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#### Abstract

In 2012, the Maritimes Region of Fisheries and Oceans Canada undertook a framework assessment of 4VWX silver hake (Merluccius bilinearis). Such assessments are intended to be a comprehensive review of the biology, stock structure, the fishery, abundance indices, current assessment methodology and approaches for determining acceptable harvest limits. The results of updated analyses on stock structure, spatial and temporal patterns in distribution and relative abundance, fishery catch rates, biological attributes (length and weight-at-age, sex ratio at size and age, condition factor, maturity) and other data inputs for stock assessment (recruitment, relative fishing mortality, total mortality, partial recruitment patterns) are described here. In addition, population dynamics were modelled using several approaches to determine which model best fit the observed data. The commercial fishery footprint relative to the Scotian Shelf as well as habitat associations, prey and predators of silver hake, were also examined. This research document is intended to provide an update on several biological and fishery attributes for future framework evaluations.


# Évaluation du cadre de travail de 2012 pour le merlu argenté : Saisie de données et modélisation exploratoire 

## RÉSUMÉ

En 2012, la Région des Maritimes de Pêches et Océans Canada a entrepris une évaluation du cadre de travail pour le merlu argenté (Merluccius bilinearis) pêché dans la division 4VWX. Ces évaluations se veulent un examen exhaustif de la biologie, de la structure des stocks, de la pêche, des indices d'abondance, de la méthode d'évaluation actuelle et des approches en vue de déterminer les limites acceptables de récolte. Les résultats des analyses à jour sur la structure des stocks, les tendances spatiales et temporelles dans la répartition et l'abondance relative, les taux de capture de la pêche, les caractéristiques biologiques (longueur et poids selon l'âge, sex-ratio pour la taille et l'âge, coefficient de condition, maturité) ainsi que d'autres saisies de données concernant l'évaluation des stocks (recrutement, mortalité relative par la pêche, mortalité totale, tendance de recrutement partiel) sont décrits ci-dessous. En outre, la dynamique des populations a été modélisée à l'aide de plusieurs approches afin de déterminer le modèle qui correspond le mieux aux données observées. L'empreinte de la pêche commerciale relative au plateau néo-écossais ainsi que les associations d'habitat, les proies et les prédateurs du merlu argenté ont aussi été examinés. Ce document de recherche a pour but de faire le point sur plusieurs caractéristiques biologiques et halieutiques pour les évaluations futures du cadre de travail.

## INTRODUCTION

Silver hake (Merluccius bilinearis) is a member of the gadid family found from Cape Hatteras to the southern Grand Banks and Gulf of St. Lawrence. It is a demersal-pelagic fish occurring in shallow waters to depths of 400 m . The distribution of silver hake juveniles and adults are associated with warm, bottom temperatures of $5-12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $7-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. A population of silver hake occurs on the Scotian Shelf in Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization (NAFO) Divisions 4VWX and is considered to be a self-reproducing stock (Rikhter et al. 2001). This population aggregates in the deepwater depressions of the Scotian Shelf (Emerald and LaHave basins) and in the warm slope water, except during the spawning period from July-September when large numbers occur on the shelf in shallow waters surrounding Sable Island Bank.

The last assessment of the status of Scotian Shelf silver hake was conducted in 2009 (DFO 2010). A new assessment has been requested by Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) Resource Management Branch to provide harvest advice in support of the fishery in the Maritimes Region. DFO Science Branch has been asked to review and evaluate the biological and fishery information on 4VWX silver hake status and characterize the uncertainty of the results; specifically, to provide information on distribution, biomass estimates, length and age composition and condition, highlighting any trends over the long-term (length of assessment), mid-term (past 15 years), and most recent period (5 years). Given known problems with the assessment model for this stock (as described in the 2009 assessment), it was determined that a new framework was required. The review of the framework and assessment for 4VWX was conducted in three parts: review of data inputs, review of the model, and then the assessment.

The first meeting to review the data sources for the framework occurred on May 30-31, 2012. The Terms of Reference for this initial Data Inputs meeting were as follows:

- Describe the basis of the management unit
- Review fisheries and survey data sources, including
o Fishery sampling: commercial catch, distribution, length and age composition
o DFO Research Vessel (RV) survey sampling: survey catch, distribution, length and age composition, condition
o Industry (ITQ) survey sampling: survey catch, distribution
- Review catch-at-age, including
o Documentation of past aging approach
o New aging approach using otolith weights
- Review relevant biological and ecological information, including
o Biology: growth, age, life history, sex, fecundity, natural mortality, spawning location and timing, recruitment
o Ecosystem information: bycatch, trophic information, temperature, fishery footprint
- Review indices of abundance, including
o RV survey indices
o ITQ survey indices
o CPUE (catch-per-unit-effort) index
The objectives of the meeting were to describe the basis of the management unit and to review the data available from the commercial fishery and independent research surveys, the methods used to derive a catch at age, relevant biological and ecological information and indices of abundance.

Several recommendations were made at this meeting for additional sources of information or reanalyses of the data. This research document presents the data sources for the silver hake framework, updated to meet the recommendations of the initial data review. Exploratory results
from Virtual Population Analyses (VPA), the Iterative Statistical Catch at Age (iSCAM) and Age Structured Assessment Program (ASAP) models are also included.

## REVIEW OF MANAGEMENT UNIT

The management unit for silver hake reviewed in this assessment includes NAFO areas 4VWX (Figure 1). This population has been considered separate from two silver hake stocks managed in USA waters, i.e. a northern stock in the Gulf of Maine and northern Georges Bank, and a southern stock occupying southern Georges Bank and the mid-Atlantic Bight area (Figure 2).

In recent stock assessments, trends in biomass and abundance have been calculated for the Scotian Shelf portion of 4VWX only (strata 440-483 in Figure 18), excluding the Bay of Fundy (strata 484-495). Showell (1998) showed a discontinuity in the distribution of silver hake between the Scotian Shelf and Bay of Fundy based on American and Canadian trawl surveys conducted from 1970-1994. He reported that numbers of fish per tow in summer research surveys from 1979-1998 were similar between the Gulf of Maine and Bay of Fundy, but not between the Bay of Fundy and the Scotian Shelf or between the Gulf of Maine and the Scotian Shelf. Removal of the Bay of Fundy portion of the survey catches also tended to improve tracking of age classes. He concluded that the silver hake caught by the July RV survey in the Bay of Fundy were associated with the northern Georges Bank/Gulf of Maine stock rather than the Scotian Shelf stock.

More recent analyses for this framework review indicated that the biomass index for Bay of Fundy strata (484-495) was highly variable and showed little concurrence with the Scotian Shelf index (strata 440-483) (Figure 3). While total biomass for the Bay of Fundy was considerably lower than the Scotian Shelf, both series showed an increasing trend in recent years. A comparison of the stratified total abundance at age for the Bay of Fundy and Scotian Shelf indicated that age 1 fish represented most of the catch in the Bay (Figure 4) (Note for some years (1973, 2000-2006) no aging was conducted). Given the high variability in the abundance index for age 1 in the Bay of Fundy strata, including these data with the Scotian Shelf series would only add more "noise" to the index of abundance for this age group. Therefore, as indicated by Showell (1998), it is not unreasonable to exclude it from the time series.
Two silver hake stocks are identified in USA waters based on morphometric differences and are managed separately due to differences in exploitation patterns. Trends in biomass from the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) fall survey indices for the northern stock (Gulf of Maine) show some concurrence with the Bay of Fundy strata (Figure 5; upper panel), while the NMFS fall index for the southern stock (Georges Bank/mid-Atlantic Bight) shows similarities with the Scotian Shelf index (Figure 5; lower panel). Biomass has been increasing on the Scotian Shelf and in the Gulf of Maine since 2006 and for the Georges Bank-mid Atlantic Bight region since 2007. The recent increase in the Bay of Fundy strata are probably linked to increases in the Gulf of Maine.

Data were available on the distribution of silver hake eggs and larvae from 1979 to 1982 from the Scotian Shelf Ichthyoplankton Program (SSIP) database and from 1982 to 1985 from the Fisheries Ecology Program (FEP) database. Egg distributions indicated the presence of silver hake spawning on the Scotian Shelf from May through October, with peak spawning occurring on most of the Scotian Shelf banks in August and September (Appendix Figure 1). Eggs were also present on northeastern Georges Bank indicating that spawning occurred in this region during July and August as well. Silver hake larvae were distributed on the Scotian Shelf in SSIP surveys from July through November, with highest abundance on the Scotian Shelf during September and October (Appendix Figure 2). Silver hake eggs and larvae were also collected and identified from larval herring surveys conducted in the Bay of Fundy/Western 4X region during the 1970s, 1980s and 1990s indicating that spawning occurs in this region as well as on
the Scotian Shelf (Appendix figures 3 and 4). Some eggs were also collected from the area of Browns Bank in February through June from FEP surveys (Appendix Figure 5). While it can be concluded that the Scotian Shelf banks are important spawning areas for silver hake, it is not clear from this data if the spawning areas on Georges Bank, off southwestern Nova Scotia and in the Bay of Fundy are from separate stocks.

A comparison of the mean length-at-age by sex for age 1 and 2 silver hake indicated that there was not much difference between the Scotian Shelf and Bay of Fundy in terms of growth (Figure 6). Bay of Fundy fish may be slightly smaller at age 2 but not at age 1. Small sample sizes and lack of age information for some years compromised this comparison, but in general growth patterns appear to be similar for both areas at least during the first two years of life.
DFO research surveys sampling the Scotian Shelf/Bay of Fundy region were conducted annually during spring, summer and fall from 1979-1984 (Figure 7). Spring survey catch distributions (mean weight (kg)/10 minute square,1979-1984) indicate that silver hake were concentrated along the shelf slope, the "Scotian Gulf" (region between Emerald and LaHave banks) and in the basins (areas of warm water). Summer RV survey distributions were more widespread on the shelf, particularly on Sable Island Bank, Western Bank and in the basins. Fall RV surveys showed a distribution closer to the coast (compared to the summer RV survey) rather than offshore, with some occurrences in the western 4X/Bay of Fundy areas. In all three seasons, there was a discontinuity in distribution between the Bay of Fundy and the Scotian Shelf, with low catches on Browns Bank.

The distribution of silver hake catches (t per 10 minute square) from foreign and domestic mobile gear fishing operations reported by Canadian at-sea observers (1977-2005) show that most catches occurred along the shelf edge in the 1980s and 1990s with some catches in the basins during the 1970s (Figure 8). The distribution of silver hake catches (t per 10 minute square) from Canadian mobile gear log record data (2008-2011) indicate the domestic fishery currently takes place in Emerald and LaHave basins ( $4 \mathrm{Xm}, 4 \mathrm{Wkl}$ ), with some catches along shelf slope (4XI, 4WL) (Figure 9). The main point is that both foreign and domestic fishery catches have occurred in the basins and along the shelf slope with very minor catches in western 4 X and the Bay of Fundy.

## CONCLUSIONS

The stock structure of silver hake in the northwest Atlantic has not been clearly defined. Comparisons of microsatellite and mitrochondrial DNA of hake from Gulf of Maine, Browns Bank, Georges Bank and mid-Atlantic Bight found significant differences between hake in the Gulf of Maine and offshore on Browns implying separate stocks (Machado-Schiaffino et al. 2011). A review by Rikhter et al. (2001) concluded that silver hake on the Scotian Shelf is a self-reproducing stock. While this may be the case, foreign and domestic commercial fishery catch distributions indicate that there has been little or no fishing in Bay of Fundy. The summer RV survey indicates that most catches occur on the Scotian Shelf, and while there have been some catches in western 4 X in the 2000s, these may be linked to increasing abundance in the Gulf of Maine as indicated by the NMFS fall survey abundance trends. Survey trends in Bay of Fundy strata are much more variable than those for Scotian Shelf strata; however, Bay of Fundy strata biomass represents < 10\% of total (4VWX) survey biomass. Bay of Fundy strata were comprised mostly of age 1 fish, and it is likely that if they were included in the overall index it would add more "noise" to the index of age one abundance. While it is not clear if the Bay of Fundy component represents a biologically separate stock, the recommendation is to continue using strata 440-483 in the calculation of survey indices since this spatial coverage is more representative of the geographic area covered by the fishery (which has negligible landings in the Bay of Fundy).

## THE FISHERY

A significant fishery for silver hake on the Scotian Shelf (NAFO Div. 4VWX) began in the early 1960s with the arrival of the distant water fleets of Russia, Japan and Cuba. Through the 1960s and early 1970s, fishing was unrestricted in terms of area, mesh size, season and effort (Table 1). Fishing was conducted over the entire shelf, excluding the 12 mile zone, during all seasons of year and with trawl mesh in the cod-end as low as 40 mm . A total allowable catch (TAC) was first implemented in 1974 at $95,000 \mathrm{t}$. Following the extension of jurisdiction to 200 miles by coastal states in 1977, Canada implemented the Coastal Fisheries Protection Act, which restricted fishing for this species to the seaward side of the Small Mesh Gear Line (SMGL; Figure 10), west of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude, with a minimum mesh size of 60 mm . The SMGL coincided approximately with the 100 m depth contour. Fishing was restricted to April $15^{\text {th }}$ to November $15^{\text {th }}$. A portion of the fleet ( $4-6$ vessels) was allowed to fish on the Scotian Shelf inside the SMGL during 1978 and 1979 on an experimental basis (Figure 8; top left panel) with the requirement of $100 \%$ observer coverage. From 1980 through 1983, fishing was permitted by condition of license in an eastern extension of the Silver Hake Box as far as $57^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude; from 1984 to present, this eastern extension has been restricted to $59^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude. In 1994, further restrictions were introduced to minimize the bycatch of cod, haddock and pollock in the silver hake fishery. These included repositioning the SMGL to the 190 m depth contour and the use of a separator grate with 40 mm bar spacing inserted in the lengthening piece of the trawl.
The foreign fleet consisted of large tonnage class 7 vessels, mainly Russian and Cuban (Table 2). Russia ceased fishing for silver hake after 1993. No foreign allocations were made after 1997, but Cuba continued fishing seaward of the SMGL under charter arrangements with Canadian partners. Foreign vessel participation in the silver hake fishery declined through the 1990s and ended in 2004 (Figure 11).
Canadian fishing interests have engaged in experimental harvesting of silver hake since 1975, however, a commercial fishery only started in 1995 (Showell and Cooper 1997). From 1995 to present, a commercial fishery has been conducted by the Canadian tonnage class 3 (< 65') mobile gear fleet in and around Emerald and LaHave basins (Figure 10). This area is entirely contained within RV survey strata 461 and 471 (Figure 21). The fishery is restricted to depths greater than 190 m .
Concern over the harvesting of small fish as fishing in the basins developed, led in 1999 to a mandatory requirement for 55 mm square mesh, rather than 60 mm diamond mesh in the codend. A topside chafer was required to support the codend during haulback, as the tensile strength of the twine used to manufacture the square mesh was lower than that of traditional diamond mesh. However, the chafer had the potential to block the meshes, thus mitigating the benefits of the square mesh. A codend using stronger twine was designed to address this problem and topside chaffers have not been used in the fishery since 2000.
Landings of 4VWX silver hake have ranged from nearly 299,000 tin 1973 to 8,000 t in 1994 (Table 3; Figure 11). The TAC has been set at $15,000 \mathrm{t}$ since 2003, but landings have been lower, averaging 11,100 t for the years 2003-2011. Landings are constrained by market conditions, and there is no indication that reduced catch, or a catch lower than the quota, is related to low abundance. Landings of silver hake in the fishing years ending in 2010 and 2011 were 8,396 and $9,231 \mathrm{t}$, respectively. As shown previously in figures 8 and 9 , the fishery has shifted from shelf-wide in the early 1970s, to the shelf edge in the 1980s and 1990s. As the inshore Canadian fishery has developed, proportions of the catch harvested by the inshore (Basin) fleet and offshore (Slope) fleet changed. Since 1998, the catch by the inshore fleet has exceeded that of the offshore fleet (Figure 12), and less than 5\% of the silver hake landed from 2008-2011 were caught outside the basins.

## FISHERY CATCH-AT-AGE AND WEIGHTS-AT-AGE

The commercial catch-at-age was constructed using a combination of at-sea observer samples, DFO port samples, and industry samples. Prior to 1995, the commercial catch-at-age was constructed using monthly age-length keys from samples collected by at-sea observers. Since the development of the Canadian fishery, quarterly age-length keys have been constructed using a combination of at-sea observer and DFO port samples. Industry samplers have contributed length frequency samples since 1999, and these are incorporated into the catch-atage. A comparison using a reference collection was conducted by the primary ager indicating that agreement between current and past age determinations was $84 \%$ with a coefficient of variation (CV) of 3.9\%, and was considered to be acceptable for production aging (Appendix Figure 6).

The commercial catch-at-age was calculated using the standard Population Ecology Division (PED) Catch-at-Age application. This calculation uses length frequency samples from at-sea observers, DFO port samplers, and industry samplers, quarterly age-length keys by sex from atsea observer and DFO port samples, and separate sex length-weight relationships from the DFO July ecosystem RV survey (Table 4).

The detailed breakdown of the construction of the catch-at-age from 1999-2011 is presented in Table 5. From 1999-2003, the catch-at-age was calculated by quarter for domestic and foreign vessels and then summed to create the annual catch-at-age. Table 5 shows cases where there were no samples or catch (i.e. 4th quarter foreign in most years). Since 2004, only samples from the Canadian fishery have been used to construct the catch-at-age. With the exception of 2004, 2005 and 2009, quarterly catch-at-age was calculated and then summed to develop the annual catch-at-age.
In 2004, there were insufficient samples with otoliths collected to be able to construct meaningful quarterly age-length keys. As a result, half year age-length keys were constructed and applied to the half year size composition and landings data. In 2005, there were insufficient otoliths collected in the first quarter. As a result, the second quarter age-length key was applied to the first quarter length frequency and landings data. In 2009, there were insufficient otoliths collected in each of the quarters. As a result, half year age-length keys were constructed (quarter 1 combined with quarter 2; quarter 3 combined with quarter 4). These half year agelength keys were then applied to the quarterly length frequency and landings data (Table 5). The resulting commercial catch-at-age is presented in Table 6.
The age groups on which the fishery is conducted have changed over time (Table 6; Figure 13). Until the late 1980s, most of the catch was ages 2-4. The catch-at-age shows declining numbers for ages 4+ beginning in early 1990s. From 1990 to 1998, the catch shifted to predominantly ages 2 and 3 . This temporal shift occurred during the transition of the foreign fishery on the shelf edge to the domestic fishery in the basins. The domestic fishery also used codends with 55 mm square rather than 60 mm diamond mesh and separator grates, resulting in changes in gear selectivity. Since 1999, a high proportion of the catch has been age 1 fish (Figure 14). The strong 2009 year class made a significant contribution to the catch-at-age 2 in 2011.

Most of the catch of 1 and 2 year old fish have been taken by the Canadian fleet fishing primarily in Emerald and LaHave basins. Age 1 fish are caught primarily in the second half of the year. Growth at age 1 is very fast and these fish reach a size where they are vulnerable to the fishery in the second half of the year. The foreign fishery took place primarily in the first half of the year, which will have contributed to the low partial recruitment (PR) of age 1 fish in this fishery.

The commercial mean weight-at-age is calculated using the output of the Catch-at-Age application. To calculate the annual mean weight-at-age the mean is calculated weighting each quarter by the catch in that quarter. Commercial mean weight-at-age was weighted by monthly catches prior to 1999. Annual mean weight-at-age is presented in Table 7.

As has been noted in the past for this stock, commercial weight-at-age declined from 1977 to 1994, but has stayed relatively stable at a lower level in subsequent years (Table 7; Figure 15). Noteworthy are the exceptionally high weight-at-age values for 1977 which appear to be erroneously high (Table 7).

## COMMERCIAL CATCH RATES

When the foreign fleet was active, a catch rate series was developed for 1979-1999, incorporating month, country and NAFO area. Despite the usual suspicion of catch rate data, this series was thought to be acceptable because the same vessels, often with the same captains, fished the same limited area. Technological change was also very limited in this fleet. This CPUE series was discontinued when the foreign fleet was no longer permitted to fish in Canadian waters (Figure 16).

The previous two assessments (Showell et al. 2005; 2010) conducted an analysis of deviance using a Generalized Linear Modelling approach (GLM) with S-Plus 6.1 to determine magnitudes of influence of year, month and area on commercial catch rates of Canadian silver hake fishers. The CPUE was calculated as sub-trip tons per fishing day, with the model output predicting catch rates on an annual basis (Figure 17). The results of this analysis were not supported by Industry, due to concerns that assigning experience by fishing vessels failed to capture the required information, since captains were changing.

For this assessment, a CPUE series was developed for five selected vessels from 1999-2011 using a GLM model to standardize the effects of season, vessel and location. The per trip catch rates were calculated as:

$$
\frac{L_{\text {TRIP }}}{h_{\text {TRIP }}} \cdot 24
$$

where $L_{\text {TRIP }}$ is the reported landings per trip and $h_{\text {TRIP }}$ are the number of fishing hours reported per trip. Trips where effort was not recorded were not included in this analysis. These catch rates were standardized using a multiplicative model (Gavaris 1980) with fixed effects of:

```
Year - {1999:2011}
Vessel - CFV {105153,105507,104489,104994,160881}
Period - }1\mathrm{ {Dec-Feb}; 2 {Mar-May}
Area - {4WI, 4Wk, 4Wh, 4Xn, 4Xm}
```

The Area factor was limited to regions north of $43^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to exclude fishing outside of the basin areas (Figure 18) which, for most recent years, is the core of the fishing activity. Influential data points (Cook's D>2), and obvious outliers identified from quantile plots were removed and the analysis was repeated. A reduced model with just the main effects is presented due to the lack of significant interactive effects.

The model showed a significant annual effect with an upward trend in catch rate during the most recent years (Table 8; Figure 19). There were also differences between periods, vessels and NAFO subunits. Specifically, higher catch rates were observed during period 1, and in 4W subunits (Figure 20). However, the increasing catch rate for the first few years can be attributed to increased fishing knowledge as the domestic fleet developed. Also, the decline in catch rates in 2009 and 2010, relative to 2008 is not substantiated by the biomass trends in the summer RV
survey (Figure 26) and in part may have been influenced by market conditions. Consequently, the catch rate series was not considered an effective abundance index.

## RESEARCH VESSEL SURVEYS

## FISHERIES AND OCEANS CANADA BOTTOM TRAWL SURVEYS

Since 1970, DFO has conducted bottom trawl surveys of the Scotian Shelf area using a stratified random sampling design for tow locations. Survey coverage of the Scotian Shelf/Bay of Fundy region included a spring and fall series in 4VWX from 1979-1984 and a summer series in 4VWX from 1970-2012 (Figure 21). In addition, a March (spring) series in 4VsW was conducted from 1986-2010 but was discontinued in 2011 (Figure 22). While the 4VsW March survey covers the eastern part of the stock area, as well as some of the deeper waters along the shelf slope, it does not provide coverage of Emerald or LaHave basins which are important habitats for silver hake and where much of the domestic fishery now occurs.

The longest running series, the summer RV survey has been conducted on the Scotian Shelf and Bay of Fundy using four Canadian research vessels: the A.T. Cameron from 1970-1981, the Lady Hammond in 1982, the Canadian Coast Guard Ship (CCGS) Alfred Needler from 1983-2012 and the CCGS Teleost in 2004 and 2007. Based on an analysis of comparative fishing experiments by Fanning (1985), a conversion factor of 2.3 is applied to the total abundance and age-specific abundance series prior to 1982 to account for the effect of vessel and gear changes between the A.T. Cameron and the Hammond/Needler (Note: this is not a length-based conversion). The same conversion factor was used to adjust biomass estimates. No conversion factor is required between the Lady Hammond and the Alfred Needler for this period. An analysis of comparative fishing experiments showed no conversion factor was required between the Alfred Needler and the Teleost for silver hake (Fowler and Showell 2009).
Silver hake found in the Bay of Fundy have been considered to be part of the Gulf of Maine/Northern Georges Bank silver hake stock, rather than the Scotian Shelf stock. For analytical assessments, survey indices for total abundance, total biomass and total abundance at age are calculated for the Scotian Shelf portion of 4 VWX (strata 440-483) and exclude the western portion of 4X and the Bay of Fundy (strata 484-495; Figure 21).

## INDIVIDUAL TRANSFERABLE QUOTA FIXED STATION SURVEY

A mobile gear fixed station survey of NAFO Area 4 X has been conducted by the ITQ mobile gear < 65' fleet from 1996 to the present. This survey covers the western part of the stock area and includes sets in some inshore areas which are not sampled during the summer RV survey (Figure 23). The survey sampling distribution, however, has no overlap with the domestic fishery as it does not include any sets in the deep water of Emerald or LaHave basins. A comparison of trends in mean weight per tow shows some synchrony between the summer RV series, the 4 VsW March and the ITQ surveys since 2000 but not in earlier years (Figure 24a; Table 9). Stratified total biomass from the ITQ and the 4VsW spring survey were used as indices in exploratory iSCAM model runs but not for VPA which was based entirely on the summer RV survey. The summer RV survey has been the main tuning index used for past analytical assessments of this stock and indicates much higher levels of biomass compared to the other series.

## SUMMER RESEARCH VESSEL SURVEY CATCH DISTRIBUTION

Summer RV survey catches of silver hake indicate an increase in relative abundance and an expansion in spatial distribution on the Scotian Shelf from the 1970s to the 1980s (Figure 24b; upper panel). This period coincided with the switch from the Yankee 36 bottom trawl to the

Western IIA trawl and the increased catches in part may be attributed to this change in gear type. Catches in the 1980s occurred mainly around Emerald and LaHave basins and also Western and Sable Island banks. Noteworthy were the good catches which occurred along the shelf edge in the late 1980s. During the 1990s, most catches occurred on the central Scotian Shelf (Figure 24b; lower panel). A broader spatial distribution was apparent in the 2000s with higher catches in western 4 X and the Bay of Fundy; a pattern which is probably linked to the recent increase in abundance in the Gulf of Maine as indicated by the NMFS fall surveys. Also apparent is that catches along the shelf edge are much lower now compared to the late 1980s.

## SUMMER RESEARCH VESSEL SURVEY DEPTH COVERAGE

Of interest was whether or not the summer RV survey had provided complete sampling coverage of the depth range of the commercial fishery, particularly for those years when the foreign fishery was concentrated on the shelf edge (i.e. are the indices of abundance representative of the depth ranges occupied by the commercial fishery). A comparison of the number of sets by depth range from the survey and the commercial fishery grouped by 100 m intervals ( $1-100 \mathrm{~m}, 101-200 \mathrm{~m}, 201-300 \mathrm{~m}, 301-400 \mathrm{~m},>400 \mathrm{~m}$ ) indicated that the summer RV survey has covered depths to 400 m in all years, with most tows occurring within the $50-200 \mathrm{~m}$ depth range (Figure 25; upper panel). Observed sets from the commercial fishery indicated that most tows were conducted between 100-300 m with very few tows at depths $>400 \mathrm{~m}$ (Figure 25; lower panel). (Note: The foreign fishery was restricted to depths > 100 m in 1977 and then to depths > 190 m in 1994).
Based on these data, it was concluded that the survey covered most of depth ranges/habitat where silver hake are fished commercially, with the exception of depths $>400 \mathrm{~m}$, which made up only a small portion of the sets.
The summer RV survey depth range expanded in 1995 to include new strata on the shelf edge down to 750 m . Silver hake have been caught in these strata in eight years since 1995, with the biomass estimated for these deep strata accounting for $<1 \%$ of the total biomass in all but one year. This indicates silver hake have not been abundant at depths $>400 \mathrm{~m}$ during this time span. Since these strata have not been sampled throughout the full survey time series and silver hake are rare in these strata, they are not included in deriving indices of abundance for the stock.

## SUMMER RESEARCH VESSEL SURVEY INDICES, WEIGHT-AT-AGE, LENGTH-ATAGE AND SIZE COMPOSITION

The biomass index from the summer RV survey (after application of the A.T. Cameron vessel/net conversion factor for 1970-1981) shows a period of high but variable biomass from the early 1970s to the late 1980s followed by a period of lower biomass through the 1990s (Table 10; Figure 26). A sharp increase occurs in 2004 followed by a sharp drop in 2005 after which total biomass increases steadily to 2012. Current levels are comparable to those in the late 1980s. Both the age $2+$ biomass (a proxy for spawning stock biomass [SSB]) and 2+ female biomass have also increased since 2008. Age 2+ biomass represents about 85\% of total biomass over the time series. Age 2+ female biomass represents on average about 55\% of total biomass over the time series with the exception of the past two years when it has dropped to $45 \%$.

The summer RV survey age-disaggregated indices for strata 440-483 show that there are few ages beyond age 7 after 1990 and that ages 1-3 dominate the catches throughout the time series (Table 11; Figure 27). The 2009 year class appears to be strong at age 1 in 2010 and age 2 in 2011.

RV survey annual mean weights-at-age for silver hake by sex (equivalent to mid-year population weight-at-age) follow a declining trend from the early 1970s to the mid-1990s for ages 1-5 males (Table 12a; Figure 28; upper panel) and ages 1-6 females (Table 12b, Figure 28; lower panel), then level off or show an increasing trend to 2010, with a slight decline for older ages (both sexes) in 2011. Trends in annual mean length-at-age are similar to weight-at-age but less pronounced. A declining trend is apparent for ages 1-5 males (Table 13a; Figure 29; upper panel) and ages 1-6 females (Table 13b; Figure 29; lower panel) from the early 1970s to mid1990s, then levels off or increases for most ages. The overall pattern in weight-at-age and length-at-age indicates that aging has been consistent.
The survey catch-at-size for silver hake in 2011 and 2012 was above the long -term average (1982-2010; Western IIA time series; updated from Emberley and Clark 2011) for lengths below 29 cm , but below average for larger fish (Figure 30). Modes at 17-19 cm (approximately age 1) and $25-29 \mathrm{~cm}$ (approximately ages 2-3) are typical of long-term patterns. A progression in modal size is apparent from $2011(22-23 \mathrm{~cm})$ to 2012 (25-27) and likely reflects the increase in size of the 2009 year class, which was strong at age 1 in 2010 and at age 2 in 2011 (Figure 30).

## RECRUITMENT

Estimates of age 1 abundance are available from the summer RV survey (Table 11). Age data are not available for the 2011 year class, but an approximation can be made based on the minimum abundance at length $(21 \mathrm{~cm})$ between the two modes in the catch-at-size from the 2012 summer RV survey. Recruitment has been variable but generally above the long-term average in recent years. The 2002, 2004, and 2005 year classes were large, however, the 2006, 2008 and 2010 year classes were near average abundance (Figure 31). The 2009 year class is the largest in the time series. Current prospects for the 2011 year class (based on survey length data) are that it is above average.

## CONDITION FACTOR

Previous analyses (Showell et al. 2005) have shown that both condition (weight for given length, males and females averaged) and mean length-at-age have declined from 1971 to 1995, with the two factors combining to produce very low mean weights-at-age relative to the early period in the time series. An analysis of condition factor, (updated from Emberley and Clark 2011) using Fulton's K (weight/length ${ }^{3}$ ) rather than predicted weight at 25 cm , indicates that condition declined for silver hake (length range: 21-44 cm) from 1970 to the early 2000s, followed by an increase to 2007, then a subsequent decline to 2012 (Figure 32). Condition is currently near the lowest level in the time series and has been below the long-term average (1970-2012) since 1993.

## OVERALL SEX RATIO AND PROPORTION FEMALE AT AGE AND LENGTH

Annual sex ratios from the DFO summer RV survey (1970-2012) based on annual estimates of total stratified abundance by sex indicate that more female silver hake were present in survey catches from the 1970s to mid-1980s, followed by roughly equal (1:1) proportions of males and females up to the late 2000s (Figure 33). Over the past two years, males have dominated catches but only slightly.

Silver hake are sexually dimorphic; females live longer and grow larger than males. Survey total abundance at age data by sex indicates that the proportion of females at age increases after age 2 ; with about $80 \%$ of catches being female by age 5 (Figure 34). It appears that few males survive beyond age 5 , with none caught above this age in the survey in many years. Survey total abundance at size data indicates that the proportion female at size increases
rapidly after 30 cm , with $>80 \%$ female at 32 cm (Figure 35). This implies a higher natural mortality ( $M$ ) on males compared to females.

## MATURITY AT SIZE

An analysis of the proportion of mature fish (maturity stages 2-8) at size for male and female silver hake was conducted using maturity stage data grouped into 10-year periods. While the size at $50 \%$ maturity tends to be larger for females compared to males, a temporal shift was apparent in the size at maturity for both sexes with a general progression of decreasing size at maturity over the time series (Figure 36). Silver hake are currently maturing at smaller sizes (2010-2012) compared to the early part of the time series (1970-1979). For males and females respectively, the current size at $50 \%$ maturity is 20 cm and 21 cm for 2010-2012 compared to 24 cm and 26 cm for 1971-1979. A similar size at 50\% maturity was reported for the early period of the survey series by Doubleday and Halliday (1976).

## SEASONAL AND GEOGRAPHIC DIFFERENCES IN ABUNDANCE, SIZE AND AGE COMPOSITION

The seasonal (spring, summer, fall) and geographic (shelf slope, shelf basins) size and age composition for silver hake were compared using the average total stratified abundance at size and age from spring, summer and fall surveys conducted from 1979-1984. In this analysis, data were compared for basin strata (461 and 471) and slope strata (466 and 478).
Overall, there were more silver hake caught in the basins in all seasons compared to the shelf slope (Figure 37). A modal progression in size was apparent in both areas from spring through summer and fall, however, there was not much difference in abundance at size between areas with exception of spring surveys when larger fish were present along the shelf slope. Fall surveys also indicated the presence of young of the year fish ( 0 group; mode at 5-7 cm).
Similar proportions of age 1 fish were observed during spring surveys for both regions (Figure 38). Proportionally, more age 2 fish occurred in Basin strata but more ages 3-4 fish occurred in shelf slope strata. During summer, there were similar proportions at age for both regions, while in the fall, there was a higher proportion of ages 1-2 in basin strata and ages 3-5 in the slope strata. This pattern suggests that some of the larger fish over-winter on the shelf slope (feeding area), move onto banks during summer (spawning area) and then back to the slope region in fall (feeding area).
A modal progression in size was also apparent from the total stratified abundance at size for the 2012 spring and summer RV surveys (Figure 39). The spring survey showed a large peak in abundance at 12 cm , followed by a second peak at $26-27 \mathrm{~cm}$ (approximately ages 1 and 2-3). The summer RV survey showed a progression in size of age 1 fish with the peak occurring at $17-18 \mathrm{~cm}$. A second mode is observed at $26-27 \mathrm{~cm}$, which is the same as in the spring survey (ages 2-3), indicating that growth is less for these age groups.

## SURVEY Z, COMMERCIAL CATCH-CURVE Z AND RELATIVE F

Total mortality ( $Z$ ) was calculated from summer RV survey catch-at-age data as follows:

$$
\text { Zagex }=\ln \left(\frac{\text { Catch Age } x_{\text {year } y}}{\text { Catch Age } x+1_{\text {year } y+1}}\right)
$$

A comparison of $Z$ by age from the full survey time series indicates that $Z$ has generally increased with age from ages 1-4, but is fairly consistent for ages 4 and above. $Z$ for older ages has peaked above 1.5 (Figure 40).

Total mortality, $Z$, can be calculated as the slope from a linear regression of $\ln$ (catch) against age, using either commercial catch-at-age data or survey indices. Catch-curve Z's were calculated using the aggregated catch from 1993-2011 separately for the commercial catch and the survey catch (Figure 41). The slope was taken from a regression of ages 3-7. The Z from both regressions were above 1, but the commercial catch-curve $Z$ was markedly higher. This reflects that silver hake disappear more quickly from the catch than from the survey. The difference in the slopes can be used to derive a measure of PR patterns to the fishery.
Relative fishing mortality at age (Relative F) was calculated as the ratio of the age-specific fishery catch-at-age over the age-specific summer RV survey catch-at-age. This statistic does not give an absolute estimate of the true fishing mortality, but trends over time can be useful in examining exploitation patterns. If one assumes that the catchability of silver hake in the RV survey is flat-topped, then the ratio of Relative F for older ages to the average Relative F for ages 3 and 4 can be used as a proxy for PR patterns in the fishery (Figure 42). This indicates that PR is strongly domed in recent years, but may have been flat-topped during the period of the foreign fishery. In the recent period, PR has declined to roughly 0.12 by age 7 .
Relative $F$ and survey $Z$ were smoothed using a three-year running average and compared for ages $1,2-3$ and $4-6$. For age 1, there has been an increase in both $Z$ and Relative $F$ since the mid-1990s, which is coincident with the development of the Canadian fishery (Figure 43). For ages 2-3, Relative F declined in the early 1990s (end of the foreign fishery) but $Z$ remained high with no decline. Similarly, for ages 4-6, Relative F declined in the early 1990s but $Z$ remained high with no decline. These patterns for $Z$ and Relative $F$ indicate that fishing mortality has clearly increased on age one fish as the Canadian fishery has developed. For older ages (2-3 and 4-6), the pattern is less clear. Since F appears to have declined but $Z$ still remains relatively high, it is possible that M on these age groups has increased.
While silver hake are caught throughout much of the survey area (Figure 24b), the commercial fishery takes place almost entirely within the boundaries of strata 461 and 471. These strata account for between $7 \%$ and $30 \%$ of the catch of silver hake in the summer survey. An examination of data from surveys conducted in February and March (1978-1984, Figure 7) similarly indicates that these strata only account for $3-18 \%$ of the survey biomass index.
Given the geographic restrictions on the distribution of the fishery, the low Relative $F$ is not surprising. Even if the fishery caught all the silver hake in the area fished, it would seem unlikely that the exploitation could exceed $30 \%(F=0.5)$, the maximum proportion of the stock estimated to occur within these strata. With $Z$ reaching levels of 1.5 and above, this also suggests that $M$ is high and may exceed 1.0.
Differences in mortality rates between male and female silver hake were explored using both survey and fishery data. Commercial catch can be partitioned by sex from 1999 onward. Using the average catch-at-age for this period, $Z$ for males is 2.4 while for females it is 1.5 (Figure 44). The survey Z's are also generally higher for males than for females and have increased for both sexes in the recent period. Survey $Z$ was similar for males and females at age 1 in the period prior to the commencement of the domestic fishery, but in the recent period (after 1999), Z is higher for males even at age 1 (Figure 45). At age 4, the $Z$ for male silver hake is $1.9 ; 0.8$ higher than for females.
Both commercial data and survey data indicate $Z$ is much higher for males than females. Since males are not more common in the commercial landings, this difference must reflect higher natural mortality. If M is $0.8-0.9$ higher for males than females, then M must exceed 1.0 for males and may well be in the range of 1.0 for both sexes combined.

## ECOSYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS

## DIET AND PREDATION

Diet analyses were conducted as per the methods outlined in Cook and Bundy (2010) for silver hake sampled on the Scotian Shelf (strata 440-483) during summer RV surveys between 1999 and 2009. Figure 46 shows the rate of increase of observed species in silver hake diets as more stomachs are examined. Results suggest that the diet of silver hake on the Scotian Shelf has been well described in recent years with the main prey items being decapod shrimp (Unidentified decapoda), sand lance (Ammodytidae) and krill (Euphausiidae: Figure 47). There were no appreciable dietary changes with size (data not shown) and although cannibalism has been suggested to be important source of mortality for silver hake elsewhere (Link et al. 2012) and on the Scotian Shelf in the 1980s (Waldron 1992), it does not appear to be a large contributor to the overall mortality on the Scotian Shelf now. The most common predators of silver hake were monkfish, pollock, white hake, Atlantic halibut and cod (Figure 48). Analyses of seal diets on Sable Island indicate that silver hake are also a prey item for seals (Bowen and Harrison 2007).

## HABITAT ASSOCIATIONS

Determining the relationship between species distribution and the habitat variables temperature and depth for trawl data was done using the methods outlined by Perry and Smith (1994). Cumulative distribution functions (cdf) described species associations with temperature and depth as the cdf for each habitat variable (x) for each set (i) in a stratum (h) incorporating the survey design as follows:

$$
f(t)=\sum_{h} \sum_{i} \frac{W_{h}}{n_{h}} I\left(x_{h i}\right) \quad I\left(x_{h i}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } x_{h i} \leq t \\ 0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

where $W_{h}$ is the proportion of the survey area in stratum $h, n_{h}$ is the number of sets performed within the stratum and $t$ is an index ranging between the minimum and maximum levels of the observed habitat variable. Similarly, the cdf for catch of a particular species within a set ( $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{hi}}$ ) with specific habitat conditions is:

$$
g(t)=\sum_{h} \sum_{i} \frac{W_{h}}{n_{h}} \frac{y_{h i}}{\bar{y}_{s t}} I\left(x_{h i}\right) \quad I\left(x_{h i}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } x_{h i} \leq t \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

By scaling the catch to the stratified mean $\left(\bar{y}_{s t}\right)$ the sum of $g(t)$ equals 1 across all values of $t$. If large values of $y_{n i} / \bar{y}_{s t}$ are consistently associated with a particular habitat condition, this suggests strong associations. Randomization tests were used to test the significance of habitat associations. The test statistic, $L$, is the maximum absolute difference between the $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ curves. Statistical significance of $L$ was determined by its comparison with to the distribution of values from 2999 random perturbations of the data ( 3000 repetitions, including L; Perry and Smith 1994).
Figure 49 shows an example in which $f(t)$ is the effort as a function of depth and $g(t)$ is the catch. The median of the catch and the maximum difference in the two cumulatives are shown. These metrics are shown as time series in Figure 50.
The cumulative catch of small ( $<20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and large ( $>20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) silver hake was examined in relation to salinity, temperature and depth encountered during the summer RV survey with the location of the maximum deviation of cumulative distributions from catch and effort interpreted to
be indicative of habitat preference. Median salinity, temperature and depth preferences of small silver hake are consistently greater than the median values for the survey, as are the median temperature preferences of large silver hake. The most stable habitat association for small silver hake was that with depth as fish are captured in the summer at a much higher rate at depths between 150 m and 200 m across all years. The distribution of juveniles and adults is associated with warm bottom temperatures of $5-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Silver hake, regardless of size, were found at higher water temperatures than many other species with an overall mean of $8.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## BYCATCH

Although the silver hake fishery primarily uses small mesh, bycatch is limited because fishing activity is restricted to deeper water in the inshore basins or off the edge of the shelf. A mandatory separator grate with vertical bars spaced at 40 mm inserted into the codend restricts the catch of larger fish.
DFO at-sea fishery observers are routinely deployed to the silver hake fleet to monitor catches and discards of the directed species and bycatch. While observer coverage levels can be calculated in several ways (\% trips, \% days fished), the proportion of the main species observed to landed catches is most representative. Using this method, observer coverage on the silver hake fleet in recent years (2002-2011) ranged from approximately $2 \%$ to $22 \%$, with an average of $6 \%$.

Based on observer records (Table 14), 95\% of the catch in observed silver hake trips (by weight) was the directed species. Discarding of silver hake was minimal, at $10.1 \%$ of the total catch. The most common bycatch species were Atlantic herring ( $1 \%$ of total catch), red hake ( $0.8 \%$ ), unspecified redfish ( $0.4 \%$ ) and spiny dogfish ( $0.4 \%$ ). Other species of possible concern occur rarely as bycatch such as basking shark (0.1\%).

## FISHERY FOOTPRINT

The relative impact of the fishery on the Scotian Shelf was examined through spatial analysis, a technique in which the potential fishing area of the Scotian Shelf and Bay of Fundy was divided into a total of 1117 ten minute cells. Each cell was classified as belonging the top one third, middle third or bottom third of all silver hake landings from 2007-2011 (Figure 51) and only 2011 (Figure 52). This characterizes the relative importance of the cells to the fishing sector. Fishing activity was also characterized by the number of vessel-days that the cell was fished from 20072011 (Figure 51) or during 2011 alone (Figure 52) Most cells were occupied for less than two weeks and only a few cells contributed to most of the landings. An analysis of the 2011 fishery shows a smaller footprint but similar results with less than 10 cells contributing the top two thirds of landings and more than 60 days of fishing effort (Figure 52).

The fishery footprint was also examined by dividing the Scotian Shelf into two minute cells and calculating the total silver hake taken as directed catch or bycatch for each cell (Oceans Coastal Management Division, Fisheries and Oceans Canada). This analysis shows, most of the fishing occurs in the basins, with relatively small amounts taken on the shelf edge and the western portion of 4X (Figure 53).

## MODELLING

## VIRTUAL POPULATION ANALYSES

Estimates of population abundance in numbers for the middle of the terminal year were obtained by calibrating a simple VPA with the bottom trawl survey indices. This class of models makes the assumption that errors in the observed catch-at-age are negligible compared to the
errors in the abundance indices. Such an assumption allows a deterministic application of the catch equation recursively to derive the abundance of a year class at any time given the observed catch-at-age and an estimate of abundance for that year class at only one point in time.

A series of model formulations were investigated. The intention was to begin with a formulation which would closely resemble the VPA formulation used in the last assessment. This formulation had a serious retrospective problem, so further formulations were employed which were intended to address the potential causes of the retrospective. Misspecification of natural mortality, or changes in natural mortality was one potential problem. Misspecification of PR patterns with age could also have contributed to the retrospective problem. Finally, errors in historical landings data or changes in stock structure or dynamics could have contributed to the retrospective.

The initial model formulation (basic long-term), expected to be consistent with formulations used in past assessments, was:

## Observations

$C_{a, y}=$ catch-at-age for $a=1$ to 9 and $y=1978$ to terminal year.
$I_{s, a, t}=$ survey abundance index for:
$s=R V$ survey ages $a=1$ to 7 , years $t=1978.5$ to present.
Parameters
$\theta_{a, y}=\ln$ abundance for $a=1$ to 8 in $y=$ terminal year.
$\kappa_{\mathrm{sa}}=$ calibration constants for RV and ITQ surveys for ages $\mathrm{a}=12, \ldots 7$.

## Structural Conditioning

$M$ assumed to be 0.4 for all ages and years.
Fishing mortality on age 9 for 1978 to 2011 assumed to be equal to the population number weighted average fishing mortality on ages 7 and 8 .

## Error Conditioning

Catch-at-age error was assumed negligible compared to the index error. Error on the In index observations was assumed to be independent and identically distributed.

## Estimation

Parameters were obtained by minimizing the objective function.
$\sum_{i, a, y}\left(I_{i, a, y}-\hat{I}_{i, a, y}[\theta, \kappa]\right)^{2}$
Additional formulations which will be discussed were:
Basic short-term:
For this VPA run, the set-up was identical to the Basic long-term except the data series was truncated to include landings and survey data starting in 1993.
Around the corner (AC):
For this VPA run, the set-up was the same as the basic long-term except that additional parameters are estimated as:
$\theta_{a, y}=\operatorname{In}$ abundance for $a=1$ to 8 in $y=$ terminal year, and for $a=9$ in $y=1996$ to terminal year.

Around the corner, flattop q (AC flattop):
For this VPA run, the set-up was the same as the AC except:
$\kappa_{\mathrm{sa}}=$ calibration constants for RV survey for ages $a=1,2,3,4-7$.
Long-term estimate M:
For this VPA run, the set-up was identical to the Basic long-term except that M was estimated in years 1993-resent in VPA for ages 3 and 4 as a block and for ages 5+ as a block.

Short-term high M (high M):
For this VPA run, the set-up was identical to the Basic short-term except that flat-topped survey q was used (as in AC flattop), M was assumed to be 0.7 for ages 3 and 4 and 1.0 for ages $5-9$, and fishing mortality on age 7 for 1993 to 2009 assumed to be $12 \%$ of the fishing mortality on age 3.

## VIRTUAL POPULATION ANALYSIS RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The basic long-term VPA did not perform well. There was a strong residual pattern - all negative before 1993 and all positive after 1993. The high landings in the early part of the assessment period result in a high population estimate, while low landings since 1994 result in low population estimates (Figure 54). This dichotomy is not consistent with the survey trends, and thus results in a strong pattern in residuals. This also results in an implausible pattern in survey q at-age.

The survey $q$ at-age increases continuously from 0.2 at age 1 to 0.8 at age 4 and 4.1 at age 7, reflecting the mismatch in survey and commercial fishery catch at-age. Without something to inform the VPA that there are still old fish in the population, the model estimates the population in accordance with the commercial catch curve, resulting in a q that is unreasonably high for older ages.

The divergence in survey and commercial catch-curves indicates that the commercial data likely overestimates mortality in the population. This is evidenced in the VPA output, with fishing mortality estimates well above 1.0 in many years. Fishing mortality rates this high seem unlikely, given the restricted geographic range of the fishery relative to the population distribution. In particular, high F estimates for older fish are unlikely, given that the separator grate should exclude larger older fish from the catch.
The AC formulation resolves some of these issues. By estimating the population for more cohorts, the VPA is freed from the assumption that the fishery has flat-topped PR. This formulation has lower fishing mortality estimates, and strongly domed PR, with $F$ at older ages much lower than at ages 3 and 4 for years after 1993. The population biomass estimates in recent years are higher from this formulation than from the base model (Figure 54). The survey $q$ estimates from this formulation, however, continue to increase with age, and exceed 1.0 for age 7 .
The AC flattop formulation is very similar in output to the AC formulation. Biomass estimates in the most recent years are slightly lower, and q for the oldest age block (4-7) is 0.8 . Of these three formulations which use the full time series of data and a constant $M$ of 0.4 , the $A C$ flattop seems least problematic.
The population abundance at age shows the 2009 year class to be very strong, and also indicates some recent improvement in population age structure (Figure 55). Abundance at ages $4-7$ has increased to levels not seen since the early 1990s. This is consistent with the observations from recent surveys.

Although the AC flattop resolves some of the diagnostic concerns, it still has difficulty fitting the data. The residuals display a strong pattern (Figure 56) which suggests the difficulty of fitting the data from the full time period remains. A comparison of the VPA biomass estimates with the RV biomass index illustrates the problem (Figure 57). The range in annual biomass estimates over time is much greater than the range in survey indices.

Annual q's can be estimated by dividing the summed survey indices-at-age by the VPA population estimate. The result is a trend in q estimates over time (Figure 58), with much higher q's after 1993 than before 1993. This will result in a pronounced retrospective pattern, as each additional year of data reduces the influence of the low q's in the early period on the overall average q's.

The assumed M of 0.4 seems low in relation to the high $Z$ estimated from both commercial and survey data. Furthermore, there is a mismatch in trends in survey Z and Relative F over time for silver hake which seems to indicate that natural mortality may have increased over time. An increase in M for the recent period may help to address the patterns seen in the VPA residuals, since a higher M in the recent period will result in higher population estimates.

When M is estimated in the VPA for the period after 1993, the estimates of M are slightly above 1. This does not seem unreasonable in comparison to the $Z$ estimates derived from survey or commercial fishery data, which are also above 1 . The population biomass estimated from this formulation is higher in recent years than for other formulations explored. The population biomass, however, still poorly tracks the trend in the survey, where the biomass index in recent years has returned to similar levels to those seen in the early part of the series.

The mismatch between data from pre-1993 and post-1993 is very difficult to reconcile, and has not been adequately addressed in any of these model formulations. The switch in residuals from positive to negative in the VPA output coincides with the period when the fishery switched from foreign to domestic and from the shelf edge to the basins. The use of a separator grate in the net and the switch to square mesh from diamond also occurred at this time. In addition, large scale changes in the ecosystem were underway at this time, with the collapse of the cod stocks on the eastern Scotian Shelf, and increased natural mortality for cod also estimated around this time (Mohn and Rowe 2012). It may be that there are several factors contributing to the difficulties in modelling the population through this period of upheaval which available data may not be sufficient to resolve.

In light of the difficulties in developing an acceptable model formulation for the full time series, additional formulations were explored which exclude survey and landings data from before 1993. The basic short-term formulation was not an improvement on other model runs. This formulation estimates very low population biomass. With low landings, and few old fish present in the catch, this formulation had no information to indicate the population may be high, so it estimated very low biomass (Figure 54) and high F. The survey q's from this model increased with age, peaking at over 13.0 for age 7. This is utterly implausible.
Additional runs were conducted using higher natural mortality, with the values for M informed by the survey $Z$ and by the $M$ estimated in earlier model runs. In addition, domed PR was used. The degree of doming was informed by the PR estimated from Relative F at-age. Some exploratory runs were undertaken with the goal of finding levels of $M$ which were consistent with the $Z$ and Relative $F$ estimates and which also resulted in flat-top survey q's. There is no reason to expect that survey $q$ would not be flat-topped, so this was used as a diagnostic in deciding what M to use.
The short-term high-M model appears to provide a reasonable fit to the data series used (Figure 59). There is no clear pattern in the residuals from this model (Figure 60). The population numbers indicate that the 2009 year class is the strongest in the time series
(Table 15), and in 2012 at age 3 it was twice the size of any other year class at age 3 . The fishing mortality estimates are strongly domed (Table 16). Fishing mortality is also consistent with the expectation that $F$ should not generally exceed 0.5 , given the restricted proportion of the population which the RV survey indicates is in the basins and available to the fishery. In addition, the q at-age estimates are plausible as estimates of survey catchability, and are flattopped (Table 17).

While this model formulation appears to fit the data, there are some reasons for concern about its suitability. While informed by the exploratory data analyses, the selection of values for M and PR in this formulation needs additional exploration. Natural mortality is clearly high and PR must be domed, but the exact magnitude of these parameters is difficult to precisely estimate. Furthermore, the level of bias indicated in parameter estimates is unusually high.
While this VPA appears to reasonably represent the population, the reliability of projections may be questionable. With a very large 2009 year class at age 3 in 2012, the available yield for 2013 and future population biomass trajectory are completely dependent on the magnitude of natural mortality. Current levels of fishery removal are unlikely to be excessive, with the population biomass estimated at over 100,000 t , but there are many assumptions and uncertainties in the parameterization of this model. Exploration of other simpler approaches to providing advice to management should be considered.

## STATISTICAL CATCH-AT-AGE MODEL

Silver hake population abundance was modelled using an iSCAM, developed by Steve Martell (University of British Columbia). Information on the model, structure, code is available through the ISCAM project website.

## Basic Model

The data used in the initial (Basic) model were total landings for the foreign (1977-2004) and domestic (1994-2011) fisheries, summer RV survey abundance indices (1977-2011), commercial catch-at-age data split at 1996 into foreign and domestic fisheries and numbers at age from the summer RV series. Parameters for the Von Bertalanffy (VB) growth equation were assumed to be $49.16 \mathrm{~cm}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\infty}\right), 0.247(\mathrm{k})$ and $-0.95\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$. Length-weight parameters were $2.66 \times 10-6$ (a) and 3.24 (b). The age at $50 \%$ maturity was calculated as 2.54 from the equation $\ln (3.0 / \mathrm{k})$ where k is the Von Bertalanffy parameter and equal to 0.247 . Natural mortality, M, was fixed at 0.4.
The selectivities chosen for the three gear types were a selectivity coefficient for the domestic fishery; logistic selectivity coefficient for the foreign fishery (age at $50 \%$ maturity $=2$ ) and a constant cubic spline for the RV survey. A penalty weight for a dome-shape was applied to the domestic fishery. The stock-recruitment model was Beverton-Holt and the fraction of $Z$ taking place prior to fishing was set at $50 \%$. The minimum proportion to consider in age-proportions was set to 0.005 . Natural mortality was increased in steps of 0.05 to see the effect on the objective function and model parameters. The minimal objective function occurred in the area of $0.4-0.5 \mathrm{M}$. The estimate for initial biomass did not change much between 0.4 and 0.45 M compared to $0.2-0.25 \mathrm{M}$, and the estimate of current biomass remained below the initial biomass (Table 18). Therefore, an $\mathrm{M}=0.4$ seemed a reasonable point at which to fix M .

## Results: Basic Model

The initial population biomass of 468 kt population declined rapidly in the early 1990s and only recovered to a number above $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ in the last few years (Figure 61). The fit between the model and the survey series was poor initially but improved in the 1998-2011 period. With selectivity and natural mortality fixed, the model attributed the decline in the population to very high fishing mortality which only declined in the most recent few years (Figure 61). A retrospective plot of

SSB showed an upward bias in the estimates as the terminal year was removed with an inflection point near 1995 around which the biomass estimates rotate (Figure 62).

## Model Variations

Three variations on the basic model were tried to introduce some flexibility that would allow the model to fit catch and survey data in both the early time period and current conditions. The first variation (Twos) split the survey time series at 1993 by attributing the surveys before and after to different gears. This allowed the model to treat the earlier (1977-1992) survey abundance index separately from 1993-2011. The second (Short series) shortened the time series to the recent period 1993-2011. Within a few years around 1993, a codend separator grate and 55 mm square mesh were introduced to reduce bycatch, the fishery shifted from a primarily foreign fleet fishing the shelf edge to a domestic fleet fishing the basins of the Scotian Shelf and the proportion of younger fish in the landings increased. The third variation (Smart model) was to add a penalized time-varying selectivity for the survey gear. The selectivity for the RV survey was set to a time varying cubic spline with age-nodes. A penalty weight of 200 was added to limit how quick selectivity could change over time:

$$
\text { Penalty wt for } 2^{\text {nd }} \text { diffs over time } w=1 / 2^{*} \operatorname{sig}^{\wedge} 2 \text { ) }
$$

Output and objective functions for the four models and other formulations are shown in Table 18. Estimates of total biomass and SSB, depletion and survey abundances are shown in figures 63-66.

## Results: Model Variations

Trends in biomass were similar to the basic model (Figure 63). Recent biomass estimates were all lower than the basic model and the stock remains below $80 \%$ of $B_{\text {Msy }}$ in the two survey and Smart models (Figure 64). Both of these models improved the fit between predicted and observed RV abundances at the start of the time period (Figure 65). Shortening the time series to 1993-2011 had a large effect on the model estimates for total and SSB. The short model estimates were one half or less of the estimates from the models using the whole time series. Catchabilities were all above 1, with the exception of the two survey model. The early survey catchability was 0.6 while the more recent (1993-2011) survey had a very high $q$ of 2.1.

## Conclusions

All of the model runs showed that population status has been poor since about 1990 and has only improved in the last few years. Splitting the RV survey and allowing selectivity to vary both improved model fit, as shown by the decrease in retrospective patterns in abundance as the terminal biomass year was removed (Figure 66). Shortening the time series had a larger effect on biomass estimates than splitting the RV series or assuming changes in survey $q$ through time. The model is sensitive to the minimum proportions considered in the age composition data. A contraction in age composition in the mid-1990s and declining catches are explained by very high fishing mortality. In general, iSCAM had trouble resolving conflicting signals from the survey indices and commercial catches. A random walk mortality or penalized time-varying selectivity gave the model more flexibility and improved the fit between the observed and predicted survey indices. As the survey vessel and gear have been mainly consistent since 1982, it is likely that natural mortality has changed.

The iSCAM model is also sensitive to the choice of selectivity parameters. As the options for these are very broad, an investigation and validation of the kinds of selectivity parameters appropriate for the commercial and survey gear would improve confidence in the model output. Development of the data and control files for the iSCAM model is straightforward, and the associated R scripts allow quick, visual examination of different hypotheses.

## ASAP (AGE STRUCTURED ASSESSMENT PROGRAM) MODEL

## Development of an ASAP Statistical Catch-at-Age Model

A statistical catch-at-age model, ASAP (v.2.0.20, Legault and Restrepo 1998), obtained from NOAA Fisheries Toolbox (NFT) was explored for the Scotian Shelf silver hake assessment. ASAP was considered as an alternative modelling framework in this assessment for a variety of reasons including: the ability to explore alternative model formulations to counter/lend support to the VPA, ISCAM and production model results, the ability to explore starting condition assumptions and estimate stock-recruit relationship internal to the model, and the ability to explicitly model data uncertainty. Given some of the changes that have occurred in the silver hake fishery (gear, selectivity, targeting and management), an age structured model such as ASAP provides a very flexible platform to account for the time varying dynamics within the population.
As described at the NFT software website, ASAP uses forward computations assuming separability of fishing mortality into year and age components to estimate population sizes, given observed catches, catch-at-age, and indices of abundance. Discards can be treated explicitly. The separability assumption can be partially relaxed by allowing fleet-specific computations and allowing the selectivity at age to change in blocks of years. Weights are input for different components of the objective functions which allows for configurations ranging from relatively simple age-structured production models to fully parameterize statistical catch-at-age models. The objective function is the sum of the negative log-likelihood of the fit to various model components. Catch-at-age and survey age composition are modelled assuming a multinomial distribution, while most other model components are assumed to have lognormal error including total catch in weight by fleet, survey indices, stock recruit relationship, and annual deviations in fishing mortality. Recruitment deviations are also assumed to follow a lognormal distribution, with annual deviations estimated as a bounded vector to force them to sum to zero (this centers the predictions on the expected stock recruit relationship). For more technical details, the reader can refer to the technical manual described by Legault 2008.

## ASAP Model Configuration

In developing the ASAP model formulation, 12 model configurations were explored. These model configurations took advantage of ASAP's flexibility of handling selectivity time blocks in the fishery to reflect changes in gear and mesh regulations over time. A summary of selected ASAP model configurations runs is presented in Table 19. The decision to use an age 9 plus group in the ASAP model configuration was based on the working group's (WG) recommendation and the likelihood of estimating older ages with little precision due to the appearance of a continued truncation in the age structure in most recent years.

Initial exploratory model runs used data back to1977 and allowed selectivity at age to be freely estimated for both the fishery and the RV summer survey. In subsequent explorations, selectivity for both the fishery and the RV summer survey were then fixed at 1.0 for ages 2 and older. The initial choice for the flattop selectivity pattern for was informed by the VPA results, which suggested increasing catchability with age, and the likelihood calculated in ASAP for dome versus flat-topped scenarios. Additionally, there was no known biological mechanism to suggest decreasing selectivity with age.

Starting with a single selectivity for the fishery (i.e. the same selectivity assumed for years 19772011), the diagnostics were examined for trends in age composition residuals (Run 1, Table 20a). Notably, there were strong trends in the age composition residuals with runs of positives and negatives. Several intermediate models were then explored for various selectivity blocks to resolve the patterning in the residuals based on known major changes in the fisheries regulations (Table 20a-c), specifically, periods of changes in mesh regulations in years 1977,

1993 and 1999. The period 1993 encompasses major implementations of the SMGL and a major shift in the participation of foreign fleets with restricted participation of the Russian vessels such that only Cuban vessels remained as the foreign fleet. It is also noteworthy to recognize that the 1990s marked the development of a directed domestic fishery by Canadian vessels. Given these major changes in the fishery and the difficulty to resolve a model with reasonable diagnostics, the WG sought an alternative model exploration that started the model time series in 1993. This resulted in a substantial improvement in the model diagnostics both in the likelihood components and patterning of the residuals. The model with two fishery selectivity time blocks (1993-1998 and 1999-2011) and a single time invariant selectivity block for the RV summer survey offered the best fit to the aggregate and age composition (Run 12; Table 20c). Subsequent examination of model 12 fits to the landings data suggested a need for additional down weighting of the landings CV's. A constant of 0.2 was added to each of the landing's CV and resulted in further improvement of model 12.

The effective sample size (ESS) estimated for both the fishery and survey catch-at-age (which are both treated as multinomial) was compared to the input ESS in an iterative fashion until the ESS specified more or less matched the model estimated value, or until no further improvement in trying to match the estimated value could be made. Additionally, following Francis (2011), minor adjustment in the ESS's were informed by the overall fit between the predicted and observed mean age of the catch. The final ESS for the fishery was set to 50 for the commercial landings and 10 for the RV summer survey.

## ASAP Model Diagnostics (Model 12)

As indicated earlier, model 12 fits to the fishery catches were reasonable, with no strong patterning of residuals over time and generally in good agreement between the model and observed catches (Figure 67). Fishery ESS of 50 appeared reasonable (figures 68 and 69), and achieved reasonable fits between the observed catch-at-age (figures 70a-70c) with no large runs or obvious year class effects apparent in the residual patterning (Figure 71). Model fits to the observed mean catch-at-age were generally acceptable, with a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) equal to 1.28 (Figure 69). The commercial fishery selectivities were estimated with domed pattern (Figure 72) with higher selectivity for ages 1-2 and 5-7 in the second block. This is consistent to the period when the domestic fleet was targeting more of the smaller fish sizes (19-24 cm) and the foreign fleet class 7 vessels targeting > 24 cm fish.
Fit to the summer RV survey index exhibited no strong residual patterning (Figure 73). The input ESS was generally supported by the modelled estimates (Figure 74 and 75) with no strong patterning to the index age composition (Figure 76). Fits to the mean age were reasonable (RMSE = 1.05) lending additional support to the input ESS (Figure 75).
The summer RV selectivity was estimated as flat-topped, with almost $100 \%$ recruitment at ages 29 , Figure 77). The summer RV survey catchability (q) was approximately 0.71 , suggesting that the survey is $70 \%$ efficient (Figure 78). This could possibly be related to the observed decline in the resource in recent years, thereby resulting in limited availability to the survey gear. However, caution needs to be taken when interpreting the area swept converted q's given the assumption inherent in the calculations, such as constant tow length, no herding by the gear, $100 \%$ of survey area is habitable and the survey area is identical to the stock area which the catches come from.

## ASAP Model Results

The assessment indicates that the total biomass ranged from 31,422 to 83,338 t during the assessment time period, with current biomass in 2011 estimated at 60,687 t (Table 21; Figure 79). Currently total SSB is estimated at $33,988 \mathrm{t}$. Current F's are near historic lows (Figure 79), with $\mathrm{F}_{\text {avg }} 4-9(2011)=0.11$ (Table 22; Figure 79). Fishing mortalities at age are also
presented in Table 22. Age 1 recruitment over the past two decades has been relatively stable between 2006 and 2009. There was a strong 2009 year class, with age 1 recruitment estimated at approximately 617 million fish and considered highest over the assessment time series. The other relatively strong recruitment events over the assessment period were spawned in 1999 and 2002 when SSB were at moderate stock sizes (approximately $17,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $14,000 \mathrm{t}$, respectively; Figure 80). The current population structure is comprised primarily of ages 1-3, consisting of approximately $93 \%$ and $96 \%$ of the population in 2010 and 2011, respectively. Since 2009 and onward, there has been some subtle expansion in the ages 5+ group, however, they are still relatively minor compared to the younger age classes (Table 23 and figures 81-82).
Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulations were performed to obtain posterior distributions of total biomass, SSB, and F time series. Two MCMC chains of initial length of 10,000 were simulated with every 200th value saved. The trace of each chain was inspected for trends and suggested good mixing of the random draws. As the MCMC simulations appear to converge, the $90 \%$ probability intervals, as well as plots of the posteriors for 2011 total biomass and SSB are shown in figures 83-84.

Retrospective analyses for the 2006-2011 terminal years indicates minimal retrospective error in F, total biomass and SSB with little to no trends in the directionality of the errors. F retrospective error ranged from -0.59 in 2006 to 0.52 in 2008, with a Mohn's Rho estimate of -0.020 . Total biomass retrospective error ranged from -0.15 in 2008 to 0.62 in 2006 and a retrospective and a Mohn's Rho estimate equal to 0.09. SSB retrospective bias ranged from -0.26 in 2008 to 0.76 in 2006, with a Mohn's Rho average estimated at 0.12. Finally, retrospective error for age 1 recruitment varied from -0.33 in 2010 to 0.89 in 2006 and a Mohn's Rho estimate equal to 0.11 (Table 24; figures 85-88).

## ASAP Model Biological Reference Points

Biological reference points based on a parametric stock-recruit relationship was explored in ASAP. Initial attempts to fit a Beverton-Holt function occurred without success due to the lack of model convergence and the lack of contrast between the recruitment and SSB short time series. Hence, a proxy reference point was explored as an alternative. Fishing reference points were derived from a Yield per recruit (YPR) analysis using the most recent five-year average (20072011) for weights at age, and selectivity at age. The rest of the inputs, maturity at age and selectivity for natural mortality were time invariant. Inputs for the YPR analyses can be found in Table 25. In this exploration, YPR analyses was based on F40\% as a proxy for $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ and was estimated at 0.31 (Table 26).
To approximate the distribution of $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}, \mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ and ${ }_{\text {MSY }}$ distributions, long-term projections were made from 1,000 estimates of numbers at age in 2011, which were derived by performing MCMC simulation of the ASAP model 12. The recruitment estimate was based on the average recruitment from the entire ASAP assessment time series (1993-2011). The resulting reference points and their $90 \%$ confidence interval corresponding with F40\% are presented in Table 26. Estimates of biomass reference indicated that $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}=69,670 \mathrm{t}(58,600-85,170 \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}=$ $41,890 \mathrm{t}(35,110-50,840 \mathrm{t})$ and $\mathrm{MSY}=11,020 \mathrm{t}(8,820-14,080 \mathrm{t})$.

## ASAP Model Conclusions

The series of ASAP model explorations show that the model formulation with a shorter time series (i.e. starting in 1993) and two selectivity time blocks in the fishery appears to be the most reasonable (Run 12). The model diagnostics show considerable improved fit to the aggregate and age composition data for the fishery and RV summer index. The retrospective biases ( $F$, total biomass and SSB) were generally minimal suggesting some degree of consistency and stability in the model estimates. Trends in biomass show that the population has been and currently is still below $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ with continuous decline in fishing mortality since 2010. The
incoherence of survey trends relative to levels of removals in the extended model (i.e. starting in 1977) is likely due to movement of fish in and out of the stock areas and, therefore, the survey may only reflect seasonal abundances rather than trends for the stock. Alternatively, natural mortality may have increased over time likely due to predatory consumption of silver hake. However, the scale of predatory removals is unknown and should be further investigated in the future.

As observed in the ISCAM model, ASAP model explorations that took advantage of the longer time series (i.e. starting in 1977) showed a strong correlation between selectivity estimated for the survey index and for the directed fleet. Assuming flattop in the survey selectivity in many cases resulted in a flattop in the directed fleet. This often resulted in age compositions residuals that were acceptable accompanied by a deteriorated fit to the aggregate total (landings or survey). Therefore, the choice of the most desirable model depended to some extent on the amount of confidence in the age composition data relative to the aggregate landings and index. The choice to start the time series in 1993 appears reasonable as this time frame coincides with the period where there was least uncertainty about the fishery catch and sampling.

## Comparison of Model Trends

Several model types, including statistical catch-at-age, VPA and production modelling, were used in an effort to fit the silver hake data and identify an optimal model and formulation for informing management advice. All models employed (VPA, ISCAM, ASAP, states base production) had difficulty modelling the full time series of data without introducing changes in model parameters such as natural mortality or survey catchability. All model types reflected the general trend in survey indices, with biomass high in the early period, low through the late 1990s and increasing after 2007.
The inability to model the full time series of data in an acceptable fashion with any model is of concern. Landings were much higher prior to 1993 than they have been since; using a short time series model fails to capture this information.
The relative scaling of the recent biomass increase is similar for the VPA and production models. Biomass is estimated to have increased from a low of about 50,000-60,000 $t$ in the early 2000s to above 100,000 t in 2010 and 2011 (Figure 59; Cook 2013). The Short iSCAM formulation provided biomass estimates which were roughly half of the VPA and production model estimates. The ASAP results were intermediate between these two levels.

The similarity in biomass estimates for the VPA and production models is reassuring. With the current biomass estimated at over $100,000 \mathrm{t}$, they indicate that there is little risk of overfishing at recent levels of removals. There remain, however, concerns with each of these models. There are concerns with excluding the cohort information and combining all elements of production into a single factor, as is done in the production model (Cook 2013). There are also concerns with the high levels of natural mortality which are used in the VPA. The production model will be used for the assessment until the next framework review for silver hake. In the interim, efforts should be made to resolve the difficulty in modelling the full time series of data. Collection of age-based data should continue with the intention that age-based models be further explored for the next framework.

## FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

Selection of otoliths for aging from the survey should be done separately by sex and length from strata 440-483 (if that remains the area for the survey index) to ensure there are ages for all lengths from the area in question for each sex. The selection in 2010 appears to have been 10 otoliths per 1 cm length group, but at 21 cm , all of the otoliths were for males, and the catch-
at-length for females at 21 cm was unassigned. Otoliths for the 2010 survey should be aged at those lengths which have been missed.
Some aging should continue to be done for strata outside the 440-483 area. If none are aged, there is no opportunity to include this data in any analyses.
Ages should be assigned to any unaged lengths, for deriving both the survey indices and commercial catch-at-age.
Age 0 and age 1 in the summer survey indices should be combined as age 1 ; the division is arbitrary and starts in 1997, but the birth date by convention is January 1.
Continued development of age based population models.
The scale of predation is unknown and should be further investigated in the future.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Since the early 1970s, there has been a decline in the age structure for both the fishery and survey catch-at-age; currently most of the fish caught in the survey are $<4$ years old and the fishery catches primarily ages 1-2.
- Commercial catch rates for the shelf basins show an increasing trend from 2000-2011 but are not considered to be reliable indices of abundance.
- Survey biomass on the Scotian Shelf has been increasing since 2008; landings during this period have been approximately $10,000 \mathrm{t}$. Total survey biomass in 2012 declined slightly from 2011 but still remains quite high. Both age $2+$ and female age $2+$ have also increased since 2008.
- Abundance at size from the 2012 summer survey indicates that the 2009 year class appears to be strong at age 3 in 2012 and that the 2010 and 2011 year classes at age 1 are above average strength.
- Males appear to experience higher natural mortality than females. Differences in natural mortality should be considered when developing population models for silver hake.
- There has been a decline in the length at $50 \%$ maturity for both sexes.
- There has been a decline in condition, weight-at-age and length-at-age early from 1970s to mid1990s. Condition is at the lowest level observed in the time series.
- The DFO summer RV survey covers most of the depths fished by the commercial fishery with the exception of depths $>400 \mathrm{~m}$, although only a small portion of the commercial catch comes from these depths. The survey covers important areas of silver hake habitat (i.e. basins, shelf slope) during summer when they migrate onto the banks during spawning.
- Proportionately more old fish occur in the shelf slope strata during spring surveys compared to basin strata; however, total stratified biomass in the basin strata is 10 times higher.
- Survey Z and Relative F has increased on age 1 since the mid-1990s reflecting higher exploitation of age 1 in the basins from the domestic fishery.
- Survey $Z$ has remained high on ages 2-3 and 4-6 despite reductions in $F$, which could be explained by higher M or a change in q/selectivity.
- Efforts to model the population using the full time series of landings and survey data were not successful. Restricting the time series from 1993 to the present allowed the development of model formulations which did not have a strong retrospective pattern or unacceptable patterns in residuals and survey q's. For the statistical catch-at-age model, biomass estimates were reduced to one half or less of the estimates produced using the entire time series
- The VPA formulation which best fit the data used natural mortality levels of 1.0 for silver hake over age 4. This model estimated current biomass as 110,000t.
- Splitting the RV series or allowing survey q to vary improved the fit of the statistical catch-at age-model. These estimated current biomass as 125,000 t (split model) and 217,000 t, respectively.
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## TABLES

Table 1. Overview of foreign and domestic silver hake fishery and regulatory measures implemented in 4VWX from 1962-2012 (SMGL - Small Mesh Gear Line; EEZ - Exclusive Economic Zone).

| Year | Foreign Fleet | Domestic Fleet | Regulatory Measure | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | First directed fishing by Russian vessels; |  | unrestricted mesh size, access and season | Rikhter et al. 2001; Halliday 1973 |
| 1965 | Fishery collapsed |  |  |  |
| 1973 | Highest catches recorded |  |  |  |
| 1974 |  |  | NAFO introduces catch restriction | Rikhter et al. 2001 |
| 1977 | Substantial squid fishery 1977-1982 with high (12\%) silver hake bycatch; Cuban, Russian and Japanese using off bottom chain north of SMGL; |  | Introduction of EEZ: mandatory use of 60 mm codend mesh, implementation of silver hake box and SMGL (90 m isobath) with exceptions to fish eastward; trip bycatch limits ( $1 \%$ 4X cod; $5 \% 4 \mathrm{VsW}$ cod; $5 \%$ pollock; $1 \%$ haddock); fishing restricted to April-November | Branton 1998 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1978- \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | 4-6 vessels allowed to fish landward of SMGL |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1980- \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Fishing permitted under license east to $57^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |  |
| 1984 |  |  | No fishing permitted east of 59 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |  |
| 1987 |  | Experimental Canadian fishery, 90 mm mesh codend, seaward of SMGL | 100\% observer coverage required for foreign fleet | Showell and Cooper 1997 |
| 1990 |  | First Canadian fishing in basins |  |  |
| 1992 |  | Testing of separator grate, fishing seaward of SMGL and in basins | Trip bycatch reduced to 0.5\% cod, haddock, flatfish; 1\% pollock | Showell and Cooper 1997; Branton 1998 |
| 1993 | Last year for Russian vessels | No directed fishing by Canadian vessels | Mandatory use of grate ( 40 mm bar spacing) implemented at end of fishing season to reduce bycatch: allows about 5\% silver hake escapement | Halliday and Cooper 1997 |
| 1994 | Foreign fleet consists mainly of Cuban vessels | Gear comparisons using 55 mm square or 60 mm diamond mesh codend | SMGL moved to 190 m isobath, no fishing allowed east of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ Numerous exceptions to SMGL allowed <br> Loss of the extension had little effect on silver hake catch rates, but decreased haddock bycatch | Branton 1998 |
| 1995 |  | Commercial fishery, basins, mostly JulyAugust | Modifications and exemptions allowed to improve catches for foreign fleet; $50 \%$ observer coverage of domestic fleet | Showell and Cooper 1997 |
| 1996 |  | About equal use of 55 mm square or 60 mm diamond mesh, mainly May-June, | $25 \%$ observer coverage of domestic fleet |  |


| Year | Foreign Fleet | Domestic Fleet | Regulatory Measure | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1997 | Fishing continues <br> seaward of SMGL by <br> Class 7 vessels under <br> charter to Canadians; <br> catching larger ( $>24 \mathrm{~cm}$ <br> fish) | Fishing Emerald and <br> LaHave basins; catching <br> mainly 19-24 cm fish | Continued 100\% coverage of <br> foreign fleet; two observed trips <br> by Canadian vessels | Showell 1998 |
| 1998 | Last year for Cuban <br> flee |  |  |  |
| $1999-$ <br> present | Relatively small amount <br> of fishing | Discontinued use of <br> topside chafer to support <br> codend | Mandatory use of 55 mm square <br> mesh | Showell et al. <br> 2005 |

Table 2. Reported landings (t) by country for 4VWX silver hake, 1970-2011.

| Country | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bulgaria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1722 | 3088 | 862 | 606 | 4639 |
| Canada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 101 | 26 | 10 | 26 | 13 |
| Cuba | 0 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 1724 | 12572 | 1847 | 3436 | 1798 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| FRG* | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 296 | 106 | 97 | 684 | 0 | 0 |
| GDR* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Italy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 106 | 5 |
| Japan | 129 | 8 | 63 | 88 | 67 | 54 | 78 | 19 | 161 | 219 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 295 | 2 | 0 |
| Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Romania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| Spain | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| USA* | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| USSR* | 168916 | 128633 | 113774 | 299445 | 95371 | 112566 | 81216 | 33301 | 44062 | 45076 |
| Total | 169045 | 128657 | 114048 | 299533 | 95745 | 116394 | 97184 | 37095 | 48404 | 51760 |
| Country | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| Bulgaria | 817 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Canada | 104 | 6 | 38 | 15 | 10 | 2 | 9 | 13 | 9 | 337 |
| Cuba | 2287 | 642 | 11969 | 7418 | 14496 | 17683 | 16041 | 20219 | 9016 | 14541 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FRG* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GDR* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Italy | 0 | 541 | 37 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Japan | 239 | 120 | 937 | 649 | 530 | 120 | 66 | 144 | 0 | 194 |
| Poland | 0 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Portugal | 56 | 2044 | 2 | 378 | 1714 | 1338 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Romania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| USA* | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| USSR* | 40982 | 41243 | 47261 | 27377 | 57423 | 56337 | 66571 | 41329 | 65349 | 72917 |
| Total | 44525 | 44600 | 60251 | 35839 | 74266 | 75480 | 82688 | 61705 | 74374 | 87989 |

*Country codes: FRG: Federal Republic of Germany; GDR: German Democratic Republic; USA: United States of America; USSR: Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

Table 2 continued. Reported landings (t) by country for 4VWX silver hake, 1970-2011.

| Country | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bulgaria | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Canada | 10 | 34 | 100 | 73 | 74 | 277 | 3484 | 4209 | 10489 | 9676 | 10052 |
| Cuba | 13888 | 23708 | 16528 | 22018 | 7788 | 16835 | 21773 | 12259 | 6092 | 4082 | 1517 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FRG* $^{*}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GDR $^{*}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Italy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Japan | 315 | 781 | 547 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Romania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| USA* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| USSR* | 55429 | 40786 | 14716 | 7139 | 0 | 0 | 669 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 69730 | 65309 | 31891 | 29230 | 7862 | 17112 | 25926 | 16,468 | 16062 | 16700 | 11569 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| Bulgaria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Canada | 15842 | 13359 | 11131 | 13372 | 11361 | 12331 | 12058 | 12464 | 10712 | 8221 | 8835 |
| Cuba | 2054 | 2478 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FRG* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GDR* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Italy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Japan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Romania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| USA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| USSR | 0 | 0 | 447 | 534 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 17896 | 15837 | 11578 | 13906 | 11361 | 12331 | 12058 | 12464 | 10712 | 8,221 | 8835 |

*Country codes: FRG: Federal Republic of Germany; GDR: German Democratic Republic; USA: United States of America; USSR: Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

Table 3. Landings and TAC of silver hake in $4 V W X\left(t \times 10^{3}\right)$.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}-\mathbf{1 9 9 0}-$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | $\mathbf{1 9 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 ^ { \mathbf { 3 } }}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| TAC | $90.2^{4}$ | 98.5 | 53.3 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Canada $^{1}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 12.9 | 18.0 | 15.7 | 12.2 | 12.8 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 10.4 |
| Foreign | 115.6 | 64.2 | 27.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 115.6 | 64.2 | 31.5 | 12.9 | 18.0 | 15.7 | 12.2 | 12.8 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 10.4 |

1. Includes developmental allocations fished by foreign flagged vessels, ending in 2004.
2. Fishing year, landings and TAC refer to the 15 month period from January 1, 1999 to March 31, 2000.
3. Commencing in 2000, fishing year, landings and TAC refer to the period from April $1^{\text {st }}$ of the current year to March 31 st of the following year.
4. Averaged TAC for 1974-79 period.

Table 4. Length-weight regressions for 4VWX silver hake: male and female alphas (intercepts) and betas (slopes) from the DFO summer RV survey of the Scotian Shelf (strata 440-483 only).

| Year | Male - Alpha | Male-Beta | Female -Alpha | Female - Beta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 0.00908 | 2.95047 | 0.00665 | 3.04667 |
| 1978 | 0.00349 | 3.22016 | 0.00276 | 3.28219 |
| 1979 | 0.00684 | 3.0183 | 0.0048 | 3.1245 |
| 1980 | 0.00142 | 3.47344 | 0.00179 | 3.40029 |
| 1981 | 0.00709 | 3.00921 | 0.00529 | 3.10611 |
| 1982 | 0.0075 | 2.98837 | 0.00536 | 3.08766 |
| 1983 | 0.00645 | 2.9971 | 0.00373 | 3.17121 |
| 1984 | 0.01718 | 2.72224 | 0.00653 | 3.02655 |
| 1985 | 0.0123 | 2.81156 | 0.00428 | 3.13932 |
| 1986 | 0.00508 | 3.07251 | 0.00265 | 3.26848 |
| 1987 | 0.01047 | 2.86664 | 0.00435 | 3.13919 |
| 1988 | 0.01593 | 2.76333 | 0.00509 | 3.10754 |
| 1989 | 0.00402 | 3.15269 | 0.00322 | 3.2223 |
| 1990 | 0.03071 | 2.55616 | 0.01455 | 2.7991 |
| 1991 | 0.00682 | 2.99656 | 0.0032 | 3.22812 |
| 1992 | 0.00352 | 3.21645 | 0.00284 | 3.2844 |
| 1993 | 0.00282 | 3.24462 | 0.00266 | 3.26617 |
| 1994 | 0.00294 | 3.23555 | 0.00264 | 3.27289 |
| 1995 | 0.00306 | 3.24388 | 0.00238 | 3.32263 |
| 1996 | 0.00231 | 3.31959 | 0.00222 | 3.33331 |
| 1997 | 0.00272 | 3.27416 | 0.00227 | 3.33107 |
| 1998 | 0.00362 | 3.18172 | 0.00305 | 3.23813 |
| 1999 | 0.00285 | 3.25257 | 0.00284 | 3.25508 |
| 2000 | 0.00324 | 3.19579 | 0.00243 | 3.29204 |
| 2001 | 0.00284 | 3.25133 | 0.00223 | 3.32712 |
| 2002 | 0.00269 | 3.26972 | 0.00225 | 3.32176 |
| 2003 | 0.00292 | 3.25307 | 0.00196 | 3.3769 |
| 2005 | 0.00223 | 3.33853 | 0.00237 | 3.31655 |
| 2006 | 0.003 | 3.23839 | 0.00272 | 3.27192 |
| 2008 | 0.00262 | 3.28889 | 0.00253 | 3.30105 |
| 2009 | 0.00278 | 3.26594 | 0.0024 | 3.31285 |
| 2010 | 0.00254 | 3.29042 | 0.00234 | 3.31406 |
| 2011 | 0.00313 | 3.22906 | 0.00231 | 3.32437 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Sampling data used to generate the commercial catch-at-age of 4VWX silver hake, 1999-2011.

| Year | Quarter | Keys | Samples | Measured | Aged | Catch (t) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 1 | Domestic | 51 | 11684 | 240 | 974 |
|  | Total | Foreign | 102 | 22044 | 145 | 1374 |
|  |  |  | 153 | 33728 | 385 | 2348 |
|  | 2 | Domestic | 126 | 26229 | 323 | 4845 |
|  |  | Foreign | 301 | 72564 | 296 | 3080 |
|  | Total 3 |  | 427 | 98793 | 619 | 7925 |
|  |  | Domestic | 40 | 9101 | 240 | 2336 |
|  |  | Foreign | 52 | 11381 | 86 | 383 |
|  | Total 4 |  | 92 | 20482 | 326 | 2719 |
|  |  | Domestic | 16 | 3377 | 162 | 3659 |
|  |  | Foreign | 12 | 2691 | 58 | 50 |
|  | Total |  | 28 | 6068 | 220 | 3709 |
|  |  | Total | 700 | 159071 | 1550 | 16701 |
| 2000 | 1 | Domestic | 90 | 19155 | 95 | 3177 |
|  |  | Foreign | 110 | 24456 | 89 | 546 |
|  | Total 2 |  | 200 | 43611 | 184 | 3723 |
|  |  | Domestic | 96 | 17040 | 114 | 3950 |
|  |  | Foreign | 71 | 15981 | 70 | 486 |
|  | Total 3 |  | 167 | 33021 | 184 | 4436 |
|  |  | Domestic | 87 | 18406 | 163 | 1560 |
|  |  | Foreign | 47 | 10185 | 29 | 216 |
|  | Total 4 |  | 134 | 28591 | 192 | 1776 |
|  |  | Domestic | 43 | 8534 | 115 | 2966 |
|  |  | Foreign | - |  | - |  |
|  | Total |  | 43 | 8534 | 115 | 2966 |
|  |  | Total | 544 | 113757 | 675 | 12901 |
| 2001 | 1 | Domestic | 52 | 9974 | 173 | 3886 |
|  |  | Foreign | 16 | 3474 | 56 | 126 |
|  | Total 2 |  | 68 | 13448 | 229 | 4012 |
|  |  | Domestic | 100 | 18584 | 205 | 5926 |
|  |  | Foreign | 120 | 25793 | 133 | 1651 |
|  | Total |  | 220 | 44377 | 338 | 7577 |
|  |  | Domestic | 34 | 6326 | 123 | 2526 |
|  |  | Foreign | 17 | 3633 | 33 | 194 |
|  | Total 4 |  | 51 | 9959 | 156 | 2720 |
|  |  | Domestic | 39 | 6842 | 169 | 3691 |
|  |  | Foreign | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total |  | 39 | 6842 | 169 | 3691 |
|  |  | Total | 378 | 74626 | 892 | 18000 |

Table 5 continued. Sampling data used to generate the commercial catch-at-age.

| Year | Quarter |  | Keys | Samples | Measured | Aged | Catch (t) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | Total | 1 | DomesticForeign | 96 | 17186 | 200 | 3399 |
|  |  |  |  | - | - | - | (650) |
|  |  |  |  | 96 | 17186 | 200 | 3399 |
|  |  | 2 | Domestic | 53 | 9306 | 157 | 4074 |
|  |  |  | Foreign | 82 | 17035 | 113 | 2556 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1906+650) |
|  | Total |  |  | 135 | 26341 | 270 | 6630 |
|  |  | 3 | Domestic | 44 | 8149 | 126 | 3025 |
|  |  |  | Foreign | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total |  |  | 44 | 8149 | 126 | 3025 |
|  |  | 4 | Domestic | 30 | 4760 | 104 | 3647 |
|  |  |  | Foreign | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total |  |  | 30 | 4760 | 104 | 3647 |
|  |  |  | Total | 305 | 56436 | 700 | 16701 |
| 2003 |  | 1 | Domestic | 57 | 9946 | 175 | 2786 |
|  |  |  | Foreign | 61(+3) | 12520 | - | 314 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (+645) |  | (+117) |
|  | Total |  |  | 121 | 23111 | 175 | 3217 |
|  |  | 2 | Domestic | 58 | 9497 | 279 | 3627 |
|  |  |  | Foreign | (3) | (645) | - | (117)* |
|  | Total |  |  | 58 | 9497 | 279 | 3627 |
|  |  | 3 | Domestic | 22 | 3657 | 130 | 1088 |
|  |  |  | Foreign | 11 | 2435 | - | 17 |
|  | Total |  |  | 33 | 6092 | 130 | 1105 |
|  |  | 4 | Domestic | 19 | 3183 | 110 | 3629 |
|  |  |  | Foreign | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total |  |  | 19 | 3183 | 110 | 3629 |
|  |  |  | Total | 231 | 41883 | 694 | 11578 |
| 2004 | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{H} 1 \\ \mathrm{H} 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | H1 | 101 | 20320 | 257 | 8481** |
|  |  |  | H2 | 28 | 1924 | 80 | 5400 |
|  |  |  | Total | 129 | 22244 | 337 | 12911 |
|  |  | 1 | Domestic | 20 | 4736 | - | 3302 |
|  |  | 2 | Domestic | 23 | 6714 | 481 | 3404 |
|  |  | 3 | Domestic | 16 | 7109 | 312 | 1547 |
| 2005 |  | 4 | Domestic | 19 | 3960 | 279 | 3113 |
|  |  |  | Total | 78 | 22519 | 1072 | 11364 |

Table 5 continued. Sampling data used to generate the commercial catch-at-age.

| Year | Quarter | Keys | Samples | Measured | Aged | Catch (t) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 1 | Domestic | 31 | 14081 | 530 | 3726 |
|  | 2 | Domestic | 23 | 6487 | 505 | 1037 |
|  | 3 | Domestic | 15 | 2952 | 213 | 2348 |
|  | 4 | Domestic | 19 | 4202 | 224 | 2977 |
|  |  | Total | 88 | 27722 | 1472 | 10089 |
|  | 1 | Domestic | 21 | 4189 | 254 | 3657 |
|  | 2 | Domestic | 13 | 4407 | 319 | 3351 |
|  | 3 | Domestic | 18 | 4706 | 128 | 2452 |
| 2007 | 4 | Domestic | 16 | 4006 | 234 | 2599 |
|  |  | Total | 68 | 17308 | 935 | 12059 |
| 2008 | 1 | Domestic | 24 | 8607 | 291 | 3551 |
|  | 2 | Domestic | 27 | 9622 | 293 | 3753 |
|  | 3 | Domestic | 18 | 4213 | 181 | 2636 |
|  | 4 | Domestic | 14 | 2495 | 150 | 2524 |
|  |  | Total | 83 | 24937 | 915 | 12464 |
| 2009 | 1 | Domestic | 26 | 4526 | 246 | 3136 |
|  | 2 | Domestic | 26 | 4494 | - | 2653 |
|  | 3 | Domestic | 33 | 6167 | 299 | 2238 |
|  | 4 | Domestic | 19 | 3162 | - | 2684 |
|  |  | Total | 104 | 18349 | 545 | 10711 |
| 2010 | 1 | Domestic | 36 | 6310 | 137 | 2755 |
|  | 2 | Domestic | 39 | 6620 | 180 | 2828 |
|  | 3 | Domestic | 16 | 2967 | 112 | 911 |
|  | 4 | Domestic | 29 | 5533 | 173 | 1727 |
|  |  | Total | 120 | 21430 | 602 | 8221 |
| 2011 | 1 | Domestic | 35 | 7340 | 139 | 3014 |
|  | 2 | Domestic | 32 | 6482 | 188 | 2590 |
|  | 3 | Domestic | 28 | 5237 | 129 | 885 |
|  | 4 | Domestic | 36 | 7146 | 177 | 2345 |
|  |  | Total | 131 | 26205 | 633 | 8834 |

*added to Quarter 1 Foreign.
**in 2004, Russia was included in the Canadian catch. Catch by this fleet occurred only in March 2004 (334 t).

Table 6. Commercial catch-at-age ( $\times 10^{3}$ ) for 4VWX silver hake, 1977-2011.

|  |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Year | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |  |
| 1977 | 0 | 17911 | 72529 | 59862 | 15070 | 2218 | 725 | 97 | 91 | 4 |  |
| 1978 | 0 | 20940 | 70302 | 80196 | 35025 | 12709 | 5227 | 1906 | 1168 | 338 |  |
| 1979 | 0 | 20569 | 57893 | 72891 | 36669 | 22380 | 9970 | 3168 | 495 | 374 |  |
| 1980 | 0 | 16588 | 70696 | 70391 | 32032 | 14465 | 5184 | 1431 | 451 | 98 |  |
| 1981 | 0 | 2358 | 25214 | 109035 | 37573 | 11928 | 3234 | 1201 | 290 | 141 |  |
| 1982 | 0 | 20189 | 52976 | 75876 | 68400 | 31752 | 5945 | 2042 | 465 | 64 |  |
| 1983 | 0 | 5849 | 96852 | 56158 | 29282 | 11388 | 3395 | 819 | 253 | 88 |  |
| 1984 | 0 | 59588 | 45828 | 206900 | 82911 | 19344 | 4268 | 1038 | 183 | 10 |  |
| 1985 | 0 | 14970 | 130814 | 98346 | 128365 | 34110 | 9327 | 2344 | 226 | 85 |  |
| 1986 | 0 | 45598 | 70269 | 229126 | 84097 | 28635 | 8760 | 1436 | 497 | 111 |  |
| 1987 | 0 | 6804 | 214235 | 114417 | 54211 | 13063 | 6045 | 347 | 156 | 117 |  |
| 1988 | 0 | 5110 | 62791 | 265307 | 39242 | 21303 | 3106 | 2133 | 208 | 143 |  |
| 1989 | 0 | 24264 | 85846 | 158745 | 145105 | 20025 | 9369 | 1569 | 1166 | 39 |  |
| 1990 | 0 | 6516 | 209620 | 142862 | 41215 | 11741 | 1648 | 640 | 107 | 40 |  |
| 1991 | 0 | 5738 | 117305 | 201243 | 46414 | 12154 | 3954 | 290 | 181 | 50 |  |
| 1992 | 0 | 7461 | 74491 | 73526 | 27777 | 3461 | 1247 | 159 | 33 | 5 |  |
| 1993 | 0 | 31572 | 83140 | 70735 | 35222 | 5511 | 595 | 71 | 30 | 3 |  |
| 1994 | 0 | 1651 | 13265 | 35250 | 8847 | 1283 | 150 | 18 | 8 | 0.1 |  |
| 1995 | 0 | 3500 | 35925 | 45615 | 31316 | 5183 | 457 | 58 | 41 | 3 |  |
| 1996 | 0 | 33501 | 92030 | 43686 | 23234 | 4928 | 888 | 148 | 75 | 0.1 |  |
| 1997 | 0 | 16132 | 34018 | 37497 | 25384 | 3579 | 339 | 29 | 27 | 2 |  |
| 1998 | 0 | 14232 | 44018 | 40311 | 11447 | 1690 | 235 | 22 | 4 | 0.1 |  |
| 1999 | 0 | 77953 | 44851 | 28690 | 9436 | 609 | 176 | 29 | 0.2 | 0 |  |
| 2000 | 0 | 90579 | 54947 | 13791 | 2253 | 385 | 31 | 4 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 2001 | 0 | 50803 | 130923 | 21905 | 4375 | 420 | 121 | 30 | 9 | 0.1 |  |
| 2002 | 0 | 43064 | 79296 | 50459 | 4594 | 549 | 134 | 16 | 0.3 | 0 |  |
| 2003 | 0 | 54508 | 44136 | 20357 | 3906 | 456 | 58 | 63 | 7 | 0 |  |
| 2004 | 0 | 21350 | 82264 | 25909 | 5117 | 681 | 290 | 29 | 24 | 1 |  |
| 2005 | 0 | 18428 | 52458 | 26221 | 3359 | 1306 | 263 | 160 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 2006 | 0 | 55764 | 36456 | 18612 | 6879 | 1377 | 158 | 28 | 25 | 0 |  |
| 2007 | 0 | 80550 | 62525 | 13342 | 3454 | 475 | 147 | 15 | 8 | 0 |  |
| 2008 | 0 | 60407 | 50173 | 17108 | 4439 | 553 | 129 | 35 | 0.1 | 1 |  |
| 2009 | 0 | 34455 | 41281 | 24027 | 3892 | 768 | 203 | 64 | 0.01 | 0 |  |
| 2010 | 0 | 12376 | 35091 | 18449 | 5453 | 991 | 402 | 12 | 26 | 0 |  |
| 2011 | 0 | 18236 | 51702 | 7022 | 2114 | 253 | 93 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7. Commercial mean weight-at-age (kg) for 4VWX silver hake, 1977-2011.

|  |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |  |  |
| 1977 | 0.065 | 0.183 | 0.264 | 0.34 | 0.446 | 0.632 | 0.886 | 0.922 | 2.12 |  |  |
| 1978 | 0.074 | 0.153 | 0.229 | 0.266 | 0.335 | 0.405 | 0.438 | 0.54 | 0.892 |  |  |
| 1979 | 0.076 | 0.178 | 0.227 | 0.274 | 0.304 | 0.389 | 0.455 | 0.838 | 0.838 |  |  |
| 1980 | 0.04 | 0.151 | 0.223 | 0.287 | 0.341 | 0.391 | 0.531 | 0.839 | 0.859 |  |  |
| 1981 | 0.061 | 0.168 | 0.215 | 0.276 | 0.326 | 0.401 | 0.553 | 0.923 | 1.137 |  |  |
| 1982 | 0.066 | 0.169 | 0.231 | 0.275 | 0.317 | 0.394 | 0.446 | 0.513 | 0.506 |  |  |
| 1983 | 0.067 | 0.128 | 0.196 | 0.239 | 0.289 | 0.365 | 0.395 | 0.457 | 0.444 |  |  |
| 1984 | 0.07 | 0.146 | 0.181 | 0.224 | 0.272 | 0.353 | 0.405 | 0.624 | 0.65 |  |  |
| 1985 | 0.068 | 0.136 | 0.177 | 0.21 | 0.244 | 0.295 | 0.41 | 0.582 | 0.669 |  |  |
| 1986 | 0.053 | 0.145 | 0.184 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.274 | 0.392 | 0.514 | 0.644 |  |  |
| 1987 | 0.045 | 0.119 | 0.168 | 0.211 | 0.248 | 0.286 | 0.453 | 0.422 | 0.518 |  |  |
| 1988 | 0.045 | 0.139 | 0.185 | 0.227 | 0.26 | 0.292 | 0.401 | 0.497 | 0.688 |  |  |
| 1989 | 0.06 | 0.135 | 0.195 | 0.224 | 0.278 | 0.349 | 0.403 | 0.511 | 0.82 |  |  |
| 1990 | 0.063 | 0.139 | 0.184 | 0.217 | 0.24 | 0.315 | 0.37 | 0.401 | 0.545 |  |  |
| 1991 | 0.047 | 0.139 | 0.189 | 0.215 | 0.263 | 0.314 | 0.471 | 0.511 | 0.568 |  |  |
| 1992 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.41 | 0.69 |  |  |
| 1993 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.96 |  |  |
| 1994 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.717 |  |  |
| 1995 | 0.06 | 0.1 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.62 |  |  |
| 1996 | 0.04 | 0.1 | 0.139 | 0.169 | 0.207 | 0.293 | 0.505 | 0.433 | 0.717 |  |  |
| 1997 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.136 | 0.17 | 0.202 | 0.291 | 0.432 | 0.431 | 0.685 |  |  |
| 1998 | 0.07 | 0.105 | 0.14 | 0.175 | 0.21 | 0.295 | 0.28 | 0.73 | 0.674 |  |  |
| 1999 | 0.067 | 0.096 | 0.137 | 0.165 | 0.23 | 0.321 | 0.347 | 0.567 | - |  |  |
| 2000 | 0.06 | 0.095 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.237 | 0.338 | 0.283 | 0.495 | - |  |  |
| 2001 | 0.063 | 0.086 | 0.127 | 0.159 | 0.223 | 0.282 | 0.445 | 0.419 | 0.759 |  |  |
| 2002 | 0.068 | 0.1 | 0.131 | 0.174 | 0.237 | 0.281 | 0.439 | 0.751 | - |  |  |
| 2003 | 0.051 | 0.108 | 0.134 | 0.172 | 0.23 | 0.304 | 0.524 | 0.373 | - |  |  |
| 2004 | 0.054 | 0.094 | 0.14 | 0.2 | 0.248 | 0.304 | 0.464 | 0.542 | - |  |  |
| 2005 | 0.069 | 0.103 | 0.137 | 0.185 | 0.248 | 0.29 | 0.346 | 0.582 | 0.789 |  |  |
| 2006 | 0.055 | 0.094 | 0.133 | 0.17 | 0.222 | 0.254 | 0.444 | 0.368 | - |  |  |
| 2007 | 0.05 | 0.086 | 0.135 | 0.186 | 0.268 | 0.351 | 0.498 | 0.421 | - |  |  |
| 2008 | 0.065 | 0.101 | 0.151 | 0.159 | 0.262 | 0.332 | 0.557 | - | 0.78 |  |  |
| 2009 | 0.079 | 0.101 | 0.119 | 0.165 | 0.256 | 0.324 | 0.443 | 0.813 | - |  |  |
| 2010 | 0.054 | 0.099 | 0.138 | 0.189 | 0.255 | 0.303 | 0.449 | 0.418 | - |  |  |
| 2011 | 0.041 | 0.067 | 0.132 | 0.17 | 0.262 | 0.339 | 0.529 | 0.456 | 0.538 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8. Predicted catch rate (t/day) and CV for silver hake in NAFO 4XmnWkl from 1999-2011.

| Year | Catch <br> rate | CV |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1999 | 12.1 | 0.14 |
| 2000 | 12.94 | 0.16 |
| 2001 | 23.74 | 0.17 |
| 2002 | 22.47 | 0.13 |
| 2003 | 18.91 | 0.10 |
| 2004 | 23.14 | 0.10 |
| 2005 | 24.53 | 0.11 |
| 2006 | 22.23 | 0.10 |
| 2007 | 28.24 | 0.09 |
| 2008 | 28.64 | 0.09 |
| 2009 | 21.97 | 0.09 |
| 2010 | 20.16 | 0.09 |
| 2011 | 31.7 | 0.09 |

Table 9. Biomass (kg/tow) of 4VWX silver hake in the DFO summer RV survey, 4VsW March Survey and ITQ survey (Bay of Fundy strata excluded).

|  | Summer <br> RV <br> Survey | 4VsW <br> March <br> Survey | ITQ <br> Survey |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | 13.51 | 63.49 | - |
| 1986 | 15.77 | 10.39 | - |
| 1987 | 12.08 | 36.23 | - |
| 1988 | 6.6 | 69.13 | - |
| 1989 | 6.86 | 13.19 | - |
| 1990 | 7.25 | 32.2 | - |
| 1991 | 5.84 | 9.71 | - |
| 1992 | 8.43 | 5.2 | - |
| 1993 | 6.43 | 22.01 | - |
| 1994 | 11.95 | 11.56 | - |
| 1995 | 12.28 | 6.39 | 7.54 |
| 1996 | 8.67 | 11.11 | 5.08 |
| 1997 | 5.54 | - | 0.99 |
| 1998 | 4.79 | 4.75 | 8.17 |
| 1999 | 6.45 | 11.02 | 5.88 |
| 2000 | 8.11 | 13.19 | 4 |
| 2001 | 3.15 | 4.75 | 1.95 |
| 2002 | 4.9 | 11.06 | 2.62 |
| 2003 | 12.53 | - | 12.54 |
| 2004 | 3.42 | 3.76 | 3.44 |
| 2005 | 5.57 | 10.91 | 2.27 |
| 2006 | 4.32 | 9.74 | 3.69 |
| 2007 | 5.13 | 8.65 | 4.73 |
| 2008 | 10.56 | 3.81 | 3.75 |
| 2009 | 10.7 | 15.64 | 7.25 |
| 2010 | 14.55 | - | 2.49 |
| 2011 |  |  |  |
|  |  | -1 |  |

Table 10. Total numbers ( $x 10^{6}$,) biomass ( $x 10^{3} t$ ) and CV of 4 VWX silver hake in the DFO summer $R V$ survey (strata 440-483 only; years 1971-1981 corrected by 2.3 to account for vessel effect).

| Year | Numbers | Biomass | CV |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 275.4 | 45.63 | 0.38 |
| 1971 | 81.1 | 14.02 | 0.31 |
| 1972 | 164.1 | 29.43 | 0.53 |
| 1973 | 438.5 | 73.14 | 0.26 |
| 1974 | 319.7 | 64.02 | 0.17 |
| 1975 | 65.7 | 13.01 | 0.33 |
| 1976 | 152.2 | 30.14 | 0.33 |
| 1977 | 56.5 | 14.59 | 0.13 |
| 1978 | 84.0 | 21.43 | 0.20 |
| 1979 | 329.8 | 69.29 | 0.16 |
| 1980 | 77.3 | 19.01 | 0.41 |
| 1981 | 333.3 | 75.89 | 0.37 |
| 1982 | 654.8 | 107.79 | 0.58 |
| 1983 | 214.8 | 33.27 | 0.25 |
| 1984 | 512.9 | 79.78 | 0.28 |
| 1985 | 346.1 | 62.77 | 0.39 |
| 1986 | 454.4 | 48.4 | 0.17 |
| 1987 | 469.0 | 56.51 | 0.24 |
| 1988 | 276.2 | 43.29 | 0.43 |
| 1989 | 232.4 | 23.65 | 0.21 |
| 1990 | 270.9 | 35.49 | 0.24 |
| 1991 | 178.5 | 25.98 | 0.29 |
| 1992 | 148.7 | 20.91 | 0.38 |
| 1993 | 298.1 | 30.22 | 0.38 |
| 1994 | 235.5 | 23.03 | 0.21 |
| 1995 | 324.0 | 42.8 | 0.29 |
| 1996 | 378.2 | 44.51 | 0.20 |
| 1997 | 339.3 | 30.94 | 0.17 |
| 1998 | 181.9 | 19.85 | 0.33 |
| 1999 | 220.7 | 16.85 | 0.22 |
| 2000 | 268.2 | 23.11 | 0.32 |
| 2001 | 276.4 | 29.07 | 0.22 |
| 2002 | 116.7 | 11.3 | 0.15 |
| 2003 | 292.3 | 17.57 | 0.22 |
| 2004 | 343.2 | 44.9 | 0.56 |
| 2005 | 227.8 | 12.48 | 0.22 |
| 2006 | 302.5 | 1.96 | 0.21 |
| 2007 | 199.1 | 15.51 | 0.28 |
| 2008 | 189.7 | 18.26 | 0.24 |
| 2009 | 311.0 | 37.85 | 0.24 |
| 2010 | 515.7 | 38.35 | 0.18 |
| 2011 | 516.9 | 51.92 | 0.28 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 11.Total numbers-at-age ( $\times 10^{6}$ ) of 4 VWX silver hake in the DFO summer $R V$ survey from 19712011(strata 440-483 only; years 1971 to 1981 multiplied by factor of 2.3 to account for vessel effect).

| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Biomass |
| 1971 | 24.55 | 30.34 | 15.30 | 4.05 | 1.89 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 52.07 |
| 1972 | 48.60 | 87.47 | 12.65 | 7.16 | 2.95 | 1.88 | 0.39 | 0.64 | 0.13 | 113.26 |
| 1973 | 134.06 | 248.88 | 15.93 | 13.88 | 12.55 | 6.82 | 2.50 | 1.70 | 0.12 | 302.37 |
| 1974 | 59.29 | 178.60 | 60.23 | 6.09 | 6.70 | 5.99 | 0.89 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 258.99 |
| 1975 | 19.71 | 29.58 | 5.09 | 3.95 | 1.57 | 0.94 | 0.28 | 0.42 | 0.04 | 41.87 |
| 1976 | 36.24 | 89.89 | 14.12 | 6.96 | 2.75 | 1.39 | 0.35 | 0.12 | 0.30 | 115.87 |
| 1977 | 4.68 | 23.53 | 19.42 | 4.56 | 1.36 | 1.21 | 0.94 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 51.63 |
| 1978 | 23.50 | 22.78 | 16.12 | 8.92 | 6.70 | 3.05 | 1.29 | 0.50 | 0.87 | 60.23 |
| 1979 | 68.12 | 146.69 | 69.09 | 20.33 | 11.56 | 5.08 | 2.67 | 0.97 | 0.28 | 256.66 |
| 1980 | 11.49 | 19.27 | 28.03 | 7.86 | 4.28 | 3.35 | 1.47 | 0.80 | 0.38 | 65.43 |
| 1981 | 29.84 | 84.25 | 130.22 | 60.08 | 16.11 | 5.24 | 2.43 | 0.79 | 0.65 | 299.77 |
| 1982 | 177.58 | 291.09 | 77.43 | 62.01 | 32.09 | 8.17 | 3.50 | 2.52 | 0.33 | 477.14 |
| 1983 | 41.54 | 99.32 | 38.24 | 18.99 | 10.60 | 2.78 | 0.88 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 171.55 |
| 1984 | 174.50 | 65.03 | 209.25 | 39.60 | 12.12 | 8.04 | 2.87 | 1.14 | 0.52 | 338.56 |
| 1985 | 37.66 | 163.45 | 33.87 | 73.79 | 22.53 | 9.95 | 2.66 | 1.22 | 0.21 | 307.69 |
| 1986 | 260.15 | 73.83 | 74.01 | 22.64 | 13.55 | 4.15 | 1.66 | 0.71 | 0.33 | 190.88 |
| 1987 | 139.67 | 253.80 | 42.29 | 18.62 | 6.07 | 4.10 | 1.26 | 0.67 | 0.48 | 327.28 |
| 1988 | 68.47 | 87.12 | 82.64 | 16.95 | 14.21 | 2.50 | 2.35 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 206.35 |
| 1989 | 128.82 | 60.12 | 23.08 | 13.00 | 3.54 | 1.74 | 0.70 | 0.32 | 0.13 | 102.62 |
| 1990 | 86.28 | 115.01 | 46.42 | 13.86 | 4.06 | 1.16 | 0.41 | 0.21 | 0.08 | 181.20 |
| 1991 | 37.19 | 80.87 | 35.04 | 13.16 | 6.62 | 2.42 | 0.40 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 138.77 |
| 1992 | 11.92 | 55.07 | 45.72 | 11.07 | 4.46 | 2.23 | 0.42 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 119.31 |
| 1993 | 113.90 | 89.80 | 63.19 | 27.28 | 2.53 | 0.81 | 0.58 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 184.32 |
| 1994 | 86.68 | 56.32 | 57.24 | 25.35 | 7.80 | 1.14 | 0.33 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 148.52 |
| 1995 | 90.26 | 72.14 | 82.58 | 56.66 | 15.60 | 3.41 | 1.29 | 0.61 | 0.65 | 232.95 |
| 1996 | 94.27 | 170.11 | 57.25 | 42.98 | 10.62 | 1.58 | 0.30 | 0.57 | 0.16 | 283.57 |
| 1997 | 142.81 | 122.65 | 53.56 | 6.06 | 3.66 | 0.59 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 186.72 |
| 1998 | 33.67 | 92.84 | 35.21 | 13.68 | 2.12 | 1.31 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 145.44 |
| 1999 | 130.93 | 54.75 | 21.96 | 6.09 | 1.98 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 85.15 |
| 2000 | 163.50 | 71.15 | 21.96 | 5.75 | 1.24 | 0.64 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.04 | 101.17 |
| 2001 | 53.42 | 176.01 | 32.90 | 6.87 | 2.29 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 219.38 |
| 2002 | 48.60 | 34.00 | 23.82 | 6.09 | 0.79 | 0.43 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 65.38 |
| 2003 | 239.08 | 22.81 | 19.99 | 8.35 | 0.88 | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 52.76 |
| 2004 | 59.23 | 152.83 | 77.75 | 39.73 | 10.11 | 1.26 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 282.13 |
| 2005 | 176.84 | 26.97 | 17.05 | 3.15 | 1.58 | 0.31 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 49.24 |
| 2006 | 230.18 | 51.59 | 13.18 | 4.16 | 1.86 | 0.79 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 71.95 |
| 2007 | 111.48 | 63.93 | 13.14 | 5.01 | 0.93 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 83.85 |
| 2008 | 68.83 | 87.44 | 16.36 | 6.32 | 2.38 | 0.58 | 0.54 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 113.82 |
| 2009 | 95.02 | 106.68 | 72.57 | 23.04 | 4.58 | 3.36 | 1.16 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 211.81 |
| 2010 | 321.77 | 81.63 | 54.23 | 17.18 | 6.41 | 1.78 | 0.63 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 162.16 |
| 2011 | 114.61 | 319.89 | 41.86 | 27.10 | 4.46 | 4.88 | 1.40 | 0.91 | 0.16 | 400.64 |

Table 12a. Mean weight-at-age (g) for male 4VWX silver hake in the DFO summer RV survey (strata 440483 only).


Table 12b. Mean weight-at-age (g) for female 4VWX silver hake in the DFO summer RV survey (strata 440-483 only).

| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 1971 | 52.2 | 181.1 | 228.1 | 299.3 | 386.2 | 925.0 | - | - | - |
| 1972 | 55.8 | 163.1 | 271.1 | 334.4 | 371.0 | 789.6 | 609.5 | - |  |
| 1973 | 40.2 | 173.1 | 256.3 | 340.8 | 428.9 | 557.9 | 810.8 | - | - |
| 1974 | 43.0 | 166.6 | 267.1 | 388.3 | 579.8 | 713.5 | 1169.4 | - | - |
| 1975 | 67.1 | 219.7 | 301.9 | 363.5 | 575.3 | 768.6 | 1238.9 | - |  |
| 1976 | 67.3 | 203.6 | 308.5 | 367.3 | 493.6 | 973.6 | 784.6 | - | - |
| 1977 | 62.7 | 172.8 | 289.2 | 387.1 | 488.5 | 639.6 | 1173.9 | 811.0 | 1676.8 |
| 1978 | 51.9 | 131.8 | 253.2 | 350.3 | 424.2 | 526.7 | 971.8 | 1276.9 | 1474.3 |
| 1979 | 38.7 | 173.5 | 239.4 | 303.0 | 449.0 | 683.2 | 786.7 | - | - |
| 1980 | 60.8 | 124.6 | 246.9 | 285.8 | 453.8 | 659.7 | 793.3 | 789.2 | 1018.2 |
| 1981 | 56.1 | 159.9 | 241.6 | 300.5 | 404.2 | 548.9 | 691.7 | 884.8 | 1532.5 |
| 1982 | 53.0 | 174.7 | 248.4 | 319.9 | 361.7 | 431.0 | 796.9 | 1053.2 | 971.1 |
| 1983 | 60.9 | 128.6 | 215.7 | 283.0 | 387.0 | 514.3 | 717.7 | 763.2 | 1027.7 |
| 1984 | 68.2 | 156.1 | 205.4 | 262.8 | 327.1 | 426.2 | 562.7 | 759.2 | 920.6 |
| 1985 | 66.5 | 151.9 | 214.3 | 261.0 | 311.1 | 408.9 | 524.3 | 831.9 | 1093.0 |
| 1986 | 54.1 | 149.5 | 199.1 | 253.2 | 300.9 | 372.1 | 550.0 | 784.3 | 965.8 |
| 1987 | 54.2 | 120.6 | 208.6 | 269.5 | 337.5 | 436.3 | 614.5 | 827.3 | 905.6 |
| 1988 | 53.2 | 150.5 | 208.1 | 241.5 | 311.2 | 396.2 | 503.6 | 652.4 | 956.3 |
| 1989 | 59.9 | 129.6 | 175.5 | 201.7 | 274.4 | 449.1 | 549.2 | 717.2 | 1194.7 |
| 1990 | 55.1 | 141.8 | 179.7 | 228.3 | 323.2 | 415.2 | 523.3 | 621.3 | 472.9 |
| 1991 | 46.0 | 135.1 | 207.5 | 250.8 | 301.2 | 355.3 | 500.5 | 1020.1 | 719.2 |
| 1992 | 42.5 | 134.2 | 196.3 | 242.8 | 294.5 | 374.8 | 633.5 | 874.7 | 869.8 |
| 1993 | 32.5 | 121.2 | 162.0 | 209.4 | 271.5 | 335.1 | 337.3 | 422.4 | 552.0 |
| 1994 | 27.8 | 96.6 | 149.3 | 183.1 | 228.8 | 403.6 | 563.6 | 705.6 | 692.4 |
| 1995 | 45.2 | 96.0 | 146.0 | 201.4 | 275.1 | 374.7 | 545.7 | 750.4 | 1069.8 |
| 1996 | 36.5 | 129.1 | 173.1 | 191.8 | 218.9 | 446.0 | 484.7 | 920.3 | 1328.1 |
| 1997 | 34.3 | 117.0 | 183.0 | 271.5 | 335.2 | 427.5 | 1296.8 | 1413.2 | 782.0 |
| 1998 | 27.1 | 107.1 | 183.2 | 213.9 | 274.7 | 440.1 | 417.6 | - | - |
| 1999 | 32.7 | 112.8 | 165.1 | 224.4 | 267.8 | 380.7 | 420.3 | 802.0 | 594.0 |
| 2000 | 57.4 | 127.5 | 175.0 | 213.1 | 378.0 | 490.1 | 400.2 | 554.3 | 1339.7 |
| 2001 | 34.7 | 109.2 | 174.5 | 231.4 | 298.3 | 441.1 | 798.7 | 854.0 | 705.3 |
| 2002 | 38.4 | 122.6 | 148.8 | 206.7 | 251.4 | 297.3 | 543.9 | 799.8 | 614.2 |
| 2003 | 36.6 | 128.3 | 188.2 | 221.9 | 298.2 | 472.9 | 536.4 | - | 1055.4 |
| 2004 | 50.2 | 121.5 | 173.6 | 236.6 | 267.3 | 341.6 | 642.7 | 483.0 | 732.0 |
| 2005 | 28.3 | 128.0 | 165.6 | 215.9 | 237.9 | 395.4 | 551.6 | 560.0 | - |
| 2006 | 47.4 | 118.2 | 166.8 | 228.2 | 271.5 | 398.2 | 484.9 | 593.1 | - |
| 2007 | 36.9 | 123.5 | 180.7 | 254.6 | 337.7 | 466.0 | 515.2 | 786.7 | 967.5 |
| 2008 | 41.4 | 112.0 | 207.1 | 235.7 | 337.3 | 539.4 | 518.2 | 658.8 | 922.0 |
| 2009 | 49.4 | 118.4 | 175.4 | 226.1 | 293.3 | 388.9 | 433.7 | 722.8 | 609.4 |
| 2010 | 33.3 | 128.0 | 198.7 | 242.6 | 293.1 | 334.2 | 454.1 | 550.1 | 557.8 |
| 2011 | 39.2 | 98.8 | 184.8 | 230.3 | 250.8 | 335.8 | 588.0 | 711.0 | 922.2 |

Table 13a. Mean length-at-age (cm) for male 4VWX silver hake in the DFO summer RV survey (strata 440-483 only).

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 1971 | 18.77 | 27.56 | 29.29 | 31.88 | 33.00 | - | - | - | - |
| 1972 | 19.56 | 26.72 | 29.62 | 31.37 | 33.02 | 34.66 | 31.00 | - | - |
| 1973 | 19.93 | 27.58 | 30.53 | 31.39 | 32.06 | 34.10 | 34.00 | - | - |
| 1974 | 19.9 | 27.77 | 30.28 | 34.00 | 33.12 | - | 36.00 | - | - |
| 1975 | 20.51 | 28.97 | 30.55 | 30.43 | - | 32.00 | - | - | - |
| 1976 | 21.69 | 28.06 | 31.58 | 32.83 | 35.00 | 38.00 |  | - | - |
| 1977 | 17.29 | 27.04 | 29.97 | 33.04 | - | 34.82 | - | - | - |
| 1978 | 16.05 | 25.90 | 30.37 | 31.95 | 32.58 | 37.23 | 36.00 | - | - |
| 1979 | 19.94 | 27.69 | 29.30 | 32.61 | 33.39 | 34.53 | 36.07 | - | 40.00 |
| 1980 | 16.96 | 25.98 | 29.94 | 31.07 | 32.76 | 33.79 | 34.51 | - |  |
| 1981 | 18.02 | 27.24 | 29.33 | 31.11 | 32.34 | 33.98 | 39.06 | - | 38.00 |
| 1982 | 17.17 | 26.90 | 30.56 | 31.13 | 33.34 | 34.00 | 35.76 | 35.06 | - |
| 1983 | 19.51 | 24.99 | 27.49 | 28.51 | 30.37 | 30.37 | - | 46.00 | - |
| 1984 | 19.60 | 26.99 | 28.76 | 30.97 | 32.20 | 34.03 | 35.88 | 36.11 | - |
| 1985 | 18.90 | 27.12 | 29.85 | 30.61 | 31.61 | 33.01 | - | - | - |
| 1986 | 18.00 | 27.19 | 29.33 | 30.75 | 31.97 | 33.01 | 34.09 |  | 33.00 |
| 1987 | 18.36 | 25.28 | 29.13 | 30.88 | 31.27 | 32.69 | 42.07 | 36.73 | - |
| 1988 | 16.41 | 26.41 | 28.45 | 30.37 | 31.17 | 31.63 | 32.71 |  | - |
| 1989 | 18.40 | 25.72 | 28.16 | 29.64 | 31.63 | 32.54 | 34.76 | 33.00 | - |
| 1990 | 18.42 | 26.41 | 28.62 | 31.00 | 32.09 | - | - | - | - |
| 1991 | 18.30 | 25.90 | 28.54 | 30.82 | 30.65 | 32.95 | 35.00 | - | - |
| 1992 | 18.04 | 25.71 | 27.56 | 29.78 | 30.68 | 30.56 | 33.11 | - | - |
| 1993 | 18.03 | 25.96 | 27.97 | 29.36 | 32.20 | - | - | - | - |
| 1994 | 15.96 | 24.16 | 26.60 | 27.78 | 29.54 | 31.94 | - | - | - |
| 1995 | 18.62 | 23.12 | 26.16 | 28.47 | 30.90 | 36.00 | 33.00 | - | - |
| 1996 | 18.57 | 25.69 | 27.40 | 29.02 | 27.74 | 30.42 | - | - | - |
| 1997 | 16.37 | 24.71 | 27.92 | 29.75 | 31.00 | - | - | - | - |
| 1998 | 15.98 | 24.19 | 27.74 | 29.62 | 31.00 | 32.00 |  | - | - |
| 1999 | 18.19 | 23.99 | 27.50 | 28.89 | 28.69 | - | - | - | - |
| 2000 | 20.62 | 25.37 | 27.53 | 29.17 | 31.00 | - | - | - | - |
| 2001 | 18.41 | 24.59 | 27.52 | 29.13 | 30.32 | 36.00 | - | - | - |
| 2002 | 18.00 | 25.10 | 27.12 | 28.43 | 29.26 | 34.00 | - | - | - |
| 2003 | 18.03 | 25.37 | 27.38 | 28.97 | 31.00 | - | - | - | - |
| 2004 | 19.53 | 25.09 | 27.77 | 28.50 | 30.25 | 33.00 | - | - | - |
| 2005 | 16.48 | 25.30 | 27.09 | 28.02 | 29.00 | - | 34.00 | - | - |
| 2006 | 19.22 | 24.17 | 26.85 | 29.32 | 29.48 | 36.00 | - | - | - |
| 2007 | 17.44 | 24.74 | 27.46 | 29.44 | 31.16 | - | - | - | - |
| 2008 | 18.31 | 24.40 | 27.88 | 28.93 | 32.00 | - | - | 37.00 | - |
| 2009 | 19.51 | 24.99 | 27.49 | 28.51 | 30.37 | 30.37 | - | 46.00 | - |
| 2010 | 17.40 | 25.43 | 28.15 | 29.10 | 30.05 | 33.00 | - | - | - |
| 2011 | 18.66 | 24.08 | 27.84 | 28.41 | 30.00 | 29.20 | - | - | - |

Table 13b. Mean length-at-age (cm) for female 4VWX silver hake in the DFO summer RV survey (strata 440-483).

| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 1971 | 18.89 | 28.59 | 31.54 | 33.72 | 36.51 | 47.00 | - | 45.00 | - |
| 1972 | 20.36 | 27.99 | 32.99 | 35.24 | 36.32 | 45.61 | 42.48 | 56.29 | 50.00 |
| 1973 | 19.82 | 28.84 | 32.9 | 35.85 | 38.56 | 41.58 | 46.31 | 51.02 | 57.00 |
| 1974 | 20.49 | 28.75 | 33.05 | 37.24 | 42.20 | 45.82 | 51.31 | 56.76 | - |
| 1975 | 20.54 | 30.4 | 33.73 | 34.76 | 40.81 | 45.5 | 53.42 | 54.98 | 61.00 |
| 1976 | 21.23 | 29.9 | 35.09 | 37.10 | 39.81 | 47.94 | 47.12 | 50.35 | 52.33 |
| 1977 | 17.65 | 27.97 | 33.10 | 36.50 | 39.79 | 42.15 | 50.59 | 47.15 | 57.24 |
| 1978 | 17.26 | 26.29 | 31.97 | 35.49 | 37.78 | 40.40 | 48.71 | 51.81 | 52.82 |
| 1979 | 18.97 | 28.85 | 31.71 | 35.34 | 38.76 | 44.49 | 47.63 | 55.03 | 53.25 |
| 1980 | 17.23 | 26.35 | 31.92 | 33.85 | 38.53 | 42.83 | 45.61 | 45.34 | 51.62 |
| 1981 | 17.56 | 27.50 | 31.37 | 33.99 | 37.24 | 40.99 | 44.61 | 46.63 | 54.32 |
| 1982 | 17.18 | 28.82 | 32.76 | 34.75 | 36.03 | 37.80 | 45.46 | 50.61 | 50.64 |
| 1983 | 19.61 | 25.98 | 29.37 | 31.62 | 34.08 | 36.84 | 37.72 | 42.99 | 41.26 |
| 1984 | 19.79 | 28.05 | 30.17 | 33.19 | 36.09 | 38.60 | 41.66 | 46.52 | 48.97 |
| 1985 | 19.81 | 27.98 | 31.50 | 33.46 | 34.96 | 37.75 | 41.46 | 47.39 | 51.14 |
| 1986 | 18.11 | 28.01 | 30.76 | 33.16 | 34.80 | 36.62 | 41.53 | 45.04 | 47.72 |
| 1987 | 18.51 | 26.07 | 30.82 | 33.08 | 35.64 | 38.60 | 42.48 | 46.41 | 48.10 |
| 1988 | 16.66 | 27.36 | 30.38 | 32.11 | 34.05 | 37.43 | 40.10 | 43.54 | 49.18 |
| 1989 | 18.75 | 27.00 | 29.99 | 31.32 | 33.81 | 38.18 | 40.06 | 43.78 | 52.68 |
| 1990 | 18.62 | 27.38 | 29.56 | 32.37 | 34.82 | 37.84 | 40.01 | 43.60 | 41.64 |
| 1991 | 18.67 | 26.85 | 30.16 | 32.80 | 34.66 | 36.13 | 40.67 | 49.20 | 44.59 |
| 1992 | 18.24 | 26.47 | 29.57 | 31.49 | 33.18 | 34.61 | 40.16 | 46.75 | 46.28 |
| 1993 | 17.59 | 26.35 | 29.07 | 30.97 | 34.13 | 36.01 | 36.75 | 38.44 | 45.00 |
| 1994 | 16.63 | 24.63 | 28.22 | 30.10 | 32.00 | 37.84 | 40.47 | 43.73 | 43.67 |
| 1995 | 18.99 | 24.09 | 27.69 | 30.45 | 33.37 | 36.88 | 40.43 | 43.77 | 47.16 |
| 1996 | 17.98 | 26.47 | 29.17 | 30.23 | 31.71 | 37.74 | 40.02 | 44.97 | 51.44 |
| 1997 | 17.32 | 25.61 | 29.70 | 32.61 | 35.40 | 36.91 | 51.78 | 53.15 | 46.00 |
| 1998 | 16.52 | 25.09 | 29.72 | 31.02 | 33.58 | 37.72 | 38.15 | - | - |
| 1999 | 17.49 | 25.58 | 29.10 | 31.49 | 33.35 | 37.42 | 37.89 | 45.00 | 46.00 |
| 2000 | 20.82 | 26.88 | 29.52 | 31.50 | 37.08 | 39.94 | 37.83 | 41.66 | 50.78 |
| 2001 | 17.92 | 25.52 | 29.70 | 31.88 | 33.86 | 37.92 | 44.14 | 45.51 | 43.99 |
| 2002 | 18.16 | 26.36 | 28.28 | 31.05 | 33.02 | 34.56 | 41.92 | 45.09 | 42.50 |
| 2003 | 18.09 | 26.65 | 29.55 | 31.06 | 34.15 | 38.46 | 39.35 | - | 46.66 |
| 2004 | 20.17 | 26.10 | 29.11 | 31.50 | 32.92 | 35.30 | 41.10 | 39.42 | 43.04 |
| 2005 | 16.56 | 26.41 | 28.84 | 31.53 | 32.34 | 36.30 | 39.42 | 40.00 | 42.50 |
| 2006 | 19.55 | 25.95 | 28.89 | 32.07 | 33.57 | 36.78 | 39.94 | 40.64 | - |
| 2007 | 17.77 | 25.84 | 29.08 | 32.52 | 35.55 | 37.94 | 39.41 | 44.58 | 46.32 |
| 2008 | 18.31 | 25.42 | 30.50 | 32.31 | 35.37 | 40.57 | 40.86 | 43.17 | 49.00 |
| 2009 | 19.61 | 25.98 | 29.37 | 31.62 | 34.08 | 36.84 | 37.72 | 42.99 | 41.26 |
| 2010 | 17.45 | 26.82 | 30.37 | 31.89 | 33.58 | 35.13 | 38.54 | 41.49 | 41.39 |
| 2011 | 18.31 | 24.52 | 29.70 | 31.66 | 32.62 | 35.57 | 40.48 | 43.02 | 46.63 |

Table 14. Observed catch and bycatch by the 4VWX silver hake fishery from the International Observer Database, 2002-2011.

| Species | $\qquad$ | Total Kept <br> (kg) | Total Discarded (kg) | Percent of total weight caught |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silver hake | 7803.11 | 7793.21 | 9.90 | 95.00 |
| Atlantic herring | 89.02 | 81.23 | 7.79 | 1.08 |
| Red hake | 69.63 | 68.78 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Redfish unspecified | 32.62 | 32.52 | 0.09 | 0.40 |
| Spiny dogfish | 32.49 | 0.11 | 32.38 | 0.40 |
| Atlantic mackerel | 25.39 | 24.87 | 0.52 | 0.31 |
| Short-fin squid | 22.31 | 21.59 | 0.72 | 0.27 |
| Hake unspecified | 21.46 | 21.46 | 0.00 | 0.26 |
| Haddock | 18.06 | 18.03 | 0.04 | 0.22 |
| White hake | 14.64 | 14.56 | 0.08 | 0.18 |
| Witch flounder | 14.43 | 14.31 | 0.13 | 0.18 |
| Alewife | 13.84 | 10.34 | 3.50 | 0.17 |
| Basking shark | 11.40 | 0.00 | 11.40 | 0.14 |
| Butterfish | 8.35 | 8.13 | 0.21 | 0.10 |
| Longfin squid | 4.34 | 4.32 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| Pollock | 4.02 | 3.99 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| Argentine | 3.91 | 3.78 | 0.13 | 0.05 |
| Blackbelly rosefish | 2.85 | 2.63 | 0.23 | 0.03 |
| American shad | 2.81 | 2.80 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Squid unidentified | 2.45 | 0.34 | 2.10 | 0.03 |
| American plaice | 2.32 | 2.30 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Yellowtail flounder | 2.19 | 2.19 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| Off-shore hake | 1.93 | 1.93 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| Monkfish | 1.54 | 1.40 | 0.14 | 0.02 |
| Winter skate | 1.37 | 0.15 | 1.22 | 0.02 |
| Atlantic cod | 1.08 | 1.04 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| Winter flounder | 1.01 | 1.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| American lobster | 0.90 | 0.13 | 0.77 | 0.01 |
| Thorny skate | 0.82 | 0.10 | 0.73 | 0.01 |
| Ocean sunfish | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.01 |
| Atlantic halibut | 0.61 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.01 |
| Smooth skate | 0.40 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 0.00 |
| Cusk | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 15. Population abundance (in thousands) estimated for 4VWX silver hake from the short-term (1993-2012) high natural mortality VPA model formulation.

| Age/Year | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1993 | 45499 | 36213 | 19653 | 11107 | 2241 | 296 | 147 | 82 | 15 |
| 1994 | 38260 | 27938 | 17584 | 5054 | 3159 | 516 | 75 | 50 | 28 |
| 1995 | 52611 | 25512 | 17651 | 6342 | 1909 | 1088 | 181 | 27 | 18 |
| 1996 | 30226 | 34982 | 14198 | 5692 | 1110 | 413 | 374 | 63 | 7 |
| 1997 | 33975 | 17550 | 16057 | 4125 | 1292 | 144 | 102 | 129 | 19 |
| 1998 | 23964 | 21465 | 9021 | 5441 | 437 | 276 | 34 | 36 | 46 |
| 1999 | 64163 | 14909 | 10842 | 1833 | 1927 | 69 | 88 | 11 | 13 |
| 2000 | 59709 | 36703 | 6399 | 3452 | 298 | 673 | 15 | 31 | 4 |
| 2001 | 37566 | 32706 | 20163 | 2244 | 1560 | 88 | 246 | 5 | 11 |
| 2002 | 36493 | 21074 | 11499 | 8514 | 818 | 549 | 25 | 89 | 1 |
| 2003 | 43944 | 20977 | 7802 | 2393 | 3912 | 269 | 194 | 8 | 33 |
| 2004 | 29190 | 25047 | 10507 | 2503 | 922 | 1413 | 96 | 68 | 3 |
| 2005 | 28143 | 17836 | 10208 | 3470 | 896 | 300 | 503 | 34 | 24 |
| 2006 | 38908 | 17370 | 7750 | 3302 | 1493 | 255 | 95 | 176 | 12 |
| 2007 | 34229 | 21574 | 8707 | 2592 | 1174 | 470 | 85 | 33 | 63 |
| 2008 | 59893 | 16464 | 9447 | 3415 | 1051 | 404 | 164 | 30 | 12 |
| 2009 | 34580 | 35256 | 7016 | 3529 | 1393 | 355 | 141 | 58 | 11 |
| 2010 | 105466 | 20389 | 20292 | 1882 | 1486 | 468 | 119 | 48 | 22 |
| 2011 | 28474 | 69690 | 10835 | 8813 | 569 | 490 | 149 | 43 | 16 |
| 2012 | 50000 | 17608 | 42523 | 4899 | 4231 | 195 | 175 | 55 | 16 |

Table 16. Estimated fishing mortality (F) at age for 4VWX silver hake from the short-term (1993-2012) high-natural mortality VPA model formulation ( 0.00 indicates no catch for that age).

| Age/Year | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1993 | 0.09 | 0.32 | 0.66 | 0.56 | 0.47 | 0.37 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.03 |
| 1994 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| 1995 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.43 | 1.04 | 0.53 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.03 |
| 1996 | 0.14 | 0.38 | 0.54 | 0.78 | 1.04 | 0.40 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| 1997 | 0.06 | 0.27 | 0.38 | 1.55 | 0.54 | 0.45 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 1998 | 0.07 | 0.28 | 0.89 | 0.34 | 0.85 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| 1999 | 0.16 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 1.12 | 0.05 | 0.49 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2000 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 2001 | 0.18 | 0.65 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.29 | 0.00 |
| 2002 | 0.15 | 0.59 | 0.87 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2003 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.00 |
| 2004 | 0.09 | 0.50 | 0.41 | 0.33 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| 2005 | 0.08 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.26 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 2006 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| 2007 | 0.33 | 0.43 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| 2008 | 0.13 | 0.45 | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2009 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.62 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2010 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.00 |
| 2011 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 17. Parameter estimates from the short-term high natural mortality VPA model formulation.

| Parameter | Parameter est. | Standard <br> Error | Bias |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{N}[2011 ; 7]$ | 160,883 | 65,181 | 11,843 |
| $\mathrm{~N}[2012 ; 2]$ | $27,874,805$ | $23,909,730$ | $10,267,175$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}[2012 ; 3]$ | $52,900,352$ | $33,275,410$ | $10,377,155$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}[2012 ; 4]$ | $5,787,621$ | $3,329,482$ | 889,110 |
| $\mathrm{~N}[2012 ; 5]$ | $4,495,912$ | $1,567,718$ | 265,299 |
| $\mathrm{~N}[2012 ; 6]$ | 219,549 | 118,520 | 24,960 |
| $\mathrm{~N}[2012 ; 7]$ | 187,721 | 74,529 | 12,993 |
| q Age 1 | 0.33 | 0.07 | 0.0036 |
| q Age 2 | 0.45 | 0.09 | 0.0051 |
| q Age 3 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.0063 |
| q Age 4-7 | 0.47 | 0.06 | 0.0006 |

Table 18. Output from various iSCAM formulations (No. par -number of parameters; Obj. fn - objective function value; bo - initial biomass; bMSY, MSY in metric tons, $q$ - survey catchability; steepness - initial slope of stock-recruitment curve).

| Model | No. par. | obj fn | bo | bMSY | MSY | FMSY | q | Steepness |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic all | 166 | -210 | 269 | 35 | 33 | 0.36 | $10.4,0.9,1.5$ | 0.98 |
| Basic $M=.4$ | 129 | -458 | 510 | 37.5 | 30 | 0.42 | 1.16 | 0.85 |
| Basic $M=.45$ | 129 | -385 | 407 | 33 | 23 | 0.47 | 0.96 | 0.83 |
| Basic M=.5 | no convergence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic M=.6 | 129 | -460 | 324 | 38 | 31 | 0.45 | 0.99 | 0.73 |
| selectivity survey=6 | 120 | -265 | 567 | 36.5 | 33 |  | 0.74 | 0.86 |
| random walk M | 141 | -546 | 156.2 | 8.5 | 15.9 | 0.95 | 1.7 | 0.92 |
| short series | 81 | -207 | 135 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 0.39 | 1.8 | 0.89 |
| SS M=0.45 | no convergence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SS w cpue | 81 | -194 | 127 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 0.37 | $1.7,2.3$ | 0.89 |
| SS w sel 6 | 74 | -161 | 106.7 | 2.8 | 8.6 | 0.41 | 3.4 | 0.97 |
| two surveys | 136 | -494 | 406 | 33 | 23 | 0.35 | $0.59,2.1$ | 0.81 |
| Ssmart M=.4 | 361 | -585 | 685 | 73 | 29.7 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.71 |
| smart M=.5 | 361 | -582 | 464 | 62 | 25 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.63 |

First column indicates model variations: Basic all - all landings and survey data 1971-2011; Basic model with increasing $M$ from 0.4-0.6; selectivity survey= 6 used a fixed logistic curve for the survey; random $M$ allowed natural mortality to vary; short series - only data from 1993-2011; SS w CPUE included the commercial catch rate series 1999-2011; two surveys - RV survey split at 1993; Ssmart - time varying selectivity option used for RV survey.

Table 19. Summary of 4VWX silver hake ASAP model (v 2.0.20) configurations including the best model run (Run 12) and various sensitivity models.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | Years | Catch | Fishery Selectivity Time Blocks | Natural Mortality | Stock-Recruit Function | Survey Indices | Survey Selectivity Time Block | Plus Age Group Formulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1977-2011 | Single Fleet (1977-2011) | None | Const $=0.4$ | None | Summer Index (1977-2011) | None | 1-9+ |
|  |  | Single Fleet | Two (1977-1992 and |  |  | Summer Index |  |  |
| 2 | 1977-2011 | (1977-2011) | 1993-2011) | Const $=0.4$ | None | (1977-2011) | None | 1-9+ |
|  |  | Single Fleet | Two (1977-1992 and |  |  | Summer Index |  |  |
| 3 | 1977-2011 | (1977-2011) | 1993-2011) | Const $=0.4$ | None | (1977-2011) | None | 1-9+ |
|  |  | Single Fleet | Two (1977-1992 and |  |  | Summer Index |  |  |
| 4 | 1977-2011 | (1977-2011) | 1993-2011) | Const $=0.4$ | None | (1977-2011) | None Split Survey | 1-9+ |
|  |  | Single Fleet | Two (1977-1992 and |  |  | Summer Index | (1977-1992 and |  |
| 5 | 1977-2011 | (1977-2011) | 1993-2011) | Const $=0.2$ | None | (1977-2011) | 1993-2011) | 1-9+ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Split Survey |  |
|  |  | Single Fleet | Two (1977-1992 and |  |  | Summer Index | (1977-1992 and |  |
| 6 | 1977-2011 | (1977-2011) | 1993-2011) | Const $=0.2$ | None | (1977-2011) | 1993-2011) | 1-9+ |
|  |  | Single Fleet |  |  |  | Summer Index |  |  |
| 7 | 1993-2011 | (1993-2011) | None | Const $=0.4$ | None | (1993-2011) | None | 1-9+ |
|  |  | Single Fleet |  |  |  | Summer Index |  |  |
| 8 | 1993-2011 | (1993-2011) | None | Const $=0.4$ | NoneYES | (1993-2011) | None | 1-9+ |
|  |  | Single Fleet |  |  |  | Summer Index |  |  |
| 9 | 1993-2011 | (1993-2011) | None | Const $=0.4$ | (Beverton-holt) | (1993-2011) | None | 1-9+ |
|  |  | Single Fleet | Two (1993-1998 and |  |  | Summer Index |  |  |
| 10 | 1993-2011 | (1993-2011) | 1999-2011) | Const $=0.4$ | None | (1993-2011) | None | 1-9+ |
|  |  | Single Fleet | Three (1993-1996; |  |  | Summer Index |  |  |
| 11 | 1993-2011 | (1993-2011) | 1997-2000; 2001-2011) | Const $=0.4$ | None | (1993-2011) | None | 1-9+ |
|  |  | Single Fleet | Two (1993-1998 and |  |  | Summer Index |  |  |
| 12 | 1993-2011 | (1993-2011) | 1999-2011) | Const $=0.4$ | None | (1993-2011) | None | 1-9+ |

Note: Assumed $100 \%$ discard mortality.

Table 20a. Summary of 4VWX silver hake model fit from the ASAP runs and various sensitivity analyses (runs 1-4).

| Run \# |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model Description |  | Start year in 1977; 9+ age group, NO fishery selectivity time block; assumed flattop for fishery and survey selectivity for ages 2+; recruitment (geometric mean); Constant natural mortality ( $\mathrm{M}=$ 0.4) | Start year in 1977; 9+ age group; 2 fishery Selectivity time blocks (1977-1992 and 1993-2011); assumed flattop for survry and both fishery selectivity time blocks; recruitment (geometric mean); Constant natural mortality ( $\mathrm{M}=$ 0.4) | Start year in 1977; 9+ age group; 2 fishery Selectivity time blocks (1977-1992 and 1993-2011); assumed flattop for fishery selectivity time block 1 (ages 2+)and allowed a dome to be estimated in time block 2 (fixed at age 2); maintained flattop selectivity for survey selectivity; recruitment (geometric mean); Constant natural mortality ( $\mathrm{M}=$ 0.4) | Start year in 1977; 9+ age group ; split survey (1973-1992; 19932011); 2 fishery Selectivity time blocks (1977-1992 and 1993-2011); assumed flattop for fishery and survery selectivity time block 1 (ages $2+$ )and allowed a dome to be estimated in time block 2 for both fishery and survey (fixed at age 2); maintained flattop selectivity for survey selectivity; recruitment (geometric mean); Constant natural mortality ( $M=0.4$ ) |
| \# of Parameters |  | 82 | 83 | 90 | 98 |
| Objective Function |  | 1695 | 1639 | 1443 | 1426 |
| Components of Objective Function | Survey Age Comp. | 210 | 214 | 247 | 207 |
|  | Catch age Comp. | 488 | 471 | 394 | 387 |
|  | index fit total | 657 | 622 | 494 | 484 |
|  | catch total | 340 | 332 | 308 | 348 |
|  | Rec Devs. | NA | NA |  |  |
| RMSE | Catch total | 1.36 | 1.2 | 0.14 | 0.4 |
|  | Survey Index | 3.7 | 3.42 | 1.1 | 2.0 |
|  | Recr. Devs. | NA | NA |  |  |
| Biomass (mt) 2011 |  | 271,110 | 270,402 | 918757 | 375990 |
| SSB (mt) 2011 |  | 136,534 | 163,104 | 683,969 | 284,521 |
| F Avg, 2011 |  | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.003 | 0.007 |

Table 20b. Summary of 4VWX silver hake model fit from the ASAP runs and various sensitivity analyses (runs 5-8).

| Run \# |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model Description |  | Start year in 1977; 9+ age group; split survey (1973-1992; 19932011); 2 fishery Selectivity time blocks (1977-1992 and 19932011); assumed flattop for fishery and survery selectivity time block 1 (ages $2+$ )and allowed a dome to be estimated in time block 2 for both fishery and survey (fixed at age 2 Only); maintained flattop selectivity for survey selectivity; recruitment (geometric mean); Constant natural mortality $(\mathrm{M}=$ 0.2) | Start year in 1977; 9+ age group; split survey (1973-1992; 19932011); 2 fishery Selectivity time blocks (1977-1992 and 19932011); assumed flattop for fishery and survery selectivity time block 1 (ages $2+$ )and allowed a dome to be estimated in time block 2 for both fishery and survey (fixed at age 2-5); maintained flattop selectivity for survey selectivity; recruitment (geometric mean); Constant natural mortality ( $\mathrm{M}=$ 0.2) | Short time series; Start year in 1993; 9+ age group; No Fishery or survey Selectivity time blocks ; allowed a dome to be estimated for both the fishery and survey selectivity (fixed ages 2-5); recruitment (geometric mean); Constant natural mortality ( $\mathrm{M}=$ 0.4) | Short time series; Start year in 1993; 9+ age group; No Fishery or survey Selectivity time blocks; allowed a dome to be estimated for the fishery (fixed ages 2-5); assumed flattop selectivity for the survey (ages 2+); recruitment (geometric mean); Constant natural mortality ( $\mathrm{M}=0.4$ ) |
| \# of Parameters |  | - | 95 | 58 | 54 |
| Objective Function |  | MODEL DID NOT CONVERGE | 1437 | 667 | 669 |
| Components of Objective Function | Survey Age Comp. | - | 206 | 85 | 87 |
|  | Catch age Comp. | - | 382 | 165 | 166 |
|  | index fit total | - | 489 | 239 | 238 |
|  | catch total | - | 361 | 178 | 178 |
|  | Rec Devs. | - | - | - | - |
| RMSE | Catch total | - | 0.95 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
|  | Survey Index | - | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
|  | Recr. Devs. | - | - | - | - |
| Biomass (mt) 2011 |  | - | 49,943 | 59,174 | 59,510 |
| SSB (mt) 2011 |  | - | 23,320 | 31,727 | 31,844 |
| F Avg, 2011 |  | - | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.24 |

Table 20c. Summary of 4VWX silver hake model fit from the ASAP runs and various sensitivity analyses (runs 9-12).

| Run \# |  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model Description |  | Short time series; Start year in 1993; 9+ age group; No Fishery or survey Selectivity time blocks ; allowed a dome to be estimated for the fishery (fixed ages 2-5); assumed flattop selectivity for the survey (ages 2+); allowed a stock recruit function; Constant natural mortality ( $\mathrm{M}=0.4$ ) | Short time series; Start year in 1993; 9+ age group; No survey Selectivity time blocks ; 2 fishery selectivity time blocks (1993-1998; 1999-2011); allowed a dome to be estimated for the fishery (fixed ages 2-3); assumed flattop selectivity for the survey (ages $2+$ ); allowed a stock recruit function; Constant natural mortality ( $\mathrm{M}=$ 0.4) | Short time series; Start year in 1993; 9+ age group; No survey Selectivity time blocks; 3 fishery selectivity time blocks (1993-1996; 1997-2000, 2001-2011); allowed a dome to be estimated for the fishery (fixed ages 2-3); assumed flattop selectivity for the survey (ages 2+); allowed a stock recruit function; Constant natural mortality ( $\mathrm{M}=0.4$ ) | Short time series; Start year in 1993; 9+ age group; No survey Selectivity time blocks ; 2 fishery selectivity time blocks (1993-1996; 1996-2000;2001-2011); allowed a dome to be estimated for the fishery (fixed ages 2-3 in block 1 and for age 5 Only in block 2); assumed flattop selectivity for the survey (ages 2+); allowed a stock recruit function; Constant natural mortality ( $M=0.4$ ) |
| \# of Parameters |  | - | 64 | 71 | 65 |
| Objective Function |  | MODEL DID NOT CONVERGE | 640 | 658 | 660 |
| Components of Objective Function | Survey Age Comp. | - | 88 | 88 | 87 |
|  | Catch age Comp. | - | 156 | 155 | 158 |
|  | index fit total | - | 241 | 237 | 237 |
|  | catch total | - | 155 | 178 | 178 |
|  | Rec Devs. | - | - | - |  |
| RMSE | Catch total | - | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
|  | Survey Index | - | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
|  | Recr. Devs. | - | - | - | - |
| Biomass (mt) 2011 |  | - | 69,130 | 66,385 | 60,688 |
| SSB (mt) 2011 |  | - | 41,392 | 38,363 | 33,988 |
| F Avg, 2011 |  | - | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.18 |

Table 21. Total biomass (t) and SSB (t) of 4VWX silver hake as of January 1 from 1993 to 2011 as estimated by ASAP model Run 12.

| Year | SSB | Biomass |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1993 | 40,720 | 83,338 |
| 1994 | 35,071 | 56,495 |
| 1995 | 36,181 | 62,494 |
| 1996 | 34,123 | 55,810 |
| 1997 | 29,445 | 47,904 |
| 1998 | 24,710 | 45,597 |
| 1999 | 17,201 | 43,077 |
| 2000 | 15,870 | 49,676 |
| 2001 | 18,426 | 46,478 |
| 2002 | 14,620 | 35,985 |
| 2003 | 13,240 | 35,646 |
| 2004 | 16,118 | 35,690 |
| 2005 | 14,749 | 35,583 |
| 2006 | 10,245 | 36,336 |
| 2007 | 10,149 | 31,422 |
| 2008 | 13,336 | 40,054 |
| 2009 | 17,409 | 52,866 |
| 2010 | 25,244 | 62,450 |
| 2011 | 33,988 | 60,687 |

Table 22. Fishing mortality at age of 4VWX silver hake from 1993-2011 as estimated by ASAP model Run 12.

| Year | Age-1 | Age-2 | Age-3 | Age-4 | Age-5 | Age-6 | Age-7 | Age-8 | Age- $9+$. |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1993 | 0.07006 | 0.43986 | 0.58648 | 0.58648 | 0.30961 | 0.06066 | 0.01147 | 0.01070 | 0.00077 | 0.23068 |
| 1994 | 0.02436 | 0.15291 | 0.20389 | 0.20389 | 0.10763 | 0.02109 | 0.00399 | 0.00372 | 0.00027 | 0.08019 |
| 1995 | 0.05088 | 0.31942 | 0.42589 | 0.42589 | 0.22483 | 0.04405 | 0.00833 | 0.00777 | 0.00056 | 0.16751 |
| 1996 | 0.08128 | 0.51031 | 0.68041 | 0.68041 | 0.35920 | 0.07038 | 0.01331 | 0.01241 | 0.00089 | 0.26762 |
| 1997 | 0.08564 | 0.53767 | 0.71690 | 0.71690 | 0.37846 | 0.07415 | 0.01403 | 0.01308 | 0.00094 | 0.28197 |
| 1998 | 0.14107 | 0.88563 | 1.18083 | 1.18083 | 0.62337 | 0.12213 | 0.02310 | 0.02155 | 0.00154 | 0.46445 |
| 1999 | 0.31720 | 1.01359 | 1.23811 | 1.02294 | 1.23811 | 0.34611 | 0.09410 | 0.01744 | 0.00020 | 0.58753 |
| 2000 | 0.21211 | 0.67780 | 0.82794 | 0.68406 | 0.82794 | 0.23145 | 0.06292 | 0.01166 | 0.00013 | 0.39289 |
| 2001 | 0.29826 | 0.95309 | 1.16421 | 0.96188 | 1.16421 | 0.32545 | 0.08848 | 0.01640 | 0.00018 | 0.55246 |
| 2002 | 0.25503 | 0.81494 | 0.99546 | 0.82246 | 0.99546 | 0.27828 | 0.07566 | 0.01402 | 0.00016 | 0.47238 |
| 2003 | 0.15582 | 0.49791 | 0.60820 | 0.50251 | 0.60820 | 0.17002 | 0.04622 | 0.00857 | 0.00010 | 0.28862 |
| 2004 | 0.22461 | 0.71774 | 0.87673 | 0.72437 | 0.87673 | 0.24509 | 0.06663 | 0.01235 | 0.00014 | 0.41604 |
| 2005 | 0.20836 | 0.66580 | 0.81328 | 0.67194 | 0.81328 | 0.22735 | 0.06181 | 0.01146 | 0.00013 | 0.38593 |
| 2006 | 0.31135 | 0.99492 | 1.21531 | 1.00410 | 1.21531 | 0.33973 | 0.09236 | 0.01712 | 0.00019 | 0.57671 |
| 2007 | 0.28662 | 0.91589 | 1.11877 | 0.92434 | 1.11877 | 0.31275 | 0.08503 | 0.01576 | 0.00018 | 0.53090 |
| 2008 | 0.16302 | 0.52092 | 0.63631 | 0.52573 | 0.63631 | 0.17788 | 0.04836 | 0.00896 | 0.00010 | 0.30195 |
| 2009 | 0.10899 | 0.34827 | 0.42542 | 0.35149 | 0.42542 | 0.11892 | 0.03233 | 0.00599 | 0.00007 | 0.20188 |
| 2010 | 0.06664 | 0.21296 | 0.26013 | 0.21492 | 0.26013 | 0.07272 | 0.01977 | 0.00366 | 0.00004 | 0.12344 |
| 2011 | 0.05832 | 0.18637 | 0.22765 | 0.18809 | 0.22765 | 0.06364 | 0.01730 | 0.00321 | 0.00004 | 0.10803 |

Table 23. 4VWX silver hake abundance at age from 1993-2011, estimated by ASAP model Run 12.

| Year | Age1 | Age2 | Age3 | Age4 | Age5 | Age6 | Age7 | Age8 | Age9+ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1993 | 287,665 | 336,763 | 150,204 | 64,310 | 13,522 | 2,764 | 907 | 269 | 57 | 856,460 |
| 1994 | 253,865 | 179,780 | 145,405 | 56,009 | 23,980 | 6,651 | 1,744 | 601 | 216 | 668,251 |
| 1995 | 321,111 | 166,076 | 103,422 | 79,490 | 30,619 | 14,434 | 4,365 | 1,164 | 546 | 721,228 |
| 1996 | 279,372 | 204,570 | 80,885 | 45,283 | 34,805 | 16,392 | 9,259 | 2,902 | 1,140 | 674,607 |
| 1997 | 232,340 | 172,649 | 82,319 | 27,457 | 15,372 | 16,290 | 10,241 | 6,124 | 2,685 | 565,477 |
| 1998 | 147,975 | 142,959 | 67,599 | 26,943 | 8,987 | 7,057 | 10,139 | 6,769 | 5,850 | 424,277 |
| 1999 | 289,003 | 86,140 | 39,525 | 13,912 | 5,545 | 3,230 | 4,187 | 6,641 | 8,356 | 456,539 |
| 2000 | 507,488 | 141,068 | 20,955 | 7,682 | 3,353 | 1,078 | 1,531 | 2,554 | 9,975 | 695,684 |
| 2001 | 257,041 | 275,162 | 48,012 | 6,138 | 2,598 | 982 | 573 | 964 | 8,378 | 599,847 |
| 2002 | 209,051 | 127,865 | 71,113 | 10,047 | 1,572 | 544 | 475 | 352 | 6,251 | 427,269 |
| 2003 | 410,115 | 108,587 | 37,941 | 17,616 | 2,959 | 389 | 276 | 295 | 4,422 | 582,600 |
| 2004 | 231,514 | 235,243 | 44,240 | 13,844 | 7,144 | 1,080 | 220 | 177 | 3,160 | 536,622 |
| 2005 | 203,059 | 123,969 | 76,928 | 12,341 | 4,497 | 1,993 | 566 | 138 | 2,235 | 425,727 |
| 2006 | 334,911 | 110,514 | 42,701 | 22,865 | 4,225 | 1,337 | 1,064 | 357 | 1,589 | 519,563 |
| 2007 | 343,294 | 164,435 | 27,391 | 8,490 | 5,615 | 840 | 638 | 650 | 1,300 | 552,654 |
| 2008 | 331,808 | 172,771 | 44,107 | 5,998 | 2,258 | 1,230 | 412 | 393 | 1,301 | 560,277 |
| 2009 | 347,018 | 188,961 | 68,789 | 15,648 | 2,377 | 801 | 690 | 263 | 1,133 | 625,679 |
| 2010 | 617,265 | 208,593 | 89,413 | 30,133 | 7,380 | 1,041 | 477 | 448 | 934 | 955,685 |
| 2011 | 451,841 | 387,089 | 113,005 | 46,207 | 16,293 | 3,814 | 649 | 313 | 925 | $1,020,137$ |

Table 24. Retrospective Rho statistics for 4VWX silver hake for average F, SSB and ages 1-9+.

| Year | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Min | Mohn's Rho <br> (5 year Peel) |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average F | -0.5911 | -0.0319 | 0.5171 | 0.0779 | -0.0718 | -0.5911 | 0.5171 | -0.0200 |
| SSB | 0.7557 | -0.0255 | -0.2581 | -0.0478 | 0.1652 | -0.2581 | 0.7557 | 0.1179 |
| Biomass | 0.6236 | 0.0433 | -0.149 | -0.0363 | -0.0403 | -0.1490 | 0.6236 | 0.0883 |
| N at Age1 | 0.8943 | 0.1506 | -0.1162 | -0.0323 | -0.3325 | -0.3325 | 0.8943 | 0.1128 |
| N at Age2 | 0.3284 | 0.0285 | -0.1713 | -0.0494 | 0.2159 | -0.1713 | 0.3284 | 0.0704 |
| N at Age3 | 0.4295 | -0.0676 | -0.1812 | -0.0138 | 0.1492 | -0.1812 | 0.4295 | 0.0632 |
| N at Age4 | 0.5177 | -0.1647 | -0.2850 | -0.0376 | 0.1351 | -0.2850 | 0.5177 | 0.0331 |
| N at Age5 | 0.5425 | -0.2064 | -0.3604 | -0.0935 | 0.0692 | -0.3604 | 0.5425 | -0.0097 |
| N at Age6 | 0.6049 | -0.2804 | -0.4033 | -0.1529 | -0.0078 | -0.4033 | 0.6049 | -0.0479 |
| N at Age7 | 0.4404 | -0.1016 | -0.1933 | -0.1171 | -0.1566 | -0.1933 | 0.4404 | -0.0256 |
| N at Age8 | 0.3560 | -0.1193 | -0.0735 | -0.0606 | -0.2348 | -0.2348 | 0.3560 | -0.0264 |
| N at Age9+ | 0.2903 | 0.0011 | -0.0297 | -0.0122 | -0.1948 | -0.1948 | 0.2903 | 0.0109 |

Table 25. Inputs to the 4VWX silver hake YPR analyses.

| Age | Selectivity on <br> Fishing Mortality | Natural <br> Mortality | Stock <br> Weights | Catch <br> Weights | Spawning <br> Stock Weights | Fraction <br> Mature |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.2562 | 0.4000 | 0.0493 | 0.0578 | 0.0560 | 0.0100 |
| 2 | 0.8187 | 0.4000 | 0.0761 | 0.0908 | 0.0889 | 0.6220 |
| 3 | 1.0000 | 0.4000 | 0.1144 | 0.1350 | 0.1320 | 0.9190 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 0.4000 | 0.1539 | 0.1738 | 0.1721 | 0.9690 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 0.4000 | 0.2142 | 0.2606 | 0.2534 | 1.0000 |
| 6 | 0.2795 | 0.4000 | 0.2904 | 0.3298 | 0.3209 | 1.0000 |
| 7 | 0.0760 | 0.4000 | 0.3977 | 0.4952 | 0.4766 | 1.0000 |
| 8 | 0.0141 | 0.4000 | 0.5405 | 0.5776 | 0.5679 | 1.0000 |
| $9+$ | 0.0002 | 0.4000 | 0.6500 | 0.6612 | 0.6600 | 1.0000 |

Table 26. Biological reference points for 4VWX silver hake based on the 100 year long-term projections.
Fishing Reference Points
FMSY (F40\%) 0.31

Biomass Reference Points

| Units (mt) | $5 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | Median | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SSBMSY | 35.11 | 41.89 | 50.84 |
| BMSY | 58.06 | 69.67 | 85.17 |
| MSY | 8.82 | 11.02 | 14.08 |

FIGURES


Figure 1. Map of statistical unit areas comprising NAFO 4VWX.


Figure 2. Commercial fishery statistical areas (SA) for northern (SA 511-515, 521, 522, 551 and 561) and southern (SA 525, 526, 533-539, 541-543, 552, 562 and 611-639) USA silver hake stocks in the northwest Atlantic.


Figure 3. Trends in total biomass for silver hake from the DFO summer RV survey for Scotian Shelf strata (440-483), Bay of Fundy strata (484-495) and all strata combined, 1970-2012.


Figure 4. Trends in stratified total abundance at age for silver hake from the DFO summer RV survey for Scotian Shelf strata (440-483) and Bay of Fundy strata (484-495), 1970-2012.


Figure 5. Upper panel: Scaled biomass index (kg/tow) for silver hake from the DFO summer RV survey for the Bay of Fundy (1970-2012) and the NMFS fall survey for the Northern stock (Gulf of Maine, 19632011). Lower panel: Scaled biomass index (kg/tow) for silver hake from the DFO summer RV survey for the Scotian Shelf (1970-2012) and the NMFS fall survey for the Southern Stock (Georges Bank-Mid Atlantic Bight, 1963-2011).


Figure 6. Mean length-at-age for age 1 and 2 silver hake captured during the DFO summer RV survey in the Bay of Fundy (BoF) and on the Scotian Shelf (SS). Upper panel: males; lower panel: females.


Figure 7. Distribution of silver hake catches (six-year average weight (kg)/tow aggregated by 10 minute squares) from DFO summer RV survey strata 440-495, from a six-year period (1979-1984) when spring, summer and fall surveys were conducted annually. Grey shading indicates extent of area surveyed.


Figure 8. Distribution of mobile gear silver hake catches (t per 10 minute square) from foreign and domestic fishing operations reported by Canadian at-sea observers, 1977-2005.


Figure 9. Distribution of silver hake catches (t per 10 minute square) from Canadian mobile gear log record data, 2008-2011.


Figure 10. Scotian Shelf silver hake fishing areas showing the locations of Emerald and LaHave basins and the small mesh gear line.


Figure 11. Landings (000 t) of Scotian Shelf silver hake by foreign (Russia, Cuba) (hatched bars) and domestic (Canada) (red bars) fleets, 1970-2011.


Figure 12. Silver hake TAC and catches (000 t) by fishing area, 1977-2011. Basin: landings from Emerald and LaHave basins. Slope: landings from the edge of the Scotian Shelf.


Figure 13. Fishery catch-at-age for silver hake from 4VWX, 1977-2011. The area of the circle is proportional to the catch at that age and year.


Figure 14. Silver hake catch-at-ages 1 and 1+2 combined as a proportion of total catch, 1977-2011.


Figure 15. Trends in fishery weights-at-age (kg) for silver hake aged 1-6 from the 4VWX silver hake fishery, 1977-2011.


Figure 16. Predicted catch rates from the foreign fleet (t/hr) for silver hake in NAFO Div. 4W, in July, 1979-1999.


Figure 17. Predicted catch rates (t/day) for silver hake in NAFO Div. 4W, in July, 1996-2008.


Figure 18. Map of area used in standardized catch rate series for 4VWX silver hake.


Figure 19. Standardized catch rate series (t/day) from landings and effort data from the basins region of the Scotian Shelf since 1999. A multiplicative model using the catch series from vessels were included in the model with the main effects of vessel, year, quarter and area and the interactive effect of year and period.


Figure 20. Main effects from the multiplicative standardized catch rate model for silver hake in 4VWX.


Figure 21. DFO bottom trawl survey strata and area of coverage (4VWX) for the summer RV survey series (1970-2012) and spring and fall survey series (1979-1984).


Figure 22. DFO eastern Scotian Shelf (4VsW) spring survey strata and area of coverage.


Figure 23. Location of grid blocks and fixed set locations within each used for the 4X ITQ fixed station industry survey.


Figure 24a. Trends in total biomass (000 t) from the DFO summer RV survey (strata 440-483; 19702012), the 4 VsW March survey (1986-2010) and the ITQ survey excluding Bay of Fundy stations (19952011).


Figure 24b. Distribution of silver hake catches (five-year average weight (kg)/tow aggregated by 10 minute squares) from the DFO summer RV survey 1970-2012. Grey shading indicates extent of area surveyed.


Figure 25. Number of sets by depth range (m) from the DFO summer RV survey (1980-2012; top panel) compared to the number of observed sets from the commercial fishery (1980-2012; lower panel).


Figure 26. Stratified total biomass indices from the DFO summer RV survey for strata 440-483, 19702012. Total: ages 1-9, sexes combined; 2+ total: ages 2+, sexes combined; 2+ female: ages 2+ females only.


Figure 27. Stratified total number per tow at age (1-9) for silver hake from the DFO summer RV survey, strata 440-483, 1971-2011. The recent strong 2009 year class is indicated by the yellow circles.



Figure 28. Annual mean weight-at-age (g) for male (ages 1-5; upper panel) and female (ages 1-6; lower panel) from the DFO summer RV survey for strata 440-483, 1971-2011.



Figure 29. Annual mean length-at-age (cm) for male (ages 1-5; upper panel) and female (ages 1-6; lower panel) from the DFO summer RV survey for strata 440-483, 1971-2011.


Figure 30. Length stratified total number (millions) for silver hake from DFO summer RV survey strata 440-483 for 2011 and 2012 compared to the average for 1982-2010 (Western IIA time series).


Figure 31. Recruitment estimates for Scotian Shelf silver hake from age 1 summer RV survey abundance. Long-term average indicated by dashed line (2011 value estimated from 2012 RV length data).


Figure 32. Annual mean condition factor (Fulton's K) for silver hake (combined sexes, 21-44 cm total length) compared to the long-term mean from DFO summer RV survey strata 440-483, 1970-2012.


Figure 33. Annual trends in the proportion of female silver hake based on DFO summer RV survey total stratified abundance by sex estimated for 1970-2012.


Figure 34. Annual trends in the proportion of female silver hake at age based on DFO summer RV survey total stratified abundance at age by sex for 1970-2012.


Figure 35. Annual trends in the proportion of female silver hake at length based on DFO summer RV survey total stratified abundance at length by sex for 1970-2012.


Figure 36. Proportion mature (stages 2-8) at length for silver hake from DFO summer RV survey strata 440-483. Maturity data has been aggregated into 10-year blocks. This analysis was based on sampled fish and was not scaled to population level.


Figure 37. Average size composition of silver hake from a six-year period (1979-1984) when spring, summer and fall RV surveys were conducted annually on the Scotian Shelf. Upper panel: basin strata (461 and 471); lower panel: slope strata (466 and 478).


Figure 38. Average age composition (\%) of silver hake in basin strata (461, 471) and slope strata (466, 478) from a six-year period (1979-1984) when spring, summer and fall RV surveys were conducted annually on the Scotian Shelf.


Figure 39. Total abundance at-size of silver hake captured during DFO spring and summer RV surveys on the Scotian Shelf in 2012.


Figure 40. Total mortality (Survey Z) (five-year running mean Z for 1971-2011) for silver hake estimated from DFO summer survey abundance data, ages 1-6.


Figure 41. Comparison of catch-curve $Z$ from commercial fishery and summer $R V$ survey data.


Figure 42. Partial recruitment (PR) pattern in the commercial fishery calculated from Relative F at age.




Figure 43. Total mortality (Survey Z) and relative fishing mortality (Rel F) for age 1 (top panel) ages 2-3 (middle panel) and ages 4-6 (lower panel). Survey $Z$ was calculated from summer RV survey catch-at-age data while Relative F was based on fishery catch-at-age/survey catch-at-age for each age group.


Figure 44. Total mortality Z by sex estimated from commercial catches for silver hake.


Figure 45. Estimates of total mortality Z for silver hake by sex for two time periods.


Figure 46. Species accumulation curve for prey items found in silver hake stomachs compared to the number of stomachs sampled from the summer RV survey (strata 440-483) between 1999 and 2009.


Figure 47. Mean diet composition for silver hake of all size classes from the summer RV survey (strata 440-483) between 1999 and 2009. Standard error bars are shown. Prey names with "Unid" represent unidentified species with broader groups. All other prey items are grouped into an "Other" category.


Figure 48. Proportion of predator stomachs examined containing silver hake. The number of stomachs examined are shown in brackets after the predator name. The entire suite of samples from PED food habits database for the Scotian Shelf (as described in Cook and Bundy 2010) was used in this analysis.


Figure 49. An example of the cumulative distribution functions of effort and catch weighted effort for silver hake from the DFO summer RV Survey. Red arrow indicates median depth of silver hake, blue arrows indicate the location of the maximum difference between the distribution of survey effort and catch weighted curves.


Figure 50. Time series of habitat preferences of silver hake as obtained from the summer RV survey series between 1970 and 2011. Circles represent the location of maximum deviation of cumulative distributions from catch weighted effort and effort. Filled circles represent statistically significant habitat associations and open circles represent non significant associations. Red line indicates the median habitat occupied by silver hake. Purple line is the median sampled habitat. Shaded polygon in background is the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile for range of sampled habitat.


Figure 51. Distribution of silver hake landings using mesh size of 55-89 mm (2007-2011).
4VWX Mobile Gear Silver_Hake Lan dings 2011 Mesh Size 55-89 (\% based on 1117 10-minūte cells with in 3000 m )

Total Round Weight Tonnes
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\square<=1(6 \text { cells }) \\ \square \text { Bottom } 3 \text { rd }<2696 \\ \square\end{array} \quad(68\right.$ cels $\left.)\right] 6.6 \%$
Middle ( 7 œls) $0.6 \%$
Top 3rd > $5257 \quad$ ( 4 cells) $0.4 \%$
Num ber of 10 min . cells occupied by number of fishing days

| 1 day | 32 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $2-15$ days | 31 |
| $16-30$ days | 10 |
| $31-60$ days | 5 |
| $61-90$ days | 6 |
| $>90$ days | 2 |


$\square_{200 ~ m}$
-3000

Figure 52. Distribution of silver hake landings using mesh size of 55-89 mm (2011).


Figure 53. Fishery footprint for 4VWX silver hake fishery derived from targeted and bycatch landings from 2006-2010. Colour scale represents fishing intensity as total weight of fish (kg) landed per two minute cell from lowest (green) to highest (red).


Figure 54. Population biomass estimates (t) for Scotian Shelf silver hake from a series of VPA formulations.


Figure 55. Estimated population abundance at age for Scotian Shelf silver hake from the AC flattop VPA formulation. Circle size is proportional to abundance.


Figure 56. Residuals by year and age for the RV survey from the AC flattop VPA formulation. Solid symbols indicate positive values. Circle size is proportional to magnitude.


Figure 57. Comparison of VPA biomass estimate with the RV survey biomass index from the AC flattop formulation.


Figure 58. Annual estimates of q for silver hake in the RV survey (survey population index/VPA population estimate).


Figure 59. A comparison of the VPA biomass estimate from the short-term high natural mortality formulation with the $q$-adjusted biomass index from the RV survey.


Figure 60. Residuals by year and age for the RV survey from the short-term high natural mortality VPA formulation. Solid symbols indicate positive values. Circle size is proportional to magnitude.


Figure 61. Output from the basic(fixed $M=0.4$ ) statistical catch-at-age model. From top to bottom: estimated total biomass, depletion (SSB/initial biomass), $Z$ and survey abundance. Green, yellow and red zones in depletion graph correspond to $>80 \% B_{M S Y}, 40-80 \% B_{M S Y}$ and $<40 \%$ of $B_{M S Y}$, respectively. Open circles in survey graph indicate the observed survey indices.


Figure 62. Retrospective pattern in SSB displayed by the basic statistical catch-at-age model as the terminal year is removed.
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Figure 63. Total biomass and SSB estimated by the four statistical catch-at-age models.


Figure 64. Depletion estimates (SSB ratio to total initial biomass) from the four statistical catch-at-age models. Green, yellow and red zones correspond to $>80 \% B_{M S Y}, 40-80 \% B_{M S Y}$ and $<40 \%$ of $B_{\text {MSY }}$, respectively.
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Figure 65. Estimates of survey abundance by the four statistical catch-at-age models. Survey estimates for the split survey model (TwoS) are shown in proportion to the highest observed values.
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Figure 66. Retrospective patterns in SSB as the terminal year is removed for the four statistical catch-atage models.


Figure 67. ASAP model Run 12 fit to the total 4 VWX silver hake fishery landings.

## Fleet 1 (Commercial)



Figure 68. ASAP model Run 12 comparison of input ESS versus model estimated ESS for 4VWX silver hake fishery landings.

Fleet 1 (Commercial) ESS = 50


Figure 69. ASAP model Run 12 predicted mean age of $4 V W X$ silver hake in the fishery landings (blue line) compared to the observed mean age (top plot) and the residuals about the mean (bottom plot).


Figure 70a. Comparison of the ASAP model Run 12 estimates of 4VWX silver hake proportion at age to the data estimate (1993-2000).


Figure 70b. Comparison of the ASAP model Run 12 estimates of 4VWX silver hake proportion at age to the data estimate (2001-2008).


Figure 70c. Comparison of the ASAP model Run 12 estimates of $4 V W X$ silver hake proportion at age to the data estimate (2009-2011).


Figure 71. ASAP model Run 12 residual fit to the fishery landings-at-age for $4 V W X$ silver hake.


Figure 72. ASAP model Run 12 estimated selectivity blocks for 4VWX silver hake. Block 1 (1993-1998) and Block 2 (1999-2011). Note selectivity was estimated for fixed for ages 2 and 3 and estimated for all other ages in Block 1, while in Block 2, selectivity was fixed on age 5 only.

## Index 1






Figure 73. ASAP model Run 12 fit to the $4 V W X$ silver hake summer $R V$ survey.


Figure 74. ASAP model Run 12 comparison of input ESS versus the model estimated sample size for the summer RV survey for $4 V W X$ silver hake.

Index 1 ESS = 10



Figure 75. ASAP model Run 12 predicted mean age of 4VWX silver hake in the summer RV survey (blue line) compared to the observed mean age (top plot) and the residuals about the mean (bottom plot).

## Age Comp Residuals for Index 1



Figure 76. ASAP model Run 12 fit to the residual summer RV survey for $4 V W X$ silver hake age. composition.


Figure 77. ASAP model Run 12 estimated selectivity for the summer RV survey for 4VWX silver hake.

## Index q estimates



Figure 78. ASAP model Run 12 estimated catchability (q) for the summer RV survey of $4 V W X$ silver hake.


Figure 79. ASAP model Run 12 estimates of $4 V W X$ silver hake total biomass and SSB in $t$ (top) and average fishing mortality (bottom; F_report).



Figure 80. Top: Scatter of ASAP model Run 12 estimates of 4VWX silver hake SSB in $t$ versus recruitment at age 1 ( 000 's). The symbol for each observation is the last two digits of the year (e.g. 98 indicated age 1 estimates of the 97 year class). The most recent estimate is highlighted in an orange circle. Bottom: ASAP model Run 12 time series of SSB (blue line) and age 1 recruitment (bars).


Figure 81. ASAP model Run 12 estimates of 4VWX silver hake at age in thousands (000's) of fish.


Figure 82. ASAP model Run 12 estimates of 4VWX silver hake numbers at age expressed as proportions.


Figure 83. Top: 90\% probability interval for 4VWX silver hake total biomass from ASAP model Run 12. The median is the value in the black solid line, while the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles are the dash black lines. Bottom: MCMC probability distribution (blue bars) and cumulative distribution (red line) of total biomass in 2011.


Figure 84. Top: $90 \%$ probability interval for $4 V W X$ shelf silver hake SSB from ASAP model Run 12. The median is the value in the black solid line, while the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles are the dash black lines. Bottom: MCMC probability distribution (blue bars) and cumulative distribution (red line) of SSB in 2011.


Figure 85. ASAP model Run 12 retrospective patterns for 4VWX silver hake total biomass (t) in absolute (top) and relative (bottom) terms.



Figure 86. ASAP model Run 12 retrospective patterns for 4VWX silver hake SSB (t) in absolute (top) and relative (bottom) terms.


Figure 87. ASAP model Run 12 retrospective patterns for $4 V W X$ silver hake average fishing mortality rate in absolute (top) and relative (bottom) terms.



Figure 88. ASAP model Run 12 retrospective patterns for $4 V W X$ silver hake age 1 recruitment ( 000 's) in absolute (top) and relative (bottom) terms.

## APPENDIX

Data on the distribution of silver hake eggs and larvae from 1979 to 1982 from the Scotian Shelf Ichthyoplankton Program (SSIP) database and from 1982 to 1985 from the Fisheries Ecology Program (FEP) database.


Appendix Figure 1. Distribution of silver hake eggs from the Scotian Shelf Ichthyoplankton Program database in May (top panel) and June (bottom panel), 1979-1980 and 1982.


Appendix Figure 1 continued. Distribution of silver hake eggs from the Scotian Shelf Ichthyoplankton Program database in July (top panel) and August (bottom panel), 1978-1982.


Appendix Figure 1 continued. Distribution of silver hake eggs from the Scotian Shelf Ichthyoplankton Program database in September (top panel) and October (bottom panel), 1978-1982.


Appendix Figure 2. Distribution of silver hake larvae from the Scotian Shelf Ichthyoplankton Program database in July (top panel) and August (bottom panel), 1980-1982.


Appendix Figure 2 continued. Distribution of silver hake larvae from the Scotian Shelf Ichthyoplankton Program database in September and October, 1979-1982.


Appendix Figure 2 continued. Distribution of silver hake larvae from the Scotian Shelf Ichthyoplankton Program database in November, 1979-1980.


Appendix Figure 3. Distribution of silver hake eggs from larval herring surveys conducted in the Bay of Fundy and off southwestern Nova Scotia, 1975-1998.


Appendix Figure 4. Distribution of silver hake larvae from larval herring surveys conducted in the Bay of Fundy and off southwestern Nova Scotia, 1975-1998.


Appendix Figure 5. Distribution of silver hake larvae from the Fisheries Ecology Program surveys conducted in 4X and Georges Bank from 1983 to 1985.

| Age assigned previously (X axis) | Age assigned this time (Y axis) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Total |
| 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 1 | 0 | 66 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 9 | 66 | 42 | 28 | 30 | 13 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 200 |

Ager 1 Versus Ager 2


Appendix Figure 6. Age frequency plot (upper panel) and age bias plot (lower panel) comparing silver hake age interpretations by the primary ager for the $4 V W X$ silver hake stock. Overall agreement was $84 \%$ with a CV of $3.9 \%$.

