| Fisheries and Oceans Canada | Pêches et Océans Canada |
| :---: | :---: |
| Science | Sciences |
| $C S A S$ |  |
| Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat |  |
| Research Document 2010/064 |  |
| Information on Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) from Salmon Fishing Area 16 (Gulf New Brunswick) of relevance to the development of a COSEWIC status report |  |

## SCCS

Secrétariat canadien de consultation scientifique

> Informations sur le Saumon atlantique (Salmo salar) de la Zone de Pêche du Saumon 16 (Golfe Nouveau-Brunswick) pertinents au développement du rapport de situation du COSÉPAC

G. Chaput, D. Moore, P. Hardie, and P. Mallet<br>Fisheries and Oceans Canada / Pêches et Océans Canada<br>P.O. Box / C.P. 5030<br>Moncton (NB)<br>E1C 9B6

This series documents the scientific basis for the evaluation of aquatic resources and ecosystems in Canada. As such, it addresses the issues of the day in the time frames required and the documents it contains are not intended as definitive statements on the subjects addressed but rather as progress reports on ongoing investigations.

La présente série documente les fondements scientifiques des évaluations des ressources et des écosystèmes aquatiques du Canada. Elle traite des problèmes courants selon les échéanciers dictés. Les documents qu'elle contient ne doivent pas être considérés comme des énoncés définitifs sur les sujets traités, mais plutôt comme des rapports d'étape sur les études en cours.

Research documents are produced in the official language in which they are provided to the Secretariat.

Les documents de recherche sont publiés dans la langue officielle utilisée dans le manuscrit envoyé au Secrétariat.

This document is available on the Internet at: Ce document est disponible sur l'Internet à:
http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas/
ISSN 1499-3848 (Printed / Imprimé)
ISSN 1919-5044 (Online / En ligne)
© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, 2010 © Sa Majesté la Reine du Chef du Canada, 2010

Canadä

# Correct citation for this publication: <br> La présente publication doit être citée comme suit : 

Chaput, G., Moore, D., Hardie, P., and P. Mallet. 2010. Information on Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) from Salmon Fishing Area 16 (Gulf New Brunswick) of relevance to the development of a COSEWIC status report. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2010/064. iv +50 p.


#### Abstract

This document presents information on Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) from Salmon Fishing Area (SFA) 16 of relevance to the development of the status report by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC). SFA 16 includes 39 rivers and is located in DFO Gulf Region New Brunswick. Data are presented and interpreted relative to the following: biological characteristics, stocking of fish, area of occupancy based on juvenile surveys, indicators of adult abundance for monitored rivers, freshwater production based on juvenile surveys and smolt production, and factors which may be constraining Atlantic salmon abundance. Based on the data series from the Miramichi River, adult abundance was higher in the late 1980s and early 1990s than in the past decade. Juvenile salmon abundance indices rose to high levels as a result of changes in fisheries management in the 1980s which increased spawning escapements. Juvenile salmon abundance has started to decline as returns have declined but juveniles remain well dispersed in the Miramichi and densities are more than twice the abundances of the 1970s and early 1980s. The smaller southeast rivers have generally not met their conservation requirements and juvenile abundance remains low in these rivers reflecting lower adult abundance and possibly lower carrying capacity of the habitat.


## RÉSUMÉ

Le présent document donne des renseignements sur le saumon de l'Atlantique (Salmo salar) de la zone de pêche du saumon (ZPS) 16 en vue de la préparation du rapport de situation par le Comité sur la situation des espèces en péril au Canada (COSEPAC). La ZPS 16 comporte 39 rivières au Nouveau-Brunswick région du Golfe du MPO. Les données présentées et interprétées se rapportent aux éléments suivants: caractéristiques biologiques, stock de poissons, superficie occupée en fonction des inventaires des juvéniles, indicateurs de l'abondance des adultes dans les rivières étudiées, production en eau douce en fonction des inventaires des juvéniles et de la production de saumoneaux et facteurs nuisant à l'abondance du saumon de l'Atlantique. Les indices d'abondance provenant de la rivière Miramichi démontrent que l'abondance était supérieur à la fin des années 80s et début 90s comparativement à la dernière décennie. Les changements apportés à la gestion des pêches dans les années 1980 ont entraîné une hausse d'abondance de saumons juvéniles en réponses aux augmentations de l'abondance des géniteurs. Malgré une tendance décroissante récente dans l'abondance des juvéniles suite aux diminutions d'abondance des adultes reproducteurs, les juvéniles sont répartis à la grandeur de la rivière Miramichi et leurs abondances demeurent à des niveaux deux fois supérieures à celles des années 70s et 80s. Les plus petites rivières de la région du sud-est n'ont généralement pas atteint leurs besoins de conservation et les abondances faibles de juvéniles reflètent les faibles niveaux de reproducteurs et possiblement une faible capacité d'accueil de l'habitat.

## INTRODUCTION

This document presents information on Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) which has been collected by Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) and which is being made available in support of the preparation of a status report on Atlantic salmon in eastern Canada by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC).

Information in this document pertains to Atlantic salmon in Salmon Fishing Area (SFA) 16 which consists of the rivers of the southeast portion of New Brunswick flowing into the Gulf of St. Lawrence (from $46^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ by $64.0^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to $47.4^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ by $64.9^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) (Fig. 1). There are 39 rivers (defined as the point where freshwater meets salt water) which are considered appropriate for Atlantic salmon production of which the Southwest Miramichi River is the largest with a drainage area of over $5,800 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (Fig. 1; Table 1). Four rivers within this area have greater than 5 million $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ of juvenile rearing area whereas 13 rivers are very small with less than 0.5 million $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ of habitat area (Table 1). The conservation limit reference point for these rivers is an egg deposition rate of 2.4 eggs per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ of wetted area as defined in CAFSAC (1991). Egg requirements for $60 \%$ of these rivers are less than 1.5 million eggs or roughly less than 250 large salmon.

Reference is made in this document to various stages of Atlantic salmon:

- Small salmon: mature adult fish less than 63 cm fork length. This size group is comprised primarily of one-sea-winter maiden salmon and a small proportion of two-sea-winter maiden salmon and repeat spawners.
- Large salmon: mature adult fish greater than or equal to 63 cm fork length. This size group is comprised primarily of two-sea-winter maiden salmon but also includes three-sea-winter maiden salmon and repeat spawners.
- One-sea-winter salmon (1SW): mature adult salmon that have not spawned before and have spent one full year at sea.
- Two-sea-winter salmon (2SW): mature adult salmon that have not spawned before and have spent two full years at sea.
- Maiden spawner: a salmon which is on its first spawning migration.
- Repeat spawner: a salmon which is on a second or greater spawning migration.
- Smolt: juvenile Atlantic salmon migrating to the ocean for the first time.
- Parr: juvenile salmon, older than one year and which has not migrated to the ocean.
- Fry: juvenile salmon, less than one year found in fresh water.


## BIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS

The longest time series of information on Atlantic salmon from SFA 16 is available from the Miramichi River system. Biological characteristics data for salmon from this SFA are presented in Chaput et al. (2006) and O'Connell et al. (2006).

Small salmon make up less than $50 \%$ of the returns to the smaller rivers in this area but are on average two-thirds of the adult salmon returning to the Miramichi River. The small salmon are about $80 \%$ male in the Miramichi and $90 \%$ or higher in the other small rivers. There are a few 3SW maiden salmon in this SFA, most of the large salmon are maiden 2SW and repeat spawners. These older age groups are most often greater than $80 \%$ female.

Most salmon returning to the Miramichi River have spent two or three years in river, the oldest river age in the database is five years. In terms of maiden sea age, salmon can be one-seawinter (1SW), two-sea-winter (2SW) or three-sea-winter (3SW). In terms of total age (river age
plus sea age plus one for year of egg deposition), the oldest salmon sampled from the Miramichi is twelve years old (Fig. 2). Repeat spawners are also abundant in the Buctouche River (Atkinson 2001).

Proportionally more salmon of river age 3 years are found in the one-sea-winter (1SW) maiden salmon group compared with the two-sea-winter (2SW) salmon group (Fig. 3). Over all the years sampled, 1971 to 2007 (2008 ages not yet available), the proportions at river ages 2 to 5 for 1 SW and 2 SW are $0.40,0.58,0.02,<0.01$ versus $0.47,0.52,0.01,<0.01$, respectively.

The mean generation time, defined as the average age of salmon returns from a year class (egg deposition to egg deposition), has varied from 4.7 to 5.4 years (Fig. 4).

Exploitation rates decreased with the commercial fishery closure and the mandatory release of large salmon in the recreational fishery introduced in 1984. Following on these management measures, the proportion and ages of repeat spawning salmon in the Miramichi River have increased (Fig. 5). Salmon on a fifth spawning migration have been sampled annually since 1992 and salmon on a seventh spawning migration have frequently been sampled since 1995 (Table 2). Annual returns of salmon adults to the Miramichi now comprise 8 to 9 year classes (Table 3) (Chaput and Jones 2006).

Adult salmon in SFA 16 rivers range in length from 36 cm to 115 cm (based on sampling in Miramichi River, 1971 to 2007). By sea age history, 1SW salmon have an average fork length of 55 cm (95\% Confidence Interval 48.8 to 61.8 cm ), 2SW salmon have an average fork length of 75 cm (95\% C.I. 69.0 to 80.8 cm ), and 3SW salmon have an average fork length of 88 cm ( $95 \%$ C.I. 86.0 to 90.5 cm ) (Fig. 6). Corresponding observed mean whole weights of 1SW, 2SW, and 3SW salmon are 1.7, 4.7, 6.9 kg , respectively (Fig. 7).

Fork length at maiden sea age for 1SW and 2SW salmon has increased over the period 1971 to 2007 (Fig. 8). The step increase in length post 1985 has been attributed to the closure of the size-selective commercial fishery from Miramichi Bay (Moore et al. 1995).

Fecundity at length relationships for Miramichi salmon were published by Randall (1989). Large salmon (sexes combined) have a fecundity of about 6,000 eggs per fish whereas small salmon, due to their small size and high proportion of male, contribute less than 1,000 eggs per fish (Fig. $9)$.

Most salmon return to the small rivers in this SFA during September to November. The return of salmon to the Miramichi estuary has a bimodal distribution with a first peak around early to midJuly (early run) and a second peak in late September to mid-October (late-run) (Fig. 10). Smolts migrate to the ocean from early May to mid-June.

## INFORMATION RELEVANT TO THE DISCUSSION ON DU'S

DFO does not hold any genetic information on Atlantic salmon for this SFA to inform the discussion on designatable units (DU) as used by COSEWIC. Biological characteristics of salmon from some of the rivers in this SFA relative to other areas in eastern Canada have been summarized by Chaput et al. (2006) and O'Connell et al. (2006).

Hatcheries have been used to supplement wild production in several rivers of SFA 16. This procedure began in 1873 with the establishment of the first Atlantic salmon hatchery in Canada
on the Miramichi River at South Esk. The South Esk hatchery has been in continuous operation since 1873 stocking a variety of juvenile Atlantic salmon life stages annually into the Miramichi watershed and occasionally into other SFA 16 rivers.

Between 1959 and 1970 experimental plantings of Restigouche origin stock (SFA 15) were distributed to the Tabusintac, Southwest Miramichi, Northwest Miramichi, and Little Southwest Miramichi rivers as well as to Rocky Brook (upper tributary of the Southwest Miramichi River).

Within the past 30 years, Miramichi origin stock were distributed in the Tabusintac and Buctouche rivers (Table 4). Otherwise, all recent enhancement activities have involved placing juvenile progeny back to tributaries from which the parents were collected. The southern rivers of SFA 16 from Shediac to the Nova Scotia border have not received hatchery supplementation.

Generally rivers in SFA 16 are reliant on natural production and within the Miramichi, on average, 99\% of returning adults come from wild production (Chaput et al. 2001).

## HABITAT REQUIREMENTS

Atlantic salmon from SFA 16 are anadromous, spawning in freshwater and migrating to the ocean to grow and mature. Extensive reviews of the habitat requirements for Atlantic salmon in freshwater are provided by Amiro (2006) and for the marine environment by Reddin (2006). These reviews document well the habitat requirements of Atlantic salmon for this SFA.

## INFORMATION SOURCES SOUGHT/CONSIDERED

There is a large amount of data on the Atlantic salmon from the Miramichi River. Adult salmon have been enumerated and sampled at index estuarine trapnets since 1954 (Claytor 1996; Hayward 2001). Annual assessments were conducted between 1983 and 2000 and intermittently since. Annual juvenile electrofishing surveys have been conducted throughout the watershed since 1970 (Moore and Chaput 2006). Other abundance indicators include angling catch per unit effort (CPUE) from Crown Reserve Waters located in the Northwest Miramichi River (Table 5), and counts from three headwater barriers (Table 6). Smolt monitoring programs date to the 1950s and were re-initiated in 1998 in the Miramichi River.

Adult assessments have been conducted on three other rivers in this area, Tabusintac, Richibucto, and Buctouche rivers. Juvenile surveys are used to assess status in five other small rivers in the southeast area.

## AREA OF OCCUPANCY

Atlantic salmon in SFA 16 are anadromous (spawn in freshwater, go to sea to grow and mature) and undertake long oceanic migrations. Salmon originating from the Miramichi River are annually intercepted in nearshore fisheries at West Greenland as non-maturing 1SW salmon (from smolts in their second year at sea) and as repeat spawning salmon (originally tagged as salmon on their spawning migration to the river). As well they have been reported from Labrador and Newfoundland coastal fisheries and from regional commercial fisheries throughout the Gulf of St. Lawrence (Saunders 1969; Claytor et al. 1987).

An extended juvenile monitoring program was conducted in 2008 to assess the present area of occupancy for Atlantic salmon. Area of occupancy for the fresh water portion of the life cycle is described in terms of the presence and abundance of the juvenile salmon life stages; the presence of juveniles was interpreted as evidence of successful spawning of adults.

For the northern section of SFA 16 (Tabunsintac River to Bay du Vin River Fig. 1; Table 1), juvenile Atlantic salmon were present at all sites in all rivers surveyed in 2008 (Fig. 11). In the middle portion of the SFA (Fig. 1; Table 1) juvenile Atlantic salmon were present in 8 of 10 rivers surveyed, the exceptions were Riviere au Portage and Ruisseau des Major, within Kouchibouguac National Park (Fig. 11); these rivers are small rivers, are characterized as stream orders 1 and 2 and would not normally be expected to have Atlantic salmon.

For the southern section of SFA 16 (Fig. 1; Table 1), from the Shediac River to the Nova Scotia border, juvenile Atlantic salmon were completely absent from two of six rivers surveyed and were absent from six of the twelve sites within the six rivers (Fig. 11). When salmon were present there was never more than one cohort found indicating intermittent spawning success in recent years.

Annual surveys measuring the relative abundance of juvenile salmon in the Miramichi watershed have been conducted since 1970 (Chaput et al. 2005; Moore and Chaput 2006). The percentage of sites in the annual surveys with fry densities < 1 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ decreased from an average of $15 \%$ during 1970 to 1985 to less than $5 \%$ during 1986 to 2008 indicating an increased distribution of spawning adults within the watershed (Fig. 12). The percentage of sites in annual surveys with parr densities < 1 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ also decreased from an average of $21 \%$ during 1970 to 1986 to an average of $3 \%$ for 1994 to 2004 indicating that parr were also distributed more broadly within the river over time (Fig 12). There is limited information from other rivers on temporal changes in the presence of Atlantic salmon (Atkinson 2004) but all indications are that the fresh water area of occupancy has not changed in the past three decades.

## ABUNDANCE AND RECENT TRENDS

Abundance and trends are evaluated relative to the recent 15 years, 1994 to 2008 (or 1993 to 2007), when available. This time period has been chosen because it roughly represents three generations and also corresponds to the years since the moratorium on salmon commercial fishing in insular Newfoundland. Abundances are also put in context of the longer time period when available. Trend in an abundance index (Ln(Index)) is characterized as the instantaneous annual rate of change $(Z)$ over the fifteen year period. Change in abundance for the most recent 15 year period is expressed as ( $\exp ^{\left.2^{* 15}-1\right) .}$

## Adult Salmon in Individual Rivers

The abundance of adult salmon in the Miramichi River has decreased by 31\% over the period 1993 to 2007 (Table 5; Fig. 13). The average abundance during 2003 to 2007 has been 54,200 fish representing about 33,300 small salmon and 20,800 large salmon (Table 5; Fig. 13). The decline in small salmon has been more important than for large salmon. Estimates of returns for 2008 to the Miramichi River were not available at the time of the meeting (Feb. 2009).

Eggs in the returns of adult salmon during 1993 to 2007 have been sufficient to meet or exceed the conservation requirements for the Miramichi in 7 of the 15 years although conservation
requirements have only been met or exceeded in 3 of the last 10 years (Fig. 14). Losses of large salmon occur primarily in the First Nations fisheries with an additional assumed loss due to catch and release fishing. On average 105\% of the conservation requirement was estimated to have been met by spawners in the past 5 years (Fig. 14).

Counts at headwater facilities in the Northwest and Southwest Miramichi have declined by 29\% and $5 \%$ for large and small salmon respectively, since 1993. Counts of small salmon have increased by 6\% and 8\% in the Northwest and Southwest Miramichi, since 1993 (Tables 6,8; Fig 15).

Catch per unit effort (CPUE, expressed as catch per rod day of angling effort) in the crown reserve waters of the Northwest Miramichi has declined by $48 \%$ for small salmon and $33 \%$ for large salmon, respectively, since 1973. During 1994 to 2008, CPUE declined by $28 \%$ for small salmon and 13\% for large salmon (Table 7,8; Fig. 16).

The intermittent assessments of salmon conducted on the Tabusintac River have indicated that returns of salmon were greater than $200 \%$ of the conservation requirement in all four years assessed between 1994 and 1999 (Douglas and Swasson 2000) (Table 8).

The smaller southeast rivers of this area have been closed to all directed salmon fishing since 1998. Assessments of adult returns to the Buctouche River, the index river for this group of southeast rivers, indicated that the conservation limit was met or exceeded once in eight years between 1993 and 2000 (Atkinson and Peters 2001) (Table 8). Returns to Richibucto River did not meet the conservation requirement in the years in which the river was assessed (Atkinson and Cormier 1998).

## Adult Salmon in SFA 16

The total abundance of adult (by size group) Atlantic salmon in SFA 16 was estimated based on the abundance of salmon in the Miramichi River adjusted for the proportion of the freshwater habitat of Miramichi in SFA 16 (Table 1). The Miramichi River comprises 91\% of total rearing area of rivers in SFA 16. Returns to the Miramichi have been assessed annually and are shown in Table 7. The ranges of the estimated returns are based on several assumptions:

- For 1971 to 1991, the minimum and maximum values are based on capture efficiencies of the Millbank trapnet representing a lower CI of $-20 \%$ of estimate and upper CI of $33 \%$ of estimate. The point estimate of returns is in Table 7.
- For 1992 to 1993, the minimum and maximum are lower and upper Cl are based on estimate bounds of $-18.5 \%$ to $+18.5 \%$.
- For 1994 to 2007, the minimum and maximum values are 5th and 95th percentile ranges from the mark and recapture assessment models (Chaput et al. 2001).

The total adult abundance (returns) to SFA 16 are the Miramichi returns (Minimum, Maximum) divided by 0.91 (Tables 9 and 10).

Spawners are estimated as returns minus harvests. Harvests for 1971 to 1997 are from Chaput et al. 1998). For 1998 to 2007:

- The harvest of large salmon is estimated as $3 \%$ of the large salmon return which is the average rates for 1993 to 1997 as per the assessments (Chaput et al. 1998).
- The harvest of small salmon is estimated as $34 \%$ of the small salmon return which is the average rates for 1993 to 1997 as per the assessments (Chaput et al. 1998).

Estimated total adult abundance in SFA 16 has decreased by $33 \%$ for small salmon and decreased by $34 \%$ for large salmon over the past 15 years (Fig. 17). The average abundance of large salmon over the past 15 years (about 25,000 fish) is about $87 \%$ of the average abundance estimated over the 1970 to 1992 period (29,000; Fig. 17). The average abundance of small salmon during the past 15 years ( 44,000 fish) is $54 \%$ of the average abundance during the 1970 to 1992 period (Fig. 17).

## Freshwater production

Juvenile surveys have been conducted annually throughout the Miramichi watershed since 1970 and abundance of all age groups of juvenile salmon increased after 1984 when management measures were introduced to increase spawning escapements (Moore and Chaput 2006). Abundance of all age groups has remained high relative to the 1970 to 1984 period, and average fry abundance during 2004 to 2008, which most directly reflect egg depositions, has averaged 194\% of the abundance during 1970 to 1984 (Table 8).

Recent (15 year) trends in juvenile abundance by age/size group are described for the four major rivers of the Miramichi that empty into tidal waters: the Northwest Miramichi, Little Southwest Miramichi, Renous, and Southwest Miramichi rivers. Fry abundance reached a maximum during the 1990's, and has decreased by $13 \%$ to $48 \%$ since 1994 (Figs. 18 and 19). The recent declines are consistent with declines in returns and spawners to the Miramichi River (Table 8, Fig. 14).

Small parr (age-1 year) abundances also show a recent downward trend, decreasing by 5\% to 63\% since 1994 (Figs. 18 and 19). However, large parr (age-2 years and older) abundance has been stable or increasing over the last 15 years.

Total biomass of juvenile salmon has been estimated to provide a single measure for juvenile abundance over time (Fig. 20). Total biomass should be an indicator of spawning levels, habitat quality, and environmental conditions. All four major tributaries show large increases in biomass, from $161 \%$ to $690 \%$, based on averages during 1970 to 1984 relative to the period 2004 to 2008. The trend over the last 15 years (1994 to 2008) is for increasing biomass in the Northwest Miramichi (+53\%), Little Southwest Miramichi (+28\%), and Renous (+94) rivers. A decreasing biomass trend is seen in the Southwest Miramichi River (-30\%). All major tributaries have biomass levels that have fluctuated over the last five years at about 370 grams per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

The intermittent assessments of salmon conducted on the Tabusintac River indicate juvenile abundance is comparable to that of the Miramichi (Douglas and Swasson 2000) (Table 8).

In the smaller southeast rivers of this SFA which have been closed to all directed salmon fishing since 1998, juvenile abundances has generally been much lower than in the Miramichi River (Figs. 21 and 22). Adult assessments were carried out in the Buctouche River for 8 years (19932000) and only met conservation requirements in one year (1999). Fry abundances in 2000 increased to over 40 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ followed by small parr abundance in 2001 of over 25 per 100 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (Fig. 21). Similar increases in fry were observed in 2000 in other southeast rivers suggesting that returns in 1999 to all these rivers had been much better than previously and that the Buctouche River and the juvenile sampling were sufficient indicators of adult abundance in these rivers. Based on the juvenile abundance index, the southeast rivers have likely been below conservation most years since 1993 with the exception of 1999 and 2004.

## Smolt production

Estimated smolt production from the Miramichi River for 2001 to 2006 averaged 2.1 smolt per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (range 1.3 to 3.3 ) (Table 11). This compares to production for five years during the 1950's estimated to have averaged 2.9 smolts per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (range 1.6 to 4.9) (Kerswill 1971).
Smolt production from the Southwest Miramichi River (including Southwest Miramichi and Renous rives) has been greater (average 2.6 smolts per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$; range 1.3 to 4.4 ) than for the Northwest Miramichi River overall (average 1.8 smolts per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$; range 1.0 to 2.6) and the Little Southwest Miramichi River (average 1.3 smolts per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$; range 1.0 to 1.6 ). Smolt production has been increasing in the Miramichi in recent (2004 onwards) years (Table 11).

## Trends in Marine Survival

Smolt enumeration programs began in 1999 in the Miramichi River. Return rates to 1SW salmon have varied between $2 \%$ and $6 \%$ whereas return rates to 2 SW salmon have been between $0.5 \%$ and $2 \%$ (Table 11).

The adult salmon return rate to a second and subsequent spawning has been increasing since the 1970s. Return rates to a second spawning for 1SW maiden salmon exceeded $5 \%$ in the past five years while return rates for 2SW maiden salmon were greater than $10 \%$ and have been as high as $25 \%$ (Fig. 23). These return rates were uncorrected for inriver removals and fisheries in the high seas.

## SUMMARY OF STATUS

Based on the data series from the Miramichi River, adult abundance was higher in the late 1980s and early 1990s than in the past decade. As a result of changes in fisheries management, particularly the closure of the Maritime provinces and Quebec commercial fisheries and the mandatory catch and release measures in the angling fishery since 1984, spawning escapement has increased which has resulted in increased abundance of juvenile salmon. Juvenile salmon abundance has declined as adult returns and spawners have declined but juvenile salmon remain well dispersed in the Miramichi and densities are more than twice the levels of the 1970s and early 1980s. Smolt production from the Miramichi averaged over 1.1 million smolts for 2001 to 2006. Freshwater production is not limiting for the Miramichi watershed. Returns to the Miramichi have been sufficient to meet the conservation requirements in 7 of the last 15 years.

The Tabusintac River has exceeded its conservation requirement in the four years it was assessed (Douglas and Swasson 2000). The smaller southeast rivers have generally not met their conservation requirements (Atkinson and Cormier 1998; Atkinson and Peters 2001). Juvenile abundance remains low in these rivers reflecting lower adult abundance and possibly lower carrying capacity of the habitat. These southeast rivers of SFA 16 have remained closed to all directed salmon fisheries since 1998.

The abundance of repeat spawners in the Miramichi has increased since the closure of the retention fisheries on large salmon. Repeat spawners represent between $30 \%$ and $50 \%$ of the large salmon and salmon on their fifth to seventh spawning migrations have been regularly sampled since 1992 (Table 2; Fig. 5). Annual returns to the Miramichi River are now derived
from 8 to 9 year classes compared to the 5 to 6 year classes during the 1970s and 1980s (Chaput and Jones 2006).

Fork lengths of 1SW and 2SW salmon have also been increasing since the late 1980s (Fig. 8). There has been a $2 \%$ increase in fork length of 1SW salmon and a 3\% increase in fork length of 2SW salmon since 1992 (Fig. 8).

## THREATS

In the context of the identification and management for species at risk, a threat, is 'an activity or process (both natural and anthropogenic) that has caused, is causing, or may cause harm, death, or behavioral changes to a species at risk or the destruction, degradation, and/or impairment of its habitat to the extent that population-level effects occur' (Environment Canada 2006). In essence, it is an activity that imposes a stress on a species at risk population which contributes to or perpetuates its decline, or limits its recovery. In the case of Atlantic salmon, the elevated marine mortality and declining returns in recent years are stressors caused by unknown (but hypothesized) threats.

Cairns (2001) presents and describes 62 hypotheses which may explain the decline in abundance of Atlantic salmon. Any or all of the factors described may be acting to constrain present abundance of Atlantic salmon in the Gulf rivers. A few of these factors are discussed below (Table 12).

## Fisheries

Losses of large salmon from fisheries are low, restricted to First Nations fisheries and from incidental mortalities associated with catch and release fisheries. Exploitation on egg bearing females is low throughout Gulf Region, the small salmon are generally less than $20 \%$ female whereas $75 \%$ of the large salmon are female. Although salmon from Gulf rivers continue to be intercepted in the West Greenland fishery, the rate of exploitation is presumed to be very low compared to levels during the peak of the fishery in the 1960s to 1980s (ICES 2008).

## Environmental Constraints

Rivers of SFA 16 are subject to discharge and temperature events which can affect growth of juveniles and survival of juvenile and adult salmon (Swansburg et al. 2002, 2004). The summer water temperatures in portions of the Miramichi River can approach the upper lethal temperatures ( 25 to $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for Atlantic salmon. Water temperatures in excess of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for several hours were recorded at a major salmon holding pool in the Southwest Miramichi in 1999 and again in 2001. In 1999, a number of salmon mortalities were reported beginning in mid-July and extending into the first week of August in both branches of the Miramichi with most mortalities reported from the lower portion of the Southwest Miramichi. The recorded mortalities, 120 in total for the Southwest Miramichi, corresponded to a period when maximum daily water temperatures generally exceeded $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In 2001, the reports of salmon mortalities began on July 21 and several hundred adult salmon were reported to have died in the lower portion of the Southwest Miramichi in July and August (Chaput et al. 2001). Documented mortalities corresponded to days when the maximum water temperature was above $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Climate change models predict a 2 to $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ increase in air temperature in the Maritime provinces from which increased water temperatures and alterations in stream flows are expected, which
may ultimately impact on juvenile production and adult survival (Swansburg et al. 2002). Overwinter survival of juveniles is also subject to variations in environmental conditions, particularly mid-winter freshets (Cunjak and Therrien 1998; Cunjak et al. 1998).

## Disease

The bacterium Aeromonas salmonicida, the causative agent of a disease called furunculosis was first detected in the Miramichi in 1997. The bacterium has since been confirmed annually in some mortalities autopsied by DFO. It is not believed to be a major threat to adult salmon in this river although it is most frequently found on the early run components, especially those which have just recently migrated from the sea.

Bacterial kidney disease (BKD) is found in the Miramichi River but is not considered to pose any threat to either juvenile or adult salmon.

## Land Use

Quality spawning and rearing habitat on most rivers of the southeast portion of SFA 16 appears to be limited. In general, the rearing habitat is marginal for Atlantic salmon, containing limited amounts of spawning substrate and rearing habitat that is too coarse for fry and more suitable for parr (Atkinson 2004). For the Buctouche River, egg-to-fry survival is generally low but high fry abundance has resulted from high egg depositions.
Forestry, agriculture, and rural development all impact in various ways on the fresh water habitat of Atlantic salmon. The forestry industry is a major contributor to the economy of the Maritime provinces and the impact of these activities are the subject of ongoing research (Cunjak 1995).

Recent studies have demonstrated an impairment of the parr-smolt transformation and subsequent sea water adaptability resulting from exposure of smolts to endocrine disrupting compounds (Madsen and Korsgaard 1989). Fairchild et al. (1999) suggested a link between past pesticide use and declines of some Atlantic salmon populations in eastern Canada. The estimated levels of 4 nonyl phenol (4-NP) present after forest spraying were similar to those currently found in industrial effluents, pulp mill discharges and municipal sewage outfalls (Bennie 1999). Sewage treatment facilities generally do not remove endocrine disrupting compounds. Research on this issue is ongoing.

## Invasive Species

In July 2001, it was discovered that a population of chain pickerel (Esox niger) had become established in Despres Lake, a headwater lake of Salmon Brook, tributary to the Cains River in the Southwest Miramichi River. Chain pickerel in Despres Lake originated from an unsanctioned introduction. Management action consisted of eradication of chain pickerel from Despres Lake using rotenone. The eradication was considered to have been successful (Connell et al. 2002). Only two species of fish were recovered from the lake, 691 chain pickerel and greater than 3,200 yellow perch.

In late September 2008, smallmouth bass (Micropterus dolomieu L) was discovered in a lake in the upper portion of Southwest Miramichi River, the first known incident of the species in this watershed. In January 2009, DFO conducted a risk analysis of smallmouth bass impacts on Atlantic salmon in the Miramichi River, and to evaluate options for and the effectiveness of mitigation measures for minimizing the risks associated with range extension of smallmouth
bass. The science review concluded that there is a high likelihood of widespread establishment of smallmouth bass in the Southwest Miramichi River and in Gulf Region rivers in general (DFO 2009). Also, the overall risk to the aquatic ecosystem is considered to be high in the lake environment and moderate in the riverine environment. Riverine habitat is used preferentially by Atlantic salmon. Although the overall risk to salmon is considered moderate, none of the consequences of bass introductions were considered to be positive for Atlantic salmon.

These two incidents of illegal introductions of predaceous fish species in the Miramichi watershed of SFA 16 are evidence that illegal introductions of non-native fish species in SFA are an ongoing and a potential threat to Atlantic salmon.
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Table 1. Characteristics of Atlantic salmon rivers in Salmon Fishing Area (SFA) 16. Sources of evidence of salmon presence include adult sampling (Adult), juvenile surveys (Juvenile), or from angling catches (Angling). Habitat areas are from various published and unpublished sources. " $X$ " means present. " $A$ " means absent when sampled.

| Map Index Number | River | Longitude (W) | Latitude <br> (N) | $\qquad$ | Drainage area (km²) | Fluvial area (million $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | Adult | Juvenile | Angling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northern Section |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Tabusintac | -65.1034 | 47.3383 | 1.98 | 704 | 0.8243 | X | X | X |
| 2 | Burnt Church | -65.1790 | 47.2036 | 0.72 | 135 | 0.2994 |  | X | X |
| 3 | Oyster | -65.3043 | 47.1132 |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 4 | Bartibog | -65.3723 | 47.1152 | 2.72 | 512 | 1.1353 | X | X | X |
| 5 | Northwest Millstream | -65.6916 | 46.9737 | 1.20 | 210 | 0.4785 | X | X | X |
| 6 | Northwest Miramichi | -65.8264 | 46.9633 | 20.10 | 2,307 | 8.2300 | X | X | X |
| 7 | Little Southwest Miramichi | -65.8448 | 46.9531 | 19.70 | 1,345 | 8.0700 | X | X | X |
| 8 | Renous | -65.7916 | 46.8164 | 14.00 | 1,429 | 5.8200 | X | X | X |
| 9 | Southwest Miramichi | -65.7814 | 46.8164 | 70.90 | 5,840 | 29.5300 | X | X | X |
| 10 | Barnaby | -65.6106 | 46.8961 | 3.10 | 490 | 1.3044 |  | X | X |
| 11 | Napan | -65.3365 | 47.0496 | 0.28 | 115 | 0.1146 |  | X | X |
| 12 | Black (Northumberland Co.) | -65.2798 | 46.9999 | 0.67 | 277 | 0.2774 |  | X | X |
| 13 | Bay du Vin | -65.1167 | 47.0046 | 0.68 | 284 | 0.2837 |  | X | X |
| 14 | Eel River | -65.0146 | 47.0189 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Portage River | -64.9737 | 47.0241 |  |  |  |  | A |  |
|  | Middle Section |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Riviere au Portage | -64.9098 | 46.9277 |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |
| 17 | Black (Kent Co.) | -65.0039 | 46.8398 | 0.82 | 343 | 0.3433 | X | X |  |
| 18 | Rankin Brook | -64.9858 | 46.8311 |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 19 | Kouchibouguac (Kent Co.) | -65.0200 | 46.7900 | 1.41 | 389 | 0.5880 | X | X | X |
| 20 | Ruisseau des Major | -64.9290 | 46.8080 |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 21 | Kouchibouguacis | -64.9796 | 46.7392 | 1.32 | 360 | 0.5490 | X | X | X |
| 22 | Saint Charles | -64.9773 | 46.6668 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Molus River | -65.0732 | 46.5778 |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 24 | Bass River | -65.0890 | 46.5453 |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 25 | Richibucto | -65.1253 | 46.5079 | 2.94 | 1,292 | 1.2260 | X | X | X |
| 26 | Coal Branch | -65.0934 | 46.5016 |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 27 | Saint Nicholas | -64.9190 | 46.5509 |  |  |  |  | X |  |

Table 1 (continued).

| Map Index Number | River | Longitude (W) | Latitude <br> (N) | $\qquad$ | Drainage area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | Fluvial area (million $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | Adult | Juvenile | Angling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Middle Section (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Chockpish | -64.7554 | 46.5655 | 0.31 | 129 | 0.1294 |  |  | X |
| 29 | Black | -64.7214 | 46.4964 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Buctouche | -64.8740 | 46.3726 | 1.59 | 628 | 0.6610 | X | $x$ | $X$ |
| 31 | Cocagne Southern Section | -64.7235 | 46.3135 | 0.68 | 333 | 0.2830 |  | X | X |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Shediac | -64.6048 | 46.2637 | 0.52 | 219 | 0.2160 |  | $x$ | $x$ |
| 33 | Scoudouc | -64.5322 | 46.1944 | 0.35 | 159 | 0.1460 |  | X | X |
| 34 | Aboujagane | -64.4149 | 46.1860 | 0.29 | 120 | 0.1198 |  | X | X |
| 35 | Kinnear Brook | -64.3949 | 46.1817 |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 36 | Kouchibouguac (Westmorland Co.) | -64.3530 | 46.1882 |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 37 | Tedish River | -64.2980 | 46.2146 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | Gaspereau (Westmorland Co.) | -64.0833 | 46.0500 | 0.41 | 170 | 0.1701 |  | X |  |
| 39 | Baie Verte | -64.0991 | 46.0257 | 0.14 | 38 | 0.0575 |  |  |  |

Table 2. Number of scale samples of Atlantic salmon interpreted by spawning migration history from the Miramichi River, 1971 to 2007. First spawning migration are maiden salmon.

| Spawning migration |  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 550 | 17 | 1 | . | . |  |  |
| 1972 | 1180 | 17 | . | . | . |  | . |
| 1973 | 1451 | 15 |  | . | . |  | . |
| 1974 | 1904 | 39 | 3 | . | . |  |  |
| 1975 | 1317 | 40 | 2 | . | . |  |  |
| 1976 | 1159 | 21 | 1 | . | . |  |  |
| 1977 | 902 | 30 | 3 | . | . |  |  |
| 1978 | 638 | 38 |  | . | . |  |  |
| 1979 | 803 | 22 | 4 | . | . |  |  |
| 1980 | 851 | 14 | 5 | . | . | . |  |
| 1981 | 643 | 12 | 4 | . | . |  |  |
| 1982 | 594 | 17 | 2 | . | . | . | . |
| 1983 | 277 | 11 |  | . | . | . |  |
| 1984 | 323 | 9 |  | 1 | . |  |  |
| 1985 | 357 | 16 | 5 | . | . | . | . |
| 1986 | 667 | 38 | 3 | . | . | . |  |
| 1987 | 360 | 11 | 1 | - | . | . |  |
| 1988 | 530 | 37 | 6 | 2 | . | . | . |
| 1989 | 421 | 65 | 6 | 1 | . | . |  |
| 1990 | 494 | 109 | 33 | 7 | . | . | - |
| 1991 | 332 | 81 | 39 | 12 | . |  |  |
| 1992 | 1030 | 128 | 86 | 41 | 9 | 2 |  |
| 1993 | 636 | 79 | 47 | 24 | 3 |  | . |
| 1994 | 1728 | 127 | 34 | 19 | 4 | 3 |  |
| 1995 | 2068 | 172 | 40 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1996 | 1275 | 211 | 61 | 20 | 2 | 3 |  |
| 1997 | 1292 | 308 | 123 | 51 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| 1998 | 1339 | 210 | 91 | 36 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| 1999 | 1261 | 168 | 76 | 36 | 9 | 2 |  |
| 2000 | 1837 | 274 | 108 | 61 | 21 | 2 | 1 |
| 2001 | 2805 | 499 | 125 | 66 | 31 | 6 | 2 |
| 2002 | 2669 | 208 | 71 | 31 | 27 | 4 | 1 |
| 2003 | 2366 | 293 | 73 | 31 | 8 | 7 | 1 |
| 2004 | 2539 | 320 | 94 | 32 | 8 | 1 | 1 |
| 2005 | 2057 | 193 | 52 | 18 | 5 |  |  |
| 2006 | 3240 | 459 | 64 | 15 | 6 |  |  |
| 2007 | 2409 | 247 | 95 | 24 | 5 |  | 1 |

Table 3. Year class range and number of year classes in the returns of Atlantic salmon to the Miramichi River, 1971 to 2007.

|  | Year classes in spawning run |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | First | Last | Total year <br> classes |
| 1971 | 1963 | 1967 | 5 |
| 1972 | 1964 | 1968 | 5 |
| 1973 | 1965 | 1969 | 5 |
| 1974 | 1966 | 1970 | 5 |
| 1975 | 1965 | 1971 | 7 |
| 1976 | 1967 | 1972 | 6 |
| 1977 | 1969 | 1973 | 5 |
| 1978 | 1970 | 1974 | 5 |
| 1979 | 1970 | 1975 | 6 |
| 1980 | 1971 | 1976 | 6 |
| 1981 | 1971 | 1977 | 7 |
| 1982 | 1973 | 1978 | 6 |
| 1983 | 1975 | 1979 | 5 |
| 1984 | 1976 | 1980 | 5 |
| 1985 | 1975 | 1981 | 7 |
| 1986 | 1976 | 1982 | 7 |
| 1987 | 1978 | 1983 | 6 |
| 1988 | 1976 | 1984 | 9 |
| 1989 | 1977 | 1985 | 9 |
| 1990 | 1979 | 1986 | 8 |
| 1991 | 1980 | 1987 | 8 |
| 1992 | 1981 | 1988 | 8 |
| 1993 | 1983 | 1989 | 8 |
| 1994 | 1983 | 1990 | 8 |
| 1995 | 1983 | 1991 | 8 |
| 1996 | 1985 | 1992 | 8 |
| 1997 | 1985 | 1993 | 8 |
| 1998 | 1987 | 1994 | 8 |
| 1999 | 1988 | 1995 | 8 |
| 2000 | 1989 | 1996 | 8 |
| 2001 | 1989 | 1997 | 8 |
| 2000 | 1990 | 1998 | 8 |
| 2003 | 1991 | 1999 | 8 |
| 2004 | 1993 | 2000 | 2001 |

Table 4. Enhancement activities conducted in the rivers of SFA 16 in the past three decades. Rivers without enhancement activities have not been listed. UF = unfed fry, FF = feeding fry, FG = fall fingerlings, $P=1+$ parr, $S m=$ smolts.

| River | Longitude (W) | Latitude <br> (N) | Origin of fish stocked | Life stages of fish stocked | Range in annual numbers of fish stocked | Range of years when stocking occurred |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tabusintac | -64.9667 | 47.3333 | Miramichi | FG | 10000 | 2003 |
| Northwest Miramichi | -65.8333 | 46.9500 | NW Miramichi | F, FG, P, Sm | 13,000-133,000 | 1978-2007 |
| Little Southwest Miramichi | -65.8333 | 46.9500 | LSW Miramichi SW Mir., | F, FG, Sm | 800-106,400 | 1978-2008 |
| Renous \& Tributaries | -65.7833 | 46.8167 | Dungarvon Tributary | F, FG, P, Sm | 2,200-118,000 | 1987-2007 |
| Southwest Miramichi Middle | -65.5833 | 46.9667 | specific | F, FG, P, Sm | 9,000-469,400 | 1978-2008 |
|  |  |  | Kouchibouguaci |  |  |  |
| Kouchibouguacis | -64.9000 | 46.7833 | s | FG | 1,500-4,300 | 2006-2007 |
| Richibucto | -64.8500 | 46.7000 | Richibucto | FG | 39,300-122,000 | 2005-2007 |
| Bouctouche | -64.7000 | 46.4667 | Miramichi | FG | 28,000, 9,000 | 1978, 1979 |
| Southern |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No enhancement activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Estimates of returns and spawners for small salmon and large salmon to the Miramichi River, 1971 to 2007.

| Year | Small salmon |  |  |  |  | Large salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Returns | Lower | Upper | Removals | Spawners | Returns | Lower | Upper | Removals | Spawners |
| 1971 | 35673 |  |  | 13727 | 21946 | 24407 |  |  | 20060 | 4347 |
| 1972 | 46275 |  |  | 19140 | 27135 | 29049 |  |  | 11378 | 17671 |
| 1973 | 44545 |  |  | 13857 | 30688 | 27192 |  |  | 6843 | 20349 |
| 1974 | 73418 |  |  | 18232 | 55186 | 42592 |  |  | 8147 | 34445 |
| 1975 | 64902 |  |  | 16433 | 48469 | 28817 |  |  | 7369 | 21448 |
| 1976 | 91580 |  |  | 29161 | 62419 | 22801 |  |  | 8469 | 14332 |
| 1977 | 27743 |  |  | 14468 | 13275 | 51842 |  |  | 18925 | 32917 |
| 1978 | 24287 |  |  | 9932 | 14355 | 24493 |  |  | 13664 | 10829 |
| 1979 | 50965 |  |  | 20115 | 30850 | 9054 |  |  | 4513 | 4541 |
| 1980 | 41588 |  |  | 14694 | 26894 | 36318 |  |  | 17445 | 18873 |
| 1981 | 65273 |  |  | 25048 | 40225 | 16182 |  |  | 11574 | 4608 |
| 1982 | 80379 |  |  | 24065 | 56314 | 30758 |  |  | 17500 | 13258 |
| 1983 | 25184 |  |  | 10106 | 15078 | 27924 |  |  | 19466 | 8458 |
| 1984 | 29707 |  |  | 10778 | 18929 | 15137 |  |  | 450 | 14687 |
| 1985 | 60800 |  |  | 18985 | 41815 | 20738 |  |  | 616 | 20122 |
| 1986 | 117549 |  |  | 28151 | 89398 | 31285 |  |  | 1069 | 30216 |
| 1987 | 84816 |  |  | 22039 | 62777 | 19421 |  |  | 1365 | 18056 |
| 1988 | 121919 |  |  | 31641 | 90278 | 21745 |  |  | 765 | 20980 |
| 1989 | 75231 |  |  | 26846 | 48385 | 17211 |  |  | 1671 | 15540 |
| 1990 | 83500 | 68000 | 113100 | 23624 | 59876 | 28574 | 21350 | 35583 | 986 | 27588 |
| 1991 | 60900 | 45700 | 76000 | 12411 | 48489 | 29949 | 22400 | 37333 | 860 | 29089 |
| 1992 | 152600 | 128000 | 184000 | 27443 | 125157 | 37000 | 31056 | 44643 | 1073 | 35927 |
| 1993 | 95000 | 61500 | 153800 | 15984 | 79016 | 35000 | 19732 | 76695 | 611 | 34389 |
| 1994 | 43571 | 36669 | 52592 | 14437 | 29134 | 20946 | 15870 | 28962 | 397 | 20549 |
| 1995 | 46458 | 38956 | 55741 | 20061 | 26397 | 32015 | 26643 | 38747 | 559 | 31456 |
| 1996 | 33610 | 28183 | 40425 | 19565 | 14045 | 18433 | 14294 | 24594 | 702 | 17731 |
| 1997 | 16139 | 12637 | 21203 | 9586 | 6553 | 16399 | 12931 | 21554 | 826 | 15573 |
| 1998 | 23143 | 18727 | 29015 | 6986 | 16157 | 14753 | 10039 | 24695 | 424 | 14329 |
| 1999 | 23121 | 19770 | 27194 | 8271 | 14850 | 14078 | 11329 | 18002 | 915 | 13163 |
| 2000 | 32031 | 27592 | 37272 | 11092 | 20939 | 15492 | 12058 | 20653 | 582 | 14910 |
| 2001 | 28160 | 24430 | 32690 | 9540 | 18620 | 22230 | 19420 | 25630 | 822 | 21408 |
| 2002 | 42290 | 36960 | 48830 | 13073 | 29218 | 11860 | 8495 | 18030 | 450 | 11410 |
| 2003 | 28830 | 24270 | 35030 | 9708 | 19123 | 20390 | 15980 | 27050 | 654 | 19736 |
| 2004 | 44760 | 38290 | 54350 | 13690 | 31070 | 26250 | 18980 | 38060 | 813 | 25438 |
| 2005 | 29990 | 21640 | 59770 | 9998 | 19993 | 16800 | 11940 | 26060 | 768 | 16032 |
| 2006 | 33250 | 25350 | 47490 | 9813 | 23438 | 20880 | 14440 | 33210 | 809 | 20071 |
| 2007 | 29840 | 18310 | 58020 | 8960 | 20880 | 19910 | 13970 | 30990 | 800 | 19110 |
| \%change in 2007 relative to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | -5\% |  |  |  |  | -8\% |  |  |  |  |
| 02-06 | 4\% |  |  |  |  | -10\% |  |  |  |  |
| 84-92 | -19\% |  |  |  |  | -56\% |  |  |  |  |
| $71-83$ | -30\% |  |  |  |  | -38\% |  |  |  |  |
| 92-06 | -7\% |  |  |  |  | -25\% |  |  |  |  |
| Means |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02-06 | 19236 |  |  |  |  | 55060 |  |  |  |  |
| 84-92 | 24562 |  |  |  |  | 112009 |  |  |  |  |
| $71-83$ | 28571 |  |  |  |  | 80249 |  |  |  |  |
| 92-06 | 21502 |  |  |  |  | 66365 |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Counts of salmon by size group at the headwater protection barriers in the Miramichi River.

| Year | North Branch of Southwest Miramichi River |  |  | Southwest Miramichi Dungarvon Barrier |  |  | Northwest Miramichi Barrier |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large | Small | Total | Large | Small | Total | Large | Small | Total |
| 1981 | 54 | 671 | 725 | 112 | 550 | 662 |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 282 | 621 | 903 | 122 | 483 | 605 |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 219 | 290 | 509 | 126 | 330 | 456 |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 297 | 230 | 527 | 93 | 315 | 408 |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 604 | 492 | 1096 | 162 | 536 | 698 |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 1138 | 2072 | 3210 | 174 | 501 | 675 |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 1266 | 1175 | 2441 | 202 | 744 | 946 |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 929 | 1092 | 2021 | 277 | 851 | 1128 | 234 | 1614 | 1848 |
| 1989 | 731 | 969 | 1700 | 315 | 579 | 894 | 287 | 966 | 1253 |
| 1990 | 994 | 1646 | 2640 | 318 | 562 | 880 | 331 | 1318 | 1649 |
| 1991 | 476 | 495 | 971 | 204 | 296 | 500 | 224 | 765 | 989 |
| 1992 | 1047 | 1383 | 2430 | 232 | 825 | 1057 | 219 | 1165 | 1384 |
| 1993 | 1145 | 1349 | 2494 | 223 | 659 | 882 | 216 | 1034 | 1250 |
| 1994 | 905 | 1195 | 2100 | 155 | 358 | 511 | 228 | 673 | 901 |
| 1995 | 1019 | 811 | 1830 | 95 | 329 | 424 | 252 | 548 | 800 |
| 1996 | 819 | 1388 | 2207 | 184 | 590 | 804 | 218 | 602 | 820 |
| 1997 | 519 | 566 | 1085 | 115 | 391 | 506 | 152 | 501 | 653 |
| 1998 | 698 | 981 | 1679 | 163 | 592 | 755 | 289 | 1038 | 1327 |
| 1999 | 698 | 566 | 1264 | 185 | 378 | 563 | 387 | 708 | 1095 |
| 2000 | 725 | 1202 | 1927 | 130 | 372 | 502 | 217 | 456 | 673 |
| 2001 | 904 | 729 | 1633 | 111 | 295 | 406 | 202 | 344 | 546 |
| 2002 | 546 | 1371 | 1917 | 107 | 287 | 394 | 121 | 595 | 716 |
| 2003 | 920 | 912 | 1832 | 158 | 389 | 547 | 186 | 478 | 664 |
| 2004 | 764 | 1368 | 2132 | 185 | 559 | 744 | 167 | 723 | 890 |
| 2005 | 673 | 853 | 1526 | 300 | 441 | 741 | 262 | 735 | 997 |
| 2006 | 829 | 860 | 1689 | 217 | 468 | 685 | 214 | 469 | 683 |
| 2007 | 783 | 945 | 1728 | 88 | 195 | 283 | 166 | 460 | 626 |
| 2008 | 692 | 1083 | 1775 | 131 | 673 | 804 |  |  |  |
| Minimum | 54 | 230 | 509 | 88 | 195 | 283 | 121 | 344 | 546 |
| Maximum | 1266 | 2072 | 3210 | 318 | 851 | 1128 | 387 | 1614 | 1848 |
| Mean (2003 to 2007) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 746 | 1073 | 1819 | 193 | 429 | 622 | 190 | 600 | 790 |
| \% change 2008 from 2003 to 2007 ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5\% | -12\% | -5\% | -54\% | -55\% | -55\% | -13\% | -23\% | -21\% |
| Instantaneous rate of change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| annual | -0.0145 | -0.0068 | -0.0101 | 0.0031 | -0.0264 | -0.0183 | -0.0174 | -0.0272 | -0.0248 |
| \% over 15 years | -19.5\% | -9.7\% | -14.1\% | 4.8\% | -32.7\% | -24.0\% | -23.0\% | -33.5\% | -31.1\% |

Table 7. Angling catch and effort from Crown Reserve Waters in the Northwest Miramichi watershed 1973-2008. Data are from Dubee (2008).

| Year | Effort (rod days) | Small salmon |  | Large salmon |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Catch | CPUE | Catch | CPUE |
| 1973 | 2648 | 1210 | 0.457 | 138 | 0.052 |
| 1974 | 2940 | 1259 | 0.428 | 121 | 0.041 |
| 1975 | 2694 | 1391 | 0.516 | 125 | 0.046 |
| 1976 | 2791 | 1280 | 0.459 | 157 | 0.056 |
| 1977 | 2119 | 1120 | 0.529 | 266 | 0.126 |
| 1978 | 2557 | 594 | 0.232 | 170 | 0.066 |
| 1979 | 2448 | 1150 | 0.470 | 79 | 0.032 |
| 1980 | 2835 | 1306 | 0.461 | 159 | 0.056 |
| 1981 | 2886 | 1953 | 0.677 | 89 | 0.031 |
| 1982 | 2203 | 1816 | 0.824 | 134 | 0.061 |
| 1983 | 2269 | 823 | 0.363 | 167 | 0.074 |
| 1984 | 2179 | 1240 | 0.569 | 229 | 0.105 |
| 1985 | 2269 | 1563 | 0.689 | 206 | 0.091 |
| 1986 | 2456 | 1676 | 0.682 | 156 | 0.064 |
| 1987 | 1839 | 1072 | 0.583 | 88 | 0.048 |
| 1988 | 2432 | 1860 | 0.765 | 102 | 0.042 |
| 1989 | 2535 | 1595 | 0.629 | 127 | 0.050 |
| 1990 | 2502 | 1587 | 0.634 | 144 | 0.058 |
| 1991 | 2395 | 612 | 0.256 | 77 | 0.032 |
| 1992 | 2364 | 1423 | 0.602 | 94 | 0.040 |
| 1993 | 2432 | 1426 | 0.586 | 135 | 0.056 |
| 1994 | 2342 | 1234 | 0.527 | 130 | 0.056 |
| 1995 | 1773 | 523 | 0.295 | 88 | 0.050 |
| 1996 | 2607 | 1301 | 0.499 | 131 | 0.050 |
| 1997 | 2494 | 868 | 0.348 | 115 | 0.046 |
| 1998 | 2488 | 1044 | 0.420 | 125 | 0.050 |
| 1999 | 2177 | 514 | 0.236 | 68 | 0.031 |
| 2000 | 2619 | 949 | 0.362 | 93 | 0.036 |
| 2001 | 2298 | 555 | 0.242 | 119 | 0.052 |
| 2002 | 2566 | 836 | 0.326 | 66 | 0.026 |
| 2003 | 2601 | 650 | 0.250 | 174 | 0.067 |
| 2004 | 2565 | 569 | 0.222 | 74 | 0.029 |
| 2005 | 2637 | 598 | 0.227 | 112 | 0.042 |
| 2006 | 2579 | 767 | 0.297 | 99 | 0.038 |
| 2007 | 2574 | 586 | 0.228 | 125 | 0.049 |
| 2008 | 2558 | 1685 | 0.659 | 135 | 0.053 |
| Mean previous 5 years | 2591 | 634 | 0.245 | 117 | 0.045 |
| Change: 2008 relative to previous five-year mean | -1\% | 166\% | 169\% | 16\% | 17\% |
| Maximum | 2886 | 1953 | 0.824 | 266 | 0.126 |
| Minimum | 1773 | 514 | 0.222 | 66 | 0.026 |
| Instantaneous rate of change | +0.0123 | -0.0098 | -0.0221 | +0.0030 | -0.0094 |
| \% change over 15 years (1996 to 2008) | +20\% | -14\% | -28\% | +5\% | -13\% |

Table 8. Summary of status indicators and trends for rivers in SFA 16. Blank cells indicate no data or insufficient data for the estimate.

| Indicator | Life stage | Miramichi |  |  |  | Tabusintac | Buctouche |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Northwest Miramichi | Southwest Miramichi | Northwest Miramichi | Southwes t Miramichi |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mean level in past 5 years |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trend (1993 to } \\ & 2007 / 2008) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Level (1993 } \\ \text { to } 1999) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Level (2005 } \\ & \text { to } 2008) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trend (1996 } \\ \text { to 2008) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Returns | Small adult | 33,300 |  | -34\% |  | 976 | 90 |  |
|  | Large adult | 20,800 |  | -24\% |  | 1,046 | 157 |  |
|  | Total | 54,000 |  | -31\% |  | 2,023 | 247 |  |
| Relative <br> conservation <br> requirements | Adults or Eggs | $105 \%$$(92 \%$ for spawners) |  | $-35 \%$(conservation met in 7 of 15 years) |  | $>100 \% \text { in }$ four years assessed | 33\% to 100\% | Met in 1 of 8 years |
| Barrier counts | Total adult | 891 | 2,421 | -31\% | -16\% |  |  |  |
| Angling CPUE (crown reserve) | Small | 0.32 |  | -28\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | Large | 0.042 |  | -13\% |  |  |  |  |
| Juvenile abundance (fish / $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) | Fry | 65 | 72 | -29\% | -46\% | 61 | 24 | +40\% |
|  | Small parr | 25 | 17 | -10\% | -51\% | 17 | 6 | -70\% |
|  | Large parr | 9 | 5 | +176\% | +50\% | 9 | 3 | +41\% |
|  | Distributio $\mathrm{n} \quad$ of juveniles | Found at 100\% of sites $(\mathrm{N}=27 \text { to } 34$ <br> sites sampled) | Found at greater than $99 \%$ of sites $(N=37$ to 44 sites sampled $)$ | All sites remain occupied | All sites remain occupied | Salmon juveniles at 18 of 25 sites in 1999 | Salmon juveniles at 26 of 27 sites | No trend |
| Large salmon in returns | Adult | 37\% |  | +8\% |  | 53\% | 63\% |  |
| Maiden salmon in returns | Adult | 93\% |  | -7\% |  | 90\% | 84\% |  |
| Maiden age structure 1SW, 2SW, | Adult | 73\%, 27\%, 1\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & +3 \% \text { for 1SW } \\ & -7 \% \text { for } 2 S W \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 46 \%, 54 \%, \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Smolt ages$2,3,4$ | Small | 40\%, 58\%, 2\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 18 \%, 78 \%, \\ 4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \%, 56 \%, \\ 5 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Large | 46\%, 53\%, 1\% |  |  |  | 35\%-65\%-0 | 66\%-34\%-0 |  |
| Percent female in 1SW, 2SW, 3SW | Adult | 20\%, 89\%, 90\% |  | No trend |  | 6\%, na, na | 11\%, 86\%, ? |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fork length (cm) } \\ & \text { of 1SW, 2SW, } \\ & 3 \mathrm{SW} \end{aligned}$ |  | 54, 74, 88 |  | + 2\% 1SW fork length <br> + 3\% 2SW fork length |  | 57, na, na | 56, 76, 101 |  |

Table 9. Estimation of total abundance of large salmon in SFA 16, 1970 to 2007.

| Year | Harvests |  |  |  | Miramichi River |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Milbank } \\ \text { count } \\ \text { Large } \\ \text { Salmon } \end{array}$ | Returns to index trappercentiles |  | SFA 16 inriver returnsLarge Salmon |  | SFA 16 total returnsLarge Salmon |  |  | Miramichi spawnersLarge salmon |  | SFA 16 spawnersLarge salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ommercial catch (large salmon) |  | Aboriginal fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SD 75-80 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below Index } \\ \text { trapnet } \end{gathered}$ | Above Index trapnet | Angling catch Angling loss <br> 3247 3247 |  |  | $\text { 5th } \quad 95 \text { th }$ |  | Min Max |  | Min | Midpoint | Max | 5th 95th |  | 5th | Midpoint | 95th |
| 1970 | 34889 | 5783 |  |  |  |  | 245 | 3662 | 5724 | 4074 | 6367 | 44746 | 45893 | 47040 | 415 | 2477 | 462 | 1609 | 2756 |
| 1971 | 18264 | 790 |  |  | 1786 | 1786 | 394 | 5889 | 9206 | 6551 | 10240 | 25605 | 27449 | 29294 | 4103 | 7420 | 4564 | 6409 | 8253 |
| 1972 | 2439 | 129 |  |  | 8927 | 8927 | 1167 | 17444 | 27266 | 19404 | 30330 | 21972 | 27434 | 32897 | 8517 | 18339 | 9474 | 14937 | 20400 |
| 1973 | 742 | 254 |  |  | 5977 | 5977 | 1133 | 16936 | 26472 | 18838 | 29446 | 19835 | 25138 | 30442 | 10959 | 20495 | 12190 | 17494 | 22798 |
| 1974 | 866 | 58 |  |  | 7167 | 7167 | 1791 | 26771 | 41846 | 29779 | 46547 | 30703 | 39087 | 47471 | 19604 | 34679 | 21807 | 30191 | 38575 |
| 1975 | 697 | 559 |  | 200 | 6287 | 6287 | 1209 | 18072 | 28248 | 20102 | 31421 | 21357 | 27017 | 32677 | 11585 | 21761 | 12886 | 18546 | 24205 |
| 1976 | 851 | 807 |  | 200 | 7368 | 7368 | 943 | 14096 | 22033 | 15679 | 24508 | 17338 | 21752 | 26167 | 6528 | 14465 | 7261 | 11675 | 16090 |
| 1977 | 6513 | 456 |  | 400 | 11617 | 11617 | 1934 | 28909 | 45187 | 32157 | 50264 | 39126 | 48179 | 57233 | 16892 | 33170 | 18790 | 27843 | 36896 |
| 1978 | 8392 | 248 |  | 400 | 4891 | 4891 | 593 | 8864 | 13855 | 9860 | 15412 | 18499 | 21275 | 24051 | 3573 | 8564 | 3974 | 6750 | 9526 |
| 1979 | 1612 | 309 |  | 200 | 2641 | 2641 | 318 | 4753 | 7430 | 5287 | 8265 | 7208 | 8696 | 10185 | 1912 | 4589 | 2127 | 3616 | 5104 |
| 1980 | 10764 | 421 |  |  | 6502 | 6502 | 1093 | 16338 | 25537 | 18173 | 28406 | 29358 | 34475 | 39591 | 9836 | 19035 | 10941 | 16057 | 21174 |
| 1981 | 8575 | 400 |  | 500 | 3228 | 3228 | 199 | 6713 | 11595 | 7467 | 12897 | 16442 | 19157 | 21872 | 2985 | 7867 | 3320 | 6035 | 8750 |
| 1982 | 10096 | 553 |  | 291 | 4608 | 4608 | 408 | 14641 | 25289 | 16286 | 28130 | 26936 | 32858 | 38780 | 9742 | 20390 | 10837 | 16759 | 22681 |
| 1983 | 10185 | 602 | 2 | 169 | 2230 | 2230 | 245 | 8792 | 15186 | 9780 | 16892 | 20568 | 24124 | 27681 | 6393 | 12787 | 7111 | 10667 | 14224 |
| 1984 | 0 | , | 1 | 308 | 7685 | 231 | 333 | 11950 | 20640 | 13292 | 22959 | 13293 | 18127 | 22960 | 11411 | 20102 | 12693 | 17527 | 22360 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 322 | 9620 | 289 | 311 | 16368 | 28273 | 18207 | 31449 | 18212 | 24833 | 31454 | 15758 | 27662 | 17528 | 24149 | 30770 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 623 | 14215 | 426 | 469 | 24684 | 42636 | 27457 | 47426 | 27474 | 37459 | 47443 | 23635 | 41587 | 26290 | 36275 | 46259 |
| 1987 | 0 | , | 21 | 877 | 11932 | 358 | 291 | 15316 | 26455 | 17036 | 29427 | 17057 | 23253 | 29448 | 14081 | 25220 | 15663 | 21858 | 28053 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 270 | 10068 | 302 | 325 | 17105 | 29545 | 19027 | 32865 | 19105 | 26024 | 32943 | 16533 | 28973 | 18391 | 25310 | 32228 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 462 | 11928 | 358 | 257 | 13526 | 23364 | 15046 | 25988 | 15124 | 20595 | 26066 | 12706 | 22544 | 14134 | 19605 | 25077 |
| 1990 | 0 | , | 107 | 502 | 9258 | 278 | 427 | 22474 | 38818 | 24999 | 43179 | 25106 | 34196 | 43286 | 21694 | 38038 | 24131 | 33222 | 42312 |
| 1991 | 0 | , | 82 | 462 | 6147 | 184 | 448 | 23579 | 40727 | 26228 | 45303 | 26310 | 35847 | 45385 | 22933 | 40081 | 25509 | 35046 | 44584 |
| 1992 | 0 | , | 28 | 580 | 9476 | 284 | 202 | 31056 | 44643 | 34545 | 49658 | 34573 | 42129 | 49686 | 30191 | 43778 | 33583 | 41140 | 48697 |
| 1993 | 0 | , | 154 | 54 | 8131 | 244 |  | 19732 | 76695 | 22448 | 87253 | 22602 | 55004 | 87407 | 19434 | 76397 | 22109 | 54511 | 86914 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 81 | 5129 | 154 |  | 15870 | 28962 | 18055 | 32949 | 18098 | 25545 | 32992 | 15635 | 28727 | 17787 | 25235 | 32682 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 172 | 3146 | 94 |  | 26643 | 38747 | 30311 | 44081 | 30324 | 37209 | 44094 | 26377 | 38481 | 30007 | 36893 | 43778 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 317 |  | 175 |  | 14294 | 24594 | 16262 | 27980 | 16317 | 22176 | 28035 | 13848 | 24056 | 15755 | 21561 | 27367 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 |  | 548 |  | 155 |  | 12931 | 21554 | 14711 | 24521 | 14711 | 19616 | 24521 | 12267 | 20812 | 13955 | 18816 | 23677 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 195 |  | 156 |  | 10039 | 24695 | 11421 | 28095 | 11440 | 19777 | 28114 | 9753 | 24278 | 11096 | 19358 | 27620 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 655 |  | 132 |  | 11329 | 18002 | 12888 | 20480 | 12922 | 16718 | 20514 | 10572 | 17185 | 12027 | 15789 | 19551 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 |  | 474 |  | 147 |  | 12058 | 20653 | 13718 | 23496 | 13718 | 18607 | 23496 | 11475 | 19993 | 13055 | 17900 | 22745 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 |  | 503 |  | 204 |  | 19450 | 25800 | 22127 | 29352 | 22127 | 25739 | 29352 | 18772 | 25065 | 21356 | 24936 | 28515 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 |  | 354 |  | 112 |  | 7994 | 16800 | 9094 | 19113 | 9094 | 14104 | 19113 | 7568 | 16295 | 8610 | 13574 | 18538 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 |  | 259 |  | 185 |  | 15060 | 26140 | 17133 | 29738 | 17133 | 23436 | 29738 | 14665 | 25646 | 16684 | 22930 | 29176 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 |  | 438 |  | 227 |  | 17080 | 33350 | 19431 | 37941 | 19431 | 28686 | 37941 | 16488 | 32612 | 18758 | 27930 | 37101 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 |  | 450 |  | 155 |  | 11460 | 23030 | 13038 | 26200 | 13038 | 19619 | 26200 | 10907 | 22373 | 12408 | 18930 | 25452 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 |  | 460 |  | 188 |  | 13340 | 28370 | 15176 | 32275 | 15176 | 23726 | 32275 | 12760 | 27655 | 14516 | 22989 | 31462 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 |  | 460 |  | 202 |  | 13970 | 30990 | 15893 | 35256 | 15893 | 25575 | 35256 | 13384 | 30251 | 15227 | 24821 | 34415 |

Table 10. Estimation of total abundance of small salmon in SFA 16, 1970 to 2007.

| Year | Harvests |  |  |  | Miramichi River |  | Milbank <br> count <br> Small <br> Salmon | Returns to index trapnet <br> percentiles |  | SFA 16 inriver returnsSmall Salmon |  | SFA 16 total returns Small Salmon |  |  | Miramichi spawnersSmall salmon |  | SFA 16 spawners Small salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial catch (s | all salmon) | Aboriginal fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SD } 67 \text { to } 73 \\ \text { Below Millbank } \end{gathered}$ | SD 75-80 | Below Index trapnet | Above Index trapnet | Angling catch | Angling loss |  | 5th | 95th | Min | Max | Min | Midpoint | Max | 5th | 95th | 5th | Midpoint | 95th |
| 1970 | 7 | 1 |  |  | 19610 | 19610 | 2484 | 43810 | 62084 | 48731 | 69059 | 48739 | 58903 | 69067 | 24200 | 42474 | 26918 | 37082 | 47246 |
| 1971 | 40 | 616 |  |  | 13727 | 13727 | 1962 | 34603 | 49038 | 38491 | 54547 | 39147 | 47175 | 55203 | 20876 | 35311 | 23222 | 31250 | 39278 |
| 1972 |  |  |  |  | 19101 | 19101 | 2542 | 44832 | 63534 | 49869 | 70672 | 49869 | 60271 | 70672 | 25731 | 44433 | 28622 | 39024 | 49425 |
| 1973 | 66 | 7 |  |  | 13857 | 13857 | 2450 | 43210 | 61235 | 48064 | 68114 | 48137 | 58162 | 68187 | 29353 | 47378 | 32651 | 42676 | 52700 |
| 1974 | 354 | 80 |  |  | 18232 | 18232 | 4038 | 71217 | 100925 | 79218 | 112263 | 79652 | 96175 | 112698 | 52985 | 82693 | 58938 | 75460 | 91983 |
| 1975 | 1760 |  |  | 400 | 15598 | 15598 | 3548 | 62575 | 88678 | 69605 | 98641 | 71365 | 85883 | 100401 | 46577 | 72680 | 51810 | 66327 | 80845 |
| 1976 | 298 | 1222 |  | 200 | 27182 | 27182 | 4939 | 87108 | 123444 | 96894 | 137313 | 98413 | 118623 | 138832 | 59726 | 96062 | 66436 | 86645 | 106854 |
| 1977 | 1126 | 552 |  | 500 | 13590 | 13590 | 1505 | 26543 | 37616 | 29525 | 41842 | 31203 | 37361 | 43519 | 12453 | 23526 | 13852 | 20010 | 26169 |
| 1978 | 5290 | 165 |  | 400 | 8265 | 8265 | 1265 | 22310 | 31617 | 24817 | 35169 | 30273 | 35449 | 40625 | 13645 | 22952 | 15178 | 20355 | 25531 |
| 1979 | 2589 |  |  | 100 | 14508 | 14508 | 2500 | 44092 | 62484 | 49045 | 69504 | 51634 | 61863 | 72093 | 29484 | 47876 | 32796 | 43026 | 53255 |
| 1980 | 551 |  |  |  | 11997 | 11997 | 2139 | 37725 | 53462 | 41963 | 59468 | 42514 | 51267 | 60019 | 25728 | 41465 | 28618 | 37371 | 46123 |
| 1981 | 1475 |  |  | 1000 | 22716 | 22716 | 2174 | 49319 | 85188 | 54860 | 94759 | 56335 | 76285 | 96234 | 25603 | 61472 | 28480 | 48429 | 68378 |
| 1982 | 2311 |  |  | 567 | 21402 | 21402 | 2665 | 60458 | 104428 | 67251 | 116160 | 69562 | 94016 | 118471 | 38489 | 82459 | 42813 | 67268 | 91723 |
| 1983 | 1557 |  | 1 | 356 | 8390 | 8390 | 810 | 18376 | 31740 | 20440 | 35306 | 21998 | 29431 | 36864 | 9630 | 22994 | 10712 | 18144 | 25577 |
| 1984 |  | 0 | 1 | 380 | 18790 | 18790 | 1010 | 22913 | 39577 | 25487 | 44023 | 25488 | 34756 | 44024 | 3743 | 20407 | 4163 | 13431 | 22699 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 |  | 546 | 18439 | 18439 | 912 | 48000 | 82909 | 53393 | 92224 | 53393 | 72808 | 92224 | 29015 | 63924 | 32275 | 51690 | 71106 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1972 | 26163 | 26163 | 1763 | 92789 | 160273 | 103214 | 178279 | 103230 | 140762 | 178295 | 64654 | 132138 | 71918 | 109451 | 146983 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1258 | 20765 | 20765 | 1272 | 66947 | 115636 | 74469 | 128628 | 74485 | 101564 | 128644 | 44924 | 93613 | 49971 | 77051 | 104131 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 892 | 30620 | 30620 | 1828 | 96211 | 166182 | 107019 | 184852 | 107071 | 145988 | 184904 | 64699 | 134670 | 71967 | 110883 | 149800 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1054 | 24426 | 24426 | 1128 | 59368 | 102545 | 66038 | 114066 | 66069 | 90083 | 114097 | 33888 | 77065 | 37696 | 61710 | 85724 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2095 | 21372 | 21372 | 1247 | 65632 | 113364 | 73005 | 126100 | 73020 | 99567 | 126115 | 42165 | 89897 | 46902 | 73449 | 99996 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1109 | 11300 | 11300 | 913 | 48053 | 83000 | 53451 | 92325 | 53453 | 72890 | 92327 | 35644 | 70591 | 39648 | 59785 | 78522 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 1616 | 21509 | 21509 | 971 | 128000 | 184000 | 142380 | 204672 | 142416 | 173562 | 204708 | 104875 | 160875 | 116657 | 147803 | 178949 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 477 | 15271 | 15271 |  | 61500 | 153880 | 69966 | 174972 | 70090 | 122593 | 175096 | 45752 | ${ }^{138052}$ | 52050 | 104553 | 157056 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 2921 | 11203 | 11203 |  | 36669 | 52592 | 41717 | 59832 | 41773 | 50831 | 59888 | 22545 | 38468 | 25649 | 34706 | 43764 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 2965 | 5533 | 5533 |  | 38956 | 55741 | 44318 | 63414 | 44357 | 53905 | 63453 | 30458 | 47243 | 34650 | 44198 | 53746 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2578 |  |  |  | 28183 | 40425 | 32062 | 45990 | 32067 | 39031 | 45995 | 16023 | 24103 | 18228 | 22824 | 27420 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 |  | 1197 | 11492 | 11492 |  | 12637 | 21203 | 14377 | 24122 | 14377 | 19249 | 24122 | 7143 | 12797 | 8127 | 11343 | 14559 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1160 |  |  |  | 18727 | 29015 | 21305 | 33009 | 21325 | 27177 | 33029 | 11200 | 17990 | 12742 | 16604 | 20466 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 2366 |  |  |  | 19770 | 27194 | 22491 | 30938 | 22517 | 26740 | 30964 | 10682 | 15582 | 12153 | 14940 | 17727 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 |  | 2953 |  |  |  | 27592 | 37272 | 31390 | 42403 | 31390 | 36896 | 42403 | 15258 | 21647 | 17358 | 20992 | 24626 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 |  | 1561 |  |  |  | 24770 | 31260 | 28180 | 35563 | 28180 | 31871 | 35563 | 14787 | 19071 | 16823 | 19259 | 21696 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 |  | 2388 |  |  |  | 37310 | 46610 | 42446 | 53026 | 42446 | 47736 | 53026 | 22237 | 28375 | 25298 | 28789 | 32281 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 |  | 1233 |  |  |  | 24100 | 31950 | 27418 | 36348 | 27418 | 31883 | 36348 | 14673 | 19854 | 16693 | 19640 | 22587 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 |  | 2112 |  |  |  | 37520 | 50240 | 42685 | 57156 | 42685 | 49920 | 57156 | 22651 | 31046 | 25769 | 30545 | 35320 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 |  | 1528 |  |  |  | 24540 | 36780 | 27918 | 41843 | 27918 | 34881 | 41843 | 14668 | 22747 | 16688 | 21283 | 25878 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 |  | 1369 |  |  |  | 25920 | 40270 | 29488 | 45813 | 29488 | 37651 | 45813 | 15738 | 25209 | 17905 | 23292 | 28679 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 |  | 1500 |  |  |  | 18310 | 58020 | 20830 | 66007 | 20830 | 43419 | 66007 | 10585 | 36793 | 12042 | 26950 | 41858 |

Table 11. Results from smolt monitoring programs in the Miramichi River watershed for 1998 to 2008. Values in grey shading are underestimates or poor estimates.


Table 12. Summary of threats to, and rating of effects on recovery and/ or persistence of Atlantic salmon in SFA 16, Gulf Region NB (DFO and MNRF. In prep).

| Potential sources of mortality /harm Permitted and un-permitted activities | Source <br> (with examples) | Proportion of salmon in SFA affected LOW < 5\%, MEDIUM 5\% to 30\%, HIGH > 30\%, UNCERTAIN | Cause/ <br> Time <br> Frame <br> Historic (H) <br> Current (C) <br> Potential <br> (P) | Effect on Population (LOW < 5\% spawner loss, MEDIUM 5\% to 30\% spawner loss, HIGH > 30\% spawner loss, UNCERTAIN) | Management Alternatives/ Mitigation (relative to existing actions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directed Salmon Fishing | Aboriginal | Low | H C | Low | Control harvest through agreements between DFO and First Nations |
|  | Recreational: retention \& release | Low | H C | Low-1SW retention only | Encourage the use of catch and release measures |
|  | Commercial (domestic) | Not Applicable - all commercial fisheries closed |  |  |  |
|  | High Seas (West Greenland / St. Pierre Miquelon) | Low | H C | Low | Reductions in internal use fisheries in those areas |
|  | Illegal (poaching) | Low- | H C | Low - increased <br> enforce-ment in  <br> conjunction with DFO <br> and provincial  <br> enforcement officers;  <br> increased stewardship  <br> initiatives with local  <br> groups; changed  <br> enforcement strategies  <br> for more targeted  <br> efforts   | Continue use of compliancemonitors onselected <br> watersheds, <br> includingAboriginal guardians |
|  | CUMULATIVE EFFECT | LOW - MEDIUM | C | LOW-MEDIUM- many initiatives in place in recent years to reduce mortality | . |
| Bycatch of <br> Salmon in <br> Fisheries for  <br> Other Species  | Aboriginal | Low | C | Low- all bycatch mandatory release |  |
|  | Recreational | Low | C | Low- all bycatch mandatory release |  |


| Potential sources of mortality /harm Permitted and un-permitted activities | Source (with examples) | Proportion of salmon in SFA affected LOW < 5\%, <br> MEDIUM 5\% to 30\%, HIGH > 30\%, UNCERTAIN | Cause/ <br> Time <br> Frame <br> Historic (H) <br> Current (C) <br> Potential <br> (P) | Effect on Population (LOW < 5\% spawner loss, MEDIUM 5\% to $30 \%$ spawner loss, HIGH > 30\% spawner loss, UNCERTAIN) | Management Alternatives/ Mitigation (relative to existing actions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial near shore | Low | C | Low- all bycatch mandatory release |  |
|  | Commercial distant | Low | C | Low | None apparent |
|  | CUMULATIVE EFFECT | LOW |  | LOW | None apparent |
| Salmon <br> Fisheries <br> Impacts on Salmon Habitat | Aboriginal | Low | H C | Low | None apparent |
|  | Recreational | Low | H C | Low | None apparent |
|  | Commercial | Not Applicable |  |  |  |
|  | Illegal | Low | H C | Low | None apparent |
|  | CUMULATIVE EFFECT | LOW |  | LOW | None apparent |
| Mortality Associated with Water Use | Power generation at dams \& tidal facilities (turbine mortalities, entrainment, stranding) | Low- very small number of dams | H C | Low |  |
| Habitat Alterations | Municipal waste water treatment facilities | Low - Medium | H C P | Low - some system inadequate; occasional system failures | Ensure current projects and future developments meet standards |
|  | Pulp \& paper mills | Low | H C P | Low - pulp and paper mills comply with pulp and paper effluent regulations |  |
|  | Hydroelectric power generation (dams \& reservoirs, tidal power): altered behavior \& ecosystems | Low | H C P | Low | Very low number of facilities |
|  | Water extractions | Low | H C P | Low | Must meet regulations in place; monitoring; develop regional guidelines |
|  | Urbanization (altered hydrology) | Low | H C P | Low | Project redesign; existing regulation - monitoring |


| Potential sources of mortality /harm Permitted and un-permitted activities | Source (with examples) | Proportion of salmon in SFA affected LOW < 5\%, MEDIUM 5\% to 30\%, HIGH > 30\%, UNCERTAIN | Cause/ <br> Time <br> Frame <br> Historic (H) <br> Current (C) <br> Potential <br> (P) | Effect on Population (LOW < 5\% spawner loss, MEDIUM 5\% to 30\% spawner loss, HIGH > 30\% spawner loss, UNCERTAIN) | Management Alternatives/ Mitigation (relative to existing actions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Infrastructure (roads/culverts) (fish passage) | Medium | H C P | Medium- many non compliant culverts | Existing regulations; more monitoring/ enforcement |
|  | Aquaculture siting | Not Applicable |  |  |  |
|  | Agriculture / Forestry / Mining, etc. | Low- Medium | H C P | Low - clear-cutting, sedimentation | Enforcement/ monitoring of existing suite of regulations, compensations where required |
|  | Municipal, provincial \& federal dredging | Low | H C P | Low | Follow regulations in place; mitigations and compensations as required; minimize amount |
|  | CUMULATIVE EFFECT | MEDIUM | H C P | MEDIUM | None apparent |
| Shipping, <br> Transport and Noise | Municipal, provincial, federal \& private transport activities (inc. land and water based contaminants/ spills) | Uncertain | H C P | Uncertain | None apparent |
| Fisheries on Prey of Salmon (for ex. capelin, smelt, shrimp) | Commercial, Recreational, Aboriginal fisheries for species a, b, c etc. | Uncertain | H C P | Uncertain | None apparent |
| Aquaculture (Salmon and other species) | Escapes from fresh  <br> water, marine facilities,  <br> disease, parasites, <br> competition, effects on <br> behaviour and <br> migration, genetic <br> introgression  | Low | H C P | Low | Fish Health regulations; Introduction and Transfer regulations |
| Fish culture / stocking (noncommercial, including | Impacts on effective population size, over representation of families, domestication | Low | H C P | Low | Must comply with Introduction and Transfer Guidelines |


| Potential sources of mortality /harm Permitted and un-permitted activities | Source (with examples) | Proportion of salmon in SFA affected LOW < 5\%, MEDIUM 5\% to 30\%, HIGH > 30\%, UNCERTAIN | Cause/ <br> Time <br> Frame <br> Historic (H) <br> Current (C) <br> Potential <br> (P) | Effect on Population (LOW < 5\% spawner loss, MEDIUM 5\% to $30 \%$ spawner loss, HIGH > 30\% spawner loss, UNCERTAIN) | Management Alternatives/ Mitigation (relative to existing actions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| private, NGO, government) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scientific Research | Government, university, community and Aboriginal groups | Low | C | Low - minimal <br> removals for scientific   <br> purposes.   | None apparent |
| Military Activities | Field operations, shooting ranges | Not Applcable |  |  |  |
| Air Pollutants | Acid rain | Low | H C P | Uncertain | None apparent |
| UN-PERMITTED |  |  |  |  |  |
| Introductions of non-native invasive species | Smallmouth bass, chain pickerel, muskellunge, rainbow trout, invertebrates, plants, algae | High- Smallmouth bass were found in Miramichi Lake in October 2008 | C P | Uncertain | CSAS conducted risk analysis in Jan 2009 to provide advice management to Contain the invasive species if possible and conduct education programs $l$ |
| International <br> High Seas <br> Targeted | Flags of convenience? | Uncertain | H C P | Uncertain | None apparent |
| Ecotourism and Recreation | Private Co's \& public at large (water crafts, swimming, etc) effects on salmon behaviour \& survival | Low | H C P | Low | Increase enforcement activities Conduct education programs |
| Ecosystem change | Climate change, <br> changes in relative <br> predator prey <br> abundances, disease  | Low-Uncertain | C P | Low- Uncertain some rivers in this area are moderately impacted by low water levels and warm water temperatures; affect on salmon populations is unknown | None apparent |



Figure 1. Rivers within Salmon Fishing Area 16 of the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence. Index numbers refer to rivers in Table 1.


Figure 2. Proportion at total age (river age plus sea age plus one for egg deposition year) of returns of Atlantic salmon by year class (year of spawning) from the Miramichi River. Abundance at age by year class is estimated from the returns by small and large salmon, proportion at age within the returns by size group, adjusted to year class based on total age. Only complete year classes are shown.


Figure 3. Percent at smolt age in samples of 1SW (upper panel) and 2SW (lower panel) maiden salmon from the Miramichi River, by year of adult return, 1971 to 2007.


Figure 4. Mean generation time (years; mean age of salmon returns from a year class, including repeat spawners) by year class of Atlantic salmon from the Miramichi River.


Figure 5. Changes in relative abundance (percent) of repeat spawners in the returns of large salmon (>= 63 cm fork length) to the Miramichi River, 1971 to 2007.


Figure 6. Fork length (cm) by sea age history of Atlantic salmon from the Miramichi River, 1971 to 2006. The bullet is the median, horizontal hatches are interquartile range, and vertical bars are the 95\% confidence interval range. The number above the plots is the sample size. Sea age histories are interpreted as follows: 1, 2, and 3 is sea age of respective maiden first time spawners; -C are consecutive second time spawners; -C+ are repeat spawners on a third or greater spawning migration which returned to a second spawning as consecutives; -A are alternate second time spawners; -A+ are repeat spawners on a third or greater spawning migration which returned to a second spawning as alternates. A consecutive spawner is a fish which returned to the river to spawn within the same year as it left the river in the spring as a kelt. An alternate spawner is a fish which spent more than twelve months at sea before returning to spawn after having left the river in the spring as a kelt.


Figure 7. Whole weight (g) to fork length (mm) relationship for adult Atlantic salmon from the Miramichi River. Means and two standard error ranges are shown. Data are based on samples from the estuarine trapnets for the period 1971 to 2007.


Figure 8. Mean fork length (mm) (+/- 1 standard error) of one-sea-winter (1SW) (upper panel) and two-sea-winter (2SW) (lower panel) salmon from the Miramichi River, 1971 to 2007. Data are from fish sampled in May to August.


Figure 9. Mean length (mm; upper panel) and mean eggs per fish (lower panel) of salmon by size group (small < 63 cm fork length; large >= 63 cm fork length) from the Miramichi River, 1971 to 2007. Eggs per fish are calculated using the mean length and the length to fecundity relationship of Randall (1989).


Figure 10. Timing of catches at estuarine trapnets in the Northwest Miramichi (upper) and the Southwest Miramichi (lower). Plots are mean proportions of the total annual catch for the years 1998 to 2004.


Figure 11. Site specific presence/absence and number of cohorts (year classes) of juvenile Atlantic salmon present at sites in rivers of SFA 16 in 2008. The coloured symbols refer to the number of cohorts (fry, small parr ~ age-1, large parr ~ age 2+) observed at each site.


Figure 12. Time series of the proportions of surveyed sites with Atlantic salmon juvenile abundances of less than 1 fish per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for fry (upper) and parr (lower) in the Miramichi River, 1970 to 2008.


Figure 13. Estimates of returns of small salmon (upper), large salmon (middle) and size groups combined (lower) to the Miramichi River, 1971 to 2007. Trend line (over the years with the square symbols with grey shading) is an exponential function for the most recent 15 years (1993 to 2007).


Figure 14. Estimates of egg depositions relative to conservation requirement in the escapement of Atlantic salmon to the Miramichi River, 1971 to 2007. Trend line is shown for the period 1993 to 2007.


Figure 15. Counts of salmon (size groups combined) at the two headwater barriers in the Southwest Miramichi (upper) and at the single headwater barrier in the Northwest Miramichi (lower). The trend line is for the years 1993 to 2007 (square symbols with grey shading).


Figure 16. Catch per unit effort (rod day) for large salmon and small salmon in the Crown Reserve angling waters of the Northwest Miramichi, 1973-2008. Trend lines are shown for 1993 to 2007.


Figure 17. Estimated abundance (number of fish; returns, spawners) of small salmon (upper) and large salmon (lower) to SFA 16, 1970 to 2007. Trend lines are shown for returns for the period 1993 to 2007.

Little Southwest Miramichi River


Figure 18. Indices of abundance (average density, fish per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) of juvenile salmon by age/size groups in the Little Southwest Miramichi (upper panels) and the Northwest Miramichi rivers (lower panels), 1970 to 2008. Only years in which at least 3 sites per river were surveyed are included. The exponential trend line is for the years 1994 to 2008.


Figure 19. Indices of abundance (average density, fish per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) of juvenile salmon by age/size groups in the Renous (upper panels) and the Southwest Miramichi rivers (lower panels), 1970 to 2008. Only years in which at least 3 sites per river were surveyed are included. The exponential trend line is for the years 1994 to 2008.
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Southwest Miramichi River


Figure 20. Total juvenile salmon biomass (average, grams per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) in the four major rivers of the Miramichi watershed, 1970 to 2008. Only years in which at least 3 sites per river were surveyed are included. The exponential trend line is for the years 1994 to 2008.

Richibuctou River
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Figure 21. Juvenile abundance (average density, fish per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) by age/size groups in the two largest rivers of southeastern New Brunswick.


Figure 22. Indices of abundance (average density, fish per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) of juvenile salmon by age/size groups in four of the smaller rivers of southeastern New Brunswick, for years between 1974 and 2008 when surveys were conducted.


Figure 23. Return rates to a second spawning of 1SW maiden (upper) and 2SW maiden (lower) salmon in the Miramichi River.

