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#### Abstract

In this paper, estimates of 1-sea winter (1SW) and 2-sea winter (2SW) returns to rivers and spawners for Labrador salmon stocks are presented for the years 1969-2008. Estimates for 2008 are preliminary. The estimates of Labrador returns were derived by three techniques for periods of 1969-1997, 1998-2001 and 2002-2008. The first technique utilized exploitation rates that were derived from a tagging study at Sand Hill River, Labrador in 1970-1973 applied to commercial salmon catches in the years 1969-1997 and corrected for non-Labrador origin salmon in the fishery. The second technique utilized exploitation rates applied to angling catches to derive returns for the years 1998-2001. The third technique utilized counts at four enumeration facilities in Labrador adjusted for drainage area to derive returns for all Labrador rivers for the years 2002 to present. Spawners in all years were determined as the returns to rivers minus the landings in angling fisheries plus an adjustment for loses due to hooked-andreleased fish. The mid-point of the estimated returns $(201,069)$ of 1 SW salmon to Labrador rivers in 2008 is $5 \%$ higher than in 2007. The mid-point $(17,785)$ of the estimated 2 SW returns to Labrador rivers in 2008 was $19 \%$ higher than in 2007 and $38 \%$ higher than the recent 5 -year average of 12,932 . The mid-point of the estimated numbers of 2 SW spawners $(17,559)$ was $38 \%$ above the previous year and was $50 \%$ of the total 2 SW conservation requirement for Labrador. The 2SW conservation requirement has only been exceeded once (1998) since 1971. The mid-point of the estimated numbers of 1 SW spawners $(198,916)$ was $5 \%$ higher than estimated for 2007. The number of recruits has steadily declined from higher levels in the early 1970s to present low levels in spite of the closure of the commercial fishery. 1SW salmon spawners are well above conservation requirements while those of large and 2SW salmon remain well below.


## RÉSUMÉ

Dans la présente étude, les estimations des retours des petits et des gros saumons dans les rivières ainsi que des reproducteurs pour les stocks de saumons au Labrador sont présentées pour les années 1969 à 2008. Les estimations de 2008 sont préliminaires. Les estimations des retours au Labrador proviennent de trois méthodes pour les périodes de 1969 à 1997, de 1998 à 2001 et de 2002 à 2008. Dans la première méthode, on a utilisé les taux d'exploitation provenant d'une expérience de marquage dans la rivière Sand Hill (Labrador) dans la période 1970-1973 qui ont été appliqués aux prises commerciales de saumons dans les années 1969 à 1997 et corrigés pour tenir compte des saumons ne provenant pas du Labrador dans les pêches. Dans la deuxième méthode, on a utilisé les taux d'exploitation appliqués aux prises de pêche sportive pour obtenir les retours pour les années 1998 à 2001. Dans la troisième méthode, on a utilisé les nombres de saumons obtenus à partir de quatre installations de dénombrement au Labrador, rajustés en fonction de la superficie du bassin hydrologique afin d'obtenir les retours dans toutes les rivières du Labrador de 2002 jusqu'à aujourd'hui. Pour toutes les années, le nombre de reproducteurs était déterminé comme étant les retours dans les rivières moins les débarquements des pêcheurs sportifs, plus un rajustement pour les pertes attribuables aux saumons capturés puis remis à l'eau. Le point milieu des retours estimés (201 069) de saumons unibermarins dans les rivières du Labrador en 2008 est $5 \%$ plus élevé qu'en 2007. Le point milieu ( 17 785) des retours estimés de saumons dibermarins dans les rivières du Labrador en 2008 était 19 \% plus élevé qu'en 2007 et $38 \%$ plus élevé que la moyenne récente sur cinq ans (12932). Le point milieu du nombre estimé de reproducteurs parmi les saumons dibermarins (17559) était de $38 \%$ supérieur à l'année précédente et représentait $50 \%$ des besoins totaux de conservation des saumons dibermarins pour le Labrador. Le niveau du besoin de conservation des saumons dibermarins a été dépassé une seule fois (en 1998) depuis 1971. Le point milieu du nombre estimé de petits reproducteurs (198 916) était $5 \%$ plus élevé que lorsqu'il a été estimé en 2007. Le nombre de recrues a diminué de façon constante par rapport aux niveaux élevés au début des années 1970 pour atteindre de faibles niveaux, en dépit de la fermeture de la pêche commerciale. Le nombre de reproducteurs parmi les petits saumons est bien au-delà des besoins de conservation, tandis que les impératifs pour les gros saumons et les saumons dibermarins demeurent bien inférieurs.

## INTRODUCTION

A time series of estimates of prefishery abundance (PFA) of North American non-maturing salmon has been used since 1993 by the ICES North Atlantic Salmon Working Group (NASWG) to provide advice on catch levels for commercial and recreational fisheries in North America and the commercial fishery at Greenland (Anon. 1998). PFA which refers to the number of maturing 1SW (grilse) and non-maturing 1SW salmon prior to fisheries exploiting them is estimated by summing returns to freshwater for six major geographical areas comprising all of the North American salmon producing rivers including those of Labrador. The six major geographic areas are: Labrador, insular Newfoundland, Quebec, Scotia-Fundy, Gulf of St. Lawrence and USA. Commercial catches in mixed-stock fisheries in North America and North American origin salmon caught at west Greenland were added to the estimates of returns to freshwater that when corrected for natural mortality provided estimates of the total number of North American salmon. Estimates were made separately for maturing 1SW salmon (potential grilse) and nonmaturing 1SW salmon (potential 2SW salmon). In order to maintain PFA estimates in the year of the Greenland fishery, the PFAs for the non-maturing component were lagged by one year which also places the maturing component in the same relative year.

The purpose of this paper is to document estimates of returns and spawners for Labrador, 1969-2008. This paper was prepared for a DFO held pre-COSEWIC review conducted in February, 2009 in Halifax, NS.

## METHODS

## LABRADOR (SFAS 1, 2 AND 14B)

## Angling and Commercial Fisheries Data

The basis of estimates of 2SW and 1SW salmon returns and spawners for Labrador are catch data from angling and commercial fisheries. Catch and effort data from the angling fishery were collected by Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) enforcement staff in conjunction with angling reports submitted by fishing camp operators and processed by DFO Science Branch personnel. Commercial catch data were collected by DFO enforcement staff from fish plant landing slips and processed by DFO Statistics and Informatics Branch personnel. Procedures for the collection and compilation of commercial and angling fishery data are described in Ash and O'Connell (1987) for fishery years 1974-1996. For years 1969-1974, commercial catch data came from Anon. (1978). In 1997, the angling catch statistics were converted to a licence stub system (O'Connell et al. 1998) which continues to present.

## Total Returns and Spawners for Labrador (SFA 1, 2 and 14B), 1969-1997

For Labrador stocks, it was thought inappropriate to develop total recruits from angling catches and exploitation rates similar to techniques used for insular Newfoundland rivers. The problem with using angling catches to derive returns for Labrador is that until 1994 there were no estimates of exploitation rates available other than for the salmon population of Sand Hill River and these were 20 years out of date. Also, because Labrador coastal rivers are isolated the exploitation rates are low and highly variable depending on the presence of an outfitting camp and its success in attracting guests as well as the nearness of local communities. Thus, exploitation rates would depend and vary from one year to the next on the success of angling
camps in attracting anglers and may not be applicable to other Labrador rivers. Also, many rivers in Labrador have no angling fishery in particular those of Lake Melville and the smaller coastal rivers. Thus, all estimates of returns and spawners were based on commercial catches as the only source available of usable continuous time series of data.

The general approach is to use exploitation rates to convert commercial catches of small and large salmon in Labrador to the total population prior to the commercial fishery. River returns and spawners were estimated by subtracting from these populations, the commercial catch and accounting for non-Labrador origin salmon. The estimated number of Labrador origin large returns (LR) is calculated as:
(1) $L R=\left(C C^{*} P L\right) / \mu$
where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PL = proportion Labrador origin } \\
& C C=\text { commercial catch } \\
& \mu=\text { exploitation rate }
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimated number of Labrador origin small returns (SR) is determined from equation (1) but using commercial catches of small salmon.

Parameter values for sea age and proportion of salmon of Labrador origin came from the sampling program in the commercial fishery, 1974-1991 (Tables 1 and 2). Even though the commercial fishery continued in Labrador up to 1997, the sampling program was dropped in Labrador at the same time as it was in Newfoundland with the closure of the Newfoundland commercial fishery in 1992. In 1997, commercial sampling resumed with samples being collected throughout the fishery at Makkovik and Rigolet in SFA 1 and Cartwright and St. Lewis (Fox Harbour) in SFA 2. River age distribution of commercial samples of small and large salmon from Labrador have been found to consist, on average, of about $75-80 \%$ river age 4 and older in SFAs 1 and 2. The commercial samples came from commercial catches sampled in Labrador at several sites along the Labrador coast including Square Islands (SFA 2) and at Nain (SFA 1) (Anon. 1993b). In total, 46,320 salmon were sampled for scales and aged. Labrador salmon stocks are thought to be about $70 \%$ of the total production of 4 and older river age salmon in Canada with the other $30 \%$ coming from northern Quebec. Thus, when nonLabrador salmon are factored in at $30 \%$ applied to the river age distribution then $60-80 \%$ of the commercial harvest of small and large salmon (PL) in Labrador are of Labrador origin (Anon. 1993b). In 1997, in SFA 1, based on the results from the sampling program in that year, the percentage of the commercial catch that was Labrador origin was for large salmon 68\% (95\% C.I. 64.3-72.5\%); whereas for small salmon it was $39 \%$ ( $95 \%$ C.I. $35.6 \%-41.6 \%$ ). In 1997, in SFA 2, the percentage of the commercial catch that was Labrador origin was for large salmon 92\% (95\% C.I. 88.4-95.2\%); whereas for small salmon it was 80\% (95\% C.I. 74.8\%-85.0\%).

Exploitation rates $(\mu)$ were calculated from the smolt tagging study in 1969-1973 at Sand Hill River (Reddin 1981; Reddin and Dempson 1989). Exploitation rates of 0.28-0.51 for small salmon and 0.83-0.97 for large salmon from the tagging study were changed to base exploitation rates of 0.3-0.5 on small salmon and 0.7-0.9 on large salmon and were assumed to apply to all of the salmon populations in SFAs 1, 2, and 14B for the period of 1969-1991 (Anon. 1993b). While fishing effort varied annually during that time it showed no substantial trends either decreasing or increasing until 1991. After 1991, due to the Management Plans for the
commercial fishery in Labrador and Newfoundland, several changes occurred that would potentially reduce exploitation on salmon in the commercial fishery. These changes include: (1) reductions in effort as commercial salmon fishermen chose to sell their licenses from a buyout agreement begun in 1992, (2) moratorium on commercial fishing in Newfoundland which would potentially increase the number of Labrador salmon in Labrador coastal waters, and (3) season reductions due to the varying opening dates and early closures from the quotas applied in 1995 and 1996. The effects of these changes were quantified in the exploitation model used to derive returns of Labrador salmon as follows:

## Adjustment of Exploitation Rates due to Reductions in Fishing Effort -1

Licensed fishing effort in Labrador has declined considerably due to license buyouts as part of management measures designed to reduce commercial exploitation. Declines in fishing effort and its subsequent effect on exploitation rates were assessed by devaluing the base exploitation rates by the proportionate decrease in fishing effort as described in Anon. (1993). Anon. (1993) estimated the changes in exploitation from the following equation:
(2) $\quad \mu=1-e^{-a F}$
where $\mathrm{a}=$ fraction of the 1991 licensed effort remaining in 1992-1996 and $\mathrm{F}=$ fishing effort in the base year. In 1994-1996, the licensed effort for all of Labrador was 37\% of the 1991 level of 570 licenses, in 1993 it was $55 \%$, and in 1992 it was $87 \%$ (see text table below). In any given year, it was assumed that $90 \%$ of licensed fishermen were active. Fishermen reported during public consultations that in 1995 and 1996 many licensed salmon fishermen did not fish for salmon in 1995-1996 but fished crab instead due to its much higher value. This was verified by Fisheries Officers who reported that of the 218 licensed salmon fishermen only 132 were active in 1996. Another method of obtaining actual effort information is also available since beginning in 1993 commercial fishing vessel numbers have been recorded on sales receipts issued to fishermen by fish plants. Enumeration of licensed salmon fishermen actively fished was made by determining the number of Commercial Fishing Vessels (CFV) in the Statistics Branch catch records. The numbers of licensed and active fishermen from the analysis of CFV are:

|  | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Licensed | 570 | 495 | 288 | 218 | 218 | 218 | 205 |
| Active | 513 | 446 | 262 | 194 | 153 | 127 | 138 |

Active effort in 1991 and 1992 (in bold) was assumed to be $90 \%$ as it was in 1993 and 1994 from the CFV file. Thus, the exploitation rates $\left(\mu_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ were modified due to effort reductions in equation (2) using estimated active licenses from 1991 as a base and the number of active licenses in 1995, 1996 and 1997. The modified exploitation rates for 1992-1997 used the licensed effort in equation (2). The modified exploitation rates ( $\mu_{\mathrm{e}}$ ) thus becomes:

|  |  | $1969-$ <br> 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Small <br> Salmon | Lower | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.09 |
|  | Upper | 0.50 | 0.45 | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.17 |
| Large <br> Salmon | Lower | 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.46 | 0.36 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.28 |
|  | Upper | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.69 | 0.58 | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.46 |

## Adjustment for Newfoundland Fishery Closure - 2

The tagging study on Sand Hill River, 1969-1973, showed that Labrador small and large salmon were not only caught in Labrador but also in the commercial fisheries along the northeast coast of Newfoundland (both small and large) and at west Greenland (large only) (Anderson 1985). For small salmon, out of a total of 100 (1SW) tag returns there were 24 from Newfoundland. For large salmon, out of a total of 137 (2SW) tag returns there were 41 from Newfoundland. This occurs due to harsh environmental conditions along the coast of Labrador in the spring that push salmon further south on their return migration so that they migrate along the northeast coast of Newfoundland before turning northwards to Labrador and their home rivers.

For 1992-1997, the moratorium on commercial fishing in Newfoundland would have released small and large salmon to Labrador. The effect of salmon released from Newfoundland in 19921996 was evaluated against the exploitation rates in section A as follows:
(3) $\quad \mu_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(1-\left(\left(24^{*}\left(1-\mu_{\mathrm{e}}\right)\right) / 100\right)\right)^{*} \mu_{\mathrm{e}}$, for small salmon and

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(1-\left(\left(41^{*}\left(1-\mu_{\mathrm{e}}\right)\right) / 137\right)\right) * \mu_{\mathrm{e}}, \text { for large salmon }
$$

These new estimates of fishing mortality $\left(\mu_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ in 1992-1994 included adjustments for the closure of the commercial fishery in Newfoundland based on the results of the Sand Hill River tagging study. They are as follows:

|  |  | $1969-$ <br> 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Small <br> Salmon | Lower | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
|  | Upper | 0.50 | 0.39 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.14 |
| Large <br> Salmon | lower | 0.70 | 0.58 | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.22 |
|  | Upper | 0.90 | 0.83 | 0.62 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.36 | 0.39 |

## Adjustment for Season Reductions in Labrador-3

In the Labrador commercial fishery, the Management Plans for 1995-1996 included alterations to the season opening and closing dates that effectively reduced the length of the fishing season and exploitation. In 1995, adjustments were made to account for the new opening date
of $3^{\text {rd }}$ of July changed from $20^{\text {th }}$ of June of the previous year. For 1995, the accumulative effect of these weighted to SFA catches was to reduce the catch such that for small salmon the current catch represented $86.0 \%$ of former small salmon catches and $62.7 \%$ of large salmon catches; calculated as the salmon caught in the current season compared to total catches in the former season. The base for these calculations was the catch in the years prior to 1995. In 1996, the opening date reverted to June 20 but the quota levels resulted in early closures in SFA 2 of 2A-July 10, 2B-July 8, and 2C-July 2 while SFA 1 and 14B did not close. For 1996, the accumulative effect of these weighted to SFA catches was to reduce the catch such that for small salmon the current catch represents $53 \%$ of small salmon and $61 \%$ of large salmon that were caught in a full fishing season. In 1997, the opening date remained at June 20 but the quota levels resulted in early closures in SFA 2 of 2A-July 12, 2B-July 15, and 2C-July 13 while SFA 1 closed on October 15 as the quota was not caught. For 1997, the accumulative effect of these early closures was to reduce the catch so that for small salmon the current catch represented $47 \%$ of small salmon and $64 \%$ of large salmon. The effect of season changes would be to reduce catches and hence lower exploitation rates. The effect of shorter seasons in 1995, 1996 and 1997 was evaluated against the exploitation rates in section B as follows:
(4) $\quad \mu_{\mathrm{ss}}=\mu_{\mathrm{ns}} *$ SC, for small salmon, where SC is proportionate season change effect on catch and
$\mu_{\mathrm{sl}}=\mu_{\mathrm{nl}}{ }^{*}$ SC, for large salmon
The new estimates of fishing mortality including effort reductions, adjustments for the closure of the commercial fishery in Newfoundland, and shorter seasons due to opening dates and quotas results in the following exploitation rates which were applied to catches.

|  |  | $1969-$ <br> 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Small <br> Salmon | Lower | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
|  | Upper | 0.50 | 0.39 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| Large <br> Salmon | Lower | 0.70 | 0.58 | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.16 |
|  | Upper | 0.90 | 0.83 | 0.62 | 0.50 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.28 |

The accumulative effect of factors 1, 2, and 3 was to reduce exploitation on Labrador origin salmon.

Labrador origin 2SW returns ( $\mathrm{LR}_{2 \mathrm{sw}}$ ) were derived from equation 1 by:
(5) $\quad L R_{2 S W}=L R * P_{2 S W}$
where,
$\mathrm{P}_{2 \text { SW }}=$ proportion of the large salmon that are 2 SW salmon.
The $\mathrm{SR}_{1 \text { sw }}$ values were calculated as in equation (5) but using $\mathrm{P}_{1 \text { sw }}$ which is the proportion of the catch that is 1 -sea winter in age and maturing to enter freshwater and spawning in the year of capture. The parameter values for $\mathrm{P}_{1 \text { sw }}$ of 0.1 to 0.2 comes from Anon. (1991).

The 2SW component was estimated separately for salmon caught in SFA 1, 2 and 14B. In SFA 1, commercial sampling at Nain of large salmon showed the proportion of 2SW were on average about $84 \%$ ( $n=6,542$ ), 1977-1991. Thus, a range of 0.7-0.9 was used for SFA 1. In SFA 2, commercial sampling of large salmon averaged 69\% ( $n=4$ 793) 2SW salmon, 19771991. There were no commercial samples available for SFA 14B. Thus, for SFAs 2 and 14B, a range of $0.6-0.8$ was used. For the 1SW component in SFA 1, commercial samples at Nain of small salmon showed the proportion of 1SW salmon were on average about $94 \%$ ( $n=4,757$ ). In SFA 2, the 1SW component was on average about $97 \%(n=8,872)$ of small salmon. There were no samples from commercial sampling in SFA 14B. In 1997, aged commercial samples indicated that the previous range was acceptable.

Total river returns of 2SW salmon (TRR) were calculated as follows:
(6) $\quad \mathrm{TRR}=\mathrm{LR}_{2 \mathrm{sw}} /\left(1-\mu_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$

The total river returns of small salmon are also calculated by equation 6 but from SR.
Spawning escapement (SE) was calculated according to the formula:
(7) $\mathrm{SE}=\mathrm{TRR}-\mathrm{AC}$
where
$A C=$ angling catch which includes retained catch plus $10 \%$ of hook and released salmon.
A couple of modifications were made to the estimation procedure for Labrador in 1997. Firstly, determination of exploitation rates were calculated separately for SFAs 1, 2 and 14B using the active effort individually for each SFA. For SFA 1, the active number of licenses declined from 141 in 1991 to 39 in 1997. For SFA 2, the active number of licenses declined from 320 in 1991 to 99 in 1997. For SFA 14B, active licenses declined from 52 in 1991 to 0 in 1997 when the fishery was closed. Exploitation rates determined as in equations 2, 3 and 4 are: SFA 1 - small was 0.0735 to 0.1399 and large was 0.2221 to 0.3959 ; and SFA 2 - small was 0.0384 to 0.0728 and - large was 0.1589 to 0.2799 . The SAS code for the simulation model is as follows:

For large salmon:

```
DATA D2; SET CATCH;
SEED = 0;
DO SIM = 1 TO 2500;
    R_EXP1 = (EXP_L1 + (EXP_H1 - EXP_L1) * RANUNI(SEED));
    R_EXP2 = (EXP_L2 + (EXP_H2 - EXP_L2) * RANUNI(SEED));
    R_LAB1 = (LAB1_L + (LAB1_H - LAB1_L) * RANUNI(SEED));
    R_LAB2 = (LAB2_L + (LAB2_H - LAB2_L) * RANUNI(SEED));
    R_SF12 = (SF12_L + (SF12_H - SF12_L) * RANUNI(SEED));
    R_SF22 = (SF22_L + (SF22_H - SF22_L) * RANUNI(SEED));
    R_PSF14 = (SF14}_L + (SF14_H - SF14_L) * RANUNI(SEED))
    R_ANG2 = (ANG_\SF1*R_SF12)+(ANG_SF2*R_SF22)+(ANG_SF14*R_SF22);
    ANG_CATL = (ANG_SF1+ANG_SF2+ANG_SF14);
LAB_POP2=(((SFA1*R_LAB1)/R_EXP1)*R_SF12)+(((SFA2*r_LAB2)/R_EXP2)*R_SF22)+R_P
SF14;
```

```
    LAB_RIV2=LAB_POP2-((SFA1*R_LAB1*R_SF12)+(SFA2*R_LAB2*R_SF22));
    LAB_SP2=LAB_RIV2-R_ANG2;
    LAB_POPL=((SFA1*R_LAB1)/R_EXP1)+((SFA2*R_LAB2)/R_EXP2)+R_PSF14;
    LAB_RIVL=LAB_POPL-((SFA1*R_LAB1)+(SFA2*R_LAB2));
    LAB_SPL=LAB_RIVL-ANG_CATL;
OUTPUT;
END;
```

For small salmon:

```
DATA D1; SET CATCH;
SEED = 0;
DO SIM = 1 TO 2500;
    RAN_EXP1 = (EXP_L1 + (EXP_H1 - EXP_L1) * RANUNI(SEED));
RAN_EXP2 = (EXP_L2 + (EXP_H2 - EXP_L2) * RANUNI(SEED));
RAN_LAB1 = (LAB1_L + (LAB1_H - LAB1_L) * RANUNI(SEED));
RAN_LAB2 = (LAB2_L + (LAB2_H - LAB2_L) * RANUNI(SEED));
RAN_NMAT= (NMAT_L + (NMAT_H - NMAT_L) * RANUNI(SEED));
RAN_SF14= (SF14_L+ + (SF14_H-SF14_L) *RANUNI(SEED));
LAB_POP1=(((SFA1*RAN_LAB1)/RAN_EXP1)*RAN_NMAT)+(((SFA2*RAN_LAB2)/RAN_EXP2
)*RAN_NMAT)+RAN_SF14;
LAB_RIV1=LAB_POP1-((SFA1*RAN_LAB1*RAN_NMAT)+(SFA2*RAN_LAB2*RAN_NMAT));
LAB_SP1=LAB_RIV1-ANG_CAT1;
OUTPUT;
END;
```

Numbers of small and large salmon for SFAs 1 and 2 were estimated from the exploitation model while for SFA 14B the results of assessments on Forteau Brook and Pinware River were expanded to include all of the rivers in SFA 14B. This was necessary because the commercial fishery was closed in SFA 14B in 1997 and there were no commercial catches to use to derive returns. Returns to SFA 14B were 663-1545 small salmon and 146-327 large salmon.

## Total Mortalities of Labrador Origin Salmon (Labrador PFA)

Total mortalities of small and large salmon were accounted for by summing commercial catches of small salmon in Labrador and Newfoundland, large salmon in Labrador, Newfoundland, and Greenland, angling catches in Labrador of small and large salmon including 10\% of the hook and released salmon, and small and large spawners. All of the above mortality estimates except catches of Labrador salmon in Newfoundland, 1969-1991 and Greenland could be obtained from equations 1 to 7 . Catches in Newfoundland and Greenland were assessed by:

Greenland: for 1969-1992 and 1995-2008, removals of Labrador salmon by the Greenland fishery were assessed from data based on the sampling program in commercial fish plants at west Greenland (Anon. 1996). The Greenland fishery catches salmon that would have returned to homewaters as large salmon in the year following the Greenland fishery. Numbers of Labrador salmon were determined by converting catches in kg to numbers of salmon of 1SW North American origin that were of river age 4 and older. The number of Labrador salmon were estimated by assuming that $70 \%$ of the production of 4 -year and older river age salmon are from Labrador (Anon. 1993b).

Newfoundland: for 1969-1991, catches of Labrador small and large salmon in Newfoundland were included in total mortalities as the product of the ratio of tags caught in Newfoundland to Labrador and the catch in Labrador. For small salmon the ratio was $(24 /(100-24))=0.32$ and for large salmon it was $(41 /(137-41)=0.43$.

## Returns and Spawners for Labrador, 1998-2001

In Labrador, for the years 1998-2001, there was no data available with which to estimate returns and spawners because the commercial fishery had closed and there were only one or two inriver counting fence projects. Consequently, previous analyses for Labrador used raising factors estimated based on the proportion that Labrador 1SW and 2SW salmon were to the total PFA during the years when Labrador estimates were available (Reddin 1999). These factors (1.04-1.49 for 1SW salmon and 1.05-1.27 for 2SW salmon) were multiplied by the PFA in 19982001 to provide values for returns and spawners to Labrador. At the 2009 ICES Working Group North Atlantic Salmon (WGNAS) meeting, it was decided to re-examine the Labrador data to find a new method of determining returns and spawners for the 1998-2001 period that utilized data from Labrador rather than the PFA which includes data from outside Labrador as was described above (Anon. 2009). In order to provide new estimates of returns and spawners for Labrador for 1998-2001, two data series were examined one being angling catch data and the other the food fishery landings (Tables 3a and 3b). The various food fisheries and derivation of their landings are described in Reddin et al. (2005). Since there were no FSC landings in 1998 and because of a perceived effect on landings of increasing effort in FSC fisheries in 1999-2001 compared to 2002 to present it was decided to use the angling data. The return estimates of small, large, and 2SW salmon for 2002-2008 were used to determine exploitation rates based on small retained fish and large retained and hooked-and-released in the angling fishery. The average of these exploitation rates for the years 2002-2008 were then applied to the angling catches in 1998-2001 to provide new estimates of returns in those years. The spawners for Labrador were derived by subtracting the angling catches from the returns.

## Returns and Spawners for Labrador, 2002 to Present

The basis for estimates of 2SW and 1SW salmon returns and spawners for Labrador (SFAs 1, 2 and 14B) prior to 1998 are catch data from angling and commercial fisheries. In 1998, the commercial fishery in Labrador was closed which has continued to the present, and so the model developed to determine returns and spawners from commercial catch data cannot be used. For 2002 to the present, there were stock assessment projects that took place on four Labrador rivers where migrating salmon were counted, out of about 100 extant salmon rivers. Because they were on the same four rivers each year, it was possible to extrapolate from return rates for small and large salmon per accessible drainage areas in these four rivers to rivers without counting facilities in the remainder of Labrador rivers. The accessible drainages for the various areas in Labrador are $25,485 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ for Northern Labrador (SFA 1A), $9,267 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ for Lake Melville (SFA 1B), $28,160 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ for Southern Labrador (SFA 2), and $2,651 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ for the Straits Area (SFA 14B). Accessible drainage area in the counting facility rivers was $1,878 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ resulting in an expansion factor of 35 to one. Not all rivers in Lake Melville were included due to a lack of information on presence of salmon populations in rivers in this region of Labrador. Lake Melville rivers whose drainage areas were included are Sebaskachu, Cape Caribou, Goose, MacKenzie (Churchill), Kenamu, Caroline, and Traverspine.

This is a crude method for deriving returns and spawners for Labrador and if additional information on drainage areas and/or information from counting fences becomes available particularly for Lake Melville these should be added in the future and then used in the derivation of returns and spawners. The text table below shows the return rates of small and large salmon per $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ developed for the counting fence rivers (Table 3c) for the four areas of Labrador used to derive returns and spawners for the period of 2002 to present:

| Area | Size | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern Labrador <br> (SFA 1A) | Small | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.47- \\ & 2.57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.28- \\ 1.84 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.28- \\ 0.62 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.45- \\ 3.94 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.27- \\ & 6.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.75- \\ & 6.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.61- \\ & 5.24 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Large | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.05- \\ & 0.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.021- \\ 0.28 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.08- \\ 0.32 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.05- \\ 0.40 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.10- \\ 0.61 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.07- \\ 0.61 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.10- \\ 0.72 \end{gathered}$ |
| Lake Melville (SFA 1B) | Small | $\begin{aligned} & 0.47- \\ & 2.57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.28- \\ & 1.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.28- \\ 0.62 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.45- \\ 3.94 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.27- \\ & 6.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.75- \\ & 6.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.29- \\ & 4.19 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Large | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.05- \\ 0.45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.021- \\ 0.28 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.08- \\ 0.32 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.05- \\ 0.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.10- \\ 0.61 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.07- \\ 0.61 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.09- \\ 0.69 \end{gathered}$ |
| Southern <br> Labrador <br> (SFA 2) | Small | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.50- \\ & 2.72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.41- \\ & 2.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.60- \\ 3.56 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.23- \\ 6.07 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.85- \\ 4.30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.79- \\ 2.79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.29- \\ & 4.19 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Large | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.05- \\ 0.49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.04- \\ 0.54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.13- \\ 0.52 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.09- \\ 0.76 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.08- \\ 0.49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.07- \\ 0.60 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.09- \\ 0.69 \end{gathered}$ |
| Straits <br> (SFA 14B) | Small | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.50- \\ & 2.72 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.41- \\ & 2.75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 1.60- \\ 3.56 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2.23- \\ 6.07 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.85- \\ & 4.30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0.79- \\ 2.79 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.29- \\ & 4.19 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Large | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.05- \\ 0.49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.04- \\ & 0.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.13- \\ 0.52 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.09- \\ 0.76 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.08- \\ 0.49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.07- \\ 0.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.09- \\ 0.69 \end{gathered}$ |

Return rates for SFAs 1A and 1B were derived from English River return rates with maximum and minimum values developed using the observed variability of return rates in SFA 2.

Total returns and spawners for Labrador, 2002 to present were estimated by Monte Carlo simulation based on 10,000 random draws from the range of values in the above table assuming return rates per $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ of accessible drainage were uniformly distributed. The return rates for each SFA were then multiplied times the total accessible drainage area to derive total returns of small and large salmon. Because the returns are estimated from in-river data, the ranges of values were developed to convert numbers of small and large salmon to numbers of 1SW and 2SW salmon from scale age information collected from counting fences and angling fisheries in Labrador. In total, for the years 2002-2003, there were 1,392 small salmon and 244 large salmon samples available. A bootstrap procedure was used to develop estimates of the proportions of sea age 1 salmon in estimates of small salmon returns and spawners, proportions of sea age 2 salmon in estimates of large salmon returns and spawners and proportions of sea age 1 salmon in the estimates of large salmon returns. In order to do this, datasets of 200 each were randomly created from the available samples and then interpreted to provide the sea age distribution for both small and large salmon. This was repeated 10,000 and the $95^{\text {th }}$ C.I. from the distribution of outputs used to adjust the numbers of small and large salmon.

Sea age correction factors were:
Small to 1SW - 96 to 100\%
Large to 2SW - 60 to $71 \%$
Small overlap in large - 12 to $21 \%$
Spawners of 1SW and 2SW salmon were derived similar to previous years by subtraction of angling catches including an estimate of hook and release mortalities from the returns.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## RETURNS AND SPAWNERS FOR LABRADOR

The following description of returns and spawners uses the mid-points of the minimum and maximum values in the tables. The mid-point of returns of 1SW salmon to rivers in Labrador in 2008 were estimated at 201,069 while spawners were 198,196 (Table 4 and Fig. 1) which is above the conservation requirement of 50,500 salmon. The spawning escapement of 1SW salmon remains high due to the low level of removals in the various fisheries relative to the stock size. The mid-point of the estimated numbers of 2 SW returns is 17,785 in 2008 which is an increase from the previous year but remains very low compared to earlier years in the time series (Table 5 and Fig. 2). Spawning escapement of 2 SW salmon remains below the conservation requirements of 34,746 . Conservation requirements for small, large and 2SW salmon Labrador rivers were developed by O'Connell et al. (1997). This assumes that the catches are an absolute measure of fishing mortality on Labrador stocks which may not be correct due to freshwater and marine poaching.

## Issues

In 2002-2008, estimates of returns and spawners derived from four counting facilities to those with none could lead to significant over and under-estimates of returns. This is especially a problem for SFAs 1B and 14B where stock sizes are unknown due to a lack of data and may be quite different from those in the other SFAs where counting facilities are present. Also, in the period, 1969-1997, exploitation rates in the commercial fishery are only available from one river, viz. Sand Hill River, and may be different if exploitation rates were available for other rivers. Defining the stock status of salmon in Labrador rivers has always been a challenge due to the remoteness and cost of working there. In spite of those difficulties if managers wish to have science advice appropriate to the entire area then ways and means will have to be found to increase the number of facilities beyond the four currently present.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The assistance of Roger Johnson is gratefully acknowledged. Discussions with Gerald Chaput and other members of WGNAS led to the new technique for providing estimates for the years 1998-2001.

## REFERENCES

Anon. 1991. Report of the Working Group on North Atlantic Salmon. Copenhagen, 14-21 March 1991. ICES, CM 1991/Assess: 12, 156 pp.

1993a. Report of the North Atlantic Salmon Working Group. Copenhagen, 5-12 March 1993. ICES, CM 1993/Assess: 10.

1993b. Report of the Study Group on North American Salmon Fisheries. Woods Hole, Mass., 15-19 February 1993. ICES, CM 1993/Assess: 9.
1996. Report of the Working Group on North Atlantic Salmon. Moncton, Canada. 10-19 April 1996. ICES, CM 1996/Assess 11, 227 p.
1998. Report of the Working Group on North Atlantic Salmon. Copenhagen, 14-23 April 1998. ICES, CM 1998/ACFM: 15.
1999. Report of the Working Group on North Atlantic Salmon. Copenhagen, 12-22 April 1999. ICES, CM 1999/ACFM: 14.
2009. Report of the Working Group on North Atlantic Salmon (WGNAS). 30 March-8 April, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES, CM 2009/ACOM: 06.

Anderson, T.C. 1985. The Rivers of Labrador. Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 81: 389p.
Ash, E.G.M., and O'Connell, M.F. 1987. Atlantic salmon fishery in Newfoundland and Labrador, commercial and recreational, 1985. Can. Data Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 672: v + 284 p.

O’Connell, M.F., Reddin, D.G., Amiro, P.G., Caron, F., Marshall, T.L., Chaput, G., Mullins, C.C., Locke, A., O'Neil, S.F., and Cairns, D.K. 1997. Estimates of conservation spawner requirements for Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) for Canada. DFO Can. Stock Assess. Sec. Res. Doc. 97/100. 58p.

O'Connell, M.F., Cochrane, N.M., Ash, E.G.M., and Mullins. C.C. 1998. An analysis of the License Stub Return System in the Newfoundland Region, 1994-1997. DFO Can. Stock Assess. Sec. Res. Doc. 98/111, 67 p.

Reddin, D.G. 1999. Estimation of the Labrador component of prefishery abundance of North American Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) in 1998. DFO Can. Stock Assess. Sec. Res. Doc. 99/91, 21 p.

Reddin, D.G., and Dempson. J.B. 1989. Harvest estimates of Sand Hill River Atlantic salmon. Can. Atl. Fish. Sci. Advis. Comm. Working Paper 89/104. 19 p.

Reddin, D.G., Short, P.B., O'Connell, M.F., and Walsh, A.D. 1996. Atlantic salmon stock status for Sand Hill River, Labrador, 1995. DFO Atl. Fish. Res. Doc. 96/82. 32p.

Reddin, D.G., and Short, P.B. 1998. Status of the Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) in Big Brook (Michaels River), Labrador, 1997. DFO Can. Stock Assess. Sec. Res. Doc. 98/119. 21p.

Reddin, D.G., Poole, R.J., King, W., Oliver, S., Nuna, R., and Parr, T. 2005. Harvests in various fisheries for salmonids, Atlantic salmon returns to rivers and environmental conditions in Labrador, 2004. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2005/006, 28 p.

## Table 1. Parameter values for run reconstruction model for 1SW salmon.



## Table 2. Parameter values for run reconstruction model for 2SW salmon.

Parameter value for Labrador origin

## Exploitation rates

## Proportion in catch of 2SW salmon

Year | SFA 1 |
| :--- |
| Min |

SFA 14B
SFA 1
SFA 2
SFA 14B

|  | SFA 1 | SFA 2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Min | Max | Min | SFA 14B |  |
| Max | Min |  |  |  |


| 1969 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1971 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1972 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1973 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1974 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1975 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1976 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1977 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1978 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1979 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1980 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1981 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1982 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1983 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1984 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1985 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1986 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1987 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1988 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1989 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1990 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1991 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1992 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.58 | 0.83 | 0.58 | 0.83 | 0.58 | 0.83 |
| 1993 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.38 | 0.62 | 0.38 | 0.62 | 0.38 | 0.62 |
| 1994 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.29 | 0.50 | 0.29 | 0.50 | 0.29 | 0.50 |
| 1995 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.25 |
| 1996 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| 1997 | 0.6433 | 0.7247 | 0.8839 | 0.9521 | 0.6000 | 0.8000 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| 1998 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| 1999 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| 2000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| 2001 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| 2002 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| 2003 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| 2004 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| 2005 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| 2006 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| 2007 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| 2008 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.23 |

Table 3a. New estimates of small returns and spawners for Labrador. Years shown in yellow are the years for which returns are to be estimated.

| Prior to correction for 1998-2001 |  |  |  |  |  | Exploitation |  |  | FSC Small |  | Exploitation |  |  | New estimates of 1998-2001 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small Retu |  | Small an | ngled re | tained |  |  |  | Angling cat | hes |  |  |  | C landin |  |
| Year | Min | Max | SFA 1 | SFA 2 | SFA 14B | Total | Min | Max |  |  | SFA1 | SFA 2 | Total | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max |
| 1969 | 32526 | 51629 | 234 | 1612 | 1265 | 3111 | 0.06 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 44278 | 70283 | 275 | 2172 | 1566 | 4013 | 0.06 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 57691 | 91573 | 171 | 2836 | 927 | 3934 | 0.04 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 43181 | 68541 | 450 | 2074 | 423 | 2947 | 0.04 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 9448 | 14997 | 533 | 5528 | 1431 | 7492 | 0.50 | 0.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 47310 | 75095 | 347 | 1414 | 740 | 2501 | 0.03 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 93904 | 149055 | 379 | 2524 | 1069 | 3972 | 0.03 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 65696 | 104279 | 891 | 2337 | 2498 | 5726 | 0.05 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 58466 | 92803 | 688 | 2244 | 1662 | 4594 | 0.05 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 28271 | 44875 | 875 | 1243 | 573 | 2691 | 0.06 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 38400 | 60952 | 905 | 2312 | 901 | 4118 | 0.07 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 86922 | 137972 | 704 | 2158 | 938 | 3800 | 0.03 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 96331 | 152907 | 669 | 2824 | 1698 | 5191 | 0.03 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 66737 | 105932 | 834 | 1999 | 1271 | 4104 | 0.04 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 41530 | 65921 | 488 | 1884 | 2000 | 4372 | 0.07 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 21496 | 34120 | 702 | 1246 | 987 | 2935 | 0.09 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 39782 | 63145 | 642 | 1367 | 1092 | 3101 | 0.05 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 59973 | 95195 | 421 | 1972 | 1071 | 3464 | 0.04 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 75141 | 119272 | 854 | 2625 | 1887 | 5366 | 0.04 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 69812 | 110812 | 1278 | 2653 | 1592 | 5523 | 0.05 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 47448 | 75315 | 1269 | 2242 | 1173 | 4684 | 0.06 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 27743 | 44036 | 563 | 1680 | 1066 | 3309 | 0.08 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 22485 | 35691 | 130 | 1041 | 1152 | 2323 | 0.07 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 28911 | 53672 | 283 | 1599 | 856 | 2738 | 0.05 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 36225 | 78158 | 121 | 1340 | 1047 | 2508 | 0.03 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 25134 | 57084 | 453 | 1437 | 659 | 2549 | 0.04 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 33544 | 79207 | 500 | 1232 | 761 | 2493 | 0.03 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 67074 | 164914 | 260 | 1405 | 900 | 2565 | 0.02 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 86965 | 180782 | 300 | 1335 | 730 | 2365 | 0.01 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 9519 | 202759 | 256 | 1011 | 864 | 2131 | 0.01 | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |  | 97408 | 205197 |  |  |
| 1999 | 6970 | 197940 | 350 | 1329 | 397 | 2076 | 0.01 | 0.30 | 2739 | 0 | 2739 | 0.01 | 0.39 | 94894 | 199901 | 30440 | 62184 |
| 2000 | 4146 | 227404 | 363 | 1480 | 718 | 2561 | 0.01 | 0.62 | 4111 | 1212 | 5323 | 0.02 | 1.28 | 117063 | 246602 | 59157 | 120849 |
| 2001 | 3525 | 178026 | 352 | 1151 | 546 | 2049 | 0.01 | 0.58 | 3394 | 1396 | 4790 | 0.03 | 1.36 | 93660 | 197301 | 53233 | 108748 |
| 2002 | 62321 | 142951 | 129 | 1328 | 614 | 2071 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 3609 | 2197 | 5806 | 0.04 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 48256 | 122813 | 174 | 1274 | 664 | 2112 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 4382 | 2095 | 6477 | 0.05 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 69808 | 120244 | 116 | 1228 | 464 | 1808 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 4822 | 3564 | 8386 | 0.07 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 160038 | 281401 | 192 | 1377 | 438 | 2007 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 4958 | 5479 | 10437 | 0.04 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 132205 | 294669 | 170 | 977 | 509 | 1656 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 5422 | 4955 | 10377 | 0.04 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 131895 | 257360 | 185 | 1088 | 489 | 1762 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 4700 | 4507 | 9207 | 0.04 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 142851 | 264694 | 153 | 1075 | 460 | 1688 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 5154 | 4680 | 9834 | 0.04 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |

Table 3b. New estimates of large returns and spawners for Labrador. Years shown in yellow are the years for which returns are to be estimated.

|  | Prior to correction for 1998-2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | New estimates of 1998-2001 Angling catches FSC landings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large R | urns | Large an | ngled re | ained\& | releası | oitation |  | FSC Lar |  |  | Exploit | ation |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Min | Max | SFA 1 | SFA 2 F | A 14B | Total | Min | Max | SFA1 S | SFA 2 | Total | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max |
| 1969 | 5203 | 25318 | 176 | 120 | 273 | 569 | 0.02 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 4847 | 24928 | 129 | 112 | 321 | 562 | 0.02 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 6907 | 35522 | 82 | 157 | 247 | 486 | 0.01 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 5937 | 30535 | 170 | 174 | 80 | 424 | 0.01 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 8303 | 42700 | 128 | 449 | 432 | 1009 | 0.02 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 8184 | 42091 | 311 | 201 | 291 | 803 | 0.02 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 7635 | 39264 | 117 | 56 | 154 | 327 | 0.01 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 8769 | 45099 | 368 | 152 | 310 | 830 | 0.02 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 7799 | 40107 | 533 | 160 | 593 | 1286 | 0.03 | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 6098 | 31362 | 432 | 152 | 183 | 767 | 0.02 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 3483 | 17910 | 430 | 60 | 119 | 609 | 0.03 | 0.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 8330 | 42842 | 232 | 320 | 337 | 889 | 0.02 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 7489 | 38515 | 195 | 105 | 220 | 520 | 0.01 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 5550 | 28540 | 379 | 162 | 80 | 621 | 0.02 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 4014 | 20644 | 137 | 161 | 130 | 428 | 0.02 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 2880 | 14812 | 222 | 103 | 185 | 510 | 0.03 | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 2266 | 11655 | 135 | 59 | 100 | 294 | 0.03 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 3904 | 20079 | 129 | 154 | 184 | 467 | 0.02 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 5278 | 27144 | 141 | 277 | 215 | 633 | 0.02 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 3307 | 17005 | 171 | 288 | 251 | 710 | 0.04 | 0.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 3183 | 16369 | 144 | 264 | 53 | 461 | 0.03 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 1832 | 9424 | 115 | 144 | 98 | 357 | 0.04 | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 898 | 4617 | 8 | 36 | 49 | 93 | 0.02 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 3986 | 18714 | 335 | 218 | 238 | 791 | 0.04 | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 6199 | 22173 | 47 | 150 | 272 | 469 | 0.02 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 9080 | 29659 | 210 | 464 | 128 | 802 | 0.03 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 19973 | 53959 | 189 | 490 | 237 | 916 | 0.02 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 14725 | 40004 | 67 | 534 | 222 | 823 | 0.02 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 14637 | 32901 | 71 | 235 | 418 | 724 | 0.02 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 21886 | 50512 | 170 | 524 | 351 | 1045 | 0.02 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  | 7374 | 19486 |  |  |
| 1999 | 5245 | 30259 | 206 | 707 | 338 | 1251 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 1084 | 0 | 1084 | 0.04 | 0.21 | 8827 | 23328 | 4189 | 10833 |
| 2000 | 7108 | 32391 | 311 | 644 | 753 | 1708 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 1092 | 260 | 1352 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 12052 | 31850 | 5224 | 13512 |
| 2001 | 7869 | 36361 | 205 | 1154 | 447 | 1806 | 0.05 | 0.23 | 1299 | 374 | 1673 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 12744 | 33677 | 6464 | 16720 |
| 2002 | 9076 | 24769 | 168 | 556 | 461 | 1185 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 1015 | 422 | 1437 | 0.06 | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 6676 | 21689 | 669 | 584 | 295 | 1548 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 1639 | 536 | 2175 | 0.10 | 0.33 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 10964 | 23092 | 606 | 933 | 239 | 1778 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 2210 | 1486 | 3696 | 0.16 | 0.34 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 11159 | 30796 | 228 | 829 | 524 | 1581 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 1687 | 1130 | 2817 | 0.09 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 12414 | 29783 | 385 | 594 | 381 | 1360 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 1639 | 1451 | 3090 | 0.10 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 11887 | 31913 | 276 | 584 | 597 | 1457 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 1560 | 1092 | 2652 | 0.08 | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 14700 | 37677 | 472 | 562 | 342 | 1376 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 2955 | 954 | 3909 | 0.10 | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |

Table 3c. Returns per $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ drainage area to four rivers in Labrador, 2002-2008.

| River | SFA | Size | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | 1A | Small |  |  | 0.47 | 2.94 | 1.79 | 1.52 | 1.06 | 0.45 | 2.70 | 3.87 | 3.98 | 3.42 |
|  |  | Large |  |  | 0.38 | 0.12 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.41 |
| Big Brook | 1A | Small | 0.67 | 1.00 |  | 1.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Large | 0.13 | 0.24 |  | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S'west Br | 2 | Small |  | 0.29 | 0.86 |  | 0.84 | 0.61 | 0.41 | 1.60 | 2.23 | 0.85 | 0.79 | 1.29 |
|  |  | Large |  | 0.01 | 0.11 |  | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.09 |
| Muddy Bay | 2 | Small |  |  |  |  |  | 0.50 | 1.85 | 2.13 | 2.44 | 2.09 | 1.13 | 2.23 |
|  |  | Large |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.17 |
| Sand Hill River | 2 | Small |  |  |  |  |  | 2.72 | 2.75 | 3.47 | 6.07 | 4.30 | 2.79 | 4.19 |
|  |  | Large |  |  |  |  |  | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.76 | 0.49 | 0.60 | 0.69 |

Table 4. Estimates of 1SW spawners, returns and recruits for Labrador.

|  | Commercial <br> Small <br> Catch | Food Fisheries Small Catch | Grilse Recruits$\text { SFA 1, } 2 \& 14 B+N f l d$ |  | Grilse to rivers <br> SFA 1,2\&14B |  | Labrador gr Angling catc SFA 1, | spawners <br> ubtracted 14B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max |
| 1969 | 38722 | 0 | 48912 | 122280 | 18587 | 65053 | 15476 | 61942 |
| 1970 | 29441 | 0 | 66584 | 166459 | 25302 | 88556 | 21289 | 84543 |
| 1971 | 38359 | 0 | 86754 | 216884 | 32966 | 115382 | 29032 | 111448 |
| 1972 | 28711 | 0 | 64934 | 162335 | 24675 | 86362 | 21728 | 83415 |
| 1973 | 6282 | 0 | 14208 | 35520 | 5399 | 18897 | 0 | 11405 |
| 1974 | 37145 | 0 | 71142 | 177856 | 27034 | 94619 | 24533 | 92118 |
| 1975 | 57560 | 0 | 141210 | 353024 | 53660 | 187809 | 49688 | 183837 |
| 1976 | 47468 | 0 | 98790 | 246976 | 37540 | 131391 | 31814 | 125665 |
| 1977 | 40539 | 0 | 87918 | 219796 | 33409 | 116931 | 28815 | 112337 |
| 1978 | 12535 | 0 | 42513 | 106282 | 16155 | 56542 | 13464 | 53851 |
| 1979 | 28808 | 0 | 57744 | 144360 | 21943 | 76800 | 17825 | 72682 |
| 1980 | 72485 | 0 | 130710 | 326776 | 49670 | 173845 | 45870 | 170045 |
| 1981 | 86426 | 0 | 144859 | 362147 | 55046 | 192662 | 49855 | 187471 |
| 1982 | 53592 | 0 | 100357 | 250892 | 38136 | 133474 | 34032 | 129370 |
| 1983 | 30185 | 0 | 62452 | 156129 | 23732 | 83061 | 19360 | 78689 |
| 1984 | 11695 | 0 | 32324 | 80811 | 12283 | 42991 | 9348 | 40056 |
| 1985 | 24499 | 0 | 59822 | 149555 | 22732 | 79563 | 19631 | 76462 |
| 1986 | 45321 | 0 | 90184 | 225461 | 34270 | 119945 | 30806 | 116481 |
| 1987 | 64351 | 0 | 112995 | 282486 | 42938 | 150283 | 37572 | 144917 |
| 1988 | 56381 | 0 | 104980 | 262449 | 39892 | 139623 | 34369 | 134100 |
| 1989 | 34200 | 0 | 71351 | 178377 | 27113 | 94896 | 22429 | 90212 |
| 1990 | 20699 | 0 | 41718 | 104296 | 15853 | 55485 | 12544 | 52176 |
| 1991 | 20055 | 0 | 33812 | 84531 | 12849 | 44970 | 10526 | 42647 |
| 1992 | 13336 | 0 | 29632 | 79554 | 17993 | 62094 | 15229 | 59331 |
| 1993 | 12037 | 0 | 33382 | 93231 | 25186 | 80938 | 22499 | 78251 |
| 1994 | 4535 | 0 | 22306 | 63109 | 18159 | 56888 | 15242 | 53971 |
| 1995 | 4561 | 0 | 28852 | 82199 | 25022 | 76453 | 22199 | 73630 |
| 1996 | 5308 | 0 | 55634 | 159204 | 51867 | 153553 | 48924 | 150610 |
| 1997 | 8025 | 0 | 72467 | 176071 | 66972 | 169030 | 64389 | 166446 |
| 1998 | 0 | 2988 | 101404 | 212664 | 98293 | 209289 | 95786 | 206782 |
| 1999 | 0 | 2739 | 98685 | 207684 | 95953 | 204800 | 93436 | 202283 |
| 2000 | 0 | 5323 | 123728 | 258738 | 118509 | 253290 | 115239 | 250020 |
| 2001 | 0 | 4790 | 99940 | 209371 | 95189 | 204373 | 92676 | 201860 |
| 2002 | 0 | 5806 | 65982 | 149798 | 60294 | 143864 | 57718 | 141288 |
| 2003 | 0 | 6477 | 53058 | 130423 | 46644 | 123683 | 44040 | 121079 |
| 2004 | 0 | 8386 | 76044 | 130397 | 67633 | 121486 | 65228 | 119081 |
| 2005 | 0 | 10437 | 163628 | 290142 | 153375 | 279426 | 150656 | 276707 |
| 2006 | 0 | 10377 | 137313 | 302798 | 127084 | 292083 | 124847 | 289846 |
| 2007 | 0 | 9207 | 135792 | 265829 | 126727 | 256341 | 124501 | 254115 |
| 2008 | 0 | 9834 | 147284 | 275025 | 137472 | 264665 | 135319 | 262512 |

Estimates are based on:
EST SMALL RETURNS - (COMM CATCH*PROP LAB ORIGIN)/EXP RATE,
PROP SFAs1, $2 \& 14 \mathrm{~B}=.6-8$, SFA 1:0.36-0.42\&SFA 2:0.75-0.85(97)
EXP RATE-SFAs1,2\&14B=.3-.5(69-91),.22-.39(92),.13-.25(93),

- .10-.19(94),.07-.13(95),.04-.07(96), SFA 1:0.07-0.14\&SFA 2:0.04-0.07 (97)

EST GRILSE RETURNS CORRECTED FOR NON-MATURING 1SW - (SMALL RET*PROP GRILSE),
PROP GRILSE SFAs1,2\&14B=0.8-0.9
EST RET TO FRESHWATER - (EST GRILSE RET-GRILSE CATCHES)
EST GRILSE SPAWNERS = EST GRILSE RETURNS TO FRESHWATER - GRILSE ANGLING CATCHES
Returns in 1998-2001 were estimated from angling exploitation rates
Returns in 2002 to present are from counting fence returns and drainage areas

Table 5. Estimates of 2SW spawners, returns and recruits for Labrador.

| Year | Commercial <br> Large <br> Catch | Food Fisheries <br> Small <br> Catch | Labrador 2SW Recruits SFAs 1,2 \& 14B |  | Labrador at Greenland | Totals |  | Labrador 2SW to rivers in SFAs 1,2 \& 14B |  | Labrador 2SW spawners in SFAs 1,2 \& 14B <br> Angling catch subtracted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Min | Max |  | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max |
| 1969 | 78052 | 0 | 32483 | 69198 | 34280 | 80636 | 133032 | 3248 | 20760 | 2890 | 20287 |
| 1970 | 45479 | 0 | 30258 | 68490 | 56379 | 99561 | 154121 | 3026 | 20547 | 2676 | 20085 |
| 1971 | 64806 | 0 | 43117 | 97596 | 24299 | 85831 | 163577 | 4312 | 29279 | 4012 | 28882 |
| 1972 | 55708 | 0 | 37064 | 83895 | 59203 | 112096 | 178927 | 3706 | 25168 | 3435 | 24812 |
| 1973 | 77902 | 0 | 51830 | 117319 | 22348 | 96314 | 189771 | 5183 | 35196 | 4565 | 34376 |
| 1974 | 93036 | 0 | 50030 | 113827 | 38035 | 109433 | 200476 | 5003 | 34148 | 4490 | 33475 |
| 1975 | 71168 | 0 | 47715 | 107974 | 40919 | 109012 | 195006 | 4772 | 32392 | 4564 | 32119 |
| 1976 | 77796 | 0 | 55186 | 124671 | 67730 | 146485 | 245646 | 5519 | 37401 | 4984 | 36701 |
| 1977 | 70158 | 0 | 48669 | 110171 | 28482 | 97937 | 185706 | 4867 | 33051 | 4042 | 31969 |
| 1978 | 48934 | 0 | 38644 | 87155 | 32668 | 87816 | 157045 | 3864 | 26147 | 3361 | 25490 |
| 1979 | 27073 | 0 | 22315 | 50194 | 18636 | 50481 | 90267 | 2231 | 15058 | 1823 | 14528 |
| 1980 | 87067 | 0 | 51899 | 117530 | 21426 | 95490 | 189152 | 5190 | 35259 | 4633 | 34525 |
| 1981 | 68581 | 0 | 47343 | 106836 | 32768 | 100331 | 185233 | 4734 | 32051 | 4403 | 31615 |
| 1982 | 53085 | 0 | 34910 | 78873 | 43678 | 93497 | 156236 | 3491 | 23662 | 3081 | 23127 |
| 1983 | 33320 | 0 | 25378 | 57268 | 30804 | 67021 | 112531 | 2538 | 17181 | 2267 | 16824 |
| 1984 | 25258 | 0 | 18063 | 40839 | 4026 | 29802 | 62306 | 1806 | 12252 | 1478 | 11822 |
| 1985 | 16789 | 0 | 14481 | 32596 | 3977 | 24644 | 50494 | 1448 | 9779 | 1258 | 9530 |
| 1986 | 34071 | 0 | 24703 | 55734 | 17738 | 52991 | 97275 | 2470 | 16720 | 2177 | 16334 |
| 1987 | 49799 | 0 | 32885 | 74471 | 29695 | 76625 | 135970 | 3289 | 22341 | 2895 | 21821 |
| 1988 | 32386 | 0 | 20681 | 46789 | 27842 | 57355 | 94614 | 2068 | 14037 | 1625 | 13452 |
| 1989 | 26836 | 0 | 20181 | 45509 | 26728 | 55528 | 91673 | 2018 | 13653 | 1727 | 13270 |
| 1990 | 17316 | 0 | 11482 | 25967 | 9771 | 26158 | 46828 | 1148 | 7790 | 923 | 7493 |
| 1991 | 7679 | 0 | 5477 | 12467 | 7779 | 15596 | 25571 | 548 | 3740 | 491 | 3665 |
| 1992 | 19608 | 0 | 14756 | 37045 | 13713 | 28469 | 50758 | 2515 | 15548 | 2012 | 14889 |
| 1993 | 9651 | 0 | 10242 | 29482 | 6592 | 16834 | 36074 | 3858 | 18234 | 3624 | 17922 |
| 1994 | 11056 | 0 | 11396 | 34514 | 0 | 11396 | 34514 | 5653 | 24396 | 5347 | 23992 |
| 1995 | 8714 | 0 | 16520 | 51530 | 0 | 16520 | 51530 | 12368 | 44205 | 12083 | 43828 |
| 1996 | 5479 | 0 | 11814 | 37523 | 4960 | 16773 | 42483 | 9113 | 32759 | 8878 | 32448 |
| 1997 | 5550 | 0 | 12605 | 31973 | 5161 | 17766 | 37134 | 8919 | 26674 | 8785 | 26497 |
| 1998 | 0 | 2269 | 5786 | 15446 | 3990 | 9776 | 19436 | 4424 | 13835 | 4237 | 13614 |
| 1999 | 0 | 1084 | 5947 | 17332 | 506 | 6453 | 17838 | 5296 | 16563 | 5049 | 16269 |
| 2000 | 0 | 1352 | 8043 | 23573 | 873 | 8915 | 24446 | 7231 | 22613 | 6987 | 22325 |
| 2001 | 0 | 1673 | 8650 | 25099 | 1232 | 9882 | 26331 | 7646 | 23911 | 7355 | 23567 |
| 2002 | 0 | 1437 | 6308 | 18606 | 2958 | 9265 | 21564 | 5446 | 17586 | 5263 | 17370 |
| 2003 | 0 | 2175 | 5311 | 16943 | 387 | 5698 | 17331 | 4006 | 15399 | 3793 | 15147 |
| 2004 | 0 | 3696 | 8796 | 19019 | 554 | 9350 | 19573 | 6578 | 16395 | 6332 | 16104 |
| 2005 | 0 | 2817 | 8386 | 23865 | 727 | 9112 | 24592 | 6695 | 21865 | 6443 | 21567 |
| 2006 | 0 | 3090 | 9302 | 23340 | 1016 | 10318 | 24356 | 7448 | 21146 | 7244 | 20904 |
| 2007 | 0 | 2652 | 8723 | 24541 | 1362 | 10086 | 25903 | 7132 | 22658 | 6918 | 22405 |
| 2008 | 0 | 3909 | 11165 | 29526 | 1669 | 12834 | 31195 | 8820 | 26751 | 8613 | 26505 |

Estimates are based on:
EST LARGE RETURNS - (COMM CATCH*PROP LAB ORIGIN)/EXP RATE, PROP SFAs1,2\&14B=.6-8,8FA 1: 0.64-0.72 \& SFA 2 0.88-0.95 (97);
EXP RATE-SFAs1,2\&14B=.7-.9(69-91),.58-.83(92),.38-.62(93),.29-.50(94), .15-.26(95), .13-.23(96), - SFA 1: 0.22-0.40, SFA 2: 0.16-0.28 (97)
EST 2SW RETURNS - (EST LARGE RETURNS*PROP 2SW), PROP 2SW SFA $1=.7-9$, SFAs $2 \& 14 \mathrm{~B}=.6-.8$
WG - are North American 1SW salmon of river age 4 and older of which $70 \%$ are Labrador origin
EST RET TO FRESHWATER - (EST 2SW RET-2SW CATCHES)
EST 2SW SPAWNERS = EST 2SW RETURNS TO FRESHWATER - 2SW ANGLING CATCHES
Returns in 1998-2001 were estimated from angling exploitation rates
Returns in 2002 to present are from counting fence returns and drainage areas


Figure 1. The number of 1SW recruits, returns and spawners for Labrador, 1969-2008.


Figure 2. The number of recruits, returns and spawners for Labrador, 1969-2008.

