



Fisheries and Oceans
Canada

Pêches et Océans
Canada

Science

Sciences

Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat (CSAS)

Research Document 2013/100

Gulf Region

Review of the 2012 snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) fishery in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence (Areas 12, 19, 12E and 12F)

M. Hébert, E. Wade, P. DeGrâce, J.-F. Landry and M. Moriyasu

Science Branch
Gulf Region
Department of Fisheries and Oceans
P. O. Box 5030
Moncton, NB
E1C 9B6

Foreword

This series documents the scientific basis for the evaluation of aquatic resources and ecosystems in Canada. As such, it addresses the issues of the day in the time frames required and the documents it contains are not intended as definitive statements on the subjects addressed but rather as progress reports on ongoing investigations.

Research documents are produced in the official language in which they are provided to the Secretariat.

Published by:

Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat
200 Kent Street
Ottawa ON K1A 0E6

[http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/
csas-sccs@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/csas-sccs@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)



© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, 2014
ISSN 1919-5044

Correct citation for this publication:

Hébert, M., Wade, M., DeGrâce, P., Landry, J.-F., and Moriyasu, M. 2014. Review of the 2012 snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) fishery in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence (Areas 12, 19, 12E and 12F). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2013/100. v + 42 p.

Aussi disponible en français :

Hébert, M., Wade, E., DeGrâce, P., Landry, J.-F., et Moriyasu, M. 2014. Revue de la pêche au crabe des neiges (Chionoecetes opilio) dans le sud du golfe du Saint-Laurent (zones 12, 19, 12E et 12F) en 2012. Secr. can. de consult. sci. du MPO. Doc. de rech. 2013/100. v + 43 p.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	IV
RÉSUMÉ	V
1.0. INTRODUCTION	1
2.0. METHODS	2
2.1. LOGBOOKS AND LANDING MONITORING	2
2.2. AT-SEA OBSERVER SAMPLING	2
2.2.1. Sea sampling before discarding	2
2.2.2. Sea sampling after discarding	3
2.2.3. Soft-shelled crab and white crab protocol monitoring	3
3.0. RESULTS	3
3.1. FISHERY PERFORMANCE	3
3.1.1. Area 12	3
3.1.2. Area 19	5
3.1.3. Area 12E	5
3.1.4. Area 12F	6
4.0. DISCUSSION	7
5.0. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	7
6.0. REFERENCES	8
7.0. TABLES	10
8.0. FIGURES	17
9.0 APPENDICES	32
APPENDIX 1. BIOLOGICAL SAMPLING FOR 2012	32
APPENDIX 2. EXAMPLE OF AN AT-SEA OBSERVER SAMPLING FORM	34
APPENDIX 3. DESCRIPTION OF CARAPACE WIDTH AND CLAW HEIGHT MEASUREMENTS	35

ABSTRACT

The review of the 2012 snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) fishery in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence (Areas 12, 19, 12E and 12F) is presented. Total landings in the sGSL in 2012 were 21,956 t out of a quota of 22,007 t. In Area 12, landings were 18,159 t (quota of 18,143 t). The fishery performance in Area 12 was good in 2012. The mean catch-per-unit-of-effort (CPUE) increased in 2012 (68.0 kg per trap hauled (kg/th)) compared to 2011 (53.0 kg/th). The mean size of commercial-sized adult males remained high in 2012 at 111.6 mm of carapace width (CW), a decrease compared to 2011 (114.2 mm CW). The incidence of soft-shelled crab was low at 3.7%, lower than in 2011 (6.2%). In Area 19, the fishery performance was good; landings reached 2,906 t (quota of 2,907 t). The mean CPUE was 178.1 kg/th, the highest observed since 1987. The mean size of commercial-sized crabs slightly decreased in 2012 (114.8 mm CW) compared to 2011 (117.0 mm CW). The incidence of white crabs decreased from 11.5% in 2011 to 4.5% in 2012. In Areas 12E and 12F, landings were 185 t (quota of 251 t) and 706 t (quota of 706 t), respectively. In Area 12E, the mean CPUE slightly increased in 2012 (32.9 kg/th) compared to 2011 (31.5 kg/th) and the incidence of soft-shelled crab was low (3.3%). In Area 12F, the mean CPUE increased from 32.5 kg/th in 2011 to 41.8 kg/th in 2012 while the incidence of soft-shelled crabs increased from 2.6% in 2011 to 9.4% in 2012.

RESUME

La revue de la pêche au crabe des neiges, *Chionoecetes opilio*, dans le sud du golfe du Saint-Laurent est présentée (zones 12, 19, 12E et 12F). Les débarquements dans le sGSL en 2012 ont atteint 21 956 t sur un quota de 22 007 t. Dans la zone 12, les débarquements ont atteint 18 159 t (quota de 18 143 t). La performance de la pêche dans la zone 12 a été bonne en 2012. La prise par unité d'effort (PUE) moyenne a augmenté en 2012 (68,0 kg par casier levé (kg/cl) comparativement à 2011 (53,0 kg/cl). La taille moyenne des mâles adultes de taille commerciale est demeurée élevée à 111,6 mm de largeur de carapace (LC), une légère diminution comparativement à 2011 (114,2 mm LC). L'incidence des crabes à carapace molle a été basse en 2012 à 3,7%, plus basse qu'en 2011 à 6,2%. Dans la zone 19, la performance de la pêche a été bonne; les débarquements étaient de 2 906 t sur un quota de 2 907 t. La PUE moyenne a été de 178,1 kg/cl, la plus élevée observée depuis 1987. La taille moyenne des mâles adultes de taille commerciale a diminué légèrement en 2012 (114,8 mm LC) comparativement à 2011 (117,0 mm LC). L'incidence des crabes blancs a diminué passant de 11,5% en 2011 à 4,5% en 2012. Dans les zones 12E et 12F, les débarquements ont atteint 185 t (quota de 251 t) et 706 t (quota de 706 t), respectivement. Dans la zone 12E, la PUE moyenne a augmenté légèrement en 2012 (32,9 kg/cl) comparativement à 2011 (31,5 kg/cl) et l'incidence de crabes à carapace molle a été basse (3,3%). Dans la zone 12F, la PUE moyenne a augmenté passant de 32,5 kg/cl en 2011 à 41,8 kg/cl en 2012 tandis que l'incidence des crabes à carapace molle a augmenté passant de 2,6% en 2011 à 9,4% en 2012.

1.0. INTRODUCTION

Snow crab, *Chionoecetes opilio*, has been commercially exploited in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence (sGSL) since the mid-1960s. Until 1994, the snow crab fishery in Area 12 (Fig. 1) was exploited by 130 mid-shore fish harvesters from New-Brunswick, Québec and Nova-Scotia. In 1997, the Prince Edward Island coastal fishery, (formerly called Areas 25/26) was integrated into Area 12. In 2003, a portion of the coastal fishery off Cape Breton (formerly called Area 18) was also integrated into Area 12 and a northern part of Area 18 was set as a buffer zone (non-snow crab fishing zone, Fig. 1). For the purpose of this assessment, Area 12 refers to the new management unit (Fig. 1). In 1978, Area 19 (Fig.1) was established for the exclusive use of Cape Breton inshore fish harvesters with vessels less than 13.7 m (45 feet) in length. Areas 12E and 12F were introduced in 1995 as exploratory fishery areas. In 2002, the status of these fishery areas was changed from exploratory to commercial.

There are four individually managed fishing areas (Areas 12, 19, 12E and 12F) (Fig. 1), among which Area 12 has the largest surface area, the largest number of participants, and the highest landings. There is no biological basis for the delimitations of snow crab management areas in the sGSL (Chiasson and Hébert 1990; Hébert et al. 2007, 2008; DFO 2009). Crabs in these management areas are considered part of a single biological population and the sGSL is considered as one unit for assessment purposes.

Baited traps, constructed of tubular steel, are used to catch crab, mainly on mud or sand-mud bottoms at temperatures ranging from -0.5 to 4.5°C, and depths ranging from 50 to 280 m.

Management of this fishery is based on quotas (by management area and distributed among license holders) and effort controls (number of licenses, trap allocations, trap dimensions, and fishing seasons).

In Areas 12, 12E and 12F, the fishing season generally starts as soon as the sGSL is clear of ice, in April to early May and ends when the quotas are reached. In Area 19, the fishing season starts in July and ends when the quota is reached. The landing of females is prohibited and only hard-shelled males ≥ 95 mm carapace width (CW) are commercially exploited. Different trap limits apply to each license depending on the harvester groups and fishing areas.

In the sGSL, molting of snow crab occurs from December-April, prior to the fishery (Watson 1972; Conan et al. 1988; Sainte-Marie et al. 1995; Benhalima et al. 1998; Hébert et al. 2002). Crab normally molt every year until they reach the adult phase via a final or “terminal” molt (Conan and Comeau 1986). Males reach the terminal molt at sizes ranging from 40 to 150 mm CW, whereas females reach terminal molt at smaller sizes, ranging from 30 to 95 mm CW (Conan and Comeau 1986). The longevity of adult males (after reaching the terminal molt) is approximately 5 years (Sainte-Marie et al. 1995) to 7.7 years (Fonseca et al. 2008).

Since 1990, a protocol for monitoring and managing the capture of soft-shelled male crabs has been in place in the sGSL fisheries. Soft-shelled male crabs have low commercial value due to their lower meat content and are discarded at sea by fishermen. This activity results in mortality of soft-shelled male crabs and may reduce the recruitment to the fishery for the following years (Dufour et al. 1997). Soft-shelled and white crabs are the molters of the year which are identified by their carapace conditions 1 or 2. Soft shelled or white commercial-sized adult males represent the recruitment to the fishery for the following year as the crab harden and fill up of meat becoming crab of carapace condition 3, the best commercial quality on the market. Commercial-sized adult males of carapace conditions 4 and 5 molted more than two years ago and are less desirable on the market because of the accumulation of moss, scars and scratches

on their carapace. However, commercial-sized adult males of carapace condition 4 are the best reproducers (Sainte-Marie et al. 1995).

This report presents the review of the 2012 snow crab fishery in the sGSL (Areas 12, 19, 12E and 12F). Fishery monitoring of the sGSL fisheries is based on logbook data, dockside monitoring of the catch, and at-sea sampling by observers.

2.0. METHODS

2.1. LOGBOOKS AND LANDING MONITORING

Raw data on catches and fishing effort were obtained from mandatory logbooks and the quota monitoring report, which is based on dockside monitoring of landings. The data were compiled by Informatics and Statistics Branches of the Quebec and Gulf Regions of Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), and verified by Science Gulf Region.

The geographic distribution of fishing effort was presented as the total number of trap hauls within each 10 by 10 minutes latitude-longitude grid. The fishing positions were obtained from logbooks.

The mean catch per unit of effort (CPUE) in kilograms per trap hauls (kg/th) of the fleet in year (i) was calculated as the ratio of total catches (y_i) and the corresponding number of trap hauls (th_i) as reported in the logbooks: $CPUE_i = \frac{y_i}{th_i}$. As not all trap hauls were reported in the logbooks, the total trap hauls had to be estimated. Thus the total effort in the fishery (total number of trap hauls, TH) was estimated from the total landings from the quota monitoring report (Y_i) divided by the unadjusted mean CPUE: $TH_i = Y_i/CPUE_i$. Trap immersion times were taken from the logbook.

2.2. AT-SEA OBSERVER SAMPLING

Since 1990, DFO has implemented an intensive observer sampling program (see appendices 1 to 6 for detailed protocol) onboard commercial vessels to provide an annual assessment of the percentage of soft-shelled crabs, CPUE, and the size structure of males caught. Two types of sampling have been conducted on the commercial fishing vessels: before discarding and after discarding (retained catch). The sampling effort ratio was two samples before discarding to one sample after discarding for the entire fishing activity of the sampled boat. Locations of traps sampled are shown in Figure 2.

2.2.1. Sea sampling before discarding

Observers from the consultant company Biorex, were deployed randomly on fishing boats and the vessels selected to be sampled were distributed equally among the different harvester groups (First Nations, traditional harvesters, and new entrants). The observer randomly selected traps during the entire period of fishing activity. For each trap sampled, a sub-sample of 40 males of all sizes was chosen at random from the total catch and the following measurements were taken: CW, chela height (CH), carapace condition (Hébert et al. 1997), and hardness at the base of the right claw (Foyle et al. 1989); see Appendices 1 to 6 for detailed information on the at-sea sampling protocol. The hardness measurement was determined with a 2.25 kg gauge durometer (Pacific Transducer Corp., California, U.S.A.) on a scale of 0 to 100 units. The positions of the sampled trap, depth of fishing, and total number of males for each sampled trap were also recorded.

In Areas 12, 12E and 12F, crab of carapace conditions 1 and 2 and claw hardness less than 68 units on the durometer were categorized as soft-shelled crabs (Hébert et al. 1992). In Area 19

(a summer fishery), crabs with carapace conditions 1 and 2 and a claw hardness less than 72 on the durometer reading were considered as soft and white crabs. White crab is defined by shell hardness < 78 durometer units and includes both new soft (condition 1) and clean hard shelled crab (condition 2).

2.2.2. Sea sampling after discarding

The observer randomly selected traps during the entire fishing activity to determine the composition of the retained catch (landing composition). A random sub-sample of 20 male crabs from the retained catch of each sampled trap was taken and the same information as described for the sampling before discarding was recorded. The following additional information was recorded for each sampled vessel: name of the boat, date of sampling, and total quantity landed.

The catch composition from the sea samples (% of different categories of crab) was estimated based on the carapace hardness, size (legal and sub-legal) and morphometric maturity (adult – terminal molt; adolescent – non-terminal molt). The annual mean weighted percentages of soft-shelled males) were calculated based on the size structure obtained from the sea sampling prior and after discarding (Hébert et al. 1992). Sea samples are weighted by the landing from each sampled vessel. The at-sea observer's CPUEs for each trap sampled were calculated based on the number of commercial-sized adult males with carapace conditions 3, 4 and 5 measured and converted into weight using the size-weight relationship for adult hard-shelled males (Hébert et al. 1992) and the CW (mm) distributions from sampling. CPUE are weighted by the landing from each sampled vessel.

2.2.3. Soft-shelled crab and white crab protocol monitoring

The soft-shelled crab monitoring program was carried out again in 2012 for Areas 12, 12E and 12F. This protocol allows the closure of smaller areas (grids or sectors) of the fishery with high incidence of soft-shelled males in the catch without closing the entire fishery. A given grid or sector was closed for the season on a mandatory basis when the incidence of soft-shelled males exceeded 20 % in number for a period of 15 days. This criterion (20%) was not based on biological considerations, but rather on the economic viability limit with which fishermen and the fishing plants had agreed to, in order to continue their operations (Hébert et al. 1992). An advance notice (DFO Fishery Act) of 5 days was given to fishermen to leave the grids or sectors when the percentage of soft-shelled males exceeded 20% in number within a 15-day analysis period. A comparable protocol was applied in Area 19. The mean percentage of soft-shelled used to close grids or sectors is calculated using the ratio of the number of soft-shelled males and the total number of males caught in commercial traps before discarding.

3.0. RESULTS

3.1. FISHERY PERFORMANCE

Since 1969, snow crab landings in the sGSL have shown three periods of high landings (exceeding 20,000 t): 1981-1986, 1994-1995, and more recently from 2002 to 2009 (Table 1; Fig. 3). The peak landings were reported in 2005 (36,118 t). The landings in the sGSL were 21,956 t in 2012 (quota of 22,007 t) while they were 10,708 t in 2011 (quota of 10,677 t) (Table 1; Fig. 3).

3.1.1. Area 12

The 2012 fishing season in Area 12 opened on April 15 and closed on July 11 with reported landings of 18,159 t from a quota of 18,143 t. Harvesters participating in the fishery were from

New-Brunswick, Québec, Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island. The number of participating boats increased from 251 to 295 between 2011 and 2012.

Logbooks:

Main fishing grounds are shown in Figure 1. The estimated fishing effort in Area 12 has varied from 243,339 to 544,454 trap hauls (th) between 1987 and 2009, but decreased considerably to 161,148 th in 2010, the lowest value of the time series since 1987 (Table 1). The fishing effort was 267,044 th in 2012 (Table 1). During the 2012 fishing season, fishing effort in Area 12 was concentrated mostly in Chaleur Bay, Bradelle Bank, Shediac Valley, Orphan Bank, and the central and northern parts of the Magdalen Channel (Fig. 4) where the majority of landings were taken (Fig. 5).

The mean CPUE (called CPUE hereafter) estimated from logbooks in Area 12 was 68 kg/th in 2012, an increase compared to 2011 (53 kg/th) and the highest value observed since 1987 (Table 1). High CPUEs were observed in the Cape Breton Corridor, the northern and southern parts of the Magdalen Channel, Bradelle Bank, the Chaleur Bay and the American Bank (Fig. 6).

The CPUE by group harvesters are presented in Table 2.

The mean trap immersion times are summarized in Table 3. Since 1997, the mean trap immersion time in Area 12 has varied from 55 hours in 2003 to 80 hours in 2011 (Table 3; Fig. 7). There was an increase in the mean trap immersion time from 2010 (64 hours) to 2011-2012 (about 80 hours) (Table 3; Fig. 7).

At-sea observer sampling:

In 2012, the Area 12 at-sea observer coverage was 20.2% of the total number of trips (Table 4). The number of trips sampled was 527 consisting of 2,880 traps sampled and 112,284 crabs measured (Table 4; Fig. 8a).

The mean CPUE estimated from the at-sea observer sampling decreased from 65.1 kg/th in 1997 to 40.2 kg/th in 2000, gradually increased to 82.3 kg/th in 2007 and then decreased to 59.1 kg/th in 2009 (Table 5, Fig. 9). Since 2009, the CPUE has increased to reach 74.7 kg/th by 2012 (Table 5, Fig. 9).

In accordance with the soft-shelled crab protocol, 7 of 323 grids were closed towards the end of the 2012 fishing season (Fig. 10). The incidence of soft-shelled crabs (Table 6) in the catches was 3.7% in 2012, a decrease from 2011 (6.2%) and has remained low compared to 12.5% observed in 2000.

Within the commercial-sized adult male catch, the percentage with carapace conditions 1 and 2 (prior to discarding) decreased from 2000 (11.7%) to 2008 (1.4%), and has varied between 2.2% and 6.8% from 2009 to 2012 (Table 7). The percentage of crabs with carapace condition 3 decreased from 89.6% in 2005 to 68.4% in 2009 but has increased to 87.4% by 2012 (Table 7). The percentage of commercial-sized adult males with carapace conditions 4 and 5 decreased from 24.3% in 2000 to 6.0% in 2005 and has been between 16.6% and 25.6% from 2008 and 2011 (Table 7). The percentage of these categories 4 and 5 decreased to 10.5 % in 2012.

The Area 12 mean size of commercial adult males increased from 109.0 mm CW in 2002 to 115.2 mm CW in 2010 (Fig. 11). The mean size of commercial adult males decreased to 111.6 mm CW by 2012 (Fig. 11).

3.1.2. Area 19

The 2012 fishing season in Area 19 opened on July 14 and ended on August 9 with reported landings of 2,906 t from a quota of 2,907 t. The number of boats fishing in Area 19 in 2012 was 98 (all from Cape Breton).

Logbooks:

The fishing effort during 2012 in Area 19 was distributed in the southern and central parts of the zone (Fig. 4). The highest landings were taken from the southern and central parts where the highest CPUEs were observed (Figs. 5 and 6). The fishing effort has varied from 16,733 th to 55,977 th between 1987 and 2009, but decreased considerably to 11,138 th in 2010, the lowest value of the time series (Table 1). The fishing effort in 2012 was 16,317 th (Table 1).

The mean CPUE in 2012 (178.1 kg/th) increased compared to 2011 (133.3 kg/th) and represents the highest observed since 1987 (Table 1).

Since 1997, the mean trap immersion time in Area 19 has varied from 28 hours in 2004 to 38 hours in 2003 (Table 3; Fig. 7). There was a slight increase in the mean trap immersion time from 2010 (30 hours) to 2012 (34 hours) (Table 3; Fig. 7).

At-sea observer sampling:

In 2012, the Area 19 at-sea observer coverage was 8.4% of the total number of trips (Table 4). A total of 90 trips were sampled with 252 traps sampled and 9,469 crabs measured (Table 4, Fig. 8b).

Between 1997 and 2009, the Area 19 mean CPUE estimated from the observer sampling has varied between 62.9 kg/th to 125.9 kg/th (Table 5; Fig. 9). Since 2009, the CPUE has increased to reach 200.6 kg/th by 2012 (Table 5; Fig. 9).

In accordance with the white crab protocol, no sector within Area 19 was closed during the 2012 fishing season (Fig. 12). The percentage of white crabs in the catches increased from 6.1% in 2006 to 11.6% in 2009, decreased to 6.4% in 2010 and increased again to 11.5% in 2011 (Table 6). The percentage of white crabs decreased to 4.5% in 2012 (Table 6).

Within the commercial-sized adult male catch, the percentage of carapace conditions 1 and 2 was 5.6% in 2012, a decreased compared to 2011 (12.2%) and below the highest value (16.6%) observed in 2000 (Table 7). The percentage of crabs with carapace condition 3 increased from 63.1% in 2009 to 91.6% in 2012 (Table 7). The percentage of commercial-sized adult males with carapace conditions 4 and 5 remained low in 2012 at 2.8% (Table 7).

The Area 19 mean size of commercial adult males fluctuated from 120.5 mm CW in 1995 to 109.6 mm CW in 2002, increased to 117.3 mm CW in 2007 but decreased to 114.8 mm CW in 2012 (Fig. 11).

3.1.3. Area 12E

In Area 12E, the 2012 fishery opened on April 15 and ended on July 15, with reported landings of 185 t from a quota of 251 t. Only four fish harvesters (2 from New-Brunswick, 1 from Québec and 1 from Prince Edward Island) were active for the 2012 fishing season.

Logbooks:

Harvesters concentrated their fishing effort in the southeastern part of the area adjacent to Areas 12 and 12F (Fig. 4). The fishing effort decreased from 9,232 th in 2008 to 1,825 th in 2010 but increased to 5,623 th in 2012 (Table 1).

The Area 12E mean CPUE estimated from logbooks in 2012 was 32.9 kg/th, an increase compared to 2011 (31.5 kg/th, Table 1). The mean CPUE has been increasing since 2009 (14.4 kg/th) (Table 1).

Since 1997, the mean trap immersion time has varied between 30 hours in 1998 and 72 hours in 2009. The mean trap immersion time increased in 2012 (59 hours) compared to 2011 (33 hours, Table 3; Fig. 7).

At-sea observer sampling:

In 2012, the Area 12E at-sea observer coverage was 20.9% of the total number of trips. Nine trips were sampled with 55 traps sampled and 2,015 crabs measured (Table 4; Fig. 8c).

Between 1997 and 2010, the mean Area 12E CPUE estimated from the observer sampling has varied between 13.6 kg/th to 88.9 kg/th (Table 5; Fig. 9). Since 2010, the CPUE has decreased to reach 29.9 kg/th by 2012 (Table 5; Fig. 9).

In accordance with the soft-shelled crab protocol, no grids within Area 12E were closed during the 2012 fishing season (Fig. 13). The percentage of soft-shelled males in the catches was 3.3% in 2012, a decrease from 2011 (8.4%, Table 6).

Within the commercial-sized adult male catch, the percentage with carapace conditions 1 and 2 decreased in 2012 to 1.1% compared to 8.5% in 2010 (Table 7). The percentage of commercial-sized adult males with carapace condition 3 increased from 87.0% in 2008 to 94.7% in 2012. The percentage of commercial-sized adult males with carapace conditions 4 and 5 remained low in 2012 at 4.1% (Table 7).

The Area 12E mean size of commercial-sized adult males increased from 105.8 mm CW in 2000 to 115.1 mm CW in 2007, but decreased to 106.6 mm CW by 2012 (Fig. 11).

3.1.4. Area 12F

In Area 12F, the 2012 fishery opened on April 15 and closed on June 23 with reported landings of 706 t (quota of 706 t). There were 16 harvesters in 2012 among which 11 were from the Magdalen Islands and 5 from Cape Breton.

Logbooks:

The fishing effort was concentrated in the northern and southern parts of Area 12F (Fig. 4). The fishing effort increased from 9,631 th in 2011 to 16,890 th in 2012 (Table 1).

The Area 12F mean CPUE estimated from logbooks in 2012 (41.8 kg/th) increased compared to the lowest level observed in 2009 (22.0 kg/th, Table 1).

Since 1997, the mean trap immersion time has varied between 49 hours in 1997 and 118 hours in 2011. The mean trap immersion time decreased in 2012 (84 hours) compared to 2011 (118 hours, Table 3; Fig. 7).

At-sea observer sampling:

In 2012, the Area 12F at-sea observer coverage was 16.8% of the total number of trips (Table 4). Thirty seven trips were sampled with 157 traps sampled and 6,011 crabs measured (Table 4; Fig. 8d).

Between 1997 and 2008, the Area 12F mean CPUE estimated from the observer sampling has varied between 28.1 kg/th to 113.4 kg/th (Table 5; Fig. 9). Since 2008, the CPUE has gradually been increasing and reached 40.1 kg/th in 2012 (Table 5; Fig. 9).

According to the soft-shelled crab protocol, no sectors within Area 12F were closed during the 2012 fishing season (Fig. 14). The percentage of soft-shelled males in the catches increased from 2.6% in 2011 to 9.4% in 2012 (Table 6).

The percentage of commercial-sized males of carapace conditions 1 and 2 increased from 1.5% in 2009 to 5.3% in 2011 but decreased to 2.2% in 2012 (Table 7). The bulk of the catches was composed of commercial-sized adult males of carapace condition 3, 96.1% in 2012 (Table 7). The percentages of these crabs remained high since 2008 varying between 87.0 and 97.3% (Table 7). The percentage of commercial-sized adult males of carapace conditions 4 and 5 was 1.8% in 2012 and remained low since 2008 (Table 7).

The Area 12F mean size of commercial sized adult males increased from 107.9 mm CW in 2000 to 113.6 mm CW in 2005, decreased to 108.5 mm CW by 2009 but increased to 110.0 mm CW in 2011 (Fig. 11). The mean size of commercial sized adult males decreased to 107.7 mm CW in 2012 (Fig. 11).

4.0. DISCUSSION

In general, the fishery performance in all management areas (Areas 12, 19, 12E and 12F) was good in 2012. The mean CPUE estimated from the logbooks data (confirmed with at-sea observer data) increased in all zones. The percentage of soft-shelled males or white crabs in the catch decreased from 2011 to 2012 to low values in Areas 12, 12E and 19, while there was an important increase in Area 12F. The mean size of commercial-sized adult males decreased in all areas. This mean size decline may be attributed to the arrival of new waves of recruitment that started to enter the fishery in 2010. The catch composition of commercial-sized adult males of carapace conditions 4 and 5 was 9.4% which indicated no sign of an aging population at this moment.

The high mean CPUEs (122 to 178 kg/th) observed in Area 19 in recent years (2010-2012) cannot be explained by either erroneous logbook information or increase in trap immersion time because the relationship between the CPUEs estimated from the logbooks data corroborated well with the at-sea observer sampling data in each management area ($0.96 > r^2 > 0.87$, Fig. 15), and the trap immersion time has varied little in the past 6 years (30 to 34 hours) in Area 19. The introduction of the precautionary approach in 2010 resulted in diminishing the exploitation rate by 40% should have had a positive impact on the catch rate in Area 19. There are other factors which might explain the abrupt increase in CPUE in this Area since 2010, which are the immigration of commercial-sized crabs from the eastern Cape-Breton management areas, especially from Areas 20-22 (Ben Zisserson, pers. comm.) and from the adjacent Area 12 (Biron et al. 2008; Hébert et al. 2012), as well as fishing gear change especially trap size. Further investigation is required to elucidate this abrupt CPUE increase since 2010.

5.0. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Amélie Rondeau and Claude Leblanc for their review of the manuscript.

6.0. REFERENCES

- Benhalima, K., Moriyasu, M., and Hébert, M. 1998. A technique for identifying the early-premolt stage in the male snow crab, *Chionoecetes opilio*, (*Brachyura: Majidae*) in Baie des Chaleurs, southern Gulf of St. Lawrence. *Can. J. Zool.* 76: 609-617.
- Biron, M., Ferron, C., and Moriyasu, M. 2008. Movement of adult male snow crab, *Chionoecetes opilio*, in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence and eastern Nova-Scotia, Canada. *Fish. Res.* 91:260-270.
- Chiasson, Y., and Hébert, M. 1990. Literature review on stock delimitation pertaining to the Western Cape Breton Island snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) and advice on a spring fishery in Area 18. DFO CAFSAC Res. Doc. 90/65.
- Conan, G.Y., and Comeau, M. 1986. Functional maturity of male snow crab, (*Chionoecetes opilio*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 43: 1710-1719.
- Conan, G.Y., Moriyasu, M., Comeau, M., Mallet, P., Cormier, R., Chiasson, Y., and Chiasson, H. 1988. Growth and maturation of snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*), p. 45-66. In G.S. Jamieson and W.D. McKone (eds.). Proceedings of the international workshop on snow crab biology, December 8-10, 1987, Montréal Québec. *Can. MS Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 2005. 145 p.
- DFO. 2009. Assessment of Snow Crab in the Southern Gulf of St. Lawrence (Areas 12, 19, E and F). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2009/006.
- Dufour, R., Bernier, D., and Brêthes, J.-C. 1997. Optimization of meat yield and mortality during snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*, O. Fabricius) fishing operations in Eastern Canada. *Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 2152.
- Fonseca, D.B., Sainte-Marie, B., and Hazel, F. 2008. Longevity and change in shell condition of adult male snow crab *Chionoecetes opilio* inferred from dactyl wear and mark-recapture data. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 137: 1029-1043.
- Foyle, T.P., Hurley, G.V., and Taylor, D.M. 1989. Field testing shell hardness gauges for the snow crab fishery. *Can. Ind. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 193.
- Hébert, M., Gallant, C., Chiasson, Y., Mallet, P., DeGrâce, P., et Moriyasu, M. 1992. Le suivi du pourcentage de crabes mous dans les prises commerciales de crabe des neiges (*Chionoecetes opilio*) dans le sud-ouest du golfe du Saint-Laurent (zone 12) en 1990 et 1991. *Rapp. Tech. Can. Sci. Halieut. Aquat.* 1886.
- Hébert, M., Wade, E., DeGrâce, P., Biron, M., Hébert, A., et Moriyasu, M. 1997. Évaluation de 1996 du stock de crabe des neiges (*Chionoecetes opilio*) dans le sud du golfe du Saint-Laurent (zones 12, 18, 19, 25/26, E et F). MPO Secrétariat canadien pour l'évaluation des stocks. *Doc. Rec.* 97/86.
- Hébert, M., Benhalima, K., Miron, G., and Moriyasu, M. 2002. Molting and growth of male snow crab, *Chionoecetes opilio*, (O. Fabricius, 1788) (*Crustacea: Majidae*) in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence. *Crustaceana* 75: 671-702.
- Hébert, M., Wade, E., Surette, T., and Moriyasu, M. 2007. The 2006 assessment of snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) stock in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence (Areas 12, 19, E and F). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2007/028.
- Hébert, M., Wade, E., Surette, T., and Moriyasu, M. 2008. The 2007 assessment of snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) stock in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence (Areas 12, 19, E and F). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2008/040.

-
- Hébert, M., Wade, E., Biron, M., DeGrâce, P., Landry, J.-F., and Moriyasu, M. 2012. The 2011 assessment of snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) stock in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence (Areas 12, 19, E and F). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2012/080.
- Sainte-Marie, B., Raymond, S., and Brêthes, J.-C. 1995. Growth and maturation of the benthic stages of male snow crab, *Chionoecetes opilio* (*Brachyura: Majidae*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 52: 903-924.
- Watson, J. 1972. Mating behavior in the Spider Crab, *Chionoecetes opilio*. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 29: 447-449.

7.0. TABLES

Table 1. Landings, fishing effort and catch per unit of effort (CPUE) from logbooks in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence snow crab, Chionoecetes opilio, fisheries (Areas 12, 19, 12E and 12F) since 1987.

Year	Landings (t)					Effort (# of trap haul)				CPUE (kg/trap haul)			
	12	19	12E	12F	Southern Gulf	12	19	12E	12F	12	19	12E	12F
1987	11,782	1,151	-	-	12,933	449,293	37,987	-	-	26.2	30.3	-	-
1988	12,355	1,337	-	-	13,692	528,844	22,794	-	-	23.4	58.7	-	-
1989	7,882	1,334	-	-	9,216	356,442	29,978	-	-	22.1	44.5	-	-
1990	6,950	1,333	-	-	8,283	254,578	28,422	-	-	27.3	46.9	-	-
1991	10,019	1,337	-	-	11,356	326,671	16,733	-	-	30.7	79.9	-	-
1992	11,235	1,678	-	-	12,913	362,967	17,140	-	-	31.0	97.9	-	-
1993	14,336	1,678	-	-	16,014	344,698	18,204	-	-	41.6	92.2	-	-
1994	19,995	1,672	-	-	21,667	390,833	24,495	-	-	51.2	68.3	-	-
1995	19,944	1,575	217	317	22,053	416,890	24,854	4,033	11,561	47.8	63.4	53.8	27.4
1996	15,978	1,342	164	238	17,722	318,796	24,583	2,714	5,604	50.1	54.6	60.3	42.4
1997	15,413	1,386	163	287	17,249	303,286	21,930	4,695	6,390	50.8	63.2	34.7	44.9
1998	11,136	1,988	161	290	13,575	243,339	31,232	5,624	6,035	45.8	63.1	28.6	48.1
1999	12,682	1,979	159	290	15,110	289,003	19,088	5,415	5,072	43.9	103.7	29.4	57.2
2000	15,046	3,225	150	291	18,712	436,782	55,977	6,528	5,136	34.5	64.1	22.9	56.7
2001	13,819	3,910	155	378	18,262	326,382	46,251	6,700	5,736	42.3	88.5	23.2	63.0
2002	21,869	3,279	165	378	25,691	544,454	43,662	2,916	4,437	40.2	72.3	56.6	85.2
2003	16,898	3,103	345	817	21,163	337,960	29,952	5,471	10,460	50.0	103.6	63.1	78.1
2004	26,626	3,894	349	806	31,675	484,991	56,517	6,277	10,775	54.9	68.9	55.6	74.8
2005	32,363	2,827	449	479	36,118	508,053	41,512	5,571	5,112	63.7	68.1	80.6	93.7
2006	25,934	1,989	411	787	29,121	402,702	23,566	10,074	14,079	64.4	84.4	40.8	55.9
2007	23,243	3,034	220	370	26,867	353,775	42,553	5,914	12,252	65.7	71.3	37.2	30.2
2008	20,911	2,929	187	431	24,458	370,762	38,388	9,232	15,504	56.4	76.3	20.3	27.8
2009	20,896	2,370	67	309	23,642	433,527	33,193	4,653	14,045	48.2	71.4	14.4	22.0
2010	7,719	1,360	50	420	9,549	161,148	11,138	1,825	14,335	47.9	122.1	27.4	29.3
2011	8,618	1,701	76	313	10,708	162,604	12,761	2,413	9,631	53.0	133.3	31.5	32.5
2012	18,159	2,906	185	706	21,956	267,044	16,317	5,623	16,890	68.0	178.1	32.9	41.8

Table 2. Catch per unit of effort (CPUE; kg/th) by group of harvesters in Area 12 of the snow crab fishery, 2001 to 2012.

Group	Year											
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Traditional area 12	43.5	42.3	50.5	55.5	66.2	68.7	69.4	57.3	46.6	48.7	54.3	67.7
First Nations	38.8	33.8	51.4	55.8	64.5	59.7	65.8	56.0	49.8	45.2	50.9	67.8
Traditional 18	-	-	66.0	64.4	70.3	66.2	61.4	77.3	76.7	36.3	53.3	133.1
Traditional 25-26	62.7	45.2	43.6	63.9	80.2	79.8	66.2	54.6	48.3	54.0	46.6	66.0
New entrants	32.3	31.0	45.6	48.4	50.3	53.8	54.4	49.3	48.7	51.0	51.6	60.5
Area 12 mean	42.3	40.2	50.0	54.9	63.7	64.4	65.7	56.4	48.2	47.9	53.0	68.0

Table 3. Summary statistics (mean, standard error and number of observations) of the trap immersion time (hours) by management area in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence snow crab fisheries for 1997 to 2012. "n" is the number of observations.

Year	Area 12			Area 19		
	Average	Standard error	n	Average	Standard error	n
1997	65	1.0	2,553	29	0.4	1,396
1998	71	1.4	1,471	29	0.3	2,438
1999	71	0.7	2,837	29	0.4	1,391
2000	75	0.6	4,865	30	0.2	4,447
2001	58	0.6	4,498	31	0.3	3,514
2002	67	0.4	7,053	30	0.2	3,497
2003	55	0.4	4,640	38	0.5	2,359
2004	71	0.4	7,144	28	0.2	4,288
2005	65	0.5	7,311	31	0.3	3,207
2006	71	0.6	6,191	28	0.6	1,768
2007	69	0.6	5,118	33	0.6	2,874
2008	70	0.9	3,237	33	0.5	2,442
2009	68	0.7	3,906	33	0.3	2,097
2010	64	1.2	1,514	30	0.7	627
2011	80	1.4	1,558	33	0.7	763
2012	80	1.1	2,445	34	0.6	1,134
	Area 12E			Area 12F		
1997	44	4.1	56	49	3.1	60
1998	30	5.1	39	63	2.2	133
1999	48	4.3	66	61	2.2	165
2000	63	5.3	78	73	2.7	177
2001	59	5.6	79	72	2.5	165
2002	54	7.6	35	89	3.9	128
2003	50	5.5	67	69	1.7	335
2004	71	5.2	68	95	2.6	254
2005	63	6.9	67	96	4.2	127
2006	66	5.7	121	98	2.4	343
2007	58	6.2	72	103	2.8	272
2008	62	6.1	64	93	3.2	75
2009	72	11.3	30	95	5.0	82
2010	67	14.7	18	87	6.3	70
2011	33	6.0	16	118	5.6	66
2012	59	7.2	49	84	3.8	71

Table 4. Fishery characteristics and at-sea observer coverage by management area in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence snow crab fisheries in 2012.

Areas	12	19	12E	12F
Number of grids in the area	150	20	10	12
Total fishing days	88	23	92	70
Number of trips	2,615	1,070	43	220
Number of trips with observer coverage	527	90	9	37
% of trips with observer coverage	20.2	8.4	20.9	16.8
Total estimated traps hauled	267,044	16,317	5,623	16,890
Number of trap sampled by observers	2,880	252	55	157
% traps hauled sampled by observers	1.1	1.5	1.0	0.9

Table 5. Summary statistics of the catch per unit of effort (CPUE; kg / th) based on at-sea observer sampling in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence snow crab fishing areas, for 1997 to 2012. "n" is the number of observations.

Year	Area 12			Area 19		
	Mean	Standard error	n	Mean	Standard error	n
1997	65.1	0.7	2,598	64.0	2.0	191
1998	56.4	0.8	1,399	62.9	2.6	203
1999	53.1	0.8	1,507	102.3	4.8	99
2000	40.2	0.5	3,118	74.0	2.1	370
2001	51.0	0.8	2,442	94.4	3.7	233
2002	46.5	0.5	5,888	80.6	3.1	257
2003	59.6	0.7	4,929	125.9	4.6	177
2004	67.1	0.6	6,681	87.6	3.1	358
2005	75.8	0.6	6,370	84.7	2.8	309
2006	77.9	1.0	4,329	94.3	3.8	242
2007	82.3	0.7	3,924	76.0	2.0	550
2008	73.8	0.9	2,468	86.3	2.7	617
2009	59.1	0.8	2,635	79.7	2.5	709
2010	64.5	1.7	1,058	172.2	8.3	177
2011	62.7	1.0	1,771	164.5	6.1	179
2012	74.7	0.9	2,849	200.6	5.8	250
	Area 12E			Area 12F		
1997	37.5	2.8	57	50.7	2.1	124
1998	41.0	3.8	40	53.3	2.2	88
1999	32.7	3.2	72	70.5	3.8	82
2000	29.0	2.0	94	76.1	5.0	83
2001	31.9	2.1	111	85.3	3.8	125
2002	63.4	4.4	47	105.9	4.5	105
2003	71.9	4.4	104	89.1	2.2	265
2004	62.3	3.5	94	89.0	2.0	270
2005	88.9	4.1	105	113.4	4.4	120
2006	47.6	2.8	124	63.7	2.3	245
2007	46.0	4.3	76	38.5	3.8	215
2008	22.4	2.0	72	28.1	1.7	227
2009	13.6	1.8	43	28.7	1.9	236
2010	49.4	6.1	32	36.5	2.5	181
2011	38.8	5.3	37	40.4	4.1	55
2012	29.9	2.3	54	40.1	2.1	156

Table 6. Average percentage of soft-shelled males in catches (based on sea sampling, before discarding) by fishing area for the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence snow crab fisheries, 1986 to 2012.

Year	Area 12	Area 19 ¹	Area 12E	Area 12F
1986	4.9	-	-	-
1987	10.8	-	-	-
1988	7.5	-	-	-
1989	37.2	-	-	-
1990	16.8	19.4	-	-
1991	11.5	5.1	-	-
1992	8.6	6.6	-	-
1993	6.1	1.9	-	-
1994	5.6	5.5	-	-
1995	2.5	3.5	0.6	11.8
1996	4.2	10.8	4.6	5.3
1997	5.0	15.1	4.3	1.5
1998	2.8	10.0	2.9	1.1
1999	4.9	3.3	8.0	1.1
2000	12.5	6.2	8.3	2.4
2001	6.2	6.5	0.7	1.3
2002	4.6	5.5	0.3	0.5
2003	3.3	3.9	1.2	0.4
2004	3.0	7.9	1.5	0.6
2005	3.9	7.7	2.9	0.8
2006	3.1	6.1	7.8	3.5
2007	2.0	7.4	1.3	2.4
2008	3.0	9.0	10.1	7.3
2009	5.0	11.6	7.8	11.4
2010	6.5	6.4	14.7	8.6
2011	6.2	11.5	8.4	2.6
2012	3.7	4.5	3.3	9.4

¹ In Area 19, the soft-shell male category is based on a durometer reading of 72 instead of 68 in Areas 12, 12E and 12F.

Table 7. The percentage by carapace conditions of commercial-sized adult male snow crab based on sea sampling (before discarding, by fishing area for 2000 to 2012.

Year	Carapace condition									
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
	Zone 12					Zone 19				
2000	5.5	6.2	64.0	19.5	4.8	2.0	14.6	51.8	30.9	0.8
2001	2.5	3.5	82.7	9.5	1.8	1.8	6.5	78.6	12.8	0.3
2002	3.0	1.7	86.4	8.2	0.7	3.2	5.6	70.2	20.6	0.5
2003	2.3	1.4	87.6	8.1	0.6	2.4	2.5	80.4	14.5	0.2
2004	1.9	1.5	86.7	9.2	0.7	2.9	12.5	69.6	14.4	0.6
2005	1.9	2.4	89.6	5.5	0.5	0.9	14.3	73.9	10.6	0.3
2006	1.7	2.5	88.9	6.4	0.5	0.8	10.3	83.8	4.9	0.2
2007	0.8	2.4	89.1	7.0	0.7	1.9	8.2	75.1	14.1	0.7
2008	0.4	1.0	72.9	23.4	2.2	4.2	5.7	74.9	14.9	0.3
2009	0.7	5.9	68.4	21.9	3.1	3.7	10.1	63.1	21.7	1.4
2010	1.3	3.2	78.9	14.8	1.8	1.3	10.9	67.6	18.2	2.0
2011	2.2	4.5	75.5	15.8	1.9	0.6	11.6	80.0	7.5	0.3
2012	0.4	1.8	87.4	9.9	0.6	0.5	5.1	91.6	2.7	0.1
	Zone 12E					Zone 12F				
2000	1.2	3.1	77.1	13.9	4.8	0.3	6.2	84.4	8.11	1.0
2001	0.2	0.7	84.8	12.8	1.5	0.3	1.3	87.8	10.0	0.5
2002	0.1	0.6	91.7	7.1	0.5	0.0	14.7	79.8	5.4	0.1
2003	0.1	2.4	92.0	5.3	0.1	0.0	0.8	92.2	6.5	0.5
2004	0.1	0.2	95.0	4.1	0.6	0.1	1.6	87.1	10.7	0.4
2005	0.0	1.9	95.1	2.4	0.5	0.2	2.8	89.6	7.2	0.3
2006	0.9	1.0	92.9	4.7	0.5	2.0	1.8	91.6	3.6	0.9
2007	0.0	0.0	98.5	1.4	0.0	4.5	7.6	79.6	6.8	1.4
2008	2.1	2.5	87.0	7.4	1.0	0.7	5.7	87.0	6.2	0.4
2009	1.1	3.2	87.5	8.2	0.0	0.7	0.8	96.8	1.6	0.1
2010	0.0	8.5	89.7	1.8	0.0	0.5	1.4	97.3	0.6	0.1
2011	0.1	4.3	93.5	2.1	0.0	0.6	4.7	93.6	1.0	0.1
2012	0.1	1.0	94.7	3.9	0.2	0.7	1.5	96.1	1.7	0.0

8.0. FIGURES




Figure 1. Locations of snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) fishing grounds and management areas in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence. Areas B and C are buffer zones.




Figure 2. Locations of traps sampled aboard snow crab fishing vessels within the management areas of the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence during the 2012 fishing season.




Figure 3. Landings (t) by fishing area and overall in the southern Gulf of Saint Lawrence snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) fishery, 1969 to 2012.




Figure 4. Geographic distribution of fishing effort (trap hauls) by snow crab fishery management area during the 2012 fishing season.




Figure 5. Geographic distribution of landings (kg) in snow crab fishing management areas during the 2012 fishing season.




Figure 6. Geographic distribution of mean catch per unit of effort (CPUE; kg/th), in the snow crab fishery management areas during the 2012 fishing season.




Figure 7. Mean (and one standard error bars) of immersion time (hours) of traps by management areas in the southern Gulf snow crab fisheries, 1997 to 2012.




Figure 8. Size frequency distributions by maturity stage of all male crabs measured during the at-sea sampling (before discarding) in Areas 12 (panel A), 19 (panel B), 12E (panel C) and 12F (panel D) in 2012.




Figure 9. Comparison of catch-per-unit-of-effort (CPUE; mean and one standard error (SE) of kg/th) between the logbook data and at-sea observer sampling data by management area for 1997 to 2012.




Figure 10. Seasonal report of percentage of soft-shelled males by grid in snow crab management Area 12 and locations of grids which were closed during the 2012 season.




Figure 11. Mean carapace width (mm; and one standard error bar) of commercial-sized adult male snow crab in the catches from management areas 12, 19, 12E, and 12F for 1990 to 2012.




Figure 12. Seasonal report of percentage of white-shelled male snow crab in the catches in the fishery of management area 19 in 2012.




Figure 13. Seasonal report of percentage of soft-shelled male snow crab in the catches of the fishery in management area 12E in 2012.

SOFT-SHELLED CRAB DISTRIBUTION CHART

CARTE DE DISTRIBUTION DE CRABE MOU




Figure 14. Seasonal report of percentage of soft-shelled male snow crab in the catches of the fishery in snow crab management area 12F in 2012.







Figure 15. Relationship of catch per unit of effort (CPUE) between the logbook data and at-sea observer sampling by management area for 1997 to 2012.

9.0 APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1. BIOLOGICAL SAMPLING FOR 2012

FIELD EQUIPMENT (each observer)

- calipers
- durometer
- sampling sheets

SAMPLING METHODS

The supervisor of the observers (Consultant Company) will advise which vessels the observers have to sample, as requested by DFO. The observers will have to conduct two types of sampling: a) before discarding, and b) after discarding. These two types of sampling have to be done for the entire fishing activity. These two samples have to be done randomly to make a ratio of 2 to 1. Two samples before discarding to one sample after discarding for the entire fishing activity.

Before discarding:

A random sub-sample of forty (40) male crabs per trap. This should be done as follow:

1. Randomly select 40 crabs (male only).
2. Count the remaining male crabs and give them back to the crew.
3. Measure the forty (40) male crabs previously selected and give them to the crew.

After discarding:

A random sub-sample of twenty (20) male crabs.

INFORMATION TO BE COLLECTED

An explanation of the information to be taken is as follows: (see appendix A - Sampling sheet).

TRIP NUMBER: The company provides that number to the observer.

DATE: The date at the time the sample is taken.

PAGE: Page number / total number of pages per trip.

LOGBOOK NUMBER: This number is at the bottom of the logbook (example: C604502 or 343444).

VRN, VESSEL, OBSERVER: Each observer is to place his / her name, the vessel registration number (VRN) and the name of the boat on all of the sampling sheets.

AREA: 12 - Area 12, 18, 25/26
19 - Cape Breton 19
12E - Area 12E
12F - Area 12F

POSITION: The position and the depth where the trap is sampled. The position has to be in latitude / longitude (degrees, minutes, to one hundredth of a minute).

DATA TYPE: 1 - Landing (after discarding)
2 - Trap at sea (before discarding)

TYPE OF TRAP AT SEA: See company code.

SPECIES: See company code.

LANDED WEIGHT (KG): Total weight of the landing in kilograms (kg).

TRAP NUMBER: This is the number of the trap sampled and must be written on each sampling sheet.

TOTAL NUMBER OF MALES IN TRAP: Note the total number of males in the trap sampled including the 40 measured crabs.

TRAP FISHING DURATION (DAYS): Note the number of fishing days (immersion time) for the sampled trap (ex: 36 hours = 1.5 days).

DEPTH: The depth of the trap sampled should be noted in meters (m).

SEX: Only male crabs are measured. Male (M).

CARAPACE WIDTH, CLAW HEIGHT: See appendix B.

CARAPACE CONDITION: See Appendix C.

DUROMETER: See appendix D.

MISSING LEGS: See appendix E.

REMARKS: Any abnormal observations and / or comments.

APPENDIX 2. EXAMPLE OF AN AT-SEA OBSERVER SAMPLING FORM.


Pêches et Océans / Fisheries and Oceans


OBSERVATEUR DES PÊCHES EN MER / AT SEA FISHERIES OBSERVER

CRABE / CRAB


G 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 <small>No. de sortie en mer / Trip Nb</small> 6 7 8 9 0 0 <small>No. Journal bord / Log book Nb</small>	1 de 5 <small>Page / Pages</small>	(Code) 12342 <small>Espèces visée/Directed Species</small>	(kg) 10000 <small>Poids débarqué/Landed Weight</small>	N° du casier / Trap Nb 1 12342
Jérôme <small>Bateau - Vessel</small> Yvon LaMesure <small>Observateur - Observer</small>		(jijmaa / ddmmyy) Date 15/04/01 <small>NEB / VRN</small> 123456 <small>Zone - Area</small> 1 2 <small>Quadrilatère / Fishing grid</small> _____ <small>Type de données / Data type (I.A.S.)</small> 2 <small>Type de casier en mer / Type of trap at sea</small> 7 1		Espèce mesurée/Measured Species (Code) Femelle / Female (♀) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nb total Total Nb 248 Mâle / Male (♂) <input type="checkbox"/> Nb total Total Nb _____ Durée d'immersion du casier (jrs) / Trap fishing (days) 1.5 Profondeur - Depth (m) 75 Maille / Mesh size C1 _____ C2 _____ C3 _____ N° total d'individus mesurés / Total Nb of individual measured <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Post-saison / Post-season _____ <small>Transect</small> _____ <small>Ligne / Line</small> _____ <small>Casier / Trap</small> _____				

No. crabe / Crab No.	Sexe / Sex	LargCar / CarWidth (mm)	MÂLE \ MALE		Cond. car. / Shell cond.	Duromètre / Durometer	PATE / LIMB										FEMELLE / FEMALE		
			Hauptpince / Clawheight (0.1mm)	Maturité / Maturity			Gauche \ Left					Droite \ Right					Maturité / Maturity	Dvipment	Portée / Clutch
							1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5			
1	M	115	24	.	3	85			M							R			
2			.																
3			.																
4			.																
5			.																
6			.																
7			.																
8			.																
9			.																
0			.																
1			.																
2			.																
3			.																

APPENDIX 3. DESCRIPTION OF CARAPACE WIDTH AND CLAW HEIGHT MEASUREMENTS.



Carapace width /
Largeur de la carapace



Right claw height /
Hauteur de la pince droite

APPENDIX 4. DESCRIPTIONS OF EACH CARAPACE CONDITION WITH IDENTIFICATION KEYS.

Condition 1 – New soft

This recently molted crab has a carapace that is soft or firm but flexible, the claw is easily broken under thumb pressure. The dorsal surface is light brown and the ventral one is translucent. Iridescence is apparent at different spots on the carapace. Neither wear nor scars are shown on the carapace, spines and dactyls are very sharp. The carapace is very clean, no trace of epibiontes (moss, balanus, spiroide and leech eggs) are visible at this stage. The crab stays in that condition for approximately three months and during that period of time, the meat yield is at its lowest level.



Condition 2 – New hard

This crab molted during the current year, the carapace is rigid and the claw is hardly broken under thumb pressure. The dorsal surface of the carapace is light brown and underneath is white and opaque, appearance of iridescence at multiple places on the carapace. No appearance of wear or scratch, spines are really sharp. The crab is clean and the carapace may have presence of epibiontes (moss, balanus, spiroide and leech eggs). This condition starts around three months after the crab molt and last for approximately 9 months. During that period, the meat yield becomes low to medium.



Condition 3 – Intermediate

This crab molted more than one year ago. The carapace is hard and firm, the claws are unbreakable under thumb pressure. The dorsal surface of the carapace is light brown and the ventral surface is yellow-beige, iridescence is only showing in very few places on the carapace. Spines and dactyls are still sharp but signs of wear are starting. Scars are visible on the ventral surface. The meat yield is at its maximum level. This crab has very few or no moss spot (bryozoans) on the carapace. Some other organisms (balanus and / or spiroide) are generally present.



Condition 4 – Old

The carapace is hard and firm and the claws are unbreakable by simple thumb pressure. The dorsal surface is dark brown and the ventral surface is yellowish brown, no iridescence. Signs of wear and ageing are evident; there are a lot of scars and scratches on the carapace. Spines and dactyls are rounded. The organisms (moss, balanus and / or spiroide) are always present.




Condition 5 – Very old

The carapace is dirty and claws and articulations are softening due to decalcification. The dorsal and ventral surfaces are dark brown, no iridescence. Scars are everywhere on the carapace. Appearance of wearing is widespread on the carapace, spines and dactyls are rounded and sometime damage. The organisms (bryozoa, balanus and spiroide) are always present.




**APPENDIX 5. CLAW ILLUSTRATIONS AND LOCATION OF DUROMETER
HARDNESS MEASUREMENT.**



APPENDIX 6. PROTOCOL FOR DOCUMENTING MISSING AND REGENERATED LEGS.

Missing and regenerated legs /
Pattes manquantes et régénérées



Legs / Pattes :

M = Missing legs / pattes manquantes

R = Regenerated legs / pattes régénérées