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#### Abstract

The status of the redfish stock in Subarea 2 and Div. $3 K$ was evaluated using standardized catch rate data and research survey results. The catch rate series showed an apparent decline between 1977 and 1979. Among possible causes for the decline were a decline in stock biomass, a shift in the composition of the fishing fleet and/or a change in the size selection of redfish. The research surveys did not indicate any trend in the level of abundance. A preliminary cohort analysis was presented but was not used due to too few years of data available. Evidence for a change in the status of the stock was inconclusive.


RESUME
Nous avons évalué l'état du stock de sébastes de la sous-zone 2 et de la division 3 K à l'aide des taux de capture standardisés et des résultats de croisières de recherche. La série des taux de capture indique un déclin apparent entre 1977 et 1979. Ce déclin peut être dû à une diminution de biomasse, à des changements de composition de la flottille de pêche et (ou) à une sélection de tailles des sébastes différentes. Les relevés par navires de recherche, pour leur part, ne révèlent aucune tendance d'abondance. Une analyse des cohortes prēliminaires a été prēparée, mais n'a pas été utilisée, parce que les données ne couvraient pas un nombre suffisant d'années. Les données dont nous disposons ne nous permettent pas d'identifier de changements dans l'état des stocks.

## INTRODUCTION

The trend over the last several years in the redfish fishery in Subarea 2 and Div. 3K has been towards the increased participation of Canadian vessels. In 1980 however, the Canadian share of the catch fell off sharply as the market conditions for redfish deteriorated. Only $14,000 \mathrm{t}$ of a quota of $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ was taken, the Canadian catch being $7,700 \mathrm{t}$ compared to 26,600 in 1979.

The data presented in this paper to help determine the status of the stock include trends in CPUE, the age structure of the stock from 1978-1980 as determined by Canadian research surveys and a preliminary cohort analysis based on five years of ageing the commercial catch.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The large shift in the composition of the redfish fishery (Table I) has impeded the development of a single standard CPUE series that performs equally well for all years. At several times during the history of the fishery, catches have fallen off drastically, resulting in gaps in the CPUE trend. Another problem has been the reporting of a large proportion of the catch as by-catch (Gavaris, 1979). In this analysis, the catch and effort data for the major participants in the fishery were used to obtain predicted catch rates according to the standardization method described by Gavaris (1980). Catch-rates in the regression were weighted by effort and the variable categories used were country-gear-tonnage class combination, months and years.

Research surveys by the Gadus Atlantica have been conducted in Div. 2J, 3 K in the fall of the past three years, 1978-1980. Coverage in 1978 was the most extensive, the number of sets in each of the years being 108, 89 and 84 respectively. Only strata in the 200-1000 m depth zone covered in all three years was included.

The collection of redfish length and otolith samples from Canadian vessels was rather sporadic, reflecting the nature of the fishery (Table 4). The number and seasonal distribution of length frequencies are shown in Table 5, along with the total number of otoliths read.

## ESTIMATION OF PARAMETERS

## STANDARDIZATION OF CPUE

The results of the multi-linear regression of $\ell n$ (catch rate), weighted by effort, against categories of country-gear-tonnage class, months and years (Type 1, 2 and 3 respectively in Table 3) are shown. The standard chosen was Newfoundland OT, TC 4 and 5, months March and April, based on a lower average coefficient of variation ( 0.14 over the years 1976-1980) of their predicted catch rates compared to those of other vessel type-month combinations. The trends in catch rates along with the historical catches are shown in Table 2.

## PARTIAL SELECTION

An estimate of partial selection was obtained by comparing the commercial to the research catch at age in 1980 and smoothed by the method of cubic splines to obtain the result (Table 10). An "average" selection pattern using the past three years was not attempted as a pronounced change in the selection pattern from 1979 to 1980 was suggested by the commercial catch-at-age matrix (Table 8).

## MEAN WEIGHT-AT-AGE

The mean weight-at-age for 1980 was obtained by multiplying the age/length key by the population length frequency and weight-at-length vectors for males and females separately, followed by a weighted average of the males and females. The result of applying this weight vector to the 1980 catch to obtain an estimate of the total 1980 catch biomass did not differ from using the "standard" redfish weight-at-age vector, although the two differed from age to age. One weakness of the age/length key method is its dependence on the age/length key itself which often has very few observations for some ages. The standard age/weight relationship was used for the years 1976-79 (Table 9).

## NATURAL AND FISHING MORTALITIES

A natural mortality of 0.1 was assumed for all cohort runs. As only $14,000 \mathrm{t}$ of $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ quota was caught, fishing mortality in 1980 was considered to be low.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## CPUE

Several values were missing from the catch rate series during years when redfish-directed effort was very low or non-existent. The catch rate for 1980 was based on preliminary data for Newfoundland vessels only representing $6 \%$ of the total catch and may not be representative of the entire fishery.

While significant results were obtained from the standardization procedure, the regression explained only 57 percent of the variation in the catch rates. Given the lack of catch and effort data for this stock combined with the abrupt changes in the composition of the fleet that have occurred, this result was not surprising. Canadian participation in the fishery increased in 1978 while the USSR decreased its involvement substantially. At the same time, a decline was observed in the catch rate which persisted up to the 1980 preliminary catch rate figure. The apparent change in catch rate level may describe two components of the fishery rather than a change in the abundance of the stock.

## RESEARCH SURVEYS

The research survey results have been very variable. The 1980 abundance indices were intermediate between the relatively high values of 1978 and the
low values of 1979 (Table 7). Each survey has produced a different picture of the age structure of the population as well, with fewer young fish being sampled in the last two surveys than in 1978 (Table 6). No very large pre-recruit year-class was evident in any of the surveys. There would appear to be a generally even distribution of age-classes in the redfish stock in Div. 2 J and 3K.

## CATCH-AT-AGE

The age structure of the catch changed markedly between 1979 and 1980 (Table 8). The shift to older fish in 1980 may have been influenced by the poor market conditions for redfish or of learning by the Canadian fleet rather than to poor recruitment.

## COHORT ANALYSIS

In an effort to determine fishing mortality in 1980, the relationships between CPUE and mean biomass of ages $14+$ at various levels of $F$ were investigated (Table 11). All relationships at $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ s of $0.07,0.10,0.15$ and 0.20 were about equally good. This method was clearly inadequate given the dubious value of the CPUE series and the lack of convergence in the cohort table. A change in the partial recruitment vector might also have produced high correlations between CPUE and mean biomass for a wide range of $F$ values. Tables from cohort runs for $F$ values of 0.07 and 0.10 are presented in Tables 12 and 13 for completeness. This method of analysis may become useful as more years of data are accumulated.

## CONCLUSIONS

A decline in catch rates which occurred between 1977 and 1979 was not interpreted to mean that a corresponding decline had necessarily occurred in the stock biomass. A shift in the composition of the fleet and a change in the size selection of redfish were confounding factors. The research surveys did not indicate any trend in the abundance level. A preliminary cohort analysis was presented but was not used to determine the status of the stock.
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Table 1. Nominal catches of redfish, SA2+Division 3 K , 1979-80 ( $t$ )

| Country | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bulgaria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Canada | 63 | 153 | 49 | 374 | 153 | 445 | 3,894 | 3,498 | 22,052 | 26,587 | 7,752 |
| Cuba | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 |
| Faroes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GDR | 4,827 | 2,662 | 2,400 | 2,484 | 2,465 | 2,447 | 1,729 | 1,305 | 2,909 | 543 | 1,014 |
| Iceland | 0 | 209 | 296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Japan | 10 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 255 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 175 | 53 | 4 | 30 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 5,229 | 6,184 | 2,136 | 4,489 | 3,646 | 4,219 | 3,950 | 2,269 | 625 | 302 | 874 |
| Portugal | 0 | 0 | 620 | 2,784 | 4,820 | 2,971 | 823 | 845 | 378 | 544 | 272 |
| Romania | 845 | 168 | 329 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 312 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 134 | 37 | 0 | 45 |
| USSR | 10,379 | 9,785 | 13,481 | 24,230 | 11,898 | 13,575 | 14,881 | 8,014 | 2,685 | 2,578 | 4,029 |
| Denmark | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 48 | 4 | 11 | 110 | 22 | 3 | 0 |
| FRG | 439 | 94 | 470 | 3,349 | 6,593 | 1,837 | 647 | 803 | 157 | 68 | 121 |
| UK | 17 | 0 | 226 | 836 | 500 | 35 | 19 | 245 | 26 | 62 | 45 |
| Others | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 172 |
| Total | 21,970 | 19,356 | 20,033 | 38,965 | 30,145 | 25,559 | 25,965 | 17,539 | 29,146 | 30,730 | 14,324 |

Table 2. Historical catches and CPUE of the standard (Can N OT. TC 4 and 5, months March and April).

| Year | Catch | CPUE $\pm$ (hr) | Std. error | Effrst |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1959 | 186,837 | 1.075 | 0.170 | 184,076 |
| 1960 | 129,773 | 0.665 | 0.176 | 195,147 |
| 1961 | 55,455 | 0.6 | 0.716 | 195,147 |
| 1962 | 19,657 | 0.976 | 0.367 | 20,140 |
| 1963 | 23,671 | 1.709 | 0.442 | 13,851 |
| 1964 | 56,178 | 1.621 | 0.407 | 34,656 |
| 1965 | 42,653 | 1.686 | 0.422 | 25,298 |
| 1966 | 32,730 | 1.197 | 0.310 | 27,343 |
| 1967 | 26,162 | 1.232 | 0.393 | 21,235 |
| 1968 | 18,913 | - |  | 21,235 |
| 1970 | 21,970 | 0.712 | 0.398 |  |
| 1971 | 19,356 | 0.769 | 0.211 | 25,170 |
| 1972 | 20,033 | - |  | 25,170 |
| 1973 | 38,965 | 0.819 | 0.162 | 4/,576 |
| 1974 | 30,145 | - |  | 1,576 |
| 1975 | 25,559 | 0.597 | 0.265 | 42,812 |
| 1976 | 25,965 | 1.024 | 0.178 | 25,356 |
| 1977 | 17,539 | 1.086 | 0.182 | 16,150 |
| 1978 | 28,896 | 0.890 | 0.072 | 32,467 |
| 1979 | 30,730 | 0.706 | 0.076 | 43,527 |
| 1980 | 14,324 | 0.517 | 0.086 | 27,706 |

Table 3. Anova results from the multiplicative model. Type 1, 2 and 3 variables represent country-tonnage class-gear categories, months and years.

Multiple R................ 0.755
Multiple R Squared..... 0.570

## Analysis of Variance

| Source of <br> variation | DF | Sums of <br> squares | Mean <br> squares | F-value |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Type 1 | 5 | $9.87384 E^{0}$ | $1.97477 \mathrm{E}^{0}$ | 15.641 |
| Type 2 | 8 | $7.62018 \mathrm{E}^{0}$ | $9.5252 \mathrm{E}^{-1}$ | 7.544 |
| Type 3 | 16 | $8.9169 \mathrm{E}^{0}$ | $5.5731 \mathrm{E}^{-1}$ | 4.414 |
| Regression | 29 | $3.9890 E^{1}$ | $1.3759 \mathrm{E}^{0}$ | 10.895 |
| Residuals | 238 | $3.00488 \mathrm{E}^{1}$ | $1.26255 \mathrm{E}^{-1}$ |  |
| Total | 267 | $6.99408 E^{1}$ |  |  |

Table 4. Catches of redfish in Divisions $2 J-3 K, 1980$, by country and month.

| Month | Division 2 J |  |  |  | Division 3K |  |  |  | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{Can}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Can } \\ M \end{gathered}$ | Other | Total | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\mathrm{Can}} \\ \mathrm{~N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Can } \\ M \end{gathered}$ | Other | Total |  |
| Jan. |  |  | 23 | 23 |  |  | 120 | 120 | 143 |
| Feb. | 170 | 9 | 107 | 286 | 122 |  | 24 | 146 | 432 |
| Mar. | 35 |  | 15 | 50 | 980 | 124 | 85 | 1189 | 1239 |
| Apr. | 6 | 13 |  | 18 | 1196 | 435 | 13 | 1644 | 1662 |
| May | 77 | 85 |  | 162 | 812 | 196 | 38 | 1046 | 1208 |
| June |  |  | 1 | 1 | 93 |  | 15 | 108 | 109 |
| July | 13 |  |  | 13 | 33 |  | 165 | 198 | 211 |
| Aug. |  | 426 |  | 426 | 16 | 111 | 633 | 760 | 1186 |
| Sept. | 156 | 2616 |  | 2772 | 6 |  | 1060 | 1066 | 3838 |
| Oct. | 9 |  | 362 | 371 | 5 |  | 127 | 132 | 503 |
| Nov. |  |  | 63 | 63 |  |  | 1486 | 1486 | 1549 |
| Dec. |  |  | 66 | 66 | 8 |  | 1405 | 1413 | 1479 |
| Total | 466 | 3149 | 637 | 4251 | 3271 | 866 | 5171 | 9308 | 13,559 |

Table 5. Number of commercial length frequency samples by month and division and the number of otoliths in age/length key from Canadian (Nfld. and Maritime) OT vessels, redfish, SA2+Division 3K, 1980.

| Month | Division |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan |  |  |
| Feb |  | 3 |
| Mar |  | 4 |
| Apr | 1 | 9 |
| May | 2 | 2 |
| June |  |  |
| July |  |  |
| Aug |  |  |
| Sept | 4 |  |
| 0ct |  |  |
| Nov |  |  |
| Dec |  |  |

Number of females aged: 542
Number of males aged: 430

Table 6. Estimates of abundance at age, in thousands of individuals, from research surveys in Divisions 2J-3K, 1978-80.

|  | 1 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1844 | 28 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 6962 | 231 | 108 |
| 3 | 1 | 22230 | 1380 | 1003 |
| 4 | 1 | 40073 | 9235 | 679 |
| 5 | 1 | 103761 | 17299 | 7149 |
| \% | ! | 88083 | 28339 | 19475 |
| 7 | 1 | 81465 | 37140 | 46813 |
| 8 | 1 | 181965 | 48701 | 66260 |
| 9 | 1 | 289594 | 73479 | 87098 |
| 10 | 1 | 224923 | 50775 | 50564 |
| 11 | 1 | 232678 | 43011 | 67318 |
| 12 | 1 | 110416 | 41985 | 68180 |
| 13 | 1 | 138400 | 62204 | 74392 |
| 14 | 1 | 99762 | 47006 | 49179 |
| 15 | 1 | 104211 | 29645 | 61714 |
| 16 | 1 | 78728 | 20047 | 87243 |
| 17 | 1 | 39526 | 25754 | 46176 |
| 18 | 1 | 22295 | 15743 | 58174 |
| 19 | 1 | 28230 | 15001 | 29501 |
| 20 | 1 | 38878 | 5373 | 39792 |
| 21 | 1 | 37440 | 7294 | 13405 |
| 22 | 1 | 19517 | 6133 | 14590 |
| 23 | 1 | 18292 | 3551 | 14277 |
| 24 | 1 | 14806 | 4361 | 12659 |
| 25 | 1 | 30708 | 5348 | 3288 |
| 26 | 1 | 21951 | 5458 | 7281 |
| 27 | 1 | 11399 | 5681 | 8163 |
| 28 | 1 | 13770 | 3944 | 8720 |
| 29 | 1 | 5752 | 3432 | 1800 |
| 30 | 1 | 41757 | 21156 | 43908 |

Table 7. Estimates of total abundance and mean catch per tow, in numbers and weights, from research surveys in Divisions 2J-3K, 1978-80.

| Year | Numbers $\times 10^{-3}$ | Total abundance <br> Weight $(\mathrm{kg}) \times 10^{-3}$ | Mean weight $(\mathrm{kg})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | $2,148,272$ | 648,792 | 0.30 |
| 1979 | 638,600 | 284,884 | 0.45 |
| 1980 | 988,860 | 509,912 | 0.52 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Year | Numbers |  |  |
| 1978 | 735.73 | 222.20 |  |
| 1979 | 219.72 | 98.02 |  |
| 1980 | 332.25 | 171.33 |  |

Table 8. Catch at age for redfish in Divisions 2J, 3 K, 1976-80.

|  |  | carcu |  | MATEIK ( $\times 10^{-3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| 6 | 1 | 7 | 22 | 4 | 240 | 28 |
| 7 | 1 | 30 | 102 | 403 | 2159 | 294 |
| 8 | 1 | 136 | 219 | 1252 | 5678 | 1629 |
| 9 | 1 | 1265 | 612 | 3326 | 8798 | 972 |
| 10 | 1 | 2067 | 84.3 | 4106 | 9251 | 848 |
| 11 | 1 | 3866 | 1569 | 4534 | 6700 | 819 |
| 12 | 1 | 5580 | 1930 | 5856 | 4011 | 1006 |
| 13 | 1 | 781.8 | 2241 | 6261 | 7374 | 1511 |
| 14 | 1 | 8652 | 3315 | 6321 | 6646 | 1844 |
| 15 | 1 | 5615 | 3162 | 5311 | 6571. | 2000 |
| 16 | 1 | 2700 | 2776 | 5377 | 6075 | 1685 |
| 17 | 1 | 1826 | 2504 | 4003 | 5544 | 1710 |
| 18 | 1 | 946 | 181.2 | 2269 | 1796 | 1007 |
| 19 | 1 | 757 | 1.778 | 1.501 | 1241 | 773 |
| 20 | 1 | 1128 | 1638 | 1.508 | 1391 | 1033 |
| 21 | 1 | 968 | 895 | 1.093 | 1412 | 653 |
| 22 | 1 | 885 | 940 | 959 | 789 | 518 |
| 23 | 1 | 11.00 | 555 | 596 | 573 | 491 |
| 24 | 1 | 1005 | 618 | 891. | 589 | 730 |
| 25 | 1 | 684 | 596 | 835 | 930 | 508 |
| 28 | 1 | 678 | 514 | 752 | 569 | 511 |
| 27 | 1 | 512 | 435 | 513 | 590 | 493 |
| 28 | 1 | 632 | 418 | 540 | 589 | 380 |
| 29 | 1 | 284 | 200 | 1.40 | 283 | 405 |

Table 9. Weight (Kg) at age. Values for 1976-79 are the "standard" while 1980 is calculated from the age/length key and length frequency.

|  | 1 | 1976 | 1.977 | 1978 | 1.979 | 1.980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 1 | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.103 |  |
| 7 | 1 | 0.135 | 0.135 | ().135 | 0.13 O | 0.170 |
| 8 | 1 | 0. 1.67 | 0.1 .69 | 0.169 | 0.169 | 0.184 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.205 | 0.205 | 0.205 | 0.205 | 0.217 |
| 1.0 | 1 | 0.243 | 0.243 | 0.243 | 0.243 | 0.240 |
| 11. | 1 | 0.282 | 0.282 | 0.282 | 0.282 | 0.279 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.322 | 0.322 | 0.322 | 0.322 | 0.287 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.362 | 0.362 | 0.362 | 0.362 | 0.314. |
| 14 | 1 | 0.403 | 0.403 | 0.403 | 0.403 | 0.361 |
| 15 | 1 | 0.443 | 0.443 | 0.443 | 0.443 | 0.418 |
| 1.6 | 1 | 0.482 | 0.482 | 0.482 | 0.482 | 0.463 |
| 17 | 1 | 0.521 | 0.521 | 0.521 | 0.521 | 0.534 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.559 | 0.559 | 0.559 | 0.559 | 0.566 |
| 1.9 | 1 | 0.596 | 0.585 | 0.596 | 0.596 | 0.595 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.631 | 0.631 | 0.631 | 0.631 | 0.674 |
| 21 |  | 0.665 | 0.665 | 0.665 | 0.665 | 0.651 |
| 2 | 1 | 0.698 | 0.698 | 0.698 | $0+698$ | 0.747 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.730 | 0.730 | 0.730 | 0.730 | 0.788 |
| 24 | 1 | 0.759 | 0.759 | 0.759 | 0.759 | 0.754 |
| 5 |  | 0.788 | 0.788 | 0.788 | 0.788 | 0.769 |
| 26 | 1 | 0.835 | 0.6515 | 0.815 | 0.815 | 0.9 96 |
| 27 | 1 | 0.841 | 0.841 | $0.84+1$ | 0.841 | 0.931 |
| 28 | 1 | 0.866 | 0.366 | 0.866 | 0.868 | 0.922 |
| 29 | 1 | 0.889 | 0.889 | 0.889 | 0.889 | 0.799 |

Table 10. The ratio of commercial to research numbers at age ( $C / R$ ) was smoothed (C/R-S) to obtain an estimate of partial selection.

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age | $C / R$ | $C / R-S$ |
| 6 | 0.038 | 0.097 |
| 7 | 0.167 | 0.261 |
| 8 | 0.656 | 0.383 |
| 9 | 0.298 | 0.405 |
| 10 | 0.447 | 0.389 |
| 11 | 0.324 | 0.386 |
| 12 | 0.393 | 0.452 |
| 13 | 0.542 | 0.613 |
| 14 | 1.000 | 0.845 |

Table 11. Trends in catch rate and mean biomass of the fully recruited age-classes from cohort for different $F$ values. Regression results are listed below.


Table 12. The population numbers and fishing mortality at age from cohort when terminal F in 1980 is 0.07 .

| 1 |  |  | porula | M num | $\left(\times 10^{-3}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1976 | 1977 | 97 | 197 | 1980 |
| 6 |  | 63695 | 57097 | 81531 | 19109 | 8 |
| 7 |  | 65836 | 57627 | 51642 | 73769 | 17062 |
| 8 |  | 66257 | 59542 | 52046 | 46344 | 64695 |
| 9 |  | 70198 | 59823 | 53667 | 45902 | 36533 |
| 10 | 1 | 72286 | 62314 | 53548 | 45397 | 33165 |
| 11 | 1 | 70126 | 63441 | 55583 | 44546 | 32277 |
| 12 | 1 | 64960 | 59775 | 55911 | 45980 | 33934 |
| 13 | $!$ | 67139 | 53470 | 52251 | 45020 | 37789 |
| 14 | i | 50878 | 53313 | 46250 | 41323 | 33722 |
| 15 | 1 | 34731 | 37806 | 45087 | 35836 | 31069 |
| 16 | 1 | 34362 | 26034 | 31200 | 35744 | 26175 |
| 17 | 1 | 23059 | 28523 | 20962 | 23117 | 26564 |
| 18 | 1 | 19028 | 19128 | 23427 | 15159 | 15643 |
| 19 | 1 | 16601 | 16317 | 15584 | 19039 | 12008 |
| 20 | 1 | 21186 | 14301 | 13073 | 12673 | 16047 |
| 21 | 1 | 15498 | 18037 | 11382 | 10395 | 10144 |
| 22 | 1 | 15831 | 13102 | 15524 | 9259 | 8052 |
| 23 |  | 15179 | 13528 | 10961 | 13135 | 7627 |
| 24 | 1 | 12360 | 12688 | 11713 | 9351 | 11340 |
| 25 | 1 | 12196 | 10228 | 10593 | 9751 | 7891 |
| 26 | 1 | 2797 | 10385 | 8686 | 9062 | 7935 |
| 27 | 1 | 1420 | 1886 | 8908 | 7144 | 7658 |
| 28 |  | 1367 | 798 | 1293 | 7572 | 5903 |
| 29 |  | 658 | 635 | 324 | 656 | 6291 |
|  | +1 | . 817698 | 749910 | 731446 | 625284 | 493387 |
|  | +1 | 754003 | 692814 | 649915 | 606175 | 489539 |
|  | +1 | 688167 | 635187 | 598272 | 532406 | 472477 |
|  | +1 | 621910 | 575645 | 546226 | 486062 | 407782 |


|  | FISHING |  |  | MOF:TALITY |  | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |  |
| 6 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.007 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.008 | 0.031 | 0.018 |
| 3 | 1 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.026 | 0.136 | 0.027 |
| 9 | 1 | 0.019 | 0.011 | 0.067 | 0.225 | 0.023 |
| 10 | 1 | 0.031 | 0.014 | 0.084 | 0.241 | 0.027 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.060 | 0.026 | 0.090 | 0.172 | 0.027 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.095 | 0.035 | 0.117 | 0.096 | 0.032 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.131 | 0.045 | 0.135 | 0.189 | 0.043 |
| 14 | 1 | 0.187 | 0.065 | 0.155 | 0.185 | 0.057 |
| 15 | 1 | 0.186 | 0.092 | 0.132 | 0.214 | 0.070 |
| 16 | 1 | 0.086 | 0.119 | 0.200 | 0.197 | 0.070 |
| 17 | 1 | 0.087 | 0.097 | 0.224 | 0.271 | 0.070 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.054 | 0.105 | 0.107 | 0.133 | 0.070 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.047 | 0.122 | 0.107 | 0.071 | 0.070 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.058 | 0.128 | 0.1 .29 | 0.123 | 0.070 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.058 | 0.053 | 0.106 | 0.154 | 0.070 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.050 | 0.078 | 0.067 | 0.094 | 0.070 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.077 | 0.044 | 0.059 | 0.047 | 0.070 |
| 24 | 1 | 0.089 | 0.053 | 0.083 | 0.070 | 0.070 |
| 25 | 1 | 0.061 | 0.063 | 0.034 | 0.106 | 0.070 |
| 26 | 1 | 0.294 | 0.053 | 0.095 | 0.068 | 0.070 |
| 27 | 1 | 0.476 | 0.275 | 0.052 | 0.051 | 0.070 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.666 | 0.800 | 0.578 | 0.095 | 0.070 |
| 39 | 1 | 0.600 | 0.400 | 0.800 | 0.600 | 0.070 |
| 15 |  | 0.099 | 0.094 | 0.130 | 0.153 | 0.070 |

Table 13. The population numbers and fishing mortality at age from cohort when terminal $F$ in 1980 is 0.10 .

|  |  | FOFULATIOH WUMEEFS |  | $\left(\times 10^{-3}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1977 | 1980 |
| 61 | 49050 | 42508 | 58137 | 13503 | 3048 |
| 71 | 51582 | 44376 | 38442 | 52601 | 11990 |
| 81 | 51306 | 46644 | 40056 | 34400 | 45542 |
| 91 | 53641 | 46294 | 41957 | 35053 | 25725 |
| 101 | 57630 | 47333 | 41306 | 34837 | 23348 |
| 111 | 56696 | 50180 | 42027 | 33470 | 22722 |
| 121 | 53645 | 47624 | 43912 | 33714 | 23912 |
| 131 | 55657 | 43233 | 41256 | 34163 | 26691 |
| 14.1 | 44116 | 42924 | 36987 | 3137.4 | 23897 |
| 15.1. | 29540 | 31688 | 35686 | 27454 | 22067 |
| 161 | 27425 | 21388 | 25664 | 27238 | 18591 |
| 171 | 18674 | 22247 | 16712 | 18107 | 18967 |
| 181 | 15543 | 15160 | 17748 | 11314 | 11111 |
| 191 | 13304 | 13164 | 11994 | 13901 | 8529 |
| 201 | 16285 | 11318 | 10220 | 9425 | 11397 |
| 211 | 12087 | 13662 | 8682 | 7813 | 7205 |
| 221 | 12450 | 10016 | 11510 | 6817 | 5726 |
| 231 | 11.867 | 10423 | 8168 | 9504 | 5417 |
| 241 | 9809 | 9693 | 8903 | 6824 | 8054 |
| 251 | 9477 | 7819 | 8183 | 7209 | 5605 |
| 261 | 2797 | 7924 | 6597 | 6610 | 5633 |
| 271 | 1420 | 1886 | 6681 | 5254 | 5439 |
| 281 | 1367 | 798 | 1293 | 5558 | 4193 |
| 291 | 658 | 635 | 324 | 656 | 4468 |
| $6+1$ | 656027 | 589036 | 562486 | 466797 | 349182 |
| 7+1 | 606977 | 546528 | 504349 | 453294 | 346134 |
| $8+1$ | 555375 | 502152 | 465907 | 400673 | 334145 |
| $9+1$ | 504087 | 455508 | 425852 | 366293 | 289603 |

FISHIVG MOF:TALITY

|  | 1 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | , | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.000 |  | 0.010 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.011 | 0.044 | 0.026 |
| 8 | 1 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.033 | 0.191 | 0.038 |
| 9 | 1 | 0.025 | 0.014 | 0.087 | 0.306 | 0.041 |
| 10 | 1 | 0.038 | 0.019 | 0.110 | 0.327 | 0.039 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.074 | 0.033 | 0.120 | 0.236 | 0.039 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.116 | 0.044 | 0.151 | 0.134 | 0.045 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.160 | 0.056 | 0.174 | 0.257 | 0.061 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.231 | 0.085 | 0.152 | 0.252 | 0.084 |
| 15 | 1 | 0.223 | 0.111 | 0.170 | 0.290 | 0.100 |
| 16 | 1 | 0.109 | 0.147 | 0.247 | 0.267 | 0.100 |
| 17 | 1 | 0.108 | 0.126 | 0.290 | 0.388 | 0.100 |
| 18 | 1 | 0.066 | 0.134 | 0.144 | 0.183 | 0.100 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.062 | 0.153 | 0.141 | 0.097 | 0.100 |
| 20 | , | 0.076 | 0.165 | 0.169 | 0.169 | 0.100 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.088 | 0.071 | 0.142 | 0.211 | 0.100 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.078 | 0.104 | 0.082 | 0.130 | 0.100 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.103 | 0.058 | 0.080 | 0.065 | 0.100 |
| 2 | 1 | 0.114 | 0.068 | 0.111 | 0.097 | 0.100 |
| 25 | 1 | 0.079 | 0.083 | 0.113 | 0.146 | 0.100 |
| 2.6 | 1 | 0.284 | 0.071. | 0.128 | 0.095 | 0.100 |
| 27 | 1 | 0.476 | 0.278 | 0.084 | 0.126 | 0.100 |
| 28 | 1 | 0.666 | 0.800 | 0.578 | 0.118 | 0.100 |
| 29 | 1 | 0.600 | 0.400 | 0.600 | 0.600 | 0.100 |
| $15+10.124$ |  |  | 0.120 | 0.171 | 0.211 | 00 |

