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#### Abstract

Assessments of the stock status of Atlantic salmon were conducted on the Middle, Baddeck, North and Grand rivers of SFA 19, Eastern Cape Breton Island in 2003. These rivers account for over $87 \%$ of the total recreational fishing effort exerted in the 15 Eastern Cape Breton rivers reportedly fished for salmon in 2003.

Returning salmon were estimated by snorkel count with mark-recapture calibration and from recreational catch estimates and rates. Estimated returns in 2003 were 554 large and 61 small to the Middle River, 305 large and 36 small to the Baddeck River, 395 large and 113 small to the North River, and 6 large and 34 small to the Grand River.

Conservation requirements on Middle River, which have generally not been achieved in recent years, were likely met in 2003 although expectations are low that returns will meet requirements in 2004. Returns to the Baddeck River in 2003 continue to be below conservation requirements, and expectations are that returns will not meet requirements in 2004. North River conservation requirements, which have not been met since 1999, were achieved in 2003, however, based on a five-year mean, it is unlikely that returns in 2004 will be sufficient to meet conservation requirements. Returns to Grand River in 2003 were the second lowest in the data series. Consistent low returns in recent years, and a forecast of only 43 returns in 2004 indicate a very low probability of meeting conservation requirements in 2004.


## Résumé

L'état des stocks de saumon atlantique retrouvés dans les rivières Middle, Baddeck, North et Grand de la ZPS 19, située dans l'est du Cap-Breton, a été évalué en 2003. Ces cours d'eau pèsent pour plus de $87 \%$ de l'effort total de pêche récréative déployé dans les 15 rivières de cette région où, à ce qu'il paraît, la pêche du saumon a été pratiquée en 2003.

Les remontes ont été estimées par le biais de plongées en apnée, puis étalonnées d'après les données sur la reprise de saumons étiquetés, des estimations des prises récréatives et des taux de prises récréatives. Pour 2003, elles se chiffraient comme suit : 554 gros et 61 petits saumons dans la rivière Middle, 305 gros et 36 petits saumons dans la rivière Baddeck, 395 gros et 113 petits saumons dans la rivière North et 6 gros et 34 petits saumons dans la rivière Grand.

Les impératifs de conservation pour la rivière Middle River, qui n'ont généralement pas été atteints au cours des dernières années, l'ont probablement été en 2003, quoiqu'on ne s'attende pas vraiment à ce la remonte les satisfait en 2004. La remonte dans la rivière Baddeck en 2003 continue d'être inférieure aux impératifs de conservation, et l'on s'attend à ce qu'elle ne satisfait pas aux impératifs en 2004. Les impératifs de conservation pour la rivière North, qui n'ont pas été satisfaits depuis 1999, ont été atteints en 2003; par contre, d'après la moyenne quinquennale, il est peu probable que la remonte en 2004 suffira à les satisfaire. La remonte dans la rivière Grand en 2003 était la deuxième moins abondante dans la série de données. Les remontes régulièrement peu abondantes au cours des dernières années et la prévision d'une remonte de seulement 43 saumons en 2004 indiquent qu'il est très peu probable que les impératifs de conservation seront satisfaits en 2004.

## Introduction

This document assesses the status of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) populations in 2003 for Eastern Cape Breton rivers, Salmon Fishing Area 19 (SFA 19) with a brief review of the derivation of estimates since the last formal assessment in 1999 (Marshall et al. 2000). Updates have been provided annually thereafter (DFO 2001, 2002 and 2003).

Eastern Cape Breton Island (SFA 19) includes 30 salmon rivers having historically reported Atlantic salmon angling from the Inverness-Victoria county line at the Canso causeway, eastward through Richmond, Cape Breton and Victoria counties (Table 1, Figure 1). Salmon stocks are assessed annually in the Middle, Baddeck and North rivers, Victoria Co. which have their headwaters in the Cape Breton Highlands (Figure 1). These rivers have excellent water quality for Atlantic salmon rearing and no significant impediments to fish migration. The salmon stock of the Grand River (Figure 1), which is of lower gradient than rivers flowing from the highlands, has flows and temperatures influenced by headwater lakes, and empties directly to the Atlantic coast of Cape Breton, was assessed annually from 1988 to 2000 and 2001 to 2003.

Adult salmon assessments in Victoria County rivers of SFA 19 are based on fall-season snorkel diver counts of salmon with mark-recapture calibrations and from recreational catches. Autumn swim-thru counts of adult salmon have been conducted annually on the Middle River since 1989, and on the Baddeck and North rivers since 1994 (Amiro and Longard 1995, Marshall et al. 1998) with the exception of the years 1999 and 2001 on the Baddeck River and the years 1999, 2000 and 2003 on the North River when no swim-thru counts were conducted due to adverse high water conditions. Swim-thru counts of small and large salmon are traditionally conducted in mid-late October, by teams of two divers assigned to most of the four to six sections in each of the Middle, North and Baddeck rivers (Figures 2 to 4; Appendix I). Mark-recapture experiments began in 1994. Tags were applied to fish netted a day or two previous to the swim-thru. Orange streamer tags were used from 1994 to 1998 (Amiro and Longard 1995, Marshall et al. 1996-1999). Yellow disk tags applied to the anterior section at the basal margin of the dorsal fin were first introduced in 1998 (Marshall et al. 1999) and used every year thereafter.

Salmon assessments on Grand River, Richmond County were estimated from adult counts at a fishway that by-passes Grand River falls 1988-1998, from partial fishway count in 1999 and 2000, and returns to the river in recent years were estimated from recreational catch.

Based on adult escapement estimates, conservation requirements have generally not been achieved in Eastern Cape Breton rivers in recent years. Except for 1996, conservation requirements have not been met on the Middle River since 1989. Escapement to the Baddeck River has not met requirements since at least 1994. Except for 2001, the North River has not met requirements since 1999, although conservation requirements had been achieved on the North River for over a decade prior to 1999. The Grand River has not met its salmon conservation requirement upriver of the fishway since 1999. Returns to Grand River since 2000 are dependent on wild production only, a component that has not met requirements since 1990.

In the fall of 2003, mark-recapture counts of salmon were conducted on the Middle and Baddeck rivers. Returns to these rivers were estimated from escapement estimates derived from snorkel counts plus removals prior to the counts. Returns to North River were estimated from recreational catch and a mean catch rate of 0.75 for small and 0.41 for large salmon derived from mark and recapture population estimates for years 1994-1998, 2001 and 2002. Grand River returns in 2003, as in 2001 and 2002, were estimated from recreational catches and an assumed catch rate of 0.5 which is similar to that determined in other rivers.

The purpose of this document is to present the methodology and results of the 2003 Atlantic salmon assessment for each of the four monitored rivers: Middle, Baddeck, North and Grand rivers, including a brief review of the derivation of estimates since the last formal assessment in 1999, a review of adult salmon survey data collected since 1994, and juvenile salmon survey data since 1996. Firstly, a description of the fisheries is presented including commercial, recreational, aboriginal and unlicensed removals. Following this description, information for each of the four monitored rivers is presented, including:

- Background information which may include geographical location of river, habitat, stock characteristics, stocking efforts and presence of other salmonids;
- Description of river-specific conservation requirements;
- Methods and results including recreational fishery catch and effort, description of adult surveys, as well as juvenile abundance surveys and results;
- Status in 2003, including review of derivation of adult return estimates since 1994 and estimates of spawner escapement relative to requirements;
- Outlook for 2004;
- Discussion.

Area by gradient categories, recreational catch, and juvenile salmon population data on other rivers of SFA 19 is also presented. A section summarizing the adult movement through the Sydney River dam fishway between 1994 and 2003 is included. The document concludes with some pertinent fisheries management considerations.

## Description of Fisheries

## Commercial Fishery

The commercial salmon fishery in Eastern Cape Breton was shortened in 1984, closed in 1985, and remained closed in 2003. No commercial salmon fishing licenses remain in SFA 19.

## Recreational Fishery

The recreational salmon angling season for Salmon Fishing Area 19 rivers in 2003 was open for catch-and-release fly fishing only from June 1 to July 15 and Sept. 1 to Oct. 31 with the exception of Indian Brook, Eskasoni, and North River upstream from the area known as "The Benches" which were closed all year (Table 1). The daily catch and release limit in 2003 was two fish of any combination of small salmon ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) sometimes known as "grilse" or large salmon ( $\geq 63.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) sometimes referred to as "salmon". Recreational catch and effort for Atlantic salmon in all Cape Breton rivers is estimated from Nova Scotia Salmon Angling Licence stubs returned by anglers. This information
has been synthesised annually between 1983 and 1989 (O’Neil et al. 1996). Annual summaries of catch, effort, and estimated small and large salmon retained and released for the four monitored rivers, Middle, Baddeck, North and Grand, 1984-2003, is provided in Appendix II.

In 2003, anglers spent an estimated 1328 rod days on the Island's eastern rivers (Table 1). Of this total, 1157 rod days were spent in the Middle, North, Baddeck and Grand rivers alone, which accounts for $87 \%$ of the total recreational fishing effort exerted in the 15 Eastern Cape Breton rivers reportedly fished for salmon in 2003 (Table 1). Preliminary estimates of catches in 2003 were 160 small and 414 large salmon for a total of 574 fish (Table 1).

These four monitored rivers also accounted for $83 \%$ of the total recreational fishing effort exerted in the 25 Eastern Cape Breton rivers reportedly fished for salmon between 1998 and 2002 (Table 2). Total effort in 2003 increased by $49 \%$ over 2002 (Table 2). Compared to 2002, the catch of small salmon was up $24 \%$ and the large salmon catch was up $224 \%$ (Table 2). Compared to the 1998-2002 mean values, effort was up $24 \%$, the catch of small salmon was up $7 \%$ and the large salmon catch was up $111 \%$ (Table 2).

## Aboriginal Fisheries and Harvest

The fishing of salmon by angling, snaring, spearing, and dip netting were permitted methods of achieving river-specific quotas for each of five First Nations (FNs) on Cape Breton Island (Eskasoni, Chapel Island, Membertou, Wagmatcook, and Waycobah) and non-river-specific allocations to harvesters of the Native Council of Nova Scotia. Allocations to First Peoples totalled 50 small and 50 large salmon from the North River. Ten tags for either small or large salmon were available to each of 22 members of the Native Council of Nova Scotia residents in SFA 19.

| River | Allocation |  | Gear type | Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large |  |  |
| North | 50 | 50 | angle, snare, spear, dipnet | June 1 - Oct. 25 |
| Native | cil NS | 20 by m | mum of 22 harvesters |  |

Catch reports were incomplete at the time of this writing. Indications were that an estimated 20 large and 10 small salmon were taken from a trap set in the approaches of Middle River with another 10 large and 10 small salmon angled from the Middle River by Wagmatcook, Membertou and Eskasoni FNs in late March and April. None were reported taken by FNs on the Baddeck. No FN fishing activity was reported in either the North River estuary or upriver.

## Unlicensed removals of salmon

Unreported Atlantic salmon removals from Eastern Cape Breton rivers in 2003 as reported by fishery officers were estimated at 79 small and 109 large salmon for a total of 188 fish.

| Small |  |  | Large |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\#)$ | $(\mathrm{kg})$ |  | $(\#)$ | $(\mathrm{kg})$ |  | $(\#)$ |
|  |  |  |  | $(\mathrm{kg})$ |  |  |  |
| 79 | 136 |  | 109 | 553 |  | 188 | 689 |

## Middle River

The Middle River, Victoria County, is encompassed by the North and Baddeck rivers to the east, Humes River to the west and the Margaree River to the north (Figure 1). The main stem arises in the Cape Breton Highlands, about 450 m above sea level, and flows in a southward direction to its confluence with Nyanza Bay, St. Patrick's Channel, of the Bras d'Or Lake (Figure 2). Middle River is unobstructed and not affected by acid precipitation but is exposed to agricultural practices in the lower valley.

The Middle River has a more gentle gradient profile than its neighbouring Baddeck River and North River watersheds. Wetted stream area at mean summer low categorized by gradient with respect to 17 other rivers of SFA 19 is presented in Table 3.

Historically, the salmon stock of Middle River consisted of summer and fall components (Marshall et al. 1996). In recent times, the summer component has all but disappeared. Efforts in the mid-late 1980s to redevelop a summer run from the North River stock were unsuccessful (Marshall et al. 1996). There is currently no stocking of hatchery-reared salmon in this system.

Small numbers of farmed escaped salmon have on occasion, e.g. 1997 at the Pipe Pool on the Yankee Line Road, been observed in the Middle River during dive surveys. These observations have been coincident with reported escapes from industry grow-out sites in the Bras d'Or Lakes (ICES 2003). Occasional (less than 12) adult rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) are observed during annual fall swim-thru operations (DFO unpublished data) and sometimes reported angled (G. MacDonald, pers. comm. ${ }^{1}$ ). Small numbers of juvenile rainbow trout were present among juvenile salmon when assessed by electrofishing since 1998, mean annual densities being less than 12 fish per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (Table 4).

## Conservation Requirements

The conservation requirements for the Middle River as reported by Marshall et al. (1999) were based on an estimated $864,600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of wetted stream area adjusted to the mean summer low for reaches where stream gradient was $>0.12 \%$ as determined from orthophotographic maps and aerial photographs (Amiro 1993) (Table 3) and 2.4 eggs per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (Elson 1975). Requirements number 2.07 million eggs which, based on the average population age, size and sex composition, are expected from 470 large and 80 small salmon.
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## Methods and Results

Recreational Fishery

Since 1998 the recreational Atlantic salmon fishery on the Middle River has been open for catch and release from June 1-July 15 and Sept. 1-Oct. 31. Anglers expended an estimated average of 263 rod days per year on the Middle River in the last five years (Table 2). The estimated effort of 334 rod days in 2003 was $45 \%$ higher than that estimated in 2002 and $27 \%$ higher than the mean effort from 1998-2002 (Table 2, Appendix II). Preliminary catches, estimated from 73 licence stub returns by anglers fishing to the Oct. 31 closure in 2003, were estimated to be 144 large and 24 small salmon (Table 1). Catches of large salmon were the highest since 1994, up $311 \%$ from 2002, and $165 \%$ higher than the previous fiveyear mean (Table 2, Appendix II). Small salmon catches were 14\% lower than those in 2002 but $1 \%$ higher than the previous five-year mean (Table 2, Appendix II).

## Adult Salmon Surveys

Returns and escapements to Middle River in 1994 to 2003 were estimated from markrecapture techniques and maximum likelihood estimation procedures (Gazey and Staley 1986). A summary of the mark-recapture data for Middle River can be found in Appendix III.

In 2001 and 2002 however, adverse water conditions prohibited the catch and marking of salmon necessary to calibrate the snorkel count of salmon. Counts, without applying marks, were however conducted in both years, and the mean observation rate of 0.66 ( $90 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 0.54$0.78 ; \mathrm{n}=7, \mathrm{sd}=0.09$ ), derived from successful mark and recapture estimates in the years 1994 to 2000 , was used to estimate return values in 2001 and 2002 (DFO 2002 and 2003).

A mark-recapture experiment provided data for estimation of the Middle River population in 2003. Mark-recapture methodology follows that used and described in previous assessments (Marshall et al. 1998, 1999). Marks were applied to 16 large and six small adult fish on October 20 (Appendix III). Counts of marked (recaptures) and unmarked fish were obtained on October 22 and again on November $4^{\text {th }}$ by swim-thru surveys. The area of coverage was broken into five sections (Figure 2, Appendix I). Due to the high water and poor visibility on October 22, only 21 unmarked and four marked fish were observed over the 17.6 kms of river covered by the divers. On Nov $4^{\text {th }}$ a second recapture run was conducted under good visibility and good water conditions. During this second swim-thru divers covered a 16 km section of the river and observed 193 fish ( 19 small and 174 large) of which one small and six large were marked. Input to the analysis therefore consisted of $\mathrm{M}=22$; $\mathrm{C}=193$ and $\mathrm{R}=7$ (Appendix III).

Based on the mark and recapture derived population estimates, catch rates for the recreational fishery can be estimated. Mean catch rates for seven years between 1994 and 2003, when mark-recapture data was available, averaged 0.44 ( $90 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.32-0.55$ ) for small and 0.29 ( $90 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.22-0.35$ ) for large salmon (see text table below). Annual estimates of escapement, and percent of conservation requirements met in Middle River, 1989-2003, for small and large salmon by these two methods, are presented in Table 5.

Recreational fishery catch rates based on mark and recapture population estimates for small and large salmon in the Middle River, Victoria County, 1994 to 2000, and 2003.

|  | Catch rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Small | Large |
| 1994 | 0.51 | 0.39 |
| 1995 | 0.73 | 0.16 |
| 1996 | 0.48 | 0.30 |
| 1997 | 0.26 | 0.23 |
| 1998 | 0.35 | 0.39 |
| 1999 | 0.33 | 0.26 |
| 2000 | 0.43 | 0.30 |
| 2001 |  |  |
| 2002 |  |  |
| 2003 | 0.39 | 0.26 |
| Mean | 0.44 | 0.29 |
| Sd | 0.14 | 0.08 |

## Juvenile Surveys

No sampling for juvenile salmon was conducted in Middle River in 2002 or 2003. Electrofishing at five sites (Figure 2) since 1996 consisted of three-four sweep removal estimates in barrier sections (Table 6, Appendix IV). Estimates of population size for both fry and parr were derived by exact solution for three sweeps and by an iterative solution by Zippin's maximum-likelihood technique for four or more sweeps as per Amiro and Longard (1995). Density (fish per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) of juvenile salmon was estimated by dividing the population estimate by the wetted area of habitat sampled multiplied by 100. Densities are compared to a "normal index of abundance" (Elson norm) of 29 fry (age- $0^{+}$parr) and 38 parr (age- $1^{+}$and $2^{+}$) per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, which was determined from 129 sites on New Brunswick rivers (mostly the Miramichi) in the 1950s (Elson 1967).

Monitoring at two main stem index sites, Finlayson and Twin Churches, from 1996 to 2001 (Figure 2, Table 6, Appendix IV) revealed juvenile densities to be consistently at or slightly above the Elson (1967) normal index of abundances. Although fry densities dropped in 2001 to only 0.3 times the Elson norm, parr densities remained high at 1.1 times the norm (Figure $6)$.

## Status

Population estimate from recreational fishery and catch rates
Returns to the Middle River were first derived from recreational catches. Estimated catch and release mortalities were based on a $3 \%$ hook and release mortality which applied to the reported catch indicated a mortality of four large and one small salmon. Using the mean catch rates (1994-2003) (from text table above) and estimated recreational catches of 144 large and 24 small salmon, returns to the Middle River in 2003 were estimated to be $55(90 \%$ CI 43 76) small and 503 ( $90 \%$ CI 409 - 653) large salmon for a total of 558 ( $90 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 452-729) fish (Figure 5, Table 5).

Population estimates from mark and recapture and swim-thru counts
The estimated number of adult salmon in the Middle River during the 2003 swim-thru was 610 fish ( $90 \%$ CI: 400-1,569). Proportioning of the estimate on the basis of small and large salmon observed during the count with the addition of mortalities associated with recreational fishing and reported removals suggests a total wild salmon return of 615 fish comprised of 554 large and 61 small salmon (Figure 5, Table 5).

Escapement, the number of salmon surviving to spawn, to the Middle River in 2003 determined from returns derived from swim-thru was estimated to be 60 small and 550 large salmon (Figure 5, Table 5). Based on these numbers, small salmon escapement in 2003 was about $75 \%$ of requirement. Large salmon escapement was about $117 \%$ of requirement and up $561 \%$ from 2002, the highest since 1989 (Table 5).

## Biological characteristics and estimated egg deposition

Fish requirements to provide prescribed eggs requirements are based on stock and biological characteristics. Characteristics key to the calculation include the proportion of one-sea-winter (1SW; "small") and multi-sea-winter (MSW; "large") fish in the population, proportion females in each component and an estimate of the fecundity of 1SW and MSW females. By a prior decision all prescribed eggs are to come from MSW salmon. In addition, 1SW fish are required to provide a $1: 1$ male to female ratio among spawners. Estimates of fecundity for Middle River are derived from the length-fecundity relationship: Log Eggs=5.741 +0.04 Fork Length (Marshall et al. 1999).

Salmon seined in Middle River during the fall 2003 swim-thru operation were sexed, measured (fork length) and scale sampled for age determination. Based on this sample, the population estimate was re-apportioned by age and sex and the potential egg deposition was estimated (Table 7). Based on these biological samples and proportions, spawning escapement was estimated at 166 small and 444 large fish of which $55 \%$ were large female salmon (Table 8). Total female escapement was estimated to be 329 fish, which yielded $98 \%$ of the egg requirement for the river (Table 8).

## Outlook

The Middle River forecast for 2004 is the mean adult return, 1999-2003, i.e. 334 fish ( $90 \%$ CI: 72-659), or $61 \%$ of conservation requirement. Based on this mean, the probability of the 2004 returns exceeding the conservation requirement of 550 fish is about $14 \%$.

## Discussion

While there was some agreement in the 2003 total salmon population estimates of $615(90 \%$ CI: 395-1,574) by mark and recapture swum-thru and $558(90 \% \mathrm{CI}: 452-729)$ by the reported recreational catch and catch rates there was substantial disagreement in the proportion of large and small salmon by the two methods. The ratio of large to small ranged from about $9: 1$ for the swim thru, to $6: 1$ for the recreational catch data to $3: 1$ for the seining data. These differences could lead to different estimates of egg depositions which is a critical feature of the assessment. All estimates are subject to errors in the data and sampling, however, because the mark and recapture data provides a direct measurement of critical biological characteristics, particularly the proportion and size of female salmon among large fish it is, in this case, our preferred method of estimation. The ratio of large to small used in the assessment is that of the swim-thru and proportion female is that of the seining.

The regression of swim-thru count estimates and recreational catch derived estimates for Middle River 1994-2003 (Table 5) yields an $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value of 0.77 significant at $\mathrm{p}=0.0008(\mathrm{n}=10)$ supporting the coincidence of the two methods and the use of the recreational catch method in the absence of seining and swim-thru data.

During the October $22^{\text {sd }}$ swim-thru some sections of the river had virtually zero visibility due to heavy rainfall; therefore the low recaptures were insufficient to estimate the population. Data from the second recapture run conducted on November $4^{\text {th }}$ under good water conditions was therefore utilized in the assessment. This date is later than all previous mark and recaptures dates but not swim-thru dates. As the salmon stock of Middle River is principally a fall run, the later than usual raise in water level combined with the later date of the recapture swim-thru possibly resulted in more fish being present in the river system during the swim-thru count and therefore may have provided a more accurate population estimate. This may have increased the accuracy relative to previous estimates but does not negatively affect the conclusion that spawning escapement was met in 2003.

## Baddeck River

The Baddeck River, Victoria County, is adjacent to the watersheds of the Middle and North rivers (Figure 1). The river arises in the Cape Breton Highlands at about 430 m elevation and flows in a south and westward direction to its confluence with Nyanza Bay, St. Patrick's Channel of the Bras d'Or Lake at a point less than 4 km east of the mouth of Middle River (Figure 3). The gradient of the Baddeck River that is accessible to salmon is on average, steeper than that of the neighbouring Middle, but not as steep as that of the North River (Table 3).

The Baddeck River salmon stock has been, at least in recent times, principally a fall-run, arriving after mid-October. Stocking of juveniles of North River origin (presumably early-run characteristics) in the mid 1980s had no discernible effect on the subsequent timing of the recreational fishery (Marshall et al. 1996).

## Conservation Requirements

The conservation requirements for the Baddeck River are based on a wetted substrate area of $836,300 \mathrm{~m}^{2}>0.12 \%$ orthograde (Table 3) and 2.4 eggs per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (Elson 1975, Marshall et al. 1999). Requirements number 2.0 million eggs which are expected from 450 large and 80 small salmon.

## Methods and Results

## Recreational Fishery

Since 1998, the recreational Atlantic salmon fishery on the Baddeck River has been open for catch and release from June 1 - July 15 and Sept. 1 - Oct. 31. Anglers expended an estimated average of 229 rod days per year on the Baddeck River in the last five years (Table 2). The estimated effort of 220 rod days in 2003 was $8 \%$ higher than the effort in 2002, but $4 \%$ lower than the 5-year mean (Table 2, Appendix II). Preliminary catches, estimated from 49 licence stub returns by anglers fishing to the Oct. 31 closure in 2003, were estimated to be 24 small
and 77 large salmon (Table 1). Large salmon catches were up 103\% from 2002, and were $41 \%$ higher than the previous 5 -year mean (Table 2, Appendix II). Small salmon catches were up $26 \%$ from 2002, but only $5 \%$ over the 5 -year mean (Table 2, Appendix II).

## Adult Salmon Surveys

Returns and escapement to the Baddeck River between 1994 and 2003 were typically estimated from snorkel counts and a maximum likelihood estimation procedure (Gazey and Staley 1986). A summary of the mark-recapture data for the Baddeck River can be found in Appendix V. Based on mark and recapture derived population estimates for six years between 1994 and 2003, catch rates for the recreational fishery were also estimated (see text table below). Mean catch rates averaged $0.57(90 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.38-0.77)$ for small and $0.38(90 \%$ CI: 0.27-0.50) for large salmon.

Recreational salmon fishery catch rates based on mark and recapture population estimates for small and large salmon in the Baddeck River, Victoria County, 1994 to 1998 and 2000.

|  | Catch rates |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Small | Large |
| 1994 | 0.46 | 0.43 |
| 1995 | 0.49 | 0.23 |
| 1996 | 0.59 | 0.53 |
| 1997 | 0.23 | 0.36 |
| 1998 | 0.92 | 0.54 |
|  |  |  |
| 2000 | 0.66 | 0.34 |
| Mean | 0.384 | 0.57 |
| Sd | 0.123 | 0.21 |

In 1999, no swim-thru was conducted and therefore no estimate of returns and escapement to the Baddeck River was derived by that method (Marshall et al. 2000). In 2001 and 2002, adverse water conditions prohibited the catch and marking of salmon necessary to calibrate the snorkel count data on the Baddeck River. Returns to the Baddeck River in 2001 were estimated from angling catch with calibrations from historical snorkel counts (DFO 2002). A count without applying marks was conducted in 2002 and a mean observation rate of 0.56 (range: $0.45-0.76, \mathrm{n}=6, \mathrm{sd}=0.1$ ), derived from previous mark and recapture estimates in the years 1994 to 1998 and 2000 (see text table below), was used to estimate return values (DFO 2003).

Salmon observation rates by swim-thru snorkel divers as derived from mark-recapture estimates in the Baddeck River, Victoria County, 1994 to 1998 and 2000.

| Year | Observation rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 0.762 |
| 1995 | 0.445 |
| 1996 | 0.664 |
| 1997 | 0.602 |
| 1998 | 0.566 |
|  |  |
| 2000 | 0.630 |
| Mean | 0.559 |
| Sd | 0.168 |

A mark-recapture experiment, following the methodology described in previous assessments (Marshall et al. 1998, 1999) provided data for estimation of the Baddeck River population in 2003. A total of 15 tags were applied, 10 large and five small salmon, at three locations on the Baddeck River on Oct. 21 (Appendix V). Three additional locations were visited, but no fish were tagged. Heavy rains during the days following the marking run precluded any swim-thru attempts during that week. Divers returned to the Baddeck River on November $5^{\text {th }}$. Under good visibility and moderate water conditions, divers covered approximately an 8 km section of the river. A total of 67 fish were observed ( 60 large and seven small) of which three large were marked. Input to the analysis therefore consisted of $M=15 ; C=67$; and $R=3$ (Appendix V).

## Juvenile Surveys

No sampling for juvenile salmon was conducted on the Baddeck River in 2002 or 2003. Sampling at four sites since 1996 (Figure 3) consisted of three-four sweep removal estimates in barriered sections (Table 6, Appendix IV). Population estimates were derived in the same manner as those of the Middle River.

Monitoring at three main river sites (Upper Baddeck, North Branch and E-Ban) from 1996 to 2000 (Table 6, Figure 3) indicates that densities of age- $0^{+}$parr fluctuated above while densities of age- $1^{+}$and older parr fluctuated at or slightly below the Elson norms (Figure 8, Appendix IV).

## Status

Population estimate from recreational fishery and catch rates
Returns to the Baddeck River were first derived from recreational catches. Estimated catch and release mortalities were based on a $3 \%$ hook and release mortality which applied to the reported catch indicated a mortality of four large and one small salmon. Using the mean catch rates (1994-1998 and 2000) (from text table above) and estimated recreational catches of 77 large and 24 small salmon, returns to the Baddeck River in 2003 were estimated to be 42 ( $90 \% \mathrm{CI}: 31-63$ ) small and $200(90 \% \mathrm{CI}: 155-285)$ large salmon for a total of $242(90 \%$ CI: 189-335) fish (Table 9, Figure 7).

Population estimate from mark and recapture and swim-thru counts
Based on the November $5^{\text {th }} 2003$ swim-thru, the estimated number of salmon in the Baddeck River was 338 fish ( $90 \%$ CI: 206-2330). Proportioning of the estimate on the basis of small and large salmon count and additional estimated removals/mortalities of two large and one small salmon suggest a total wild return of 341 fish comprised of 305 large and 36 small salmon (Table 9, Figure 7).

Using the mark-recapture and removal estimates, the escapement to the Baddeck River in 2003, could have been 338 fish, comprised of 35 small and 303 large salmon (Table 9, Figure 7). Based on these numbers, small salmon escapement was $44 \%$ of requirement. Large salmon were about $67 \%$ of requirement, up $384 \%$ from 2002, but still over $30 \%$ below conservation requirements.

## Biological characteristics and egg deposition

The estimate of spawner escapement relative to requirements based on biological characteristics can also be derived for the Baddeck River in the same manner as was described for Middle River. Based on numbers of Atlantic salmon collected and aged during the fall 2003 seining operations on the Baddeck River (Table 10), spawning escapement is estimated at 113 small and 225 large fish of which $53 \%$ are large female salmon (Table 11). Total female spawners escapement is estimated to be 201 fish, which yielded $65.7 \%$ of the egg requirement for the river in 2003 (Table 11).

## Outlook

The forecast of returns to the Baddeck River in 2004, based on a 3 year average (2000, 2002 and 2003), is about 210 fish ( $90 \%$ CI: 47-407), $40 \%$ of conservation requirements. The probability of returns exceeding the conservation requirement of 530 salmon is near zero.

## Discussion

The population estimate derived from the recreational catch and the prior catch rates indicated a population of 242 fish while the 2003 mark and recapture estimate indicated a population of 341 , a $40 \%$ increase. Regardless, both estimates are substantially below the conservation requirement and both are low relative to other rivers in the area e.g. Middle and North rivers. It is interesting to note that the lower of the estimates was based on the recreational catch and a prior estimate of the catch rate. This suggests fewer salmon in the river during the recreational fishing season than during the swim-thru, an ongoing concern of assessments of fall runs. However, the low numbers of tags applied and recaptures observed in 2003 is insufficient to derive a well-defined population estimate. Section 5 of the river, Swimming Hole to the Red Bridge (Figure 3, Appendix I), a 4.3 km section, was, with the exception of the Swimming Hole, not covered in 2003. There is the possibility that this section may have contained a high proportion of the fish in the river. Counts by river section in three previous years revealed that $31 \%$ (range: 5-71\%) of the observed fish were found in Section 5. If the mean diver observation rate of salmon (0.559) was used then the estimate would be $(67 / 0.559=120)$ lower again. Based on these considerations we used the swim-thru data and the 341 fish estimate to assess the status of salmon in the Baddeck River in 2003.

## North River

The North River, Victoria County, lies on the eastern slope of the Cape Breton Highlands (Figure 1). The watershed is bounded by the Baddeck, Middle and Barachois and on the north by the Margaree River (Figure 1). The river arises at an elevation of about 475 m and travels some 30 km to St. Ann's Harbour. Gradients are steep (Table 3) with many small falls and several barriers to upstream fish passage in the upper reaches of tributaries to the main river. Water quality is excellent for rearing of salmon (Amiro and Marshall 1990). The North River is unobstructed by man-made dams and the water chemistry is tolerant of acid precipitation. Agricultural practices are present but not extensive in the lower valley.

The salmon stock is known as early-run and is principally composed of large or two-seawinter salmon. Stocking with hatchery fish of North River origin re-occurred in the late 1980s and concluded in 1995 (Marshall et al. 1999). There is currently no stocking of hatchery-reared salmon in this system.

The North River has on occasion had small numbers of farmed escapees coincident with reported escapees from industry grow-out sites in Bras d'Or Lakes (ICES 2003; Marshall et al. 2000). Occasional adult rainbow trout are observed but juvenile fish have not been observed during assessments by electrofishing.

## Conservation Requirements

The conservation requirements for the North River are based on an estimate of salmon production area of $355,900 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and 2.4 eggs per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (Elson 1975; Marshall et al. 1998). The required 0.85 million eggs is expected from 200 large and 30 small salmon.

## Methods and Results

## Recreational Fishery

The recreational fishery on the North River is open for angling downstream from the area known as "The Benches" (Figure 4) to catch and release only with a season of June 1 - Oct. 31 (Table 1). The area upstream from 'The Benches" is closed to angling all year. Anglers expended an estimated average of 307 rod days per year on the North River in the last five years (Table 2). The estimated effort of 539 rod days in 2003 was $100 \%$ higher than the effort in 2002, and $76 \%$ higher than the 5 -year mean (Table 2, Appendix II). Preliminary catch estimates in 2003, derived from 48 licence stub returns by anglers fishing to the Oct. 31 closure, were 162 large and 84 small salmon (Table 1). Both small and large salmon catches were up from 2002 with catches of large salmon being the highest since 1995 (Table 2, Appendix II). Total catches were $208 \%$ higher than those in 2002, and $133 \%$ higher than the previous five-year mean (Table 2, Appendix II).

## Adult Salmon Surveys

Returns to North River in recent years have been based on snorkel counts and recreational catches. Returns from 1994 to 1998 were estimated by mark-and-recapture methods using snorkel survey counts of salmon, some of which were previously marked by seining a selection of pools throughout the river (Appendix VI). Based on the mark-and-recapture derived population estimates, catch rates for the recreational fishery were also estimated.

Catch rates for these five years averaged $0.75(90 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.34-1.15)$ for small and $0.41(90 \%$ CI: 0.14-0.69) for large (see text table below).

Recreational salmon fishery catch rates based on mark and recapture population estimates for small and large salmon in the North River, Victoria County.

|  | Catch rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Small | Large |
| 1994 | 0.54 | 0.29 |
| 1995 | 1.28 | 0.76 |
| 1996 | 0.53 | 0.51 |
| 1997 | 0.55 | 0.21 |
| 1998 | 0.82 | 0.29 |
| Mean | 0.74 | 0.41 |
| Sd | 0.32 | 0.22 |

In 1999 and 2000, adverse water conditions precluded swim-thru counts of adult returns to the North River (Appendix VI). Because swim-thru counts were not available, returns in these years were derived from recreational catch estimates and mean catch rates for small and large salmon in the recreational fishery (1994-1998).

In 2001 and 2002, adverse water conditions prohibited the catch and marking of salmon necessary to calibrate the snorkel count data on the North River (Appendix VI). A count without applying marks was however conducted in 2002, and a mean observation rate of 0.49 ( $90 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.39-0.60$ ), derived from previous mark and recapture estimates in the years 19941998, was used to estimate returns (DFO 2003). Summer swim-thru counts of salmon on the North River were available for the calculation of a minimum population estimate in 2001 (DFO 2002).

Again in 2003, adverse water conditions precluded swim-thru counts of adult returns to the North River (Appendix VI). Recreational catch data and catch rates were therefore used to derive population estimates.

## Juvenile Surveys

No sampling for juvenile salmon was conducted on the North River in 2002 or 2003. Sampling at six index sites between 1996 and 2001 (Figure 4) consisted of three-four sweep removal estimates in barriered sections (Table 6, Appendix IV). Population estimates were derived in the same manner as those of the Middle and Baddeck rivers.

Monitoring at two to four main river sites from 1996 to 2001(Table 6) indicates that densities of age $-0^{+}$parr, which had typically been above or near the Elson norm in the late 1990s and 2000, had fallen below the norm in 2001. Conversely, densities of age- $1^{+}$and older parr, which were below the Elson norm in the late 1990s, have fluctuated at or slightly above the norm since 1999 (Figure 10).

## Status

Population estimate from recreational fishery and catch rates
The recreational catch of small and large salmon in 2003 was 84 and 162 fish respectively (Table 1). Based on these values and the average catch rates of 0.75 for small and 0.41 for large salmon determined from returns estimated by mark and recapture and catches estimated from license stub returns from 1994 to 1998, the estimated returns to the North River in 2003 were 113 small ( $90 \%$ CI: 73 and 244) and 395 large salmon ( $90 \%$ CI: 236 and 1,201) for a total of 508 fish (Table 12, Figure 9).

Small salmon escapement was about $333 \%$ of requirement, large salmon was about $195 \%$ of the requirement (Table 12). There was over a $99 \%$ probability that egg conservation requirements were met in 2003.

## Outlook

The projected return of small and large salmon to North River in 2004, based on the 1999 to 2003 average number of returns derived from the recreational catch, is about 224 fish $(90 \%$ CI:42-477), $97 \%$ of conservation requirements. The probability of returns exceeding the conservation requirement of 230 fish is $52 \%$.

## Discussion

The 2003 returns estimate was based on the mean catch rate determined in 1994 to 1998 when the average effort was 420 rod days (Appendix II). In 2003 a total of 539 rod days were exerted (Table 1). The increased effort in 2003 could indicate that the average catch rate was inappropriate for the higher effort exerted in 2003. However, it is likely that the additional effort was applied because there were proportionally more salmon present in 2003 than in 2002. In fact, there was a similar effort exerted in 1996 (494 rod days, Appendix II) and the population was estimated at 536 fish (Table 12). Increased numbers of large salmon were noted in the Middle and Baddeck rivers independent of angling, and therefore support the assessment of increased numbers of large salmon estimated for the North River in 2003.

Catch rates based on independent measures of the population, such as mark and recapture and recreational catch estimates, can and did exceed 1.0 in two cases. This is not only because catch and release angling can lead to multiple captures, but also because catch rate estimates are independent and subject to different annual biases. The high mean (1994-1998) catch rate for the recreational fishery for small salmon 0.75 ( $90 \%$ CI: 0.34-1.15) (see text table above) was influenced by the 1995 estimate of 1.28 (but is not greater than the 1998 estimate of 0.82 ).

## Grand River

The Grand River lies in Richmond County of SFA 19 (Figure 1). It drains an area of $217 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (Amiro and Longard 1990). The main stem flows southerly from Loch Lomond Lake a distance of 15.7 km to tidal waters of the Atlantic at Grand River.

Grand River is a low gradient river. The amount of area available for juvenile production is estimated at $549,100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of which $87,300 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ is less than $0.12 \%$ orthograde (Table 3) (Amiro
and Longard 1990). Headwater elevation ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{~m}$ ), gradient and tributaries accessible to salmon are, on average, the least of the rivers assessed in this document (Table 3).

Grand River is obstructed to salmon passage at low discharge by a falls located 10.2 km upstream of head-of-tide (Figure 11). About $45 \%$ of the total juvenile production potential is estimated to be upstream of the falls; $55 \%$ of the total river production is below the falls (Amiro and Longard 1990). A fishway at the falls is estimated to pass an average $57 \%$ of small and $43 \%$ of large salmon (Amiro and Longard 1990 and 1995).

Unlike most other Cape Breton Island populations, salmon of the Grand River are principally small (1SW) and of June/July run timing. The few large salmon are mostly repeat-spawning 1SW fish. Returns have declined despite significant hatchery supplementation with Grand River stock throughout the late 1980s and into the 1990s, and the elimination of south coast Newfoundland commercial fisheries in 1992 (Marshall et al. 1998). Stocking in Grand River ceased in 1997 (Marshall et al. 1999), and there are no stocked fish contributing to returns since 1999 (DFO 2000).

The Grand River fishway is not known to have passed farmed escapees, but the river does support a small population of resident brown trout (Salmo trutta).

## Conservation Requirements

The conservation requirements for the Grand River are based on a substrate area of 461,800 $\mathrm{m}^{2}>0.12 \%$ orthograde (Table 3) and 2.4 eggs per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (Elson 1975, Marshall et al. 1999). Requirements number 1.1 million eggs (Amiro and Longard 1990) or 545 salmon in total of which 475,000 eggs or 234 salmon are required upstream of the fishway.

## Methods and Results

## Recreational Fishery

The recreational fishery on Grand River in 2003 was open to catch and release only with a season of June 1-July 15 and Sept. 1-Oct. 31. Anglers expended an estimated average of 93 rod days per year on the Grand River in the last five years (Table 2). The estimated effort of 65 rod days in 2003 is $23 \%$ less effort than in 2002 and $30 \%$ lower than the mean effort of the last five years (Table 2, Appendix II). Preliminary catches in 2003, derived from licence stub returns by anglers fishing to the Oct. 31 closure, were estimated to be three large and 17 small salmon (Table 1). Total catches were $35 \%$ lower than those in 2002 and $37 \%$ lower than the previous five-year mean (Table 2, Appendix II).

## Adult Salmon Returns

Returns to Grand River were estimated from adult counts at the fishway 1988-1998 (Marshall et al. 1999), and from partial fishway counts in 1999 and 2000 (Marshall et al. 2000, DFO 2001). The salmon movements at the Grand River fishway have not been monitored since 2000. Returns to the river in recent years were estimated from recreational catch with an assumed catch rate of 0.5 (DFO 2002, DFO 2003), which is similar to that determined in other rivers. Annual estimates of combined small and large salmon returns, escapement, percent hatchery, wild escapement and wild returns to Grand River, 1988-2003, and percent of conservation requirements met are presented in Table 13.

## Juvenile Surveys

Quantitative sampling for juvenile salmon has not been conducted on the Grand River since 2000. A few juveniles were captured and tissue sampled in 2002, but data were insufficient for calculating densities. Electrofishing sites on Grand River are large and were assessed in 1996-2000 by mark-recapture estimation methods in unbarriered sections (Table 6, Appendix IV) with one to four days between the marking and the recapture fishing effort. Markrecapture experiments were conducted on both fry and parr at most locations between 1998 and 2000. Estimates of both life stages were calculated using the Peterson mark-recapture method and applying the individual capture efficiencies calculated for each life stage. In 1996 and 1997 fry were not marked and parr capture efficiencies were used to calculate fry estimates. A mean fry capture efficiency over three sites was used to calculate fry and parr estimates at a fourth site in 1998.

Monitoring at four index sites on the main river (two above and two below the falls) from 1996 to 2000 (Figure 11, Table 6, Appendix IV) indicates that juvenile densities, which had been declining since 1997, showed an increase in 2000 albeit below the Elson norms (Figure 13). Declining abundances of both life stages between 1997 and 1999 were consistent with estimated decreases in egg depositions since 1996.

## Status

Population estimate from recreational fishery and assumed catch rate
Estimates of Grand River catch in 2003 from eight voluntary returned Nova Scotia Salmon License stubs were 17 small and three large salmon. Returns in 2003 were estimated at 40 fish (six large and 34 small salmon), down from 62 fish in 2002 and second lowest in the dataset (Table 13, Figure 12). Based on an assumed recreational catch rate of 0.5 these catches could indicate that $17 \%$ of the escapement was achieved in 2003.

The Grand River salmon did not meet the conservation requirement upriver of the fishway since 1999. Returns since 2000 are totally dependent on wild production, a component that has not met requirements since 1990 .

## Outlook

The forecast of wild salmon returns to the Grand River in 2004, based on a four-year average, is about 43 fish ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 8-93$ ), $18 \%$ of conservation requirements. The probability of returns exceeding the conservation requirement of 234 fish is near zero.

## Discussion

Based on fishway count derived population estimates from 1988 to 1999, catch rates for the recreational fishery could also be estimated for Grand River. A mean catch rate estimated from these data averaged 0.79 ( $90 \%$ CI: $0.42-1.17$ ) for small and large salmon combined (see text table below). If this catch rate was utilised in the assessment rather than the 0.5 value, returns would be even lower and further below conservation requirements. In order for returns in 2003 to meet conservation requirements, a catch rate of 0.085 would be needed, far lower than any observed in these or previous Cape Breton assessments.

Recreational salmon fishery catch rates based on fishway count derived population estimates from 1988 to 1999 for small and large salmon combined in the Grand River, Richmond County.

| Year | Catch rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 0.90 |
| 1989 | 0.73 |
| 1990 | 0.30 |
| 1991 | 0.30 |
| 1992 | 1.12 |
| 1993 | 2.13 |
| 1994 | 1.66 |
| 1995 | 0.54 |
| 1996 | 0.69 |
| 1997 | 0.61 |
| 1998 | 0.36 |
| Mean | 0.76 |
| Sd | 0.59 |

## Other Rivers of SFA 19

Although there are only four rivers monitored annually in SFA 19, 30 rivers are known to support recreational angling for salmon (Table 1).

## Habitat

Area by gradient has been measured for 18 rivers in Eastern Cape Breton. Summary of stream gradient by reach for these are presented in Table 3. Middle, Baddeck and Salmon (tributary of the Mira River) are large rivers, covering an average total salmon production area of $832,400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Gerrat River is the smallest of the 18 measured salmon rivers in Eastern Cape Breton with an area of less than $100,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

Gradients for Eastern Cape Breton rivers vary. As would be expected for rivers draining the Cape Breton Highlands, the Ingonish, Barachois, North, Middle and Baddeck rivers of Victoria County, have higher proportions of steeper ( $>0.25 \%$ ) gradients (Table 3). The relief of Richmond and Cape Breton county rivers is gentler. The River Tillard, MarieJoseph and Framboise rivers of Richmond County, as well as Sydney, Frenchvale, and Lorraine rivers of Cape Breton County have a higher proportion of gentler gradients than that of neighbouring highland rivers (Table 3). Other Cape Breton county rivers, the Aconi, Catalone and Mira (including Salmon and Gaspereaux rivers), have the highest proportions of low gradient, which are the least suitable for the production of juvenile salmon (Table 3).

## Methods

Juvenile Surveys
No juvenile salmon surveys were conducted in any SFA 19 river in 2003. Surveys were however conducted, between 1996 and 2002, at historic sites on the here-in assessed index rivers as well as on the Gaspereaux, Tillard, Inhabitants, Skye and Sydney rivers (Table 6, Figure 1). An extensive SFA-wide salmon tissue sampling tour for DNA analysis was conducted at single sites on the Barachois, Catalone, Deny's, Framboise, Frenchvale, Humes, Ingonish, Lorraine, MacAskills, Marie Joseph, and St. Esprit in 2001, and in Grantmire and Indian brooks, Cape Breton County, in 2002 (Table 6, Figure 1). A summary of the rivers sampled, sites, and years sampled between 1996 and 2002 is presented in Table 6.

Abundances of juvenile salmon in these 'other' SFA 19 rivers (Barachois, Catalone, Deny's, Framboise, Frenchvale, Gaspereaux, Grantmire, Humes, Indian Brook, Ingonish, Inhabitants, Lorraine, MacAskills, Marie Joseph, Skye, St. Esprit, Sydney and Tillard) were assessed by electrofishing at one to three sites per river (Table 6). Two methods were used to estimate juvenile abundances. Similar to the Middle, Baddeck and North rivers, sampling on the Inhabitants and Skye rivers consisted of three to four sweep removal estimates in barriered sections (Table 6, Appendix IV). The removal method was also used in unbarriered sections at single sites in 11 additional rivers in 2001 and in four rivers sampled in 2002 (Appendix IV). Population estimates were derived in the same manner as those of the Middle, North and Baddeck rivers.

Gaspereaux, Sydney and River Tillard were assessed at one to two sites between 1996 and 2000 by mark-recapture estimation in unbarriered sections (Table 6, Appendix IV). Markrecapture experiments were conducted on parr only from 1996-1998, whereas fry were also marked on River Tillard in 1999 and 2000 and on Gaspereaux River in 2000. Estimates were calculated using the Peterson mark-recapture method and applying the individual capture efficiencies calculated for each life stage in applicable years. For those locations (marked with an asterisk in Appendix IV) where fry were not marked, capture efficiencies of older fish were used to calculate estimates of fry based on the initial or "marking run" count. In 2001 and 2002, these rivers were assessed at a single site by the three-sweep removal method.

In these 'other' rivers where river-specific salmon escapement information is absent but where quantitative electrofishing of juvenile salmon has been conducted, the status of stocks is assessed relative to the "normal index of abundance" (Elson norm) of 29 fry (age- $0^{+}$parr) per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and 38 parr (age-1 ${ }^{+}$and older parr) per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (Elson 1967).

## Results

Recreational Fishery
Of the 30 rivers known to support recreational fishing in eastern Cape Breton, in addition to the four monitored rivers, 11 'other' rivers were reportedly fished for salmon in 2003 (Table 1). A total recreational fishing effort of 172 rod days were exerted in these 11 'other' SFA 19 rivers in 2003, a $39 \%$ increase in effort over 2002, but a $3 \%$ decrease over the 1998-2002 mean value (Table 2).

Estimated catches in these 'other' rivers in 2003 were 11 small and 29 large salmon (Table 1). Compared to 2002, the catch of small salmon in these rivers was down $35 \%$ but large
salmon catch was up $190 \%$ (Table 2). Compared to the 1998-2002 mean values, small salmon catch was down $56 \%$ and the large salmon catch was up $5 \%$ (Table 2).

## Juvenile Abundance

Fry densities in these 'other' rivers have typically been below the Elson (1967) normal index of abundance for age- $0^{+}$parr since 1998 (Figure 14). High densities of fry were however observed at a limited number of sites in recent years: the Gaspereaux ( 203.8 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ), Sydney ( 166.4 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) and Tillard ( 40.8 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) rivers in 2000, the Deny's ( 28.3 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ), Inhabitants ( 29.3 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) and Ingonish ( 51.9 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) rivers in 2001 as well as on Grantmire River in 2002 ( 324.3 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) (Figure 14, Appendix IV).

Parr densities in these 'other' rivers have also typically been below the Elson (1967) normal index of abundance for age- $1^{+}$and older parr since 1998 (Figure 15). In 2000, only the Sydney River was near the norm with a density of 37.9 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, whereas in 2001 only two of the 14 rivers sampled were above the norm, Frenchvale with 57.0 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and Deny's with 41.2 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (Figure 15, Appendix IV). In 2002, all four rivers sampled were well below the Elson norm (Figure 15).

## Discussion

Fry densities estimated in the most recent years of sampling (2001 and 2002) were above the normal value in only two of 18 'other' rivers sampled, with only two near and the remaining 14 below the Elson normal value. Higher densities of fry from a limited number of sites may be affected by their potential for limited distribution from spawning areas. Thus, high densities of fry observed at single sites are suspect as reliable indicators of the previous years’ escapement. Parr (age $-1^{+}$and older) densities, on the other hand, have had more time to distribute within the river, and are less susceptible to small sample size bias. Therefore, considered collectively, low fry and parr densities in these 'other' rivers suggest that egg conservation requirements were not generally met in most of these 'other' SFA 19 rivers in recent years.

## Sydney River Fishway

Counts of adult Atlantic salmon moving upstream at the Sydney River Dam have been made by the Membertou First Nation Natural Resources Department since 1994. A trap installed and monitored in the fishway typically provides counts from mid-September to late November. Atlantic salmon passing through the trap are counted, sexed, measured (fork length) and scale sampled for age determination. Based on these samples we determined river age, post smolt age, spawning history, mean length and sex of Atlantic salmon put through the fishway from 1994 to 2003, excluding 1999 when no data was available (Table 14 and 15).

A total of 256 adult salmon were counted and sampled over the nine years for which data was available, 218 of which were assigned ages (Table 14). Of the total number of fish sampled, $69 \%$ were females and $31 \%$ males (Table 16). Lengths of 1 SW fish averaged 55 cm for females and 58 cm for males. Large salmon ranged from 76 cm for 2 -sea-winter females, to 88 cm for 3 -sea-winter, 91 cm for 4 -sea-winter and 93 cm for 5 -sea-winter females (Table 14).

Large MSW males averaged 76 cm at postsmolt age-2, 85 cm at postsmolt age- 3 and 94 cm by postsmolt age-4 (Table 14).

Proportioning aged fish by sex revealed that $5 \%$ of the fish moving up the Sydney River were one-sea-winter females, $10 \%$ one-sea-winter males, $67 \%$ multi-sea-winter females, of which $2.3 \%$ were repeat spawning and $19 \%$ multi-sea-winter males (Table 16).

## Management Considerations

On the basis of adult escapement estimates, conservation requirements, which were generally not met in monitored rivers of Eastern Cape Breton in recent years, were achieved on the Middle and North rivers in 2003. Escapement of large salmon on the Baddeck River, although up nearly $400 \%$ from 2002, remained below the conservation requirement.

Despite the general increase in small salmon returns to rivers of the Maritime Provinces noted in 2002 (DFO 2003), and the increase in large salmon returns to some rivers observed in 2003, probabilities that returns to most rivers in SFA 19 in 2004 will meet conservation requirements remain low. This is because the forecast methodology is a five-year moving average and increased returns have been annually sporadic. Forecasts can only be favourable when a series of higher escapements have been observed.

The Grand River salmon has not met the conservation requirement upriver of the fishway since 1999. Returns since 2000 are totally dependent on wild production, a component that has not met requirements since 1990. Therefore, it is unlikely that conservation requirements will be met in 2004 .

Although no juvenile surveys were conducted in any Eastern Cape Breton river in 2003 and not in the here-in assessed rivers since 2001, parr densities on Middle and North rivers (1999-2001) are consistent with the increased adult escapement on these rivers in 2003. However, based on collective observations of juvenile salmon, densities of fry and parr for most stocks support the conclusion that recent escapements have generally been below conservation requirements in SFA 19 rivers.

Considering the recent variable status of adult returns and the low probability of exceeding conservation requirements to monitored rivers in Eastern Cape Breton in recent years a conservative approach to management is recommended.
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Table 1. Recreational catch and effort for Atlantic salmon on rivers of Eastern Cape Breton Island, SFA 19 in 2003. Data is preliminary.


Table 2. Recreational catch and effort for Atlantic salmon on rivers of Eastern Cape Breton Island, 2003 Preliminary, 2002, and mean 19982002.

| River | 2003 Preliminary |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  | 1998-2002 Means |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grilse |  | $\frac{\text { Salmon }}{\text { released }} \text { Effort }$ |  | Grilse |  | Salmon | Effort | Grilse |  |  |  | Salmon |  | Effort |  |
|  | retained | released |  |  | retained | released | released |  | retained | Stdev | released | Stdev | released | Stdev | roddays | Stdev |
| Aconi Brook | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 6.1 |
| Baddeck | 0 | 24 | 77 | 220 | 0 | 19 | 38 | 204 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 22.7 | 19.6 | 54.5 | 26.3 | 229 | 88.7 |
| Barachois | 0 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 17.4 | 13.0 |
| Catalone | 0 | 6 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| Clyburne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| Framboise | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 18.2 | 11.5 |
| Frenchvale Brook | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 4 | 8.9 |
| Gaspereaux: Cape Breton Co. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 11.1 | 18.5 |
| Gerratt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand | 0 | 17 | 3 | 65 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 28.6 | 28.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | 93.4 | 90.6 |
| Grantmire Brook | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 6 | 6.5 |
| Indian Brook | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 10.6 | 8.4 |
| Ingonish | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 4.0 |
| Inhabitants | 0 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 8.8 | 10.2 | 25.9 | 14.3 |
| Little Lorraine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lorraine Brook | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| MacAskill's Brook | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marie Joseph | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Middle:Victoria Co. | 0 | 24 | 144 | 334 | 1 | 27 | 35 | 231 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 22.5 | 7.9 | 54.7 | 30.7 | 263.1 | 107.5 |
| Mira | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 4.5 | 12.7 | 24.3 |
| North Aspy | 0 | 0 | 11 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 12.7 | 8.7 |
| North: Victoria Co. | 0 | 84 | 162 | 538 | 0 | 34 | 45 | 269 | 0 | 0 | 49.2 | 32.9 | 56.4 | 29.2 | 307 | 79.9 |
| Northwest Brook (River Ryan) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| River Bennett | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| River Deny's | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| River Tillard | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 4.8 | 5.1 |
| Saint Esprit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Salmon: Cape Breton Co. | 0 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 35 | 48.0 |
| Skye | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.8 |
| Sydney | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| Totals | 0 | 160 | 414 | 1328 | 1 | 128 | 128 | 893 | 2 | 3.1 | 147.8 | 120.3 | 196.4 | 129.5 | 1069.7 | 555.8 |

Table 3. Area $\left(m^{2} \times 100\right)$ by percent orthogradient for 18 rivers of Eastern Cape Breton.

| River | County | Orthograde (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Area $\times 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-. 12 | .121-. 249 | .25-.49 | .5-.99 | 1-1.49 | 1.5-1.99 | 2-2.49 | 2.5-2.99 | 3-3.49 | 3.5-5.0 | $>5.0$ |  |
| Aconi | Cape Breton | 519 | 189 | 115 | 548 | 67 | 96 | 15 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1,564 |
| Baddeck | Victoria | 0 | 494 | 2,321 | 3,387 | 873 | 616 | 374 | 155 | 68 | 75 | 0 | 8,363 |
| Barachois | Victoria | 0 | 0 | 227 | 502 | 453 | 422 | 393 | 139 | 36 | 106 | 19 | 2,297 |
| Catalone | Cape Breton | 0 | 2,614 | 785 | 596 | 121 | 84 | 45 | 13 | 24 | 22 | 6 | 4,311 |
| Framboise | Richmond | 0 | 2,154 | 2,537 | 1,317 | 324 | 175 | 54 | 51 | 68 | 13 | 7 | 6,698 |
| Frenchvale | Cape Breton | 0 | 457 | 497 | 246 | 142 | 88 | 96 | 32 | 0 | 52 | 17 | 1,627 |
| Gerratt | Cape Breton | 0 | 0 | 247 | 386 | 86 | 51 | 36 | 16 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 842 |
| Grand | Richmond | 873 | 2,352 | 1,329 | 443 | 187 | 154 | 72 | 27 | 11 | 32 | 10 | 5,490 |
| Ingonish | Victoria | 0 | 0 | 157 | 268 | 373 | 505 | 198 | 124 | 119 | 134 | 57 | 1,934 |
| Lorraine | Cape Breton | 695 | 227 | 1,215 | 957 | 138 | 27 | 27 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3,306 |
| Marie Joseph | Richmond | 565 | 1,160 | 1,392 | 1,297 | 262 | 63 | 9 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 0 | 4,796 |
| Middle | Victoria | 0 | 2,538 | 1,534 | 3,530 | 539 | 331 | 85 | 62 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 8,646 |
| Mira | Cape Breton | 6,422 | 2,721 | 2,210 | 1,196 | 306 | 180 | 91 | 42 | 21 | 17 | 5 | 13,212 |
| Gaspereaux | Cape Breton | 0 | 1,054 | 976 | 764 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,795 |
| Salmon | Cape Breton | 5,824 | 1,273 | 469 | 206 | 137 | 34 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,963 |
| North | Victoria | 0 | 0 | 391 | 1,413 | 859 | 201 | 419 | 121 | 220 | 161 | 43 | 3,827 |
| Sydney | Cape Breton | 1,135 | 1,084 | 872 | 874 | 474 | 131 | 51 | 41 | 25 | 36 | 28 | 4,750 |
| Tillard | Richmond | 0 | 279 | 329 | 330 | 139 | 43 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1,129 |

N

Table 4. Densities of rainbow trout caught at Middle River electrofishing sites, 19982003. The 'catch' column denotes the number of fish caught per sweep (DFO, Diadromous Fish Division unpublished data).


Table 5. Annual estimates of small and large salmon returns and escapement to Middle River, Victoria County, 1989-2003. Conservation requirements are 2.07 millions eggs met by 470 large and 80 small salmon. Returns from angling are based on a mean catch rate of 0.29 for large and 0.44 for small salmon determined from population estimates and recreational catches (see text).


Table 6. Electrofishing site name and number, use of barrier nets, years sampled, National Topographical map number, military grid reference (1:50 000 Canadian topographical series \# A791), datum, latitute and longitude for rivers in Eastern Cape Breton (SFA 19) sampled 19962002. Asterick indicates no barriers used in 2001 and 2002.

| River | Site name | site \# |  |  | Site location |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Barrier | Year sampled | Map | Grid Ref | Datum | Latitude Longitude (decimal degrees) |  |
| Middle | Twin Churches | 2 | yes | 1996-2001 | 11K2 | 601-133 | NAD27 | 46.1570 | 60.9271 |
|  | Finlayson | 3 | yes | 1996-2001 | 11K2 | 603-229 | NAD27 | 46.2375 | 60.9225 |
|  | 2nd Gold Bk | GB2 | yes | 1999-2001 | 11K7 | 617-237 | NAD27 | 46.2494 | 60.9002 |
|  | MacKenzie Bk | SP14 | yes | 1996-2001 | 11K2 | 575-107 | NAD27 | 46.1300 | 60.9533 |
|  | MacLeods Bk | SP11 | yes | 1996-1998 | 11K2 | 622-155 | NAD27 | 46.1758 | 60.8875 |
| Baddeck | Upper Baddeck | 2 | yes | 1996-2001 | 11K2 | 756-184 | NAD27 | 46.1990 | 60.7224 |
|  | North Branch | 3 | yes | 1996-2001 | 11K2 | 717-158 | NAD27 | 46.1768 | 60.7761 |
|  | Easach Ban | 4 | yes | 1996-2001 | 11K2 | 714-186 | NAD27 | 46.2027 | 60.7763 |
|  | Peters Bk | 5 | yes | 1996-2001 | 11K2 | 719-121 | NAD27 | 46.1428 | 60.7735 |
| North | Church Pool | 1 | yes | 1996-1997 | 11K7 | 832-307 | NAD27 | 46.3017 | 60.6242 |
|  | MacDonalds | 2 | yes | 1996-1998 | 11K7 | 820-315 | NAD27 | 46.3067 | 60.6275 |
|  | MacLeans | 3 | yes | 1997-2001 | 11K7 | 779-336 | NAD83 | 46.3336 | 60.6878 |
|  | Benches | 4 | yes | 1996, 1998-2000 | 11K7 | 775-341 | NAD27 | 46.3300 | 60.7000 |
|  | Karr's | 5 | yes | 1998-2001 | 11K7 | 827-312 | NAD83 | 46.3108 | 60.6261 |
|  | Narrows | 6 | yes | 1999-2001 | 11K7 | 811-321 | NAD83 | 46.3178 | 60.6455 |
| Grand | Mud Hole (above falls) | 1 | no | 1996-2000, 2002 | 11F10 | 843-665 | NAD83 | 45.7267 | 60.6288 |
|  | Fishway (above falls) | 2 | no | 1996-2000 | 11F10 | 847-646 | NAD83 | 45.7050 | 60.6250 |
|  | Crib Pool (below falls) | 3 | no | 1996-2000 | 11F10 | 843-614 | NAD83 | 45.6775 | 60.6283 |
|  | Frank MacDonald Rd. (below falls) | 4 | no | 1996-2000, 2002 | 11F10 | 824-589 | NAD83 | 45.6562 | 60.6555 |
|  | MacKillop Bk | na | no | 2002 | 11F10 | 847-626 | NAD83 | 45.6860 | 60.6268 |
|  | Murchison Bk | na | no | 2002 | 11F10 | 823-595 | NAD83 | 45.6587 | 60.6560 |
| Barachois |  | na | no | 2001 | 11K7 | 866-364 | NAD83 | 46.3561 | 60.5738 |
| Catalone |  | na | no | 2001 | 11G13 | 297-965 | NAD83 | 45.9837 | 60.0340 |
| Deny's | Hwy 104 | na | no | 2001 | 11F14 | 362-797 | NAD83 | 45.8579 | 61.2446 |
| Framboise |  | na | no | 2001 | 11F9 | 041-692 | NAD83 | 45.7466 | 60.3759 |
| Frenchvale |  | na | no | 2001 | 11K1 | 052-119 | NAD83 | 46.1306 | 60.3431 |
| Gaspereaux | Victoria Bridge | 1 | no | 1996, 1998-2001 | 11F16 | 073-885 | NAD83 | 45.9190 | 60.3264 |
| Grantmire |  | na | no | 2002 | 11K1 | 077-118 | NAD83 | 46.1268 | 60.1375 |
| Humes |  | na | no | 2001 | 11K2 | 593-022 | NAD83 | 46.0550 | 60.9403 |
| Indian Bk (Eskazoni) |  | na | no | 2002 | 11K2 | 857-916 | NAD83 | 45.1232 | 60.1012 |
| Ingonish |  | na | no | 2001 | 11K9 | 957-666 | NAD83 | 46.6251 | 60.4427 |
| Inhabitants | Main | 1 | yes* | 1998-2001 | 11F14 | 294-735 | NAD83 | 45.8029 | 61.3328 |
|  | Lamey Brook | 2 | yes | 1998-2000 | 11F11 | 295-640 | NAD83 | 45.7213 | 61.3317 |
|  | Northwest Arm | 3 | yes | 1998-2000 | 11F11 | 284-593 | NAD83 | 45.6767 | 61.3500 |
| Lorraine |  | na | no | 2001 | 11G13 | 718-906 | NAD83 | 45.9317 | 59.9424 |
| MacAskills |  | na | no | 2001 | 11 J 4 | 711-149 | NAD83 | 46.1500 | 59.9637 |
| Marie Joseph | West Branch | na | no | 2001 | 11F9 | 988-628 | NAD83 | 45.6907 | 60.4456 |
| Skye | Main | 1 | yes* | 1997-2000, 2002 | 11F14 | 432-952 | NAD83 | 45.9877 | 61.1515 |
|  | MacDonald Brook | 2 | yes | 1997-1998 | 11K3 | 423-966 | NAD27 | 46.0033 | 61.1583 |
|  | Mullach Brook | 3 | yes | 1998-2000 | 11K3 | 432-965 | NAD83 | 46.0033 | 61.1500 |
| St. Esprit | Taylor Brook | na | no | 2001 | 11F10 | 947-590 | NAD83 | 45.6578 | 60.5006 |
| Sydney | Meadows Brook | 1 | no | 1996-2000 | 11K1 | 105-028 | NAD83 | 46.0333 | 60.2792 |
|  | Woodbine Brook | 3 | no | 2002 | 11K1 | 084-995 | NAD83 | 46.0210 | 60.1353 |
| Tillard | Main | 1 | no | 1996-2001 | 11F10 | 614-585 | NAD83 | 45.6607 | 60.9279 |
|  | East Branch | 2 | no | 1996 | 11F10 | 599-605 | NAD27 | 45.6750 | 60.9317 |
|  | East Branch | 3 | no | 1999-2000 | 11F10 | 607-602 | NAD83 | 45.6800 | 60.9350 |

Table 7. Age, spawning history and mean, maximum and minimum lengths of Atlantic salmon seined on Middle River, Victoria County, October 2003. Sea-age designation is post smolt age followed by spawning marks (sp).

| Sea age | Number |  | Length (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean |  | Max. |  | Min. |  |
|  | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| 1 | 6 |  | 54.6 |  | 57.0 |  | 52.4 |  |
| 2 | 4 | 11 | 80.1 | 73.3 | 85.2 | 76.5 | 75.2 | 70.8 |
| 4 sp 2 |  | 1 |  | 89.0 |  |  |  |  |

Table 8. Spawning escapement relative to requirements based on number-at-age by gender, harvests, size-at-age, and fecundity of Atlantic salmon sampled and aged from the population estimate data collected on the Middle River in 2003.

| Origin Post-smolt age | Gender | Number at age | Prop. | Broodstock removals | Removals | Mean length females (cm) | Mean fecundity (eggs) | Eggs contributed |  | Required female spawners |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wild |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-sea-winter | Female | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | Male | 166 | 0.27 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-sea-winter | Female | 333 | 0.55 | 0 | 3 | 74.6 | 6,148 | 2,065,458 | 100.0 | 338 |
|  | Male | 111 | 0.18 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-sea-winter | Female | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | Male | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-sea-winter | Female | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | Male | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Population estimate |  | 610 | 1.00 | 0 | 5 |  | 3,074 | 2,065,458 | 100 |  |
| Escaped female spawners = Required female spawners = |  | 333 |  | 0 | -3 |  |  |  |  | 329 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 338 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Surplus (Deficit) = } \\ & \text { Percent requirements met = } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (338) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98 |

Table 9. Annual estimates of small and large salmon returns and escapement to Baddeck River, Victoria County, 1994-2003. Conservation requirements are 2.0 millions eggs met by 450 large and 80 small salmon. Returns from angling are based on a mean catch rate of 0.38 for large and 0.57 for small salmon determined from population estimates and recreational catches (see text).

| Year | Recreational catch |  | Returns (Snorkel counts) |  | Returns (Angling) |  | Escapement |  | \% Conservation Req'm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large |
| 1994 | 14 | 54 | 30 | 125 | 24 | 140 | 22 | 123 | 28 | 27 |
| 1995 | 53 | 62 | 108 | 265 | 92 | 161 | 98 | 262 | 123 | 58 |
| 1996 | 40 | 144 | 68 | 271 | 70 | 375 | 66 | 264 | 83 | 59 |
| 1997 | 14 | 64 | 60 | 177 | 24 | 166 | 59 | 174 | 74 | 39 |
| 1998 | 57 | 81 | 62 | 150 | 99 | 211 | 56 | 139 | 70 | 31 |
| 1999 | 15 | 79 |  |  | 26 | 206 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 12 | 55 | 18 | 162 | 21 | 143 | 13 | 134 | 16 | 30 |
| 2001 | 11 | 20 |  |  | 19 | 52 |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 20 | 38 | 23 | 85 | 35 | 99 | 21 | 79 | 27 | 17 |
| 2003 | 24 | 77 | 36 | 305 | 42 | 200 | 35 | 303 | 44 | 67 |

Table 10. Age, spawning history and mean, maximum and minimum lengths of Atlantic salmon seined on Baddeck River, Victoria County, October 2003. Sea-age designation is post-smolt age.

| Sea age | Number |  | Length (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean |  | Max. |  | Min. |  |
|  | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| 1 | 4 | 1 | 55.7 | 61.0 | 56.6 |  | 54.0 |  |
| 2 | 2 | 8 | 75.5 | 77.6 | 78.8 | 88.3 | 72.2 | 72.9 |

Table 11. Spawning escapement relative to requirements based on number-at-age by gender, harvests, size-at-age, and fecundity of Atlantic salmon sampled and aged from the population estimate data collected on the Baddeck River in 2003.

| Origin Post-smolt age | Gender | Number at age | Prop. | Broodstock removals | Removals | Mean length females (cm) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mean } \\ \text { fecundity } \\ \text { (eggs) } \end{array}$ | Eggs contributed | Percent cont. to egg dep. | Required female spawners |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wild |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-sea-winter | Female | 23 | 0.07 | 0 | 0 | 61.0 | 3,572 | 81,012 | 6.0 | 34 |
|  | Male | 90 | 0.27 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-sea-winter | Female | 180 | 0.53 | 0 | 2 | 77.6 | 6,937 | 1,263,275 | 94.0 | 272 |
|  | Male | 45 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-sea-winter | Female | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | Male | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-sea-winter | Female | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | Male | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Population estimate |  | 338 | 1.00 | 0 | 3 |  | 5,255 | 1,344,287 | 100 |  |
| Escaped female spawners = Required female spawners = |  | 203 |  | 0 | -2 |  |  |  |  | 201 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 306 |
| Surplus (Deficit) = Percent requirements met = |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (105) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65.7 |

Table 12. Annual estimates of small and large salmon returns and escapement to North River, Victoria County, 1994-2003. Conservation requirements are 0.85 millions eggs met by 200 large and 30 small salmon. Returns from angling are based on a mean catch rate of 0.41 for large and 0.74 for small salmon determined from population estimates and recreational catches (see text).

| Year |  | Recreational catch |  | Returns (Snorkel counts) |  | Returns (Angling) |  | Escapement |  | \% Conservation Req'm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large |
|  | 1994 | 74 | 97 | 136 | 331 | 99 | 236 | 133 | 327 | 444 | 163 |
|  | 1995 | 168 | 209 | 131 | 276 | 226 | 509 | 123 | 267 | 409 | 134 |
|  | 1996 | 174 | 124 | 328 | 245 | 234 | 302 | 320 | 240 | 1067 | 120 |
|  | 1997 | 70 | 137 | 127 | 644 | 94 | 334 | 123 | 637 | 408 | 319 |
|  | 1998 | 108 | 104 | 132 | 364 | 145 | 253 | 129 | 361 | 430 | 180 |
|  | 1999 | 35 | 45 |  |  | 47 | 110 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 32 | 27 |  |  | 43 | 66 |  |  |  |  |
| $\omega$ | 2001 | 37 | 60 | 92 | 152 | 50 | 146 | 91 | 151 | 302 | 75 |
| N | 2002 | 34 | 46 | 19 | 57 | 46 | 112 | 14 | 39 | 48 | 20 |
|  | 2003 | 84 | 162 |  |  | 113 | 395 | 100 | 390 | 333 | 195 |

Table 13. Annual estimates of combined small and large salmon returns and escapement to Grand River, Richmond County, 1988-2003. Conservation requirements are 1.1 millions eggs met by 234 fish above falls ( 545 fish for total river).

| Year | Recreational catch |  | Small and large fish combined |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Returns | Escap | \% Hatc | Wild Esc | Wild Rtns | \% Req'm |
| 1988 | 324 | 101 | 694 | 626 | 0\% | 626 | 694 | 268 |
| 1989 | 312 | 75 | 607 | 453 | 0\% | 453 | 607 | 194 |
| 1990 | 413 | 101 | 626 | 442 | 43\% | 252 | 357 | 108 |
| 1991 | 128 | 18 | 442 | 348 | 45\% | 191 | 243 | 82 |
| 1992 | 160 | 44 | 186 | 133 | 38\% | 82 | 115 | 35 |
| 1993 | 139 | 25 | 132 | 97 | 45\% | 53 | 73 | 23 |
| 1994 | 72 | 20 | 208 | 201 | 14\% | 173 | 179 | 74 |
| 1995 | 5 | 12 | 281 | 281 | 32\% | 191 | 191 | 82 |
| 1996 | 90 | 25 | 345 | 345 | 61\% | 135 | 135 | 58 |
| 1997 | 31 | 6 | 152 | 147 | 31\% | 101 | 105 | 43 |
| 1998 | 75 | 12 | 245 | 241 | 73\% | 65 | 66 | 28 |
| 1999 | 17 | 3 | 103 | 93 | 34\% | 62 | 68 | 26 |
| 2000 | 20 | 1 |  |  | 0\% |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0\% | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 2002 | 31 | 0 | 62 | 46 | 0\% | 46 | 62 | 20 |
| 2003 | 17 | 3 | 40 | 39 | 0\% | 39 | 40 | 17 |

Table 14. Summary of post-smolt ages and mean lengths with standard deviations (s.d.) by sex of Atlantic salmon put through the Sydney River Dam fishway, 1994-2003, excluding 1999.

| Post smolt age | Number |  | Fork Length (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean |  | s.d. |  | Min. |  | Max. |  |
|  | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| 1 | 21 | 10 | 58.0 | 55.1 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 50.8 | 50.8 | 64.0 | 61.0 |
| 2 | 36 | 120 | 76.3 | 75.6 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 68.6 | 59.7 | 84.0 | 88.5 |
| 3 | 4 | 13 | 84.6 | 87.7 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 78.5 | 78.0 | 89.0 | 99.0 |
| 4 | 2 | 9 | 93.7 | 90.6 | 11.3 | 3.7 | 85.7 | 83.8 | 101.7 | 96.5 |
| 5 | - | 3 | - | 93.2 | - | 7.3 | - | 89.0 | - | 101.6 |
| Unaged | 16 | 22 | 78.7 | 76.8 | 10.4 | 12.4 | 52.0 | 57.0 | 92.9 | 96.0 |
| Total | 79 | 177 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 15. Summary of post-smolt ages, spawning history and mean lengths with standard deviations (s.d.) by river age and sex of Atlantic salmon put through the Sydney River Dam fishway, 1994-2003, excluding 1999.

| River age | Post-smolt age | Number |  | Fork Length (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mean |  | s.d. |  | Min. |  | Max. |  |
|  |  | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| 2 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 57.3 | 54.8 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 53.3 | 50.8 | 64.0 | 61.0 |
| 2 | 2 | 10 | 25 | 76.3 | 74.8 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 68.6 | 71.0 | 79.6 | 81.3 |
| 2 | 2 sp 1 |  | 1 |  | 60.3 |  | - |  | 60.3 |  | 60.3 |
| 2 | 3 sp 1 |  | 1 |  | 91.4 |  | - |  | 91.4 |  | 91.4 |
| 2 | 3 sp 2 | 1 | 6 | 88.9 | 91.4 | - | 7.2 | 88.9 | 81.3 | 88.9 | 99.0 |
| 2 | 4 sp 1, 2 | 1 |  | 85.7 |  |  | - | 85.7 |  | 85.7 |  |
| 2 | 4 sp 2 |  | 1 |  | 83.8 |  | - |  | 83.8 |  | 83.8 |
| 2 | 4 sp 2,3 |  | 1 |  | 87.0 |  | - |  | 87.0 |  | 87.0 |
| 2 | 4 sp 3 | 1 | 1 | 101.7 | 91.4 | - | - | 101.7 | 91.4 | 101.7 | 91.4 |
| 2 | 5 sp 2, 4 |  | 1 |  | 89.0 |  | - |  | 89.0 |  | 89.0 |
| 3 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 58.8 | 55.9 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 54.8 | 53.4 | 62.2 | 58.4 |
| 3 | 2 | 15 | 55 | 75.1 | 75.2 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 68.8 | 63.0 | 78.8 | 84.0 |
| 3 | 2 sp 1 |  | 1 |  | 59.7 |  | - |  | 59.7 |  | 59.7 |
| 3 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 89.0 |  | - |  | 89.0 |  | 89.0 |
| 3 | 3 sp 2 | 1 | 3 | 89.0 | 83.4 | - | 1.9 | 89.0 | 81.3 | 89.0 | 85.0 |
| 3 | 4 sp 2 |  | 4 |  | 93.3 |  | 2.4 |  | 91.4 |  | 96.5 |
| 3 | 4 sp 2,3 |  | 1 |  | 91.0 |  | - |  | 91.0 |  | 91.0 |
| 4 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 76.4 |  | 4.3 |  | 73.8 |  | 81.4 |
| - | 1 | 8 | 1 | 57.4 | 55.0 | 4.8 | - | 50.8 | 55.0 | 64.0 | 55.0 |
| - | 2 | 11 | 35 | 78.1 | 77.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 70.0 | 71.1 | 84.0 | 88.5 |
| - | 3 sp 2 | 2 | 2 | 80.2 | 80.7 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 78.5 | 78.0 | 81.8 | 83.5 |
| - | 4 sp 2 |  | 1 |  | 89.0 |  | - |  | 89.0 |  | 89.0 |
| - | $5 \mathrm{sp} \mathrm{2,3,4}$ |  | 1 |  | 89.0 |  | - |  | 89.0 |  | 89.0 |
| - | $5 \mathrm{sp} \mathrm{2,4}$ |  | 1 |  | 101.6 |  | - |  | 101.6 |  | 101.6 |
|  | Total | 63 | 155 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 16. Proportion of adult Atlantic salmon sampled at the Sydney River Dam fishway, 19942003, excluding 1999, for each category by sex. " N " is the number of fish.

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Category | Sex | N | Prop. |
| All fish | Female | 177 | 0.69 |
|  | Male | 79 | 0.31 |
| One-sea-winter | Female | 10 | 0.05 |
|  | Male | 21 | 0.10 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Multi-sea-winter | Female | 145 | 0.67 |
|  | Male | 42 | 0.19 |
| Repeat spawners | Female | 5 | 0.023 |
|  | Male | 1 | 0.005 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Unaged | Female | 22 | 0.09 |
|  | Male | 16 | 0.06 |
|  |  |  |  |



Figure 1. Selected rivers of Eastern Cape Breton, SFA 19.


Figure 2. Middle River, Victoria County, showing names and locations of angling pools mentioned in the text and swim-thru sections (slash marks section boundary). Asterick $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) marks index electrofishing sites (19962001).


Figure 3. Baddeck River, Victoria County, showing swim-thru sections, names and locations of angling pools mentioned in the text. Asterick (*) marks index electrofishing sites (1996-2001).


Figure 4. North River, Victoria County, showing names and locations of angling pools mentioned in the text and swim-thru sections (slash marks section boundary). Asterick (*) marks index electrofishing sites (1996-2001).


Figure 5. Estimates of small and large salmon returns and escapement to Middle River, Cape Breton Island (1989-2003). Whiskers represent the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles.


Figure 6. Age- $0^{+}$(fry) and age- $1^{+}$and older (parr) mean densities (whiskers are the standard error) at two mainstem sites on the Middle River, 1996-2001.


Figure 7. Estimates of small and large salmon returns and escapement to Baddeck River, Cape Breton Island (1994-2003). Whiskers represent the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles.


Figure 8. Age- $0^{+}$(fry) and age $-1^{+}$and older (parr) mean densities (whiskers are the standard error) at three main river sites on the Baddeck River, 1996-2001.


Figure 9. Estimates of small and large salmon returns and escapement to North River, Cape Breton Island (1984-2003). Whiskers represent the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles.


Figure 10. Age- $0^{+}$(fry) and age $-1^{+}$and older parr mean densities (whiskers are the standard error) at two to four main river sites on the North River, 1996-2001.


Figure 11. Grand River, Richmond County, showing location of Grand River Falls fishway and place names mentioned in the text. Asterick ( ${ }^{*}$ ) marks index electrofishing sites (1996-2000).


Figure 12. Estimates of small and large salmon returns and escapement to Grand River, Cape Breton Island (1988-2003).


Figure 13. Age- $0^{+}$(fry) and age- $1^{+}$and older parr mean densities (whiskers are the standard error) at four main river sites on the Grand River, 1996-2000.


Figure 14. Mean densities of age $-0^{+}$juvenile Atlantic salmon (fry) sampled in 'other' Eastern Cape Breton rivers from 1998 to 2002. Data and sample sizes as provided in Appendix IV.


Figure 15. Mean densities of age- $1^{+}$and older juvenile Atlantic salmon (parr) sampled in 'other' Eastern Cape Breton rivers from 1998 to 2002. Data and sample sizes as provided in Appendix IV.

Appendix I: Swim-thru river sections on the Middle, Baddeck and North rivers, Eastern Cape Breton.

| River | Section | Topo Map | Description | Location (NAD 83) |  | Section Length (km) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |
| Middle | 1a | 11K7 | Headwaters: below Fionnar Brook to Corner Pool below $2^{\text {d }}$ Gold Brook | 618-287 | 612-241 | 10.5 |
|  | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 11 \mathrm{~K} 7 \\ \& \\ 11 \mathrm{~K} 2 \end{gathered}$ | Corner pool to Findlayson | 612-241 | 603-233 | 1.5 |
|  | 2 | 11K2 | Hwy 19 bridge to Chuck's camp | 598-212 | 588-173 | 4.2 |
|  | 3 | 11K2 | Chucks's camp to Twin Churches | 588-173 | 602-135 | 4.5 |
|  | 4 | 11K2 | Twin Churches to McDonald (Cold) Brook | 602-135 | 606-105 | 3.2 |
|  | 5 | 11K2 | McDonald Brook to Bentincks (Pipe) pool | 606-105 | 607-082 | 2.8 |
|  | 6 | 11K2 | Bentincks pool to Nyanza Bay | 607-082 | 616-055 | 4.2 |
| Baddeck | 1 | 11K2 | North Branch: Easach Ban/'Upside Down bridge' to North branch bridge | 715-190 | 717-160 | 4.0 |
|  | 2 | 11K2 | Upper Baddeck: Rear Baddeck bridge/ 'Girl Guide bridge' to pool half km downstream | 757-186 | 754-180 | 0.5 |
|  | 3 | 11K2 | Golf course pools (3 pools) | 723-166 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 11K2 | North Branch bridge to Rip Rap pool | 717-160 | 717-138 | 4.2 |
|  | 5 | 11K2 | Swimming Hole on Main River to Red Bridge pool | 713-128 | 697-101 | 4.3 |
| North | 1 | 11K7 | Benches to Carey's rock | 772-345 | 790-328 | 3.2 |
|  | 2 | 11K7 | The Gorge: Carey's rock to Black's pool | 790-328 | 792-321 | 1.0 |
|  | 3 | 11K7 | Black's pool to Guthro's | 792-321 | 817-316 | 3.4 |
|  | 4 | 11K7 | Guthro's to Church | 817-316 | 835-307 | 2.1 |

Appendix II. Annual summaries of catch, effort and estimated small and large salmon retained (Ret.) and released (Rls.) from NS license stub returns for assessed rivers of Eastern Cape Breton, 1984-2003. Change or percent increase and decrease in 2003 is calculated as [(2003 data-2002 data or 5 yr mean (1998-2002))/2002 data or 5yr mean (1998-2002)] * 100.

| Year | No. Anglers | Catch (Numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Effort | CPUE | \% <br> Large |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Small (Grilse) |  |  | Large (Salmon) |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ret. | RIs. | Total | Ret. |  | Rls. | Total | Ret. | RIs. | Total | (Rod-Days) |  |  |
| BADDECK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 60 | 4 | 2 | 7 |  | 2 | 44 | 46 | 7 | 46 | 53 | 275 | 0.189 | 87.5 |
| 1985 | 34 | 4 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 13 | 13 | 4 | 13 | 18 | 100 | 0.17 | 75 |
| 1986 | 67 | 19 | 6 | 26 |  | 0 | 126 | 126 | 19 | 132 | 151 | 289 | 0.54 | 83.1 |
| 1987 | 90 | 26 | 14 | 40 |  | 0 | 126 | 126 | 26 | 140 | 166 | 436 | 0.404 | 75.9 |
| 1988 | 86 | 16 | 15 | 32 |  | 0 | 153 | 153 | 16 | 168 | 184 | 375 | 0.492 | 82.8 |
| 1989 | 98 | 7 | 8 | 16 |  | 0 | 215 | 215 | 7 | 223 | 231 | 413 | 0.559 | 93.2 |
| 1990 | 103 | 35 | 26 | 62 |  | 0 | 158 | 158 | 35 | 185 | 220 | 492 | 0.446 | 72 |
| 1991 | 110 | 25 | 20 | 45 |  | 0 | 187 | 187 | 25 | 207 | 231 | 543 | 0.427 | 80.6 |
| 1992 | 129 | 44 | 6 | 50 |  | 0 | 144 | 144 | 44 | 150 | 194 | 592 | 0.327 | 74.4 |
| 1993 | 146 | 33 | 15 | 48 |  | 0 | 107 | 107 | 33 | 122 | 155 | 786 | 0.212 | 69.2 |
| 1994 | 74 | 1 | 13 | 14 |  | 0 | 54 | 54 | 1 | 67 | 68 | 257 | 0.265 | 79.4 |
| 1995 | 61 | 6 | 47 | 53 |  | 0 | 62 | 62 | 6 | 108 | 115 | 285 | 0.403 | 53.8 |
| 1996 | 70 | 0 | 40 | 40 |  | 0 | 144 | 144 | 0 | 184 | 184 | 317 | 0.58 | 78.2 |
| 1997 | 43 | 0 | 14 | 14 |  | 0 | 64 | 64 | 0 | 79 | 79 | 206 | 0.39 | 81.7 |
| 1998 | 87 | 0 | 57 | 57 |  | 0 | 81 | 81 | 0 | 139 | 139 | 335 | 0.442 | 58.6 |
| 1999 | 96 | 1 | 14 | 15 |  | 0 | 79 | 79 | 1 | 93 | 94 | 290 | 0.335 | 83.7 |
| 2000 | 54 | 1 | 11 | 12 |  | 0 | 55 | 55 | 1 | 66 | 67 | 212 | 0.363 | 82 |
| 2001 | 31 | 0 | 11 | 11 |  | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 104 | 0.321 | 64 |
| 2002 | 59 | 0 | 19 | 19 |  | 0 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 57 | 57 | 204 | 0.303 | 66 |
| 2003 | 49 | 0 | 24 | 24 |  | 0 | 77 | 77 | 0 | 101 | 101 | 220 | 0.497 | 76.4 |
| +/-2002 (\%) | (-17) |  | (22) | (22) |  |  | (104) | (104) |  | (76) | (76) | (8) | (64) | (16) |
| +/- 5-Year Mean (\%) | (-25) | (-100) | (5) | (3) |  |  | (41) | (41) | (-100) | (30) | (30) | (-4) | (41) | (8) |
| GRAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 270 | 348 | 53 | 402 |  | 5 | 30 | 35 | 354 | 83 | 437 | 3009 | 0.148 | 8 |
| 1985 | 312 | 472 | 71 | 543 |  | 0 | 132 | 132 | 472 | 203 | 676 | 3093 | 0.224 | 19.6 |
| 1986 | 326 | 299 | 62 | 361 |  | 0 | 194 | 194 | 299 | 257 | 555 | 3019 | 0.18 | 35 |
| 1987 | 262 | 309 | 34 | 343 |  | 0 | 107 | 107 | 309 | 141 | 450 | 2078 | 0.208 | 23.8 |

Appendix II. (Continued).


Appendix II. (Continued).

| Year | No. Anglers | Catch (Numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Effort | CPUE | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Small (Grilse) |  |  | Large (Salmon) |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ret. | RIs. | Total | Ret. |  | Rls. | Total | Ret. | Rls. | Total | (Rod-Days) |  | Large |
| MIDDLE (Con't) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 66 | 7 | 3 | 10 |  | 0 | 26 | 26 | 7 | 30 | 37 | 184 | 0.201 | 70.3 |
| 1993 | 110 | 25 | 5 | 30 |  | 0 | 48 | 48 | 25 | 54 | 79 | 406 | 0.195 | 60.8 |
| 1994 | 122 | 0 | 20 | 20 |  | 0 | 145 | 145 | 0 | 165 | 165 | 420 | 0.393 | 87.9 |
| 1995 | 72 | 0 | 32 | 32 |  | 0 | 44 | 44 | 0 | 77 | 77 | 243 | 0.317 | 57.1 |
| 1996 | 125 | 2 | 52 | 54 |  | 0 | 123 | 123 | 2 | 175 | 177 | 427 | 0.415 | 69.5 |
| 1997 | 52 | 3 | 15 | 18 |  | 0 | 80 | 80 | 3 | 95 | 98 | 175 | 0.560 | 81.6 |
| 1998 | 99 | 5 | 26 | 31 |  | 0 | 60 | 60 | 5 | 86 | 91 | 312 | 0.292 | 65.9 |
| 1999 | 138 | 0 | 30 | 30 |  | 0 | 95 | 95 | 0 | 125 | 125 | 369 | 0.339 | 76.0 |
| 2000 | 92 | 0 | 20 | 20 |  | 0 | 67 | 67 | 0 | 88 | 88 | 311 | 0.283 | 76.1 |
| 2001 | 25 | 0 | 10 | 10 |  | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 92 | 0.272 | 60.0 |
| 2002 | 60 | 1 | 27 | 28 |  | 0 | 35 | 35 | 1 | 62 | 63 | 231 | 0.273 | 55.6 |
| 2003 | 73 | 0 | 24 | 24 |  | 0 | 144 | 144 | 0 | 168 | 168 | 334 | 0.503 | 85.7 |
| +/- 2002 (\%) | 22 | -100 | -11 | -14 |  |  | 311 | 311 | -100 | 171 | 167 | 45 | 84 | 54 |
| +/-5-Year Mean (\%) | -12 | -100 | 6 | 1 |  |  | 165 | 165 | -100 | 118 | 114 | 27 | 73 | 28 |
| NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 163 | 57 | 9 | 66 |  | 96 | 58 | 154 | 153 | 67 | 220 | 1182 | 0.186 | 70.0 |
| 1985 | 170 | 149 | 13 | 162 |  | 0 | 425 | 425 | 149 | 439 | 588 | 1012 | 0.581 | 72.3 |
| 1986 | 297 | 185 | 50 | 235 |  | 0 | 1010 | 1010 | 185 | 1060 | 1245 | 2050 | 0.607 | 81.1 |
| 1987 | 263 | 177 | 50 | 227 |  | 0 | 546 | 546 | 177 | 596 | 773 | 1668 | 0.463 | 70.6 |
| 1988 | 202 | 103 | 14 | 117 |  | 0 | 467 | 467 | 103 | 481 | 584 | 1336 | 0.437 | 80.0 |
| 1989 | 162 | 106 | 35 | 141 |  | 0 | 348 | 348 | 106 | 383 | 489 | 1131 | 0.432 | 71.2 |
| 1990 | 219 | 176 | 57 | 233 |  | 0 | 531 | 531 | 176 | 588 | 764 | 1556 | 0.491 | 69.5 |
| 1991 | 172 | 129 | 34 | 163 |  | 0 | 311 | 311 | 129 | 345 | 474 | 1181 | 0.401 | 65.6 |
| 1992 | 205 | 161 | 35 | 196 |  | 0 | 481 | 481 | 161 | 516 | 677 | 1564 | 0.433 | 71.0 |
| 1993 | 217 | 63 | 20 | 83 |  | 0 | 164 | 164 | 63 | 183 | 246 | 1246 | 0.197 | 66.7 |
| 1994 | 73 | 0 | 65 | 65 |  | 0 | 84 | 84 | 0 | 149 | 149 | 342 | 0.436 | 56.4 |
| 1995 | 77 | 1 | 146 | 147 |  | 0 | 183 | 183 | 1 | 329 | 330 | 435 | 0.759 | 55.5 |

Appendix II. (Concluded).

| Year | No. Anglers | Catch (Numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Effort | CPUE | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Large } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Small (Grilse) |  |  |  | Large (Salmon) |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ret. |  | RIs. | Total | Ret. |  | RIs. | Total | Ret. |  | Rls. | Total | (Rod-Days) |  |  |
| NORTH (Con't) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 81 |  | 0 | 151 | 151 |  | 0 | 108 | 108 |  | 0 | 260 | 260 | 494 | 0.526 | 41.5 |
| 1997 | 58 |  | 1 | 69 | 70 |  | 0 | 137 | 137 |  | 1 | 206 | 207 | 384 | 0.539 | 66.2 |
| 1998 | 84 |  | 0 | 108 | 108 |  | 0 | 104 | 104 |  | 0 | 212 | 212 | 448 | 0.473 | 49.1 |
| 1999 | 79 |  | 0 | 35 | 35 |  | 0 | 45 | 45 |  | 0 | 80 | 80 | 292 | 0.274 | 56.3 |
| 2000 | 49 |  | 0 | 32 | 32 |  | 0 | 27 | 27 |  | 0 | 59 | 59 | 261 | 0.226 | 45.8 |
| 2001 | 46 |  | 0 | 37 | 37 |  | 0 | 60 | 60 |  | 0 | 97 | 97 | 264 | 0.367 | 61.9 |
| 2002 | 44 |  | 0 | 34 | 34 |  | 0 | 45 | 45 |  | 0 | 80 | 80 | 269 | 0.297 | 56.3 |
| 2003 | 48 |  | 0 | 84 | 84 |  | 0 | 162 | 162 |  | 0 | 246 | 246 | 539 | 0.456 | 65.9 |
| +/-2002 (\%) | 9 |  |  | 147 | 147 |  |  | 260 | 260 |  |  | 208 | 208 | 100 | 53 | 17 |
| +/-5-Year Mean (\%) | -21 |  |  | 71 | 71 |  |  | 188 | 188 |  |  | 133 | 133 | 76 | 39 | 22 |

Appendix III. Middle River mark- recapture (M/R) data during fall adult salmon assessment swim-thrus (1994-2003). River sections described in Table 2 and shown in Figure 2.


## Appendix III. Middle River. (Continued).



Appendix III. Middle River. (Concluded).

| Year | Dates M/R | Swim conditions | River Section | Tags applied (M) |  | Tags observed (R) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Large | Small Total | Large | Small | Total |
| 2003 | Oct.-20 | Good visibility | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | Nov.-4 | Gauge at 1.80 ft | 2 | 14 | 519 | 4 |  | 4 |
|  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  |  |  | 5 |  | 11 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 22 |  |  | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | Recovery rate $=0.318$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Swim-thru count $\mathbf{C}=193$; M=22; R=7 Grilse $(19 / 193)=0.098$ of total |  |  |  |  |

Earlier versions of this table are presented in Marshall et al. 1998 and 1999. Some revisions were necessary. It is now considered correct to the best of our knowledge.

Appendix IV. Results of electrofishing surveys for juvenile Atlantic salmon at removal and mark-recapture (M/R) sites in Eastern Cape Breton rivers, 1996-2002. The "catch" column denotes the number of fish caught per sweep at removal sites or the number of fish marked/recaptured/total caught during recapture run at markrecapture sites. Asterick (*) at certain mark-recapture sites indicates that fry were not marked and parr efficiencies were used to calculate population estimates.

| River | Site name | Area$\left(m^{2}\right)$ | Method | No. of sweeps | Age- $0^{+}$ |  |  | Age-1 ${ }^{+}$and older |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Catch | Pop'n | Density ( $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) | Catch | Pop'n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Density } \\ & \left(100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grantmire |  | 252 | Removal | 3 | 172, 114, 113 | 816 | 324.3 | 12,6,4 | 27 | 10.6 |
| Indian Bk [Esk |  | 317 | Removal | 3 | 3, 1, 0 | 4 | 1.3 | 16,3,3 | 23 | 7.3 |
| Skye | Main | 310 | Removal | 3 | 2, 1, 0 | 3 | 1.0 | 10,4,1 | 16 | 5.0 |
| Sydney | Woodbine Brook | 226 | Removal | 3 | 12, 0, 1 | 13 | 5.8 | 18,11,5 | 41 | 17.9 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle | Twin Churches | 364 | Removal | 4 | 20, 9, 6, 7 | 52 | 14.2 | 103,46,23,18 | 205 | 56.4 |
|  | Finlayson | 550 | Removal | 4 | 16, 10, 4, 4 | 39 | 7.0 | 64,38,21,21 | 176 | 32.0 |
|  | 2nd Gold Brook | 331 | Removal | 4 | 1, 0, 2, 1 |  | 1.2 | 69,37,26,12 | 162 | 48.9 |
|  | MacKenzie Brook | 300 | Removal | 4 | 19, 6, 11, 3 | 46 | 15.4 | 38,20,8,12 | 91 | 30.2 |
|  | Mean, 3 main river sites |  |  |  |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 45.8 |
| Baddeck | Upper Baddeck | 333 | Removal | 4 | 47, 13, 14, 10 | 94 | 28.1 | 36,12,9,12 | 82 | 24.6 |
|  | North Branch | 452 | Removal | 4 | 93, 85, 50, 34 | 356 | 78.7 | 5,14,5,3 | 45 | 10.1 |
|  | Easach Ban | 400 | Removal | 4 | 34, 22, 19, 16 | 142 | 35.6 | 85,35,29,16 | 185 | 46.2 |
|  | Peters Brook | 236 | Removal | 4 | 64, 46, 21, 17 | 174 | 73.6 | 51,23,13,5 | 97.1 | 41.1 |
|  | Mean, 3 main river sites |  |  |  |  |  | 47.5 |  |  | 27.0 |
| North | MacLeans | 481 | Removal | 4 | 32, 8, 10, 7 | 64 | 13.3 | 120,96,62,21 | 353 | 73.3 |
|  | Karr's | 881 | Removal | 5 | 16, 14, 9, 4, 7 | 54 | 6.1 | $54,33,26,26,11$ | 187 | 21.2 |
|  | Narrows | 256 | Removal | 3 | $30,8,7$ | 49 | 19.0 | $54,24,15$ | 107 | $\begin{array}{r} 41.8 \\ \hline 45.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Mean, 3 sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barachois |  | 314 | Removal | 3 | 10, 13, 5 | 50 | 16.0 | 30,8,5 | 45 | 14.4 |
| Catalone |  | 455 | Removal | 3 | 3, 5, 1 | 14 | 3.1 | 16,13,10 | 78 | 17.1 |
| Deny's Hwy 104 |  | 280 | Removal | 3 | 40, 21, 9 | 79 | 28.3 | 32,25,16 | 115 | 41.2 |
| Framboise |  | 336 | Removal | 3 | 1, 1, 1 | - | 0.9 | 8,4,2 | 16 | 4.8 |
| Frenchvale |  | 296 | Removal | 3 | 8, 1, 5 | 22 | 7.6 | 78,36,26 | 169 | 57.0 |
| Gaspereaux Victoria Bridge |  | 409 | Removal | 3 | 2, 1, 1 | 6 | 1.4 | 11,4,0 | 15 | 3.7 |
| Humes |  | 375 | Removal | 3 | 1, 0, 0 | - | 0.3 | 20,12,4 | 41 | 10.8 |
| Ingonish |  | 358 | Removal | 3 | 74, 46, 26 | 186 | 51.9 | 31,13,11 | 67 | 18.7 |
| Inhabitants Main |  | 244 | Removal | 3 | 23, 17, 10 | 72 | 29.3 | 29,10,9 | 55 | 22.7 |
| Lorraine |  | 336 | Removal | 3 | 0, 0, 0 | - | 0.0 | 11,6,2 | 21 | 6.3 |
| MacAskills |  | 449 | Removal | 3 | 0, 0, 0 | - | 0.0 | 4,1,0 | 5 | 1.1 |
| Marie Joseph West Branch |  | 227 | Removal | 3 | 3, 1, 1 | 6 | 2.6 | 9,13,9 | - | 13.7 |
| St. Esprit | Taylor Brook | 175 | Removal | 3 | 0, 0, 1 | - | 0.6 | 7,2,0 | 9 | 5.2 |
| Tillard | Main | 266 | Removal | 3 | 9, 7, 6 | 48 | 18.0 | 12,3,2 | 18 | 6.7 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle | Twin Churches | 307 |  | 3 | 103, 40, 12 | 162 | 52.8 | 117,28,13 | 162 | 52.8 |
|  | Finlayson | 540 | Removal | 4 | 148, 62, 16, 11 | 242 | 44.8 | 170,52,31,16 | 278 | 51.4 |
|  | 2nd Gold Brook | 330 | Removal | 4 | 267, 63, 28, 18 | 381 | 115.4 | 147,45,29,16 | 247 | 74.7 |

## Appendix IV. (Continued).

| River | Site name | Area$\left(m^{2}\right)$ | Method | No. of sweeps | Age- $0^{+}$ |  |  | Age-1 ${ }^{+}$and older |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Catch | Pop'n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Density } \\ & \left(100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Catch | Pop'n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Density } \\ & \left(100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| Baddeck | MacKenzie Brook | 97 | Removal | 4 | 12, 3, 2, 1 | 18 | 18.9 | 15,6,7,3 | 36 | 37.3 |
|  | Mean, 3 main river sites |  |  |  |  |  | 71.0 |  |  | 59.6 |
|  | Upper Baddeck | 343 | Removal | 4 | 255, 67, 44, 15 | 389 | 113.6 | 26,8,4,5 | 46 | 13.3 |
|  | North Branch | 359 | Removal | 4 | 479, 152, 69, 20 | 732 | 203.9 | 72,17,6,4 | 100 | 27.8 |
|  | Easach Ban | 375 | Removal | 3 | 251, 81, 47 | 405 | 108.0 | 141,45,14 | 207 | 55.1 |
|  | Peters Brook | 261 | Removal | 4 | 238, 107, 77, 35 | 499 | 191.2 | 18,7,7,1 | 35 | 13.5 |
|  | Mean, 3 main river sites |  |  |  |  |  | 141.8 |  |  | 32.1 |
| North | MacLeans | 438 | Removal | 3 | 147, 44, 27 | 232 | 52.8 | 226,87,38 | 375 | 85.6 |
|  | Benches | 324 | Removal | 3 | 128, 45, 28 | 219 | 67.8 | 57,20,13 | 98.6 | 30.5 |
|  | Karr's | 314 | Removal | 4 | 96, 23, 3, 2 | 124 | 39.5 | 44,4,5,4 | 58 | 18.4 |
|  | Narrows | 261 | Removal | 3 | 72, 9, 3 | 84 | 32.3 | 38,5,4 | 48 | 18.3 |
|  | Mean, 4 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 48.1 |  |  | 38.2 |
| Grand | Mud Hole | 666 | M/R |  | 106/36/92 | 293 | 44.0 | 20/5/21 | 76 | 11.4 |
|  | Fishway | 801 | M/R |  | 45/10/40 | 175 | 21.8 | 14/3/12 | 48 | 6.0 |
|  | Crib Pool | 523 | M/R |  | 20/5/25 | 90 | 17.2 | 7/2/6 | 18 | 2.8 |
|  | Frank MacDonald Rd. | 479 | M/R |  | 47/24/49 | 103 | $21.5$ | 24/10/16 | 39 | 8.1 |
|  | Mean, 4 sites |  |  |  |  |  | $26.1$ |  |  | 7.1 |
| Gaspereaux | Victoria Bridge | 343 | M/R |  | 182/56/217 | 699 | 203.8 | 1/1/5 | 5 | 1.5 |
| Inhabitants | Main | 361 | Removal | 3 | 47, 17, 5 | 72 | 19.9 | 53,16,6 | 78 | 21.5 |
|  | Lamey Brook | 444 | Removal | 4 | 110, 29, 12, 1 | 153 | 34.5 | 49,18,10,7 | 89 | 20.0 |
|  | Northwest Arm | 408 | Removal | 3 | 15, 4, 4 | 25 | 6.2 | 13,0,1 | 14 | 3.4 |
|  | Mean, 3 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 20.2 |  |  | 15.0 |
| Skye | Main | 271 | Removal | 3 | 3, 1, 0 | 4 | 1.5 | 13,1,1 | 15 | 5.6 |
|  | Mullach Brook | 179 | Removal | 3 | 0, 0, 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4,1,0 | 5 | 2.8 |
|  | Mean, 2 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 1.5 |  |  | 4.2 |
| Sydney | Meadows Brook | 372 | M/R* |  | 123/84 | 619 | 166.4 | 28/8/43 | 141 | 37.9 |
| Tillard | Main | 549 | M/R |  | 99/43/95 | 224 | 40.8 | 21/10/18 | 37 | 6.7 |
|  | East Branch | 470 | M/R |  | 28/13/38 | 86 | $18.3$ | 36/18/27 | 55 | 11.7 |
|  | Mean, 2 sites |  |  |  |  |  | $29.6$ |  |  | 9.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61,22,11 | 101 | 30.9 |
|  | Finlayson | 545 | Removal | 4 | 62, 22, 10, 7 | 105 | 19.2 | 119,52,34,21 | 248 | 45.5 |
|  | 2nd Gold Brook | 356 | Removal | 4 | 146, 71, 35, 16 | 284 | 79.7 | 101,31,13,7 | 155 | 43.5 |
|  | MacKenzie Brook | 99 | Removal | 4 | 28, 12, 7, 6 | 59 | $59.2$ | 20,15,4,7 | 55 | 55.2 |
|  | Mean, 3 main river sites |  |  |  |  |  | 54.1 |  |  | 40.0 |
| Baddeck |  |  |  | 3 |  | 77 | 16.5 | 79,33,9 | 127 | 27.4 |
|  | North Branch | 599 | Removal | 4 | 628, 211, 113, 45 | 1025 | 171.1 | 64,23,14,11 | 120 | 20.0 |
|  | Easach Ban | 382 | Removal | 4 | 196, 91, 50, 18 | 374 | 98.0 | 93,65,13,12 | 193 | 50.6 |
|  | Peters Brook | 300 | Removal | 3 | 103, 40, 22 | 181 | 60.3 | 33,14,3 | 52 | 17.4 |
|  | Mean, 3 main river sites |  |  |  |  |  | 95.2 |  |  | 32.6 |
| North | MacLeans | 443 | Removal | 4 | 168, 36, 23, 23 | 258 | 58.3 | 221,79,31,34 | 382 | 86.2 |
|  | Benches | 324 | Removal | 4 | 76, 38, 15, 17 | 160 | 49.5 | 61,21,8,5 | 97 | 30.0 |
|  | Karr's | 340 | Removal | 3 | 106, 26, 16 | 154 | 45.3 | 29,16,6 | 57 | 16.9 |
|  | Narrows | 388 | Removal | 3 | 37, 10, 3 | 51 | 13.2 | 103,22,9 | 136 | 35.2 |
|  | Mean, 4 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 41.6 |  |  | 42.1 |
| Grand | Mud Hole | 893 | M/R |  | 84/33/87 | 222 | 24.9 | 6/0/4 | 34 | 3.8 |
|  | Fishway | 994 | M/R |  | 73/16/46 | 207 | 20.8 | 4/1/1 | 4 | 0.4 |
|  | Crib Pool | 667 | M/R |  | 11/4/19 | 47 | 7.0 | 6/0/7 | 55 | 8.2 |
|  | Frank MacDonald Rd. | 649 | M/R |  | 32/11/29 | 82 | 12.6 | 9/1/10 | 54 | 8.3 |
|  | Mean, 4 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 16.3 |  |  | 5.2 |
| Gaspereaux | Victoria Bridge | 435 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 46/66 | 131 | 30.1 | 7/2/7 | 20 | 4.6 |

## Appendix IV. (Continued).

| River | Site name | Area$\left(m^{2}\right)$ | Method | No. of sweeps | Age-0 ${ }^{+}$ |  |  | Age-1 ${ }^{+}$and older |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Catch | Pop'n | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Density } \\ & \left(100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Catch | Pop'n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Density } \\ & \left(100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| Inhabitants | Main | 361 | Removal | 4 | 41, 11, 9, 0 | 62 | 17.1 | 44,23,10,4 | 85 | 23.6 |
|  | Lamey Brook | 410 | Removal | 3 | 95, 48, 27 | 199 | 48.6 | 33,16,9 | 67 | 16.4 |
|  | Northwest Arm | 330 | Removal | 3 | 5, 3, 2 | 13 | 4.0 | 17,12,7 | 50 | 15.1 |
|  | Mean, 3 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 23.2 |  |  | 18.4 |
| Skye | Main | 245 | Removal | 3 | 1, 0, 0 | - | 0.4 | 6,4,2 | 15 | 6.2 |
|  | Mullach Brook | 167 | Removal | 3 | 0, 0, 0 | - | 0.0 | 6,3,1 | 11 | 6.5 |
|  | Mean, 2 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 6.4 |
| Sydney | Meadows Brook | 371 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 15/9 | 60 | 16.2 | 23/7/31 | 96 | 26.0 |
| Tillard | Main | 554 | M/R |  | 35/9/29 | 113 | 20.4 | 59/31/56 | 106 | 19.1 |
|  | East Branch | 492 | M/R |  | 8/4/10 | 19 | 3.9 | 62/29/59 | 125 | 25.4 |
|  | Mean, 2 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 12.2 |  |  | 22.3 |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle | Twin Churches* | $369$ |  | 4 | $94,28,9,3$ | 135 | 36.7 | 127,38,19,12 | $201$ | 54.7 |
|  | Finlayson | 556 | Removal | 4 | 65, 25, 15, 8 | 119 | 21.5 | 136,67,31,19 | 270 | 48.5 |
|  | MacKenzie Brook | 101 | Removal | 3 | 5, 4, 0 | 10 | 9.5 | 34,12,2 | 49 | 48.9 |
|  | MacLeods Brook | 132 | Removal | 4 | 10, 6, 3, 2 | 24 | 17.8 | 29,4,7,4 | 46 | 35.0 |
|  | Mean, 3 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 50.7 |
| Baddeck | Upper Baddeck | 456 | Removal | 4 | 116, 33, 33, 14 | 208 | 45.7 | 94,34,16,5 | 153 | 33.5 |
|  | North Branch | 515 | Removal | 3 | 159, 54, 14 | 234 | 45.5 | 60,27,8 | 101 | 19.6 |
|  | Easach Ban | 419 | Removal | 3 | 237, 96, 55 | 431 | 102.8 | 80,48,13 | 156 | 37.2 |
|  | Peters Brook | 217 | Removal | 3 | 90, 32, 11 | 139 | 64.0 | 39,11,5 | 57 | 26.3 |
|  | Mean, 3 main river sites |  |  |  |  |  | 64.7 |  |  | 30.1 |
| North | MacDonalds | 404 | Removal | 3 | 13, 6, 4 | 27 | 6.7 | 44,21,7 | 78 | 19.3 |
|  | Karr's | 444 | Removal | 3 | 32, 13, 6 | 55 | 12.4 | 36,20,5 | 66 | 14.9 |
|  | MacLeans | 438 | $M / R^{*}$ |  | 288/168 | 442 | 100.9 | 13/08/13 | 23 | 4.8 |
|  | Benches | 530 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 249/152 | 1092 | 206.0 | 57/11/51 | 250 | 47.2 |
|  | Mean, 4 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 81.5 |  |  | 21.6 |
| Grand | Mud Hole | 864 | M/R |  | 56/17/46 | 154 | 17.8 | 22/7/12 | 36 | 4.2 |
|  | Fishway | 876 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 97/72 | 316 | 36.0 | 24/2/14 | 75 | 8.6 |
|  | Crib Pool | 635 | M/R |  | 35/10/42 | 174 | 27.4 | 09/2/12 | 42 | 6.6 |
|  | Frank MacDonald Rd. | 563 | M/R |  | 37/12/25 | 112 | 19.9 | 10/7/28 | 40 | 7.1 |
|  | Mean, 4 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 25.3 |  |  | 6.6 |
| Gaspereaux | Victoria Bridge | 308 | M/R* |  | 42/42 | 91 | 29.5 | 6/2/5 | 13 | 4.2 |
| Inhabitants | Main | 385 | Removal | 3 | 46, 20, 9 | 82 | 21.3 | 107,23,8 | 140 | 36.4 |
|  | Lamey Brook | 527 | Removal | 3 | 15, 5, 3 | 25 | 4.7 | 119,29,10 | 161 | 30.6 |
|  | Northwest Arm | 358 | Removal | 3 | 57, 22, 6 | 89 | 24.8 | 19,7,1 | 28 | 7.7 |
|  | Mean, 3 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 16.9 |  |  | 24.9 |
| Skye | Main | 306 | Removal | 3 | 2, 0, 0 | - | 0.7 | 23,11,8 | 51 | 16.8 |
|  | MacDonald Brook | 132 | Removal | 3 | 0, 0, 0 | - | 0 | 9,6,2 | 20 | 14.9 |
|  | Mullach Brook | 256 | Removal | 3 | 14, 8, 1 | 24 | $9.5$ | 7,2,1 | 10 | 4.1 |
|  | Mean, 3 sites |  |  |  |  |  | $3.4$ |  |  | 10.5 |
| Sydney | Meadows Brook | 430 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 22/21 | 91 | 21.2 | 32/8/37 | 140 | 32.6 |
| Tillard | Main | 524 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 53/49 | 95 | 18.1 | 72/43/81 | 142 | 27.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle |  | 533 |  | 3 |  | 152 | 28.6 | 208, 90, 32 | 353 | 66.3 |
|  | Twin Churches | 364 | Removal | 4 | 91, 37, 16, 9 | 159 | 43.6 | 66, 20, 2, 3 | 92 | 25.1 |
|  | MacLeods Brook | 260 | Removal | 4 | 118, 28, 14, 5 | 167 | 64.1 | 73, 36, 23, 11 | 156 | 60.1 |
|  | MacKenzie Brook | 122 | Removal | 4 | 0, 0, 0, 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 98, 25, 15, 10 | 152 | 124.2 |
|  | Mean, 2 main river sites |  |  |  |  |  | 36.1 |  |  | 45.7 |

## Appendix IV. (Concluded).

| River | Site name | Area$\left(m^{2}\right)$ | Method | No. of sweeps | Age- $0^{+}$ |  |  | Age- $1^{+}$and older |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Catch | Pop'n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ \left(100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Catch | Pop'n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Density } \\ & \left(100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| Baddeck | Upper Baddeck | 397 | Removal | 4 | 120, 29, 23, 18 | 200 | 50.3 | 97, 33, 24, 8 | 169 | 42.5 |
|  | North Branch | 457 | Removal | 3 | 511, 186, 77 | 819 | 179.2 | 53, 28, 16 | 116 | 25.3 |
|  | Easach Ban | 372 | Removal | 4 | 251, 91, 43, 16 | 412 | 110.7 | 87, 39, 25, 14 | 180 | 48.3 |
|  | Peters Brook | 161 | Removal | 4 | 134, 27, 15, 11 | 190 | 118.2 | 37, 11, 3, 5 | 58 | 35.8 |
|  | Mean, 3 main river sites |  |  |  |  |  | 113.4 |  |  | 38.7 |
| North | Church | 414 | Removal | 3 | 1, 3, 2 | 6 | 1.4 | 0, 1, 0 | 1 | 0.2 |
|  | MacDonalds | 430 | Removal | 3 | 15, 6, 2 | 24 | 5.6 | 90, 28, 16 | 142 | 33.1 |
|  | MacLeans | 352 | Removal | 3 | 51, 32, 38 | 314 | 89.4 | 66, 37, 16 | 137 | 38.9 |
|  | Benches | 350 | Removal | 3 | 31, 18, 4 | 57 | 16.4 | 56, 20, 7 | 87 | 24.9 |
|  | Mean, 3 riverine sites |  |  |  |  |  | 37.1 |  |  | 32.3 |
| Grand | Mud Hole | 739 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 96, 95 | 346 | 46.8 | 10, 4, 16 | 36 | 4.9 |
|  | Fishway | 795 | M/R* |  | 30, 53 | 116 | 14.6 | 7, 4, 19 | 31 | 3.9 |
|  | Crib Pool | 560 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 38, 45 | 152 | 27.1 | 15, 4, 18 | 60 | 10.7 |
|  | Frank MacDonald | 485 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 98, 90 | 158 | 32.6 | 18, 4, 7 | 29 | 6.0 |
|  | Mean, 4 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 30.3 |  |  | 6.4 |
| Skye | Main | 201 | Removal | 3 | 27, 7, 5 | 41 | 20.5 | 13, 8, 2 | 25 | 12.6 |
|  | MacDonald's Brook | 133 | Removal | 3 | 13, 5, 2 | 21 | 16.1 | 2, 0, 0 | - | 1.5 |
|  | Mean, 2 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 18.3 |  |  | 7.1 |
| Sydney | Meadows Brook | 342 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 49, 21 | 160 | 46.8 | 81, 9, 33 | 278 | 81.3 |
| Tillard | Main | 503 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 73, 44 | 159 | 31.6 | 89, 37, 83 | 198 | 39.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle | Finlayson | 530 | Removal | 4 | 140, 34, 15, 5 | 196 | 36.9 | 174, 58, 37, 10 | 287 | 54.2 |
|  | Twin Churches | 333 | Removal | 3 | 43, 17, 12 | 82 | 24.7 | 72, 21, 17 | 120 | 36.0 |
|  | MacLeods Brook | 224 | Removal | 4 | 39, 10, 4, 2 | 56 | 24.8 | 78, 31, 15, 14 | 147 | 65.8 |
|  | MacKenzie Brook | 103 | Removal | 4 | 123, 37, 15, 0 | 176 | 171.0 | 39, 14, 6, 5 | 67 | 64.6 |
|  | Mean, 2 main river sites |  |  |  |  |  | 30.8 |  |  | 45.1 |
| Baddeck | Upper Baddeck | 368 | Removal | 4 | 112, 54, 38, 22 | 254 | 69.1 | 85,32,20,9 | 153 | 41.7 |
|  | North Branch | 491 | Removal | 4 | 128, 76, 47, 10 | 281 | 57.3 | 44,17,18,8 | 99 | 20.1 |
|  | Easach Ban | 378 | Removal | 4 | 154, 44, 29, 8 | 240 | 63.6 | 99,44,16,9 | 174 | 46.1 |
|  | Peters Brook | 168 | Removal | 4 | 164, 54, 18, 12 | 253 | 150.1 | 9,13,8,9 | 39 | 23.2 |
|  | Mean, 3 main river sites |  |  |  |  |  | 63.3 |  |  | 36.0 |
| North | MacDonalds | 408 | Removal | 3 | 30, 6, 4 | 41 | 10.1 | 77, 22, 15 | 121 | 29.8 |
|  | Church | 357 | Removal | 3 | 85, 28, 3 | 118 | 33.0 | 31, 17, 1 | 51 | 14.3 |
|  | Mean, 2 main river sites |  |  |  |  |  | 21.6 |  |  | 22.1 |
| Grand | Mud Hole | 1130 | M/R* |  | 36, 38 | 46 | 4.1 | 7, 3, 4 | 9 | 0.8 |
|  | Fishway | 996 | M/R* |  | 26, 43 | 13 | 13.1 | 3, 1, 7 | 15 | 1.5 |
|  | Crib Pool | 953 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 46, 31 | 207 | 21.7 | 6, 0, 3 | 27 | 2.8 |
|  | Frank MacDonald | 633 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 32, 38 | 112 | $17.7$ | 12, 2, 9 | 42 | 6.6 |
|  | Mean, 4 sites |  |  |  |  |  | 14.2 |  |  | 2.9 |
| Gaspereaux | Victoria Bridge | 265 | M/R* |  | 53, 44 | 53 | 20.0 | 11, 3, 3 | 11 | 4.2 |
| Sydney | Meadows Brook | 343 | M/R* |  | 24, 33 | 94 | 27.4 | 44, 6, 26 | 173 | 50.4 |
| Tillard | Main | 282 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 43, 41 | 56 | 19.9 | 48, 28, 37 | 63 | 22.3 |
|  | East Branch (2) | 307 | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ |  | 8,7 | 24 | 7.8 | 17, 8, 25 | 51 | 16.6 |

Appendix V: Baddeck River mark-and-recapture data during fall adult salmon assessment swimthrus (1994-2003). River sections described in Table 2 and shown in Figure 3.


Appendix V: Baddeck River. (Concluded).


2001

2002 Oct.-22
Oct.-24 Visibility fair
No swim-thru conducted

Only 1 MSW tagged

|  |
| :--- |
| Swim-thru counts C=56 |
| Grilse $(12 / 56)=0.214$ of total |

$\begin{aligned} 2003 \text { Oct.-21 } & \text { Good visibility } \\ \text { Nov.-5 } & \text { Gauge at } 1.7 \mathrm{ft}\end{aligned}$

Earlier versions of this table are presented in Marshall et al. 1998 and 1999. Some revisions were necessary. It is now considered correct to the best of our knowledge.

Appendix VI: North River mark-and-recapture data during fall adult salmon assessment swim-thrus (1994-2003). River sections described in Table 2 and shown in Figure 4.


Appendix VI: North River. (Concluded).

| Year | Dates <br> M/ R | Swim conditions | River <br> Section | Tags applied (M) |  |  | Tags observed (R) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Large | Small | Total | Large | Small | Total |
| 1999 |  |  | No swim-thru conducted |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 |  |  | No swim-thru conducted |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | July -14 |  | no tags applied |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Swim-thru counts $\mathbf{C = 1 1 7}$ Grilse $(44 / 117)=0.376$ of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | Oct.-25 | High water Medium visibility | no tags applied |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Swim-thru counts $\mathbf{C = 2 6}$ Grilse $(7 / 26)=0.269$ of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 |  |  | No swim-thru conducted |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Earlier versions of this table are presented in Marshall et al. 1998 and 1999. Some revisions were necessary. It is now considered correct to the best of our knowledge.


[^0]:    * This series documents the scientific basis for the evaluation of fisheries resources in Canada. As such, it addresses the issues of the day in the time frames required and the documents it contains are not intended as definitive statements on the subjects addressed but rather as progress reports on ongoing investigations.
    * La présente série documente les bases scientifiques des évaluations des ressources halieutiques du Canada. Elle traite des problèmes courants selon les échéanciers dictés. Les documents qu'elle contient ne doivent pas être considérés comme des énoncés définitifs sur les sujets traités, mais plutôt comme des rapports d'étape sur les études en cours.

    Les documents de recherche sont publiés dans la langue officielle utilisée dans le manuscrit envoyé au Secrétariat.

    Ce document est disponible sur l'Internet à:
    http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas/

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. G. MacDonald, Fishery Officer, P.O. Box 160, Baddeck, Nova Scotia, B0E 1B0.

