 | 2 | 14 | 167,502 | 32,665 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 30-Sep-04 | 3 | 28 | 14 | 111,120 | 0 | 0 | 391,955 |  |
| Adjusted total |  | 113,333 | 145,400 | 74380 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{3 3 3 , 1 1 3}$ |  |

Table 27. German Bank 2005 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |  |
| 07-Sep-05 | 1 | 91,701 | 17,883 | 4,062 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 21-Sep-05 | 2 | 14 | 128,825 | 27,313 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 04-Oct-05 | 3 | 27 | 13 | 48,054 | 0 | 0 | 268,580 |  |
| Adjusted total |  | 91,701 | 110,942 | $6,678.1$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 1 9 , 3 2 1}$ |  |

Table 28. German Bank 2006 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey <br> Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 25-Aug-06 | 1 | 114,069 | 11,632 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 15-Sep-06 | 2 | 21 | 107,641 | 17,693 | 2,166 | 0 |  |
| 01-Oct-06 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 50,893 | 9,925 | 0 |  |
| 15-Oct-06 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 14 | 22,787 | 0 | 295,390 |
| Adjusted total |  | 114,069 | 96,009 | 33,200 | 10,696 | 0 | 253,974 |

Table 29. German Bank 2007 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey <br> Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 24-Aug-07 | 1 | 45,920 | 8,955 | 1,651 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 07-Sep-07 | 2 | 14 | 32,769 | 6,390 | 1,178 | 0 |  |
| 21-Sep-07 | 3 | 28 | 14 | 191,802 | 37,404 | 10,158 |  |
| 05-Oct-07 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 228,870 | 52,729 |  |
| 17-Oct-07 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 12 | 8,064 | 507,425 |
| Adjusted total |  | 45,920 | 23,814 | 183,761 | 190,288 | 0 | 443,782 |

Table 30. German Bank 2008 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 22-Aug-08 | 1 | 25,445 | 4,962 | 915 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 05-Sep-08 | 2 | 14 | 72,300 | 14,100 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 19-Sep-08 | 3 | 28 | 14 | 32,159 | 4,839 | 0 |  |
| 06-Oct-08 | 4 | 0 | 31 | 17 | 111,046 | 62,864 |  |
| 21-Oct-08 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | - | 240,950 |
| Adjusted total |  | 25,445 | 67,338 | 17,145 | 106,207 | 0 | 216,135 |

Table 31. German Bank 2009 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 12-Aug-09 | 1 | 90,118 | 19,107 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 25-Aug-09 | 2 | 13 | 116,084 | 13,137 | 2,336 | 0 |  |
| 14-Sep-09 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 70,024 | 19,062 | 7,140 |  |
| 24-Sep-09 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 49,292 | 12,2340 |  |
| 05-Oct-09 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 11 | 71,809 | 397,327 |
| Adjusted total |  | 90,118 | 96,977 | 56,887 | 27,894 | 52,328 | 324,204 |

Table 32. German Bank 2010 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2010 | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey Number |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 |  |
|  |  | 1 | 85,180 | 18,060 | 3,063 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13 | 58,570 | 10,495 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 18-Aug-10 | 2 | 15 | 65,230 | 7,382 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 31-Aug-10 | 4 | 28 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 36,068 | 7,034 |  |
| 15-Sep-10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 05-Oct-10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 8,721 | 253,769 |  |
| 19-Oct-10 |  | 85,180 | 40,510 | 51,673 | 28,686 | 1,687 | $\mathbf{2 0 7 , 7 3 6}$ |  |
| Adjusted total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 33. German Bank 2011 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Survey Date | Survey <br>  <br> Number | 1 | 2 | Surveys | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  | Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26-Aug-11 | 1 | 30,405 | 6,446 | 1,610 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 08 -Sep-11 | 2 | 13 | 116,508 | 24,702 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 21-Sep-11 | 3 | 26 | 13 | 143,937 | 17,983 | 0 |  |
| 10-Oct-11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 9,611 | 0 |  |
| 23-Oct-11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | - | 300,461 |
| Adjusted total |  | 30,405 | 110,062 | 117,625 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 5 8 , 0 9 1}$ |

Table 34. German Bank 2012 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Survey Date | Survey <br> Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Totals |
|  | 1 | 33,541 | 6,541 | 1,206 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 12-Aug-12 | 2 | 14 | 107,994 | 21,060 | 4,784 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 26-Aug-12 | 2 | 14 | 59,917 | 12,704 | 2,154 | 0 |  |  |
| 09-Sep-12 | 3 | 28 | 13 | 59,213 | 10,610 | 0 |  |  |
| 22-Sep-12 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 13 | 59 | 15 | 21,475 | 3,231 |
| 07-Oct-12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 24-Oct-12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 6,303 | 288,443 |
| Adjusted total |  | 33,541 | 101,453 | 37,651 | 41,725 | 8,711 | 3,072 | $\mathbf{2 2 6 , 1 5 3}$ |

Table 35. German Bank 2013 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | Totals |
| 19-Aug-13 | 1 | 53,509 | 9,588 | 1,493 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 03-Sep-13 | 2 | 15 | 118,088 | 23,029 | 6,254 | 0 |  |
| 17-Sep-13 | 3 | 29 | 14 | 37,906 | 8,733 | 1,679 |  |
| 29-Sep-13 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 12 | 48,419 | 8,676 |  |
| 14-Oct-13 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 15 | 6,606 | 264,528 |
| Adjusted total |  | 53,509 | 108,500 | 13,384 | 34,432 | 0 | 208,825 |

Table 36. German Bank 2014 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 12-Aug-14 | 1 | 51,496 | 10,918 | 2,281 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 25-Aug-14 | 2 | 13 | 70,385 | 13,726 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 08-Sep-14 | 3 | 27 | 14 | 79,349 | 10,898 | 2,853 |  |
| 26-Sep-14 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 10,510 | 2861 |  |
| 06-Oct-14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 10 | 21,294 | 233,034 |
| Adjusted total |  | 51,496 | 59,467 | 63,342 | 0 | 15,580 | 189,884 |

Table 37. German Bank 2015 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2015 | Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Survey Date | Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  | 1 | 16,156 | 2,895 | 716 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 17-Aug-15 | 1 | 15 | 64,219 | 14,795 | 3,401 | 0 |  |
| 01-Sep-15 | 2 | 12 | 52,782 | 10,293 | 1,473 |  |  |
| 13-Sep-15 | 3 | 27 | 12 | 14 | 39,242 | 7,031 |  |
| 27-Sep-15 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 14 | 15 | 3,990 | 176,389 |
| 12-Oct-15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 15 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 4 0 , 2 9 8}$ |

Table 38. German Bank 2016 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey Number | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 21-Aug-16 | 1 | 35,565 | 8,904 | 3,247 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 01-Sep-16 | 2 | 11 | 26,914 | 6,738 | 1,668 | 0 |  |
| 12-Sep-16 | 3 | 22 | 11 | 90,104 | 17,572 | 5,583 |  |
| 26-Sep-16 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 14 | 48,906 | 12,244 |  |
| 07-Oct-16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 11 | 10,589 | 212,078 |
| Adjusted total |  | 35,565 | 18,010 | 80,119 | 29,667 | 0 | 163,361 |

Table 39. German Bank 2017 survey biomass estimates (diagonal), elapsed time between surveys (below diagonal), and estimated tonnes remaining (above diagonal) at the time of a subsequent survey. Table includes only those surveys used to estimate total annual biomass.

| Year: 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Survey | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Totals |
| 21-Aug-17 | 1 | 33,839 | 4,648 | 1,499 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 08-Sep-17 | 2 | 18 | 65,393 | 19,383 | 2,351 | 0 |  |
| 17-Sep-17 | 3 | 27 | 9 | 62,935 | 7,863 | 0 |  |
| 06-Oct-17 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 19 | 5,386 | 1,241 |  |
| 18-Oct-17 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 30,396 | 197,949 |
| Adjusted total |  | 33,839 | 60,745 | 42,053 | 0 | 29,155 | 165,793 |

Table 40. Summary of the 1999-2017 turnover adjusted SSB for Scots Bay and German Bank spawning grounds in the SWNS/BoF component of the 4WX stock complex. A dash (-) indicates no data.

| Location | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { Avg. } \\ 2005- \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { Avg. } \\ \text { 1999- } \\ 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scots Bay (inbox) | 40,652 | 167,943 | 195,253 | 116,404 | 105,779 | 98,692 | 20,064 | 30,865 | 44,536 | 20,651 | 72,321 | 36,567 | 90,606 | 122,837 | 58,521 | 186,805 | 228,154 | 98,201 | 133,404 | 37,501 | 98,329 |
| Scots Bay (outbox) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,310 | 107 | 5,182 | 10,321 | 31,978 | 37,768 | 7,662 | 4,196 | 21,071 | 2,585 | 8,562 | 4,230 | 11,886 |
| Scots Bay total | 40,652 | 167,943 | 195,253 | 116,404 | 105,779 | 98,692 | 20,064 | 30,865 | 45,846 | 20,758 | 77,503 | 46,888 | 122,584 | 160,606 | 66,183 | 191,002 | 249,225 | 100,786 | 141,966 | 40,321 | 105,210 |
| German Bank (inbox) | 411,545 | 275,694 | 208,923 | 339,227 | 256,848 | 333,113 | 219,321 | 249,582 | 439,828 | 213,748 | 322,756 | 192,201 | 248,886 | 219,358 | 200,314 | 188,025 | 140,298 | 163,361 | 165,792 | 272,906 | 252,043 |
| German Bank (outbox) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,392 | 3,955 | 2,387 | 1,448 | 15,535 | 9,206 | 6,795 | 8,511 | 1,860 |  |  |  | 5,543 | 6,010 |
| German Bank total | 411,545 | 275,694 | 208,923 | 339,227 | 256,848 | 333,113 | 219,321 | 253,974 | 443,783 | 216,135 | 324,204 | 207,736 | 258,092 | 226,153 | 208,825 | 189,885 | 140,298 | 163,361 | 165,792 | 277,525 | 254,890 |
| Scots + German | 452,197 | 443,636 | 404,176 | 455,631 | 362,627 | 431,805 | 239,385 | 284,839 | 489,629 | 236,893 | 401,707 | 254,624 | 380,676 | 386,759 | 275,008 | 380,887 | 389,523 | 264,147 | 307,758 | 317,846 | 360,100 |
| Overall SE (t) | 26,848 | 21,476 | 6,503 | 27,957 | 20,026 | 21,928 | 31,004 | 16,029 | 33,678 | 23,314 | 24,169 | 9,695 | 22,279 | 8,844 | 15,145 | 20,131 | 14,242 | 9,375 | 15,643 |  |  |
| Overall SE (\%) | 6\% | 5\% | 2\% | 6\% | 6\% | 5\% | 13\% | 6\% | 7\% | 10\% | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% | 2\% | 6\% | 5\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% |  |  |

FIGURES


Figure 1. Map of the Bay of Fundy and Southwest Nova Scotia showing the location of Scots Bay and German Bank spawning grounds


Figure 2. Summary of raw (a) and landings standardized (b) proportions of tag returns by day and year for German Bank. Mean values (all years combined) and associated error bars (SE) for raw, weighted and weighted with outliers removed are in plot (c).


Figure 3. Summary of raw (a) and landings standardized (b) proportions of tag returns by day and year for Berman Bank. Mean values (all years combined) and associated error bars (SE) for raw and landings weighted are plotted in (c).


Figure 4. The regression analysis of the expected and observed proportion of tag returns and number of days after tagging for German Bank (above) and Scots Bay (below).


Figure 5. The 1999 to 2017 estimated original and elapsed time adjusted spawning stock biomass for Scot Bay and German Bank herring from acoustic surveys.


Figure 6. The 1999 to 2017 estimated original and elapsed time adjusted spawning stock biomass for Scot Bay and German Bank combined from acoustic surveys.


Figure 7. The relative SSB index (with 95\% confidence interval), the calculated 3-year moving average, the long-term average and the limit reference point for the SWNS/BoF spawning component (German Bank and Scots Bay). The estimates used here are the turnover adjusted SSB.

