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#### Abstract

Nominal catches have ranged between $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ since 1960 . Up to 1986 catches averaged $13,000 \mathrm{t}$, increased to $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ by 1988 and declined to $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ by 1995 and ranged between $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $14,000 \mathrm{t}$ from 1996-1999. Foreign fleets historically accounted for most of catch but Canada has increased its activity in since 1995. From 1996-1999 Canadian catches have alternated between levels of about $8,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $2,500 \mathrm{t}$ due to the lack of market for redfish near the 22 cm size limit. Assessment of this stock has been primarily based on research data due to variable commercial indices and fleets prosecuting different areas of the stock. It is difficult to reconcile year to year changes in seasonal research vessel (RV) surveys, but generally, the spring survey biomass index suggests the stock may have increased since the early 1990s, but has stabilized at around $100,000 \mathrm{t}$ since 1994. The autumn RV survey, while more stable in the early 1990s, generally supports this. The 2000 survey information for both spring and autumn continues to indicate that stock status has not improved, and may be declining. RV surveys do not adequately sample fish greater than 25 cm which up to 1997 have generally comprised the main portion of the fishery, which, makes it is difficult to interpret survey estimates in relation to what is happening to the stock as a whole. The fishery since 1998 appeared to target the relatively strong 1988 year class that has grown sufficiently to exceed the small fish protocol of 22 cm . There is concern that there has been little sign in recent surveys of size groups smaller than 17 cm despite using a shrimp trawl, which is very effective at catching small fish.


## RÉSUMÉ

Depuis 1960, les prises nominales varient entre 3000 t et 35000 t . Jusqu'à 1986, les captures annuelles étaient de 13000 t en moyenne; elles ont augmenté à 35000 t en 1988, puis baissé à 3000 t en 1995; de 1996 à 1999, elles variaient entre 10000 t et 14000 t . Par le passé, la plupart des captures étaient effectuées par des flottilles étrangères, mais les pêcheurs canadiens pêchent le sébaste plus activement depuis 1995. De 1996 à 1999, les prises canadiennes ont oscillé entre 8000 t et 2500 t environ à cause du manque de demande de sébaste dont la taille légèrement supérieure à la limite de 22 cm . Compte tenu de la variabilité des indices fondés sur la pêche commerciale et du fait que les flottilles pêchent le stock dans différentes zones, le stock a été évalué principalement à partir de données de recherche. Bien qu'il soit difficile d'expliquer les variations annuelles des relevés saisonniers effectués par des navires de recherche (NR), les indices de biomasse des relevés printaniers laissent croire que le stock aurait augmenté au début des années 1990, puis se serait stabilisé à près de 100000 t depuis 1994. Malgré leur plus grande constance au début des années 1990, les résultats des relevés de NR d'automne indiquent généralement la même tendance. Les données obtenues lors des relevés de printemps et d'automne de 2000 indiquent encore que l'état du stock ne s'est pas amélioré et qu'il pourrait être en déclin. Les relevés de NR ne permettent pas d'échantillonner adéquatement les poissons dont la taille dépasse 25 cm , lesquels constituaient généralement la majeure partie des captures jusqu'en 1997. Il est donc difficile d'interpréter les estimations faites à partir des relevés par rapport à ce qui se passe réellement dans l'ensemble du stock. Depuis 1998, la pêche semble cibler la classe d'âge relativement abondante de 1988 dont les poissons ont suffisamment grossi pour ne plus être touchés par le protocole de protection des juvéniles de moins de 22 cm . On s'inquiète du fait que peu de poissons dans les classes de taille de moins de 17 cm ont été échantillonnés lors de relevés récents en dépit de l'utilisation d'un chalut à crevettes qui est pourtant très efficace pour capturer le petit poisson.

## DESCRIPTION OF MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS AND THE FISHERY

## Management regulations

Redfish in Div. 30 have been subject to management regulation since 1974, but, has only applied to that portion of Div. 30 within Canada's 200 mile Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ). About $10 \%$ of the habitable redfish area within Div. 30 lies outside Canada's 200 mile limit and is unregulated.

A TAC of $16,000 t$ was first implemented by Canada within the 200 -mile limit in 1974. The TAC was increased in 1978 to $20,000 \mathrm{t}$ and generally remained at that level through to 1987. The TAC for 1988 was reduced to $14,000 t$ and remained unchanged until 1994 when it was reduced to $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ as a precautionary measure and maintained at that level to 1999 . During 1999 a shift was implemented from a calendar year based TAC to a fiscal year based TAC currently in effect from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2001 at $10,000 \mathrm{t}$. To facilitate this temporal shift in TAC, the 1999 calendar year TAC was extended to March 31, 2000 and increased from 10,000 tons to 10,200 tons to accommodate the extension. In addition to catch regulation, a small fish protocol at 22 cm was implemented inside the 200 mile limit for this stock in 1995. The 2000 TAC ( $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) is divided into a Canadian quota ( $8,500 \mathrm{t}$ ), and a French quota ( $1,500 \mathrm{t}$ ).

## Nominal Catches

Nominal catches have ranged between 3,000 t and 35,000 t since 1960 (Table 1, Fig. 1). Up to 1986 catches averaged $13,000 \mathrm{t}$, increased to $27,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1987 with a further increase to $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1988, exceeding TACs by $7,000 \mathrm{t}$ and 21,000 respectively. Catches declined to $13,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1989 , increased gradually to about $16,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1993 and declined further to about $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1995 , partly due to reductions in foreign allocations within the Canadian zone since 1993. Catches increased to $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1996 and ranged between $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $14,000 \mathrm{t}$ to 1999 with the exception of $5,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997. The total reported catch by mid-November in 2000 was at $9,000 \mathrm{t}$.

The catches in 1987 and 1988, primarily by Panama and South Korea, were due mainly to increased activity outside the 200 mile limit by countries who were not contractual members of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization (NAFO), and, did not have bilateral fisheries agreements with Canada. Canadian surveillance estimates of unreported catch, which have ranged from 200 t to $23,500 \mathrm{t}$, are included in catch statistics tables since 1983 in this document. A further explanation of these is given in Shelton and Atkinson (1994). There hasn't been any activity in the area outside the 200 mile EEZ by non-NAFO fleets since 1994.

Russia predominated in this fishery up until 1993 (Table 2) and generally caught its share (about $50 \%$ ) of the total non-Canadian allocation, which accounted for about $2 / 3$ of the TAC. From 1987 to 1993 Russian catches ranged from 3,800 t to 7,200 t. Russia and Cuba, impacted by the reduction and eventual elimination of foreign allocations by Canada, have not directed for redfish since 1995 and 1993 respectively, but Russia has resumed fishing in 2000. Portugal, which began fishing in the limited stock area outside the EEZ in 1992, peaked at 4,700 t in 1995, declined to 900 t by 1997 and increased to $1,900 \mathrm{t}$ in 1998 and 5,400 t in 1999. Spain, who had taken less than 50 tons before 1995, increased catches sequentially from $1,200 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997 to $4,500 \mathrm{t}$ in 1999. Up to the end of September 2000, provisional catch for foreign fleets (primarily Portugal, Spain and Russia) was 7,500 t .

Canada has had limited interest in a fishery in Div. 30 because of small sizes of redfish encountered in trawlable areas. Canadian landings were less than 200 t annually from 1983-1991. In 1994, Canada took $1,600 \mathrm{t}$ due to improved markets related to lobster bait, but declined to about 200 t in 1995. Between 1996 and 1999 Canadian catches have alternated between levels of about $8,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $2,500 \mathrm{t}$ based on market acceptability for redfish near the 22 cm size limit. Up to mid-November in the 2001-2002 TAC year, Canada has taken $1,500 \mathrm{t}$.

In general, the fishery has occurred primarily from May to October since 1987 (Table 3a). The prominent means of capture from the mid-1970s to the early 1980s was the bottom otter trawl. The use of midwater trawls from 1987 to 1993 (Table 3b) was primarily by Russia and Cuba. Canadian, Portuguese and Spanish fleets primarily use bottom trawling.

## COMMERCIAL DATA

## CPUE Index of Abundance

In past assessments a standardized commercial catch rate index based on data since 1959 had been presented routinely for evaluation. The analysis of catch rates by the Canadian fleet are not considered indicative of overall trends in the resource. Until recently, Canada has not accounted for a major portion of the reported catches from Division 30 and has only fished within the 200 mile EEZ. Large interannual variability in the catch rates, recent changes in the composition of the domestic fleets participating in this fishery, and the small fish protocol regulation makes it difficult to draw inferences about stock status.

The annual update to the databases did not provide any further information on catch rate of foreign countries than was available for the 1995 assessment. The data from Portugal and Spain since 1995 do not have effort available in hours fished. Previous analyses of catch rate series for foreign fleets indicate a general decline from the mid 1980s to about 1994 (Power et. al. MS 1995). It is considered that catch rates of the fleets that have fished outside is probably indicative of a decline in the proportion of the stock outside the EEZ where most of that effort had occurred.

## Catch at Length

Length distributions were sampled from the following fisheries: Canadian fisheries 1995-1996 and 1998-2000 from port sampling and observer programs, Portuguese fisheries in 1998-1999 by Portuguese observers (R. Alpoiem, pers. comm.) and the Japanese fishery in 1998 by Canadian observers. These samples were combined by month and quarter weighted by appropriate landings to derive a catch-at-length by country for Division 30. The length-weight relationships used in the compilation were:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { WT (males) }=0.01659 \text { Forklength }^{2.9548} \\
& \text { WT (females) }=0.013272 \text { Forklength }^{3.0210}
\end{aligned}
$$

The data (Fig. 2) indicate that in 1998 there was a mode at about 23 cm for both males and females in the Portuguese fishery, a peak at 25 for males and 27 for females in the Japanese fishery and
a peak of 21 cm for males and 24 cm for females in the Canadian fishery. The general size range was consistent between the Canadian and Portuguese fisheries for 1998 and it was evident that Japanese fishery in 1998 consisted of somewhat larger fish. The Japanese samples illustrate the differences in growth rates between males and females. This was not evident in the Portuguese samples in 1998.

Sampling for 1999 suggests the Portuguese fishery was very similar to 1998 by a dominant mode at 23 cm (unsexed). Canadian catches for 1999 were dominated by modes at 23 cm for males and 26 cm for females. Generally, the Canadian catches were comprised of a larger range of sizes than the Portuguese fishery. The size distribution from the 1998 and 1999 Portuguese fisheries (range 19 cm 35 cm , mode at 23 cm ) compared to 1995 and 1996 (range $21 \mathrm{~cm}-42 \mathrm{~cm}$, various modes greater than 29 cm , see Power and Atkinson (MS 1998a)) suggest that the pattern of the fleet has changed to fishing in shallower water, given the general observation that fish size increases with depth for redfish.

The only information available prior to the assessment meeting in November for 2000 was from the Canadian fleet. The limited sampling suggests overall the bulk of the catches in 2000 were between $22 \mathrm{~cm}-27 \mathrm{~cm}$, whereas the majority of the 1999 Canadian catches ranged from $25 \mathrm{~cm}-32 \mathrm{~cm}$.

## RESEARCH SURVEY DATA

## Abundance Estimates

Stratified random groundfish surveys have been conducted in the spring and autumn in Division 30 since 1991, with coverage of depths to 730 m . In addition, a summer survey was conducted in 1993. From 1991 to spring 1995 an Engel 145 otter trawl was used ( 1.75 n . mi. standard tow) and from autumn 1995 onwards a Campelen 1800 shrimp trawl ( 0.75 n . mi. standard tow). The 1991 to spring 1995 Engel 145 data were converted into Campelen 1800 trawl equivalent data. Details of the comparative fishing trials and data modelling can be found in Power and Atkinson (MS 1998a).

The series of mean weight per standard tow for spring (Table 4) and autumn (Table 5) exhibits large fluctuations in estimates between seasons and years for some strata, not uncommon for bottom trawl surveys for redfish. This is usually accounted for by the influence of one or two large sets on the survey. It is difficult to reconcile year to year changes in the indices, but generally, the revised spring survey biomass index (Fig. 3) suggests the stock may have increased since the early 1990s, but has stabilized at around $100,000 \mathrm{t}$ since 1994. The low 1997 value is considered a sampling anomaly. The autumn surveys, while more stable in the early 1990s, generally supports this pattern. It should also be noted that the 1996 autumn estimate did not include important strata that could not be sampled due to problems on the survey. The additional 2000 survey information for both spring and autumn continues to indicate that stock status has not improved, and may be declining somewhat.

In most surveys, stratum by stratum density estimates "outside" the 200-mile EEZ (denoted in Tables 4 and 5 as strata $354,355,356,721,722$ ) were generally lower than inside, although there is a portion of these strata that actually occurs inside. The distribution of the survey catches in spring (Fig. 4) and autumn (Fig. 5) generally illustrate this point more clearly. The spring series has full coverage of the strata and it is obvious that the largest catches are taken inside the EEZ. It is also evident that catches increased in magnitude from the early 1990s and this is generally supported by the autumn series. Differences between the spring and fall surveys may be related to changes in availability within the Division at different times of the year.

## Recruitment

Size distribution in terms of mean number per tow at length from the spring surveys (Fig. 6) indicates a bimodal distribution in 1991 with modes at 11 cm and 20 cm corresponding to about the 1988 and 1984 year classes respectively. The 20 cm mode progresses at about a cm per year up to 1994 (at 23 cm ) and cannot be traced any further. The 11 cm mode progresses at about $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$ per year until it reaches 21 cm in 1996. From 1996 to 1998 the mode remains at 21 cm but is dominant. It appears to have increased to 22 cm in 1999 and 23 cm in the 2000 survey. Size distribution from the autumn surveys (Fig. 7) indicates a bimodal distribution in 1991, similar to the spring survey, with modes at 13 cm and 21 cm . The 21 cm mode only progresses to 23 cm by 1994 after which it is no longer discernible. The 13 cm mode progresses to a 17 cm mode in 1992 but only increments to 19 cm up to the 1995 survey. The mode progresses about 1 cm per year to 23 cm in the 1999 and 2000 surveys. There has been little sign in the surveys since 1995 of size groups smaller than 17 cm .

The size distributions of the survey catches indicate only a narrow range of sizes caught each year in Division 3O. Generally fish smaller than about 10 cm and larger than about 25 cm are absent in survey catches from 1991-2000 which cover strata down to 732 m ( 400 fathoms). It is well documented that the Engel survey gear (e.g. Power MS 1995) and the Campelen survey gear (e.g. Power and Atkinson, MS 1998b) can catch both smaller (than 10 cm ) and larger (than 25 cm ) redfish. Length sampling from the commercial fisheries in the mid-1990s reveals a higher proportion of fish greater than 25 cm compared to the survey catches. Therefore, it appears that fish sizes outside this range, especially fish greater than 25 cm , are generally unavailable to the gear in this area. The reasons for this are unknown but may be related to distribution relative to trawlable bottom.

Stratified random groundfish surveys have been conducted in the spring in Division 30 from 1973 to 1990 , with coverage of depths to 367 m . The surveys used a Yankee 41.5 trawl with a liner from 1973-1982 and an Engel 145 trawl with a liner from 1983-1990. Size distributions were plotted to get an indication of historical recruitment pattern and size range in depths from $93 \mathrm{~m}-367 \mathrm{~m}$ which is considered the shallower end of redfish distribution. It is clear from the varied scales on the y-axis (Fig. 8) that estimates of abundance from these surveys fluctuated greatly from year to year. In general, the upper limit of the size range was 29 cm in this depth range. The 1990 survey shows a dominant mode at 24 cm . This mode could be followed back to the 1981 survey at 9 cm . The next tractable pulse of recruitment occurred in the 1975 survey at $9-10 \mathrm{~cm}$.

## Environmental Considerations

Bottom temperatures throughout much of 30, including the shelf break where survey catches of redfish are highest, were as much as a full degree C below the historic average in the early 1990s (E. Colbourne, DFO Science, Oceans and Environment Branch, pers. comm). Bottom temperatures below $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were widespread in waters less than 100 m , and temperatures along the shelf break were frequently as low as $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Incursions of water of about average $\left(1-3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ along the shelf break were noted in 1993 and 1995, and even warmer bottom waters, up to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, became established along the shelf break in 30 by fall of 1996. These warmer waters expanded widely across 30 in 1998 and 1999, and conditions continued warmer than average in 2000.

## INDUSTRY PERSPECTIVES

The increased activity in 1996 for some Canadian enterprises was motivated by a need to find fish of marketable size in light of the moratorium in UNIT 1 and a reduction of the UNIT 2 TAC. The experience of this fishery was different from other Canadian fisheries but there was reasonable success in finding good concentrations of acceptable size fish, primarily from October to December. The knowledge from the Russian fishing experience in the area available to some Canadian enterprises suggests that water temperature influences fishing success.

Catches and catch rates were considered relatively high in 1996, low in 1997 and high again in 1998. Fluctuations in catch were largely market driven and there were problems with small fish in 1997. The general intent of some fleets is to concentrate their fishing where they are confident of finding fish of sizes appropriate for market and sometimes take smaller fish to finish up a trip. In the 1998 fishery, there were reports of much fish in the landings close to the 22 cm small fish protocol. The reduced Canadian catch in 1999 is again primarily a result of market conditions for smaller sized fish ( 22 cm to 25 cm ) predominantly harvested from this area. Some industry participants have expressed concern that the small fish protocol (at 22 cm ) is too small and should be raised. This is related to possible connections of redfish in this area as recruitment to fisheries in adjacent UNIT 2.

In 2000 one fleet's commercial fishery concentrated in 30e, a statistical area which overlaps the 200-mile limit. Catch rates for some vessels were as high as $8,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ per hour, more than three times 1998 catch rates. The majority of past catches came from 3Oc and 3Oe with some from 3Od, now essentially all the 2000 catch came from 3Oe. Historically, there were four small areas where it was possible for this fleet to find commercial concentrations, and now only one area. The characteristically small perch, which have made up this fishery in the past, continued to be present in catches. One vessel operator noted increased numbers of small fish less than 22 cm in catches during October and November. Total landings were below quota levels because of limited markets for 3 O perch. One vessel reported average water temperatures during October in 3Oe of 5.5 degrees C on the bottom and surface temperatures of 15.5 degrees C that is generally higher than previous years, especially for the surface temperature.

## SOURCES OF UNCERTAINTY

Although survey length frequencies detect the presence of above average year-classes, such as the 1988 year-class, at small sizes, for other year classes there is little evidence of recruitment until redfish show up at $17-19 \mathrm{~cm}$, despite using the same trawl that has detected fish at $8-9 \mathrm{~cm}$ in other areas and tracked them yearly. Hence variation in recruitment is poorly understood and poorly predicted. Likewise surveys and commercial fisheries rarely take redfish greater than 30 cm . It is unknown whether the larger redfish become unavailable to trawl gears, migrate into other areas, or simply cease growing once they reach lengths of $25-30 \mathrm{~cm}$. Together these limitations on survey data mean there is significant uncertainty about the size of the spawning biomass of this stock, and its medium term prospects due to incoming recruitment.

Because it is not possible to describe overall trends in absolute stock size, or estimate the current size of the fishable portion of the population, it is not possible to determine current fishing mortality rate.

This means that two common bases for sustainable management of fisheries are not available for this stock.

Current data suggest that redfish in this area are predominantly S. fasciatus. However, this needs further study. In addition, the affiliation of redfish in Div. 30 to those in adjacent areas remains unclear.

## PROGNOSIS

Historically, the stock has been able to support catches of $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ or more, and biomass has increased under normal recruitment patterns. Although variable, recent survey results suggest that catches of about 10,000 t have been sustainable.

Before 1998, the surveys were considered to have been monitoring pre-recruits to the fishery. The surveys tracked a relatively strong year class which in recent years caused problems for industry in complying with the small fish protocol. In 1998 the last strong year-class reached a size where it began to contribute to commercial catches. The Canadian fishery will continue to target this year-class in the near future. There is concern, however, about the poor sign of subsequent recruitment (less than 17 cm ). Careful monitoring of the frequency of redfish between 17 and 22 cm in survey and commercial catches should give advance warning if recruitment to this stock changes either upward or downward sufficiently that management should adjust harvests in response to changed productivity of the stock.

It is also important to consider that $50 \%$ of the males are mature at length of about 21 cm , whereas $50 \%$ of females do not reach maturity until about 28 cm .

The expanded fishery outside the 200 mile limit means that the TAC may no longer limit total catches at $10,000 \mathrm{t}$. This could have a detrimental effect on future state of the resource.
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Table 1. Nominal catches (t) and TACs of redfish in Div. 30.

| Year | Canada | Others | Total | TAC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 100 | 4,900 | 5,000 |  |
| 1961 | 1,000 | 10,000 | 11,000 |  |
| 1962 | 1,046 | 6,511 | 7,557 |  |
| 1963 | 2,155 | 7,025 | 9,180 |  |
| 1964 | 1,320 | 14,724 | 16,044 |  |
| 1965 | 203 | 19,588 | 19,791 |  |
| 1966 | 107 | 15,198 | 15,305 |  |
| 1967 | 645 | 18,392 | 19,037 |  |
| 1968 | 52 | 6,393 | 6,445 |  |
| 1969 | 186 | 15,692 | 15,878 |  |
| 1970 | 288 | 12,904 | 13,192 |  |
| 1971 | 165 | 19,627 | 19,792 |  |
| 1972 | 508 | 15,609 | 16,117 |  |
| 1973 | 133 | 8,664 | 8,797 |  |
| 1974 | 91 | 13,033 | 13,124 | 16,000 |
| 1975 | 103 | 15,007 | 15,110 | 16,000 |
| 1976 | 3,664 | 11,684 | 15,348 | 16,000 |
| 1977 | 2,972 | 7,878 | 10,850 | 16,000 |
| 1978 | 1,841 | 5,019 | 6,860 | 16,000 |
| 1979 | 6,404 | 11,333 | 17,737 | 20,000 |
| 1980 | 1,541 | 15,765 | 17,306 | 21,900 |
| 1981 | 2,577 | 10,027 | 12,604 | 20,000 |
| 1982 | 491 | 10,869 | 11,360 | 20,000 |
| 1983 | 7 | 7,333 | 7,340 | 20,000 |
| 1984 | 167 | 16,811 | 16,978 | 20,000 |
| 1985 | 104 | 12,756 | 12,860 | 20,000 |
| 1986 | 141 | 10,914 | 11,055 | 20,000 |
| 1987 | 183 | 26,987 | 27,170 | 20,000 |
| 1988 | 181 | 34,611 | 34,792 | 14,000 |
| 1989 | 27 | 13,229 | 13,256 | 14,000 |
| 1990 | 155 | 14,087 | 14,242 | 14,000 |
| 1991 | 28 | 8,433 | 8,461 | 14,000 |
| 1992 | 1,219 | 14,049 | 15,268 | 14,000 |
| 1993 | 698 | 15,022 | 15,720 | 14,000 |
| 1994 | 1,624 | 3,804 | 5,428 | 10,000 |
| 1995 | 177 | 3,037 | 3,214 | 10,000 |
| 1996 | 7,255 | 2,590 | 9,845 | 10,000 |
| 1997 | 2,588 | 2,559 | 5,147 | 10,000 |
| 1998 | 8,931 | 5,121 | 14,052 | 10,000 |
| 1999-2000 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,322 | 10,250 | 12,572 | 10,200 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 2000-2001 ${ }^{\text {a,c }}$ | 1,528 | 7,349 | 8,877 | 10,000 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Provisional
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Catches are for 1999. TAC adjusted from 10,000 tons and extended to March 31, 2000
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Provisional to Nov. 14, 2000 (based on Canadian Atlantic Quota Reports and NAFO data)
${ }^{d}$ TAC runs from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2001

Table 2. Nominal catches ( t ) of redfish in Div. 30 by country and year since 1987 (1999-2000 are provisional, 2000 to Nov. 14)

| Country | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999a | 2000a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada (M) | 24 | 5 | 18 | 27 | 4 | 27 | 21 | 779 | 4 | 2124 | 693 | 2850 | 317 |  |
| Canada (N) | 159 | 176 | 9 | 128 | 24 | 1192 | 677 | 845 | 173 | 5131 | 1895 | 6081 | 2005 |  |
| France (SPM) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 134 | 266 | - |  |
| Japan | 1074 | 1606 | 1724 | 1406 | 226 | 125 | 159 | - | 264 | 417 | 285 | 355 | - |  |
| Portugal | - | 22 | 12 | 83 | 3 | 1468 | 4794 | 2918 | 1935 | 1635 | 894 | 1875 | 5470 |  |
| Spain | 26 | 4 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 26 | 22 | 338 | 1245 | 1925 | 4549 |  |
| Russia | 7152 | 4921 | 4517 | 3811 | 4427 | 5845 | 6887 | 60 | 416 | - | - | - | 231 |  |
| Cuba | 2859 | 2753 | 2138 | 2750 | 2748 | 2776 | 665 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| USA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Korea(S) | 1726 | 1805 | 2638 | 833 | 129 | 1935 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| EU | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| OTHER ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 14150 | 23500 | 2200 | 5200 | 900 | 1900 | 2500 | 800 | 400 | 200 | - | 700 | NA | NA |
| Total | 27170 | 34792 | 13256 | 14242 | 8461 | 15268 | 15720 | 5428 | 3214 | 9845 | 5146 | 14052 | 12572 |  |
| TAC | 20000 | 14000 | 14000 | 14000 | 14000 | 14000 | 14000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10200 | 10000 |

${ }^{a}$ Provisional
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Estimates of non-reported catch (by Canadian Surveillance)

Table 3a. Nominal catches (t) of redfish in Div. 30 by month and year since 1987 (not including surveillance estimates).

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1987 | 102 | 40 | 1052 | 37 | 1010 | 757 | 2001 | 4142 | 429 | 344 | 1326 | 1780 | 13020 |
| 1988 | 15 | 1 | 493 | 684 | 915 | 1 | 1755 | 3922 | 1286 | 1057 | 915 | 248 | 11292 |
| 1989 | 228 | 585 | 224 | 6 | 674 | 1411 | 1143 | 3311 | 2737 | 666 | 51 | 20 | 11056 |
| 1990 | 108 | 23 | 257 | 26 | 1220 | 2474 | 1534 | 1571 | 1002 | 686 | 28 | 113 | 9042 |
| $1991^{a}$ | 17 | 47 | 96 | 1 | 713 | 2054 | 2346 | 1118 | 830 | 338 | - | 1 | 7561 |
| 1992 | 0 | 57 | 14 | 10 | 635 | 3262 | 2520 | 1808 | 896 | 1261 | 797 | 2108 | 13368 |
| 1993 | 226 | 14 | 754 | 817 | 2089 | 1601 | 1887 | 2068 | 1809 | 829 | 630 | 496 | 13220 |
| $1994^{\text {a }}$ | 60 | 93 | 742 | 1609 | 236 | 83 | - | 68 | 1000 | 540 | 19 | 178 | 4628 |
| $1995^{\text {a }}$ | 7 | 125 | 145 | 2 | 45 | 28 | 56 | 765 | 645 | 879 | 107 | 10 | 2814 |
| $1996^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | 88 | 119 | 166 | 46 | 704 | 783 | 1582 | 2814 | 1524 | 1481 | 9307 |
| $1997^{\text {a }}$ | 4 | - | - | 43 | 87 | 416 | 1299 | 943 | 622 | 963 | 435 | 49 | 4861 |
| $1998^{\text {a }}$ | - | 174 | 22 | 74 | 890 | 2485 | 1685 | 239 | 598 | 1374 | 1251 | 142 | 8934 |
| $1999^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | 2 | 53 | - | 188 | 463 | 337 | 207 | 847 | 230 | 18 |  | 2346 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Provisional (1999 for Canada)

Table 3b. Nominal catches (t) of redfish in Div. 30 by gear since 1987 (not including surveillance estimates).

|  | Otter Trawls |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Bottom Midwater |  |  |  | Gillnets |
| 1987 | 8601 | 4419 | Misc | Total |  |
| 1988 | 6692 | 4596 | - | - | 13020 |
| 1989 | 7026 | 4030 | - | - | 11292 |
| 1990 | 5501 | 3537 | - | 4 | 9042 |
| 1991 | 4625 | 2936 | - | - | 7561 |
| 1992 | 10046 | 3292 | 1 | 29 | 13368 |
| 1993 | 11997 | 1214 | - | 9 | 13220 |
| 1994 | 3085 | 1498 | 26 | 19 | 4628 |
| 1995 | 2221 | 525 | 26 | 42 | 2814 |
| 1996 | 8966 | 334 | 7 | - | 9307 |
| 1997 | 4841 | 10 | 2 | - | 4853 |
| 1998 | 8932 | - | - | 2 | 8934 |
| $1999^{\text {a }}$ | 1053 | 970 |  | 320 | 2343 |

[^0]Table 4. Mean weight (kg) of redfish caught p
("---" indicates strata not sampled). I
Engels 145 bottom trawl. Estimates from 1996-1999 are the actual Campelen trawl data.

| STRATUM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & (\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | Area* sq. n. mi | $\begin{array}{r} 1991 \\ \text { Spring } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1992 \\ \text { Spring } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1993 \\ \text { Spring } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $1993$ <br> Summer | $\begin{array}{r} 1994 \\ \text { Spring } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Engel } \\ 1995 \\ \text { Spring } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Campelen 1996 Spring | $\begin{array}{r} 1997 \\ \text { Spring } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1998 \\ \text { Spring } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1999 \\ \text { Spring } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2000 \\ \text { Spring } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 329 | 093-183 | 1721 | 0.3 (9) | 0.0 (8) | 0.0 (6) | --- | 11.2 (5) | 0.5 (5) | 0.0 (6) | 1.0 (6) | 0.0 (7) | 0.0 (6) | 0.0 (5) |
| 332 | 093-183 | 1047 | 0.7 (6) | 0.2 (5) | 0.0 (4) | --- | 0.0 (4) | 148.5 (4) | 11.9 (4) | 0.3 (3) | 49.1 (4) | 238.5 (4) | 1.7 (4) |
| 337 | 093-183 | 948 | 16.0 (5) | 1.5 (4) | 0.9 (2) | --- | 0.0 (3) | 335.0 (4) | 0.1 (3) | 0.1 (3) | 75.9 (4) | 29.5 (3) | 14.5 (3) |
| 339 | 093-183 | 585 | 0.0 (3) | 0.0 (2) | 0.0 (2) | ---- | 0.0 (2) | 0.0 (2) | 0.0 (2) | 0.0 (2) | 0.0 (2) | 0.0 (2) | 0.0 (2) |
| 354 | 093-183 | 474 | 0.0 (3) | 0.0 (2) | 284.6 (2) | 489.1 (3) | 0.0 (2) | 0.0 (3) | 0.0 (2) | 0.0 (2) | 109.4 (2) | 28.7 (2) | 0.1 (2) |
| 333 | 185-274 | 151(147) | 120.8 (2) | 404.0 (2) | 1339.7 (2) | --- | 5428.5 (2) | 113.5 (2) | 120.4 (2) | 20.2 (2) | 696.3 (2) | 797.6 (2) | 236.2 (2) |
| 336 | 185-274 | 121 | 11.6 (2) | 81.2 (2) | 630.9 (2) | 431.2 (2) | 1032.9 (2) | 8543.1 (2) | 161.8 (2) | 7.7 (2) | 5068.7 (2) | 198.9 (2) | 226.1 (2) |
| 355 | 185-274 | 103 | 2.7 (2) | 2.8 (2) | 972.9 (2) | 162.9 (3) | 608.3 (2) | 178.4 (2) | 4916.3 (2) | 7.5 (2) | 741.6 (2) | 314.7 (2) | 502.8 (2) |
| 334 | 275-366 | 92(96) | 103.3 (2) | 36.5 (2) | 202.9 (2) | --- (3) | 171.1 (2) | 29.4 (2) | 220.0 (2) | 33.9 (2) | 140.3 (2) | 478.9 (2) | 733.0 (2) |
| 335 | 275-366 | 58 | 4.3 (3) | 54.3 (3) | 118.3 (2) | 9874.4 | 1210.4 (2) | 263.7 (2) | 2445.8 (2) | 58.7 (2) | 1053.9 (2) | 1460.3 (2) | 138.7 (2) |
| 356 | 275-366 | 61 | 26.6 (2) | 113.0 (2) | 462.4 (2) | 5750.3 (4) | 135.8 (2) | 468.0 (2) | 515.8 (2) | 7.5 (2) | 651.6 (2) | 1600.5 (2) | 4317.8 (2) |
| 717 | 367-549 | 93(166) | 452.4 (2) | 74.3 (2) | 83.2 (2) | --- | 395.3 (2) | 91.4 (2) | 191.2 (2) | 534.7 (2) | 143.1 (2) | 670.0 (2) | 310.6 (2) |
| 719 | 367-549 | 76 | 33.7 (2) | 12.3 (2) | 150.0 (2) | 4258.2 (2) | 669.7 (2) | 71.8 (2) | 79.5 (2) | 59.6 (2) | 291.6 (2) | 289.0 (2) | 326.3 (2) |
| 721 | 367-549 | 76 | 24.7 (2) | 183.6 (2) | 110.5 (2) | 2485.7 (4) | 22.0 (2) | 1220.5 (2) | 68.2 (2) | 20.9 (2) | 153.0 (2) | 651.6 (2) | 129.6 (2) |
| 718 | 550-731 | 111(134) | 42.2 (2) | 7.5 (2) | 87.7 (2) | --- | 156.0 (2) | 7.3 (2) | 27.2 (2) | 15.0 (2) | 35.5 (3) | 16.7 (3) | 174.5 (3) |
| 720 | 550-731 | 105 | 11.7 (2) | 57.7 (2) | 9.7 (2) | 50.7 (3) | 15.9 (2) | 14.6 (2) | 129.1 (2) | 21.0 (2) | 14.5 (2) | 103.6 (2) | 17.7 (2) |
| 722 | 550-731 | 93 | 118.4 (2) | 12.6 (2) | 33.2 (2) | 75.3 (3) | 126.1 (2) | 6.3 (2) | 25.4 (2) | 12.2 (2) | 137.0 (2) | 19.7 (2) | 261.0 (2) |
| Stratified Analysis: |  | Upper | 100.7 | 104.2 | 277.6 | 2689.9 | 848.6 | 451.0 | 1210.0 | 189.5 | 1504.1 | 268.3 | 145.8 |
|  |  | Mean | 18.8 | 19.6 | 103.1 | 1498.8 | 208.3 | 283.8 | 135.3 | 19.0 | 192.7 | 148.2 | 101.0 |
|  |  | Lower | -63.2 | -65.0 | -71.5 | 307.7 | -431.9 | 116.6 | -939.4 | -151.5 | -1118.8 | 28.1 | 56.2 |
| SURVEY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIOMASS <br> (metric tons) |  |  | 1527815961 |  | 83874 | 240612 | 172264 | 234648 | 111854 | 15721 | 159313 | 122550 | 83508 |

*NOTE: In brackets are revised areas based on a redrawn stratification scheme implemented in 1994.

| Unconverted Estimates of the Engels Trawl (1.75 n. mi. tow) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stratified Analysis: | Upper | 120.0 | 79.3 | 243.4 | 1008.2 | 779.2 | 284.3 |
|  | Mean | 18.2 | 15.2 | 93.5 | 597.5 | 164.9 | 186.7 |
|  | Lower | -83.6 | -48.8 | -56.4 | 126.7 | -449.5 | 89.2 |
| SURVEY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIOMASS <br> (metric tons) |  | 8082 | 6759 | 41518 | 52338 | 74391 | 84261 |

Table 5. Mean weight (kg) of redfish caught per standard tow in Division 30 during autumn Canadian research surveys from 1991-2000. ("---" indicates strata not sampled). Estimates from 1991-1994 are Campelen trawl equivalent units based on a Comparative fishing trials with an Engels 145 bottom trawl. Estimates from 1995-2000 are the actual Campelen trawl data.

| STRATUM | Depth (m) | Area* sq. n. mi | $1991$ <br> Autumn | $\begin{array}{r} 1992 \\ \text { Autumn } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1993 \\ \text { Autumn } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Engel $1994$ <br> Autumn | Campelen 1995 Autumn | $1996$ <br> Autumn | $1997$ <br> Autumn | $1998$ <br> Autumn | $1999$ <br> Autumn | $2000$ <br> Autumn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 329 | 093-183 | 1721 | 0.02 (7) | 0.00 (3) | 0.00 (5) | 0.00 (6) | 1.0 (5) | 0.0 (5) | 22.59 (5) | 0.0 (5) | 0.0 (5) | 0.0 (5) |
| 332 | 093-183 | 1047 | 0.00 (4) | 13.29 (3) | 2.69 (3) | 15.59 (3) | 31.5 (3) | 0.2 (2) | 7.73 (3) | 2.7 (3) | 0.8 (3) | 0.8 (3) |
| 337 | 093-183 | 948 | 30.80 (4) | 64.65 (2) | 7.00 (3) | 5.04 (2) | 55.5 (2) | 0.0 (2) | 17.93 (3) | 34.6 (3) | 1.9 (3) | 13.0 (3) |
| 339 | 093-183 | 585 | 0.00 (2) | 0.00 (2) | 0.00 (2) | 0.00 (2) | 0.0 (2) | 0.0 (3) | 0.00 (2) | 0.0 (2) | --- | 0.2 (2) |
| 354 | 093-183 | 474 | 0.00 (2) | 171.5 (2) | 0.00 (2) | 0.00 (2) | 785.3 (3) | 15.6 (2) | 915.00 (2) | 31.5 (2) | 69.0 (2) | 0.0 (2) |
| 333 | 185-274 | 151(147) | 27.06 (2) | 168.0 (2) | 46.53 (2) | 257.7 (2) | 107.0 (2) | --- | 26.45 (2) | 20.0 (2) | 18.0 (2) | 25.7 (2) |
| 336 | 185-274 | 121 | 18.46 (2) | 374.3 (2) | 378.7 (2) | 357.8 (2) | 49.7 (2) | 9.1 (2) | 117.42 (2) | 103.8 (2) | 548.7 (2) | 98.9 (2) |
| 355 | 185-274 | 103 | 352.2 (2) | 450.7 (2) | 77.86 (2) | 264.2 (2) | 237.0 (2) | 37.9 (2) | 25.85 (2) | 11.9 (2) | 387.8 (2) | 127.8 (2) |
| 334 | 275-366 | 92(96) | 1317.9 (2) | 480.7 (2) | 380.5 (3) | 171.1 (2) | 506.8 (2) | --- (2) | 289.50 (2) | 188.3 (2) | 22.6 (2) | 58.8 (2) |
| 335 | 275-366 | 58 | 512.6 (2) | 850.9 (2) | 351.8 (2) | 877.1 (2) | 187.7 (2) | 332.2 (2) | 1114.4 (2) | 362.1 (2) | 443.2 (2) | 360.3 (2) |
| 356 | 275-366 | 61 | 59.40 (2) | 684.6 (2) | 60.08 (2) | 303.8 (2) | 387.6 (2) | 145.5 (2) | 106.10 (2) | 914.5 (2) | 592.9 (2) | 801.7 (2) |
| 717 | 367-549 | 93(166) | --- | --- | 1391.3 (2) | 340.4 (2) | 588.8 (2) | --- (2) | 2281.8 (2) | 1834.0 (2) | 135.7 | 1212.0 (2) |
| 719 | 367-549 | 76 | 268.9 (2) | --- | 930.5 (2) | 536.2 (2) | 414.0 (2) | 656.4 (2) | 880.23 (2) | 321.3 (2) | 691.0 (2) | 1397.9 (3) |
| 721 | 367-549 | 76 | 53.71 (2) | --- | 100.4 (2) | 16.57 (2) | 1666.7 (2) | 87.3 (2) | 732.51 (2) | 410.5 (2) | 177.5 (2) | 259.0 (2) |
| 718 | 550-731 | 111(134) | --- | --- | 169.3 (2) | 442.1 (2) | 409.4 (2) | --- | 37.13 (2) | 4.4 (2) | 48.0 (2) | 24.8 (2) |
| 720 | 550-731 | 105 | --- | --- | 50.02 (2) | 118.7 (2) | 16.5 (2) | 572.6 (2) | --- | 162.6 (2) | 21.3 (2) | 58.9 (2) |
| 722 | 550-731 | 93 | 7.67 (2) | --- | 164.0 (2) | 22.71 (2) | 125.8 (2) | 103.9 (2) | 3.96 (2) | 108.6 (2) | 5.3 (2) | 38.3 (2) |
| Stratified Analysis: |  | Upper | 306.5 | 147.4 | 105.2 | 109.0 | 971.9 | 86.2 | 1182.1 | 701.7 | 106.8 | 90.6 |
|  |  | Mean | 44.9 | 76.3 | 63.6 | 64.5 | 151.9 | 30.5 | 190.3 | 91.5 | 56.4 | 76.2 |
|  |  | Lower | -216.7 | 5.2 | 22.1 | 20.0 | -668.2 | -25.1 | -801.5 | -518.7 | 6.0 | 61.7 |
| SURVEY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIOMASS <br> (metric tons) |  |  | 34618 | 56247 | 51782 | 53324 | 125579 | 22974 | 154622 | 75649 | 42100 | 62969 |

*NOTE: In brackets are revised areas based on a redrawn stratification scheme implemented in 1994.
Unconverted Estimates of the Engels Trawl (1.75 n. mi. tow)

| Stratified Analysis: | Upper | 274.2 | 163.4 | 127.9 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Mean | 37.2 | 65.2 | 64.0 |
|  | Lower | 199.8 | -32.9 | 0.1 |
| SURVEY |  |  |  |  |
| BIOMASS |  | $\mathbf{1 5 6 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 2 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 4 2 3}$ |
| (metric tons) |  |  | $\mathbf{2 8 3 8 7}$ |  |



Fig. 1. Nominal catches and TACs for Division 30 redfish.


Fig. 2. Commercial catch-at-length for Div. 30 redfish estimated by available samples adjusted to landings by fleet, gear and month.


Fig. 3. Indices of survey biomass for redfish in Div. 30 for spring and autumn surveys from 1991-2000. Surveys prior to autumn 1995 utilized an Engel trawl. Estimates were converted into Campelen equivalents based on comparative fishing trials.








Fig. 4. Distribution of 30 Spring DFO RV Redfish catches.









Fig. 5. Distribution of 30 Autumn DFO RV Redfish catches.


Fig. 6. Length distributions from RV surveys to Div. 3 O in SPRING from 1991-2000. Plotted are mean per standard tow. The 1991-1995 data are convertions into Campelen equivalents based on a comparative fishing experiments.



Fig. 7. Length distributions from RV surveys to Div. 3 O in AUTUMN from 1991-2000. Plotted are mean per standard tow. The 1991-1994 data are convertions into Campelen equivalents based on a comparative fishing experiments.


Fig. 8. Length distributions from RV surveys to Div. 3 O in spring from 1973-1990. Plotted are mean per standard tow. The surveys covered depths to 200 fathoms.


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Provisional (1998 for Canada only)

