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#### Abstract

The directed fishery for 4TVW haddock was closed in 1993 and has not been re-opened in spite of some rebuilding. Research survey data are used to examine the current numbers, growth and distribution of the haddock resource. Furthermore, a Test Fishery was planned for, and conducted in, 2004 to investigate the potential of 4TVW haddock for harvest if the minimum size, current 43 cm , were dropped to a smaller size to make more of the haddock available. Results show that both total and spawning biomass are near the long term average. However, this rebuilding is dominated by small fish as the growth rate has steadily fallen since the early 1980s.

\section*{Résumé}

La pêche dirigée de l’aiglefin de 4TVW a été fermée en 1993 sans qu’il y ait reprise, malgré un certain rétablissement. Les données obtenues à l’aide du relevé scientifique sont utilisées pour examiner le nombre actuel de poissons, le taux de croissance et la répartition des ressources d’aiglefin. De plus, une pêche expérimentale a été prévue et réalisée en 2004, afin d'examiner le potentiel de pêche de l’aiglefin de 4TVW, si la taille minimale, actuellement à 43 cm , était abaissée en vue d'augmenter le nombre d'aiglefins exploitables. Les résultats montrent que la biomasse totale et la biomasse génitrice sont près de la moyenne à long terme. Toutefois, ce rétablissement est dominé par de petits poissons, car le taux de croissance est en baisse constante depuis le début des années 1980.


## Introduction

This document is intended to provide biological advice relevant to the 4VW haddock stock and its potential for harvest. Two specific objectives were identified by the Maritime RAP office:

In support of potential changes in management measures in 2005/06, report on recent trends in body growth and recruitment of 4TVW haddock

Consider a report from the Groundfish Enterprise Allocation Committee (GEAC) on their 2004/05 Trial Fishery

Regarding growth, most of this analysis will be based on research survey data, and where appropriate the abundance indices will be corrected for the selectivity of the research gear using coefficients (q's) from an unpublished SPA. Similarly, recruitment trends will be estimated directly from the RV data.

Industry (GEAC) proposed a survey which had a number of objectives:

1. evaluate the separator panel,
2. evaluate retention characteristics of different mesh sizes on haddock and cod, 3. evaluate day/night effects, 4. collect haddock growth/maturity samples (length frequencies, full morphologies \& otoliths).

The principal underlying question was whether a commercially viable haddock fishery was possible without significant cod bycatch. Observers were aboard to sample the catch and their data is used in the following analysis. Although a report from industry was anticipated, none was forthcoming.

The first leg of the GEAC survey was conducted in March 2004 and was monitored by the Observer Program and the data from their system is summarized below. A second trip had been planned but was never performed.

The most significant change to this resource over the period of research survey data, 1970 to present, has been the apparent change in growth. Over this period the otoliths have been read by several readers and the otoliths have been prepared for reading in different ways. A careful analysis and re-aging have been conducted to assure the reliability of these data. Appendix A summarizes these studies which concludes that the size at age data are comparative over the 35 year period and are reliable.

For reference, a summary of the catch history for this stock is given in Table 1.

## Methods

The research survey data were extracted as standard data products from the Maritime Science Virtual Data Center (VDC). Specifically, they are numbers, length and weight at age as well as the geographic data concerning recent (2000-2004) summer surveys. Although a different survey vessel was used in 2004, this should not significantly affect the location or growth data. No inferences are being drawn on the abundance from the 2004 data, so this last point in the time series is only included for completeness and for qualitative comparison.

The abundance data were "q-corrected" using estimates of the survey gear’s efficiency (q) from an SPA based on formulations from recent assessments (Mohn and Simon, 2002).

## Results

Figure 1 shows the survey-based biomass (q corrected) for both the total (BTot) and spawning stock (SSB) estimates. Maturities for the SSB are time varying and are from Frank et al. (2001), with the most recent values extended to 2004. Although both growth and maturity have changed over the 35 years of survey data, the slightly lower age of maturity is not as serious as the depressed growth rate as a factor in the SSB. The recruitment is indicated by the number of 1 and 2 year olds in the summer survey. The very strong 1999 year-class is clearly seen as well as the resultant impact on total biomass. Since the 1999 year-class, recruitment has been unexceptional.

The question of growth is addressed by Figures 2 and 3 . Figure 2 shows the weights at age for ages 2-7. Especially in the older ages, a reduction is weight at age is seen in the early 1980s and then a further slow erosion since that time. The corresponding lengths at age are shown in Figure 3; for reference, the 43 cm line is shown.

Information on haddock and cod distributions similar to Figures 4 and 5, were presented to the industry before the Test Fishery and these suggested that the periphery of the haddock box (See Figure 6) would be the areas of most probable success. However, Figure 6 shows the actual position of the sets and the total catches at each. Table 2 has more detail of the catch composition. The proportion of cod in these sets is higher than would be appropriate for any fishery in the near future. Figure 7 shows the location and catch of haddock which are shown in kilograms.

## Conclusions

Size at age, and hence growth, significant decreased in the early 1980s and has not recovered since that time. The great majority of the stock is currently under the legal size of 43 cm . Although the biomass, or the spawning stock biomass, are comparable to the long term (since 1970) mean, the population is now dominated by small fish.

The Test Fishery did not meet its stated objectives. The logic behind the choice of trawling locations is not obvious. Furthermore, no information has been forthcoming as to why they
were chosen. The question of successfully harvesting haddock without significant impact on cod (or potentially other species yet to be designated) awaits a properly conducted test fishery.
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Table 1. Summary of landings from 4TVW haddock.
Landings, $\mathbf{t}$

| Year | $1970-79$ | $1980-89$ | $1990-99$ | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 <br> $*$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TAC | 1,333 | 12,970 | 2200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 5,023 | 11,254 | 2200 | 71 | 107 | 113 | 35 | 25 |

*landings as of October 2004

Table 2. Observed catch from Industry Trial Fishery (kg)

| Cod | 12 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Haddock | 16 |
| Silver hake | 2 |
| Pollock | 6 |
| Redfish | 1015 |
| Halibut | 4 |
| Plaice | 2830 |
| Witch | 10 |
| Wolffish | 3 |
| Herring | 5 |
| Thorny skate | 179 |
| Winter skate | 38 |
| Dogfish | 5 |
| Scorpion | 381 |
| Lumpfish | 78 |



Haddock RV age 12

Figure 1. Haddock biomass and recruitment from summer survey data. The upper panel is total biomass (solid line) and spawning stock biomass (dashed). The estimates have been q corrected. Recruitment (lower panel) is inferred from the abundance of 1 (solid line) and 2 year olds (dashed).


Figure 2. Haddock weight at age for ages 2-4 (upper panel) and ages 5-7 (lower) from the summer RV series.


Figure 3. Haddock length at age for ages 2-4 (upper panel) and ages 5-7 (lower) from the summer RV series. The line at 43 cm represents the legal size limit.


Figure 4. Distribution of small ( $<41 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) haddock and cod for the period 2000-2004.


Figure 5. Distribution of large ( $>41 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) haddock and cod for the period 2000-2004.


Figure 6. Total catches (kg) in 2004 Haddock Test Fishery are given at the site of each set. The dashed line denotes the haddock box.


Figure 7. Haddock catches (kg) in 2004 Haddock Test Fishery are given at the site of each set. The dashed line denotes the haddock box.

## Appendix A. Age validation studies of 4VW Haddock

The 1996 (Frank et al, 1997) and 2000 (Frank et al., 2001) stock assessments documented a problem that had developed in the ageing of 4VW haddock in the 1980's and early 1990's. Those assessments detailed some of the work that was used to address the problem but further documentation became necessary due to questions arising from differences in growth seen in the preparation of data for this assessment.

The new mean lengths at age calculated for the 1996 assessment suggested that growth prior to 1983 had been substantially higher than since 1985. A major 'step' in growth between 1984 and 1985 was noted resulting in a reduction in the mean lengths at age for some older ages of up to10cm (Frank et al, 1997). This step coincided with the change in aging technique from cracked to sectioned otoliths and the change in agers over the time period. The 4VW haddock stock had a single ager, Ager 1, from 1970-1982 using the cracked otolith method (Table A1). In 1983, Ager 2 began aging the summer RV survey using the cracked otolith method. In 1985, the methodology was changed to sectioning otoliths that had been embedded in resin. When the sectioning method was first considered, tests were run to compare with the cracked method. These showed an acceptable percent agreement between the methods, and the change in methodology was implemented.. Ager 2 aged this stock until 1993, when the responsibility was transferred to Ager 3 and Ager 4. Due to the problems noted in the 1997 and 2001 assessments (Frank et al, 1997, Frank et al. 2001), the 4VW haddock summer RV survey and commercial sampling ages, from 1985-1993, were removed from the database and replaced by the ages generated by Agers3 and 4 (Table A1).

The commercial and survey otoliths from 1985 to present have been aged primarily by Ager 3. The ageing duty for this stock has been shared with a secondary ager, Ager 4 who acted as the primary ager in some of those years. Ager 4 was the primary otolith reader for the 1986, 1989, 1991 and 1995 summer RV surveys and the 1989 and 1990 commercial samples. Ager 3 aged the remainder of the summer RV surveys and the commercial samples since 1985. Each ager has been tested against each other and against the reference collection to ensure accuracy.

Given the major step in the mean length at age coincident with the changes in agers and methodology, a trial was conducted by Ager 3 to compare the cracked vs. sectioning methods. In the fall of 1997, 222 pairs of otoliths from that year’s Sentinel Survey were prepared for ageing using the two methods. The first otolith of the pair was cracked and set in plasticine as per discussions with Ager 2. Initially both sides of the otolith were ground flat on a 2 stage grindstone and aged. While aging these fish it was noted that when an area of an otolith had not been ground, it appeared to be clearer than the ground area. Therefore for the last half of the test only one side of the cracked otolith was ground and the other side was left untouched. In all cases a soap/water mixture was applied to the otolith to enhance the clarity. The second otolith of the pair was embedded in resin and sectioned using the new methodology.

A comparison of the two methods revealed that the percentage of unaged fish (9.4\%: $21 / 222$ ) was higher using the cracked method than when sectioned(3.6\% 8/222) Whether this was due to inexperience by Ager 3 using this method or the condition of the otoliths is unknown. When the 193 otoliths pairs were considered no consistent bias was evident between the two methods but the CV was high $8.9 \%$ (Figure A1). When a subset of the otoliths (95) using only the revised no grinding method was considered the CV was an acceptable $5.87 \%$ with no bias. The conclusion at the time was that the two methods did not give significantly different results.

In 1998 a sub-sample of 292 cracked otoliths from the 1982 summer RV survey was recovered, cleaned and remounted in plasticene. No surface preparation other than cleaning with soap and water due to mould on the otoliths was done prior to aging. The appearance of the otoliths was different than freshly cracked otoliths. Ager 2 and another ager experienced in aging cracked otoliths mentioned that the otoliths tended to become whiter and lose definition over time. The first annulus and edges were especially difficult to interpret. The percentage of unaged fish $(12.3 \% 36 / 292)$ was similar to the 1997 test. The original ages from Ager 1 were compared with the ages generated by Ager 3. The CV of $7.6 \%$ was high but deemed acceptable at the time (Figure A2). No bias was evident in ages $0-6$, but a bias of about half a year was evident by age 7 and increased from ages 8 to 11 . The mean lengths at age were calculated for the two agers. No significant differences are evident from ages $0-6$. At ages 7 and 8 Ager1's fish were approximately 5 cm longer than Ager's 3. This difference was less than the 10cm step noted in the 1996 assessment, but we could not distinguish between ager or method differences.

For the 2000 4VW haddock assessment, otoliths were aged from the 1995 to 2000 4VsW Sentinel Surveys to provide an additional abundance index for tuning the VPA. For the 2002 assessment, it was decided to continue to age the Sentinel Survey as well as age otoliths from the spring (1979-84) and 4VWCod RV surveys (1986-present) in 4VW (called March survey in remainder of text).

The March survey otoliths from 1979-1982 had been cracked and aged originally by Ager 1. A single cracked otolith from each pair were glued together, embedded in resin, sectioned, and then aged by Ager 3. The March survey otoliths from 1983,1984,1987,1988 and 1990 had already been embedded in resin and aged by Ager 2. These otoliths were simply re-aged by Ager 3.

Yearly CV and bias plots were generated by comparing the original ages from the survey with those re-aged by Ager 3. The results showed that the CV's in 1979 was $4.3 \%$ with no bias (Figure A3).The 1982 results showed a very low CV(3.9\%) with potentially a slight bias to underage older fish (8+) (Figure A4). The 1983 and 1984 results has CV's which although not high ( 5.2 and $7.7 \%$ respectively) indicated an increasing bias to underage fish 5 years and older (Figures A5, A6). The 1987 and 1990 tests showed a high CV (>12\%) with a strong bias towards under-aging fish greater than 5 years old. This observation was similar to that observed in the summer RV survey in 4 VW .

Based on these results it was decided that given the good agreement between Agers 1 and 3 in the 1979 and 1982 surveys that there was no need to adjust the ages from 1979 to 1982 March surveys.

Given the biases at the older ages in the sectioned otoliths from the March 1983-1990 surveys the decision was made to remove these ages from the database. The ages generated by Ager 3 for this survey were entered on the system, a new catch-at-age matrix constructed for the March survey and then used to tune the VPA.

## Summary

The re-ageing of the cracked otoliths using the sectioning method did not show significant differences in the results and therefore we can conclude that the data from 1970-1982 is comparable with the data from 1985 to present. However, the summer RV survey ageing from 1983 and 1984 should be used with caution. The implications of the 3 aging studies described above, is that the change in growth seen in the mid-1980s is not an artifact of the change in method or agers.

The initial examination of the Sentinel surveys otoliths suggested that the differences in methodology did not produce significantly different ages. Indirectly this provided evidence that the change in methodology was not the major cause in the change in growth observed in this stock and that the 1970-1982 catch at age could be compared to the catch at age from 1985 to present. Given the materials available at the time the 1983 and 1984 surveys were not examined. The 1998 study was a direct examination of 1982 summer RV survey otoliths and came to a similar conclusion although a bias was noted to underage fish greater than 8 years old. This bias might have been due to the condition of the otoliths used in the study.

The 2003 study was much more extensive and although it used otoliths from a different seasonal survey provided the best evidence that ages derived from otoliths aged prior to 1983 using the cracked method were comparable to the sectioned method used since 1985. The observed drift appeared to have begun in 1983. The use of percent agreement between two samples probably masked a problem at the older age groups given the small samples sizes at those ages. This study suggests that since the 1983 and 1984 haddock ages from the March RV survey had problems, then it is likely as they were read by the same reader that the 1983 and 1984 summer RV surveys will have a similar problem. In addition, since the 4X haddock otoliths were aged by essentially the same agers as in 4 VW at the same time then it is likely that the same problems will applied there as well.
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Table A1. Summary of haddock ages on the DFO database since 1970 from the summer and March RV surveys in Div. 4VW.

| Year | Summer RV Survey Otoliths Mission | Ager 1 Ager 2 Ager 3 Ager 4 Method |  |  |  |  | March Survey Otoliths Mission | Ager 1 Ager 2 |  | Ager 3**** | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | ATC1970175, ATC1970176 |  | - | $\cdots$ | - | cracked |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | ATC1971188, ATC1971189 | 512 |  |  |  | cracked |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | ATC1972200, ATC1972201 | 459 |  |  |  | cracked |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | ATC1973212, ATC1973213 | 293 |  |  |  | cracked |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | ATC1974225, ATC1974226 | 338 |  |  |  | cracked |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 | ATC1975236, ATC1975237 | 531 |  |  |  | cracked |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | ATC1976250, ATC1976251 | 561 |  |  |  | cracked |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | ATC1977265, ATC1977266 | 659 |  |  |  | cracked |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | ATC1978279, ATC1978280 | 691 |  |  |  | cracked |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | ATC1979292, ATC1979293 | 749 |  |  |  | cracked | HAM1979013, HAM1979014 | 645** |  |  | cracked |
| 1980 | ATC1980306, ATC1980307 | 880 |  |  |  | cracked | HAM1980033, HAM1980034 | 635 |  |  | cracked |
| 1981 | ATC1981321, ATC1981322 | 972 |  |  |  | cracked | HAM1981048, HAM1981049 | 885 |  |  | cracked |
| 1982 | HAM1982081, HAM1982082 | 1124* |  |  |  | cracked | HAM1982071, HAM1982072 | 1026** |  |  | cracked |
| 1983 | NED1983012, NED1983013 |  | 1134 |  |  | cracked | HAM1983094, HAM1983095 |  | 354*** | 553 | sectioned |
| 1984 | NED1984031, NED1984032 |  | 1126 |  |  | cracked | NED1984024, NED1984025 |  | 366*** | 333 | sectioned |
| 1985 | NED1985048, NED1985049 |  |  | 1060 |  | sectioned | NED1985041 (FEP) |  |  |  | no otoliths |
| 1986 | NED1986065, NED1986066 |  |  |  | 529 | sectioned | NED1986060 |  |  |  | no otoliths |
| 1987 | NED1987085, NED1987086, NED1987087 |  |  | 465 |  | sectioned | NED1987077, NED1987078 |  | 697*** | 323 | sectioned |
| 1988 | NED1988105, NED1988106 |  |  | 564 |  | sectioned | NED1988098 |  | 319*** | clarity problem | sectioned |
| 1989 | NED1989123, NED1989124 |  |  |  | 650 | sectioned | NED1989117 |  |  | 384 | sectioned |
| 1990 | NED1990139, NED1990140 |  |  | 523 |  | sectioned | NED1990134 |  | 436*** | 449 | sectioned |
| 1991 | NED1991154, HAM1991231 |  |  |  | 490 | sectioned | NED1991149 |  |  | 399 | sectioned |
| 1992 | NED1992173, NED1992174 |  |  | 305 |  | sectioned | NED1992166 |  |  | 204 | sectioned |
| 1993 | NED1993189, NED1993190 |  |  | 444 |  | sectioned | NED1993182 |  |  | 142 | sectioned |
| 1994 | NED1994221, NED1994222 |  |  | 761 |  | sectioned | NED1994201 |  |  | 395 | sectioned |
| 1995 | NED1995139, NED1995140 |  |  |  | 660 | sectioned | NED1995217 |  |  | 285 | sectioned |
| 1996 | NED1996226, NED1996227 |  |  | 614 |  | sectioned | NED1996238(incomplete survey) |  |  | 171 | sectioned |
| 1997 | NED1997246, NED1997247 |  |  | 777 |  | sectioned | NED1997255 |  |  | 438 | sectioned |
| 1998 | NED1998726, NED1998734 |  |  | 650 |  | sectioned | Survey cancelled (some otoliths fro | $m$ maturity | ty study a | vailable) |  |
| 1999 | NED1999925, NED1999929 |  |  | 738 |  | sectioned | NED1999872 |  |  | 457 | sectioned |
| 2000 | NED2000426, NED2000431 |  |  | 757 |  | sectioned | NED2000966 |  |  | 464 | sectioned |
| 2001 | NED2001032, NED2001037 |  |  | 721 |  | sectioned | NED2001004 |  |  | 398 | sectioned |
| 2002 | NED2002037, NED2002040 |  |  | 763 |  | sectioned | NED2002003 |  |  | 388 | sectioned |
| 2003 | NED2003036, NED2003042 |  |  | 607 |  | sectioned | NED2004003 |  |  | 374 | sectioned |
| 2004 | TEL2004529, TEL2004530 |  |  | 599 |  | sectioned | Survey cancelled |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
## Cracked vs Sectioned



| Cracked (y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sectioned (x) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 16 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 6 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 17 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Total | 3 | 19 | 29 | 39 | 27 | 20 | 11 | 19 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 196 |

Figure A1. Diagnostic bias plot and table of differences between cracked and sectioned haddock otolith pairs from the 1997 4VW Sentinel Survey.

## Ager 1 Versus Ager 3



1982

| Ager1 (y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ager3 (x) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 15 | Total |
| 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 1 | 0 | 38 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 16 | 40 | 43 | 42 | 8 | 35 | 41 | 22 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 256 |

Figure A2. Diagnostic bias plot and table of differences between cracked haddock otoliths aged by Ager 1 in 1982 and Ager 3 in 1998 from the 1982 summer RV Survey.

## Ager 1 Versus Ager 3



1979

|  | Ager | (y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ager3 (x) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 13 | Total |
| 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 2 | 0 | 82 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 |
| 3 | 0 | 6 | 55 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 60 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 74 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 5 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 26 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 5 | 90 | 58 | 80 | 97 | 35 | 37 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 424 |

Figure A3. Diagnostic bias plots and table of differences between Ager 1 and Ager 3 from the 1979 spring RV survey of 4VW haddock otoliths.

Ager 1 Versus Ager 3


| Ager1 (y) |
| :--- |
| Ager3 (x) $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{2}$ $\mathbf{3}$ $\mathbf{4}$ $\mathbf{5}$ $\mathbf{6}$ $\mathbf{7}$ $\mathbf{8}$ $\mathbf{9}$ $\mathbf{1 1}$ Total <br> $\mathbf{1}$ 45 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 48 <br> $\mathbf{2}$ 0 59 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 70 <br> $\mathbf{3}$ 0 4 58 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 66 <br> $\mathbf{4}$ 0 0 2 5 4 0 0 0 0 0 11 <br> $\mathbf{5}$ 0 0 0 6 55 2 0 0 0 0 63 <br> $\mathbf{6}$ 0 0 0 0 9 11 2 1 0 0 23 <br> $\mathbf{7}$ 0 0 0 0 0 4 16 0 0 0 20 <br> $\mathbf{8}$ 0 0 0 0 0 1 6 4 0 0 11 <br> $\mathbf{9}$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 1 1 0 6 <br> $\mathbf{1 2}$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 <br> Total 45 66 71 15 68 18 28 6 2 1 320 |

Figure A4. Diagnostic bias plots and table of differences between Ager 1and Ager 3 from the 1982 spring RV survey of 4VW haddock otoliths.

## Ager 2 Versus Ager 3



| Ager2 (y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ager 3 (x) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Total |
| 1 | 51 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| 2 | 0 | 49 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 61 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 15 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 51 | 56 | 73 | 52 | 46 | 34 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 329 |

Figure A5. Diagnostic bias plots and table of differences between Ager 2 and Ager 3 from the 1983 spring RV survey of 4VW haddock otoliths.

## Ager 2 Versus Ager 3



| Ager2 (y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ager 3 (x) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Total |
| 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 2 | 0 | 52 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| 3 | 0 | 2 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 48 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 35 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 15 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 22 | 54 | 50 | 76 | 44 | 51 | 26 | 4 | 2 | 329 |

Figure A6. Diagnostic bias plots and table of differences between Ager 2 and Ager 3 from the 1984 spring RV survey of 4VW haddock otoliths.


[^0]:    * This series documents the scientific basis for the * La présente série documente les bases evaluation of fisheries resources in Canada. As such, it addresses the issues of the day in the time frames required and the documents it contains are not intended as definitive statements on the subjects addressed but rather as progress reports on ongoing investigations.
    scientifiques des évaluations des ressources halieutiques du Canada. Elle traite des problèmes courants selon les échéanciers dictés. Les documents qu'elle contient ne doivent pas être considérés comme des énoncés définitifs sur les sujets traités, mais plutôt comme des rapports d'étape sur les études en cours.

    Research documents are produced in the official language in which they are provided to the Secretariat.

    This document is available on the Internet at: Ce document est disponible sur l'Internet à:
    http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas/

[^1]:    Note:
    See text for further explanation of footnotes below.
    Subsample tested against Ager 3. Ager1 ages (1970-1982) remain on database.

    * Subsamples from 1979,1982 tested against Ager 3. Ager 1 ages (1979-1982) remain on database
    ${ }_{* * * *} \quad$ Subsamples from 1983,1984,1987 and 1990 tested against Ager 3. Ages removed from database (1983-1990)
    Ages on database, 1983-present

