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#### Abstract

Discarded fish generally go unrecorded in official statistics. Using direct observations at sea by observers, estimates of the amounts of discarding in the various domestic Newfoundland offshore fisheries were examined to pinpoint problem areas. In all cases, estimates of discards did not exceed $10 \%$ except for bycatch of turbot and redfish juveniles in the shrimp fishery. The estimates presented should be regarded strictly as minimum values because of the enforcement aspects of the observers'job. The presence of observers tended to deter or reduce discarding. Highest estimates of discards were seen in the 3NO cod fishery (7.5\%), 3LNO American plaice (4.6\%), 3LNO vellowtail ( $4.2 \%$ ), wolfish ( $28 \%$ overall) and skate ( $99 \%$ ). Most of the fish discarded were small which meant that larger numbers per unit weight were thrown back. Indications are that the problem may be more intense in the absence of observers.


## Résumé

Les statistiques ne font habituellement pas mention des poissons que les pêcheurs rejettent à la mer. En vue de cerner les problèmes engendrës par cette pratique, on a analysé des estimations, établies à partir d'observations directes en mer, du nombre de prises rejetées dans les diverses pêches au large de Terre-Neuve par les bateaux canadiens. Sauf dans le cas des prises fortuites de jeunes flëtans du Groenland et de jeunes sébastes dans la pêche à la crevette, la quantité totale de poissons rejetés ne dépassait pas $10 \%$ des prises. Il faut toutefois considērer cette quantitē comme un stric̣t minimum : en présence des observateurs, les pêcheurs avaient tendance soit à ne rejeter aucun poisson, soit à en rejeter moins. Les estimations les plus élevēes se rapportent à la morue dans 3NO ( $7.5 \%$ ), à la plie canadienne dans 3LNO ( $4.6 \%$ ), à la limande à queue jaune dans 3LNO ( $4.2 \%$ ), ainsi qu'au loup ( $28 \%$ au total) et à la raie ( $99 \%$ ). La plupart des poissons rejetēs ētaient de petite taille; cela signifie que le poids total rejetë reprēsentait un grand nombre d'individus. Il appert que ces pourcentages seraient plus ēlevēs en l'absence d'observateurs.

Assessments of fish stocks have most often lacked input on discards. This situation can lead to biased results where discarding occurs. Dumped fish are usually dead or dying before going back over the side due to the rough treatment received in the net and on deck, hence, are lost to the population but are not recorded in the catches. Also, it is most often the younger yearclasses which fall into this category and this intensifies the problem with larger numbers per unit of weight lost.

Historically there are no records of the size of the problem except for those very haphazardly recorded in daily $\log$ records. There are indications that dumping was quite high particularly in certain fisheries. Obtaining information on discarding is very difficult even though fishermen are required to keep a daily log with this information included. Discards are often not recorded or are greatly underestimated. Also, it is difficult to keep track of discards during the processing procedures. Certainly, no attempt would be made by the crew to weigh the fish which are to be dumped because it is of no immediate consequence to their commercial operation.

To obtain such data it is necessary to place independent observers on the vessels. The first data from the Newfoundland offshore fishery was collected by pairs of biological technicians aboard 6 to 8 trawlers in 1978, 1979, and 1980 as described by Stevenson (1980 and 1981). These technicians were able to collect detailed data including actual weighed discards and their size-age compositions, however, they were restricted in time and area, covering the Div. 3LNO plaice fishery during certain months.

The Newfoundland Region Observer Program presented an opportunity to collect considerable data from a wide range of fisheries over entire seasons in order to pinpoint and quantify problem areas. Previously Stevenson (1978) examined $\log$ records for Subareas 2 and 3, but for the above stated reasons these data would constitute underestimates. The observers are able to provide data from eyeball observations for a wide range of fisheries with less bias than is present in log records, however they are usually unable to weigh the discards as was done by Stevenson (1981) for the Div. 3LNO plaice fishery.

This paper presents a summary of discard estimates in the 1981 Newfoundland offshore fisheries.

## Methods

A part of the standard procedure for observers is to estimate the amount of discards by species for each set observed. This is accomplished by noting the number of crew discarding at any given time, observing each one in turn for a portion of the time involved in processing a set and keeping tallies of each species being thrown over the side. The estimates of discarding for each individual crew member can then adjusted to the total processing time and added together. When amounts of discards are small, they can be directly weighed. These data were then compiled by fishery by month and compared to the landed weight values supplied by the Economics Branch, Newfoundland Region. Total discards for each fishery were then estimated. Complications arise when significant amounts of bycatch are discarded. To avoid this problem the
directed and bycatch fishery were examined separately when adjusting observed catches and discards to landed weight in the cases where significant proportions were taken as by-catches in other fisheries. Months not observed or months with very low coverage are assumed to have no discarding. In addition length measurement data of cod, plaice and yellowtail were taken whenever possible in order to get an idea of removals by number.

## Results and Discussion

Estimates of discarding of the major species are presented in Tables 1 through 9 and all values of weight are rounded to the nearest MT (ie. less than 0.5 MT is recorded as 0 ). Observed kept (Col. 3 on all tables except Table 9) represents the observed kept weight in the directed fishery, for those sets where kept and discard weights were visually estimated. Observed discard (Col. 4) gives the corresponding discard weight, Col. 5. is the percent by weight discarded (observed)*; Col. 6 indicates what portion (total observed kept over total landed weight) of the kept catch was directly observed; Col. 7 shows the estimate of total discards obtained by adjusting the observed discards to the landed weight; Col. 8 indicates the total landed weight for that category and Col. 9 specifies the estimated total catch by combining both kept and discarded portions of the catch. In certain cases observed kept weight exceeded the recorded landed weight. For these situations, observed kept weights were used to estimate total removals.

In all cases the observer estimates of discards did not exceed $10 \%$ except for bycatch of turbot and redfish dumped in the shrimp fishery. Note that all discard estimates presented should be regarded strictly as minimum values for three reasons. First, the individuals collecting the data act both as enforcement and biological observers and this tends to be a deterrent on the discarding practises of the crew since this procedure is strictly speaking, illegal. It is quite possible that larger amounts of commercial sized fish go over the side in the absence of an observer particularly where catches exceed easily handled lots. Secondly, where estimates followed by actual weighing of discards have been made by observers the estimates more often tend to be smaller. Thirdly, in months where discards were not observed, zero discards were assumed. The following sections summarize the data from the tables.

Cod
Seven cod stocks were examined for which coverage ranged from 4 to 100\%. All but three of these fisheries had an observed discard rate of less than $1 \%$. The highest rate occurred in Div. 2GH at $5.9 \%$ but over the year represented only 2 MT (about 4,000 individuals with an average weight of about 0.5 kg ) in a 52 MT fishery. In this case landings were reported at 35 MT.

Observations of the Div. $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ cod fishery indicated a minimum discard rate of only $1.5 \%$ but in terms of numbers of individuals this amounted to about 1.6 million fish ( 861 MT ), mostly $2-4$ year olds, with an average weight of 0.55 kg . Table 1 shows that no observations were made in November and December where discarding likely occurred.

[^0]The other area of major discarding in the cod fishery occurred in Div. 3NO where 7.5\% or 376 MT were estimated as dumped. A small portion of this occurred in the American plaice fishery in the spring. No measurements of discarded fish were made for this area but using the average discarded fish weight recorded for Div. $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ the estimated numbers would be about $600-700,000$, mostly of unmarketable size.

## Redfish

Catches from six redfish stocks were examined and none showed significant levels of discarding. In the winter Div. $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ fishery most of the redfish discarded were bycatch in the cod fishery. Very little dumping of directed redfish occured except in the summer Div. 30 fishery. Most other discards of this species were bycatch in other fisheries.

## White Hake

This species was generally taken as bycatch in the cod fishery particularly in Div. 3NO and SA 4. Fourteen percent or 64 MT were discarded. No estimates of numbers removed is available.

## American Plaice/Yellowtail

In Div. $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ the discard rate was lowest at $0.9 \%$ or an estimated 32 MT. Only $3 \%$ of this fishery was observed with most of the March fishery being missed making this estimated discard tonnage tenuous. Better coverage in the Div. 3LNO fishery was obtained (11\%) but again the last two months were missed. The overall estimated discard rate was $4.6 \%$ but excludes November and December. This discard level is somewhat lower than the $13.1 \%$ recorded by Stevenson (1982) but his observations were more restricted in time and area. The major portion of his experiments occured in the summer and fall corresponding to the highest rates in the present paper. Again, it should be stressed that the values given in this paper should be regarded as minimum estimates because of the surveillance aspects of the observers job. Regardless, the estimated 1936 MT discarded in the Div. 3LNO fishery represents a minimum of 9.8 million individuals, mostly 3-5 year olds removed from the population but not recorded.

The yellowtail discards in Div. 3LNO were also small at $4.2 \%$ or 578 MT. At an average weight of 0.24 kg for discarded yellowtail the numbers of fish removed would be 2.4 million.

## Shrimp

Significant amounts of shrimp were not discarded but dumped redfish and turbot bycatches were often quite substantial. In Div. 2 H all redfish bycatch (48MT) was discarded most of these fish being small, an average of about 15 cm . This would represent approximately one million individuals. In Div. 2 HJ , 136 MT ( $86 \%$ ) of turbot were discarded but no frequencies were recorded for this species.

## Other Species

Substantial amounts of semi or non commercial species were discarded in the various fisheries. Two percent or 5 MT of halibut was discarded, $28 \%$ or 570 MT of catfish (wolfish species), $0.03 \%$ or 2 MT of haddock, no pollock, $100 \%$ or 87 MT of grenadier, $99 \%$ or 1860 MT of skate, 28 MT of squid, 5 MT of Argentine, 60 MT of capelin and 5 MT of snow crab were dumped. Most of these amounts are quite small but skate seems to be one group that has potential as a commercial species.

A total of about 14,000 MT of all species were estimated as discarded in 1981, about half of which were of commercial value. As previously mentioned this should be regarded only as a minimum estimate. In addition, most of these fish were undersized, yielding more individuals per weight discarded and resulting in a larger discard problem. The presence of observers acts as an effective deterrent but with only about $20 \%$ overall coverage of the Newfoundland offshore fleet, indications are that the problem is still quite large in the absence of observers, in spite of the regulations in place.
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Table 1. Estimates of discarding in the offshore Newfoundland cod fisheries.

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Month Division | Observed <br> kept (MT) | Observed <br> discards | Observed $\%$ Observed <br> discards |
| fished |  |  |  | Est. | Landed total |
| :--- |


| July | 2 H | 18 | 1 | 5.7 | 100 | 1 | 8 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug. | 2 H | 8 | 1 | 9.0 | 47 | 1 | 17 | 18 |
| Sept. | 2 H | 1 | 0 | 9.3 | 50 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Oct. | 2 H | 6 | 0 | 0.1 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| Nov. | 2 H | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| 1981 | 2 H | 34 | 2 | 5.9 | 97 | 2 | 35 | 52 |
| Jan. | 2 J | 2,214 | 19 | 0.9 | 31 | 61 | 7,081 | 7,142 |
|  | 3 K | 372 | 7 | 2.0 | 14 | 50 | 2,635 | 2,685 |
|  | 3 L | 872 | 1 | 0.1 | 17 | 5 | 4,486 | 4,491 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 3,458 | 27 | 0.8 | 24 | 116 | 14,202 | 14,318 |
| Feb. | 2 J | 1,155 | 34 | 2.9 | 13 | 256 | 8,695 | 8,951 |
|  | 3 K | 1,536 | 23 | 1.5 | 12 | 186 | 12,450 | 12,366 |
|  | 3L | 60 | 1 | 1.7 | 22 | 5 | 277 | 282 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 2,751 | 58 | 2.1 | 13 | 447 | 21,422 | 21,869 |
| Mar. | 3K | 19 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 353 | 353 |
|  | 3L | 30 | 1 | 1.1 | 7 | 5 | 424 | 429 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 49 | 1 | 0.7 | 6 | 5 | 777 | 782 |
| Apr. | 2 J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 3 K | 50 | 5 | 9.8 | 6 | 83 | 851 | 934 |
|  | 3L | 44 | 1 | 0.5 | 3 | 8 | 1,503 | 1,511 |
|  | . $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 94 | 5 | 5.7 | 4 | 135 | 2,355 | 2,490 |
| May | 2 J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
|  | 3K | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 761 | 761 |
|  | 3L | 150 | 1 | 0.1 | 8 | 3 | 1,864 | 1,867 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 155 | 1 | 0.1 | 6 | 3 | 2,630 | 2,633 |
| June | 2 J | 11 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 64 | 64 |
|  | 3 K | 18 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 599 | 599 |
|  | 3L | 215 | 3 | 1.5 | 6 | 51 | 3,382 | 3,433 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 244 | 3 | 1.2 | 6 | 51 | 4,045 | 4,096 |
| July | 2 J | 7 | 1 | 8.9 | 41 | 2 | 17 | 19 |
|  | 3 K | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 420 | 420 |
| July | 3L | 81 | 3 | 3.6 | 10 | 28 | 782 | 812 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 89 | 4 | 4.1 | 7 | 50 | 1,219 | 1,269 |
| Aug. | 2 J | 4 | 0 | 4.5 | 3 | 7 | 159 | 166 |
|  | 3 K | 6 | 0 | 0.2 | 3 | 0 | 178 | 178 |
|  | 3L | 26 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 546 | 546 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 36 | 0 | 0.8 | 4 | 7 | 883 | 890 |

For Tables $1-8$ all values are rounded to the nearest MT or percentage except for the \% observed discards values. Estimated discards for each line, including summary lines, are calculated by dividing \% observed fished into the amount of observed discards. Total removals are the sum of landed weight plus the amount of estimated discards.

Table 1. (cont'd.)



| Sept. | 2 J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 K | 8 | 0 | 1.9 | 32 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
|  | 3 L | 10 | 0 | 1.5 | 1 | 14 | 950 | 964 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 18 | 0 | 1.7 | 2 | 18 | 1,041 | 1,059 |
| Oct. | 2 J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 |
|  | 3 K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 26 |
|  | 3L | 38 | 0 | 1.2 | 3 | 18 | 1,513 | 1,531 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 38 | 0 | 1.2 | 3 | 19 | 1,556 | 1,577 |
| Nov. | 3K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 1,68 | 68 |
|  | 3L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,062 | 3,062 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,130 | 3,130 |
| Dec. | 2 J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 |
|  | 3 K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 571 | 571 |
|  | 3L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,360 | 3,360 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,940 | 3,940 |
| 1981 | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 6,932 | 105 | 1.5 | 12 | 861 | 57,200 | 58,061 |
| Jan. | 3NO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 119 |
| Feb. | 3N0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Mar. | 3N0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 40 |
| Apr. | 3 N | 12 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
|  | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 30 | 30 |
|  | 3N0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 62 | 62 |
| May | 3 N | 15 | 1 | 7.1 | 7 | 15 | 210 | 235 |
|  | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
|  | 3N0 | 16 | 1 | 6.4 | 7 | 15 | 234 | 259 |
| June | 3 N | 18 | 10 | 34.9 | 4 | 142 | 406 | 548 |
|  | 30 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 335 | 335 |
|  | 3NO | 20 | 10 | 19.2 | 3 | 142 | 741 | 883 |
| July | 3 N | 54 | 1 | 1.7 | 5 | 18 | 1,074 | 1,092 |
|  | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 335 | 335 |
|  | 3NO | 54 | 1 | 1.7 | 4 | 24 | 1,409 | 1,433 |
| Aug. | 3 N | 36 | 3 | 7.2 | 12 | 22 | 305 | 327 |
|  | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 61 |
|  | 3N0 | 36 | 3 | 7.2 | 10 | 26 | 366 | 392 |
| Sept. | 3 N | 49 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 348 | 348 |
|  | 30 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 54 | 54 |
|  | 3NO | 51 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 402 | 402 |
| 0ct. | 3 N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 30 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 93 |
|  | 3NO | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 93 | 93 |
| Nov. | 3N0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 978 | 978 |
| Dec. | 3NO | 5 | 0 | 6.7 | 1 | 34 | 510 | 544 |
| 1981 | 3N0 | 198 | 15 | 7.5 | 4 | 376 | 4,957 | 5,333 |

Table 1. (cont'd.)

| Month | Division | Observed kept (MT) | Observed discards | Observed \% discards | Observed fished | Est. discards | Landed weight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { removals } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June | 3M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Jan. | 3 Ps | 71 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1,328 | 1,328 |
| Feb. | 3 Ps | 16 | 0 | 0.2 | 7 | 0 | 231 | 231 |
| Mar. | 3 Ps | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 223 | 223 |
| Apr. | 3 Ps | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 91 | 91 |
| May | 3 Ps | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 113 | 113 |
| June | 3 Ps | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 338 | 338 |
| July | 3 Ps | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 159 | 159 |
| Aug. | 3 Ps | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 360 | 360 |
| Sept. | 3 Ps | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 77 | 77 |
| Oct. | 3 Ps | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 23 |
| Nov. | 3 Ps | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| Dec. | 3 Ps | 1 | 0 | 10.3 | 0 | 14 | 136 | 150 |
| 1981 | 3 Ps | 106 | 0 | 0.4 | 3 | 14 | 3,121 | 3,315 |
| Jan. | 4R | 131 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1,207 | 1,207 |
|  | 4 S | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 4RS3Pn | 132 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1,207 | 1,208 |
| Feb. | 4R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 693 | 693 |
|  | 4 S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 3 PN | 28 | 1 | 1.6 | 17 | 3 | 165 | 168 |
|  | 4RS3PN | 28 | 1 | 1.6 | 3 | 14 | 859 | 873 |
| Mar. | $4 \mathrm{RS3P}$ n | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 312 | 312 |
| Apr. | 4RS3P易 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| June | $4 \mathrm{RS3P}$ n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| July | 4RS3Pn | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Sept. | $4 \mathrm{RS3Pn}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 77 |
| 0ct. | 4R | 1 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 3 Pn | 1 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 4RS3Pn | 2 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Nov. | 4R | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 |
|  | 4S | 3 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 3 Pn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
|  | $4 \mathrm{P3Pn}$ | 9 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 22 | 24 |
| 1981 | 4RS3Pn | 174 | 1 | 0.6 | 13 | 8 | 2,490 | 2,498 |
| Mar. | 4 T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Table 1. (cont'd.)

| Month | Division | Observed kept (MT) | Observed discards | Observed \% discards | Observed fished | Est. discards | Landed weight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { removals } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. | 4 V n | 158 | 1 | 0.5 | 6 | 14 | 2,753 | 2,767 |
| Mar. | 4 Vn | 1 | 0 | 1.4 | 16 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| Apr. | 4 Vn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| May | 4 Vn | 2 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| June | 4 Vn | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 14 | 14 |
| July | 4 Vn | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| Aug. | 4 Vn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 62 |
| Nov. | 4 Vn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| 1981 | 4 Vn | 166 | 1 | 0.6 | 6 | 17 | 2,872 | 2,889 |
| Feb. | 4VWX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 179 |
| Mar. | 4VWX | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1,349 | 1,349 |
| Apr. | 4VWX | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 530 | 530 |
| May | 4VWX | 78 | 0 | 0.3 | 11 | 2 | 705 | 707 |
| June | 4VWX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| July | 4VWX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Aug. | 4VWX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Sept. | 4VWX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Oct. | 4VWX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| Nov. | 4VWX | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 198 | 198 |
| Dec. | 4VWX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 86 |
| 1981 | 4VWX | 108 | 0 | 0.1 | 4 | 2 | 3,080 | 3,082 |

Table 2. Estimates of discarding in the offshore Newfoundland redfish fisheries.



| Jan. | 2 J | 21 | 1 | 3.2 | 40 | 2 | 52 | 54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 K | 9 | 1 | 13.2 | 8 | 14 | 108 | 122 |
|  | 2+3K | 30 | 2 | 6.6 | 19 | 16 | 160 | 176 |
| Feb. | 2 J | 6 | 1 | 20.2 | 13 | 10 | 47 | 57 |
|  | 3K | 34 | 2 | 5.5 | 11 | 18 | 315 | 333 |
|  | 2+3K | 40 | 3 | 7.7 | 11 | 28 | 362 | 390 |
| Mar. | 3K | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 497 | 497 |
| Apr. | 3 K | 144 | 1 | 0.8 | 13 | 9 | 1,069 | 1,078 |
| May | 3K | 35 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 521 | 521 |
| June | 2 J | 10 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 47 | 47 |
|  | 3 K | 224 | 0 | 0.1 | 8 | 3 | 2,737 | 2,740 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ | 234 | 0 | 0.1 | 8 | 3 | 2,784 | 2,787 |
| July | 2 J | 108 | 1 | 1.3 | 29 | 5 | 374 | 379 |
|  | 3 K | 15 | 0 | 1.6 | 1 | 43 | 2,686 | 2,731 |
|  | 2+3K | 123 | 2 | 1.6 | 4 | 48 | 3,060 | 3,108 |
| Aug. | 2 J | 56 | 3 | 5.5 | 10 | 31 | 566 | 597 |
|  | 3K | 119 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 866 | 866 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ | 175 | 3 | 2.1 | 12 | 31 | 1,432 | 1,463 |
| Sept. | 2 J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 2 | 1, 0 |
|  | 3 K | 2 | 0 | 2.6 | 2 | 2 | 91 | 93 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ | 2 | 0 | 2.2 | 2 | 2 | 93 | 95 |
| Oct. | 3 K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Nov. | 3 K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 23 |
| Dec. | 3K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 56 |
| 1981 | $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ | 793 | 11 | 1.4 | 8 | 137 | 10,063 | 10,200 |
| Jan. <br> Feb. <br> Mar. <br> Apr. <br> May | 3L | 63 | 0 | 0.7 | 28 | 2 | 225 | 227 |
|  | 3L | 1 | 0 | 10.4 | 1 | 3 | 25 | 28 |
|  | 3L | 18 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 132 | 132 |
|  | 3L | 42 | 2 | 5.0 | 10 | 20 | 401 | 421 |
|  | 3L | 298 | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 0 | 1,300 | 1,300 |
|  | 3 N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 |
|  | 3 LN | 298 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 1,311 | 1,311 |
| June | 3L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 166 |
|  | 3 N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
|  | 3LN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 184 |
| July | 3L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
|  | 3 N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 21 |
|  | 3LN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 |
| Aug. Sept. | 3L | 8 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 9 | 9 |
|  | 3L | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 13 |
| Oct. | 3L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 87 |
|  | 3 N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
|  | 3LN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 95 |
| Nov. | 3L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,801 | 1,801 |
| Dec. | 3L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 | 1,608 |

Table 2. (cont'd.)

| Month | Division | Observed kept (MT) | Observed discards | Qbserved \% <br> discards | Observed fished | Est. <br> discards | Landed $s$ weight | ```Estimated total removals``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 3 LN | 432 | 2 | 0.4 | 7 | 25 | 5,837 | 5,862 |
| Jan. | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| May | 30 | 11 | 0 | 0.6 | 11 | 1 | 103 | 104 |
| June | 30 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1,347 | 1,347 |
| July | 30 | 50 | 1 | 2.4 | 10 | 12 | 488 | 500 |
| Aug. | 30 | 49 | 2 | 3.2 | 24 | 7 | 202 | 202 |
| Sept. | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| 1981 | 30 | 199 | 3 | 0.9 | 9 | 20 | 2,160 | 2,180 |
| June | 3M | 236 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 368 | 368 |
| Nov. | 3M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 149 |
| 1981 | 3 M | 236 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 517 | 517 |
| Jan. | $3 \mathrm{Ps}^{\text {s }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Feb. | 3 P | 55 | 1 | 1.0 | 6 | 9 | 911 | 920 |
| Mar. | 3 P | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 784 | 784 |
| Apr. | 3 P | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 116 | 116 |
| May | 3 P | 41 | 0 | 0.3 | 55 | 0 | 75 | 75 |
| June | 3 P | 305 | 1 | 0.2 | 39 | 2 | 782 | 784 |
| July | 3 P | 172 | 1 | 0.4 | 18 | 4 | 962 | 966 |
| Aug. | 3 P | 65 | 1 | 2.0 | 6 | 20 | 1,016 | 1,036 |
| Sept. | 3P | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 39 |
| Oct. | 3 P | 181 | 1 | 0.3 | 36 | 2 | 496 | 497 |
| Nov. | 3 P | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 241 | 241 |
| Dec. | 3 P | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 121 |
| 1981 | 3 P | 822 | 5 | 0.7 | 15 | 37 | 5,549 | 5,586 |
| Jan. | 4R | 11 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 72 | 72 |
| Feb. | 4RS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 81 |
| Mar. | 4RST | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 65 |
| June | 4R | 242 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 288 | 288 |
|  | 4S | 185 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 207 | 207 |
|  | 4 T | 3 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 4RST | 430 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 495 | 498 |
| Sept. | 4R | 43 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| Oct. | 4R | 217 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 301 | 301 |
| Nov. | 4RS | 5 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| 1981 | 4RST | 706 | 0 | 0 | 69. | 0 | .1,021 | 1,067* |

*46 MT observed but not reported in June and September.

Table 2 (cont'd)

| Month | Division | Observed kept (MT) | Observed discards | \% Observed discards | \% Observed fished | Est. discards | Landed weight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { removals } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. | 4 V | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 21 |
| Feb. | 4VN | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 9 |
| Mar. | 4VW | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 83 | 83 |
| Apr. | 4 VW | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 35 |
| May | 4VW | 35 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 156 | 156 |
| June | 4 V | 53 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 215 | 215 |
| July | 4 V | 168 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1,209 | 1,209 |
| Aug. | 4 V | 40 | 0 | 1.0 | 3 | 13 | 1,336 | 1,340 |
| Sept. | 4 V | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 101 |
| Oct. | 4 V | 22 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 3 | 22 |
| Nov. | 4 V | 14 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 280 | 280 |
| Dec. | 4 V | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 98 |
| 1981 | 4VW | 335 | 0 | 0.4 | 9 | 13 | 3,546 | 3,559 |

Table 3. Estimates of discarding in the offshore Newfoundland white hake fisheries.

| Month | Division | Observed kept (MT) | Observed discards | Observed \% discards | Observed fished | Est. discards | Landed weight | Estimated total removals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. | 3KL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 3NO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
|  | 3 Ps | 2 | 2 | 67 | 2 | 38 | 56 | 94 |
|  | 4R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 4 Vn | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Feb. | 3 P | 11 | 1 | 8.5 | 22 | 5 | 51 | 56 |
|  | 4RS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 |
|  | 4VX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Mar. | 3+4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 123 |
| Apr. | 3+4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| May | 3+4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| June | $3+4$ | 5 | 0 | 1.8 | 11 | 1 | 44 | 45 |
| July | 3+4 | 3 | 1 | 22.3 | 10 | 7 | 30 | 37 |
| Aug. | 3+4 | 1 | 0 | 27.3 | 3 | 12 | 42 | 54 |
| Sept. | 3+4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Oct. | 3+4 | 1 | 0 | 17.1 | 21 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| Nov. | 3+4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| Dec. | $3+4$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9. | 9 |
| 1981 | $3+4$ | 24 | 4 | 14.1 | 5 | 64 | 454 | 518 |

Table 4. Estimates of discarding in the offshore Newfoundland American plaice fisheries.

| Month | Division | Observed <br> kept (MT) | Observed discards | Observed \% discards | Observed fished | Est. discards | Landed weight | ```Estimated total removals``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. | 2 J | 4 | 0 | 7.8 | 15 | 2 | 26 | 28 |
|  | 3K | 108 | 2 | 1.6 | 16 | 11 | 665 | 676 |
|  | 2+3K | 112 | 2 | 1.9 | 16 | 13 | 691 | 704 |
| Feb. | 2 J | 1 | 0 | 15.3 | 33 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 3 K | 1 | 0 | 22.9 | 1 | 0 | 497 | 497 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ | 2 | 0 | 19.1 | 1 | 0 | 500 | 500 |
| Mar. <br> Apr. | 3K | 24 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4,381 | 4,381 |
|  | 2 J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 3 K | 33 | 1 | 3.0 | 7 | 14 | 497 | 511 |
|  | 2+3K | 33 | 1 | 3.0 | 7 | 15 | 500 | 515 |
| May | 2 J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 3 K | 3 | 0 | 0.6 | 3 | 1 | 111 | 112 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ | 3 | 0 | 0.6 | 3 | 1 | 112 | 113 |
| June | 2 H | 1 | 0 | 1.2 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 3 K | 1 | 0 | 2.1 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ | 1 | 0 | 1.7 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 13 |
| July | 3 K | 1 | 0 | 4.7 | 5 | 1 | 23 | 24 |
| Aug. | 2 H | 9 | 0 | 4.1 | 100 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
|  | 2 J | 0 | 0 | 4.3 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 3K | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ | 10 | 0 | 4.2 | 100 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Sept. | $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ | 0 | 1 | 100 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Oct. | $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ | 0 | 1 | 100 |  | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Nov. | 3K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| Dec. | 3K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 47 |
| 1981 | $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$ | 185 | 5 | 0.9 | 3 | 167 | 6,289 | 6,456 |
| Jan. | 3L | 25 | 0 | 1.0 | 22 | 1 | 114 | 115 |
|  | 3 N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 318 | 318 |
|  | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 |
|  | 3LNO | 25 | 0 | 0.2 | 5 | 1 | 522 | 523 |
| Feb. | 3L | 1 | 0 | 5.8 | 2 | 3 | 49 | 52 |
|  | 3 N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 22 |
|  | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 3LNO | 1 | 0 | 3.7 | 1 | 3 | 77 | 80 |
| Mar. | 3 L | 300 | 12 | 4.0 | 13 | 96 | 2,388 | 2,484 |
|  | 3 N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 136 |
|  | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 68 |
|  | 3LNO | 300 | 12 | 3.7 | 12 | 96 | 2,592 | 2,688 |
| Apr. | 3 L | 299 | 6 | 2.1 | 6 | 112 | 5,350 | 5,462 |
|  | 3 N | 92 | 3 | 3.6 | 26 | 13 | 353 | 366 |
|  | 30 | 33 | 0 | 6.7 | 100 | 1 | 16 | . 33 |
|  | 3LNO | 424. | 9 | 2.2 | 7 | 126 | 5,719 | 5,861 |

Table 4. (cont'd.)

| Month | Division | Observed kept (MT) | Observed discards | Observed \% discards | Observed fished | Est. <br> discard | Landed <br> ds weight | Estimated total removals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 3L | 1,194 | 71 | 6.0 | 18 | 406 | 6,763 | 7,169 |
|  | 3 N | 94 | 6 | 6.4 | 12 | 49 | 770 | 819 |
|  | 30 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 36 | 36 |
|  | 3LNO | 1,292 | 77 | 6.0 | 17 | 455 | 7,569 | 8,023 |
| June | 3L | 645 | 34 | 5.3 | 12 | 286 | 5,434 | 5,720 |
|  | 3 N | 140 | 8 | 5.7 | 14 | 58 | 1,017 | 1,075 |
|  | 30 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 163 | 163 |
|  | 3LN0 | 791 | 42 | 5.3 | 12 | 344 | 6,614 | 6,958 |
| July | 3L | 320 | 15 | 4.7 | 16 | 96 | 2,039 | 2,135 |
|  | 3 N | 389 | 16 | 4.1 | 17 | 96 | 2,344 | 2,440 |
|  | 30 | 51 | 3 | 5.9 | 15 | 20 | 336 | 385 |
|  | 3LNO | 760 | 34 | 4.5 | 16 | 212 | 4,719 | 4,961 |
| Aug. | 3L | 287 | 15 | 5.2 | 14 | 111 | 2,117 | 2,228 |
|  | 3 N | 82 | 2 | 2.7 | 5 | 45 | 1,663 | 1,708 |
|  | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 81 |
|  | 3LNO | 369 | 17 | 4.6 | 10 | 178 | 3,861 | 4,039 |
| Sept. | 3L | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,079 | 2,079 |
|  | 3 N | 206 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,098 | 1,098 |
|  | 30 | 18 | 1 | 3.1 | 9 | 6 | 200 | 206 |
|  | 3LN0 | 257 | 1 | 0.4 | 7 | - 13 | 3,377 | 3,390 |
| Oct. | 3L | 4 | 1 | 9.9 | 1 | 179 | 1,802 | 1,981 |
|  | 3 N | 1 | 0 | 9.8 | 1 | 88 | 902 | 1,018 |
|  | 30 | 21 | 1 | 5.6 | 7 | 16 | 281 | 297 |
|  | 3LNO | 26 | 2 | 9.5 | 1 | 283 | 2,985 | 3,268 |
| Nov. | 3L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,226 | 2,226 |
|  | 3 N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 486 | 486 |
|  | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 335 | 335 |
|  | 3LNO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,047 | 3,047 |
| Dec. | 3 L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 792 | 792 |
|  | 3 N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 65 |
|  | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0152 | 152 |
|  | 3LNO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,009 | 1,009 |
| 1981 | 3LNO | 4,545 | 206 | 4.6 | 11 | 1,936 | 42,091 | 44,027 |
| Jan. | 3 Ps | 55 | 5 | 9.6 | 13 | 40 | 415 | 455 |
| Feb. | 3 Ps | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 52 | 52 |
| Mar. | 3 Ps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 204 |
| Apr. | 3 Ps | 44 | 3 | 7.1 | 18 | 17 | 245 | 262 |
| May | 3 Ps | 2 | 0 | 0.2 | 3 | 0 | 74 | 74 |
| June | 3 Ps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 |

Table 4. (cont'd.)

| Month | Division | Observed <br> kept (MT) | Observed discards | \% Observed discards | \% Observed fished | Est. discards | Landed weight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { removals } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ju7y | 3 Ps | 0 | 0 | . 33 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Aug. | 3 Ps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 |
| Sept. | 3 Ps | 29 | 0 | 0.1 | 27 | 1 | 109 | 110 |
| Oct. | 3 Ps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 43 |
| Nov. | 3 Ps | 37 | 3 | 8.4 | 17 | 18 | 218 | 236 |
| Dec. | 3 Ps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 186 |
| 1981 | 3 Ps | 168 | 11 | 6.5 | 1 | 104 | 1,581 | 1,685 |
| Feb. | 3 Pn | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Table 5. Estimates of discarding in the offshore Newfoundland yellowtail fisheries.

| Month | Division | Observed kept (MT) | Observed discards | Observed \% discards | Observed fished | Est. discards | Landed s weight | Estimated total removals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feb. | 3 N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| Mar. | 3LNO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 39 |
| Apr. | 3LNO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 290 | 290 |
| May | 3L | 51 | 2 | 4.7 | 5 | 45 | 950 | 995 |
|  | 3 N | 56 | 5 | 8.7 | 11 | 46 | 528 | 568 |
|  | 30 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 21 | 21 |
|  | 3LNO | 110 | 7 | 6.7 | 7 | 100 | 1,499 | 1,599 |
| June | 3L | 51 | 2 | 4.1 | 18 | 12 | 290 | 302 |
|  | 3 N | 56 | 5 | 8.2 | 14 | 31 | 384 | 415 |
|  | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 84 |
|  | 3LNO | 108 | 7 | 6.2 | 14 | 47 | 758 | 805 |
| July | 3L | 64 | 3 | 4.3 | 13 | 22 | 502 | 524 |
|  | 3 N | 406 | 13 | 3.2 | 18 | 73 | 2,291 | 2,364 |
|  | 30 | 45 | 1 | 2.7 | 22 | 6 | 206 | 212 |
|  | 3LN0 | 516 | 17 | 3.3 | 17 | 99 | 2,999 | 3,098 |
| Aug. | 3L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 155 |
|  | 3 N | 368 | 11 | 3.1 | 10 | 110 | 3,582 | 3,692 |
|  | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 29 |
|  | 3LNO | 368 | 11 | 3.1 | 10 | 115 | 3,766 | 3,881 |
| Sept. | 3LNO | 116 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1,929 | 1,929 |
| Oct. | 3L | 3 | 0 | 11.0 | 5 | 7 | 65 | , 72 |
|  | 3 N | 1 | 0 | 10.5 | 1 | 207 | 1,971 | 2,178 |
|  | 30 | 2 | 0 | 7.9 | 6 | 3 | 40 | 43 |
|  | 3LNO | 6 | 0 | 9.7 | 1 | 217 | 2,076 | 2,293 |
| Nov. | 3LNO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 404 | 404 |
| Dec. | 3LNO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 120 |
| 1981 | 3LNO | 1,224 | 44 | 4.2 | 9 | 5781 | 13,896 | 14,474 |

Table 6. Estimates of discarding in the offshore Newfoundland turbot fisheries.

| Month | Division | Observed kept (MT) | Observed discards | Observed \% discards | Observed fished | Est. discards | Landed weight | Estimated total removals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. | 2 J | 4 | 0 | 9.6 | 14 | 3 | 27 | 30 |
|  | 3 K | 30 | 1 | 4.7 | 11 | 12 | 266 | 278 |
|  | 3L | 11 | 1 | 5.9 | 34 | 2 | 32 | 34 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 45 | 2 | 5.4 | 14 | 18 | 325 | 343 |
| Feb. | 2 J | 1 | 0 | 15.4 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 3K | 0 | 0 | 87.3 | 1 | 51 | 59 | 110 |
|  | 3L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 1 | 0 | 37.5 | 1 | 51 | 64 | 105 |
| Mar. | 3K | 50 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 978 | 978 |
|  | 3 L | 11 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 50 | 50 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 61 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1,028 | 1,028 |
| Apr. | 3 K | 141 | 1 | 0.9 | 6 | 20 | 2,215 | 2,235 |
|  | 3 L | 0 | 0 | 45.5 | 1 | - | 236 | 236 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 141 | 1 | 0.9 | 6 | 20 | 2,451 | 2,471 |
| May | 3K | 30 | 0 | 0.5 | 3 | 6 | 1,177 | 1,183 |
|  | 3L | 30 | 0 | 0.1 | 13 | 0 | 231 | 231 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 60 | 0 | 0.3 | 4 | 7 | 1,408 | 1,415 |
| June | 2 H | 6 | 0 | 0.1 | 29 | 0 | - 19 | - 19 |
|  | 2 J | 12 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 30 | 30 |
|  | 3 K | 0 | 0 | 12.7 | 1 | 15 | 119 | 134 |
|  | 3 L | 0 | 0 | 47.1 | 1 | 11 | 27 | 38 |
|  | 2+3KL | 18 | 0 | 1.2 | 10 | 26 | 175 | 202 |
| July | 2 Ga | 2 | 0 | 16.1 | 100 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{H}^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | 0 | 18.1 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 9 |
|  | 2 J | 4 | 1 | 16.2 | 44 | 1 | 9 | 10 |
|  | 3 K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
|  | 3L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 7 | 1 | 5.0 | 16 | 2 | 44 | 46 |
| Aug. | 2 H | 4 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | $6^{\text {b }}$ | 6 |
|  | 2 J | 9 | 1 | 9.5 | 7 | 12 | 122 | 134 |
|  | 3 K | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 27 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 14 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 155 | 166 |
| Sept. | 2 H | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 88 |
|  | 3K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 3L | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 46 | 46 |
|  | $2+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 135 | 135 |
| Oct. | $2+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 84 |
| Nov. | $2+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 88 |
| Dec. | $2+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 95 |
| 1981 | $2+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 349 | 8 | 2.3 | 6 | 137 | 6,052 | 6,189 |

a
$b^{\text {Note }}$ the considerable discard of turbot in the shrimp fishery (see Table 9).
MT landed from shrimp fisheries by-catch.

Table 7. Estimates of discarding in the offshore Newfoundland witch fisheries.

| Month | Division | Observed kept (MT) | Observed discards | \% <br> Observed discards | \% Observed fished | Est. discards | Landed weight | Estimated total removals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. | 2 J | 1 | 0 | 3.4 | 33 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 3 K | 14 | 0 | 1.4 | 31 | 1 | 45 | 46 |
|  | 3 L | 9 | 0 | 0.6 | 20 | 0 | 44 | 44 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 24 | 0 | 1.2 | 26 | 1 | 92 | 93 |
| Feb. | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 1 | 1 | 48.0 | 2 | - | 52 | 52 |
| Mar. | 3KL | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 885 | 885 |
| Apr. | 3KL | 17 | 0 | 1.8 | 1 | 9 | 526 | 535 |
| May | 3KL | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 230 | 230 |
| June | 3 KL | 3 | 0 | 2.0 | 5 | 1 | 58 | 59 |
| July | 3 KL | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| Aug. | 3KL | 3 | 0 | 3.3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| Sept. | 3L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Oct. | 3L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Nov. | 3 KL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 106 |
| Dec. | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 96 |
| 1981 | $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{KL}$ | 75 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 12 | 2,088 | 2100 |
| Jan. | 4RS | 4 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Feb. | 4RS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| Mar. | 4RS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 250 |
| Oct. | 4R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 1981 | 4RS | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 274 | 274 |
| Jan. | $3 \mathrm{Ps}_{5}$ | 16 | 0 | 0.1 | 43 | 0 | 37 | 37 |
| Feb. | $3 \mathrm{Ps}_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| Mar. | 3 Ps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 41 |
| Apr. | $3 \mathrm{Ps}_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| June | 3 Ps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| July | $3 \mathrm{Ps}^{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Aug. | $3 \mathrm{Ps}_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 20 |
| Nov. | 3 Ps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Dec. | 3 Ps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 35 |
| 1981 | 3 Ps | 16 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 165 | 165 |
| Mar. | 4VWX | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 46 | 46 |
| May | 4VWX | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| July | 4VWX | 1 | 0 | 26.0 | 9 | 3 | 11 | 14 |
| Aug. | 4VWX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 |
| Nov. | 4VWX | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Dec. | 4VWX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1981 | 4VWX | 10 | 0 | 3.4 | 12 | 3 | 86 | 89 |

Table 7. (cont'd.)

| Month | Division | Observed kept (MT) | Observed discards | Observed discards | \% Observed fished | Est. discards | Landed weight | Estimated total remavals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apr. | 3NO | 12 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 192 | 192 |
| May | 3 N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 36 |
| June | 3NO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| July | 3NO | 6 | 0 | 1.7 | 50 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| Aug. | 3N | 7 | 0 | 0.5 | 32 | 0 | 22 | 22 |
| Sept. | 3N0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Oct. | 3NO | 1 | 0 | 4.8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Nov. | 3NO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 21 |
| Dec. | 3NO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 1981 | 3N0 | 26 | 0 | 0.7 | 9 | 0 | 302 | 302 |

Table 8. Estimates of discarding in the offshore Newfoundland shrimp fisheries.

| Month | Division | Observed kept (MT) | Observed discards | Observed discards | \% Observed fished | Est. discards | Landed weight | Estimated total removals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June <br> July | 2 H | 2 | 0 | 4.8 | 100 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2 H | 89 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 43 | 89 |
|  | 2 J | 13 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 6 | 13 |
|  | 2 HJ | 102 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 49 | 102 |
| Aug. | 2 H | 117 | 0 | 0.3 | 100 | 0 | 108 | 117 |
|  | 2 J | 2 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
|  | 2 HJ | 119 | 0 | 0.3 | 100 | 0 | 116 | 125 |
| Sept. | 2 H | 140 | 2 | 1.3 | 100 | 2 | 140 | 142 |
|  | 2 J | 1 | 0 | 2.9 | 50 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2 HJ | 141 | 2 | 1.4 | 99 | 2 | 142 | 144 |
| Oct. | 2 H | 160 | 1 | 0.5 | 100 | 1 | 126 | 161 |
|  | 2 J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 HJ | 160 | 1 | 0.5 | 100 | 1 | 127 | 162 |
| Nov. | 2 H | 23 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 149 | 149 |
| 1981 | 2 HJ | 547 | 3 | 0.5 | 94 | 3 | 583 | 684 |

Table 9. Estimates of discarding of bycatck in the Newfoundland offshore shrimp fishery.

Obs. \% Bycatch Directed Discard
species species Month Div. kept discards discards fished weight directed removal

| Shrimp | Redfish | July | 2 H | 0 | 9 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 89 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Aug. | 2 H | 0 | 20 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 117 | 20 |
|  |  | Sept. | 2 H | 0 | 15 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 140 | 15 |
|  |  | Oct. | 2 H | 0 | 13 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 126 | 13 |
|  |  | 1981 | 2 H | 0 | 48 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 472 | 48 |
| Shrimp | Turbot | July | 2 H | 8 | 55 | 88 | 100 | 8 | 63 | 55 |
|  |  |  | 2 J | 1 | 11 | 90 | 25 | 4 | 48 | 44 |
|  |  |  | 2 H | 3 | 26 | 91 | 100 | 3 | 29 | 26 |
|  |  | Sept. | 2 H | 2 | 3 | 64 | 100 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
|  |  | 0ct. | 2 H | 3 | 6 | 63 | 100 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
|  |  | 1981 | 2 HJ | 17 | 101 | 86 | 94 | 20 | 148 | 136 |


[^0]:    *observed discards (Col. 4) are rounded to the nearest MT. In cases where catches and corresponding discards are small, i.e. less than $0.5 t$, they are recorded as zero in Col. 4, even if Col. 5 indicates a positive percent discarded.

