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#### Abstract

Inconnu have been caught primarily as bycatch in the Lake Whitefish commercial fishery in Great Slave Lake (GSL) since 1945. However, targeting has occurred in some years, including the late 1970s; after which time harvests declined and have remained low. Currently, harvests of Inconnu remain low due to reduced stocks, non-targeting, implementation of closure zones, and decreased fishing effort.

Periodically since 1947, research to document Inconnu distribution and stock status has been undertaken. Results of several mark-recapture studies and a fishery-independent harvest study have shown that the south shore of GSL is an important area for the Buffalo River Inconnu stock. Results of a gillnet sampling program at the mouth of Buffalo River has shown that the targeting of Inconnu in the late 1970s had a profound negative impact on the Buffalo River stock and its biological parameters, and that the status of the stock is still dependent on harvest levels. Fish fork length, age frequency, and size-at-age all showed a reduction after the late 1970s when Inconnu harvests were high. In addition, the percentage of mature individuals caught, especially females, dropped dramatically in the early 1980s. Similarly, catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) was highest prior to the fishery targeting Inconnu, after which it dropped dramatically. These metrics showed periods of improvement when harvests were lowest, but have not returned to their original state.

Fishing closures implemented by DFO Fisheries and Aquaculture Management have been successful in areas applied, but total Inconnu harvest in the west basin remained above 10,000 kg in 2008-2009. Further recommendations for closure zones based on risk to the Inconnu stock are presented.


## RÉSUMÉ

Depuis 1945, l'inconnu est surtout capturé de façon accessoire dans le cadre de la pêche commerciale au grand corégone dans le Grand lac des Esclaves. Cependant, on a observé un ciblage pendant certaines années, notamment vers la fin des années 1970, après quoi les prélèvements ont décliné puis sont demeurés faibles. Actuellement, les prélèvements d'inconnus demeurent faibles en raison du déclin des stocks, de la fin du ciblage, de la mise en œuvre de zones de fermeture de la pêche et d'une diminution de l'effort de la pêche.

À plusieurs reprises depuis 1947, on a mené des recherches visant à documenter la répartition et l'état du stock d'inconnu. Les résultats de plusieurs études de marquage et recapture ainsi que d'une étude indépendante de la pêche ont montré que la rive sud du Grand lac des Esclaves est une zone importante pour le stock d'inconnus de la rivière Buffalo. Les résultats d'un programme d'échantillonnage au filet maillant mené à l'embouchure de la rivière Buffalo ont révélé que le ciblage des inconnus vers la fin des années 1970 avait eu d'importantes répercussions négatives sur le stock de la rivière Buffalo et ses paramètres biologiques. Les résultats ont aussi permis de constater que le stock dépend toujours des niveaux de prises. La longueur à la fourche, la fréquence par rapport à l'âge et la taille selon l'âge du poisson ont toutes affiché une diminution après les années 1970, c'est-à-dire quand les récoltes d'inconnus étaient élevées. En outre, le pourcentage d'individus matures prélevés, en particulier les femelles, a sérieusement chuté au début des années 1980. De même, les captures par unité d'effort (CPUE) étaient plus élevées avant la pêche ciblée à l'inconnu, après quoi elles ont beaucoup diminué. Ces données ont révélé des périodes d'amélioration lorsque les prélèvements étaient moins importants, mais le stock n'a jamais retrouvé son état d'origine.

Les fermetures de la pêche mises en œuvre par Gestion des pêches et de l'aquaculture du MPO ont donné de bons résultats dans les zones concernées, mais les prélèvements totaux d'inconnus dans le bassin ouest étaient encore supérieurs à 10000 kg en 2008-2009. D'autres recommandations relatives à des zones de fermetures fondées sur le risque pour le stock d'inconnus sont présentées.

## INTRODUCTION

A commercial fishery was established on Great Slave Lake in 1945 (Rawson 1947). Lake Whitefish is the target species and Inconnu is a bycatch species, but targeting of Inconnu has occurred in some years. While genetic stock discrimination has not occurred to definitively define the Inconnu stocks of GSL, fall spawning runs of Inconnu have been reported historically in the Buffalo River, Taltson River, Slave River, Little Buffalo River, Hay River, Yellowknife River, and Mackenzie River (Rawson 1947, Fuller 1955). However, Inconnu presence in many of these systems is now rare relative to past run sizes. Concern for the Inconnu of GSL has been voiced repeatedly (Keleher 1972, Day and Low 1993, Stewart 1999) and many studies have been performed to increase knowledge and understanding of Inconnu. In GSL, studies focusing on Inconnu distribution have been performed and relative abundance and commercial harvests trends have been tracked. Further, a monitoring program at the mouth of the Buffalo River has been conducted to monitor the status of that Inconnu stock; the data from which was used to develop a Precautionary Approach Model for the stock and placed the stock in the Critical Zone (Day et al. 2013). All of these studies provided information that was used by Fisheries Management to introduce geographic and temporal fishing closure zones in attempts to protect the Inconnu.

This report summarizes the distribution and relative abundance of Inconnu in GSL from the inception of the commercial fishery through 2008-09, and the impact of harvest on abundance and other population dynamic factors. Simply stated, the Inconnu in Buffalo River are subjected to high harvest rates in the Western GSL, resulting in population declines. Also summarized here are the recommendations by Science to Fisheries Management and the resulting actions taken by Fisheries Management in efforts to protect the stock.

## BACKGROUND

The Buffalo River originates in Buffalo Lake and empties into Great Slave Lake (GSL) 40 km east of the town of Hay River, Northwest Territories (Figure 1). Buffalo River drains an area of $17,638 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and is generally swift and shallow with water depths peaking at 2.0 m in the main channel during the spring run-off period (Rawson 1950).

The Inconnu is a member of the whitefish family (subfamily coregoninae) which is distributed in northwestern North America and Eurasian arctic watersheds (Scott and Crossman 1973). In GSL, the Inconnu are freshwater migratory as opposed to their anadromous counterparts further North (Howland et al. 2001). Inconnu are usually found in shallow, inshore areas of the main body of the lake; however, mature fish ascend rivers for spawning and some Inconnu enter deeper waters in winter, although rarely in waters deeper than 30 m (Fuller 1955, Howland et al. 2000). Inconnu are fast growing and the largest recorded fish was caught in Buffalo River in the early 1940s; weighing almost 25 kg (Scott and Crossman 1973). GSL Inconnu reach sexual maturity between the ages of seven to 10 years (Scott and Crossman 1998). In late September, mature Inconnu start to migrate up the Buffalo River to spawn in Buffalo Lake or its tributaries and a concerted run of spent individuals re-entering GSL occurs in early to mid-October (Larkin, MS cited in Fuller 1955). Young Inconnu remain in Buffalo Lake or its tributaries for approximately four years feeding on invertebrates before they move into GSL and feed on small fish (Fuller 1955, Larkin, MS cited in Fuller 1955). There is a smaller run of Inconnu into Buffalo River in the spring time, but its purpose is not well understood (Fuller 1955); perhaps for feeding. However, a large congregation of INCO does occur in the spring at the mouth of Buffalo River.

The commercial fishery has operated on GSL since 1945 (Rawson 1947) using bottom-set gillnets with mesh size ranging from 127 mm to 140 mm . For the purpose of monitoring the
commercial harvest, GSL has been divided into a variety of management areas over the years, for which boundaries, nomenclature, and quotas have changed. At present, there are seven management areas for which the boundaries have remained more or less fixed since 1972 (Figure 2). Throughout the fishery operations, there have been up to four fish plants open on GSL but only one remained open in 2009, and since 2006-2007 it has only been opened in the summer months.

More detailed information on the study area, biology of Inconnu, Inconnu stocks in GSL, and the GSL commercial fishery, can be found in Day et al. (2013).

## ASSESSMENT

## COMMERCIAL HARVEST TRENDS

All harvest records are recorded in Fishing Year, which is from November 1 to October 31 of the following year and measured as round weight (Yaremchuk et al. 1989, DFO Fisheries Management unpublished records). Fishing effort data from the commercial fishery is not available.

The harvest of Inconnu from GSL has varied dramatically since commercial fishing was initiated in 1945 (Figure 2, Table 3). Catches ranged from a high of 163,000 in 1948-1949 to a minimum of $10,156 \mathrm{~kg}$ in 2007-2008. Inconnu are primarily caught as bycatch in the Lake Whitefish fishery, but have been targeted in some years (as evidenced by disproportionally high Inconnu harvests compared to Lake Whitefish harvests, as well as fisher communication explaining that Inconnu was targeted due to increased price paid for the sale of Inconnu). The most notable case of targeting occurred during 1977-1978 and 1978-1979 when the Inconnu harvest averaged $152,966 \mathrm{~kg}+/-450 \mathrm{~kg}$. Since that time, harvests of Inconnu have decreased dramatically due to stock depletion, non-targeting, implementation of closure zones, and decreased effort. In 2008-2009, $13,141 \mathrm{~kg}$ of Inconnu were removed from GSL by the commercial fishery.

Since Inconnu are caught primarily as a bycatch in the Lake Whitefish fishery, it would be expected that Inconnu harvest would follow the same relative trend as Lake Whitefish harvest. This is generally true, but a few exceptions occur (Figure 3). Particularly noticeable is the period mentioned earlier (1977-1978 and 1978-1979) when targeting of Inconnu was apparent because while harvest of Lake Whitefish did increase slightly, Inconnu harvest increased greatly. Afterward, even when Lake Whitefish harvest peaked in 1987-1988, Inconnu harvest remained low relative to peak periods. From that point on, Lake Whitefish harvest generally decreased steadily, but Inconnu harvest varied with periods of decline interspersed with years of higher relative harvest, particularly in 1988-1989, 1996-1997, 2002-2003 and 2003-2004. The last two years may have also been affected by targeting of Inconnu.

## Harvest in Management Areas

GSL is divided into seven management areas; 1 West (IW), 1 East (IE), 2 (II), 3 (III), 4 (IV), 5 (V), and $6(\mathrm{VI})$ (Figure 1). Area VI was closed to all commercial fishing in 1974 and is managed exclusively for the subsistence and sport fisheries. Harvest of Inconnu in the remaining management areas is variable; however, the Inconnu harvest in Area IE (818,738 kg, 38\%) and $\mathrm{V}(709,658 \mathrm{~kg}, 33 \%)$ are higher than that of any other area (Figure 4, Table 3). As will be discussed in the next section, tagging data suggest that Inconnu from the Slave River reside primarily in Area V, while it is Inconnu from the Buffalo River that primarily frequent the west basin (which includes IE).

When Inconnu harvest data from the west basin (Areas IW, IE, II, and III) are pooled, peak harvests in 1977-1978 and 1978-1979 are evident (Figure 5, Table 3). Subsequently, harvest was greatly reduced in 1981-1982 and 1982-1983 and varied thereafter, but never returned to pre-1978 levels.

## INCONNU DISTRIBUTION

## Mark-recapture programs

Inconnu in GSL have been the focus of several mark-recapture studies undertaken by DFO. In all studies, fish were caught with gillnets, marked with T-bar anchor tags, and were recaptured in the commercial Lake Whitefish fishery in GSL, as well as by subsistence, domestic, and sport fishers, and by fishers outside GSL.

From 1995 to 1999, 1,394 Inconnu were marked in the Slave River at Fort Smith and Salt River (Table 4). Of these, 297 tags have been returned to DFO (Figure 6, Table 5). The majority ( $48 \%, n=142$ ) of fish recaptured were in Area V, $28 \%$ ( $n=84$ ) were caught in the west basin and $6 \%(n=18)$ in Area IV. These results suggest that the majority of Inconnu utilizing the Slave River inhabit Area V of GSL, but some can also be found in the west basin.

Similarly, from May 24 to June 7, 1994, 198 Inconnu were marked at Resdelta Channel; the largest and eastern-most channel of the Slave River where it flows into GSL. A total of 30 tags $(15 \%)$ were returned to DFO. Again, the majority ( $n=16,53 \%$ ) of tags returned were from fish captured in Area V (Figure 7, Table 6). Seven tags (23\%) were returned from fish caught in the west basin. These results also suggest that the majority of Inconnu utilizing the Slave River inhabit Area V of GSL, but some can also be found in the west basin.

The largest Inconnu mark-recapture study was initiated in 1995. Fish were marked (tagged) with external T-bar anchor tags in 1995, 1997, 1999, 2000, 2003, 2006, 2007, and 2008. Inconnu were tagged in late May or early June at the mouth of the Buffalo River. At this time, the study site was intermittently clear of ice, allowing the capture of Inconnu, but the greater GSL remained ice-covered. Fish were caught initially using a 133 mm ( 5.25 -inch) mesh gillnet but the fish were being caught by the gills and harmed. The gear was therefore changed to a 108 mm (4.25-inch) mesh net which snagged Inconnu by the mouth and caused less harm to the fish. Once landed, Inconnu were assigned a sample number, measured for fork length (mm), tagged, stripped of a few scales (for ageing purposes), and released into the water. A reward of $\$ 10$ was offered for the return of a tag. Information on the date and location the fish was caught was requested when the tags were submitted to DFO. Tagged fish were recaptured primarily in the commercial, but also by subsistence, domestic, and sport fishers, and by fishers outside GSL.

A total of 1,029 Inconnu have been tagged at the mouth of Buffalo River (Table 7). Of these, 178 (17.3\%) have been returned to DFO (Figure 8, Table 8). There are likely other tagged fish that were caught but not reported to DFO. Of the returned tags, 155 (87.1\%) were caught in the west basin of GSL (IE, IW, III) or the rivers along the west basin (Hay River, Little Buffalo River). Further, 148 ( $95.5 \%$ ) of the tags returned from the west basin were caught along the south shore. This highlights the importance of the south shore of the west basin for the Buffalo River stock of Inconnu, but is also dependent of fisher effort location.

Tag recovery data also indicate that at least part of the Inconnu population which congregates in spring at the river mouth, enters the Buffalo River in early summer and slowly migrates 90 km inland to Buffalo Lake. Inconnu then congregate in the fall at the mouths of the rivers draining into Buffalo Lake. They then leave these river mouths, presumably to spawn upstream, and appear again at these areas in early winter, presumably after spawning has occurred. This
long drawn out summer migration is also characteristic of anadromous Inconnu. In contrast, the fall downstream runs of post spawning Inconnu are synchronous and pronounced. It is not known if the Inconnu which enter the Buffalo River spawn only in the drainages of Buffalo Lake. Perhaps there are separate stocks which spawn in the lower Buffalo River and in the upper reaches of the streams which drain into Buffalo Lake. Fishermen have reported that there is also movement of Inconnu into the mouth of the Buffalo River in fall. If this speculation is true, that discrete stocks my utilize different reaches of the Buffalo River system for spawning, then GSL Inconnu are similar to Inconnu stocks in the Yukon River drainage system where Alt (1988) has found discrete local populations in the Upper Yukon and anadromous populations in the Lower Yukon. Nothing is known of the size of the spring Buffalo River upstream migration or of its size relative to the size of the fall upstream migration. Letichevskiy (1975) found that for Inconnu of the Volga River, the ratio of fall to spring migrants was two to one.

## Fishery-Independent Harvest Study

While there have been several informative Inconnu mark-recapture studies in GSL, the return of marked fish has occurred primarily from the commercial fishery. This provides insight into the location of Inconnu with relation to the areas fished by the commercial fishery, but may not reflect the full distribution of the Inconnu stock(s). Further, in the past, some commercial fishers have reported that they could avoid catching Inconnu by avoiding certain fishing locations, but recently some fishers have been reporting that they are now catching Inconnu everywhere and they are becoming harder to avoid. Therefore, the Fishery-Independent Harvest Study (FIHS) was initiated in 2009 by DFO to document the spatial and temporal distribution of Inconnu in GSL management Area IE throughout the summer months, independently of what was being caught, or areas fished, by the commercial fishery. This study design involved random, depth-stratified sampling in GSL Area IE. The area was divided into 24 grids at varying water depths (Figure 9). The deepest water (>30.5 m) in the area was excluded because the fishing boat hired was small and venturing into deeper waters would have been hazardous. A commercial fisherman was hired from June 28 - Aug 31, 2009 to set a single gillnet ( $127 \mathrm{~mm}, 3.7 \mathrm{~m}$ deep, 91.4 m long) in two grids per day, hauling the following suitable day (weather permitting). CPUE, number of Inconnu caught per 24 hours, per net) information was recorded and a sub-sample (five per species caught, per day) of fish were lethally sampled for biological characteristics (biological results not discussed here).

A total of 65 net-sets were performed (Figure 10). Each grid received zero (grid 21) to seven (grid 5) sets (Table 9), based on a random number table used for selection. A total of 2,442 fish were caught during the study; of which 77 (3.2\%) were Inconnu (Table 10). Note, information was insufficient in two instances therefore those fish were removed from further analyses. Inconnu were caught in 32 of the 65 net sets ( $49.2 \%$, Table 11). The CPUE of Inconnu was low in all areas, ranging from 0.00 (nets set but no Inconnu caught) to 0.23 (five Inconnu caught) (Table 11).

Inconnu were caught most frequently in relatively shallow waters. A positive correlation between water depth at net set site and grid ID was observed (Figure 11, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.66$ ) (confirming that the grid sites near shore were shallower than the grid sites offshore), and a weak negative correlation was found in the relationship between Inconnu CPUE and water depth (Figure 12, $R^{2}=0.049$ ). However, when the data for the lower two grid regions and upper two grid regions were pooled, a difference was found in the spatial distribution of Inconnu caught. Inconnu were captured almost exclusively in the lower two grid regions (grids 1-15, near shore, Figure 13). Forty-nine nets were set in the lower two grid regions, of which 32 sets ( $65 \%$ ) caught Inconnu ( $\mathrm{n}=72$ INCO, Table 11). Conversely, 15 nets were set in the upper two grid regions (grids 1624) but Inconnu were caught in only three sets ( $20 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=3$ Inconnu; one per set, two sets in grid 20) (Table 11). While the effort was not equal in the two regions, this is accounted for in the CPUE calculations and there was a significantly higher CPUE in the lower grid regions (T-test,
$\mathrm{p}<0.05$, $\mathrm{n}=61$; data were incomplete in three sets, therefore CPUE could not be calculated for those sets). The grids were set up in a depth stratified manner, therefore it is not surprising that in the lower grids; the ones closer to shore, the nets were set is significantly shallower water (T-test, $\mathrm{p}<0.05, \mathrm{n}=64$ ). Further, no Inconnu were caught in water deeper than 23.5 m (Table 12). This supports the remarks described earlier in which local fishers said Inconnu were not caught in off-shore, deeper waters.

Statistically, no significant difference was found between the mean CPUE of Inconnu caught in June ( $0.04, \mathrm{n}=1$ ), July ( $0.04, \mathrm{n}=20$ ) or August ( $0.02, \mathrm{n}=16$ ) (ANOVA, $\mathrm{p}=0.64, \mathrm{df}=2$ ). However, there did appear to be a difference in temporal distribution observed in the Inconnu CPUE throughout the study. Only one net was lifted in June, on June 28 in grid 6; therefore, analysis for the month of June is not possible other than to say Inconnu were there at that time (Figure 14 and 15). In July, Inconnu were most dispersed and fish were caught in 13 different grids ( $57 \%$ of fished grids), including the two occurrences of Inconnu caught in grids far from shore (grids 20 and 22). In August, Inconnu were caught in six grids ( $26 \%$ of grids fished). The highest CPUE of Inconnu was in late August in grid 5 (Aug 30 CPUE 0.23, Aug 31 CPUE 0.23, Table 11, Figure 14). The second highest Inconnu CPUE was July 18 in grid 14 (CPUE 0.20). These results suggest that Inconnu are more dispersed in July than August, but do not reveal the cause.

GSL is subject to a thermocline from mid-July through September at approximately 15 m (Blanken et al. 2000); therefore, a change in water temperature at depth may be one reason for the temporal distributions seen in the Buffalo River Inconnu. However, when this was tested, no significant difference was found in the depth of water Inconnu were caught in between July and August (T-test, $\mathrm{p}=0.258, \mathrm{n}=32$; only sets which captured Inconnu were included in the analysis).

General observations and hypotheses may be developed based on these results regarding spatial and temporal distribution of Inconnu in GSL Area IE, but the number of Inconnu caught was low; therefore the data should be regarded as an introduction rather than a conclusion to the distributional habits of Inconnu. Continuation of the study should provide more data to boost the power of analyses.

## STOCK STATUS

All Inconnu data used in the biological assessment and CPUE analysis were collected during a DFO-run gillnet sampling program, independent of the commercial fishery. The study occurred at the mouth of the Buffalo River in GSL in the spring (May-June) in varying years between 1947 and 2008. Fish were caught with 140 mm ( 5.5 -inch) gillnets until 1977, after which gillnets of 133 mm ( 5.25 -inch) were used. This was consistent with the gear used in the commercial Lake Whitefish fishery (note: the legal size was changed to 127 mm ( 5 -inch) in 1997 but fishers found detangling fish in this mesh size to be too cumbersome and therefore continued to use 133 mm mesh nets).

## Biological Information

## Age

All Inconnu were aged using scales. This method has been shown to underestimate age of Inconnu 10 years and older (Howland et al. 2004). An age-comparison study for Inconnu from the Buffalo River was also undertaken using scales, otoliths, and fin rays collected in 1993. Paired T-tests resulted in no significant difference ( $p>0.05$ ) in ages between otoliths and fin rays, but both were significantly different than age estimated from scales ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$, DFO unpubl.
data); thus the interpretation of age-related results for fish 10 years of age and older should be cautious.

The age-frequency distributions are shown in Figure 16. The frequency of ages 18 years and older are summed and represented in the last category. At the onset of the Lake Whitefish commercial fishery, the age-frequency distributions of Inconnu were unimodal and ranged from four to 24 years old. Fish this old have not been recorded in the spring survey program since then, but younger fish have (Figure 16). The age-frequency distribution in 2008 ranged from five to 12 years old.

The age-frequency distributions in 1947 and 1948 were not significantly different from one another (Kolmogorov-Smirnov, $\mathrm{p}=0.199$ ), but both were significantly different than all other years (Kolmogorov-Smirnov, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$, Table 13). There was no significant difference in age frequency distributions from 1955 through 1981, except all were significantly different than 1978 (Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Table 13). This is interesting since we would have expected to see a significant difference in 1979, not 1978; the data collected in this program were done so in the spring each year, but while fishing did occur during the winter, the majority of the catch was generally encountered in the summer fishery. Therefore, we expected to see the full impact from the high harvest in 1978 in the spring survey collection from 1979. While the impact of the 1978 harvest was not recorded in the age-frequency distribution in 1979, an interesting correlation did occur with regards to spawning recruits. In 1978, the seven year old cohort represented the largest portion of the catch (Figure 16) and would have been the last group of fish sampled in the spring survey before the high harvest later that summer. By 1983, this cohort of fish was no longer represented in the catch, but its direct offspring (spawned in 1978) was evident as four year old fish (Figure 16). From 1983 through 1987, this cohort dominated. By 1990, the last of this cohort remained as 11-year old fish but a new distribution of younger fish was already establishing. Not, surprisingly, the age-frequency distributions were significantly different from 1982 through 1989, except in two cases (1977-1987, and 19781989). This pattern might suggest that recruitment failure had occurred due to high harvests in 1978, but it is also possible that it constitutes an important survival event as well. That is, the strong cohort could represent an atypically strong survival event in 1978/1979 where conditions were very positive and resulted in an unusually large number of offspring spawned/survived that year. From 1990 on, there were an increased proportion of comparisons which resulted in no significant difference between years, but a non-significant result was still the minority of cases (Table 13).

A significant difference in mean age was evident when comparing all years (ANOVA, df=28, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ), as well as in numerous years when compared pairwise (Bonferonni, $\mathrm{p}<0.0018$, Table 14). The mean age of Inconnu was highest at the onset of the commercial fishery in 1947 (8.9 years, Figure 17), which was not significantly different from mean age in 1948 but both were significantly older than any other year in a pairwise comparison, with the exception of 1948 compared to 1976, when no significant difference was found. This is not surprising as a reduction in the older age classes (larger fish) would be expected at the onset of a new sizeselective fishery. However, there was then a downward trend (with annual variation) until 1983, when the mean age was at its lowest recorded age ( 4.9 years). The mean age in 1983 was not significantly different from 1984 but then both were significantly younger than all other years, with the exception of the pairwise comparison between 2007, in which no significant difference was found (Table 14). Subsequently, mean age increased steadily until 1986 (6.7 years). This corresponds with the cohort spawned in 1978 which is observed moving through the agefrequency distribution in the 1980s. From 1987 through 1999 mean age remained relatively consistent (between 6.2 and 7.1 years, Figure 17) with significant differences found in only two cases when compared pairwise (Table 14). By 2003, mean age rose to 7.3 years and was significantly higher than most years after 1982 when compared pairwise. Mean age remained
above 7 years in 2006, but in 2007 dropped to 5.2 years. The year 2007 was significantly lower than all but three other years $(1983,1984,1985)$ when compared pairwise. Interestingly, harvest in the west basin reached $39,147 \mathrm{~kg}$ in 2003-04 and declined steadily until 2006-07, at $5,782 \mathrm{~kg}$. In 2008, mean age rose again to 7.1 years and harvest increased slightly.

## Fork Length

The fork length-frequency distributions are shown in Figure 18. Fish length was rounded down to the lower 20 mm size class for graphical purposes. The frequency of fork lengths of 1,000 mm and larger are summed and represented in the last category.

At the onset of the Lake Whitefish commercial fishery in 1947 and 1948, the length-frequency distributions of Inconnu were unimodal, ranged from 521 mm to $1,230 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Figure 18), and not significantly different from one another (Kolmogorov-Smirnov, $\mathrm{p}=0.40$ ). However, the distributions were significantly different ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) in 1947 and 1948 when compared to all future years, except 2003 (Table 15). From 1955 through 1978, the length frequency distributions were not significantly different in 29 of 125 ( $25.6 \%$ ) possible future year combinations (Table 15). Conversely, in 1979, the distribution was almost uniform in frequency with no length class contributing more than $10 \%$ of the catch and was significantly different (Kolmogorov-Smirnov, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) from all other years (Table 15). In fact, pairwise comparison of the period from 1979 through 1987 to all other future years was the most variable in distributions; in only three of 162 (1.8\%) possible year combinations were no significant differences (Kolmogorov-Smirnov, $p>0.05$ ) found. In 1980 and 1981, the years following the high harvest years, there was a notable lack of fish over 700 mm , but the younger length classes were represented (Figure 18). As with the age data, the offspring cohort of the 1978 spawners moved through 1983 to 1987 in a relatively compact and dominant unit; likely the cause of the high percentage of nonsignificance between distributions. Conversely, in the period from 1988 through 2008 compared with all future year combinations, 15 of 91 (16.5\%) possible length-frequency distribution comparisons were not significantly different (Kolmogorov-Smirnov, p>0.05, Table 15). In 2008, Inconnu fork length ranged from 515 mm to 977 mm (Figure 18).

Similar to mean age, a significant difference in mean fork length was evident when comparing all years (ANOVA, df=29, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ), as well as in numerous years when compared pairwise (Bonferonni, $\mathrm{p}<0.0017$, Table 16). The general trend in mean fork length also mimicked that of mean age: mean fork length was highest at the onset of the fishery in 1947 ( 745 mm , Figure 19) and significantly different from most future years when compared pairwise; except, again in 2003, but also in 1977, and 1990 (for 1948) (Table 16). However, while mean age slowly decreased until 1983, mean fork length decreased more rapidly; reaching its lowest point in 1980 ( 552 mm , Figure 19). Changes in mean fork length were likely influenced by the change in gillnet mesh size used within this period of time ( 140 mm until 1977, 133 mm thereafter) but it is also likely that high harvests resulted in cropping of larger sized fish. After 1980, mean fork length rose quickly to 675 mm in 1982, but then immediately dropped again in 1983 ( 569 mm ). From there through 1990, mean fork length increased and fluctuated slightly, but was relatively more stable. In 2003, mean fork length peaked again at 732 mm but has dropped in the years to follow, resulting in a mean fork length of 644 mm in 2008 (Figure 19).

In all cases where there was no significant difference in frequency distribution (KolmogorovSmirnov, $p>0.05$ ), there was also no significant difference in mean fork length (Bonferonni, $\mathrm{p}>0.0017$ ). However, in numerous year combinations, a significant difference in distribution was found, but no significant difference in mean fork length was found. This illustrates that while the average size of fish may not show changes, the frequency distribution, and thus the stock size composition, may in fact be changing.

## Size-at-Age

Fluctuations in mean fork length over time are seen within each age group (Table 17). In cases where there were enough data to analyse the results statistically (age groups five to 10), the mean fork length was significantly different over time (ANOVA, Table 18). In the previous section of this document, we reported that overall mean fork length was lower in 1979, 1980, and 1981 when compared to most other years. We now see that this is true for each age group individually as well (Figure 20). In fact, when pairwise comparisons between years within individual age groups (ages five to 10) was performed, results showed that the decrease in mean fork length over years within individual age groups was also statistically significant (Bonferonni, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) in almost all cases, although less so in ages nine and 10 where the sample size was smaller (Table 19). Bonferonni pairwise comparisons of mean fork length within each age group (five to 10 years) also showed numerous cases in which the year 2007 was significantly higher than other years. This is interesting since we recorded a significant decrease in mean fork length in 1979-1981, the period immediately after high harvests, while in 2007 mean fork length was in fact significantly higher in age groups five to 10 than most other years, and followed a period of decreased harvest.

## Sex Ratio

Documentation on fish gender was initiated in 1976 and assigned visually based on the description provided in Appendix 1. The percentage of Inconnu caught that were female varied annually from 1976 through 2008; however, only in four years (1977, 1979, 1991, 2006) were females more abundant than males (Figure 21). The lowest percentage of females were caught in 1978 (20.7\%), while the highest percentages were caught in 1979 (59.7\%) and 2006 (60.3\%). In 2008, the ratio was 0.59 females.

## Maturity Stage

As with fish gender, documentation on sexual maturity stage was also initiated in 1976 and assigned visually based on the description provided in Appendix 1. In both male and female Inconnu, the percentage of mature individuals out-weighed the percentage of immature individuals annually in almost all years data were collected (Figure 22). However, the ratio of mature to immature individuals dropped immediately after the high harvest years (1978 and 1979) in both sexes. Prior to 1979, the percent of mature individuals (male and female) caught ranged from $89 \%$ to $100 \%$. Conversely, in 1983, the percent of mature individuals dropped to $18 \%$ (females) and $42 \%$ (males). This is likely due to the removal of mature individuals in the high harvests of 1977-78 and 1978-79, but may also be influenced by the fact that the offspring from the 1978 spawning event were entering harvestable size in 1983. Thereafter, the percentage of mature individuals improved and remained above 50\% in almost all years, although with some annually variation. In 2008, the percent of mature individuals were $61 \%$ for females and $67 \%$ for males.

## CPUE Information

CPUE data were collected beginning in 1976 and was defined as the number of fish caught per hour, per net ( 50 m long, 2 m deep, 133 mm stretched mesh). The average CPUE in 1976 and 1977 were 12.9 +/- 10.0 and 21.0 +/- 3.4 fish per hour, per net ( 50 m long, 2 m deep, 133 mm stretched mesh), respectively, (Figure 23). CPUE data were not collected again until 1983, at which time CPUE had dropped to 4.3 +/- 2.0 fish per unit effort. In the years to follow, CPUE had shown periodic signs of improvement (when harvest were lowest), but then declined again (when harvests increased). The highest CPUE recorded after the high harvest period (1978 and 1979) was 6.7 fish per unit effort, found in both 1997 and 1999. These values are $40 \%$ lower than the average of 1976 and 1977. This provides further support that it was the Buffalo

River stock of Inconnu which constituted the bulk of the harvest in the west basin. In 2008, the CPUE was $3.1+/-1.7$ fish per unit effort.

## MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

## Closure Zones

Past and current research programs have led to the prediction that the Buffalo River Inconnu stock is caught mostly in the west basin of GSL, especially along the south shoreline (Areas IW, IE, and III). Concern for the Buffalo River stock was, therefore, addressed through various spatial and temporal closures to the commercial fishery being instituted in the early 1980 s by DFO Fisheries and Aquaculture Management (with advice from Science) and expanded thereafter. The goal of the closures was to reduce the number of Inconnu harvested while not significantly hindering the Lake Whitefish commercial fishery.

## Historic Closure Zones

In June 1983, concern for the Buffalo River stock led to the first closure zone being instituted by DFO Fisheries and Aquaculture Management; a three kilometer radius around the mouth of the Buffalo River was closed year-round. Unfortunately, this was not effective at halting the decline of this stock and therefore new closure areas were implemented.

By 2001, spring seasonal closures had been expanded to include all of the south shore of GSL from the western edge of the Area IE domestic zone, east to Pine Point, with the addition of a spring closure area to protect the northwest portion of the Slave River delta. The southwest part of Resolution Bay remained open to commercial fishing but the remainder of Resolution Bay was open to domestic fishing only.

Closure zones were updated in 1999 as per variation order 99/00-201(Appendix 2 and 3), in 2001 as per variation order 01/02-204 (Appendix 4 and 5), and in 2005 as per variation order 05/06-208 (Appendix 6 and 7).

In 2008, DFO Fisheries and Aquaculture Management met with DFO Science and asked "What is the recommended maximum commercial quota for Inconnu in the west basin (Areas IW, IE, II and III) on GSL and are there any recommendations as to how this removal level could be partitioned (e.g., by area)?" Upon review of the data, the response by Science (May 2008) was to recommend fishing patterns based on low, moderate, and high risk options:

- Low Risk: Stop all commercial fishing on GSL
- Moderate Risk:
o Allow commercial fishing to continue but restrict the harvest by closing areas in time and space as follows:
- Annual closure of Area IE
- Extend the spring closure period in Area III to all year
- Expand the size of Area III closure zone (from five kilometers deep to around 10 km ) using a latitude reference line
o Expand Spring Closure Zones
- Expand the size of Area IE and III spring closure zones (from current five kilometers deep to around 10 km deep) using a latitude reference line.
- Extend closure period to all year
- High Risk: Continue with status quo on harvest.

It was noted that setting a bycatch quota for Inconnu would likely result in "discarding" or wastage of Inconnu as the quota approached fulfillment. Instead, it was recommended that commercial fishers be asked to attempt to keep Inconnu harvest from the west basin to below
$10,000 \mathrm{~kg}$. Upon presentation of closure options to GSL fishers, DFO Fisheries and Aquaculture Management noted that there was significant resistance from commercial fishermen to close all of Area IE. Although DFO Fisheries and Aquaculture Management supported the moderate-risk option, a compromise with commercial fishermen was reached and some modifications were made making it a higher risk to the stock.

The actions taken by DFO Fisheries and Aquaculture Management in 2008, as per variation order 08/09-212 were (Figure 28 and Appendix 8 and 9):

- The area (Zone A) to the north of the Hay River domestic zone and west of $115^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ longitude in IE up to the $61^{\text {st }}$ latitude was closed until March 31, 2009
- The area (Zone B) south of the $61^{\text {st }}$ latitude, spanning east from the $115^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ longitude to intersect with the west boundary of Area III, was closed through September 30.
- The area (Zone C) in Area III up to $61^{\circ} 03^{\prime}$ and $61^{\circ} 07$ ' north latitude lines in two steps, spanning east from the line between IE and III to Pine Point, was closed through July 31, 2008.

In January 2009, data from the 2008 summer fishery were analyzed; this revealed that in the statistical Areas IE, II and III, which had subareas along the south shore closed to fishing, the total catch was successfully reduced (Table 3). However, the improvement was offset by an increased catch in Area IW. The total harvest of Inconnu in the west basin of GSL was not significantly reduced given the harvest in IW. Therefore, Science made the following adjustments to the recommendations:

- Low Risk: Stop all fishing on GSL
- Moderate Risk: Allow commercial fishing to continue but restrict the harvest by extending the closure of the south shore to include the 10 km zone of Area IW. The rationale was that closure to similar zones was effective in the other areas - so the hypothesis that Buffalo River Inconnu used the south shore area of GSL was probably correct. If so then extending the zone westward might reduce Inconnu harvest with minimal interference in the Lake Whitefish fishery.
- High Risk: Continue with status quo on harvest.

The goal to maintain Inconnu harvests below $10,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ from the west basin (IE, IW, II and III) would remain. However, a preferred harvest level would be less than $5,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ from all sources of fishing mortality. The size of the Inconnu bycatch harvest would remain a performance indicator for the management measures applied.

Subsequently, DFO Fisheries and Aquaculture Management consulted with the Great Slave Lake Advisory Committee (GLSAC) regarding the recommendations made by Science. Fishers indicated that closing the south shore of IW would not benefit the conservation of Buffalo River Inconnu because there were few Inconnu close to shore in that area and that the fish that were present were likely from the Mackenzie River stock. Therefore, no changes to the closure zones were made by DFO Fisheries and Aquaculture Management in 2009.

In recent years, fishing effort in the GSL has been declining due to closures of ports (Table 1), but regulations initiated in 2009 opened the fishery to additional fishers (outside NT). The GSL Advisory Committee approved seven new-entry summer 2009 applications for vessel certificates, three of which were fished.

## Current Closure Zones

Harvest data from the summer 2009 GSL fishery were analyzed in March 2010 to review impact of closure zones on the harvest of Inconnu. Harvest of Inconnu increased in all areas of

GSL, except Area II (Table 3). Relating this to Buffalo River Inconnu specifically, harvest in Area IW increased marginally ( +383 kg ) from 2008, but harvests in Areas IE and III increased more dramatically ( +949 kg and $+1,383 \mathrm{~kg}$, respectively). The increase in Inconnu harvest may be due to an increase in fishing effort; commercial harvest was opened to fishers from outside the NT and resulted in three additional certificates being awarded in 2009 (accounting for $29,513 \mathrm{~kg}, 9.6 \%$ of the harvest). However, if the increase in Inconnu harvest resulted strictly from fishing effort, it would be expected that the harvest of Lake Whitefish (the target species) would follow the same trend as the Inconnu harvest; this was true for Areas II, III, IV, and V, but not for Areas IW and IE (Table 3). In Area IW, Lake Whitefish harvest decreased $7,896 \mathrm{~kg}$ from 2008 catches, but Inconnu harvest increased by 383 kg . In Area IE (which contains the Buffalo River) Lake Whitefish harvest decreased by $27,924 \mathrm{~kg}$ yet Inconnu harvest increased by 949 kg . This is alarming for the sustainability of the Buffalo River Inconnu stock.

Upon review of past and current research programs and harvest trends, the following points were summarized for Buffalo River Inconnu:

- Research studies on Inconnu distribution (mark-recapture and the FIHS) in GSL have shown that the south shore of the west basin is an important area for Buffalo River Inconnu.
- Trends in Inconnu biological data show an impact to the stock in high harvest years (especially the late 1970s).
- Trends in CPUE also show a relation to harvest (CPUE increased in lowest harvest years).
- Fishing closures were successful in areas they were applied in 2008.

With these points in mind, Science revised the recommendations for closure zones in GSL and the following options were proposed based on the risk to the Inconnu stock(s):

- Low Risk: close fishery on GSL west basin
- Moderate-Low Risk: close south shore year round and extend closure into Areas IW and V.
- Moderate Risk: close south shore from March 1 to Nov 1 and extend into Areas IW and V (to protect both the spring and fall runs of Inconnu; may be a more sustainable, longterm solution than complete, year-round, closures)
- Moderate-High Risk: current status quo (2008)
- High Risk: old status quo (prior to 2007)

DFO Fisheries and Aquaculture Management reported that it was initiating a log-book program in 2010. This will potentially improve information of the efficiency of closure zones and will be reviewed as the information becomes available.

The potential for fishing effort, and thus harvest of Inconnu, to increase with opening of licenses to out of province fishers, coupled with the continual poor status of the Buffalo River Inconnu stock, demands that continued monitoring of this stock is vital.

A Precautionary Approach Model has also been developed for the Buffalo River Inconnu stock, which places the stock in the Critical Zone. Please refer to Day et al. (2013) for details.

## DISCUSSION

## FUTURE RESEARCH

Participants of the peer-review Regional Advisory Process (RAP) reviewed the current research program and discussed what research would be beneficial in the future. These included:

1) Fishery-Independent Harvest Study

It was discussed that the 2009 FIHS provided useful information for the assessment of Inconnu in GSL and the research program should continue. However, it was noted that the methodology used in 2009 did not mimic that of the commercial fishery and that the results may not fully represent the potential for Inconnu distribution. Specifically, the FIHS used 3.7 m (12$\mathrm{ft})$ deep gillnets, while the commercial fishery uses 9.1 m ( $30-\mathrm{ft}$ ) deep gillnets. Also, the FIHS only set one gillnet per set while the commercial fishery sets numerous nets in a gang while fishing. Local fisherman had commented that the use of a single, shallow gillnet would not likely catch many Inconnu. To address this concern, it was recommended that the 2010 FIHS use $9.1 \mathrm{~m}(30-\mathrm{ft})$ deep nets and set at least two at a time (one 9.1 m and one 3.7 m to compare with results from 2009). Further discussion from RAP participants suggested that there may be a vertical delineation between Lake Whitefish and Inconnu and perhaps an alternative management action could be to restrict fishing in depth zones rather than geographical area. In response to this, the recommendation was made to mark the 3.7 m gillnet and record which depth range the Lake Whitefish and Inconnu are caught in.

## 2) Spring sampling at the mouth of Buffalo River

Since 1976, a gillnet research program was run periodically (not annually) in the spring at the mouth of the Buffalo River. This program involved setting gillnets to monitor CPUE as well as lethally sampling fish for biological characteristics. It provided the data required to assess the biological stock status (age and length distributions, growth, etc.) as well as provide reference points for the Precautionary Approach model (CPUE mature females, see Day et al. 2013). This program was considered to be very valuable by the RAP participants, but it is also very expensive to run. Therefore, it was recommended that the program be continued as often as financially feasible, but at least every three years.

## 3) Genetic stock delineation

Samples required to perform genetic analyses of Inconnu in GSL have been collected in recent years, but a specific research program to use genetics for stock delineation has not been initiated. To do so requires that genetic samples from the 'pure' stock of Inconnu in the Buffalo River, and other potential contributing stocks, be collected. It was recommended that this be a high priority for future research.

## 4) Radio-telemetry

The usefulness in advancing existing information gained by performing a radio-telemetry study of Inconnu in the Buffalo River was discussed. While potential benefits were noted regarding identification of spawning stocks, it was also noted that it is an expensive research program and that devoting financial resources to the FIHS, spring sampling, and genetic stock delineation might be more productive.

## CONSIDERATIONS

RAP participants discussed other research avenues, such as stable isotopes, to further increase knowledge and understanding of Inconnu in GSL on a larger (ecosystem) scale, especially due to the recent interest in eco-certification for GSL fisheries.

Numerous comments on potential anthropogenic disturbance, changing environmental conditions, and fish health concerns around the Buffalo River and GSL systems were made during the course of the meeting. These included:

- Potential impacts of the Pine Point Mine on GSL and Buffalo River habitats and fish populations.
- Changing water levels in recent years which may impact the utility/efficiency of closure zones.
- Increasing sewage discharge and potential leaking from waste disposal sites into GSL.
- Recent observations that the condition of Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River appears lower compared to Inconnu caught in the Slave River.


## SOURCES OF UNCERTAINTY

- It is unknown what the contribution of Buffalo River Inconnu and Slave River Inconnu (and potentially others) are to west basin harvests.
- The harvests from sources other than the commercial fishery (i.e., sport, domestic, subsistence, etc.) are not well known.
- Estimates of recruitment and quantitative documentation of the factors influencing production are unknown.
- The Upper stock reference assumes that carrying capacity is stable.
- Quantitative information on commercial fishing effort is unknown.
- Ecosystem effects on the population dynamics of Buffalo River Inconnu are unknown.
- Locations and characteristics of Inconnu spawning habitat in the Buffalo River are unknown.
- Precision and accuracy of age estimates is questionable because it was performed using scales.


## CONCLUSIONS

Inconnu have been caught primarily as bycatch in the Lake Whitefish fishery since 1945, especially in Area IE of the west basin; however, they have been targeted in some years, especially the late 1970s. During this time, commercial harvests and CPUE from the DFO fishery-independent survey at the mouth of the Buffalo River were high. Conversely, in the early 1980s, harvests, CPUE and Inconnu biological characteristics all showed a marked change, for the worse. Further, there is evidence that the 1979 spawners produced a relatively strong cohort of individuals which dominated age and frequency distributions through the late 1980s, whereas the abundance of younger fish was relatively low. This suggests that recruitment failure had occurred after 1978. Since that time, commercial harvest has decreased and remained low. The biological characteristics have shown periods of improvement in years when harvest were lowest, but then worsened again as harvest increased. Currently, harvests of Inconnu remain low due to reduced stocks, non-targeting, implementation of closure zones, and decreased fishing effort. While some of the biological characteristics appear to have stabilized within normal variation, others show cause for concern, and CPUE is still relatively low.

In this document, we have presented a risk-based table of options for the management of closure zones in GSL with the intention to minimize the catch of Inconnu while limiting the impact on the commercial Lake Whitefish fishery.

Results from several mark-recapture studies, as well as the DFO FIHS, suggest that Buffalo River Inconnu are most at risk to harvest in the west basin of GSL, especially along the south shore, and therefore, our recommendations are focused on that area of the lake.

Finally, we provided recommendations for future research programs, as well as potential modifications to current programs, to improve the understanding of many aspects Buffalo River Inconnu. We have also identified potential sources of error in the assessment due to quantitative/statistical issues, as well as information that is currently unknown/limited.
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Figure 1. Map of Great Slave Lake showing management areas. Triangles indicate fish plants. Insert shows map of Canada highlighting Great Slave Lake.


Fishing Year

Figure 2. Annual (fishing season) commercial harvest of Inconnu (INCO) in Great Slave Lake from 19441945 to 2008-2009.


Fishing Year

Figure 3. Annual (fishing year) commercial harvest of Inconnu (INCO) and Lake Whitefish (LKWT) in Great Slave Lake from 1944-1945 to 2008-2009.
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Figure 4. Annual (fishing year) commercial harvest of Inconnu (INCO) in each management area of Great Slave Lake from 1971-1972 to 2008-2009.


Fishing Year
Figure 5. Annual (fishing year) harvest of Inconnu (INCO) in the west basin of Great Slave Lake from 1971-1972 to 2008-2009.


Figure 6. Map of Great Slave Lake showing location of recaptures of Inconnu marked with T-bar tags in the Slave River at Fort Smith.


Figure 7. Map of Great Slave Lake showing location of recaptures of Inconnu marked with T-bar tags in the Slave River at Resdelta Channel.


Figure 8. Map of Great Slave Lake showing location of recaptures of Inconnu marked with T-bar tags at the mouth of the Buffalo River.


Figure 9. Map of Great Slave Lake Area IE, showing the grids used in the 2009 Fishery-Independent Harvest Study. Numbers are grid IDs. Insert map shows Great Slave Lake Area IE highlighting the study area.


Figure 10. Map of Great Slave Lake Area IE showing the location of sites sampled during 2009 FisheryIndependent Harvest Study.


Figure 11. Correlation between water depth and grid ID at sites sampled during the 2009 FisheryIndependent Harvest Study.


Figure 12. Correlation of Inconnu catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) on water depth for sites sampled during the 2009 Fishery-Independent Harvest Study.


Figure 13. Map of Great Slave Lake Area IE showing the grids Inconnu were caught in during the 2009 Fishery-Independent Harvest Study.


Figure 14. Map of Great Slave Lake Area IE showing mean monthly catch-per-unit-effort for Inconnu caught during the 2009 Fishery-Independent Harvest Study (Note: Inconnu were caught in grid 21 but the data were incomplete and therefore CPUE could not be calculated).


Figure 15. Correlation of Inconnu mean monthly catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) on grid ID for the 2009 Fishery-Independent Harvest Study.


Figure 16. Age-frequency distribution for Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River in Great Slave Lake from 1947 to 2008.


Figure 16. continued.


Figure 16. continued.


Figure 17. Mean age of Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River in Great Slave Lake from 1947 to $2008(n=5,324)$. Error bars indicate 1 standard deviation. Note, no data were collected from 1957 to 1976 (solid grey bar); therefore, those years have been omitted from the graph to reduce space requirements.


Figure 18. Fork length-percent frequency distribution for Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River in Great Slave Lake from 1947 to 2008.


Figure 18. continued.


Figure 18. continued.


Figure 19. Mean fork length (mm) of Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River in Great Slave Lake from 1947 to 2008 ( $n=5,725$ ). Error bars indicate 1 standard deviation. Note, no data were collected from 1957 to 1976 (solid grey bar); therefore, those years have been omitted from the graph to reduce space requirements.


Figure 20. Mean fork length for each age class of Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River in Great Slave Lake from 1947 to 2008. Note, no data were collected from 1957 to 1976 (solid grey bar); therefore, those years have been omitted from the graph to reduce space requirements.


Figure 20. continued.


Figure 21. Percent of Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River in Great Slave Lake from 1976 to $2008(n=3,193)$ that are female. Horizontal line marks $50 \%$.


Figure 22. Percent of mature individuals for female and male Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River in Great Slave Lake from 1976 to $2008(n=3,193)$. Horizontal lines mark $50 \%$.


Figure 23. Mean CPUE for all sex and maturity stages of Inconnu caught in 50 m of gillnet ( 2 m deep) per hour (+/- 1 Standard Deviation) set at the mouth of the Buffalo River, GSL


Figure 24. Map of Great Slave Lake showing areas closed to commercial fishing in the fishing season 2007-2008 (unchanged in 2008-2009).

Table 1. List of fish processing plants on Great Slave Lake indicating the year they originally opened and closed.

| Fish Plant | Year Open | Year Closed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simpson Islands | 1967 or earlier | 1991 |
| Moraine Bay | 1967 or earlier | 2005 |
| Wool Bay | 1967 or earlier | 2008 |
| Hay River | 1967 or earlier | open only in summer since 2007 |

Table 2. Lake Whitefish quota for each management area of Great Slave Lake (Note: quota includes Lake Whitefish only for all areas except Area V which includes Lake Whitefish and Lake Trout combined).

| Year $^{\mathbf{1}}$ | IW | IE | II | III | IV | V |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1975-76$ | 227,273 | 318,181 | 681,819 | 0 | 622,727 | 325,000 |
| $1976-77$ | 227,273 | 318,181 | 318,181 | 0 | 409,091 | 272,729 |
| $1977-78$ | 227,273 | 318,181 | 318,181 | 0 | 409,091 | 272,729 |
| $1978-79$ | 227,273 | 318,181 | 318,181 | 45,455 | 409,091 | 295,455 |
| $1979-80$ | 227,273 | 318,181 | 318,181 | 45,455 | 409,091 | 363,637 |
| $1980-81$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 45,500 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1981-82$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 79,500 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1982-83$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 45,500 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1983-84$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 45,500 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1984-85$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 45,500 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1985-86$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 70,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1986-87$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 45,500 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1987-88$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 45,500 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1988-89$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 45,500 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1989-90$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 45,500 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1990-91$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1991-92$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1992-93$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1993-94$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1994-95$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1995-96$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1996-97$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1997-98$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1998-99$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $1999-00$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $2000-01$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $2001-02$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $2002-03$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $2003-04$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $2004-05$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $2005-06$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $2006-07$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $2007-08$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
| $2008-09$ | 227,300 | 318,200 | 318,200 | 91,000 | 409,100 | 363,600 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Commercial harvest of Inconnu in Great Slave Lake by management area, pooled west basin, and total harvest for each fishing year (November 1 of one year to October 31 of the next year) from 1944-1945 to 2008-2009.

| Year | IW | IE | II | III | IV | V | West Basin | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1944-45 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 40,000 |
| 1945-46 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 51,364 |
| 1946-47 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 39,000 |
| 1947-48 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 102,000 |
| 1948-49 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 163,000 |
| 1949-50 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 123,000 |
| 1950-51 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 146,000 |
| 1951-52 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 89,000 |
| 1952-53 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 91,000 |
| 1953-54 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 78,000 |
| 1954-55 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 75,000 |
| 1955-56 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 76,000 |
| 1956-57 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 97,000 |
| 1957-58 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 98,000 |
| 1958-59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 141,000 |
| 1959-60 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 78,000 |
| 1960-61 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 135,000 |
| 1961-62 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 125,000 |
| 1962-63 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 156,000 |
| 1963-64 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 132,000 |
| 1964-65 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 139,000 |
| 1965-66 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 98,000 |
| 1966-67 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 111,000 |
| 1967-68 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 83,000 |
| 1968-69 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 79,000 |
| 1969-70 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 58,000 |
| 1970-71 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 62,000 |
| 1971-72 | 5,757 | 44,442 | 21,653 | 0 | 21 | 6,612 | 71,852 | 78,485 |
| 1972-73 | 21,097 | 78,647 | 1,562 | 0 | 297 | 27,821 | 101,306 | 103,461 |
| 1973-74 | 1,832 | 60,221 | 11,581 | 0 | 11,246 | 27,932 | 73,634 | 90,717 |
| 1974-75 | 9,156 | 44,455 | 22,993 | 0 | 2,037 | 16,230 | 76,604 | 94,867 |
| 1975-76 | 19,705 | 30,196 | 5,042 | 0 | 6,132 | 16,117 | 54,943 | 77,188 |
| 1976-77 | 16,062 | 33,347 | 13,006 | 0 | 11,863 | 12,108 | 62,415 | 86,382 |
| 1977-78 | 15,915 | 90,020 | 11,421 | 0 | 10,944 | 24,221 | 117,356 | 152,516 |
| 1978-79 | 14,188 | 84,790 | 1,780 | 1,183 | 8,209 | 43,270 | 101,941 | 153,415 |
| 1979-80 | 2,848 | 40,446 | 372 | 1,007 | 1,626 | 18,687 | 44,673 | 64,984 |
| 1980-81 | 1,034 | 16,203 | 439 | 189 | 1,165 | 24,269 | 17,865 | 43,291 |
| 1981-82 | 1,602 | 5,773 | 182 | 2,013 | 296 | 13,082 | 9,570 | 25,945 |
| 1982-83 | 2,451 | 4,446 | 546 | 2,837 | 2,381 | 3,430 | 10,280 | 13,094 |
| 1983-84 | 5,431 | 24,788 | 516 | 7,019 | 2,154 | 7,102 | 37,754 | 47,010 |
| 1984-85 | 7,272 | 17,949 | 216 | 13,589 | 2,768 | 30,192 | 39,026 | 71,986 |
| 1985-86 | 7,270 | 9,456 | 302 | 16,820 | 2,946 | 25,626 | 33,848 | 62,420 |

Table 3. continued.

| Year | IW | IE | II | III | IV | V | West <br> Basin | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1986-87$ | 5,144 | 13,391 | 2,082 | 16,287 | 3,444 | 33,365 | 36,904 | 73,713 |
| $1987-88$ | 2,463 | 23,796 | 2,333 | 6,281 | 12,255 | 8,234 | 34,873 | 55,362 |
| $1988-89$ | 2,760 | 29,540 | 712 | 8,644 | 3,829 | 38,247 | 41,656 | 83,732 |
| $1989-90$ | 1,230 | 18,507 | 514 | 4,324 | 2,466 | 42,433 | 24,575 | 69,474 |
| $1990-91$ | 3,333 | 10,722 | 732 | 3,133 | 2,355 | 33,651 | 17,920 | 53,926 |
| $1991-92$ | 3,602 | 11,140 | 841 | 315 | 2,179 | 8,711 | 15,898 | 26,788 |
| $1992-93$ | 2,855 | 5,099 | 1,121 | 3,785 | 2,645 | 27,529 | 12,860 | 43,034 |
| $1993-94$ | 1,683 | 5,686 | 293 | 5,259 | 3,404 | 3,966 | 12,921 | 20,291 |
| $1994-95$ | 1,043 | 6,623 | 385 | 3,254 | 4,992 | 19,463 | 11,305 | 35,760 |
| $1995-96$ | 488 | 5,035 | 380 | 4,945 | 6,368 | 36,562 | 10,848 | 53,778 |
| $1996-97$ | 887 | 7,507 | 720 | 16,026 | 5,398 | 38,232 | 25,140 | 68,770 |
| $1997-98$ | 2,870 | 9,100 | 539 | 2,114 | 4,997 | 10,520 | 14,623 | 30,140 |
| $1998-99$ | 3,841 | 9,287 | 643 | 5,697 | 7,039 | 4,749 | 19,468 | 31,256 |
| $1999-00$ | 1,806 | 6,550 | 571 | 7,955 | 6,838 | 13,570 | 16,882 | 37,290 |
| $2000-01$ | 1,150 | 6,342 | 136 | 2,526 | 9,891 | 6,010 | 10,154 | 26,055 |
| $2001-02$ | 1,438 | 15,221 | 1,885 | 5,752 | 6,486 | 19,331 | 24,296 | 50,113 |
| $2002-03$ | 2,698 | 7,673 | 456 | 17,531 | 5,176 | 33,485 | 28,358 | 67,019 |
| $2003-04$ | 2,960 | 17,638 | 369 | 18,180 | 2,639 | 21,165 | 39,147 | 62,951 |
| $2004-05$ | 1,677 | 9,289 | 2,193 | 9,322 | 5,156 | 11,129 | 22,481 | 38,766 |
| $2005-06$ | 3,314 | 7,974 | 669 | 5,815 | 10,024 | 1,357 | 17,772 | 29,153 |
| $2006-07$ | 3,765 | 1,080 | 0 | 937 | 3,316 | 1,195 | 5,782 | 10,293 |
| $2007-08$ | 6,221 | 2,705 | 590 | 640 | 0 | 0 | 10,156 | 10,156 |
| $2008-09$ | 6,604 | 3,654 | 226 | 2,023 | 579 | 55 | 12,507 | 13,141 |

Table 4. Summary of Inconnu marked with T-bar anchor tags in the Slave River at Fort Smith and subsequently recaptured from 1995 through 1999.

| Year | \# Marked | \# Returned | \% Returned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 346 | 105 | 30 |
| 1996 | 219 | 60 | 27 |
| 1997 | 124 | 29 | 23 |
| 1998 | 343 | 58 | 17 |
| 1999 | 364 | 59 | 16 |
| Total | 1396 | 311 |  |

Table 5. Number of Inconnu recaptured in each management area of Great Slave Lake which were marked in the Slave River at Fort Smith.

| Location of Recapture | \# Returned | \% Returned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IE | 15 | 5 |
| IW | 7 | 2 |
| II | 12 | 4 |
| III | 50 | 16 |
| IV | 18 | 6 |
| V | 142 | 46 |
| unknown | 33 | 11 |
| Slave River | 34 | 11 |
| Total | 311 |  |

Table 6. Number of Inconnu recaptured in each management area of Great Slave Lake which were marked at Resdelta Channel.

| Location of Recapture | \# Returned | \% Returned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IE | 3 | 10 |
| IW | 0 | 0 |
| II | 3 | 10 |
| III | 1 | 3 |
| IV | 3 | 10 |
| V | 16 | 53 |
| unknown | 1 | 3 |
| Slave River | 3 | 10 |
| Total | 30 |  |

Table 7. Summary of Inconnu marked with T-bar anchor tags at the mouth of the Buffalo River from 1995 to 2008.

| Year tagged | Month tagged | Tag ID | Tag color | \# tagged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | June 5-11 | SR 1001 - SR 1163 | Yellow | 150 |
| 1997 | June 1-5 | HGF 2801 - HGF 2900 | Orange | 100 |
| 1999 | June 1-3 | FT 0001 - FT 0165 | White | 154 |
| 2000 | June 8-9 | FT 0201 - FT 0301 | White | 91 |
| 2003 | May 30-June 9 | HGF 0551-HGF 0726 | Orange | 174 |
| 2006 | May. 30 | HGF 0751-HGF 0755 | $?$ | 5 |
| 2007 | May 26-31 | HGF 0802-HGF 0912 | $?$ | 100 |
| 2008 | May 25-June 3 | MC 0101-MC 0300 | $?$ | 255 |
| Total |  | BO 4300-BO 4354 |  | $?$ |

Table 8. Number of Inconnu recaptured in each management area of Great Slave Lake and other locations which were marked at the mouth of the Buffalo River.

| Recapture Area | \# Returned |
| :---: | :---: |
| IE | 70 |
| IW | 12 |
| III | 64 |
| IV | 3 |
| V | 7 |
| Little Buffalo R | 1 |
| Slave R | 1 |
| Hay R | 9 |
| Unknown | 11 |
| Total | 178 |

Table 9. Location of girds and the number of net-sets for the 2009 Fishery-Independent Harvest Study.

| Grid ID | Coordinates |  | \# Sets | \% of sets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $60^{\circ} 53.495^{\prime}$ | $116^{\circ} 03.450^{\prime}$ | 1 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2 | $60^{\circ} 52.750^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 55.500^{\prime}$ | 3 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 3 | $60^{\circ} 53.333^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 45.600^{\prime}$ | 4 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 4 | $60^{\circ} 52.585^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 35.200^{\prime}$ | 2 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 5 | $60^{\circ} 52.500^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 25.000^{\prime}$ | 7 | $10.8 \%$ |
| 6 | $60^{\circ} 53.000^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 14.790^{\prime}$ | 4 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 7 | $60^{\circ} 53.800^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 05.000^{\prime}$ | 2 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 8 | $60^{\circ} 57.200^{\prime}$ | $116^{\circ} 02.800^{\prime}$ | 2 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 9 | $60^{\circ} 57.500^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 55.600^{\prime}$ | 4 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 10 | $60^{\circ} 57.500^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 45.500^{\prime}$ | 3 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 11 | $60^{\circ} 57.500^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 35.000^{\prime}$ | 5 | $7.7 \%$ |
| 12 | $60^{\circ} 57.500^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 24.700^{\prime}$ | 4 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 13 | $60^{\circ} 57.500^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 15.000^{\prime}$ | 4 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 14 | $60^{\circ} 57.500^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 04.300^{\prime}$ | 2 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 15 | $60^{\circ} 57.000^{\prime}$ | $114^{\circ} 55.300^{\prime}$ | 3 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 16 | $61^{\circ} 02.500^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 55.700^{\prime}$ | 3 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 17 | $61^{\circ} 02.500^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 45.500^{\prime}$ | 3 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 18 | $61^{\circ} 02.500^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 35.000^{\prime}$ | 1 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 19 | $61^{\circ} 02.500^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 25.000^{\prime}$ | 1 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 20 | $61^{\circ} 02.500^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 14.500^{\prime}$ | 3 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 21 | $61^{\circ} 02.250^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 05.000^{\prime}$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 22 | $61^{\circ} 07.250^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 54.300^{\prime}$ | 1 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 23 | $61^{\circ} 07.500^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 45.500^{\prime}$ | 1 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 24 | $61^{\circ} 07.500^{\prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 35.000^{\prime}$ | 2 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  | 65 |

Table 10. Species composition and relative abundance caught during the 2009 Fishery-Independent Harvest Study.

| SPP | Total | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lake Whitefish | 1046 | 42.8 |
| Burbot | 591 | 24.2 |
| Longnose Sucker | 475 | 19.5 |
| Cisco | 200 | 8.2 |
| Inconnu | 77 | 3.2 |
| Lake Trout | 46 | 1.9 |
| Northern Pike | 6 | 0.2 |
| Yellow Walleye | 1 | 0.0 |
| Total | 2442 | 100.0 |

Table 11. Total number, relative abundance, and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) for Inconnu (INCO) caught by date and grid location during the 2009 Fishery-Independent Harvest Study.

| Date | Grid ID | Set Duration (hr) | \# INCO | \# Other SPP SPP | \% INCO | INCO CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28-Jun-09 | 6 | 91.3 | 13 | 315 | 4.0 | 0.04* |
| 1-Jul-09 | 3 | 24.4 | 0 | 230 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 3-Jul-09 | 13 | 22.5 | 1 | 31 | 3.1 | 0.04 |
| 3-Jul-09 | 15 | 22.3 | 3 | 26 | 10.3 | 0.13 |
| 4-Jul-09 | 5 | 23.2 | 0 | 10 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 4-Jul-09 | 16 | 16.3 | 0 | 24 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 5-Jul-09 | 23 | 23.2 | 0 | 33 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 5-Jul-09 | 7 | 23.0 | 1 | 11 | 8.3 | 0.04 |
| 6-Jul-09 | 9 | 23.8 | 2 | 9 | 18.2 | 0.08 |
| 6-Jul-09 | 2 | 23.3 | 2 | 17 | 10.5 | 0.09 |
| 7-Jul-09 | 8 | 22.8 | 1 | 9 | 10.0 | 0.04 |
| 7-Jul-09 | 3 | 21.8 | 0 | 29 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 8-Jul-09 | 12 | 23.4 | 1 | 22 | 4.3 | 0.04 |
| 8-Jul-09 | 7 | 22.5 | 3 | 8 | 27.3 | 0.13 |
| 10-Jul-09 | 22 | 26.3 | 1 | 37 | 2.6 | 0.04 |
| 10-Jul-09 | 20 | 21.8 | 1 | 22 | 4.3 | 0.05 |
| 16-Jul-09 | 12 | 48.1 | 1 | 28 | 3.4 | 0.02 |
| 16-Jul-09 | 11 | 48.1 | 2 | 24 | 7.7 | 0.04 |
| 18-Jul-09 | 24 | 24.2 | 0 | 62 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 18-Jul-09 | 14 | 24.6 | 5 | 80 | 5.9 | 0.20 |
| 19-Jul-09 | 12 | NA | 0 | 16 | 0.0 | NA |
| 19-Jul-09 | 5 | NA | 1 | 6 | 14.3 | NA |
| 21-Jul-09 | 2 | 23.0 | 0 | 14 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 21-Jul-09 | 5 | 22.9 | 1 | 10 | 9.1 | 0.04 |
| 22-Jul-09 | 6 | 24.5 | 2 | 10 | 16.7 | 0.08 |
| 22-Jul-09 | 13 | 23.6 | 3 | 19 | 13.6 | 0.13 |
| 23-Jul-09 | 12 | 22.3 | 3 | 23 | 11.5 | 0.13 |
| 23-Jul-09 | 17 | 20.3 | 0 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 24-Jul-09 | 20 | 24.0 | 0 | 21 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 24-Jul-09 | 1 | 23.8 | 0 | 12 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 28-Jul-09 | 20 | 22.4 | 1 | 26 | 3.7 | 0.04 |
| 28-Jul-09 | 4 | 20.8 | 0 | 35 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 29-Jul-09 | 18 | 22.9 | 0 | 0 | NA | 0.00 |
| 29-Jul-09 | 5 | 26.9 | 0 | 0 | NA | 0.00 |
| 30-Jul-09 | 6 | 25.6 | 1 | 31 | 3.1 | 0.04 |
| 30-Jul-09 | 5 | 24.2 | 0 | 24 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 31-Jul-09 | 9 | 20.0 | 0 | 14 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 31-Jul-09 | 3 | 20.1 | 0 | 64 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 4-Aug-09 | 11 | 21.5 | 1 | 31 | 3.1 | 0.05 |
| 4-Aug-09 | 3 | 19.4 | 0 | 78 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 5-Aug-09 | 16 | 25.3 | 0 | 37 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 5-Aug-09 | 11 | 22.4 | 3 | 26 | 10.3 | 0.13 |

[^0]Table 11. continued.

| Date | Grid ID | Set Duration <br> (hr) | \# INCO | \# Other <br> SPP | \% INCO | INCO CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6-Aug-09 | 16 | 22.3 | 0 | 33 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 6-Aug-09 | 2 | 23.3 | 1 | 32 | 3.0 | 0.04 |
| 9-Aug-09 | 4 | 70.4 | 0 | 38 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 9-Aug-09 | 15 | 70.5 | 0 | 89 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 11-Aug-09 | 11 | 23.4 | 3 | 27 | 10.0 | 0.13 |
| 11-Aug-09 | 14 | 23.4 | 0 | 30 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 13-Aug-09 | 17 | 46.5 | 0 | 32 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 13-Aug-09 | 10 | 45.5 | 0 | 22 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 14-Aug-09 | 6 | 95.2 | 0 | 30 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 20-Aug-09 | 24 | 94.5 | 0 | 76 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 19-Aug-09 | 8 | 46.7 | 0 | 24 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 19-Aug-09 | 10 | 47.2 | 2 | 51 | 3.8 | 0.04 |
| 20-Aug-09 | 9 | 27.5 | 0 | 22 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 20-Aug-09 | 11 | 24.7 | 1 | 59 | 1.7 | 0.04 |
| 24-Aug-09 | 19 | 94.8 | 0 | 61 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 24-Aug-09 | 17 | 93.9 | 0 | 47 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 27-Aug-09 | 9 | 25.5 | 0 | 21 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 28-Aug-09 | 13 | 46.7 | 2 | 24 | 7.7 | 0.04 |
| 29-Aug-09 | 10 | 24.3 | 1 | 23 | 4.2 | 0.04 |
| 29-Aug-09 | 13 | 24.1 | 0 | 12 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 30-Aug-09 | 5 | 26.8 | 6 | 25 | 19.4 | 0.22 |
| 30-Aug-09 | 15 | 23.5 | 1 | 18 | 5.3 | 0.04 |
| 31-Aug-09 | 5 | 21.3 | 5 | 25 | 16.7 | 0.23 |
| Total |  | $2,074.6$ | 75 | 2,365 | 3.2 | 0.04 |

Table 12. Water depth and number of Inconnu (INCO) caught during the 2009 Fishery-Independent Harvest Study.

| Grid ID | Date Net Checked | Lat ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{mmm}$ | Long $\mathrm{d}^{\circ} \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{mmm}$ | Depth of water (m) | \# INCO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 8-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 53.474$ | $115^{\circ} 05.212$ | 4.6 | 3 |
| 7 | 5-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 53.768$ | $115^{\circ} 05.356$ | 5.2 | 1 |
| 5 | 4-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 52.579$ | $115^{\circ} 24.520$ | 8.5 | 0 |
| 1 | 24-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 53.530$ | $116^{\circ} 03.172$ | 8.5 | 0 |
| 5 | 21-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 52.387$ | $115^{\circ} 25.181$ | 9.4 | 1 |
| 5 | 19-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 52.456$ | $115^{\circ} 24.822$ | 9.4 | 1 |
| 5 | 29-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 52.511$ | $115^{\circ} 25.193$ | 9.8 | na |
| 5 | 31-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 52.631$ | $115^{\circ} 24.820$ | 9.8 | 5 |
| 6 | 22-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 53.047$ | $115^{\circ} 14.884$ | 9.8 | 2 |
| 5 | 30-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 52.472$ | $115^{\circ} 25.293$ | 10.1 | 0 |
| 5 | 30-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 52.607$ | $115^{\circ} 24.972$ | 10.1 | 6 |
| 6 | 14-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 53.027$ | $115^{\circ} 14.857$ | 10.1 | 0 |
| 6 | 30-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 53.098$ | $115^{\circ} 14.939$ | 10.1 | 1 |
| 6 | 28-Jun-09 | $60^{\circ} 53.122$ | $115^{\circ} 14.777$ | 10.1 | 13 |
| 2 | 6-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 55.667$ | $115^{\circ} 54.972$ | 10.4 | 2 |
| 2 | 21-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 52.804$ | $115^{\circ} 55.155$ | 10.7 | 0 |
| 3 | 1-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 53.327$ | $115^{\circ} 45.786$ | 10.7 | 0 |
| 2 | 6-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 52.884$ | $115^{\circ} 55.448$ | 11.0 | 1 |
| 3 | 4-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 53.487$ | $115^{\circ} 45.621$ | 11.6 | 0 |
| 3 | 31-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 53.545$ | $115^{\circ} 46.181$ | 12.2 | 0 |
| 3 | 7-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 53.764$ | $115^{\circ} 47.384$ | 12.2 | 0 |
| 4 | 28-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 52.512$ | $115^{\circ} 35.090$ | 12.5 | 0 |
| 4 | 9-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 52.550$ | $115^{\circ} 35.268$ | 12.5 | 0 |
| 15 | 3-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 56.812$ | $114^{\circ} 55.354$ | 13.4 | 3 |
| 15 | 9-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 56.936$ | $114^{\circ} 55.497$ | 14.0 | 0 |
| 15 | 30-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 56.974$ | $114^{\circ} 55.414$ | 14.0 | 1 |
| 14 | 18-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.532$ | $115^{\circ} 04.341$ | 15.2 | 5 |
| 8 | 7-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.131$ | $116^{\circ} 02.633$ | 15.5 | 1 |
| 8 | 19-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.133$ | $116^{\circ} 02.659$ | 15.5 | 0 |
| 14 | 11-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.458$ | $115^{\circ} 35.083$ | 15.5 | 0 |
| 13 | 22-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.415$ | $115^{\circ} 15.009$ | 16.2 | 3 |
| 22 | 10-Jul-09 | $61^{\circ} 07.213$ | $115^{\circ} 53.934$ | 16.5 | 1 |
| 13 | 28-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.476$ | $115^{\circ} 15.106$ | 16.8 | 2 |
| 13 | 29-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.520$ | $115^{\circ} 15.123$ | 16.8 | 0 |
| 13 | 3-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.667$ | $115^{\circ} 14.940$ | 16.8 | 1 |
| 9 | 31-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 56.826$ | $115^{\circ} 54.104$ | 17.1 | 0 |
| 9 | 6-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.337$ | $115^{\circ} 55.684$ | 17.4 | 2 |
| 9 | 27-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.447$ | $115^{\circ} 55.428$ | 17.7 | 0 |
| 9 | 20-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.480$ | $115^{\circ} 55.729$ | 17.7 | 0 |
| 12 | 19-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.510$ | $115^{\circ} 24.310$ | 17.7 | 0 |

Table 12. continued.

| Grid ID | Date Net Checked | Lat $\mathrm{d}^{\circ} \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{mmm}$ | Long $\mathrm{d}^{\circ} \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{mmm}$ | Depth of water (m) | \# INCO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 23-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.404$ | $115^{\circ} 24.750$ | 18.3 | 3 |
| 12 | 8-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.473$ | $115^{\circ} 24.726$ | 18.3 | 1 |
| 12 | 16-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.609$ | $115^{\circ} 24.761$ | 18.3 | 1 |
| 10 | 29-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.426$ | $115^{\circ} 45.433$ | 18.9 | 1 |
| 10 | 19-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.439$ | $115^{\circ} 45.788$ | 18.9 | 2 |
| 16 | 6-Aug-09 | $61^{\circ} 02.588$ | $115^{\circ} 55.674$ | 19.2 | 0 |
| 10 | 13-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.542$ | $115^{\circ} 45.372$ | 19.5 | 0 |
| 16 | 4-Jul-09 | $61^{\circ} 01.878$ | $115^{\circ} 55.616$ | 19.5 | 0 |
| 16 | 5-Aug-09 | $61^{\circ} 02.461$ | $115^{\circ} 55.617$ | 19.5 | 0 |
| 11 | 20-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.393$ | $115^{\circ} 35.096$ | 21.3 | 1 |
| 11 | 5-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.366$ | $115^{\circ} 35.312$ | 21.6 | 3 |
| 11 | 4-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.429$ | $115^{\circ} 35.330$ | 21.6 | 1 |
| 11 | 11-Aug-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.457$ | $115^{\circ} 35.082$ | 21.6 | 3 |
| 11 | 16-Jul-09 | $60^{\circ} 57.481$ | $115^{\circ} 34.881$ | 21.6 | 2 |
| 20 | 24-Jul-09 | $61^{\circ} 02.372$ | $115^{\circ} 14.631$ | 23.5 | 0 |
| 20 | 10-Jul-09 | $61^{\circ} 02.434$ | $115^{\circ} 14.653$ | 23.5 | 1 |
| 20 | 28-Jul-09 | $61^{\circ} 02.446$ | $115^{\circ} 14.681$ | 23.5 | 1 |
| 17 | 24-Aug-09 | $61^{\circ} 02.532$ | $115^{\circ} 45.660$ | 23.5 | 0 |
| 17 | 23-Jul-09 | $61^{\circ} 02.280$ | $115^{\circ} 44.844$ | 23.8 | 0 |
| 17 | 13-Aug-09 | $61^{\circ} 02.558$ | $115^{\circ} 45.382$ | 23.8 | 0 |
| 23 | 5-Jul-09 | $61^{\circ} 07.187$ | $115^{\circ} 45.500$ | 24.7 | 0 |
| 18 | 29-Jul-09 | $61^{\circ} 02.447$ | $115^{\circ} 34.891$ | 31.1 | na |
| 19 | 24-Aug-09 | $61^{\circ} 02.531$ | $115^{\circ} 24.973$ | 31.1 | 0 |
| 24 | 20-Aug-09 | $61^{\circ} 07.496$ | $115^{\circ} 35.022$ | 32.9 | 0 |
| 24 | 18-Jul-09 | $61^{\circ} 07.397$ | $115^{\circ} 34.909$ | 33.5 | 0 |

Table 13. Results of pairwise Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests comparing age-frequency distributions of Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River from 1947 to 2008. Black cells indicate a statistically significant difference ( $d f=28, p<0.05$ ) was found.


Table 14. Results of pairwise Bonferroni tests comparing mean age of Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River from 1947 to 2008. Black cells indicate a statistically significant difference ( $d f=28, p<0.0018$ ) was found.

| X | 1947 | 1948 | 1955 | 1956 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1997 | 1999 | 2003 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | x | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1948 | x | x |  |  | 0.0212 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1955 | x | x | x | 0.0246 | 0.0020 | 1.0000 |  | 0.2392 | 1.0000 | 0.5234 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0082 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0304 | 0.0475 |  |  |
| 1956 | x | x | x | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0092 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0054 | 1.0000 |  |  | 0.1742 |  |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 |
| 1976 | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.5538 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0033 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.2111 | 0.0215 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 |
| 1977 | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  | 0.6095 | 0.3278 | 0.1877 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0060 |  | 1.0000 | 0.3377 | 0.0986 | 0.0127 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 |
| 1978 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 0.0312 |  | 0.0028 | 1.0000 |  |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1496 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0032 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 |
| 1979 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  | 0.0033 |  |  | 0.0218 | 1.0000 |  |  | 0.2448 | 0.0028 |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 |
| 1980 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 0.1652 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0000 |  |  | 0.0028 |  |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 |
| 1981 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0000 |  |  | 0.0482 |  |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 |
| 1982 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $\times$ | x |  |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0838 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 |
| 1983 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0150 |  |
| 1984 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0000 |  |
| 1985 | x | $x$ | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 0.0031 | 0.0309 | 0.0734 |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0332 | 0.1692 | 0.4808 | 1.0000 |  |  | 0.0107 |  |
| 1986 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.4926 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 0.4785 |  | 0.5335 |
| 1987 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $x$ | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6026 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 0.1760 |  | 0.1750 |
| 1988 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 0.2153 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 0.0581 |  | 0.0459 |
| 1989 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1222 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 |
| 1990 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $\times$ | x |  |  | 1.0000 | 0.8245 | 0.0797 | 0.0085 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 |
| 1991 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $x$ | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0937 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 |
| 1994 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $x$ | x | x | x | x | x | $x$ | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 0.2618 |  | 0.3143 |
| 1997 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $x$ | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 |  | 0.0208 |  | 0.0149 |
| 1999 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $x$ | x |  | 0.0024 |  | 0.0021 |
| 2003 | x | $x$ | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $x$ | x | x | $x$ | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 |
| 2006 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  | 1.0000 |
| 2007 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |
| 2008 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |

Table 15. Results of pairwise Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests comparing fork length-frequency distributions of Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River from 1947 to 2008. Black cells indicate a statistically significant difference ( $d f=29, p<0.05$ ) was found.


Table 16. Results of pairwise Bonferroni tests comparing mean fork length of Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River from 1947 to 2008. Black cells indicate a statistically significant difference ( $d f=29, p<0.0017$ ) was found.

| X | 1947 | 1948 | 1955 | 1956 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2003 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | x | 1.0000 |  |  |  | 0.0156 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0000 |  |  |  |
| 1948 | x | x |  |  |  | 0.1556 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0055 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0000 |  |  |  |
| 1955 | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1722 |  |  |  | 1.0000 |  |  | 0.0026 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0079 |
| 1956 | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  |  | 1.0000 |  |  | 0.0119 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.3301 | 0.0063 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0488 |
| 1976 | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 0.7129 |  |  |  | 1.0000 |  |  | 0.0076 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0028 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0018 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0252 |
| 1977 | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 |  |  |  | 1.0000 |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.3210 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.3223 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1978 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  |  | 1.0000 |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1192 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1979 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  |  | 0.0091 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5303 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  | 0.2331 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0650 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0966 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.5814 |
| 1983 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 0.5425 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | x | x | x | x | x | $x$ | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0131 | 0.0796 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 0.1425 | 0.3853 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 0.0410 | 1.0000 |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1986 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6110 | 0.0464 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0380 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.4434 |
| 1987 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.4475 | 0.1136 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1236 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1988 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 | 0.1682 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 0.0136 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1989 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 0.0612 | 0.4900 | 0.0034 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6831 |  | 0.3580 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1990 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  | 1.0000 | 0.0209 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 0.0084 | 1.0000 | 0.0942 |  |
| 1991 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 0.7687 | 1.0000 |  | 0.0145 | 1.0000 |  |  | 0.5459 | 1.0000 |
| 1992 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 0.0188 | 0.1981 |  |  | 1.0000 |  |  | 0.0047 | 1.0000 |
| 1993 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1994 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $\times$ | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 0.1197 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1995 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $x$ | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $x$ | 1.0000 |  |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |
| 1997 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 0.0099 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1342 |
| 1999 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  | 0.8133 | 1.0000 |
| 2003 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $x$ | x | $\times$ | x | x | 0.0024 |  |  |
| 2006 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | 0.5021 |  |
| 2007 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $\times$ | x | 1.0000 |
| 2008 | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | x | x | x | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | x | x | $\times$ | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | $\times$ | $\times$ |

Table 17. Sample size, mean, and standard deviation (SD) of fork length at age from 1947 to 2008.


Table 17. continued.

|  |  | 11 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 15 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 18 |  |  | 24 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n$ | Mean | SD | $n$ | Mean | $S D$ | $n$ | Mean | $S D$ | $n$ | Mean | $S D$ | $n$ | Mean | $S D$ | $n$ | Mean | $S D$ | $n$ | Mean | SD | $n$ | Mean | $S D$ | $n$ | Mean | $S D$ |
| 1947 | 21 | 804 | 52 | 11 | 838 | 42 | 3 | 855 | 29 | 1 | 1105 | na | 1 | 921 | na | 3 | 978 | 117 | 2 | 1105 | 54 | 0 | na | na | 2 | 1204 | 40 |
| 1948 | 16 | 808 | 70 | 4 | 843 | 23 | 1 | 845 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1955 | 1 | 708 | na | 1 | 620 | na | 2 | 961 | 327 | 0 | na | na | 2 | 1008 | 137 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 1 | 1105 | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1956 | 13 | 813 | 108 | 6 | 954 | 55 | 3 | 890 | 39 | 1 | 876 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1976 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1977 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1978 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1979 | 1 | 820 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1980 | 1 | 670 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1981 | 1 | 630 | na | 1 | 626 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1982 | 1 | 810 | na | 1 | 800 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1983 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1984 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1985 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1986 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1987 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1988 | 1 | 847 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1989 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1990 | 1 | 853 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1991 | 1 | 910 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1992 | 1 | 888 | na | 2 | 824 | 55 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1993 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1994 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1997 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 1999 | 1 | 775 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 1 | 857 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 2003 | 0 | na | na | 3 | 884 | 104 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 2006 | 3 | 922 | 78 | 1 | 800 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 2007 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |
| 2008 | 4 | 700 | 69 | 2 | 906 | 100 | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | na |

Table 18. Results from ANOVA tests for difference in mean fork length at ages five through 10 for Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River from 1947 to 2008.

| Age | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{d f}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 711 | 26 | 27.5 | $<0.000$ |
| 6 | 1347 | 28 | 54.3 | $<0.000$ |
| 7 | 1251 | 28 | 55.9 | $<0.000$ |
| 8 | 918 | 27 | 45.7 | $<0.000$ |
| 9 | 499 | 26 | 29.6 | $<0.000$ |
| 10 | 196 | 20 | 11.12 | $<0.000$ |

Table 19a. Results of Bonferroni pairwise tests for differences in mean fork length at age five (top right, $d f=26, \alpha=0.0018$ ) and six (bottom left, $d f=28$, $\alpha=0.0017$ ) for Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River from 1947 to 2008. Comparisons that are significantly different are shaded in black.

| X | 1947 | 1948 | 1955 | 1956 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1997 | 1999 | 2003 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | $\times$ | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0732 | 1.0000 |
| 1948 | 1.0000 | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 1955 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | x | 1.0000 | 0.0008 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.5015 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0007 | 0.4288 |
| 1956 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 0.0155 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0068 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0017 | 0.0001 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0001 | 1.0000 |
| 1976 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.000 | $\times$ | x | 0.0520 | 0.0013 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.5650 | 0.0219 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0761 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0001 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 |
| 1977 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 1978 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | x | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1634 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0333 | 0.0100 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1979 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | . 0000 |
| 1980 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0121 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 |
| 1981 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3193 | 1.0000 | x | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1982 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | . 000 | 000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.3346 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1983 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0468 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0656 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0762 |
| 1984 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.5950 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1299 | 0.0098 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0341 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0833 |
| 1985 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0092 | 1.0000 | 0.3190 | 0000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 0.0896 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0210 | 0.0090 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1986 | 0.0001 | 1.0000 | 0.0091 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0629 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1944 | 0.1414 | 0.0848 | 0.0034 | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0052 | 1.0000 |
| 1987 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0003 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.2573 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 |
| 1988 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.2918 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6599 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.0001 | 1.000 |
| 1989 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0623 | 1.0000 |
| 1990 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 0.0530 | 0.0167 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9493 | 1.0000 |
| 1991 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | . 0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.3285 | 1.0000 | 0.0282 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1992 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.2269 | 1.0000 | 0.0068 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1993 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0006 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1005 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1110 |
| 1994 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.7163 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0003 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0012 | 0.888 |
| 1997 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1548 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1084 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | x | 0.3123 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3677 |
| 1999 | 0.0011 | 1.0000 | 0.0284 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.1350 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3997 | 0.6970 | 0.2390 | 0.0722 | 1.0000 | 0.0027 | 0.0000 | 0.0006 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0065 | 0.0072 | 0.5107 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.000 | 1.0000 |
| 2003 | 0.0320 | 1.0000 | 0.7982 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0844 | 0.0000 | 0.0033 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2042 | 0.1466 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 2006 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.2524 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | x | 0.6434 | 1.0000 |
| 2007 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3864 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 |
| 2008 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0074 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.2807 | 0.0617 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | $\times$ |

Table 19b. Results of Bonferroni pairwise tests for differences in mean fork length at age seven (top right, $d f=28, \alpha=0.0017$ ) and eight (bottom left $d f=27, \alpha=0.0018$ ) for Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River from 1947 to 2008. Comparisons that are significantly different are shaded in black.

| x | 1947 | 1948 | 1955 | 1956 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1997 | 1999 | 2003 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.4575 |
| 1948 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0451 |
| 1955 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0045 | 0.7097 | 1.0000 | 0.2671 | 0.0264 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1785 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.7505 | 0.0006 | 0.2007 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1956 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1203 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8945 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0031 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.6769 |
| 1976 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0405 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0137 |
| 1977 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.2795 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0868 | 1.0000 | 0.0003 | 1.0000 |
| 1978 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0143 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0649 | 0.0479 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1332 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.6653 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | . 0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1979 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.0443 | 0.0276 | 0.0000 | 0.1074 | 0.0200 | x | 0.0044 | 0.1424 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 |
| 1980 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0000 | x | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1981 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1982 | 1.0000 | 0.7262 | 0.4520 | 0.0120 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9237 | 1.0000 | 0.0002 | 1.0000 |
| 1983 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0041 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1440 | 0.2914 | 1.0000 | 0.9008 | 1.0000 | 0.6716 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0003 |
| 1984 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.3317 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0010 | 0.0581 |
| 1985 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0006 | 0.0111 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0004 | 1.0000 |
| 1986 | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1987 | 0.6239 | 0.0138 | 0.0235 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6553 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0025 | 0.0002 |
| 1988 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1850 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1989 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0289 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0683 |
| 1990 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0086 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.000 | 0.0000 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 |
| 1991 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1178 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0093 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1992 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0391 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.000 | 0.0398 |
| 1993 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.2632 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.000 | 0.6793 |
| 1994 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0003 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0057 |
| 1997 | 0.8703 | 0.0523 | 0.0441 | 0.0001 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.5580 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | x | 1.0000 | 0.0289 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1999 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.7516 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0024 | 0.0079 |
| 2003 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0057 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1038 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | x | 0.0000 | 0.0563 | 0.0000 |
| 2006 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1068 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 0.0000 | 0.1596 |
| 2007 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0098 | 0.0067 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.2376 | $\times$ | 0.0926 | 0.0099 | 0.6477 | 0.0114 | 0.0223 | 0.3939 | 0.7723 | 0.0006 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1054 | x | 0.0000 |
| 2008 | 0.0000 | 0.0035 | 0.3125 | 0.3850 | 0.0000 | 0.3759 | 0.1297 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0157 | 0.5854 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 0.0000 | 0.0006 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1233 | 0.0000 | 0.0014 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | $\times$ |

Table 19c. Results of Bonferroni pairwise tests for differences in mean fork length at age nine (top right, $d f=27, \alpha=0.0018$ ) and 10 (bottom left, $d f=23$, $\alpha=0.0021$ ) for Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River from 1947 to 2008. Comparisons that are significantly different are shaded in black.

| x | 1947 | 1948 | 1955 | 1956 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1997 | 1999 | 2003 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 0.5212 | 0.0711 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0019 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0003 | 0.0097 | 0.0111 | 0.0000 |
| 1948 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 0.7868 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0141 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0058 | 0.0074 | 0.0001 |
| 1955 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 0.0721 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0204 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0110 | 0.0025 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6495 | 0.0114 | 1.0000 | 0.0108 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 1.0000 |
| 1956 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | x | 0.0400 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0075 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0010 | 0.0005 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9554 | 0.0177 | 1.0000 | 0.0096 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 1.0000 |
| 1976 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0008 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.4715 | 0.0000 |
| 1977 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0006 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6463 | 0.3478 | 0.0221 | 1.0000 |
| 1978 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0008 | 0.0054 | 0.0014 | 0.2672 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0781 | 0.1283 |
| 1979 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0277 | 0.0008 | 1.0000 | 0.0002 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1980 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0075 | 0.0468 | 0.0166 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0103 | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.0021 | 0.0046 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0039 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 |
| 1981 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0005 | 0.0016 | 0.0024 | 0.8354 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | x | 0.0000 | 0.0169 | x | $\times$ | 0.0037 | 0.0078 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0078 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0010 |
| 1982 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0284 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | x | 1.0000 | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8691 | 0.0000 |
| 1983 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.3821 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1984 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 1985 | 0.0033 | 0.0019 | 0.0354 | 0.2586 | 0.0254 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 1986 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1987 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1988 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.3528 | 0.0000 |
| 1989 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0282 | 1.0000 | 0.3782 | 0.0539 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 0.4354 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1990 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1247 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 0.0001 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.2515 |
| 1991 | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.3759 | 1.0000 |
| 1992 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0575 | 1.0000 | 0.6006 | 0.1697 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 0.3972 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.5255 | 0.0012 |
| 1993 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0734 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 0.0001 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0001 |
| 1994 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | x | x | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.3553 | 0.2569 |
| 1997 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1999 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0043 | 1.0000 | 0.0521 | 0.0086 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 0.0639 | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $x$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | x | x | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.5773 | 1.0000 |
| 2003 | 0.0033 | 0.0067 | 0.1686 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3189 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.1368 | x | 0.0000 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.0511 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1.0000 | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2006 | 0.1102 | 0.1793 | 0.7318 | 0.0027 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.6087 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.3660 | x | 0.0000 | $\times$ | x | $x$ | 0.2304 | 1.0000 | $x$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $x$ | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2007 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | x | 0.0000 |
| 2008 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0054 | 1.0000 | 0.0630 | 0.0052 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | x | 0.1523 | $\times$ | x | x | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | x | $\times$ | 1.0000 | 0.0254 | 0.1713 | $\times$ | $\times$ |

## APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Description of gonads used during visual inspection to determine gender and sexual maturity stage for Inconnu caught at the mouth of the Buffalo River.

| Maturity <br> Code | Maturity <br> Stage | SEX | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | unknown <br> (virgin) | unknown | cannot be sexed, gonads long or short and thin, <br> transparent or translucent |
| 1 | immature | Female | ovaries granular in texture, hard and triangular in shape, <br> up to full length of body cavity, membrane firm |
| 2 | mature | Female | current year spawner, ovary fills body cavity, eggs near <br> full size but not loose, not expelled by pressure |
| 3 | ripe | Female | ovaries greatly extended and fill body cavity, eggs full size <br> and transparent, expelled by slight pressure |
| 5 | resting | Female | Female <br> ovarity 40-50\% of body cavity, membrane thin, loose, and <br> semi-transparent, healed from spawning |
| 6 | immature | Male | spawning complete, ovaries ruptured and flaccid, seed <br> eggs visle, some retained eggs in body cavity |
| 7 | mull body length, putty-like firmness |  |  |

Appendix 2. Variation Order 99/00-201 describing spatial and temporal areas closed to commercial fishing in Great Slave Lake in 1999-2000. 'Zones' correspond to map in Appendix 3.

## FISHERIES ACT

Central and Arctic Region Variation Order No. 99/00-201
The Regional Director General of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans for the Central and Arctic Region pursuant to subsection 6(1) of the Fishery (General) Regulations, hereby makes the annexed Order varying the close times) and/or quotas) for commercial fishing in certain waters of the Northwest Territories as set out in the Schedule to this Order.


R. J. Pierce

Director General
Central and Arctic Region
Winnipeg Manitoba

## Short Title

1. This Order may be cited as the Central and Arctic Region Variation Order No. 99/00-201

## ORDER VARYING THE CLOSE TIMES FOR COMMERCIAL FISHING IN CERTAIN WATERS OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

## Variation

2. The close time for commercial fishing in certain waters as set out in Column IV of each item in Schedule $V$ to the Northwest Territories Fishery Regulations is hereby varied to that set out in Column IV of that item in the Schedule attached to this Order.

## Coming into Force

3. This Order shall come into force on April 6, 1999 and remain in force as set out in the Schedule attached to this Order, until March 31.2000, at which time the close time and quota shall revert to that set out for that item in Schedule V to the Northwest Territories Fishery Regulations.

SCHEDULE
(Schedule V)
CLOSE TIMES

| Item | $\frac{\text { Column I }}{\text { Waters }}$ | $\frac{\text { Column IV }}{\text { Close Times }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

## Region II - Slave Mackenzie

13 That portion of Area I (East) of Great Slave Lake within 4.8 km ( 2.6 nautical miles) of the shore beginning at $60^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$ north latitude and $115^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude on a line drawn $360^{\circ}$ true from Fish Point in $60^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $115^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude and a point intersecting the easterly boundary of Area । (East) in $60^{\circ} 57^{\prime \prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude.

14 That portion of Area II of Great Slave Lake Area beginning at the north shore of the north branch of the Jean River at its confluence with Great Slave Lake, then westerly along the northern boundary of Area III to a point at $61^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude, then northeasterly to a point at $61^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude then south along a straight line to the north shore of the north branch of the Jean River at its confluence with Great Slave Lake.

15 That portion of Area III of Great Slave Lake lying east of a line drawn from a point intersecting the boundary of the Fort Resolution Domestic Fishing Zone at $61^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude to a point intersecting the southerly boundary of Area II at $61^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude.

April 6, 1999 to June 21, 1999
Zone ' $A$ ' in Appendix 3.

April 6, 1999 to June 30, 1999
Zone ' $E$ ' in Appendix 3.

April 6, 1999 to June 30, 1999
Zone ' $D$ ' in Appendix 3.

## SCHEDULE

 (Schedule V)
## CLOSE TIMES

| Item | Column I |
| :--- | :--- |
| Waters | Column IV <br> Close Times |

15 That portion of Area III of Great Slave Lake lying east of a line drawn from a point on the mainland at $60^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude to the most easterly tip of Birch Island, thence to the most easterly tip of Loutit Island, and thence in a northeasterly direction to a point intersecting the boundary of the Fort Resolution Domestic Fishing Zone at $61^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude.

15 That portion of Area III of Great Slave Lake within 4.8 km ( 2.6 nautical miles) of the shore between a point intersecting the easterly boundary of Area I East at $60^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and a line drawn $360^{\circ}$ true from Pine Point at $61^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $114^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 30^{-}$ west longitude.

16 That portion of Area IV of Great Slave Lake lying south of a line drawn from the east boundary of Area II at $61^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude, to the west boundary of Area V at $61^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude.

17 That portion of Area V of Great Slave Lake Area lying south and west of a line drawn from the west boundary of said area at $61^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude, to a point on the mainland 2 km (1.1 nautical miles) south and east of Stony Island at $62^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude.

April 6, 1999 to March 31, 2000
Zone ' $C$ ' in Appendix 3.

April 6, 1999 to June 21, 1900
Zone 'B' in Appendix 3.

April 6, 1999 to June 30, 1999
Zone ' $F$ ' in Appendix 3.

April 6, 1999 to June 30, 1999
Zone ' $G$ ' in Appendix 3.

Appendix 3. Map of southern Great Slave Lake showing areas closed to commercial fishing in 1999-2000. Letters correspond to area closures as described in Appendix 2.


Appendix 4. Variation Order 01/02-204 describing spatial and temporal areas closed to commercial fishing in Great Slave Lake in 2001-2002. 'Zones' correspond to map in Appendix 5.

## FISHERIES ACT

Central and Arctic Region Variation Order No. 01/02-204

The Regional Director General of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans for the Central and Arctic Region pursuant to subsection 6(1) of the Fishery (General) Regulations, hereby makes the annexed Order varying the close time(s) and/or quota(s) for commercial lishing in certain waters of the Northwest Territories as set out in the Schedule to this Order.


## Short Title

1. This Order may be cited as the Central and Arctic Region Variation Order No. 01/02-204

ORDER VARYING THE GLOSE TIMES FOR COMMERCIAL FISHING IN CERTAIN WATERS OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

## Variation

2. The close time for commercial fishing in certain waters as set out in Column IV of each item in Schedule V to the Norlhwest Territories Fishery Regulations is hereby varied to that set out in Column NV of that item in the Schedule attached to this Order.

## Coming into Force

3. This Order shall come into force on May 28, 2001 and remain in force as set out in the Schedule attached to this Order, until March 31, 2002, at which time the close time and quota shall revert to that set out for that item in Schedule V to the Northwest Territories Fishery Regulations.

# Variation Order <br> No. 01/02-204 <br> SCHEDULE <br> (Schedule V) <br> CLOSE TIMES 

Item

## Column I

Column IV
Waters
Close Times

## Region II - Slave Mackenzie

13 That portion of Area I (East) of Great Slave Lake within 4.8 km ( 2.6 nautical miles) of the shore beginning at $60^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$ north latitude and $115^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 30^{\circ}$ west longitude on a line drawn $360^{\circ}$ true from Fish Point in $60^{\circ} 50^{\circ} 25^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $115^{\circ} 20^{\prime \prime} 15^{\circ}$ west longitude and a point intersecting the easterly boundary of Area I (East) in $60^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 45^{\circ}$ north latilude,

14 That portion of Area II of Great Slave Lake Area beginning at the north shore of the north brench of the Jean River at its confluence with Great Slave

May 28, 2001 to June 21, 2001
Zone ' $A$ ' in Appendix 5. Lake, then westerly along the northern boundary of Area III to a point at $61^{\prime \prime} 21^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 53^{\circ} 00^{*}$ west longitude, then northeasterly to a point at $61^{\prime \prime} 25^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\prime} 42^{\prime} 00^{\prime}$ west longitude then soulh along a straight line to the north shore of the north branch of the Jean River at its confluence with Great Slave Lake.

15 That portion of Area III of Great Slave Lake lying east of a line drawn from a point intersecting the boundary of the Fort Resolution Domestic Fishing Zone at $61^{\circ} 10^{\circ} 25^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 58^{\circ} 45^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude to a point intersecting the southerly boundary of Area II at $61^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 10^{*}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude.

# Variation Order <br> No. 01/02-204 <br> SCHEDULE <br> (Schedule V) <br> CLOSE TIMES 

Item
Column I

## Waters

15 That portion of Area Ill of Great Slave Lake lying east of a line drawn from a polnt on the mainland at $60^{\circ} 59^{\prime 2} 20^{\prime \prime}$ north latitudo and $113^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude to the most easterly tip of Birch Island, thence to the most easterly tip of Loutit Island, and thence in a northeasterly direction to a point intersecting the boundary of the Fort Resolution Domestic Fishing Zone at $61^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 25^{\prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 45^{\circ}$ west longitude.

15 That portion of Area III of Great Slave Lake within 4.8 km ( 2.6 nautical miles) of the shore between a point intersecting the easterly boundary of Area I East at $60^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and a line drawn $360^{\circ}$ true from Pine Point at $61^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 45^{\circ}$ north latitude and $114^{\circ} 15^{\circ} 30^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude.

16 That portion of Area IV of Great Slave Lake lying south of a line drawn from the east boundary of Area II at $61^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 45^{\circ}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 42^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude, to the west boundary of Area V at $61^{\circ} 30^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude.

17 That portion of Area V of Great Slave Lake Area lying south and west of a line drawn from the west boundary of said area at $61^{\circ} 300^{\prime} 00^{-}$north latitude and $113^{+} 30^{\circ} 00^{\circ}$ west longitude, to a point on the mainland 2 km ( 1.1 nautical miles) south and east of Stony island at $62^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 40^{\circ}$ north latitude and $113^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ west longitude.

## 17 That portion of Area V of Great Slave Lake lying

 within three km ( 1,6 nautical miles) from the shore between lines drawn due North at points $61.8^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $112.8^{\circ} 53^{\circ} 00^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $61.8^{\circ} 30.30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $112.8^{\circ} 3400^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$.
## Column IV

Close Times ${ }^{\text { }}$

May 28, 2001 to March 31, 2002
Zone ' $C$ ' in Appendix 5.

May 28, 2001 to June 21. 2001
Zone 'B' in Appendix 5.

May 28, 2001 to June 30, 2001
Zone 'F' in Appendix 5.

May 28, 2001 to June 30, 2001
Zone ' $G$ ' in Appendix 5.

May 28, 2001 to March 31, 2002
Zone highlighted in the bottom map in Appendix 5.

Explanatory Note:
This Order maintains the Buffalo River, Slave River, Little Buffalo River closed areas and creates the Talston River closed area as recommended by the Great Slave Lake Advisory Committee.

Commercial fishing is not permitted in the closed areas of:
Buffalo River from May 28 to June 21, 2001,
Slave River from May 28, 2001 to June 30, 2001.
Little Buffalo River and Talston River from May 28, 2001 to March 31, 2002.
Consult the nearest office of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans for more information.

The Fort Resolution Domestic Fishing Zone remains in effect.
The Hay River Domestic Fishing Zone remains in effect.
The Buffalo River commercial closure remains in effect.

Appendix 5. Map of southern Great Slave Lake showing areas closed to commercial fishing in 2001. Letters correspond to area closures as described in Appendix 4.


Appendix 6. Variation Order 05/06-208 describing spatial and temporal areas closed to commercial fishing in Great Slave Lake in 2005-2006. 'Zones' correspond to map in Appendix 7.

Fisheries and Oceans Pêches et Océans
Canada Canada

## FISHERIES ACT

Central and Arctic Region Variation Order No. 05/06-208

The Regional Director General of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans for the Central and Arctic Region, pursuant to subsection 6(1) of the Fishery (General) Regulations, hereby makes the annexed Order varying the closed times for commercial fishing in certain waters of Great Slave Lake in the Northwest Territories as set out in the Schedule to this Order.

[^1]
## Short Title

1. This Order may be cited as the Central and Arctic Region Variation Order No. 05/06-208

# ORDER VARYING THE CLOSED TIMES FOR COMMERCIAL FISHING IN CERTAIN WATERS OF GREAT SLAVE LAKE IN THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES 

## Variation

2. The closed times for commercial fishing in certain waters of Great Slave Lake as set out in column IV of each item in Schedule V to the Northwest Territories Fishery Regulations is hereby varied to that set out in Column IV of that item in the Schedule attached to this Order.

## Coming into Force

3. This Order shall come into force on May 13, 2005 and remain in force as set out in the Schedule attached to this Order until March 31, 2006, at which time the close time shall revert to that set out for that item in Schedule V of the Northwest Territories Fishery Regulations.

# Variation Order No. 05/06-208 

## Variation Order <br> SCHEDULE <br> (Schedule V)

## CLOSED TIMES

## Item

## Column I

Waters

## Column IV

Closed Times

That portion of Area 1(East) lying south of a line drawn 4.8 km from the mainland starting at a point $360^{\circ}$ true from Fish Point to a point May 28 to June 21, 2005

Zone ' $A$ ' in Appendix 7. where it intersects with eastern boundary of Area 1 (East).

14 That portion of Area II south and east of a straight line drawn from a point at $61^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 113^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ in the north boundary of Area III to a point at $61^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ $113^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ intersecting the north boundary of Area II.

That portion of Area III lying east of a straight line drawn from a point intersecting the boundary of the Fort Resolution Domestic Fishing Zone at $61^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$

May 28 to June 30, 2005
Zone 'D' in Appendix 7. $\mathrm{N}, 113^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, northerly to a point intersecting The southern boundary of Area II at $61^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $113^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.

That portion of Area III lying east of a straight line drawn from a point on the mainland at $60^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $113^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ to a point at the eastern tip of Loutit

May 28 to June 30, 2005
Zone 'E' in Appendix 7. Island at $61^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 113^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$, then in a straight line to a point at $61^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 36 \mathrm{~N}, 113^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ intersecting the Fort Resolution Domestic Fishing Zone.

16 That portion of Area IV lying south of a straight line drawn from the east boundary of Area II at $61^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $113^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ to a point intersecting the western boundary

May 28, 2005 to March 31, 2006
Zone ' $C$ ' in Appendix 7. of Area V at $61^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 113^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.

## Variation Order

## SCHEDULE

(Schedule V)

## CLOSED TIMES

Item

## Column I

 Waters
## Column IV

Closed Times

17 That portion of Area V lying south and west of a straight line drawn from a point at $61^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $113^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ in the eastern boundary of Area IV to a point at $61^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 113^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ on the mainland.

17 That portion of Area V enclosed by the mainland and straight lines joining the following points in the order in which they are listed; $61^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 35 \mathrm{~N}, 112^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; $61^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 112^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} ; 61^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 112^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; $61^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 112^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.

Appendix 7. Map of southern Great Slave Lake showing areas closed to commercial fishing in 2005. Letters correspond to area closures as described in Appendix 6.


Appendix 8. Variation Order 08/09-212 describing spatial and temporal areas closed to commercial fishing in Great Slave Lake in 2008-09. 'Zones' correspond to map in Appendix 9.

## 

## FISHERIES ACT

Central and Arctic Region Variation Order No. 08/09-212

The Regional Director General of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans for the Central and Arctic Region hereby revokes Variation Order 2007/2008-211 and, pursuant to subsection 6(1) of the Fishery (General) Regulations, hereby makes the amnexed Order varying the closed times for commercial fishing in certain waters of Great Slave Lake in the Northwest Territories as set out in the Schedule to this Order.
R. Lambe

Date
Director General
Central and Arctic Region
Fisheries and Oceans Canada

## Short Title

1. This Order may be cited as the Central and Arctic Region Variation Order No. 08/09-212

ORDER VARYING THE CLOSED TIMES FOR COMMERCIAL FISHING IN CERTAIN WATERS OF GREAT SLAVE LAKE IN THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

## Variation

2. The closed times for commercial fishing in certain waters of Great Slave Lake as set out in column IV of each item in Schedule V to the Northwest Territories Fishery Regulations is hereby varied to that set out in Column IV of that item in the Schedule attached to this Order.

## Coming into Force

3. This Order shall come into force on June 18, 2008 and remain in force as set out in the Schedule attached to this Order until March 31, 2009, at which time the close time shall revert to that set out for that item in Schedule V of the Northwest Territories Fishery Regulations.

## Variation Order

## SCHEDULE

(Schedule V)

## CLOSED TIMES

Item

Column I<br>Waters

Column IV
Closed Times

13 That portion of Area 1(East) lying south of the $61^{\text {st }}$ parallel (excluding the Hay River Domestic Zone) and west of a straight line drawn from Fish Point June 18, 2008 to March 31, 2009

Zone 'H' in Appendix 9. $360^{\circ}$ true, at longitude $115^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ to a point where it intersects the $61^{\text {st }}$ parallel.

13 That portion of Area 1 (East) lying south of the $61^{\text {² }}$ parallel and east of a straight line drawn from Fish Point $360^{\circ}$ true, at longitude $115^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ to a point where it

June 18 to July 31, 2008
Zone ' $A$ ' in Appendix 9. intersects the $61^{\pi}$ parallel.

14 That portion of Area II south and east of a straight line drawn from a point at $61^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 113^{\circ} 52^{\circ} 50^{\prime \prime}$ in the north boundary of Area III to a point at $61^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$

June 18 to June 30, 2008
Zone 'B' in Appendix 9. $113^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ intersecting the north boundary of Area II.

15 That portion of Area III lying east of a straight line drawn from a point intersecting the boundary of the Fort Resolution Domestic Fishing Zone at $61^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$

June 18 to June 30, 2008

$$
\text { Zone 'F' in Appendix } 9 .
$$

, W , northerly to a point intersecting The southem boundary of Area II at $61^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $113^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.

15 That portion of Area III lying east of a straight line drawn from a point on the mainland at $60^{\circ} 59^{\prime \prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $113^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ to a point at the eastem tip of Loutit Island at $61^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 113^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$, then in a straight line to a point at $61^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 36 \mathrm{~N}, 113^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ intersecting the Fort Resolution Domestic Fishing Zone.

15 That portion of Area III contained by straight lines drawn from the east boundary of Area 1 (East) at $61^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $115^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ to $61^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 30^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ then to ne 18 to July 31, 2009
Zone ' $D$ ' in Appendix 9. $61^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; then to $61^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 00^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $114^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ then to the shore at Pine Point at $61^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $114^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.

## Variation Order

SCHEDULE (Schedule V)

## CLOSED TIMES

## Item

Column I

## Column IV <br> Closed Times

16 That portion of Area IV lying south of a straight line drawn from the east boundary of Area II at $61^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $113^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ to a point intersecting the western boundary

June 18 to June 30, 2008
Zone ' $G$ ' in Appendix 9. of Area V at $61^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 113^{\circ} 30^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.

17 That portion of Area V lying south and west of a straight line drawn from a point at $61^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $113^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ in the eastem boundary of Area IV

June 18 to June 30, 2008
Zone ' H ' in Appendix 9. to a point at $61^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 113^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 50^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ on the mainland.

17 That portion of Area V enclosed by the mainland and straight lines joining the following points in the order in which they are listed; $61^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 35 \mathrm{~N}, 112^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 00^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$; $61^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 112^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} ; 60^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 112^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; $61^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 112^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.

Appendix 9. Map of southern Great Slave Lake showing areas closed to commercial fishing in 2008. Letters correspond to area closures as described in Appendix 8.



[^0]:    *4 nets were used in this set. This was accounted for in CPUE calculation. All subsequent sets used one net.

[^1]:    J. Cooley

    Date
    A/Regional Director General
    Central and Arctic Region

