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#### Abstract

The Exploits River was the site of an Atlantic salmon enhancement colonization program from 1957-1993. Counts at fishways and angling data provided the basis for assessing the status of the salmon population and determining percent of conservation egg deposition achieved. The 1997 freshwater escapement to the Exploits, of 16,144 ( 15,236 small and 881 large) was $77 \%$ of the average 1992-96 escapement and 202\%of the 1987-1991 mean. In 1997 the Exploits River received 26\% of its required egg deposition. A total of 2,996 small salmon were retained in the recreational fishery with a total of 2,169 hook-and-released fish. The Exploits River in addition to a conservation egg deposition has a management target of 13,000 spawners, which was used to manage the recreational fishery. This requirement was addressed within the context of the Exploits River achieving its conservation egg deposition.


## Résumé

La rivière Exploits a été le site d'un programme de mise en valeur du saumon de l'Atlantique par colonisation de 1957 à 1993. Les dénombrements effectués aux passes à poissons et les données de la pêche sportive constituent le fondement de l'évaluation de l'état de la population de saumons et de la détermination de la ponte par rapport aux objectifs de conservation. L'échappée en eau douce de l'Exploits en 1997, de 16144 saumons ( 15236 petits et 881 grands) correspondait à $77 \%$ de la moyenne de 19921996 et à $202 \%$ de celle de 1987-1991. En 1997, la ponte nécessaire n'a été atteinte qu'à $26 \%$. Au total, 2996 petits saumons ont été conservés par les pêcheurs récréatifs et 2169 saumons ont été capturés et relâchés. Dans la rivière Exploits, un objectif de gestion de 13000 géniteurs destinés à la pêche récréative vient s'ajouter à l'objectif de ponte nécessaire à la conservation. Ce besoin a été respecté dans le contexte de l'atteinte des objectifs de ponte.

## Introduction

The Exploits River is the largest watershed in insular Newfoundland, encompassing a drainage area of $11,272 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (Porter et al. 1974). The river flows in a northeasterly direction, entering the ocean in SFA 4 (Fig. 1). Prior to the inception of enhancement activity (O'Connell and Bourgeois, 1987) less than $10 \%$ of the watershed area was available to anadromous Atlantic salmon due to the presence of natural and man-made obstructions (Taylor and Bauld, 1973). The Exploits River requires 95.9 million eggs ( 56,670 small salmon) to meet its conservation egg deposition requirement (see Table 1). However, to date, only $53 \%$ of the colonizable habitat within the watershed have been stocked.

The intent of this document is to review the status of the stock in 1997.

## Background

## Stocking Activities

For details of the fry stockings conducted in the various sections of the Exploits River (Fig.2), refer to Tables 2-4. With respect to the middle Exploits, 187,668 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (egg requirement $45,040,320$ ) of river habitat (Table 1) did not receive the required five years of stocking to establish a self-sustaining run.

Management measures implemented since 1992, which remained in place for 1997
1.Moratorium on commercial salmon fishing in insular Newfoundland.
2. Moratorium on the northern cod fishery affecting Salmon Fishing Areas (SFA's) 1-9 implemented on July 15, 1992. This measure eliminated by-catch of salmon in cod fishing gear.
3.Lower seasonal bag limits in the recreational fishery.

## Other management measures

In 1994, due to the low egg deposition in the upper Exploits (Table 4) and expected low returns in 1995 from the last year of fry stocking, concern was expressed with respect to future returns to Red Indian Lake fishway. The increase in angling effort and catch (Table 5) realized on the Exploits in 1994 further reduced the rate of increase of spawners in the upper Exploits. In an effort to increase escapement at Red Indian Lake in 1995 DFO iniatiated an adult transfer from Grand Falls to a location within Red Indian Lake. This transfer has remained in place for 1997.

## Industrial Activity

In September of 1995 Abitibi-Price, the operators of Grand Falls pulp and paper located in Grand Falls immediately downstream of the Grand Falls fishway, began operation of an Aeration Settlement Basin as a secondary waste water treatment process. This process reduced the Total Suspended Solids (TSS) and Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) (see Bourgeois et. al., 1997 for additional detail).

In the fall of 1996, Abitibi-Price altered the forebay/penstock intakes at the Grand Falls generating station. Prior to this construction, the forebay emptied water to three penstock pipes through a series of trash racks. The new construction in 1996 altered this arrangement to remove these penstocks and create an approximate 1,700 foot long canal. Associated with this construction was the installation of a set of louvres and associated bypass to deflect smolt and kelts from this canal. A monitoring program to test the efficiency of the louvre array was conducted in 1997.

## Methods

## Adult Counts

Fish are enumerated at three fishway locations on the Exploits; i) Bishop's Falls fishway which enumerates all fish entering the river at the community of Bishop's Falls on the main stem of the river in the lower Exploits ii) Grand Falls fishway on the main stem of the Exploits at the community of Grand Falls-Winsor which enumerates all fish entering the middle and upper Exploits and iii) Red Indian Lake fishway at the outflow of Red Indian Lake which enumerates all fish entering the upper Exploits (see Fig. 2).

## Fry Stocking

Fry stocking was conducted in riverine habitat utilizing mainly helicopters with some distribution via vehicle (in accessible locations). Stocking was conducted such that the habitat that was stocked received 75 fry per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of habitat. Fry were stocked along the river banks in areas of low flow with depth less than 30 cm in areas where gravel/cobble substrate was present. If suitable habitat was available, fry were stocked at one quarter kilometer intervals on opposite sides of the river. The number of fry released in individual drops ranged from 5,000-50,000 depending on the available habitat to be stocked. Releases in excess of 25,000 fry/drop were only conducted on the main stem of the middle Exploits.

## Angling Statistics

Angling catch and effort data prior to 1994 were supplied by DFO staff and from 1994 to 1996, the data were collected by DFO staff and River Monitors. In 1997 angling data below Bishop Falls only was collected by DFO staff and river monitors up to the mid-
season review. Angling statistics were previously reported for 7 various locations of which five are located in the lower Exploits; Lower Exploits I) downstream of the Bishops Falls fishway ii) Bishop Falls fishway to Grand Falls fishway (main stem of river only) iii) Great Rattling Brook downstream of Camp 1 fishway (includes angling at the mouth of Great Rattling Brook and therefore all fish angled at this location are not destined for Great Rattling Brook) iv) Great Rattling Brook upstream of Camp 1 fishway v)Stoney Brook (includes angling at the mouth of Stoney Brook and therefore all fish angled at this location are not destined for Stoney Brook) middle vi) Grand Falls fishway to Red Indian Lake fishway upper vii) upstream of Red Indian Lake. From 1985-1993, angling data was collected from four locations and prior to 1985, data was collected from three locations (Table 5). Due to recent changes in data collection, angling data for the Exploits River will in future be presented for the lower, middle and upper sections of the Exploits.

Angling exploitation rates for above and below Bishop Falls are calculated as follows; Below Bishop Falls fishway = angling below Bishop Falls fishway / (count at Bishop Falls fishway + (angling below Bishop Falls fishway $+10 \%$ hook-and-release mortality on hook-and-released fish)+ known removals); Above Bishop Falls fishway = angling above Bishop Falls fishway / count at Bishop Falls fishway

## Biological Characteristics

Biological characteristic data presented in Tables 6 and 7 were collected from various locations within the Exploits watershed.

## Egg Depositions

Habitat determinations and conservation egg depositions are detailed in Table 1. Conservation egg requirement was calculated based on $2.40 \mathrm{egg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $7 \mathrm{smolts} / \mathrm{ha}$ of standing water. Smolt production of 7 smolt/ha was divided by $1.9 \%$ to convert this to eggs (O'Connell et al., 1991).

Spawning escapement was calculated by subtracting angling catches including a 10\% mortality on hook and released fish and known removals from counts at fishways without inclusion of an estimate for poaching and disease. In 1992 and 1993 spawning surveys on various tributaries of the lower Exploits were utilized in calculating egg deposition.

Egg deposition is calculated based on a length fecundity relationship based on mean length of female fish. Data collected from broodstock from 1984-1991 were used to determine mean female length and percent female fish in the run. Calculations use a mean female length of 52 cm (a mean no. of eggs per female of 2198) and that females compromise $77 \%$ of the run. Caution: Mean length of female fish may have changed since the 1992 management changes to commercial exploitation due to increased returns of large fish.

In order to calculate the egg deposition in areas where fry stocking occurred, an estimate of egg-to-fry survival of $20 \%$ (Sturge, 1968) was used to back calculate fry to eggs. Sturge (1968) gave a range of 10-30\% for egg-to-fry survival and indicated that a figure of $20 \%$ appeared to be a reasonable value.

Egg depositions for the various sections of the watershed in 1997 were calculated by apportioning the recreational catch based on previous angling history.

## 1997 Management Plan for Exploits River

The following Management Plan was announced for the 1997 angling fishery:
Exploits River and tributaries below Grand Falls
Catch-and-retain angling June 21 - August 16. No quota
Catch-and-release angling only August 17 - September 1
Main stem - Stoney Brook to Grand Falls closed to all angling for entire season An in season review in late July would determine if a spawning escapement of 13,000 would be achieved and if not angling would revert to catch-and-release only before August 16.

## Exploits River above Grand Falls

The main stem of the river from Grand Falls to Red Indian Lake, and all tributaries above Red Indian Lake Dam, will be open only for catch-and-release angling for the entire season.
Tributaries between Grand Falls and Red Indian Lake will be open for catch-and-retain angling June 21 - August 16. Catch-and-release angling only August 17 - September 1.
In addition, it was agreed that an adult transfer from Grand Falls to Red Indian Lake would take place.

## Management Target

The recreational fishery on the Exploits River is managed by a management target of 13,000 spawners. The rationale for this target was to allow for a recreational fishery while the Exploits stock, which has undergone extensive enhancement activities, continues to increase.

## Results and Discussion

Table 1 details the accessible rearing area and conservation egg deposition requirement for the Exploits River. The use of fixed parameters, such as $240 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
of fluvial habitat and 7 smolts/ha of standing water habitat, has certain limitations (see O'Connell \& Dempson, 1991 for discussion on this topic).

## Fishway Counts

Table 8 details the 1975-1996 counts from the various fishways on the Exploits whilst Tables 6-7 detail smolt and adult biological characteristics.

The 1997 total count at the Bishop's Falls fishway of 14,428 ( 13,547 small and 881 large) was $110 \%, 191 \%$ and $72 \%$ of the 1982-1986 mean ( 13,105 ), 1987-1991 mean $(7,564)$ and of 1992-1996 (post moratorium) mean $(20,070)$ respectively(Table 8$)$.

## Freshwater Escapement

The 1997 freshwater escapement of 16,144 (count at Bishops Falls fishway + retained angling below the fishway $+10 \%$ of hook-and-released fish below Bishops + known removals) to the Exploits was $77 \%$ of the 1992-96 escapement and 202\% of the 19871991 mean and 123\% of the 1982-1986 mean. The 1992-1996 freshwater escapement plus bycatch (unknown) is equal to watershed adult production.

## Recreational Fishery

Table 5 details the angling statistics for the Exploits watershed which revealed a total angling catch of 5,165 (2,996 small retained and 2,169 hook-and-released). In 1995 the recreational fishery was managed by a retention season and a retention quota whilst in 1996 the fishery was managed by a retention season only making comparisons with previous years difficult. The 1997 season prior to the closure of the retention fishery was only managed by season dates. The 1997 total recreational catch is the second highest on record. The 1997 retained catch and the hook-and-released catch are the third highest in the time series.

In 1997, unusually large numbers of landlocked (sea age undetermined) salmon were angled immediately below of Red Indian Lake dam fishway.

## Run Timing

Run timing (cumulative percent of run to date) for Bishop Falls fishway is presented in Table 9. The average date for the 1986-1997 time period for 50 percent of the escapement to Bishop Falls fishway is the week of July 20-27 which is the appropriate date to conduct a mid season review.

## Egg Deposition and Percent of Conservation Egg Achieved

With the change in the collection of angling data, that commenced in 1997 the task of calculating egg depositions for the various sections of the watershed has become very
problematic as angling data is not broken down by watershed section. Angling data only exists for the middle Exploits from 1994 onwards. With the 1995 and 1996 management plans for the recreational fishery being different from 1994 three years of data, exist none of, which are comparable. Additionally, the recreational fishery within the middle Exploits is just developing and use of previous data is likely not appropriate. Therefore, the percentage of conservation egg deposition for the total watershed will be accurate while the egg deposition for the various sections of the watershed are estimates.

## Total Watershed

In 1997, the Exploits River watershed achieved 26\% of its conservation egg deposition. The 1992-1996 mean value was $41 \%$.

## Lower Exploits

Table 2 details the number of spawners and subsequent egg deposition and percent of conservation egg deposition achieved for the lower Exploits for the period 1957-1997.

In 1997, the lower Exploits achieved 70\% of it's required egg deposition which is 57\% and 159\% of the 1992-1996 mean and the 1987-1991 mean respectively. The egg deposition for Great Rattling Brook can no longer be calculated due to cessation of the count at Camp 1 fishway in 1997.

## Middle Exploits

The middle Exploits requires a deposition of 64.2 million eggs to meet its total conservation requirement (Table 1); however $187,668100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of habitat in the main stem of the river (egg requirement $45 \times 10^{8}$ ) have not received adequate stocking to be producing a self-sustaining run of adults. Furthermore, it is questionable whether or not smolt production in the order of three smolts per unit should be expected from this habitat. The main stem of the middle Exploits River is fast flowing and 1-2 metres deep in many areas, which could lower its smolt production potential.

The angling catch for this section of the watershed is the difference between total catch and catch in the lower exploits.

The middle Exploits received 19\% of it's conservation egg deposition in 1997. (Table 3) which is $74 \%$ and $136 \%$ of the 1992-1996 mean and the 1987-1991 mean respectively.

Figure 4 details the count at Grand Falls and the percent of the fish released at Bishop Falls that were enumerated at Grand Falls. The 1996 count at Grand Falls of 14,343 adults was more than double any previous count at this facility and was resultant from the low egg depositions in 1990 and 1991 based on $3^{+}$and $4^{+}$smolt ages.

The returns to Grand Falls in 1997 were the offspring of the natural spawners from 1990-1992 (1710 in total) and fry stocking in 1992-1993.

## Upper Exploits

The upper Exploits requires an egg deposition of 15.4 million eggs but only received $10 \%$ of this conservation requirement in 1997(Table 4). This was in part accomplished by the transfer of 504 adults from Grand Falls fishway. With the cessation of stocking in 1991 and extremely low natural egg depositions in 1990-1994 returns during the next few years are expected to be very low. The 1997 returns of 194 are resultant from 1992 and 1993 natural egg depositions ( 141 and 585 spawners respectively). The authors strongly recommend that measures be undertaken to increase the egg deposition in the upper Exploits before any fishery is permitted.

## Stock Development

The Exploits watershed was the site of very intensive stock enhancement from 1957 1993 primarily focused on developing runs of salmon to areas of the watershed previously inaccessible to anadromous Atlantic salmon. During the 1959-1963time frame, mean escapement through Bishop Falls was 1,100 adult salmon with the 1992 1996 mean being 20,070. This is indeed a tremendous accomplishment, however the conservation egg requirement in terms of adults (small salmon) is 56,670 salmon. Because of management changes that have occurred since the project's inception the only management option left to increase spawning escapement is through regulation of the recreational fishery. The recreational fishery on the Exploits River (Table 5) operated prior to 1995 without any restrictions except control of the season dates. An important consideration of the last management change (i.e. the moratorium on commercial salmon fishing) was to ensure that no reallocation of fish occurred between the commercial and recreational fisheries which failed on the Exploits River (see text table below).

| Time Frame | Mean <br> Retained <br> Catch |
| :---: | :---: |

Mean
Hook-and-
Released
catch

| Total |
| :---: |
| Recreational |
| Kill |

Mean

Spawning Escapement

| $1975-1976$ | 1,777 | 0 | 1,777 | 10,350 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1977-1981$ | 1,683 | 0 | 1,683 | 6,254 |
| $1982-1986$ | 2,133 | 0 | 2,133 | 9,132 |
| $1987-1991$ | 1,241 | 0 | 1,241 | 2,934 |
| $1992-1996$ | 1,877 | 1,869 | 2,064 | 18,778 |

Because of increased spawning from 1992-1996 coupled with the cessation of fry stocking in 1993 the future development of the Exploits stock needs addressing. The
stock must now be managed in a fashion to achieve its conservation egg deposition. Based on the 1992-1996 spawning escapement the watershed is achieving approximately $33 \%$ of its required egg deposition. In an effort to assure additional spawners the present spawning requirement of 13,000 adults must be increased to ensure timely development of the Exploits stock. Recruit to spawner ratios (see Bourgeois et. al 1996) for the Exploits stock indicates that a recruit to spawner ratio of $1: 1$ is very easily achievable. With a view to increasing spawner escapement without total closure of the recreational fishery, a required spawning escapement for the 1998 2002 time frame of 18,000 adults appears to be easily achievable.

## Management Considerations

Increase the required spawning escapement from 13,000 adults to 18,000 adults for the 1998 season.

One of DFO'S objectives on the Exploits River is to increase spawning escapement above Red Indian Lake. To further address the escapement above Red Indian Lake it is recommended that at least 1,000 adults be trucked from Grand Falls fishway to Red Indian Lake.

The 1997 recreational fishery on the Exploits angled 5,165 fish of which 2,996 were retained. Concern is expressed over earlier openings of the retention recreational fishery as this fishery has the potential to seriously erode egg deposition within the various sections of the Exploits watershed.
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Table 1: Rearing area and conservation egg deposition for sections of the Exploits River.
Exploits River $\quad$ Riverine Habitat ( $\mathrm{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) Lacustrine Habitat (ha) Target Egg Deposition

| Lower | 57,552 | 6,915 | $16,360,112$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle | 234,873 | 21,178 | $64,171,941$ |
| main stem | 187,668 | 0 | $45,040,320$ |
| tributaries | 47,205 | 21,178 | $19,131,621$ |
| Upper | 55,437 | 5,665 | $15,384,617$ |
| Total | 347,862 | 33,758 | $95,916,670$ |

Table 2. Egg depositions Lower Exploits 1957-1997.

| Year | No. Fry <br> Stocked | No. Spawners | Total Eggs | \% Conservation Target Achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1957 |  | $610+$ | 1,032,401 | 6 |
| 1958 |  | 786+ | 1,330,274 | 8 |
| 1959 |  | 1,334 | 2,257,742 | 14 |
| 1960 |  | 1,677 | 2,838,255 | 17 |
| 1961 |  | 1,203 | 2,036,029 | 12 |
| 1962 |  | 1,212+ | 2,051,262 | 13 |
| 1963 |  | 1,269 | 2,147,732 | 13 |
| 1964 |  | 1,886+ | 3,191,980 | 20 |
| 1965 |  | 1,371 | 2,320,363 | 14 |
| 1966 |  | 1,412+ | 2,389,754 | 15 |
| 1967 |  | 2,033 | 3,440,771 | 21 |
| 1968 |  | 2,021+ | 3,420,462 | 21 |
| 1969 |  | 1,454 | 2,460,837 | 15 |
| 1970 |  | 1,222+ | 2,068,186 | 13 |
| 1071 |  | 1,229 | 2,080,033 | 13 |
| 1972 |  | 843 | 1,426,744 | 9 |
| 1973 |  | * | * | * |
| 1974 |  | 2,647+ | 4,479,942 | 27 |
| 1975 |  | 8,826 | 14,937,652 | 91 |
| 1976 |  | 2,987 | 5,055,378 | 31 |
| 1977 |  | 5,027 | 8,507,996 | 52 |
| 1978 |  | 2,810 | 4,755,813 | 29 |
| 1979 |  | 5,482 | 9,278,066 | 57 |
| 1980 |  | 4,611+ | 7,803,933 | 48 |
| 1981 |  | 5,401 | 9,140,976 | 56 |
| 1982 |  | 5,135 | 8,690,782 | 53 |
| 1983 |  | 3,252+ | 5,503,880 | 34 |
| 1984 |  | 11,857 | 20,067,498 | 123 |
| 1985 |  | 9,664 | 16,355,933 | 100 |
| 1986 |  | 5,777 | 14,132,236 | 86 |
| 1987 | 195,127 | 3,466 | 10,819,136 | 66 |
| 1988 | 870,979 | 2,796 | 7,869,743 | 48 |
| 1989 | 990,614 | 2,620 | 7,898,800 | 48 |
| 1990 | 627,525 | 2,324 | 4,315,677 | 26 |
| 1991 | 692,911 | 3,079 | 5,211,084 | 32 |
| 1992 | 76,480 | 9,737 | 16,479,483 | 101 |
| 1993 | 0 | 15,363 | 26,001,263 | 159 |
| 1994 | 0 | 8,660 | 14,656,704 | 90 |
| 1995 | 0 | 9,174 | 15,526,628 | 95 |
| 1996 | 0 | 16,050 | 27,163,983 | 166 |
| 1997 | 0 | 6,800 | 11,508,728 | 70 |
| + = partial count |  | * $=$ no data |  |  |

Table 3. Details of egg deposition Middle Exploits 1967-1997.

| Year |  | No. Spawners | Natural Egg Deposition | Fry to Egg Equivalent | Total Eggs | \% Conservation Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 768600 | 768600 | 1.2 |
| 1968 | 153720 | 0 | 0 | 841700 | 841700 | 1.3 |
| 1969 | 168340 | 0 | 0 | 1644600 | 1644600 | 2.6 |
| 1970 | 328920 | 0 | 0 | 1479730 | 1479730 | 2.3 |
| 1971 | 295946 | 0 | 0 | 1612530 | 1612530 | 2.5 |
| 1972 | 322506 | 0 | 0 | 2053445 | 2053445 | 3.2 |
| 1973 | 410689 | 0 | 0 | 1779000 | 1779000 | 2.8 |
| 1974 | 355800 | 31 | 88491 | 1063050 | 1151541 | 1.8 |
| 1975 | 212610 | 650 | 1855455 | 6463125 | 8318580 | 13.0 |
| 1976 | 1292625 | 79 | 225509 | 6733930 | 6959439 | 10.8 |
| 1977 | 1346786 | 27 | 77073 | 6832050 | 6909123 | 10.8 |
| 1978 | 1366410 | 0 | 0 | 3628785 | 3629785 | 5.7 |
| 1979 | 725757 | 47 | 134164 | 9352470 | 9486634 | 14.8 |
| 1980 | 1870494 | 2246 | 6411309 | 4513470 | 10924779 | 17.0 |
| 1981 | 902694 | 2586 | 7381855 | 3941270 | 11323125 | 17.6 |
| 1982 | 788254 | 1229 | 3508236 | 1926610 | 5434846 | 8.5 |
| 1983 | 385322 | 810 | 2312182 | 3960965 | 6273147 | 9.8 |
| 1984 | 792193 | 3750 | 10704545 | 2539510 | 13244055 | 20.6 |
| 1985 | 507902 | 2981 | 8509400 | 2558670 | 11068070 | 17.2 |
| 1986 | 511734 | 0 | 0 | 5333120 | 5333120 | 8.3 |
| 1987 | 1066624 | 80 | 228364 | 5243995 | 5472359 | 8.5 |
| 1988 | 1048799 | 5 | 14273 | 7854460 | 7868733 | 12.3 |
| 1989 | 1570892 | 0 | 0 | 8758425 | 8758425 | 13.6 |
| 1990 | 1751685 | 2 | 5709 | 7436240 | 7441949 | 11.6 |
| 1991 | 1487248 | 267 | 762164 | 9304990 | 10067154 | 15.7 |
| 1992 | 1605761 | 1441 | 4113400 | 8464850 | 12578250 | 19.6 |
| 1993 | 1692970 | 5174 | 14769418 | 0 | 14769418 | 23.0 |
| 1994 | 0 | 5857 | 16719073 | 0 | 16719073 | 26.1 |
| 1995 | 0 | 5416 | 15460218 | 0 | 15460218 | 24.1 |
| 1996 | 0 | 12,615 | 27341834 | 0 | 27341834 | 42.6 |
| 1997 | 0 | 5,509 | 11,940,243 | 0 | 11,940,243 | 18.6 |

Note: Egg target is 64 million ( 45 for main stem and 19 for tributaries)

Table 4. Details of egg deposition Upper Exploits.

| Year | No. Fry <br> Released | Fry to egg | Adults <br> Spawning | Total Eggs | \% Target egg <br> Deposition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1975 | 0 | 952665 | 0 | 952665 | 6.19 |
| 1976 | 190533 | 892390 | 0 | 892390 | 5.80 |
| 1977 | 178478 | 155580 | 0 | 155580 | 1.01 |
| 1978 | 31116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 1980 | 0 | 3326500 | 0 | 3326500 | 21.62 |
| 1981 | 665300 | 4460735 | 0 | 4460735 | 28.99 |
| 1982 | 892147 | 2041055 | 0 | 2041055 | 13.27 |
| 1983 | 408211 | 1992570 | 0 | 1992570 | 12.95 |
| 1984 | 398514 | 4403050 | 0 | 4403050 | 28.62 |
| 1985 | 880610 | 8189350 | 0 | 8189350 | 53.23 |
| 1986 | 1637870 | 11078265 | 0 | 11078265 | 72.01 |
| 1987 | 2215653 | 14895245 | 0 | 14895245 | 96.82 |
| 1988 | 2979049 | 19275305 | 0 | 19275305 | 125.29 |
| 1989 | 3855061 | 18345255 | 0 | 18345255 | 119.24 |
| 1990 | 3669051 | 13471645 | 0 | 13471645 | 87.57 |
| 1991 | 2694329 | 0 | 28 | 47389 | 0.31 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 238637 | 1.6 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 585 | 990089 | 6.4 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 633 | 1071327 | 7.0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 1102 | 1865091 | 12.1 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 1846 | 4001032 | 26.0 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 698 | 1512850 | 9.8 |

Table 5. Angling statistics for Exploits River

| Year | Lower Exploits | Middle Exploits | Upper Exploits | Total Retained Catch | Total Released Catch | Total Effort |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 1,619 |  |  | 1,619 |  | 5,702 |
| 1976 | 1,934 |  |  | 1,934 |  | 5,775 |
| 1977 | 1,852 |  |  | 1,852 |  | 6,944 |
| 1978 | 1,840 |  |  | 1,480 |  | 5,031 |
| 1979 | 1,431 |  |  | 1,431 |  | 8,363 |
| 1980 | 1,790 |  |  | 1,790 |  | 7,427 |
| 1981 | 1,861 |  |  | 1,861 |  | 7,515 |
| 1982 | 1,733 |  |  | 1,733 |  | 9,630 |
| 1983 | 1,353 |  |  | 1,353 |  | 5,079 |
| 1984 | 2,424 |  |  | 2,424 |  | 9,459 |
| 1985 | 2,998 |  |  | 2,998 |  | 8,600 |
| 1986 | 2,057 |  |  | 2057 |  | 8,123 |
| 1987 | 1,935 |  |  | 1935 |  | 5,891 |
| 1988 | 1,731 |  |  | 1731 |  | 6,181 |
| 1989 | 577 |  |  | 577 |  | 3,813 |
| 1990 | 917 |  |  | 917 |  | 5,869 |
| 1991 | 1,045 |  |  | 1045 |  | 5,931 |
| 1992 | 1,408 |  |  | 1408 | 199 | 4,347 |
| -1993 | 1,655 |  |  | 1655 | 3,039 | 7,896 |
| 1994 | 2,962 | 110 | 0 | 3072 | 1,175 | 16,330 |
| 1995 | 1,334 | 2 | 0 | 1,336 | 1,603 | 10,089 |
| 1996 | 1,787 | 128 | 0 | 1,915 | 3,313 | 11,987 |
| 1997 | 2,891 | 105 | 0 | 2,996 | 2,169 | No data |

Data up to 1996 collected by DFO and river monitors
Data for 1997 based on DFO stats collected below Bishop Falls

Table 6. Biological characteristics of Exploits River smolt 1984-1997.

| YEAR | FORK LENGTH |  |  | WEIGHT |  | RIVER AGE |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | MEAN <br> (NO.) | S.D | RANGE | MEAN <br> (NO.) | S.D | RANGE | MEAN <br> (NO.) | S.D | RANGE |
| 1984 | $16.4(954)$ | 2.3 | $12.0-26.8$ | $57.6(39)$ | 9.4 | $38.2-76.8$ | $3.5(938)$ | 0.6 | $2.0-6.0$ |
| 1985 | $16.6(280)$ | 1.9 | $10.6-26.7$ | $42.7(252)$ | 15.8 | $12.4-169.0$ | $3.2(276)$ | 0.5 | $2.0-5.0$ |
| 1986 | $15.4(1378)$ | 2.3 | $6.70-26.7$ | $34.1(1212)$ | 14.8 | $7.8-207.0$ | $3.6(1299)$ | 0.7 | $2.0-7.0$ |
| 1987 | $17.3(779)$ | 2.3 | $10.8-28.4$ | $51.3(776)$ | 22.4 | $15.6-228.1$ | $3.4(780)$ | 0.7 | $2.0-6.0$ |
| 1988 | $16.3(823)$ | 3.1 | $10.3-26.7$ | $46.4(823)$ | 29.7 | $12.8-333.8$ | $3.7(805)$ | 0.8 | $2.0-7.0$ |
| 1989 | $15.7(600)$ | 2.8 | $10.1-26.3$ | $43.6(593)$ | 23.2 | $13.7-176.8$ | $3.4(613)$ | 0.7 | $2.0-5.0$ |
| 1990 | $16.2(557)$ | 3.0 | $8.8-33.9$ | $46.7(555)$ | 27.8 | $8.1-246.0$ | $3.4(552)$ | 0.7 | $2.0-5.0$ |
| 1991 | $17.5(100)$ | 2.8 | $12.3-28.4$ | $52.2(100)$ | 27.3 | $21.6-190.7$ | $3.3(98)$ | 0.7 | $2.0-5.0$ |
| 1992 | $16.5(173)$ | 1.5 | $12.9-21.6$ | $42.3(170)$ | 11.7 | $18.2-104.6$ | $3.4(173)$ | 0.6 | $2.0-5.0$ |
| 1993 | $16.6(201)$ | 1.9 | $12.8-23.0$ | $46.4(201)$ | 16.0 | $20.6-119.0$ | $3.3(197)$ | 0.6 | $2.0-5.0$ |
| 1994 | $15.9(215)$ | 1.8 | $9.2-21.0$ | $38.3(215)$ | 12.4 | $10.7-79.0$ | $3.5(214)$ | 0.6 | $1.0-5.0$ |
| 1995 | $15.7(189)$ | 1.9 | $11.2-23.7$ | $34.6(199)$ | 14.5 | $13.2-124.4$ | $3.2(199)$ | 0.7 | $1.0-5.0$ |
| 1996 | $16.2(265)$ | 1.7 | $12.6-21.7$ | $39.9(265)$ | 12.6 | $17.4-99.5$ | $3.4(266)$ | 0.6 | $2.0-5.0$ |
| 1997 | $14.8(2780$ | 1.8 | $8.7-21.0$ | $34.1(278)$ | 11.9 | $7.1-93.0$ | $3.2(276)$ | 0.6 | $2.0-7.0$ |

[^0]Table 7. Biological Characteristics Exploits River Adults 1984-1996.

| Year | Life Stage | Fork Length |  |  | Weight |  |  | River Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean (no.) | S.D. | Range | Mean <br> (no.) | S.D. | Range | Mean (no.) | S.D. | Rang e |
| 1984 | 1SW | 49.63 (1735) | 2.77 | 39.00-60.00 | 1.18 (1735) | 0.21 | 0.51-2.40 | 3.22 (1501) | 0.46 | 2-5 |
|  | Repeat | 56.17 (65) | 4.99 | 46.50-76.00 | 1.83 (65) | 0.60 | 0.80-4.80 | 3.32 (53) | 0.55 | 2.5 |
|  | 2SW | 65.00 (1) |  |  | 2.20 (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | small | 49.91 (1960) | 3.00 | 38.50-62.00 | 1.21 (1958) | 0.24 | 0.55-2.80 | 3.22 (1550) | 0.46 | 2-5 |
|  | large | 67.56 (8) | 4.95 | 63.00-76.00 | 2.97 (8) | 0.90 | 2.20-4.80 | 3.00 (3) | 0.00 | 3.00 |
| 1985 | 1SW | 50.96 (3604) | 2.75 | 37.00-67.00 | 1.35 (3604) | 0.21 | 0.55-2.96 | 3.46 (3111) | 0.56 | 2-7 |
|  | Repeat | 54.11 (102) | 3.38 | 48.00-63.00 | 1.56 (101) | 0.30 | 0.98-2.64 | 3.25 (80) | 0.52 | 2-4 |
|  | 2SW | 53.50 (1) |  |  | 1.40 (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | small | 51.10 (3851) | 2.80 | 37.00-62.50 | 1.36 (3850) | 0.22 | 0.55-2.96 | 3.45 (3188) | 0.57 | 2-7 |
|  | large | 64.40 (7) | 1.73 | 63.00-67.00 | 2.22 (7) | 0.41 | 1.60-2.84 | 3.50 (4) | 0.58 | 3-4 |
| 1986 | 1SW | 52.23 (243) | 5.17 | 41.10-66.50 | 1.42 (238) | 0.44 | 0.65-2.90 | 3.56 (242) | 0.60 | 2-5 |
|  | Repeat | 66.74 (69) | 6.43 | 44.30-81.00 | 3.00 (68) | 0.74 | 1.00-4.30 | 3.19 (67) | 0.47 | 2-4 |
|  | 2SW | 68.10 (21) | 2.48 | 64.50-73.80 | 3.13 (21) | 0.42 | 2.60-3.99 | 3.14 (21) | 0.57 | $2-5$ |
|  | small | 52.25 (2505) | 3.13 | 29.90-62.90 | 1.45 (285) | 0.44 | 0.45-3.20 | 3.54 (259) | 0.60 | 2-5 |
|  | large | 69.22 (80) | 3.30 | 63.00-81.00 | 3.24 (79) | 0.47 | 2.35-4.30 | 3.17 (72) | 0.50 | 2-5 |
| 1987 | 1SW | 50.13 (456) | 6.42 | 27.70-74.00 | 1.22 (413) | 0.54 | 0.40-3.85 | 3.47 (394) | 0.61 | 2-6 |
|  | Repeat | 63.40 (124) | 6.81 | 38.30-77.00 | 2.50 (96) | 0.84 | 0.50-4.60 | 3.31 (97) | 0.57 | 2-5 |
|  | 2SW | 68.90 (3) | 4.55 | 64.00-73.00 | 2.80 (1) |  |  | 2.50 (2) | 0.71 | 2-3 |
|  | small | 51.29 (4225) | 3.88 | 23.00-62.90 | 1.27 (507) | 0.49 | 0.10-2.60 | 3.48 (443) | 0.64 | 2-6 |
|  | large | 69.61 (110) | 3.59 | 63.00-78.00 | 3.30 (72) | 0.61 | 2.00-4.60 | 3.25 (56) | 0.58 | 2-4 |
| 1988 | 1SW | 48.58 (475) | 5.66 | 34.60-67.10 | 1.12 (426) | 0.38 | 0.45-2.60 | 3.50 (448) | 0.65 | 2-6 |
|  | Repeat | 58.09 (35) | 7.24 | 39.00-74.00 | 2.03 (31) | 0.86 | 0.65-4.50 | 3.61 (28) | 0.79 | 2-6 |
|  | 2SW | 66.20 (4) | 6.13 | 60.50-72.80 | 2.87 (4) | 0.90 | 2.10-3.99 | 3.25 (4) | 0.50 | 3-4 |
|  | small | 50.59 (5104) | 3.74 | 25.40-62.50 | 1.12 (566) | 0.45 | 0.30-2.40 | 3.65 (531) | 0.83 | 2-8 |
|  | large | 69.22 (16) | 4.78 | 63.10-81.00 | 3.17 (16) | 0.71 | 2.20-4.50 | 3.50 (6) | 0.55 | 3-4 |
| 1989 | 1SW | 51.97 (387) | 5.68 | 37.60-68.80 | 1.38 (376) | 0.42 | 0.55-3.00 | 3.53 (323) | 0.63 | 2.7 |
|  | Repeat | 56.73 (37) | 8.08 | 41.00-75.00 | 1.87 (36) | 0.75 | 0.70-4.20 | 3.33 (30) | 0.55 | 3-5 |
|  | 2SW | 67.17 (3) | 3.41 | 65.00-71.10 | 2.73 (3) | 0.53 | 2.25-3.30 | 3.00 (3) | 0.00 | 3-3 |
|  | small | 52.45 (4332) | 3.68 | 25.00-62.50 | 1.29 (479) | 0.46 | 0.30-2.30 | 3.75 (398) | 0.93 | 2-9 |
|  | large | 67.01 (21) | 3.10 | 63.00-75.00 | 2.78 (21) | 0.55 | 2.00-4.20 | 3.42 (12) | 0.51 | 3-4 |
| 1990 | 1SW | 53.00 (340) | 5.58 | 40.50-67.00 | 1.38 (338) | 0.41 | 0.58-2.66 | 3.49 (320) | 0.62 | 2-6 |
|  | Repeat | 61.95 (52) | 6.75 | 44.10-80.20 | 2.30 (52) | 0.87 | 0.62-5.20 | 3.36 (44) | 0.49 | 3-4 |
|  | 2SW | 66.50 (3) | 2.60 | 63.50-68.00 | 2.85 (3) | 0.45 | 2.34-3.12 | 3.67 (3) | 0.58 | 3-4 |
|  | small | 52.92 (3801) | 3.63 | 29.20-62.90 | 1.41 (739) | 0.37 | 0.20-2.66 | 3.56 (364) | 0.75 | 2-9 |
|  | large | 66.81 (36) | 3.92 | 63.00-80.20 | 2.79 (34) | 0.75 | 1.90-5.20 | 3.42 (24) | 0.50 | 3-4 |


| 1991 | 1SW | 52.51 (227) | 5.47 | 35.00-64.10 | 1.43 (227) | 0.40 | 0.50-2.40 | 3.60 (212) | 0.65 | 2-6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Repeat | 56.57 (20) | 3.20 | 47.40-61.50 | 1.82 (20) | 0.27 | 1.10-2.30 | 3.72 (18) | 0.75 | 3-5 |
|  | 2SW | 66.70 (1) |  |  | 2.65 (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | small | 51.10 (1377) | 4.42 | 26.60-61.80 | 1.36 (1372) | 0.29 | 0.20-2.40 | 3.84 (273) | 0.97 | 2-8 |
|  | large | 64.60(3) | 1.90 | 63.00-66.70 | 2.47 (3) | 0.16 | 2.35-2.65 | 4.00 (1) |  |  |
| 1992 | 1SW | 54.08 (243) | 4.86 | 38.70-65.70 | 1.59 (243) | 0.38 | 0.65-2.90 | 3.50 (423) | 0.70 | 2-6 |
|  | Repeat | 59.59 (40) | 4.63 | 54.00-74.80 | 2.11 (40) | 0.59 | 0.15-4.35 | 3.54 (52) | 0.61 | 2-5 |
|  | 2SW | 68.57 (3) | 3.86 | 64.20-71.50 | 3.27 (3) | 0.64 | 0.25-3.80 | 3.17 (6) | 0.41 | 3-4 |
|  | small | 52.51 (1078) | 3.68 | 29.00-62.80 | 1.48 (1077) | 0.28 | 0.40-2.60 | 3.62 (271) | 0.81 | 2-8 |
|  | large | 68.20 (10) | 4.29 | 63.00-74.80 | 3.04 (10) | 0.90 | 1.35-4.35 | 3.63 (8) | 0.74 | 3-5 |
| 1993 | 1SW |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.40 (94) | 0.54 | 3-5 |
|  | Repeat |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.40 (10) | 0.70 | 2-4 |
|  | small |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | large |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 1SW | 54.43 (387) | 2.99 | 46.00-63.00 | 1.69 (207) | 0.35 | 0.91-2.90 | 3.38 (393) | 0.62 | 2-5 |
|  | Repeat | 58.75 (20) | 3.27 | 51.00-63.00 | 2.19 (12) | 0.62 | 1.36-2.99 | 3.20 (20) | 0.62 | 2-5 |
|  | small | 54.54 (407) | 3.06 | 46.00-62.50 | 1.69 (216) | 0.35 | 0.91-2.90 | 3.39 (403) | 0.71 | 2-9 |
|  | large | 63.00 (4) | 0.00 | 63.00-63.00 | 2.87 (4) | 0.25 | 2.49-2.99 | 3.25 (4) | 1.26 | 2-5 |
| 1995 | 1SW | 53.63 (56) | 3.06 | 49.00-61.00 | 1.76 (32) | 0.38 | 1.27-2.63 | 3.21 (56) | 0.62 | 2-5 |
|  | Repeat | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | small | 53.63 (56) | 3.06 | 49.00-61.00 | 1.76 (32) | 0.38 | 1.27-2.63 | 3.21 (56) | 0.62 | 2-5 |
|  | large |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 1SW | 54.26 (56) | 3.73 | 43.00-63.00 | - | - | - | 3.22 (59) | 0.62 | 2-5 |
|  | Repeat | 60.00 (5) | 2.24 | 57.00-63.00 | - | - | - | 3.40 (5) | 0.55 | 3-4 |
|  | small | 54.45 (59) | 3.70 | 43.00-61.00 | - | - | - | 3.25 (59) | 0.60 | 2-5 |
|  | large | 63.00 (2) | 0.00 | 63.00-63.00 | - | - | - | 3.00 (2) | 0.00 | 3-3 |

Table 8 . Counts at various counting facilities on the Exploits River.

| Year | Count at Bishop Falls |  |  | Count at Camp 1 |  |  | Count at Grand Falls |  |  | Count at Red Indian Lake |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | small | large | total | small | large | total | small | large | total | small | large | total |
| 1959 | 886 | 119 | *1005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 1013 | 157 | 1170 | 94 | 9 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 839 | 118 | 957 | 319 | 53 | 372 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1962 |  |  |  | 1037 | 31 | 1068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1963 | 1202 | 65 | 1267 | 491 | 37 | 528 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1964 |  |  |  | 1752 | 116 | 1868 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1965 | 1228 | 203 | 1431 | 587 | 190 | 777 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1966 | 829 | 506 | *1335 | 942 | 470 | 1412 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 1372 | 710 | 2082 | 822 | 382 | 1204 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1968 |  |  |  | 1334 | 687 | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 979 | 498 | 1477 | 892 | 290 | 1182 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 |  |  |  | 1023 | 199 | 1222 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 961 | 300 | 1261 | 902 | 261 | 1163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 794 | 113 | 907 | 495 | 234 | *729 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 205 | 89 | 294 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 2538 | 411 | 2949 |  |  |  | 64 | 0 | *64 |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 9218 | 1439 | 10657 | 5531 | 505 | 6036 | 319 | 21 | 340 |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 3991 | 460 | 4451 | 2935 | 117 | 3052 | 128 | 5 | 133 |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 6148 | 581 | 6729 | 4300 | 271 | 4571 | 244 | 9 | 253 |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 3790 | 303 | 4093 | 2704 | 81 | 2785 | 132 | 6 | 138 |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 6715 | 277 | 6992 | 3925 | 124 | 4049 | 501 | 8 | 509 |  |  |  |
| 1980 |  |  |  | 4597 | 426 | 5023 | 3062 | 23 | 3085 |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 8114 | 1695 | *9809 | 4264 | 514 | 4778 | 3809 | 227 | 4036 |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 7605 | 181 | 7786 | 2796 | 122 | 2918 | 2321 | 67 | 2388 |  |  |  |
| 1983 |  |  |  | 2952 | 302 | *3254 | 2182 | 37 | 2219 |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 17219 | 529 | 17748 | 6300 | 111 | *6411 | 4993 | 50 | 5043 |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 16652 | 183 | 16835 | 5985 | 38 | 6023 | 4992 | 11 | 5003 |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 9697 | 355 | 10052 | 3072 | 174 | 3246 | 2243 | 67 | 2310 |  |  |  |


| 1987 | 9014 | 310 | 9324 | 2327 | 41 | 2368 | 2211 | 41 | 2252 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 8974 | 147 | 9121 | 3433 | 10 | 3443 | 2535 | 34 | 2569 |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 7192 | 89 | 7281 | 1694 | 14 | 1708 | 2737 | 70 | 2807 |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 6629 | 122 | 6751 | 1057 | 15 | 1072 | 2697 | 118 | 2815 |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 5245 | 99 | 5344 | 1060 | 40 | 1100 |  |  | 1614 | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| 1992 | 12538 | 314 | 12852 | 3520 | 242 | 3762 | 2609 | 64 | 2673 | 138 | 3 | 141 |
| 1993 | 21319 | 627 | 21946 | 5615 | 312 | $* 5927$ | 5658 | 101 | 5759 | 571 | 14 | 585 |
| 1994 | 16168 | 916 | 17084 | 2488 | 333 | $* 2821$ | 6430 | 196 | 6626 | 611 | 25 | 636 |
| 1995 | 15714 | 941 | 16655 | 2719 | 394 | $* 3113$ | N/A | N/A | 6523 | 774 | 44 | 818 |
| 1996 | 29761 | 2053 | 31814 | 4502 | 578 | $* 5080$ | 13489 | 906 | 14395 | 776 | 20 | 796 |
| 1997 | 13547 | 881 | 14428 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 5762 | 534 | 6296 | 170 | 24 | 194 |

Table 9. Cumulative percent of run to date for Bishops Falls fishway 1986-1997.

| Date | Julian <br> Day | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 9 | 160 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| June 15 | 166 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.54 |
| June 22 | 173 | 0.41 | 0.97 | 0.24 | 0.76 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.59 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 3.02 | 1.94 |
| June 29 | 180 | 1.36 | 4.65 | 2.58 | 3.02 | 2.38 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 1.41 | 2.31 | 2.50 | 14.22 | 8.01 |
| July 6 | 187 | 7.72 | 15.08 | 5.50 | 17.13 | 15.63 | 1.63 | 2.24 | 14.29 | 15.74 | 16.38 | 44.08 | 25.94 |
| July 13 | 194 | 22.45 | 43.14 | 30.78 | 43.83 | 39.37 | 7.24 | 21.52 | 31.35 | 39.29 | 46.61 | 68.40 | 49.56 |
| July 20 | 201 | 40.11 | 72.33 | 59.51 | 65.16 | 60.73 | 29.12 | 47.32 | 45.94 | 62.54 | 65.68 | 82.50 | 59.37 |
| July 27 | 208 | 63.51 | 87.67 | 81.43 | 79.55 | 77.34 | 53.87 | 75.12 | 71.56 | 75.94 | 81.69 | 90.57 | 70.02 |
| Aug. 3 | 215 | 75.81 | 94.38 | 91.23 | 88.68 | 86.30 | 71.71 | 89.12 | 85.30 | 88.25 | 89.59 | 95.39 | 79.10 |
| Aug. 10 | 222 | 88.93 | 97.13 | 96.26 | 93.34 | 91.57 | 80.00 | 95.16 | 93.99 | 93.49 | 93.74 | 97.32 | 89.08 |
| Aug. 17 | 229 | 93.49 | 98.29 | 98.43 | 96.87 | 95.01 | 88.94 | 97.87 | 97.22 | 96.48 | 96.72 | 98.38 | 95.24 |
| Aug. 24 | 236 | 96.93 | 98.80 | 99.52 | 99.00 | 98.06 | 94.40 | 99.24 | 98.79 | 97.71 | 98.08 | 99.18 | 97.46 |
| Aug. 31 | 243 | 98.39 | 99.16 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 99.79 | 97.38 | 99.88 | 99.53 | 98.72 | 99.09 | 99.80 | 98.61 |
| Sept. 7 | 250 | 99.05 | 99.44 |  |  | 100.00 | 98.95 | 100.00 | 99.79 | 99.22 | 99.86 | 100.00 | 99.43 |
| Sept. 14 | 257 | 99.61 | 99.67 |  |  |  | 99.87 |  | 99.98 | 99.76 | 100.00 |  | 99.76 |
| Sept. 21 | 264 | 99.73 | 99.97 |  |  |  | 100.00 |  | 100.00 | 100.00 |  |  | 99.83 |
| Sept. 28 | 271 | 99.83 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99.97 |
| Oct. 5 | 278 | 99.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.00 |
| Oct. 12 | 285 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Fig. 1. Map showing the 14 Salmon Fishing Areas of the Newfoundland Region.


Fig. 2. Detalled map of the Exploits River system.


Figure 3. Count of fish at Grand Falls and \% of run at Bishop's enumerated at Grand Falls.


[^0]:    Sample Locations
    1984 - Bishops Falls forebay, Lake Ambrose, Lloyd's River
    1985 - Bishops Falls forebay
    1986 - Bishops Falls forebay, Badger Brook, Great Rattling Brook, Stoney Brook, Little Red Indian Brook, Red Indian Lake, Noel Paul's Brook
    1987-1990 Bishops Falls forebay, Badger Brook, Great Rattling Brook, Stoney Brook, Little Red Indian Brook, Red Indian Lake, Noel
    Paul's Brook, Three Brooks, Little Rattling Brook, Greenwoods Brook
    1991-1993 \& 1995 Bishops Falls forebay
    1994 - Bishops Falls forebay, Stoney Brook
    1996-97 Bishops Falls forebay

