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#### Abstract

Rivers Inlet (Owikeno Lake) and Smith Inlet (Long Lake) sockeye salmon stocks have shown recent dramatic declines in total abundance. Similar declines in total abundance of sockeye originating from Statistical Area 8 are also documented. All available data indicate that the critically low sockeye returns to both Rivers and Smith Inlet in 1999 and 2000 resulted from very poor marine survival for three consecutive brood years (1994-1996), not a failure in freshwater productivity. Marine survival indices for Owikeno and Long Lake indicate that marine survival has generally been poor for all brood years entering the ocean in 1992-1998. The critically low escapements in 1999 and 2000 are a result of the compounding effect of poor marine survival and low brood year escapements. If marine survival continues to be poor for Rivers and Smith Inlet sockeye, drastic measures may be required to prevent a downward spiral to extirpation. On the other hand, if marine survival returned to normal for sea-entry year 1999, returns to Rivers Inlet will exceed the escapement target in 2001 as a result of the above target escapement in 1997. This is not the case for Smith Inlet where escapements have been well below target since 1995 .

RÉSUMÉ L'abondance totale des stocks de saumon rouge de Rivers Inlet (lac Owikeno) et de Smith Inlet (Long Lake) a récemment connu une forte baisse. De telles chutes dans l'abondance totale sont aussi documentées pour le saumon rouge de la zone statistique 8 . Toutes les données disponibles révèlent que le taux dangereusement faible de remontées de saumon rouge dans Rivers Inlet ainsi que dans Smith Inlet en 1999 et en 2000 découlait de la survie en mer très faible au cours de trois années consécutives de ponte (de 1994 à 1996), et non d'un échec de la production en eau douce. Les indices du taux de survie en mer pour le lac Owikeno et Long Lake indiquent qu'en général, la survie en mer a été faible pour toutes les années de ponte qui ont atteint l'océan de 1992 à 1998. L'effet cumulé de la faible survie en mer et des faibles échappées des jeunes de l'année est à l'origine de la faiblesse critique des échappées en 1999 et en 2000. Afin d'empêcher la disparition de l'espèce, des mesures draconiennes devront peut-être être appliquées si la survie en mer du saumon rouge de Rivers Inlet et de Smith Inlet reste faible. Par contre, si elle revient à la normale pour l'année d'avalaison 1999, les remontées dans Rivers Inlet dépasseront l'échappée cible en 2001 en raison de l'échappée cible supérieure de 1997, ce qui n'est pas le cas pour Smith Inlet où les échappées ont été largement inférieures à l'objectif depuis 1995.


### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Sockeye salmon stocks in Rivers and Smith Inlet have declined dramatically in total abundance. The decline in Rivers Inlet has culminated in total closure of the commercial fishery since 1996 and a record low reported escapement of only 3,600 sockeye salmon in 1999. In neighbouring Smith Inlet, the commercial fishery has been closed since 1997 and a record low escapement of 5,900 sockeye salmon was recorded in 1999. The decline and status of the Rivers Inlet sockeye salmon stock was reviewed by the Pacific Stock Assessment Review Committee (PSARC) in 1995 and 1998 (Rutherford et al. 1995, Rutherford et al. 1998). The status of the Smith Inlet sockeye salmon stock was last reviewed by PSARC in 1994 (Rutherford and Wood 1994) prior to the decline in adult returns. In addition, a comprehensive recovery plan (Holtby 2000) was developed for both Rivers and Smith Inlet sockeye salmon because of the recent critically low sockeye salmon escapements. This document has been prepared in response to a request to provide an update on the status of sockeye salmon stocks in Rivers and Smith Inlet and smaller sockeye stocks in statistical Area 8 and to review our current understanding of the reasons for their decline.

Almost all sockeye salmon production from Rivers Inlet (Statistical Area 9) originates from spawning grounds associated with Owikeno Lake, a deep, cold, and typically oligotrophic coastal lake (Ruggles 1965: Narver 1969). Owikeno lake is large by coastal standards ( $96 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) and comprises four distinct basins, each separated by shallow narrows (Fig. 1). The two lowermost basins (stations 1-3) account for approximately $90 \%$ of the total lake area, and these are deep and highly turbid; the two uppermost basins are much smaller, shallower and less turbid. Many streams flow into Owikeno Lake. The two largest the Machmell and Sheemahant, are very turbid and carry the bulk of the silt in to the main basins of Owikeno Lake. The $5-\mathrm{km}$ long Wannock River drains Owikeno Lake into Rivers Inlet.

Similarly, almost all sockeye salmon production from Smith Inlet (Area 10) originates from spawning areas associated with Long Lake. The lake is clear, cold and typically oligotrophic (Hyatt and Stockner 1985). The lake is long, narrow and has a surface area of $21 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. Two main streams, Canoe and Smokehouse flow into Long Lake near the top end. The 2-km long Docee River drains Long Lake in to Wyclees lagoon. This lagoon is influenced by tides and drains into Smith Inlet through Quashella Narrows. An adult salmon counting fence is located on the Docee River at the outlet end of Long Lake (Fig. 2).

Long Lake has been subject to nutrient enrichment through the Lake Fertilization Program (Hyatt and Stockner 1985). Long Lake was initially fertilized in 1977 to 1979. Fertilization did not take place in 1980 and 1981 but resumed again for years 1982 to 1997. The lake was not fertilized in 1998, 1999, and 2000. Fertilization application normally occurs between April and September of a calendar year thus making the 1976 sockeye brood the first to be treated.

Little is known about the status of the small sockeye salmon stocks in the southern central coast (Statistical Area 8, Fig. 3) other than the estimates of escapement reported in the Salmon Escapement Database System (SEDS; Serbic 1991). The Atnarko system is the largest contributor to sockeye production for Area 8 with reported escapements ranging from a high of 150,000 in 1957 to a low of 13,000 in 1965. Based on escapement information Kimsquit, Koeye, Namu, and Port John Lake support the next largest sockeye stocks in Area 8. Of these Kimsquit is the largest with escapements ranging from a high of 55,000 in 1971 to a low of 1,000 in 1999. Reported annual escapements have not exceeded 14,000 for other lakes in Area 8.

### 2.0 METHODS

### 2.1 Spawning Escapements

The glacial turbidity of Owikeno Lake and its major spawning streams preclude reliable estimation of spawning escapements by visual survey methods (Walters et al. 1993, Rutherford et al. 1995). Nevertheless, estimates of spawning escapement to Area 9 are recorded for years 1948-1999. Escapements for years 1948 to 1951 are from Wood et al. (1970). Escapement for years 1952-1999 are available from SEDS summary data files maintained by DFO staff in Prince Rupert on the DFO internal public drive (file: 9esc.xls, Appendix 1b). There is evidence that this escapement data is biased and that the bias has been changing with time. For unknown reasons, the proportion of total escapement attributed to glacial streams has increased over time from approximately $33 \%$ to $67 \%$ (McKinnell et al. 2001; Rutherford et al. 1998).

To avoid problems of bias and inconsistency in estimating sockeye escapement to the glacially turbid rivers, we use a "clear stream escapement index" (CSI) based only on reported escapements to the clear streams of Owikeno Lake that are easily accessible. This index is the sum of the escapement estimates for the Ashlum, Dallery, Genesee, Inziana and Washwash rivers (Table 1). Commencing in 1996 salmon escapement to the clear streams was estimated using an "area under the curve" (AUC) procedure. Individual stream visit logs are available for clear streams for a limited number of years (1983-1996) and we have constructed estimates of escapement using a standardized AUC procedure. We then compared our estimates with the previously reported SEDS escapement for each of the clear rivers. In 1997 the SEDS escapement for Owikeno Lake (Rivers Inlet) was estimated by regressing the previous estimates of escapement (for the period 1948-1996) on the clear stream escapement index in order to mimic the historical process used to extrapolate from clear streams to the entire system. The resulting equation was $\mathrm{y}=1.76 \mathrm{x}$ +139563 . However, in 1998 the clear stream escapement index was very low, and we were unwilling to assume that relatively large numbers of fish spawned in turbid streams when so few were observed in the preferred clear streams. Accordingly the SEDS escapement was estimated by simply assuming that the total escapement was 4.3 times the clear stream index as was reported in 1995. Commencing in 1999, once it was recognized that the historical estimates were seriously flawed because of unknown and inconsistent bias (see McKinnell et al. 2001), we adopted a standard multiplier of 3X the

CSI to derive "total" sockeye escapements for Owikeno Lake. This 3 x multiplier was derived empirically from a sockeye salmon mark-recapture study carried out in the single largest turbid tributary of Owikeno Lake, the Sheemahant River (Mattock and Frederiksen 1999). This study indicated that the escapement to the Sheemahant River in 1999 was approximately equivalent to the total clear stream escapement. Although the uncertainty is high, it indicates that the clear stream escapement is < $50 \%$ of total escapement. Considering the size of the remaining streams, we reasoned that the clear stream escapement index probably accounts for about one third of the total escapement (see details in McKinnell et al. 2001). For all analysis in this report requiring Area 9 escapement data we have used this more consistent " 3 x CSI" for a total estimate of escapement instead of the escapement reported in SEDS.

Estimates of spawning escapements to Area 10 are available for years 1950-1999 (Appendix 1a) and preliminary data are available for 2000. Escapements for 1972 to 2000 were counted past a weir and are considered very reliable. Prior to 1963 , sockeye escapements to Long Lake were estimated from visual surveys of Smokehouse River and Canoe Creek. In 1963 a count of sockeye entering Long Lake was conducted from a tower on the Docee River as an experimental program to provide timely escapement estimates for in-season management (Wood et al. 1970). After a lapse of four years the tower was once again operational for 1968, 1970, and 1971. Visual enumeration of the two spawning areas were made during the years the tower was not operational. A counting facility (fence) was constructed and operational for the 1972 return year and has operated annually since inception.

From 1972 to 1997 the Docee Fence was normally operational from the end of June through to the second week of August for the enumeration of sockeye salmon. All fish counted through the fence during this period were assumed to be sockeye. Commencing in 1998 operation of the fence was extended to the end of September to allow for the enumeration of coho. Because no trap facilities are built into the fence and the counting tower is approximately 8 m above the river it is not possible to visually distinguish between sockeye and coho as they pass over the counting plate. From 1998 to 2000 species composition was determined from dip net catches made on the downstream side of the fence and from identification of moribund fish that occasionally drift back onto the fence panels. This sampling was used to apportion the total daily count past the fence into daily sockeye and coho salmon counts.

Estimates of sockeye salmon spawning escapement to the Atnarko River system are available for years 1950 to 1999 (Appendix 1c). Escapement estimates since the early 1970's are considered to be a reliable index because enumeration has been carried out using consistent aerial overflight techniques (Lyle Enderud, DFO Fisheries Manager, Bella Coola B.C. pers com). Conversely, estimates of sockeye escapement to Koeye, Namu, Port John, and Kimsquit from the SEDS database and are fraught with all the reliability issues associated with undocumented effort and methodology.

### 2.2 Catch

Commercial catch data for Rivers and Smith Inlet are considered reliable. Sockeye enter the mouths of Rivers and Smith Inlet in late June to early July and peak in abundance about mid-July (Starr et al. 1984). Tagging studies (English et al. 1984, Gazey and English 2000) have suggested that these stocks are not caught in northern fisheries operating outside of Areas 9 and 10. However, results from a central coast tagging study (Anonymous 1982) indicate that $80 \%$ of Rivers Inlet sockeye migrate directly into Area 9 and $20 \%$ pass through Area 7 and 8 fisheries where some interception has occurred (Starr et al. 1994). Starr et al. (1984) in their stock reconstruction, for the period 1970 to 1980, estimated that these interception fisheries have imposed harvest rates ranging from 1 to $25 \%$ (average <10\%) on Rivers Inlet sockeye. These interception fisheries were drastically reduced in 1984 with the closing of the Loredo and Milbanke sound areas to commercial salmon harvest. For the purposes of this report we have not attempted to reconstruct Area 9 catch and have used catch estimates as reported in the Regional Catch Database (Holmes and Whitfield 1991).

Data presented in Bachen et al. (1997) suggests that some interception of nonArea 10 sockeye in the outer fishing areas of Area 10 (Statistical Area 10-3, Fig. 2) has occurred, again we have not attempted to reconstruct catch for this Area and have used catch estimates from the Regional Catch Database. Catch data is reported in Table 1 and 2. Commercial catch estimates for years 1998 to 2000 are preliminary and were obtained from hailed sales slip information. Troll catch landed in Areas 9 and 10 has not been included because fish landed are harvested from unknown areas outside of Area 9 and 10.

Up to 1990 First Nation sockeye salmon catch has not been considered or included in the total catch estimates because First Nation catch has been estimated to be less than $1 \%$ of the total stock and catch reporting is intermittent. However commencing with the closures of the commercial gillnet fisheries in Rivers and Smith Inlet in 1997 and 1998 respectively a concerted effort was made to obtain and include First Nation catches when estimating total returning stock size. Recent First Nation catches are reported in Tables 1 and 2.

For the purposes of this report total commercial catch reported for Statistical Area 8 was used. Catch data for years 1952 to 1999 was obtained from the region catch database and recent data was extracted from sales slip information collected through the Charter Patrol Program (Table 3). Terminal First Nation fisheries occur within the Bella Coola River but they have not been considered in this report.

### 2.3 Total Stock

Total stock size for Rivers Inlet has been calculated as $3 x C S I$ escapement + catch. For Smith Inlet and Area 8, total sockeye salmon stock size has been calculated as escapement (as reported in SEDS) + catch. For Rivers Inlet this measure or estimate of total stock size is considered very unreliable because the estimate of escapement to

Owikeno Lake is considered very unreliable. Because the ratio of catch to escapement has changed dramatically through regulation of fishing effort, estimates of total stock are very sensitive to the multiplier used to convert clear stream indices into absolute counts. In contrast, total stock size for Smith Inlet since 1972 is considered reliable because both catch and escapement are direct counts. The total stock size for Area 8 sockeye has been calculated as catch + estimated escapement to all sockeye stocks in Area 8. The accuracy of total stock size for Area 8 is unknown. The two main reasons for this uncertainty is firstly, there is some uncertainty in levels of escapement to the many small stocks, and secondly, sockeye caught commercially in lower Area 8 (Fisher Fitz Hugh Sound) may be returning to other streams besides those in Area 8.

### 2.4 Age Composition and Total Returns by Brood Year

Estimates of total returns from brood year escapements are required to evaluate survival and productivity. Total returns for Area 9 and 10 sockeye were computed by decomposing the total stock size estimates in consecutive years by brood year using the available data on age composition. Age composition data is collected routinely from the escapement assessment programs in Owikeno Lake and Docee River. Data from spawning sites in Owikeno Lake was pooled to estimate the overall age composition of the escapement to Rivers Inlet. Due to low returns in 1999 limited age data was available from spawning sockeye, and this was supplemented with age data obtained from sockeye salmon captured in a fishwheel as they migrated up the Wannock River to Owikeno Lake (Mattock and Frederiksen 1999). For 1972 to 2000 all age samples collected at the Docee Fence have been stratified by date within a given year and then weighted appropriately by run size. Age samples were stratified by week if sufficient age samples were available ( $\mathrm{n}>15$ ); otherwise weekly periods were pooled until $\mathrm{n}>15$. Age data from the commercial fisheries was pooled by statistical area (Table 5).

Age data from fisheries and spawning grounds in Area 8 has been collected only intermittently. Consequently, the time series of data available is too incomplete to warrant analysis in this report.

### 2.5 Juvenile Abundance

Estimates of juvenile abundance are required to identify whether changes in survival originate in freshwater or at sea. In many years, including all recent brood years (1994-1999) juvenile sockeye salmon abundance in Owikeno Lake was measured directly by night-time surface trawling at stations 1-3 during July and August using standardized methods described by Wood and Schutz (1970). The size and number of juvenile sockeye caught in standardized trawl surveys provides an index of fry recruitment and smolt production. Late summer trawl surveys began in 1960, were discontinued in 1969, and were reinstated in 1995 with the creation of the Stock Assessment Division. The JulyAugust sampling period was selected because the majority of juveniles are vulnerable to the surface trawl gear at this time (Hyatt et al. 1989).

We recognize that a juvenile index based on surface trawling would be inconsistent if the vertical distribution of sockeye changed between surveys. To address this concern trawling at depth was carried out in years 1998-2000. Individual surface trawl catches can vary considerably and appear to be lognormally distributed. For this reason, individual catches have been $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$-transformed and averaged within stations. For years in which surface trawling was not carried out, juvenile abundance indices have been inferred from either pre-smolt weight (Rutherford et al. 1995) or freshwater scale growth (McKinnell et al. 2001). To evaluate trends in freshwater survival rates, indices of juvenile abundance within Owikeno Lake were converted to absolute pre-smolt abundance as follows:

Pre-smolt abundance=average catch per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ *lake surface area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
Where average catch $=\mathrm{e}^{(\text {juvenile index })} /$ surface area swept $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
and surface area swept $=$ net opening $(\mathrm{m}) *$ distance travelled ( m )
net opening $=0.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
lake surface area $=96 * 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

Within Long Lake juvenile abundance is measured using hydro-acoustic and trawl techniques (Hyatt et al. 2000).

Pre-smolt abundance estimates were used to estimate freshwater and marine survival rates for both Owikeno and Long Lake as follows:

Freshwater Survival Rate = juvenile abundance/ potential egg deposition
Where potential egg deposition is estimated as the escapement * $50 \%$ females * 3,500 eggs.

Marine Survival Rate $=$ brood year return/ juvenile abundance estimate
The freshwater and marine survival rates for Owikeno Lake must be considered as crude indications of relative survival. Both indices are the ratio of measurements that may be biased or imprecise. Recall for example that the total escapement estimate for Owikeno Lake is estimated rather arbitrarily as 3 X the clear stream index. Similarly, juvenile abundance is determined by trawling only in the surface layer Although this provides a reasonably consistent index for monitoring trends in abundance, absolute abundance and hence freshwater survival will be underestimated to the extent that some juveniles are not vulnerable either because they occur deeper in the water column or because they can evade the net.

These measurement problems are reduced for Long Lake where the escapement is counted through a fence, and juvenile abundance is determined hydro-acoustically. However, hydroacoustic estimates often underestimate pre-smolt abundance and the
occurrence of limnetic sticklebacks in Long Lake poses special problems (Hyatt et al. 2000). Currently, no programs exist to estimate juvenile abundance in Namu, Koeye, Port John or Kimsquit lakes.

### 2.6 Outlook

Returns in 2001 for Owikeno and Long lakes were predicted under two different scenarios; (1) a pessimistic scenario where recent poor survival rates (as measured for sea entry years 1996 and 1997) will continue for sea-entry years 1998 to 2001; and (2) a more optimistic scenario that takes into account the observed poor survival for sea-entry year 1997 and possibly 1998 but assumes an average return/spawner rate for sea-entry years 1999-2001. At the time of writing only data required for Long Lake, to measure the survival rate of smolts entering the ocean in 1997, was available, so the survival rate measured in 1996 sea entry year ("like 1996") was used to project returns to Owikeno Lake in 2001 under the "pessimistic" scenario.

It is important to note that these projections do not convey levels of uncertainty and are not presented as conventional "forecasts" of stock size. Data necessary for forecasting stock size returns to Owikeno and Long Lake in 2001 is still being collected and forecasts will be presented at a later date. The projections are provided here only to guide management decisions as they pertain to the recovery planning in place for Owikeno and Long Lake sockeye (Holtby 2000).

### 3.0 RESULTS

### 3.1 TRENDS IN ABUNDANCE

### 3.1.1 Escapement Trends

The reliability of SEDS escapement data for clear streams was assessed by comparing them with AUC estimates derived systematically from individual stream inspection notes. A strong positive correlation was observed between the reported escapement and the systematically reconstructed estimates of all clear streams except the Amback River (Fig 5). For this reason the Amback River has not been included in computing the CSI. The SEDS data for Owikeno Lake as a whole shows a general increasing trend in escapement from 1960 until 1992. In contrast, the CSI has not shown a corresponding increase although it does indicate increased escapements during phase 1 of the adaptive management plan when fisheries were greatly restricted (Fig 4). A dramatic drop in escapement to Area 9 was observed in 1994 and the decline has continued with the exception of 1997 when the $3 x C S I$ escapement $(249,300)$ exceeded the target level of 200,000 sockeye. Escapement in 1999 set a record low of only 3,600 sockeye. The preliminary CSI in 2000 is approximately 7,000 sockeye. Using the 3 X multiplier implies a $3 x C S I$ escapement of 21,000 sockeye. This is larger than the 1999 escapement but still below the provisional LRP of 30,000.

Total sockeye escapement to Area 10 (as measured through the Docee Fence) increased from 1972 to 1993, then dropped dramatically in 1994, and the decline has continued (Table 2, Fig. 6). The preliminary estimate of escapement in 2000 is only 1430 sockeye, a record low. Sockeye escapements in 1994-1998 were low but always above the 1979 count of 20,257 .

Escapement data suggests that sockeye escapements to Koeye, Namu and Port John lakes have been declining. Conversely Kimsquit sockeye escapement appears to be increasing with the exception of a record low escapement in 1999. Sockeye escapement to the Atnarko River has been variable from 1970 to present, but shows no increasing or decreasing trend (Table 3, Fig. 7).

### 3.1.2 Catch Trends

Area 9 sockeye catch was variable and without trend from 1948-1969. Some outstandingly high catches were recorded in 1968 and 1973 (2,727,552 and 1,760,156 respectively). Beginning in the early to mid-1970's the average catch declined significantly, driven by poor catches in 1970, 1974 and 1975 (Fig. 8). An adaptive management plan implemented in 1979 restricted commercial catch from 1979 through to 1988 (Walters et al. 1993). Even after the adaptive management plan was discontinued commercial catch remained poor and the fishery has been closed since 1996. The Owikeno Nation has a food, social and ceremonial sockeye fishery in the Wannock River. Catch estimates are fragmentary but considered only to comprise a negligible amount of the total stock up to 1996. As a result of the drastic decline in total stock First Nation harvest rate has become moderate. Total sockeye catch in 1998 and 1999 was 2,161 and 657 respectively implying harvest rates of 6 and $16 \%$ respectively (Fig. 11).

Prior to 1972, Smith Inlet sockeye catch ranged from a low of 63,647 in 1957 to a high of 454,106 in 1968, with a mean annual catch of approximately 250,000 sockeye. Harvest rates for this period are uncertain as these catches predated the installation of the Docee Fence before which escapement estimates are considered unreliable. The commercial sockeye catch from 1972 to 1996 has been variable, but generally without trend up to 1993 (Fig. 9). The 1994 to 1996 catches of 57169, 25946, and 8513 respectively are well below the mean catch of 200,000 for the 1972-1995 period. The Smith Inlet commercial sockeye fishery has been closed since 1997. There was a First Nation harvest of 170 sockeye in 1998 and no harvest in 1999 and 2000.

Area 8 sockeye catch has been variable from 1960 to the mid-1990's, but has been at record lows from 1996 to present (Fig. 10). The recent decline in catch can only be partially attributed to time, area, and gear restriction recently implemented to reduce possible interception of Rivers and Smith Inlet sockeye. The restrictions were also implemented because of uncertainty in the status of the small sockeye stocks in lower Area 8.

### 3.1.3 Total Stock Trends

Total stock size (catch + escapement) for both Rivers and Smith Inlet sockeye showed a dramatic decline in return year 1994 and this decline has continued. This decline is also evident in total stock size of Area 8 sockeye. The congruence of all these declines suggests that all central coast sockeye stocks have experienced poor marine survival during the 1990's (Fig. 12).

### 3.2 Age Composition

Age composition of Owikeno and Long Lake sockeye is variable but normally dominated by age 1.3 sockeye based on calendar year returns. Both Owikeno and Long lake age composition, by brood year, averages $35 \%$ age 4 (1.2) and $65 \%$ age 5 (1.3) but has been quite variable in recent years (Table 6 and 7). In both lakes, virtually all smolts emigrate as yearlings (age 1.*).

### 3.3 Juvenile Abundance and Size

Pre-smolt samples collected from 1994 to present indicate that the previously documented density-dependent relationship still holds (Fig. 13). This relationship indicates that food supply is limiting growth in Owikeno Lake, and confirms that the late summer trawl catches are a reliable index of juvenile abundance.

No juvenile data are available for Owikeno Lake for brood years 1992 and 1993. The juvenile abundance index for brood year 1994 was slightly above the historic long term mean of 4.75 suggesting that freshwater production potential had not declined from historic levels (Fig. 14, Table 8). On the other hand the juvenile abundance index measured for brood year 1997 was slightly below the long term mean. However, the 1997 estimate is lower than expected based on mean pre-smolt weight which indicates a higher juvenile index, more consistent with the high parent escapement (Fig. 13). Abundance indices for brood years 1998 and 1999 are well below historical levels, consistent with pre-smolt weights and the record low parent escapements.

Estimates of freshwater survival (egg to pre-smolt) for Owikeno Lake sockeye are highly variable, but those for the 1994 and 1995 brood (which made up the 1999 return year) were above the long term average. Similarly, freshwater survival of the 1994 and 1995 brood measured in Long Lake were also above the long term mean (Fig 15). In contrast, marine survival measurements for the 1994 and 1995 broods for both lakes are at record low levels (Fig. 16). The 1990 brood year from both Owikeno and Long Lake appears to have experienced below average freshwater survival. Note however that actual abundance is likely underestimated using surface trawl techniques. Such would lead us to underestimate freshwater survival and overestimate marine survival.

### 3.4 Outlook

We have no information on the early marine survival of any of the central coast sockeye salmon stocks in sea-entry year 1999, and consequently have no basis for choosing between optimistic or pessimistic scenarios for survival. The projected sockeye returns to Owikeno Lake in 2001 range from a critical low of less than 5,600 (pessimistic scenario) to a high of approximately 240,000 sockeye (optimistic scenario) which is above the previous management target of 200,000 . The range in the projected returns of sockeye to Long Lake is much smaller with a critical low of 1,768 (pessimistic) and a high of only 27,000 sockeye (optimistic). Under either scenario the projected return for Long Lake is well short of the management target of 200,000 sockeye. The possible outcomes in stock size for Owikeno and Long Lake sockeye from either continued poor survival or normal survival are summarized in Table 9.

The strong return of age-3 (1.1) coho as measured through the Docee Fence in 2000 (Appendix 3) combined with anecdotal reports of a strong return of age-2 (0.1) pink salmon to Owikeno Lake in 2000 suggests that survival of juveniles entering the sea in 1999 may have been above average. We are unsure how much weight to put on the coho and pink returns as an indicator for sockeye in sea-entry year 1999. However, these observations are consistent with oceanographic data in suggesting that marine conditions for central coast salmon entering the sea have now returned to normal.

### 4.0 DISCUSSION

Our assessment of factors affecting the status of Area 9 sockeye has not changed since the last PSARC review (Rutherford et al. 1998). Assessment of Area 9 sockeye production is still limited by the unknown precision and reliability of the adult escapement estimates. A pilot fishwheel program operated on the Wannock River in 1999 and 2000 to attempt to address the escapement reliability issue. This pilot was funded by Fisheries Renewal B.C. and the operation co-ordinated by a local partnership group. The objective of this program was to develop an alternative method for estimating escapement to Owikeno Lake. Preliminary results from the 1999 fishwheel program were encouraging although no escapement estimate was generated, preliminary results from 2000 are less encouraging. Continuation of this program is dependent on non-DFO sources. The reinstatement of the juvenile trawl program has addressed some of the uncertainties of using escapement data and total stock to monitor long term trends in sockeye production for Owikeno Lake. The juvenile abundance data for brood year 1994 was above the long-term mean suggesting that freshwater production potential has not declined from historic levels. However, the declining escapements have now resulted in reduced fry recruitment in recent years.

Our assessment of Long Lake sockeye salmon is more definitive than that for Owikeno Lake sockeye given the reliability of Long Lake sockeye catch, escapement and total stock data. For Long Lake, where our assessment data is more reliable we have also seen the sockeye stock decline to a record low level. There are two weaknesses in the Area 10 data: first, uncertainty exists about whether other sockeye stocks are intercepted
in the Area 10 commercial fishery. Area 10 sockeye stocks are not thought to be intercepted in other fisheries but there was some indication from a 1996 sampling program that the fishery near the outer boundary of Area 10 (sub area 10-3) intercepts some non-Smith Inlet sockeye (Bachen et al. 1997). Second, precision and accuracy of juvenile sockeye abundance estimated by hydro-acoustic techniques is unknown, but currently being addressed in a companion paper. Nevertheless, the error attributed to these weaknesses is considered a small component of the overall variation in recruitment.

It should be noted that the Docee Fence was originally built as a temporary counting structure with an expected operational life span of 10 years (W. Peterson HEB Engineering, pers. com.). It has been operating for 19 years and has now deteriorated to the point that it may no longer be "fish proof". This compromises the Department's ability to continue to provide reliable estimates of escapement to Long Lake. A major upgrade is required to ensure continued reliable total counts of salmon to Long Lake. The incorporation of a fish trap would improve species identification. The addition of a smolt counting facility would improve our ability to estimate smolt abundance at time of migration improving the partioning of freshwater and marine survival and growth.

Other than the apparent downward trend in escapement to Koeye, Namu, Port John, and the recent low escapement to Kimsquit Lake little is known about the status of these stocks. Even so, the available evidence of low escapements and low catches indicates a decline in total abundance of Area 8 sockeye in recent years, congruent with that of Owikeno and Long lake sockeye. This suggests that Area 8 sockeye are experiencing below average survival as well. The relatively stable escapements to the Atnarko River over the last five years testifies to sound management actions that have reduced catch appropriately in the face of declining adult returns.

All available data indicate that critically low sockeye returns to both Rivers and Smith Inlet in 1999 and 2000 resulted from very poor marine survival for three consecutive brood years, not a failure in freshwater production. Sockeye smolts going to sea in 1996, 1997 and 1998 (brood year 1994 to 1996) appear to have experienced very adverse ocean conditions. In addition, marine survival indices for Owikeno and Long Lake indicate that marine survival has generally been poor for all brood years entering the ocean since 1991. The critically low 2000 escapements are a result of the compounding effect of poor marine survival on two consecutive generations. It appears the brood years 1990 and 1991 (at least for Long Lake) provided normal pre-smolt production (Table 8b), but these smolts experienced poor marine survival in 1992 and 1993, so that escapements were low in 1994 through 1996. Reduced escapement in 1994 and 1995 led to reduced smolt production in 1996 and 1997, and these smolts experienced even worse marine survival conditions, resulting in the extremely low adult returns in 1999 and 2000. It now seems possible that marine survival may have improved for sockeye in sea-entry year 1999, in which case strong returns to Owikeno Lake in 2001 and 2002 may be realized as a result of the relatively large escapement recorded in 1997. This is not the case for Long Lake where escapements have been relatively low since 1995.

Suggested courses of action for both fisheries management and recovery planning are proposed for each of the possible stock size outcomes illustrated in Table 10. Projected stock sizes range from levels exceeding previous targets to levels so low as to warrant an intensive recovery involving captive broodstock. Unfortunately for decision makers we cannot refine these predictions until the abundance of age- 4 returns surviving sea entry year 1999 is measured in 2001. A program to gauge age-4 returns in 2001 will be an essential component of the in-season assessment for central coast sockeye in 2001.

If marine survival returned to normal in 1999, Owikeno Lake sockeye returns are expected to exceed the LRP and the target escapement, suggesting a possible harvestable surplus. Because of the recent trend of poor sockeye escapements to Owikeno Lake combined with the fact that escapement to Owikeno Lake cannot be measured or estimated until most fish have reached the spawning grounds, we suggest that the risk associated with opening a commercial fishery in 2001 is too high. If early indications of survival were wrong, and a fishery was prosecuted, an important opportunity for stock recovery would have been squandered. Even if the optimistic projection of 318,000 sockeye were realized, there is no indication that any harm will result from overspawning. Historically, escapements exceeding 500,000 sockeye have produced resulting good returns. Even with one good return year, the rate of recovery will be slow given the critically low escapements in 1999 and 2000. Because most of Rivers Inlet sockeye mature at age 5 , commercial harvest should not be contemplated until recovery is assured by consistently exceeding the provisional LRP $(30,000)$ for 5 consecutive years. In contrast, even if marine survival has returned to normal, Long Lake sockeye returns are expected to remain below the target escapement because brood year escapements were poor, although above the provisional LRP. Decisions on whether or not enhancement is advisable at this escapement level will need to be made by the Technical Coordinating Committee "TCC" for the recovery plan.

If survival for sea entry year 1999 was again poor (comparable to sea entry years 1996 and 1997) such that the stocks cannot sustain themselves naturally, drastic measures will be needed to prevent a downward spiral to extirpation. With continued poor survival, returns in 2001 are projected to be well below the LRP levels for both stocks. Continued "supplementation" as defined in the draft Recovery Plan will probably not be sufficient to ensure the continued viability of these stocks while marine survival remains at levels observed in 1996-1998. A captive brood program may be the only way to ensure the viability of these stocks if marine survival remains poor in 1999 despite a return to normal temperatures. (i.e. if adverse marine conditions remain poor and unpredictable).
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Table 1. Commercial catch, escapement, total stock size, and clear stream escapement index for Area 9 sockeye salmon, 1948-2000.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Catch | Escapement | Total | Clear Stream |
| 1948 | 451727 | 135000 | 586727 | Stock |

[^0]Table 2. Catch, escapement, and total stock size for Area 10 sockeye salmon, 1948-2000.

| Year | Catch | Escapement | Total Stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1951 | 439095 | 82500 | 521595 |
| 1952 | 342243 | 67500 | 409743 |
| 1953 | 367070 | 70000 | 437070 |
| 1954 | 190760 | 85000 | 275760 |
| 1955 | 325478 | 110000 | 435478 |
| 1956 | 442256 | 90000 | 532256 |
| 1957 | 63647 | 22575 | 86222 |
| 1958 | 223702 | 22575 | 246277 |
| 1959 | 113329 | 50000 | 163329 |
| 1960 | 219341 | 18525 | 237866 |
| 1961 | 213277 | 22525 | 235802 |
| 1962 | 252058 | 110075 | 362133 |
| 1963 | 174996 | 68686 | 243682 |
| 1964 | 236432 | 50200 | 286632 |
| 1965 | 289821 | 11000 | 300821 |
| 1966 | 172091 | 50000 | 222091 |
| 1967 | 286000 | 50000 | 336000 |
| 1968 | 454106 | 197930 | 652036 |
| 1969 | 166998 | 110200 | 277198 |
| 1970 | 82677 | 70065 | 152742 |
| 1971 | 142955 | 135068 | 278023 |
| 1972 | 59397 | 76248 | 135645 |
| 1973 | 294619 | 169753 | 464372 |
| 1974 | 347705 | 91013 | 438718 |
| 1975 | 52673 | 62967 | 115640 |
| 1976 | 92201 | 60919 | 153120 |
| 1977 | 54855 | 128601 | 183456 |
| 1978 | 233381 | 84105 | 317486 |
| 1979 | 11022 | 20257 | 31279 |
| 1980 | 2349 | 129435 | 131784 |
| 1981 | 154355 | 214345 | 368700 |
| 1982 | 292958 | 213674 | 506632 |
| 1983 | 131212 | 199653 | 330865 |
| 1984 | 21160 | 89012 | 110172 |
| 1985 | 369178 | 250000 | 619178 |
| 1986 | 369854 | 199000 | 568854 |
| 1987 | 194926 | 200000 | 394926 |
| 1988 | 301731 | 207000 | 508731 |
| 1989 | 71821 | 166810 | 238631 |
| 1990 | 58579 | 149000 | 207579 |
| 1991 | 574550 | 260000 | 834550 |
| 1992 | 722816 | 220000 | 942816 |
| 1993 | 284156 | 220000 | 504156 |
| 1994 | 57830 | 100000 | 157830 |
| 1995 | 15944 | 56244 | 72188 |
| 1996 | 7918 | 54000 | 61918 |
| 1997 | 0 | 32000 | 32000 |
| 1998 | $170^{\text {a }}$ | 76000 | 76170 |
| 1999 | 0 | 5900 | 5900 |
| 2000 | 0 | 1430 | 1430 |

[^1]Table 3. Catch, escapement, and total stock size for Area 8 sockeye salmon.

| Commercial |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Catch | Atnarko | Kimsquit | Koeye | Namu | Port John | Stock |
| 1950 |  | 75000 | 7500 | 3500 | 3500 | 717 |  |
| 1951 |  | 75000 | 7000 | 1200 | 2500 | 1300 |  |
| 1952 |  | 90000 | 10300 | 1500 | 2000 | 1087 |  |
| 1953 |  | 65000 | 7500 | 2000 | 1500 | 1500 |  |
| 1954 |  | 53000 | 12000 | 1500 | 4500 | 750 |  |
| 1955 |  | 75000 | 5000 | 2000 | 1000 | 2566 |  |
| 1956 |  | 75000 | 7500 | 3500 | 3500 | 1332 |  |
| 1957 |  | 150000 | 7500 | 7500 | 750 | 1353 |  |
| 1958 |  | 15000 |  | 750 | 1500 | 1248 |  |
| 1959 |  | 75000 | 3500 | 3500 | 7500 | 1000 |  |
| 1960 | 86947 | 35000 | 7500 | 1500 | 3500 | 268 | 135465 |
| 1961 | 126525 | 35000 |  | 750 | 3500 | 126 | 166301 |
| 1962 | 163881 | 75000 | 3500 |  | 1500 |  | 245381 |
| 1963 | 151808 | 55000 |  |  | 1500 |  | 208308 |
| 1964 | 306359 | 31000 |  | 750 | 750 | 750 | 339909 |
| 1965 | 77756 | 13000 |  | 750 | 1500 |  | 93006 |
| 1966 | 82892 | 16000 |  | 750 | 1500 | 25 | 101167 |
| 1967 | 234087 | 36000 | 15000 | 1500 | 1500 | 650 | 289887 |
| 1968 | 95298 | 8000 | 3500 | 750 | 750 |  | 109048 |
| 1969 | 43686 | 40000 | 3500 | 750 | 1500 |  | 89436 |
| 1970 | 99837 | 25000 | 3500 | 1500 |  |  | 129837 |
| 1971 | 167053 | 100000 | 55000 | 3500 | 8000 |  | 336253 |
| 1972 | 85620 | 32500 | 13500 | 3500 |  |  | 135545 |
| 1973 | 221619 | 85000 | 3500 | 3000 |  | 750 | 314944 |
| 1974 | 125049 | 55000 | 5000 | 2500 |  | 300 | 188274 |
| 1975 | 225969 | 45000 | 35000 | 3500 |  | 400 | 310619 |
| 1976 | 202152 | 30000 | 25000 | 9000 | 600 | 250 | 268777 |
| 1977 | 88337 | 30000 | 10000 | 3500 |  | 750 | 134182 |
| 1978 | 50865 | 20000 | 12000 | 300 | 350 | 300 | 83915 |
| 1979 | 220134 | 18000 | 8000 | 14000 | 3500 | 400 | 264709 |
| 1980 | 161439 | 24000 | 7500 | 2500 |  | 1000 | 196739 |
| 1981 | 179113 | 40000 | 5000 | 5000 | 1500 | 75 | 231694 |
| 1982 | 36409 | 20000 | 12000 | 2000 | 2000 | 500 | 73964 |
| 1983 | 132482 | 25000 | 30000 |  | 1500 | 300 | 190457 |
| 1984 | 43470 | 45000 | 10000 | 2300 | 4000 | 750 | 107805 |
| 1985 | 148800 | 50000 | 15000 | 1700 | 1800 | 250 | 219670 |
| 1986 | 132206 | 19975 | 16000 | 2900 | 1450 | 285 | 172954 |
| 1987 | 213903 | 30780 | 22000 | 2500 | 160 | 10 | 269473 |
| 1988 | 166607 | 30000 | 10000 | 2500 | 1700 | 300 | 211262 |
| 1989 | 33511 | 15000 | 12200 | 1200 | 750 | 60 | 62849 |
| 1990 | 122937 | 20000 | 7400 |  | 20 | 20 | 151392 |
| 1991 | 106078 | 52500 | 27000 | 525 | 450 | 150 | 186947 |
| 1992 | 109475 | 41000 | 13000 | 600 | 500 | 10 | 164956 |
| 1993 | 61767 | 15000 | 13000 | 250 | 1000 | 155 | 91469 |
| 1994 | 222804 | 25000 |  | 1000 | 400 |  | 249245 |
| 1995 | 69494 | 55000 |  |  |  |  | 124510 |
| 1996 | 24988 | 45350 | 20000 | 300 | 550 |  | 91873 |
| 1997 | 31576 | 20000 | 5000 |  |  |  | 56878 |
| 1998 | 21924 | 30000 |  |  |  |  | 52090 |
| 1999 | 5229 | 25000 | 1000 | 1200 | 2000 |  | 34529 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Age composition of Area 9 sockeye salmon sampled from the commercial catch and escapement, 1948-1999.

|  | Proportion of catch |  |  | Proportion of escapement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Age 1.2 | Age 1.3 | Other | Age 1.2 | Age 1.3 | Other |
| 1948 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 1949 | 0.84 | 0.15 | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| 1950 | 0.13 | 0.87 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 1951 | 0.38 | 0.61 | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| 1952 | 0.41 | 0.59 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 1953 | 0.73 | 0.27 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 1954 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 1955 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 1956 | 0.10 | 0.90 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 1957 | 0.65 | 0.35 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 1958 | 0.28 | 0.71 | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| 1959 | 0.19 | 0.79 | 0.02 |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 0.38 | 0.57 | 0.05 | 0.43 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| 1961 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.02 | 0.31 | 0.69 | 0.00 |
| 1962 | 0.90 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.53 | 0.47 | 0.00 |
| 1963 | 0.37 | 0.60 | 0.03 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.01 |
| 1964 | 0.13 | 0.79 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.86 | 0.02 |
| 1965 | 0.69 | 0.27 | 0.04 | 0.36 | 0.64 | 0.00 |
| 1966 | 0.34 | 0.65 | 0.01 | 0.42 | 0.58 | 0.00 |
| 1967 | 0.78 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.00 |
| 1968 | 0.07 | 0.90 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 0.35 | 0.61 | 0.04 |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.11 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.10 |
| 1971 | 0.75 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 0.76 | 0.22 | 0.02 |
| 1972 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.07 | 0.81 | 0.14 | 0.05 |
| 1973 | 0.06 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.94 | 0.00 |
| 1974 | 0.19 | 0.78 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 0.78 | 0.03 |
| 1975 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.01 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.01 |
| 1976 | 0.47 | 0.51 | 0.02 |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 0.44 | 0.54 | 0.02 |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 0.04 | 0.94 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.95 | 0.02 |
| 1979 | 0.57 | 0.41 | 0.02 | 0.57 | 0.41 | 0.02 |
| 1980 | 0.17 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.83 | 0.00 |
| 1981 | 0.34 | 0.65 | 0.01 | 0.34 | 0.65 | 0.01 |
| 1982 | 0.12 | 0.85 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 0.19 | 0.80 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.80 | 0.01 |
| 1984 | 0.74 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.38 | 0.00 |
| 1985 | 0.38 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.79 | 0.00 |
| 1986 | 0.34 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.83 | 0.00 |
| 1987 | 0.42 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.87 | 0.04 |
| 1988 | 0.18 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.96 | 0.00 |
| 1989 | 0.39 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.44 | 0.00 |
| 1990 | 0.11 | 0.86 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.88 | 0.00 |
| 1991 | 0.26 | 0.71 | 0.03 | 0.39 | 0.61 | 0.00 |
| 1992 | 0.09 | 0.90 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.76 | 0.07 |
| 1993 | 0.34 | 0.63 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.82 | 0.00 |
| 1994 | 0.34 | 0.63 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.84 | 0.02 |
| 1995 | 0.35 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.94 | 0.00 |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 0.38 | 0.59 | 0.03 |
| 1997 |  |  |  | 0.14 | 0.84 | 0.02 |
| 1998 |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.96 | 0.00 |
| 1999 |  |  |  | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.00 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Age composition of Area 10 sockeye salmon sampled from the commercial catch and escapement, 1972-2000.

| Year | Proportion of catch |  |  |  | Proportion of escapement |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Age 1.2 | Age 1.3 | Other | N | Age 1.2 | Age 1.3 | Other |
| 1972 | 517 | 0.71 | 0.28 | 0.01 | 81 | 0.64 | 0.28 | 0.08 |
| 1973 | 831 | 0.15 | 0.83 | 0.02 | 460 | 0.15 | 0.84 | 0.01 |
| 1974 | 425 | 0.09 | 0.83 | 0.08 | 65 | 0.11 | 0.86 | 0.03 |
| 1975 | 245 | 0.23 | 0.76 | 0.01 | 65 | 0.35 | 0.60 | 0.05 |
| 1976 |  |  |  |  | 57 | 0.74 | 0.25 | 0.01 |
| 1977 | 164 | 0.71 | 0.28 | 0.01 | 29 | 0.31 | 0.69 | 0.00 |
| 1978 | 468 | 0.03 | 0.97 | 0.00 |  | 0.04 | 0.95 | 0.01 |
| 1979 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  | 56 | 0.20 | 0.77 | 0.03 |
| 1981 | 18 | 0.56 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 175 | 0.66 | 0.31 | 0.03 |
| 1982 | 290 | 0.15 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 89 | 0.07 | 0.93 | 0.00 |
| 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 93 | 0.53 | 0.47 | 0.00 |  | 0.63 | 0.37 | 0.00 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.79 | 0.20 | 0.01 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  | 111 | 0.32 | 0.69 | 0.00 |
| 1987 | 19 | 0.32 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 84 | 0.16 | 0.82 | 0.02 |
| 1988 | 578 | 0.28 | 0.72 | 0.00 | 284 | 0.42 | 0.57 | 0.01 |
| 1989 | 223 | 0.28 | 0.72 | 0.00 | 109 | 0.59 | 0.41 | 0.00 |
| 1990 | 223 | 0.17 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 51 | 0.57 | 0.43 | 0.00 |
| 1991 | 272 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 379 | 0.42 | 0.57 | 0.01 |
| 1992 | 193 | 0.04 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 214 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 0.05 |
| 1993 | 526 | 0.22 | 0.76 | 0.02 | 173 | 0.36 | 0.61 | 0.03 |
| 1994 | 68 | 0.20 | 0.79 | 0.01 | 243 | 0.30 | 0.68 | 0.02 |
| 1995 | 161 | 0.28 | 0.70 | 0.02 | 74 | 0.11 | 0.88 | 0.01 |
| 1996 | 195 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 107 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.00 |
| 1997 | 0 | . | . |  | 119 | 0.20 | 0.79 | 0.01 |
| 1998 | 0 | . |  |  | 279 | 0.03 | 0.96 | 0.01 |
| 1999 | 0 |  |  |  | 80 | 0.25 | 0.68 | 0.07 |
| 2000 | 0 |  |  |  | 79 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.07 |

Table 6. Area 9 sockeye escapement, total returns, and age composition by brood year.

| Brood |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yeaportion returning at |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Escapement | Adult Returns | Age 4 | Age 5 |
| 1948 | 135000 | 1397843 | 0.54 | 0.46 |
| 1949 | 250500 | 2064894 | 0.84 | 0.16 |
| 1950 | 666000 | 1031856 | 0.49 | 0.51 |
| 1951 | 493500 | 1697134 | 0.25 | 0.75 |
| 1952 | 915000 | 370470 | 0.38 | 0.62 |
| 1953 | 840000 | 1436376 | 0.30 | 0.70 |
| 1954 | 275400 | 1213184 | 0.33 | 0.67 |
| 1955 | 346500 | 568675 | 0.34 | 0.66 |
| 1956 | 348000 | 802044 | 0.32 | 0.68 |
| 1957 | 278700 | 814425 | 0.58 | 0.42 |
| 1958 | 408000 | 2254641 | 0.54 | 0.46 |
| 1959 | 591000 | 2391927 | 0.36 | 0.64 |
| 1960 | 137700 | 494314 | 0.47 | 0.53 |
| 1961 | 193500 | 965811 | 0.51 | 0.49 |
| 1962 | 528000 | 755750 | 0.36 | 0.64 |
| 1963 | 1500000 | 4259410 | 0.24 | 0.76 |
| 1964 | 805500 | 746147 | 0.34 | 0.66 |
| 1965 | 135225 | 335922 | 0.85 | 0.15 |
| 1966 | 222000 | 193359 | 0.21 | 0.79 |
| 1967 | 437250 | 703240 | 0.72 | 0.28 |
| 1968 | 855000 | 3277280 | 0.10 | 0.90 |
| 1969 | 84750 | 658357 | 0.29 | 0.71 |
| 1970 | 84750 | 348652 | 0.33 | 0.67 |
| 1971 | 269400 | 621381 | 0.34 | 0.66 |
| 1972 | 186000 | 835391 | 0.45 | 0.55 |
| 1973 | 1372500 | 1315186 | 0.28 | 0.72 |
| 1974 | 484500 | 127896 | 0.28 | 0.72 |
| 1975 | 409500 | 304135 | 0.42 | 0.58 |
| 1976 | 190500 | 388804 | 0.09 | 0.91 |
| 1977 | 187800 | 811973 | 0.23 | 0.77 |
| 1978 | 420000 | 501910 | 0.18 | 0.82 |
| 1979 | 196500 | 225832 | 0.43 | 0.57 |
| 1980 | 211500 | 855026 | 0.26 | 0.74 |
| 1981 | 444000 | 890466 | 0.23 | 0.77 |
| 1982 | 699000 | 840268 | 0.25 | 0.75 |
| 1983 | 481500 | 760177 | 0.27 | 0.73 |
| 1984 | 299100 | 172340 | 0.45 | 0.55 |
| 1985 | 652275 | 542111 | 0.18 | 0.82 |
| 1986 | 555000 | 323081 | 0.18 | 0.82 |
| 1987 | 459600 | 799770 | 0.17 | 0.83 |
| 1988 | 256500 | 308694 | 0.30 | 0.70 |
| 1989 | 127800 | 155047 | 0.41 | 0.59 |
| 1990 | 277500 | 157024 | 0.16 | 0.84 |
| 1991 | 237000 | 50973 | 0.44 | 0.56 |
| 1992 | 271500 | 229437 | 0.08 | 0.92 |
| 1993 | 201000 | 71699 | 0.49 | 0.51 |
| 1994 | 78000 | 3735 | 0.41 | 0.59 |
| 1995 | 109500 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7. Area 10 sockeye escapement, total returns, and age composition by brood year.

| Brood |  |  | Proportion returning at |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Escapement | Adult Returns | Age 4 | Age 5 |
| 1972 | 76248 | 216944 | 0.52 | 0.48 |
| 1973 | 169753 | 385093 | 0.20 | 0.80 |
| 1974 | 91013 |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 62967 |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 60919 | 160058 | 0.16 | 0.84 |
| 1977 | 128601 | 676922 | 0.34 | 0.66 |
| 1978 | 84105 |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 20257 |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 129435 | 191128 | 0.35 | 0.65 |
| 1981 | 214345 | 878816 | 0.56 | 0.44 |
| 1982 | 213674 | 475939 | 0.38 | 0.62 |
| 1983 | 199653 | 429441 | 0.22 | 0.78 |
| 1984 | 89012 | 292649 | 0.59 | 0.41 |
| 1985 | 250000 | 230867 | 0.51 | 0.49 |
| 1986 | 199000 | 587669 | 0.16 | 0.84 |
| 1987 | 200000 | 1170283 | 0.29 | 0.71 |
| 1988 | 207000 | 452771 | 0.23 | 0.77 |
| 1989 | 166810 | 256180 | 0.56 | 0.44 |
| 1990 | 149000 | 101709 | 0.40 | 0.60 |
| 1991 | 260000 | 42333 | 0.25 | 0.75 |
| 1992 | 220000 | 55325 | 0.54 | 0.46 |
| 1993 | 220000 | 79663 | 0.08 | 0.92 |
| 1994 | 100000 | 6221 | 0.36 | 0.64 |
| 1995 | 56244 | 2133 | 0.69 | 0.31 |
| 1996 | 54000 |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 32000 |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 76000 |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 5900 |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 1430 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8a. Area 9 sockeye escapement, juvenile abundance index, and pre-smolt weight by brood year.

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Brood } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total Escapement | Juvenile Abundance |  |  | Pre-smolt weight (g) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Index | Source ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (SE) | Mean | (SD) |
| 1948 | 135000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1949 | 250500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950 | 666000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951 | 493500 | 4.99 | C |  |  |  |
| 1952 | 915000 | 4.60 | C |  |  |  |
| 1953 | 840000 | 5.04 | C |  |  |  |
| 1954 | 275400 | 3.37 | C |  |  |  |
| 1955 | 346500 | 3.33 | C |  |  |  |
| 1956 | 348000 | 3.52 | C |  |  |  |
| 1957 | 278700 | 2.34 | C |  |  |  |
| 1958 | 408000 | 4.90 | B |  | 1.28 | 0.66 |
| 1959 | 591000 | 5.27 | A | 1.03 | 1.18 | 0.44 |
| 1960 | 137700 | 4.63 | A | 1.12 | 1.44 | 0.36 |
| 1961 | 193500 | 4.55 | A | 0.92 | 1.39 | 0.40 |
| 1962 | 528000 | 3.92 | A | 1.13 | 1.46 | 0.44 |
| 1963 | 1500000 | 5.85 | A | 0.72 | 0.85 | 0.33 |
| 1964 | 805500 | 6.14 | A | 0.74 | 1.11 | 0.46 |
| 1965 | 135225 | 3.28 | A | 1.13 | 1.82 | 0.51 |
| 1966 | 222000 | 5.45 | A | 1.00 | 1.03 | 0.41 |
| 1967 | 437250 | 3.12 | A | 0.98 | 1.61 |  |
| 1968 | 855000 | 6.14 | B |  | 0.87 |  |
| 1969 | 84750 | 5.60 | B |  | 1.05 |  |
| 1970 | 84750 | 5.57 | B |  | 1.06 |  |
| 1971 | 269400 | 4.45 | B |  | 1.43 |  |
| 1972 | 186000 | 5.21 | B |  | 1.18 |  |
| 1973 | 1372500 | 5.96 | B |  | 0.93 |  |
| 1974 | 484500 | 5.66 | B |  | 1.03 |  |
| 1975 | 409500 | 4.87 | B |  | 1.29 |  |
| 1976 | 190500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 187800 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 420000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 196500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 211500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 444000 | 5.56 | C |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 699000 | 6.32 | C |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 481500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 299100 | 5.54 | C |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 652275 | 6.90 | C |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 555000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 459600 | 6.57 | C |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 256500 | 4.03 | B |  | 1.57 |  |
| 1989 | 127800 | 1.97 | B |  | 2.25 |  |
| 1990 | 277500 | 4.56 | C |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 237000 | 4.84 | B |  | 1.30 |  |
| 1992 | 271500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 201000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 78000 | 4.94 | A | 0.41 | 1.41 |  |
| 1995 | 109500 | 3.93 | A | 0.98 | 1.73 | 0.410 |
| 1996 | 45600 | 2.08 | A | 1.20 | 2.74 | 0.627 |
| 1997 | 249300 | 4.16 | A | 1.30 | 1.21 | 0.363 |
| 1998 | 35900 | 1.57 | A | 1.25 | $2.83{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| 1999 | 3600 | 1.04 | A | 1.50 |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Source; $A=$ index measured directly, $B=$ index inferred from pre-smolt weight, $\mathrm{C}=$ index inferred from scale growth (McKinnell et al. 2001)
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ preliminary fresh weight

Table 8b. Area10 sockeye escapement and estimates of juvenile sockeye abundance. (Juvenile data from Hyatt et al. 2000)

| Brood <br> Year | Sockeye <br> Escapement | No. of <br> juveniles |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1972 | 76248 |  |
| 1973 | 169753 |  |
| 1974 | 91013 |  |
| 1975 | 62967 |  |
| 1976 | 60919 | 3190000 |
| 1977 | 128601 | 3060000 |
| 1978 | 84105 | 1490000 |
| 1979 | 20257 | 380000 |
| 1980 | 129435 | 1890000 |
| 1981 | 214345 | 3250000 |
| 1982 | 213674 | 6070000 |
| 1983 | 199653 | 2380000 |
| 1984 | 89012 | 2220000 |
| 1985 | 250000 | 2120000 |
| 1986 | 199000 | 3180000 |
| 1987 | 200000 | 2730000 |
| 1988 | 207000 | 3070000 |
| 1989 | 166810 | 650000 |
| 1990 | 149000 | 3560000 |
| 1991 | 260000 | 4750000 |
| 1992 | 220000 | 1570000 |
| 1993 | 220000 | 2040000 |
| 1994 | 100000 | 3140000 |
| 1995 | 56244 | 1230000 |
| 1996 | 54000 | 1960000 |
| 1997 | 32000 | 3680000 |
| 1998 | 76000 | 6320000 |
| 1999 | 5900 | 2300000 |
| 2000 | 1430 |  |
|  |  |  |

Table 9. Projected returns to Owikeno and Long Lake based on pessimistic and optimistic survival rates.

OWIKENO LAKE

|  | Brood |  |  | Sockeye Returns in Calendar Year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Scenario $^{\text {a }}$ | Year | Escapement $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |  |  |
| Pessimistic | 1996 | 45600 | 1398 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 249300 | 4197 | 7642 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 | 35900 |  | 604 | 1100 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999 | 3600 |  |  | 60 | 109 |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 20000 |  |  |  | 337 |  |  |
| Optimistic | Combined |  | $\mathbf{5 , 5 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 2 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ |  |  |
|  | 1996 | 45600 | 1398 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 249300 | 238720 | 434695 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 | 35900 |  | 34357 | 62563 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999 | 3600 |  |  | 3390 | 6173 |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 20000 |  |  |  | 19151 |  |  |
|  | Combined |  | 240,118 | 469,052 | 65,953 | $\mathbf{2 5 , 3 2 4}$ |  |  |

## LONG LAKE

|  | Brood |  | Sockeye Returns in Calendar Year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Scenario $^{\text {a }}$ | Year | Escapement $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |  |
| Pessimistic | 1996 | 54000 | 1349 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 32000 | 419 | 778 |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 | 76000 |  | 995 | 1847 |  |  |
|  | 1999 | 5900 |  |  | 72 | 133 |  |
|  | 2000 | 1430 |  |  |  | 18 |  |
| Optimistic | Combined |  | $\mathbf{1 , 7 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ |  |
|  | 1996 | 54000 | 1349 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 32000 | 25648 | 47632 |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 | 76000 |  | 60914 | 113126 |  |  |
|  | 1999 | 5900 |  |  | 4729 | 8782 |  |
|  | 2000 | 1430 |  |  |  | 1074 |  |
|  | Combined |  | 26,997 | 108,546 | 117,855 | 9,856 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pessimistic scenario assumes continued poor survival (as measured for sea-entry year 1996)
Optimistic scenario assumes a return to the long term average survival rate
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Escapement target is 200,000 sockeye
Bold type indicates projected stock sizes that fall below the provisional Limit Reference Points (LRP's) Provisional LRP's are 30,000 and 8,000 for Owikeno and Long Lake sockeye respectively (Holtby 2000)

Table 10. Possible stock size outcomes in relation to LRP and Target escapements with suggested courses of action.

|  | Returns |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Optimistic ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Pessimistic ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Owikeno |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { >>LRP } \\ & \gg \text { Target } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \ll \text { LRP } \\ & \ll \text { Target } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
|  | Minimal Harvest | No Harvest |
|  | Fry supplementation not warrranted (as per recovery plan) | Fry supplementation warrranted (as per recovery plan). Consider captive brood |
| Long |  |  |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & >\text { LRP } \\ & \ll \text { Target } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \ll \text { LRP } \\ & \ll \text { Target } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
|  | Minimal Harvest | No Harvest |
|  | Fry supplementation not warrranted (as per recovery plan) | Fry supplementation warrranted (as per recovery |

[^2]

Figure 1. Map showing location of Owikeno Lake, its principal tributaries, and the juvenile survey stations.


Figure 2. Map of Smith Inlet showing location of Long Lake and the Docee Fence.


Figure 3. Map of Statistical Area 8 showing location of Atnarko River, Kimsquit, Koeye, Namu, and Port John lakes.


Figure 4. Comparison of trends in Owikeno Lake (Area 9) SEDS and clear stream sockeye escapement indices. Lowess line fitted to data. Horizontal lines indicate target escapement and " $A$ " indicates phase one of adaptive mangement plan (Walters et al. 1993).


Figure 5. Relationship between SEDS and reconstructed escapement indices to clear water streams.


Figure 6. Trend in sockeye salmon escapements to Smith Inlet (Area 10). Vertical line indicates start of Docee Fence counts. Lowess line fitted to data.


Figure 7. Trend in sockeye salmon escapements to lakes and rivers in Area 8. Lowess line fitted to data.


Figure 8. Trend in Area 9 commercial catch, 1948-2000. " A " indicates start of adaptive management plan (Walters et al. 1993), ' $B$ ' indicates phase two of adaptive management plan, " C " indicates start of variable harvest rate plan (Goruk 1990).


Figure 9. Trend in Area 10 commercial sockeye salmon catch, 1951-2000.


Figure 10. Trend in Area 8 commercial sockeye salmon catch, 1960-2000.


Figure 11. Trend in harvest rates of Area 8, 9, and 10 sockeye salmon. Horizontal line indicates start of Docee Fence counts.


Figure 12. Trend in total stock size for Area 8, 9, and 10, sockeye salmon. Horizontal line indicates start of Docee Fence counts.


Figure 13. Juvenile abundance index (mean $\log _{e}$ catch) in summer trawls versus mean sockeye pre-smolt weight (g) for the corresponding brood year (indicated next to data points).


Figure 14. Variation in juvenile abundance index by year. Average index indicated by horizontal line. Circles with error bars indicate index measured directly, circles only indicate index inferred from either pre-smolt size or scale patterns.


Figure 15. Freshwater survival trends for Owikeno and Long Lake sockeye salmon. For Owikeno Lake (+) indicates juvenile abundance inferred from scales, (.) trawl and, (x) pre-smolt weight.


Figure 16. Marine survival trends for Owikeno and Long Lake sockeye salmon. For Owikeno Lake (+) indicates juvenile abundance inferred from scales, (.) trawl and, (x) pre-smolt weight.

Appendix 1a. Sockeye escapement 1950-1999, Area 10, from SEDS.

| STREAM | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { AVERAGE } \\ 1990-99 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BOSWELL CREEK | N/O | N/O | N/I | N/I | N/O |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CANOE CREEK | 44,700 | 78,000 | 66,000 | 66,000 | 30,000 | 17,100 | 16,200 | 9,600 | 22,800 | 1,770 | 35,217 |
| DOCEE RIVER | N/O | N/O | N/O |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/O |  |
| NEKITE RIVER | 10 | N/O | N/O |  |  |  |  | N/O | N/O | N/O | 10 |
| NEKITE SPAWNING CHANNEL | 10 | N/O | N/O |  |  |  |  | N/O | N/O | N/O | 10 |
| SMOKEHOUSE CREEK | 104,300 | 182,000 | 154,000 | 154,000 | 70,000 | 39,900 | 37,800 | 22,400 | 53,200 | 4,130 | 82,173 |
| TAKUSH RIVER | N/O | N/O | N/O |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WALKUM CREEK | N/I | N/O | N/O |  |  |  |  | N/O | N/O |  |  |
| AREA 10 TOTAL** | 149,020 | 260,000 | 220,000 | 220,000 | 100,000 | 57,000 | 54,000 | 32,000 | 76,000 | 5,900 | 117,392 |

**ANNUAL ESCAPEMENT TOTALS ARE FROM DOCEE RIVER FENCE COUNTS AND MINOR STREAM ESCAPEMENTS

Appendix 1a. Cont'd

| STREAM | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | AVERAGE $1980-89$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BOSWELL CREEK |  |  |  |  | N/I |  | N/O |  |  | N/O |  |
| CANOE CREEK | 38,530 | 64,304 | 64,350 | 59,896 | 26,746 | 75,000 | 59,700 | 60,000 | 62,100 | 50,041 | 56,067 |
| DOCEE RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEKITE RIVER | N/O | N/O |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 5 | 4 |
| NEKITE SPAWNING CHANNEL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/O |  |
| SMOKEHOUSE CREEK | 89,905 | 150,041 | 150,150 | 139,757 | 62,408 | 175,000 | 139,300 | 140,000 | 144,900 | 116,763 | 130,822 |
| TAKUSH RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/O |  |
| WALKUM CREEK |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| AREA 10 TOTAL** | 128,435 | 214,345 | 214,500 | 199,654 | 89,154 | 250,002 | 199,000 | 200,000 | 207,000 | 166,810 | 186,890 |

**ANNUAL ESCAPEMENT TOTALS ARE FROM DOCEE RIVER FENCE COUNTS AND MINOR STREAM ESCAPEMENTS.

Appendix 1a. Cont'd

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline STREAM \& 1970 \& 1971 \& 1972 \& 1973 \& 1974 \& 1975 \& 1976 \& 1977 \& 1978 \& 1979 \& AVERAGE
\(1970-79\) \\
\hline AREA 10 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline BOSWELL CREEK CANOE CREEK DOCEE RIVER \& 21,020 \& 40,520 \& 22,874 \& 51,001 \& 27,313 \& 18,890 \& 18,271 \& 38,580 \& 25,204 \& 5,770 \& \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
NEKITE RIVER \\
NEKITE SPAWNING CHANNEL \\
SMOKEHOUSE CREEK \\
TAKUSH RIVER \\
WALKUM CREEK
\end{tabular} \& 49,045 \& 94,548 \& 53,374 \& 119,001 \& 63,730 \& 44,077 \& 42,633 \& 90,021 \& 58,811 \& 1,000
13,462
25 \& 1,000

25 <br>
\hline AREA 10 TOTAL** \& 70,065 \& 135,068 \& 76,248 \& 170,002 \& 91,043 \& 62,967 \& 60,904 \& 128,601 \& 84,015 \& 20,257 \& 89,917 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}
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| STREAM | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { AVERAGE } \\ 1960-69 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BOSWELL CREEK <br> CANOE CREEK | 3,500 | 7,500 | 35,000 | 20,606 | 15,000 | 3,500 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 59,379 | 35,000 | 20,949 |
| DOCEE RIVER | 25 | 25 | 75 | N/I | 200 | N/O | N/O | N/O | N/I | 200 | 105 |
| NEKITE RIVER <br> NEKITE SPAWNING CHANNEL <br> SMOKEHOUSE CREEK <br> TAKUSH RIVER <br> WALKUM CREEK | 15,000 | 15,000 | 75,000 | 48,081 | 35,000 | 7,500 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 138,550 | 75,000 | 47,913 |
| AREA 10 TOTAL** | 18,525 | 22,525 | 110,075 | 68,687 | 50,200 | 11,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 197,929 | 110,200 | 68,914 |

*1963 AND 1968 ESCAPEMENT TOTALS ARE FROM DOCEE RIVER TOWER COUNTS.

Appendix 1a. Cont'd

| STREAM | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { AVERAGE } \\ 1950-59 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BOSWELL CREEK | N/R | N/R | N/R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CANOE CREEK | 15,000 | 22,500 | 25,000 | 35,000 | 50,000 | 35,000 | 15,000 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 15,000 | 22,750 |
| DOCEE RIVER | N/R |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| NEKITE RIVER <br> NEKITE SPAWNING CHANNEL <br> SMOKEHOUSE CREEK <br> TAKUSH RIVER <br> WALKUM CREEK | 90,000 | 60,000 | 42,500 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 35,000 | 47,750 |
| AREA 10 TOTAL | 105,000 | 82,500 | 67,500 | 70,000 | 85,000 | 110,000 | 90,000 | 22,575 | 22,575 | 50,075 | 70,523 |

Appendix 1b. Sockeye escapement 1950-1999, Area 9, from SEDS.

| STREAM | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | AVERAGE 1990-99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLARD CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMBACK CREEK | 30,000 | 17,000 | 60,000 | 30,000 | 10,000 | 5,000 | 4,350 | 15,000 | 4,500 | 100 | 17,595 |
| ASHLULM CREEK | 13,000 | 12,000 | 25,000 | 12,000 | 500 | 10,000 | 650 | 8,500 | 1,650 | 25 | 8,333 |
| BEAVER CREEK | 10 | N/O | 5 | N/O | N/O | N/I |  | UNK | 10 | UNK | 8 |
| CHUCKWALLA RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLYAK, YOUNG \& NEIL CREEKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DALLERY CREEK | 10,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 8,000 | 2,000 | 1,000 | 250 | 4,400 | 450 | 130 | 5,123 |
| DRANEY CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GENESEE CREEK | 2,500 |  | 500 | 12,000 | 3,500 | 500 | 250 | 700 | 10 | 10 | 2,219 |
| INZIANA RIVER | 32,000 | 32,000 | 30,000 | 10,000 | 5,000 | 18,000 | 6,580 | 42,000 | 6,350 | 595 | 18,253 |
| JOHNSTON CREEK | N/I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KILBELLA RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOCKHART-GORDON CREEK MACHMELL RIVER | 20,000 |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 2,500 | 3,000 | N/I | UNK | UNK | 6,750 |
| MACNAIR CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MILTON RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEECHANZ RIVER | 25,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 | 20,000 | 8,000 | 10,000 | 10,645 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 200 | 15,385 |
| NICKNAQUEET RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OATSOALIS CREEK |  |  |  | N/O |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OWIKENO LAKE SPAWNERS | 5,000 | 3,000 | 2,500 | 4,000 | 2,000 | 500 | 100 | UNK | 550 | 100 | 1,972 |
| SHEEMAHANT RIVER | 300,000 | 100,000 | 50,000 | 80,000 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 16,000 | 83,000 | 14,000 | 970 | 67,397 |
| TZEO RIVER | 14,000 | 2,500 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 500 | 500 | 700 | UNK | 1,000 | 50 | 3,250 |
| WANNOCK RIVER \& FLATS | 100,000 | 125,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 20,000 | 8,000 | 15,000 | 75,000 | 10,000 | 1,000 | 55,400 |
| WASHWASH CREEK | 35,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | 25,000 | 15,000 | 7,000 | 7,475 | 27,500 | 3,500 | 420 | 16,590 |
| OTHERS* | N/O | N/I | N/O | N/O | N/I | N/I | N/I |  |  |  |  |
| AREA 9 TOTAL | 586,510 | 346,500 | 343,005 | 311,000 | 91,500 | 73,000 | 65,000 | 276,100 | 52,020 | 3,600 | 214,824 |

NOTE: TRADITIONAL STREAM TARGETS ABOLISHED IN 1989. OWIKENO LAKE SYSTEM TARGET SET DEPENDANT UPON NEW MANAGEMENT PLAN.
*"OTHERS" INCLUDE HOGAN, NEWICHY AND TZEEISKAY STREAMS.

## Appendix 1b. Cont'd

AREA 9 SOCKEYE ESCAPEMENT TABLE: 1980-1989.

| STREAM | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | AVERAGE $1980-89$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLARD CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/O |  |
| AMBACK CREEK | 75,000 | 180,000 | 90,000 | 50,000 | 25,500 | 52,000 | 45,000 | 17,000 | 40,000 | 50,000 | 62,450 |
| ASHLULM CREEK | 5,000 | 25,000 | 15,000 | 35,000 | 7,000 | 28,700 | 47,500 | 32,000 | 25,000 | 12,000 | 23,220 |
| BEAVER CREEK |  | 75 |  | 1 | N/O | 185 | 125 | N/O |  | N/O | 97 |
| CHUCKWALLA RIVER |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | N/O | 6 |
| CLYAK YOUNG NEIL |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | N/O | 2 |
| DALLERY CREEK | 25,000 | 40,000 | 60,000 | 37,500 | 22,000 | 37,000 | 30,000 | 21,500 | 5,000 | 2,500 | 28,050 |
| DRANEY CREEK |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | N/O | 1 |
| GENESEE CREEK | 4,500 | 15,000 | 8,000 | 25,000 | 23,000 | 31,300 | 30,000 | 200 | 500 | 100 | 13,760 |
| INZIANA RIVER | 22,500 | 18,000 | 40,000 | 33,000 | 17,700 | 20,425 | 47,500 | 44,800 | 20,000 | 15,000 | 27,893 |
| JOHNSTON CREEK |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | N/O | 5 |
| KILBELLA RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/O |  |
| LOCKHART-GORDON CR. |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  | N/O | 2 |
| MACHMELL RIVER | 17,500 | 20,000 | 80,000 | 37,000 | 5,000 | 10,000 | 5,000 | 1,500 | 30,000 | 5,000 | 21,100 |
| MACNAIR CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/O |  |
| MILTON RIVER |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | N/O | 2 |
| NEECHANZ RIVER | 32,500 | 40,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 11,000 | 35,800 | 53,000 | 37,000 | 53,000 | 18,000 | 38,030 |
| NICKNAQUEET RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/O |  |
| OATSOALIS CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/O |  |
| OWIKENO LAKE SPWNS | 25,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 10,000 | 1,100 | 20,000 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 5,000 | 6,075 | 9,718 |
| SHEEMAHANT RIVER | 61,000 | 200,000 | 150,000 | 125,000 | 25,000 | 135,000 | 325,000 | 100,000 | 200,000 | 125,000 | 144,600 |
| TZEO RIVER | 4,000 | 5,000 | 55,000 | 4,000 | 2,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,500 | 9,500 | 3,500 | 11,350 |
| WANNOCK R \& FL | 27,500 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 200,000 | 45,000 | 20,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 80,000 | 125,000 | 119,750 |
| WASHWASH CREEK | 13,500 | 50,000 | 110,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 100,000 | 30,000 | 54,700 | 35,000 | 13,000 | 46,620 |
| OTHERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AREA 9 TOTAL | 313,000 | 753,075 | 823,000 | 636,502 | 214,301 | 500,430 | 825,626 | 521,700 | 503,000 | 375,175 | 546,581 |

NOTE: TRADITIONAL STREAM TARGETS ABOLISHED IN 1989. OWIKENO LAKE SYSTEM TARGET SET DEPENDANT UPON NEW MANAGEMENT PLAN.
*"OTHERS" INCLUDE HOGAN, NEWICHY AND TZEEISKAY STREAMS.

Appendix 1b. Cont'd
AREA 9 SOCKEYE ESCAPEMENT TABLE: 1970-1979.

| STREAM | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | AVERAGE 1970-79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLARD CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMBACK CREEK | 15,000 | 55,000 | 37,500 | 62,500 | 100,000 | 55,000 | 65,000 | 32,500 | 25,000 | 45,000 | 49,250 |
| ASHLULM CREEK | 750 | 1,300 | 1,500 | 27,500 | 9,000 | 4,500 | 4,000 | 3,000 | 22,500 | 8,000 | 8,205 |
| BEAVER CREEK | N/O | N/O | N/O | N/O | 25 | N/O |  |  | UNK | UNK | 25 |
| CHUCKWALLA RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLYAK, YOUNG \& NEIL CREEKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DALLERY CREEK | 15,000 | 20,000 | 9,000 | 22,500 | 22,500 | 45,000 | 12,000 | 18,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 19,400 |
| DRANEY CREEK* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 25 |
| GENESEE CREEK | 7,500 | 55,000 | 27,500 | 45,000 | 15,000 | 14,500 | 2,500 | 600 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 17,760 |
| INZIANA RIVER | 1,500 | 3,500 | 1,500 | 162,500 | 40,000 | 30,000 | 25,000 | 6,000 | 32,500 | 22,500 | 32,500 |
| JOHNSTON CREEK |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| KILBELLA RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOCKHART-GORDON CREEK MACHMELL RIVER | N/O | N/O | 2,500 | 12,500 | 10,000 | 7,500 | 7,000 | 2,000 | 15,000 | 35,000 | 11,438 |
| MACNAIR CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MILTON RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEECHANZ RIVER | 15,000 | 4,000 | 3,000 | 50,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 25,000 | 8,000 | 18,000 | 42,500 | 25,550 |
| NICKNAQUEET RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/I |  |  |  |  |
| OATSOALIS CREEK | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R |  |  |  |  |  |
| OWIKENO LAKE SPAWNERS | N/R | N/R | 5,000 | 10,000 | 8,000 | 102,500 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 5,000 | 7,500 | 21,000 |
| SHEEMAHANT RIVER** | 7,500 | 6,000 | 30,000 | 250,000 | 137,500 | 35,000 | 20,000 | 27,500 | 150,000 | 65,000 | 72,850 |
| TZEO RIVER | 1,500 | 1,100 | 1,500 | 55,000 | 32,500 | 11,000 | 12,000 | 4,000 | 10,000 | 2,000 | 13,060 |
| WANNOCK RIVER \& FLATS | 35,000 | 60,000 | 80,000 | 87,500 | 62,500 | 87,500 | 87,500 | 45,000 | 20,000 | 35,000 | 60,000 |
| WASHWASH CREEK | 3,500 | 10,000 | 22,500 | 200,000 | 75,000 | 42,500 | 20,000 | 35,000 | 65,000 | 15,000 | 48,850 |
| AREA 9 TOTAL | 102,250 | 215,900 | 221,500 | 985,000 | 557,025 | 480,002 | 300,000 | 191,600 | 383,000 | 297,525 | 373,380 |

* 1950-1977 ESCAPEMENT FOR DRANEY CREEK LISTED WITH LOCKHART-GORDON CREEK.
**SHEEMAHANT FLATS LISTED WITH SHEEMAHANT RIVER FROM 1950-71, AND WITH OWIKENO LAKE SPAWNERS FROM 1972 ONWARDS.

Appendix 1b. Cont'd
AREA 9 SOCKEYE ESCAPEMENT TABLE: 1960-1969.

| STREAM | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | AVERAGE $1960-69$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLARD CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMBACK CREEK | 15,000 | 15,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 3,500 | 15,000 | 3,500 | 35,000 | 15,000 | 32,700 |
| ASHLULM CREEK | 400 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 20,000 | 3,500 | 75 | 1,500 | 750 | 35,000 | 750 | 6,898 |
| BEAVER CREEK |  | 750 |  | 3,500 | 400 | 75 |  | N/O | N/O | N/O | 1,181 |
| CHUCKWALLA RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLYAK, YOUNG \& NEIL CREEKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DALLERY CREEK | 35,000 | 35,000 | 27,500 | 125,000 | 100,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 3,500 | 15,000 | 7,500 | 37,850 |
| DRANEY CREEK* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GENESEE CREEK | 3,500 | 3,500 | 35,000 | 55,000 | 15,000 |  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 35,000 | 15,000 | 21,333 |
| INZIANA RIVER | 3,500 | 7,500 | 35,000 | 175,000 | 75,000 | 15,000 | 7,500 | 1,500 | 100,000 | 1,500 | 42,150 |
| JOHNSTON CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KILBELLA RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOCKHART-GORDON CREEK MACHMELL RIVER | UNK | UNK | UNK | UNK | UNK | N/O | UNK | UNK | UNK | UNK |  |
| MACNAIR CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MILTON RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEECHANZ RIVER | 7,500 | 7,500 | 15,000 | 35,000 | 15,000 | 7,500 | 15,000 | 7,500 | 35,000 | 3,500 | 14,850 |
| NICKNAQUEET RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OATSOALIS CREEK | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R |  |
| OWIKENO LAKE SPAWNERS | N/O | 200 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 15,000 | N/O | 3,500 | 15,000 | 35,000 | 3,500 | 9,400 |
| SHEEMAHANT RIVER** | UNK | 35,400 | 42,500 | 82,500 | 110,000 | 15,000 | 50,000 | 135,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 68,933 |
| TZEO RIVER | 400 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 35,000 | 15,000 | 1,500 | 7,500 | 3,500 | 15,000 | 750 | 8,565 |
| WANNOCK RIVER \& FLATS | UNK | 35,000 | 100,000 | 200,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 35,000 | 125,000 | 75,000 | 100,000 | 91,111 |
| WASHWASH CREEK | 3,500 | 15,000 | 75,000 | 125,000 | 75,000 | 7,500 | 35,000 | 125,000 | 100,000 | 3,500 | 56,450 |
| AREA 9 TOTAL | 68,800 | 161,850 | 413,500 | 932,500 | 573,900 | 140,150 | 200,000 | 435,250 | 555,000 | 226,000 | 370,695 |

* 1950-1977 ESCAPEMENT FOR DRANEY CREEK LISTED WITH LOCKHART-GORDON CREEK.
**SHEEMAHANT FLATS LISTED WITH SHEEMAHANT RIVER FROM 1950-71, AND WITH OWIKENO LAKE SPAWNERS FROM 1972 ONWARDS.

Appendix 1b. Cont'd
AREA 9 SOCKEYE ESCAPEMENT TABLE: 1950-1959.

| STREAM | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { AVERAGE } \\ 1950-59 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLARD CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMBACK CREEK | 76,000 | 37,500 | 75,000 | 35,000 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 15,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 75,000 | 39,850 |
| ASHLULM CREEK | 9,000 | 25,000 | 40,000 | 15,000 | 300 | 3,500 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 35,000 | 3,500 | 16,130 |
| BEAVER CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHUCKWALLA RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLYAK, YOUNG \& NEIL CREEKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DALLERY CREEK | 67,500 | 45,000 | 100,000 | 75,000 | 65,000 | 100,000 | 75,000 | 35,000 | 15,000 | 100,000 | 67,750 |
| DRANEY CREEK* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GENESEE CREEK | 10,500 | 4,500 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 1,000 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 400 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 6,040 |
| INZIANA RIVER | 37,500 | 35,000 | 50,000 | 75,000 | 25,000 | 3,500 | 15,000 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 75,000 | 33,100 |
| JOHNSTON CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KILBELLA RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOCKHART-GORDON CREEK MACHMELL RIVER | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/I | UNK | UNK | UNK | UNK | UNK |  |
| MACNAIR CREEK |  | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/ | UNK | UNK | UNK | UNK | UNK |  |
| MILTON RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEECHANZ RIVER | 11,000 | 15,000 | 45,000 | 7,500 | 2,000 | 3,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 11,400 |
| NICKNAQUEET RIVER |  |  |  |  |  | N/R |  |  |  |  |  |
| OATSOALIS CREEK | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R |  |
| OWIKENO LAKE SPAWNERS | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | 75,000 | 3,500 | 39,250 |
| SHEEMAHANT RIVER** | 57,500 | 45,000 | 75,000 | 35,000 | UNK | UNK | 35,000 | 35,000 | UNK | 7,500 | 41,429 |
| TZEO RIVER | 15,000 | 7,500 | 7,500 | UNK | 2,500 | 400 | 15,000 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 15,000 | 8,656 |
| WANNOCK RIVER \& FLATS | 75,000 | 35,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | UNK | 3,500 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 75,750 | 75,000 | 53,806 |
| WASHWASH CREEK | 97,500 | 55,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 35,000 | 75,000 | 15,000 | 49,300 |
| AREA 9 TOTAL | 456,500 | 304,500 | 582,500 | 432,500 | 103,800 | 132,900 | 223,500 | 212,900 | 336,750 | 380,500 | 316,635 |

* 1950-1977 ESCAPEMENT FOR DRANEY CREEK LISTED WITH LOCKHART-GORDON CREEK.
**SHEEMAHANT FLATS LISTED WITH SHEEMAHANT RIVER FROM 1950-71, AND WITH OWIKENO LAKE SPAWNERS FROM 1972 ONWARDS.

Appendix 1c. Sub set of sockeye escapement data 1950-1999, from SEDS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AVERAGE |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| STREAM | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| HOOK NOSE CREEK | 20 | 150 | 10 | 155 |  | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{I}$ |  | UNK | UNK |  |
| KOEYE RIVER | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{I}$ | 525 | 600 | 250 | 1,000 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{I}$ | 300 | UNK | UNK | 1,200 |
| NAMU RIVER | 20 | 450 | 500 | 1,000 | 400 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{I}$ | 550 | N/I | N/I | 2,000 |
| KIMSQUIT RIVER | 7,400 | 27,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 |  | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{I}$ | 20,000 | 5,000 | UNK | 1,000 |
| ATNARKO SPAWNING CHANNEL* | 3 | 48 | 5 | 10 | 25 |  | 64 | 12,343 |  |  |
| BELLA COOLA RIVER | 20,000 | 52,500 | 41,000 | 15,000 | 25,000 | 55,000 | 45,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 | 25,000 |

Appendix 1c. Cont'd.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AVERAGE |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| STREAM | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| HOOK NOSE CREEK | 1,000 | 75 | 500 | 300 | 750 | 250 | 285 | 10 | 300 | 60 |
| KOEYE RIVER | 2,500 | 5,000 | 2,000 | N/I | 2,300 | 1,700 | 2,900 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 1,200 |
| NAMU RIVER | N/O | 1,500 | 2,000 | 1,500 | 4,000 | 1,800 | 1,450 | 160 | 1,700 | 750 |
| KIMSQUIT RIVER | 7,500 | 5,000 | 12,000 | 30,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 16,000 | 22,000 | 10,000 | 12,200 |
| ATNARKO SPAWNING CHANNEL* |  |  |  |  |  |  | 250 | 1,511 |  |  |
| BELLA COOLA RIVER | 24,000 | 40,000 | 20,000 | 25,000 | 45,000 | 50,000 | 19,975 | 30,780 | 30,000 | 15,00 |

Appendix 1c. Cont'd.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AVERAGE |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| STREAM | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | $1970-79$ |
| HOOK NOSE CREEK | N/O | N/O | N/O | 750 | 300 | 400 | 250 | 750 | 300 | 400 | 450 |
| KOEYE RIVER | 1,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,000 | 2,500 | 3,500 | 9,000 | 3,500 | 300 | 14,000 | 4,430 |
| NAMU RIVER | N/I | 8,000 | N/I | N/O | N/O | N/I | 600 | N/I | 350 | 3,500 | 3,113 |
| KIMSQUIT RIVER | 3,500 | 55,000 | 13,500 | 3,500 | 5,000 | 35,000 | 25,000 | 10,000 | 12,000 | 8,000 | 17,050 |
| ATNARKO SPAWNING CHANNEL* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BELLA COOLA RIVER | 25,000 | 100,000 | 32,500 | 85,000 | 55,000 | 45,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 20,000 | 18,000 | 44,050 |

Appendix 1c. Cont'd.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AVERAGE |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| STREAM | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | $1960-69$ |
| HOOK NOSE CREEK | 268 | 126 | N/O | N/O | 750 | N/O | 25 | 650 | N/O | N/O | 364 |
| KOEYE RIVER | 1,500 | 750 | N/O | N/O | 750 | 750 | 750 | 1,500 | 750 | 750 | 938 |
| NAMU RIVER | 3,500 | 3,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 750 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 750 | 1,500 | 1,750 |
| KIMSQUIT RIVER | 7,500 | N/O | 3,500 | N/O | N/O | N/O | UNK | 15,000 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 6,600 |
| ATNARKO SPAWNING CHANNEL* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BELLA COOLA RIVER | 35,000 | 35,000 | 75,000 | 55,000 | 31,000 | 13,000 | 16,000 | 36,000 | 8,000 | 40,000 | 34,400 |

Appendix 1c. Cont'd.

| STREAM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1950-59 |
| HOOK NOSE CREEK | 717 | 1,300 | 1,087 | 1,500 | 750 | 2,566 | 1,332 | 1,353 | 1,248 | 1,000 | 1,285 |
| KOEYE RIVER | 3,500 | 1,200 | 1,500 | 2,000 | 1,500 | 2,000 | 3,500 | 7,500 | 750 | 3,500 | 2,695 |
| NAMU RIVER | 3,500 | 2,500 | 2,000 | 1,500 | 4,500 | 1,000 | 3,500 | 750 | 1,500 | 7,500 | 2,825 |
| KIMSQUIT RIVER | 7,500 | 7,000 | 10,300 | 7,500 | 12,000 | 5,000 | 7,500 | 7,500 | UNK | 3,500 | 7,533 |
| ATNARKO SPAWNING CHANNEL* BELLA COOLA RIVER | 75,000 | 75,000 | 90,000 | 65,000 | 53,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 150,000 | 15,000 | 75,000 | 74,800 |

Appendix 2. Trawl catch of Owikeno Lake juvenile sockeye by depth and year.

|  | Proportion sockeye caught at |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Surface | $2-4 \mathrm{~m}$ | $5-7 \mathrm{~m}$ | $6-8 \mathrm{~m}$ | $10-12 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 0.982 | . | 0.018 | . | . |
| 1999 | 0.692 | . | 0.166 | . | 0.142 |
| 1998 | 0.990 | 0.010 | . | . | . |

Appendix 3. Annual coho salmon counts past the Docee Fence, 1998-2000.

|  |  | Proportion |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | Escapement |  |
|  | Age 1.1 | Age 2.1 |  |
| 1998 | 6,500 | 0.60 | 0.40 |
| 1999 | 4,600 | 0.93 | 0.07 |
| 2000 | 9,700 | 0.76 | 0.24 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ First Nation catch
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Very preliminary estimate

[^1]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ First Nation catch

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Optimistic survival scenario assumes an average survival rate
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Pessimistic survival scenario assumes continued poor survival as measured for sea-entry 1996

[^3]:    1) 1970 AND 1971 ESCAPEMENT TOTALS ARE FROM DOCEE RIVER TOWER COUNTS.
    ** 2) 1972 TO 1979 ESCAPEMENT TOTALS ARE FROM DOCEE RIVER FENCE COUNTS AND MINOR STREAM ESCAPEMENTS.
