Pêches et Océans Canada

Science

Sciences

National Capital Region

Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat Science Response 2019/003

ASSESSMENT OF THE ACCEPTANCE OF DATA SUBMITTED UNDER THE CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT NEW SUBSTANCES NOTIFICATION REGULATIONS (ORGANISMS) TO DETERMINE INVASIVENESS OF THE AQUADVANTAGE® SALMON

Context

The Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999 (CEPA), administered by Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) and Health Canada (HC), is the key authority for the Government of Canada to ensure that all new substances, including living organisms, are assessed for their potential harm to the environment and human health. The New Substances Notification Regulations (Organisms) [NSNR(O)] under CEPA, prescribe the information that must be provided to ECCC prior to the import or manufacture in Canada of new living organisms that are animate products of biotechnology, including fish products of biotechnology. Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO), ECCC and HC have signed a Memorandum of Understanding respecting the implementation of the NSNR(O) for new living fish products of biotechnology, whereby DFO provides science advice to ECCC and HC in support of their CEPA risk assessment and decision making process.

On July 27, 2018, AquaBounty Canada Limited submitted a regulatory package to ECCC for the manufacture and production (grow-out) of AquAdvantage® Salmon (EO-1α salmon), a fast-growing, genetically-engineered Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*), at a land-based aquaculture facility near Rollo Bay, PEI. In addressing paragraph 5(a) of Schedule 5 of the NSNR(O), *data from a test conducted to determine pathogenicity, toxicity or invasiveness*, the notifier provided information and data from the scientific literature. This information was assessed by DFO officials to determine if it meets the information requirements of the NSNR(O).

This Science Response Report results from the Science Response Process of November 2, 2018 on the Assessment of the acceptance of data submitted under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act New Substances Notification Regulations (Organisms) to determine invasiveness of the AquAdvantage® salmon.

Background

The following document elaborates the DFO analysis of information submitted under paragraph 5(a) of Schedule 5 of the NSNR(O)*The data from a test conducted to determine the pathogenicity, toxicity or invasiveness* for Notification NSN-19702 AquAdvantage® Salmon (EO-1α salmon), and advice to ECCC and HC on whether to accept the submitted data as fulfilling requirements. The document was peer-reviewed by representatives from DFO, ECCC, HC as well as external reviewers at a CSAS Special Response meeting on November 2nd, 2018. The document represents an overall consensus of the reviewers. To meet requirements under paragraph 5(a), the notifier provided peer-reviewed data from the scientific literature to address pathogenicity, toxicity and invasiveness of the notified organism; however,



invasiveness is discussed here as the most relevant pathway in respect of ecological effects of the organism.

Information requirements for a test of invasiveness for organisms other than microorganisms can be found in Section 4.3.5.1. of <u>Guidelines for the Notification and Testing of New Substances: Organisms</u>. Specific tests are not described, rather: "A broad range of types of organisms can be notified under this schedule of the Regulations, and therefore, a broad range of types of tests can be conducted". However, it is stipulated that: "For cases of suspected invasiveness, the duration of the test should permit time for colonization and manifestation of effects in the test system."

Analysis and Response

In the case of EO- 1α salmon, the complex life history of the organism negates the possibility for a single test to determine the organism's potential to establish in, and cause harm to, Canadian ecosystems (i.e., be invasive). The notifier provided a summary of available scientific literature relevant to fitness and potential effects of the organism, its relatives (i.e., different Atlantic Salmon lines containing the same transgene construct), and some non-Atlantic Salmon growth hormone (GH) transgenic fish models, to comply with data requirements for the test of invasiveness under paragraph 5(a) of Schedule 5 of the NSNR(O). However, there were significant gaps in the data such that invasiveness potential of EO- 1α salmon under NSN-19702 cannot be systematically assessed. The assessment of EO- 1α salmon invasiveness potential should be based on three key points: 1) potential for EO- 1α salmon to survive in natural environments across all life stages; 2) the potential for EO- 1α salmon to reproduce/spawn in natural environments or hybridize with native populations; and 3) potential for naturalized EO- 1α salmon to cause harm to Canadian ecosystems. The information provided by the notifier is not sufficient to assess the invasive potential of the EO- 1α salmon. In detail:

1. Survival

Data on survival in simulated natural conditions are only provided for EO- 1α salmon at the first feeding stage, and under these conditions EO- 1α fry had similar survival as non-transgenic fry (Moreau et al. 2011a). Other survival tests found EO- 1α salmon had similar survival as non-transgenic fish in culture conditions over 6 months fed an experimental diet (Tibbetts et al. 2013), and when injected with Infectious Salmon Anaemia Virus (NSN-19702). The data provided by the notifier does not allow for a conclusion on potential survival of EO- 1α in natural conditions over a full life cycle and in the various environments EO- 1α salmon may inhabit.

The notifier suggested that EO- 1α salmon would have diminished fitness and/or survival relative to wild type, as EO- 1α salmon (Deitch et al. 2006; Polymeropoulos et al. 2014) or related GH transgenic Atlantic Salmon lines (Stevens et al. 1998; Abrahams and Sutterlin 1999; Cook et al. 2000a, 2000b) have altered metabolic and swimming capacity, altered stress response (EO- 1α salmon, Cnaani et al. 2013), and decreased predator avoidance (related GH transgenic Atlantic Salmon, Abrahams and Sutterlin 1999) under culture conditions. However, whether these physiological alterations would be present when reared in diverse natural environments, or would impart a survival disadvantage under natural conditions has not been examined.

The notifier also provided data that hatchery/culture rearing can greatly diminish fitness in salmonids in nature (e.g., Muir 2004; Hansen 2006; Olsen and Skilbrei 2010; Milot et al. 2013).

While culture rearing, domestication and laboratory culture does decrease fitness in Atlantic Salmon, it does not prevent establishment or introgression with wild populations, the implications of which are not fully understood (see Glover et al. 2017 for the most recent review). As well, the potential survival and productivity of EO-1α salmon born in nature should they become naturalized (i.e., in the absence of culture effects) has not been assessed.

Finally, the notifier indicated that any escaped pre-smolt EO-1 α salmon would not survive the change from fresh water to sea water. However, they did not provide evidence that pre-smolt life stages could not persist in local drainage or estuaries until ready to migrate. As such, it cannot be presumed that pre-smolt EO-1 α salmon survival is not possible should they escape the facility.

2. Reproduction

The majority of EO-1 α salmon at the proposed facility will be the sterile triploid all-female AquAdvantage® form. However, fertile female EO-1 α salmon broodstock will be present in smaller numbers. In addition, as triploidy is not 100% effective in sterilization of salmon, there can be diploid fertile fish in the AquAdvantage® population (expected to be \leq 1.5% of the AquAdvantage® population based on reported average triploidy induction success, NSN-19702). Fertile St. John River domestic broodstock will also be present at the proposed site. Consequently, should diploid female EO-1 α salmon escape the facility they have potential to reproduce with St. John domestic fish should they co-escape, or with wild populations they may encounter. However, there are no data provided on the reproductive success and spawning capacity of female EO-1 α salmon from culture, or that have come from nature or nature-like environments.

In addition to female EO-1α broodstock, there will be EO-1α neomale (genetic female, hormone treated to be phenotypically male) broodstock. Though these fish are expected to lack spermducts and be functionally sterile, whether they will mature and participate in spawning competition is not known. This information is required for item 3 below (potential to cause harm), as neomales that successfully spawn with wild females would leave unfertilized and nonviable eggs, potentially decreasing wild population productivity. The notifier did provide data demonstrating culture-reared anadromous male EO-1α salmon have lower spawning success than nature-reared wild males (Moreau et al. 2011b). However, the effect of culture was not examined and whether the poor success of the EO-1 α males is due to the presence of the transgene, or rearing history (as has been observed in other GH transgenic salmonids, Bessey et al. 2004; Leggatt et al. 2014), is not known. In other fast-growing salmonid models (GH transgenic Coho Salmon, Leggatt et al. 2014; domestic Atlantic Salmon, Glover et al. 2017), introgression into a wild population is much more likely through female than male fish. Without data demonstrating the reproductive potential of EO-1α female salmon, the potential for EO-1α salmon to establish in natural systems, or reproduce with wild populations, cannot be definitively assessed.

3. Potential to cause harm

The notifier stated in NSN-19702 "The data and comparisons provided in NSN-16528 clearly documented that, aside from the presence of the EO-1 α transgene and the rapid-growth phenotype that results from the genetic modification, no significant differences existed between transgenic and non-transgenic Atlantic Salmon with respect to factors that might produce adverse ecological effects".

In other GH transgenic fish models, strong alteration of appetite control genes have been reported, (Carp, Coho Salmon, Zebrafish, Zhong et al. 2013; Dalmolin et al. 2015; Kim et al. 2015), as well as alterations in behaviour (increased feed motivation, decreased predator avoidance). GH transgenic Coho Salmon fry reared in semi-natural streams have been demonstrated, in some circumstances, to have a growth or survival advantage over cohabiting wild-type fry (e.g., Sundström and Devlin 2011; Sundström et al. 2014; Leggatt et al. 2016; Leggatt et al. 2017). Further, the interaction between genotype and environment has been shown to affect phenotypes (body size and behaviour) of GH transgenic and wild-type fish in non-parallel ways, and can result in different consequences to experimental populations (i.e., biomass, numbers of individuals) between genotypes (Devlin et al. 2004; Sundstrom et al. 2007; Vandersteen et al. in press). As well, computer modelling and quantitative trait loci analysis in GH transgenic Coho Salmon found introgression of the transgene could potentially shift phenotypes in wild populations away from naturally selected optima (Ahrens and Devlin 2011), and have potential to influence evolutionary changes in wild populations (Kodama et al. 2018). Current data from other models (see above) does suggest the presence of a GH transgene and/or its phenotypic effects have potential to cause harm to ecosystem components (e.g., wild populations) under some conditions. However, genotype-by-environment interactions (see Devlin et al. 2015; Vandersteen et al. in press), different effects in different lines of transgenic fish in the same strain and species (Leggatt et al. 2017), and different effects of transgenesis in different strains and species (Devlin et al. 2001) have been demonstrated. Consequently, studies from other models should not be used as direct evidence for EO-1a salmon, but rather can be used to make general conclusions, identify areas of uncertainty, or identify areas for study on potential invasiveness of GH transgenic fish. The notifier provided data demonstrating EO-1α first-feeding fry did not have a competitive advantage or disadvantage relative to wild type, and had similar growth and survival under low and high density in stream microcosms (Moreau et al. 2011a). As well, hybrids of EO-1α salmon and Brown Trout suppressed the growth of populations of both EO-1α and wild-type fry in simulated streams (Oke et al. 2013), although whether this was due to hybridization, the transgene, or a combination was not examined. Potential effects of EO-1α salmon at other life stages and/or under other naturalized conditions have not been reported, nor have potential effects of introgression of the EO-1α transgene into wild Atlantic Salmon populations or potential for neomales to decrease wild salmon productivity through reproductive interference. Consequently, the potential for EO-1α salmon to cause harm, as part of invasiveness, cannot be definitively assessed.

Discussion, Conclusions and Recommendations

The limited or missing data on full lifecycle survival and female reproductive potential of EO- 1α salmon under a range of relevant natural conditions, and limited data on the potential for EO- 1α salmon to cause harm to natural ecosystems, prevent a systematic assessment of the invasiveness of EO- 1α salmon in this context. It was highlighted during the discussion that there's additional information available in the literature on similar organisms that the company did not address. Though some data for each section (survival, reproduction, potential to cause harm) was provided, when taken together in the context of invasiveness, it was considered insufficient to draw meaningful conclusions. Consensus was that considering the missing information on EO- 1α salmon (i.e., information from public domain and GoC's research), and the difficulty in extrapolating information from other organisms, the data provided were not robust enough to support any conclusions on invasiveness. Additional issues to address include the potential downstream effects of culture, multigenerational (including epigenetic) effects of

EO-1 α salmon in naturalized conditions, and responses of EO-1 α salmon to a range of different relevant environmental conditions in the context of invasiveness. The consensus of the November 2nd, 2018 meeting was that the information and data provided in NSN-19702 to address requirements for paragraph 5(a) of Schedule 5 of the NSNR(O) on invasiveness were not sufficient to make a systematic assessment of invasiveness of EO-1 α salmon. The consensus recommendation was to not accept the information as complete for paragraph 5(a). As a consequence, a waiver request was submitted for this requirement with a rationale indicating that the containment measures to be put in place at the Rollo Bay facility will effectively prevent release of the organism into the environment and thus mitigate any potential risks to the environment from invasiveness. This was considered in the environmental risk assessment.

Contributors

Name	Affiliation
Gilles Olivier	Chair; Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Jay Parsons	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Sherry Walker	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Colin McGowan	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Rosalind Leggatt (author)	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Sophie Foster	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Lily Weber	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Marten Koops	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Robert Devlin	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
George Arvanitakis	Health Canada
Stephen Dugan	Health Canada
Jim Louter	Environment and Climate Change Canada
Arash Shahsavarani	Environment and Climate Change Canada
Zeina Saikali	Environment and Climate Change Canada
Michel Lortie	Environment and Climate Change Canada
Ian Siboo	Environment and Climate Change Canada
Ian Fleming	Memorial University
Jonathan Carr	Atlantic Salmon Federation
Jeff Hard	National Oceanic and Atmospheric
	Administration, USA

Approved By

Wayne Moore Director General, Strategic and Regulatory Science Directorate Fisheries and Oceans Canada

Date: November, 2018

Sources of Information

- Ahrens, R.N., and Devlin, R.H. 2011. Standing genetic variation and compensatory evolution in transgenic organisms: a growth-enhanced salmon simulation. Transgen. Res. 20(3): 583-597. doi: 10.1007/s11248-010-9443-0.
- Abrahams, M.V., and Sutterlin, A. 1999. The foraging and anti-predator behaviour of growth-enhanced transgenic Atlantic salmon. Anim. Behav. 58: 933-942. doi: 10.1006/anbe.1999.1229.
- Bessey, C., Devlin, R.H., Liley, N.R., and Biagi, C.A. 2004. Reproductive performance of growth-enhanced transgenic coho salmon. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 133: 1205-1220. doi: 10.1577/T04-010.1.
- Cnaani, A., McLean, E., and Hallerman, E.M. 2013. Effects of growth hormone transgene expression and triploidy on acute stress indicators in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Aquaculture 412: 107-116. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.06.029.
- Cook, J.T., McNiven, M.A., and Sutterlin, A.M. 2000a. Metabolic rate of pre-smolt growth-enhanced transgenic Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Aquaculture 188: 33-45. doi: doi:10.1016/S0044-8486(00)00332-X.
- Cook, J.T., Sutterlin, A.M., and McNiven, M.A. 2000b. Effect of food deprivation on oxygen consumption and body composition of growth-enhanced transgenic Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Aquaculture 188: 47-63. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(00)00333-1
- Dalmolin, C., Almeida, D.V., Figueiredo, M.A., and Marins, L.F. 2015. Food intake and appetite control in a GH-transgenic zebrafish. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 41(5): 1131-1141. doi: 10.1007/s10695-015-0074-5.
- Deitch, E.J., Fletcher, G.L., Petersen, L.H., Costa, I.A.S.F., Shears, M.A., Driedzic, W.R., and Gamperl, A.K. 2006. Cardiorespiratory modifications, and limitations, in post-smolt growth hormone transgenic Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*. J. Exp. Biol. 209(7): 1310-1325. doi: 10.1242/jeb.02105.
- Devlin, R.H., Biagi, C.A., Yesaki, T.Y., Smailus, D.E., and Byatt, J.C. 2001. Growth of domesticated transgenic fish. Nature 409: 781-782. doi: 10.1038/35057314.
- Devlin, R.H., D'Andrade, M., Uh, M., and Biagi, C.A. 2004. Population effects of growth hormone transgenic coho salmon depend on food availability and genotype by environment interactions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 101(25): 9303-9308. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0400023101.
- Devlin, R.H., Sundström, L.F., and Leggatt, R.A. 2015. Assessing ecological and evolutionary consequences of growth-accelerated genetically engineered fishes. BioScience 65(7): 685-700.
- Glover, K.A., Solberg, M.F., McGinnity, P., Hindar, K., Verspoor, E., Coulson, M.W., Hansen, M.M., Araki, H., Skaala, Ø., and Svåsand, T. 2017. Half a century of genetic interaction between farmed and wild Atlantic salmon: Status of knowledge and unanswered questions. Fish Fish.18: 890-927. doi: 10.1111/faf.12214
- Hansen, L.P. 2006. Migration and survival of farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) released from two Norwegian fish farms. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 63: 1211-1217. doi: 10.1016/j.icesjms.2006.04.022.

- Kim, J.H., Leggatt, R.A., Chan, M., Volkoff, H., and Devlin, R.H. 2015. Effects of chronic growth hormone overexpression on appetite-regulating brain gene expression in coho salmon. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 413(C): 178-188. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2015.06.024.
- Kodama, M., Naish, K.A., and Devlin, R.H. 2018. Influence of a growth hormone transgene on the genetic architecture of growth-related traits: a comparative analysis between transgenic and wild-type coho salmon. Evol. Appl. doi: 10.1111/eva.12692.
- Leggatt, R.A., Hollo, T., Vandersteen, W.E., McFarlane, K., Goh, B., Prevost, J., and Devlin, R.H. 2014. Rearing in seawater mesocosms improves the spawning performance of growth hormone transgenic and wild-type coho salmon. PLoS ONE 9(8): e105377. doi: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105377.
- Leggatt, R.A., Sundstrom, L.F., Vandersteen, W.E., and Devlin, R.H. 2016. Alternate directed anthropogenic shifts in genotype result in different ecological outcomes in coho salmon *Oncorhynchus kisutch* fry. PLoS ONE 11(2). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148687.
- Leggatt, R.A., Sundström, L.F., Woodward, K., and Devlin, R.H. 2017. Growth-enhanced transgenic coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) strains have varied success in simulated streams: implications for risk assessment. PLoS ONE 12(1). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169991.
- Milot, E., Perrier, C., Papillon, L., Dodson, J.J., and Bernatchez, L. 2013. Reduced fitness of Atlantic salmon released in the wild after one generation of captive breeding. Evol. Appl. 6(3): 472-485. doi:10.1111/eva.12028
- Moreau, D.T.R., Fleming, I.A., Fletcher, G.L., and Brown, J.A. 2011a. Growth hormone transgenesis does not influence territorial dominance or growth and survival of first-feeding Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* in food-limited stream microcosms. J. Fish Biol. 78: 726-740. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02888.x.
- Moreau, D.T.R., Conway, C., and Fleming, I.A. 2011b. Reproductive performance of alternative male phenotypes of growth hormone transgenic Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Evol. Appl. 4(6): 736-748. doi: 10.1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00196.x.
- Muir, W.M. (2004). The threats and benefits of GM fish. EMBO Rep. 5(7): 654-659. doi:10.1038/sj.embor.7400197
- Olsen, R.E., and Skilbrei, O.T. 2010. Feeding preference of recaptured Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* following simulated escape from fish pens during autumn. Aquac. Environ. Interact. 1(2): 167-174. doi: 10.3354/aei00015.
- Oke, K.B., Westley, P.A.H., Moreau, D.T.R., and Fleming, I.A. 2013. Hybridization between genetically modifies Atlantic salmon and wild brown trout reveals novel ecological interactions. Proc. Biol. Sci. Lond. B. 280: 20131047.
- Polymeropoulos, E.T., Plouffe, D., LeBlanc, S., Elliott, N.G., Currie, S., and Frappell, P.B. 2014. Growth hormone transgenesis and polyploidy increase metabolic rate, alter the cardiorespiratory response and influence HSP expression in response to acute hypoxia in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) yolk-sac alevins. J. Exp. Biol. 217(13): 2268-2276. doi: doi:10.1242/jeb.098913.
- Stevens, E.D., Sutterlin, A., and Cook, T. 1998. Respiratory metabolism and swimming performance in growth hormone transgenic Atlantic salmon. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 55: 2028-2065. doi: 10.1139/f98-078.

- Sundström, L.F., and Devlin, R.H. 2011. Increased intrinsic growth rate is advantageous even under ecologically stressful conditions in coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). Evol. Ecol. 25(2): 447-460. doi: 10.1007/s10682-010-9406-1.
- Sundström, L.F., Lõhmus, M., Tymchuk, W.E., and Devlin, R.H. 2007. Gene-environment interactions influence ecological consequences of transgenic animals. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104(10): 3889-3894. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0608767104.
- Sundström, L.F., Vandersteen, W.E., Lõhmus, M., and Devlin, R.H. 2014. Growth-enhanced coho salmon invading other salmon species populations: effects on early survival and growth. J. Appl. Ecol. 51: 82-89. doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.12185.
- Tibbetts, S.M., Wall, C.L., Barbosa-Solomieu, V., Bryenton, M.D., Plouffe, D.A., Buchanan, J.T., and Lall, S.P. 2013. Effects of combined 'all-fish' growth hormone transgenics and triploidy on growth and nutrient utilization of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) fed a practical grower diet of known composition. Aquaculture 406: 141-152. doi: 10.1016/j.aguaculture.2013.05.005.
- Vandersteen, W.E., Leggatt, R.A., Sundström, L.F., and Devlin, R.H. in press. Importance of experimental environmental conditions in estimating risks and associated uncertainty of novel organisms prior to entry into nature. Sci. Rep. 21pp.
- Zhong, C.R., Song, Y.L., Wang, Y.P., Zhang, T.L., Duan, M., Li, Y.M., Liao, L.J., Zhu, Z.Y., and Hu, W. 2013. Increased food intake in growth hormone-transgenic common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) may be mediated by upregulating Agouti-related protein (AgRP). Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 192: 81-88. doi: 10.1007/s10682-010-9406-1.

This Report is Available from the:

Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat (CSAS)
National Capital Region
Fisheries and Oceans Canada
200 Kent Street, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0E6

Telephone: 613-990-0293
E-Mail: <u>CSAS-SCCS@dfo-mpo.gc.ca</u>

Internet address: http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/

ISSN 1919-3769 © Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, 2019



Correct Citation for this Publication:

DFO. 2019. Assessment of the acceptance of data submitted under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act New Substances Notification Regulations (Organisms) to determine invasiveness of the AquAdvantage® salmon. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Resp. 2019/003.

Aussi disponible en français :

MPO. 2019. Évaluation de l'acceptation des données soumises en vertu du Règlement sur les renseignements concernant les substances nouvelles de la Loi canadienne sur la protection de l'environnement pour déterminer le caractère envahissant du saumon AquAdvantage^{MD}. Secr. can. de consult. sci. du MPO, Rép. des Sci. 2019/003.