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#### Abstract

Nominal catches have ranged between 3,000 t and $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ since 1960. Up to 1986 catches averaged $13,000 \mathrm{t}$, increased to $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ by 1988 and declined subsequently to $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1995 due to reductions in foreign allocations. Foreign fleets, which predominantly fish outside the 200 mile EEZ, have historically accounted for most of catch but Canada has increased its activity in the area since 1995 accounting for about $80 \%$ of the $9,000 \mathrm{t}$ catch in 1996. Standardized commercial catch rate indices are not considered reflective of stock abundance inside the EEZ. For fleets fishing outside the EEZ where most of the effort was located, they show a declining trend since the early to mid 1980s. RV surveys show different trends seasonally and do not adequately measure size groups that comprise the main portion of the stock exploited by the fishery which makes it is difficult to interpret recent declines in survey estimates in relation to what is happening to the stock as a whole. There is concern that there has been little sign in recent surveys of size groups smaller than 17 cm despite using a shrimp trawl which is very effective at catching small fish.


## Résumé

Les prises nominales ont oscillé entre 3000 t et 35000 t depuis 1960. La valeur moyenne a été de 13000 t jusqu'en 1986, a augmenté à 35000 t en 1988 et chuté à 3000 t en 1995 suite à la baisse des allocations étrangères. Les flottilles étrangères, qui pêchent surtout à l'extérieur de la ZEE de 200 milles, étaient antérieurement à l'origine de la plupart des captures, mais le Canada a accru ses activités dans cette région depuis 1995 et les bateaux canadiens ont capturé $80 \%$ des prises de 1996, qui s'élevaient à 9000 t . Les indices des taux de capture commerciaux normalisés ne sont pas jugés représentatifs de l'abondance des stocks dans la ZEE. Dans le cas des flottilles pêchant à l'extérieur de la ZEE, où la plus grande partie de l'effort était concentrée, ils indiquent une tendance à la baisse entre le début et la moitié des années 1980. Les relevés par NR font état de tendances variables en fonction de la saison et ne permettent pas de déterminer adéquatement les groupes de tailles qui constituent la plus grande partie du stock exploité par la pêche et cela complique l'interprétation des baisses récentes des estimations des relevés dans le contexte de l'évolution globale du stock. On s'inquiète du fait que les derniers relevés font peu état des groupes de tailles inférieures à 17 cm , cela en dépit de l'utilisation d'un chalut à crevette, très efficace pour la capture des petits poissons.

## DESCRIPTION OF MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS AND THE FISHERY

## Management regulations

A TAC of $16,000 \mathrm{t}$ was first implemented on this stock in 1974. The TAC was increased in 1978 to $20,000 t$ on the assumption that the stock was healthy and generally remained at that level through to 1987. The TAC for 1988 was reduced to $14,000 \mathrm{t}$ and remained unchanged until 1994 when it was reduced to $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ as a precautionary measure and maintained at that level to 1997. In addition to catch regulation, a small fish protocol at 22 cm was implemented inside the 200 mile limit for this stock in 1995. The 1997 TAC ( $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) is divided into a Canadian quota ( $7,045 \mathrm{t}$ ), a French quota ( $1,500 \mathrm{t}$ ) and a Foreign Quota ( $1,455 \mathrm{t}$ ). About $10 \%$ of the stock area lies outside Canada's 200 mile Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ).

## Nominal Catches and the fishery

Nominal catches have ranged between $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ since 1960 (Table 1, Fig. 1). Up to 1986 catches averaged $13,000 \mathrm{t}$, increased to $27,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1987 with a further increase to $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1988, exceeding TACs by $7,000 \mathrm{t}$ and 21,000 respectively. Catches declined to $13,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1989, and were about this amount annually through to 1993 . Catches subsequently declined to about $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1995 and were partly due to reductions in foreign allocations since 1993. In 1996, catch increased sharply to about $9,000 \mathrm{t}$ due to increased Canadian activity. Up to the end of the third quarter in 1997, about $2,000 \mathrm{t}$ had been taken by Canada.

The increased catches in 1987 and 1988 were due primarily to increased activity outside the 200 mile EEZ by countries who were not contracting parties of NAFO (primarily Panama and South Korea) and had no bilateral agreements with Canada. Canadian surveillance estimates of non-reported catch, which have ranged from 200 t to $23,500 \mathrm{t}$ are included in catch statistics since 1983 (Table 2). A further explanation of these are given in Shelton and Atkinson (1994). There hasn't been any activity in the area outside the 200 mile EEZ by non-NAFO fleets since 1994.

Russia predominated in this fishery up until 1993 and generally caught its share (about $50 \%$ ) of the total non-Canadian allocation, which accounted for about $2 / 3$ of the TAC. From 1982 to 1993 Russian catches were between $3,800 \mathrm{t}$ to $8,700 \mathrm{t}$. From 1994-1995 Russia had taken less than 500 t . and had no effort in 1996. Cuba has not participated in the fishery in this area since 1993. Portugal, which began fishing outside the EEZ in 1992 took $2,900 \mathrm{t}$ in 1994, a reduction of $2,000 \mathrm{t}$ from their 1993 catch, and about $1,800 \mathrm{t}$ in each of 1995 and 1996. Russia, Cuba and Japan fished throughout the stock area after the implementation of the EEZ through agreements with Canada. Other non-Canadian catches, including those of Portugal, were taken in the limited stock area outside 200 miles. Canada, which has had limited interest in a fishery in Div. 30 because of small sizes of redfish encountered in trawlable areas, landed less than 200 t annually from 1983-1991. In 1994, Canada took $1,600 \mathrm{t}$ due to improved markets related to lobster bait, but declined to about 100 t in 1995. The Canadian catch increased to about $7,200 \mathrm{t}$ in 1996 as a result of a successful undertaking by a number of enterprises to discover areas of prime market fish ( $>28 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). These catches were taken from September to December.

The fishery has occurred primarily in the second and third quarters of the year since 1983 (Table 3a). The prominent means of capture from the mid-1970s to the early 1980s was the bottom
otter trawl (Table 3b). Since 1984 there has been an increase in the use of the midwater trawl but the bottom trawl catches still dominate. The Canadian fleet primarily uses bottom trawling.

## AVAILABLEDATA

## Commercial catch rates

Catch and effort data for 1959 to 1993 were extracted from ICNAF/NAFO Statistical Bulletins and were combined with provisional 1994-1995 NAFO data and 1994-1996 Atlantic region data compiled by various DFO regional statistics branches. Initially selected from this database were observations where redfish comprised more than $50 \%$ of the total catch and were therefore considered to be redfish directed.

These data were analysed with a multiplicative model (Gavaris 1980) to derive a standardized catch rate series. The effects included in the model were a combination country-gear-tonnage class category type (CGT), month, and a category type representing the amount of bycatch associated with each observation. For this effect five groups were arbitrarily established : ( $>50 \%<=60 \%$ ), ( $>60 \%$ $<=70 \%),(>70 \%<=80 \%),(>80 \%<=90 \%)$ and ( $>90 \%$ ) where each group corresponds to the percentage of redfish relative to the total catch associated with each observation. In the usual manner, catch or effort data of less than 10 units were eliminated prior to analysis in addition to any categories with less than five samples except in the year category type. For all analyses hours fished was the measure of effort and an unweighted regression was run because of unknown percentages of prorating prior to 1984.

Previous catch rate analyses of this stock (Power et al., 1995) suggested different trends in the catch rate series derived for Canada only and for countries that have only fished outside the EEZ. Accordingly, separate standardizations of available catch rate data were conducted as follows: (i) Canada only, (ii) countries which have fished both inside and outside the EEZ (Russia and Cuba) and (iii) countries which have only fished outside the EEZ (Japan, Poland, Portugal and South Korea).

The analysis of Canadian catch rates (Table 4) resulted in a significant overall regression explaining $55 \%$ of the variability in the data, however, the month category type was not significant ( $\mathrm{P}>$ .05). The catch rate index (Table 5, Fig. 2a) shows much interannual variability over the 1959-1995 period but without any discernible trend with time. The analysis is based on limited data between 1982 and 1995. Catch rates have fluctuated particularly wide in recent years, dropping dramatically between 1990 and 1992, increasing substantially from 1993 to 1994 and declining steeply between 1994 and 1996.

The regression analysis conducted on catch rates for Russia and Cuba was significant ( $\mathrm{P}<.05$ ) and explained $62 \%$ of the variability in catch rates (Table 6). The catch rate index (Table 7, Fig. 2b) shows much interannual variability prior to 1977. The index shows a steady increase from 1978 to 1982, a general decline to 1994 and a substantial increase in 1995. The analysis is based on limited data since 1993.

The annual update to the databases did not provide any further information than was available for the 1995 assessment for those countries that have fished outside the EEZ. The catch rate index (Fig. 2c, reproduced from Power et al. 1995) shows a steady decline from 1984 to 1993. Fishing was
sporadic by these countries prior to 1984. Although Portugal represents the predominant foreign fleet since 1993, there are no effort data in hours fished in the NAFO database to supplement the data analysis.

In summary, the analysis of catch rates by the Canadian fleet are not considered indicative of overall trends in the resource. Until recently, Canada has not accounted for a major portion of the reported catches from Division 30 and has only fished within the 200 mile EEZ. The recent dramatic fluctuations cannot be accounted for by the biology of redfish. The trend in the two foreign fleet catch rate series are similar and, based on the available data, indicate a general decline from the early to mid 1980s to about 1994. The catch rates of the fleets that have fished outside is probably indicative of a decline in the proportion of the stock outside the EEZ where most of that effort had occured.

## Catch at Length

Length distributions sampled from 1995-1996 fisheries from Canadian port sampling and observer data, and data available from the 1995 Portuguese fisheries by Portuguese observers (Godhino et al., 1996) were weighted by monthly landings to derive a catch-at-length by country for Div. 30. For 1996, only the Nfld. data that was available in time for the assessment in September were used.

The length-weight relationships used were:
WT (males) $=0.01659$ Forklength ${ }^{2.9548}$
WT $($ females $)=0.013272$ Forklength $^{3.0210}$
In addition, relative length distributions (per mille) were available from the 1996 Portuguese fishery (Alpoim et. al., 1997).

The data (Fig. 3) indicate a mode at about 22 cm in the Canadian and Japanese catches in 1995. Portuguese catches for 1995 were bimodal with peaks at about 29 cm and 38 cm . These fish were much larger than the 'traditional' smaller sizes taken in Division 30 relative to other divisions. Additional sampling information indicates the samples were obtained from 200-800 m. It is likely that the larger fish taken by Portuguese vessels were from deeper water. It is also reported the Portuguese fleet fishes in the vicinity of the border with Div. 3 N which, to some extent, may also account for the distribution differences with Japanese and Canadian samples. The 1996 Canadian samples indicated a preponderance of fish greater than 22 cm with a mode at about 25 cm . The 1996 Portuguese samples showed a much broader range of lengths from about 25 cm to 42 cm with modes at 30 cm and 38 cm .

## Research vessel surveys

Stratified random groundfish surveys have been conducted in the spring and autumn in Division 30 since 1991, with coverage of depths to 730 m . In addition, a summer survey was conducted in 1993. From 1991 to spring 1995 an Engel 145 otter trawl was used ( $1.75 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. standard tow) and from 1995 fall onwards a Campelen 1800 shrimp trawl ( 0.75 n . mi. standard tow). Comparative fishing trials have been conducted between the two gears but the analysis has not been finalized to apply conversion factors to the pre-Campelen data. This new gear has a similar catchability for redfish greater than 20 cm , but an increasingly greater catchability for redfish from 20 cm to 8 cm .

The series of mean weight per standard tow (Table 8a and 8b) exhibits large fluctuations in estimates between seasons and years for some strata, not uncommon for bottom trawl surveys for redfish. The spring survey biomass index (Table 8a, Fig. 4) increased steadily from about 7,000 t in 1992 to $112,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1996 but declined sharply to $16,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997. Over half the 1995 spring estimate of $84,000 \mathrm{t}$ was accounted for by two large catches of about $5,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ each in a relatively small stratum. Similarly, about $70,000 \mathrm{t}$ of the 1996 spring estimate of 118,000 was due to the influence of one large set of about $10,000 \mathrm{~kg}$. The 1993 summer survey index, at $52,000 \mathrm{t}$, was similar to the level estimated from the 1993 spring survey ( $42,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) although there were four key strata not sampled. The autumn survey biomass index has ranged between $16,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $126,000 \mathrm{t}$ from 1991 to 1996 . The autumn index increased gradually from 1991 through 1993 (although the 1992 autumn survey only covered depths down to 370 m [200 fathoms]) but declined slightly in 1994 before increasing significantly in 1995. The index declined sharply in 1996 but the extent of the decline in unknown because four important strata were not sampled.

These results are difficult to interpret. Stratum by stratum estimates indicate that the increases during the spring surveys occurred over a great deal of the area and depths where redfish generally reside. In most surveys, the densities outside the 200 mile EEZ were generally lower than inside. Differences between the spring and fall surveys may be related to changes in availability within the Division at different times of the year.

Size distribution in terms of mean number per tow at length from the spring surveys (Fig. 5) indicate a bimodal distribution in 1991 with modes at 11 cm and 20 cm corresponding to about the 1988 and 1984 year classes respectively. The 20 cm mode progresses at about a cm per year up to 1994 (at 23 cm ) and cannot be traced any further. The 11 cm mode is not pronounced until it reaches 17-18 cm in 1994, after which it progresses by about 2 cm per year to 1996 (at 21 cm ). The 1997 distribution also shows a predominant mode at 21 cm . Size distribution from the autumn surveys indicate a bimodal distribution in 1991 , similar to the spring survey, with modes at 13 cm and 21 cm . The 21 cm mode only progresses to 23 cm by 1994 after which is is no longer discernable. The 13 cm mode progresses to a 17 cm mode in 1992 but only increments to 19 cm by the 1995 survey. The 1996 survey shows a predominant mode at 21 cm .

Overall, size distributions of the survey catches indicate only a narrow range of sizes caught each year in Division 30. Generally fish smaller than about 10 cm and larger than about 25 cm are absent in survey catches from 1991-1997 which cover strata down to 732 m ( 400 fathoms). It is well documented that the Engel survey gear (eg. Power MS 1994, Power MS 1995) and the Campelen survey gear (e.g. Power et al. MS 1996) can catch both smaller (than 10 cm ) and larger (than 25 cm ) redfish. Length sampling from the commercial fisheries reveal a higher proportion of fish greater than 25 cm compared to the survey catches. Therefore, it appears that fish sizes outside this range are generally unavailable to the gear in this area. The reasons for this are unknown but may be related to distribution relative to trawlable bottom.

## Industry Perspectives

The increased activity in 1996 for some Canadian enterprises was motivated by a need to find fish of marketable size in light of the moratorium in Unit 1 and was based on the premise that there were larger fish in deeper water in Div. 30. The experience of this fishery was different from other

Canadian fisheries but there was reasonable success in finding good concentrations of acceptable size fish, primarily from October to December. The knowledge from the Russian fishing experience in the area available to some Canadian enterprises suggests that water temperature influences fishing success. Up to September in 1997, there were reports of much greater mixtures of small fish with with the large fish in the area and there are indications that catch rates are lower than in 1996. This fishery is still considered to be in the learning stage.

## PROGNOSIS

Research surveys in spring have a ten fold increase in abundance from 1991 to 1996 followed by a sudden decline in abundance to pre-1993 levels in 1997. In comparison, the autumn surveys have shown more stability over the time period with the exception of the large 1995 estimate. Given that the surveys are not measuring the main portion of the stock exploited by the fishery, the recent declines in the survey estimates therefore, do not appear to be related to fishing. The surveys only monitor prerecruit size groups and while the recent declines should be viewed with concern, it is difficult to interpret these events in relation to what is happening to the stock as a whole. There is also some concern that there has been little sign in the recent surveys of size groups smaller than 17 cm despite using a shrimp trawl which is very effective at catching small fish.

It is still not possible to describe overall trends in total stock size, or estimate the current size of the fishable portion of the population, nor is it possible to determine current fishing mortality rate. On the assumption that the pre-recruits measured by the survey in recent years have now largely passed through the size range measurable by these surveys but remained in Div. 30, they represent an addition to the exploitable stock of about 100,000 tons. Thus, catches of about 10,000 tons are not likely to generate fishing mortality above $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$.

The length at which half the females are sexually mature $\left(\mathrm{L}_{50}\right)$ is about 29 cm ( 11 inches). Given that generally the shallower the depth fished the smaller the size composition, caution is warranted because a greater proportion of immature females may be captured if fishing is concentrated in shallower water (less than 375 m ( 205 fathoms)).
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Table 1. Nominal catches ( t ) and TACs of redfish in Div. 30.

| Year | Canada | Non-Canadian | Total | TAC |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1960 | 100 | 4,900 | 5,000 |  |
| 1961 | 1,000 | 10,000 | 11,000 |  |
| 1962 | 1,046 | 6,511 | 7,557 |  |
| 1963 | 2,155 | 7,025 | 9,180 |  |
| 1964 | 1,320 | 14,724 | 16,044 |  |
| 1965 | 203 | 19,588 | 19,791 |  |
| 1966 | 107 | 15,198 | 15,305 |  |
| 1967 | 645 | 18,392 | 19,037 |  |
| 1968 | 52 | 6,393 | 6,445 |  |
| 1969 | 186 | 15,692 | 15,878 |  |
| 1970 | 288 | 12,904 | 13,192 |  |
| 1971 | 165 | 19,627 | 19,792 |  |
| 1972 | 508 | 15,609 | 16,117 |  |
| 1973 | 133 | 8,664 | 8,797 |  |
| 1974 | 91 | 13,033 | 13,124 | 16,000 |
| 1975 | 103 | 15,007 | 15,110 | 16,000 |
| 1976 | 3,664 | 11,684 | 15,348 | 16,000 |
| 1977 | 2,972 | 7,878 | 10,850 | 16,000 |
| 1978 | 1,841 | 5,019 | 6,860 | 16,000 |
| 1979 | 6,404 | 11,333 | 17,737 | 20,000 |
| 1980 | 1,541 | 15,765 | 17,306 | 21,900 |
| 1981 | 2,577 | 10,027 | 12,604 | 20,000 |
| 1982 | 491 | 10,869 | 11,360 | 20,000 |
| 1983 | 7 | 7,333 | 7,340 | 20,000 |
| 1984 | 167 | 16,811 | 16,978 | 20,000 |
| 1985 | 104 | 12,756 | 12,860 | 20,000 |
| 1986 | 141 | 10,914 | 11,055 | 20,000 |
| 1987 | 183 | 26,987 | 27,170 | 20,000 |
| 1988 | 181 | 34,611 | 34,792 | 14,000 |
| 1989 | 27 | 13,229 | 13,256 | 14,000 |
| 1990 | 155 | 14,087 | 14,242 | 14,000 |
| 1991 | 28 | 8,433 | 8,461 | 14,000 |
| 1992 | 1,219 | 14,049 | 15,268 | 14,000 |
| 1993 | 698 | 15,022 | 15,720 | 14,000 |
| 1994 a | 1,624 | 3,804 | 5,428 | 10,000 |
| 1995 a | 122 | 3,037 | 3,159 | 10,000 |
| 1996 a | 7,215 | 1,990 | 9,205 | 10,000 |
| 1997 b | 1,888 |  | 1,888 | 10,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

a Provisional
b Provisional to Oct. 1, 1997

Table 2. Nominal catches (t) of redfish in Div. 30 by country and year (1994-1996 are provisional.

| Country | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Canada (M) | 4 | 29 | 48 | 5 | 24 | 5 | 18 | 27 | 4 | 27 | 21 | 779 | 4 | 2125 |
| Canada (N) | 3 | 138 | 56 | 136 | 159 | 176 | 9 | 128 | 24 | 1192 | 677 | 845 | 118 | 5090 |
| France | 2 | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Japan | 1 | 1258 | 661 | 1162 | 1074 | 1606 | 1724 | 1406 | 226 | 125 | 159 | - | 264 | 132 |
| Portugal | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | 12 | 83 | 3 | 1468 | 4794 | 2918 | 1935 | - |
| Spain | - | 25 | 630 | 45 | 26 | 4 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 26 | 22 | - |
| Russia | 5670 | 7262 | 5905 | 6099 | 7152 | 4921 | 4517 | 3811 | 4427 | 5845 | 6887 | 60 | 416 | - |
| Cuba | 1460 | 1316 | 806 | 3006 | 2859 | 2753 | 2138 | 2750 | 2748 | 2776 | 665 | - | - | - |
| USA | - | - | 104 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Korea(S) | - | - | - | - | 1726 | 1805 | 2638 | 833 | 129 | 1935 | 17 | - | - | - |
| EEC/EU | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1803 |
| OTHER | 200 | 6950 | 4650 | 600 | 14150 | 23500 | 2200 | 5200 | 900 | 1900 | 2500 | 800 | 400 | - |
| Total | 7340 | 16978 | 12860 | 11055 | 27170 | 34792 | 13256 | 14242 | 8461 | 15268 | 15720 | 5428 | 3159 | 9150 |
| TAC | 20000 | 20000 | 20000 | 20000 | 20000 | 14000 | 14000 | 14000 | 14000 | 14000 | 14000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 |

${ }^{a}$ Provisional
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Estimates of non-reported catch (by Canadian Surveillance)

Table 3a. Nominal reported catches ( t ) of redfish in Div. 30 by month and year (not including surveillance estimates).

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 254 | 355 | 2904 | 1227 | 71 | 156 | 576 | 938 | 319 | 1 | 73 | 266 | 7140 |
| 1984 | 219 | 155 | 2 | 32 | 85 | 257 | 446 | 3210 | 2799 | 1882 | 435 | 506 | 10028 |
| 1985 | 1522 | - | 453 | 239 | 118 | 252 | 227 | 1711 | 1486 | 350 | 35 | 1817 | 8210 |
| 1986 | 707 | - | 427 | 593 | 69 | 710 | 3491 | 3712 | 58 | 1 | 319 | 368 | 10455 |
| 1987 | 102 | 40 | 1052 | 37 | 1010 | 757 | 2001 | 4142 | 429 | 344 | 1326 | 1780 | 13020 |
| 1988 | 15 | 1 | 493 | 684 | 915 | 1 | 1755 | 3922 | 1286 | 1057 | 915 | 248 | 11292 |
| 1989 | 228 | 585 | 224 | 6 | 674 | 1411 | 1143 | 3311 | 2737 | 666 | 51 | 20 | 11056 |
| 1990 | 108 | 23 | 257 | 26 | 1220 | 2474 | 1534 | 1571 | 1002 | 686 | 28 | 113 | 9042 |
| 1991 | 17 | 47 | 96 | 1 | 713 | 2054 | 2346 | 1118 | 830 | 338 | - | 1 | 7561 |
| 1992 | 0 | 57 | 14 | 10 | 635 | 3262 | 2520 | 1808 | 896 | 1261 | 797 | 2108 | 13368 |
| 1993 | 226 | 14 | 754 | 817 | 2089 | 1601 | 1887 | 2068 | 1809 | 829 | 630 | 496 | 13220 |
| $1994^{\text {a }}$ | 60 | 93 | 742 | 1609 | 236 | 83 | - | 68 | 1000 | 540 | 19 | 178 | 4628 |
| $1995^{\text {a }}$ | 7 | 125 | 145 | 2 | 45 | 28 | 56 | 765 | 645 | 824 | 107 | 10 | 2759 |
| $1996^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | 85 | 109 | 160 | - | - | 25 | 1060 | 2660 | 1603 | 1513 | 7215 |

Table 3b. Nominal reported catches (t) of redfish in Div. 30 by gear (not including surveillance estimates).

|  | Otter Trawls |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Bottom | lidwater Gillnets | Misc | Total |  |
| 1983 | 5217 | 1923 | - | - | 7140 |
| 1984 | 7451 | 2577 | - | - | 10028 |
| 1985 | 4431 | 3778 | - | 1 | 8210 |
| 1986 | 5231 | 5224 | - | - | 10455 |
| 1987 | 8601 | 4419 | - | - | 13020 |
| 1988 | 6692 | 4596 | - | 4 | 11292 |
| 1989 | 7026 | 4030 | - | - | 11056 |
| 1990 | 5501 | 3537 | - | 4 | 9042 |
| 1991 | 4625 | 2936 | - | - | 7561 |
| 1992 | 10046 | 3292 | 1 | 29 | 13368 |
| 1993 | 11997 | 1214 | - | 9 | 13220 |
| $1994^{a}$ | 3085 | 1498 | 26 | 19 | 4628 |
| $1995^{a}$ | 2166 | 525 | 26 | 42 | 2759 |
| $1996^{a}$ | 6881 | 334 | - | - | 7215 |

a Provisional (1996 for Canada only).

Table 4. ANOVA results and regression coefficients from a multiplicative model utilized to derive a standardized catch rate series for Redfish in Div. 30. Effort is measured in hours fished. Only Canadian data were utilized in the analysis. (1995-1996 based on are preliminary data).
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { REGGESSION OF MLTIPLLCATIVE MODEL } \\ \text { MULTIPLE R............. } & 0.743 \\ \text { MULTIPLE R SUUAEED..... } & 0.552\end{array}$
analysis of variance

| SOUPCE OF variation | DF | SUMS OF SQUARES | HEAN SCUARES | F-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITTERCEPT | 1 | 6.322 20 | 6.322 E0 |  |
| REGRESSION | 52 | 4.314E1 | $8.296 E^{\circ}$ | 3.156 |
| Country,Gaar,TC | 6 | 4.815E0 | 8.025E" | 3.052 |
| Month | 9 | 1.654E0 | 1.837E - | 0.699 |
| Bycatch PCT | 4 | 4.598E0 | 1.14950 | 4.372 |
| Year | 33 | $1.977{ }^{1}$ | $5.8100^{-1}$ | 2.210 |
| hesiduals | 133 | 3.49661 | $2.6295^{-1}$ |  |
| total | 186 | 8.442E1 |  |  |

REGAESSION COEFFICIENTS

| CATEGORY | CODE | VARIABLE | COEFFICIENT | STD. ERPOR | NO. OBS. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country'Gear!TC | 3125 | INTERCEPT | 0.294 | 0.280 | 185 |
| Month | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| Bycatch PCT | 95 |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 59 |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | 2114 | 1 | 0.100 | 0.211 | 20 |
|  | 2125 | 2 | 0.189 | 0.189 | 18 |
|  | 3114 | 3 | 0.018 | 0.132 | 74 |
|  | 3124 | 4 | -0.280 | 0.258 | 6 |
|  | 3155 | 5 | 0.670 | 0.236 | 12 |
|  | 27125 | 6 | 0.426 | 0.174 | 15 |
| (2) | 3 | 1 | -0.556 | 0.269 | 7 |
|  | 4 | 8 | 0.412 | 0.267 | 7 |
|  | 5 | 9 | 0.143 | 0.189 | 15 |
|  | 6 | 10 | 0.026 | 0.177 | 17 |
|  | 8 | 11 | 0.003 | 0.155 | 25 |
|  | 9 | 12 | 0.054 | 0.149 | 26 |
|  | 10 | 13 | $\bigcirc 0.156$ | 0.155 | 30 |
|  | 11 | 14 | 0.219 | 0.194 | 17 |
|  | 12 | 15 | -0.224 | 0.225 | 9 |
| (3) | 55 | 16 | 0.796 | 0.215 | 12 |
|  | 65 | 17 | -0.463 | 0.217 | 9 |
|  | 75 | 18 | $\bigcirc .338$ | 0.197 | 10 |
|  | 85 | 19 | -0.303 | 0.125 | 36 |
| (4) | 60 | 20 | 0.112 | 0.420 | 2 |
|  | 61 | 21 | $\bigcirc 0.029$ | 0.308 | 6 |
|  | 62 | 22 | 0.395 | 0.280 | 1 |
|  | 63 | 23 | -0.315 | 0.276 | 8 |
|  | 64 | 24 | 0.107 | 0.359 | 4 |
|  | 65 | 25 | 0.113 | 0.430 | 2 |
|  | 66 | 26 | -0.750 | 0.565 | 1 |

Table 5. Standardized catch rate series for Div. 30 redfish from a multiplicative model utilizing hours fished as a measure of effort. Only Canadian data were utilized in the analysis.

PREDICTED CATCH RATE

|  | LN TRANSFORM |  | RETRANSFORMED |  | CATCH | EFFORT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | MEAN | S.E. | MEAN | S.E. |  |  |
| 1959 | 0.2938 | 0.0784 | 1.472 | 0.406 | 59 | 40 |
| 1960 | 0.1815 | 0.1676 | 1.258 | 0.496 | 60 | 48 |
| 1961 | 0.2643 | 0.1045 | 1.411 | 0.446 | 61 | 43 |
| 1962 | -0.1017 | 0.0689 | 0.996 | 0.258 | 62 | 62 |
| 1963 | -0.0209 | 0.0671 | 1.081 | 0.276 | 63 | 58 |
| 1964 | 0.1871 | 0.1221 | 1.294 | 0.440 | 64 | 49 |
| 1965 | 0.4065 | 0.1971 | 1.552 | 0.659 | 65 | 42 |
| 1966 | -0.4560 | 0.3081 | 0.620 | 0.320 | 66 | 107 |
| 1967 | 0.2750 | 0.0612 | 1.457 | 0.356 | 67 | 46 |
| 1969 | -0.4000 | 0.1972 | 0.693 | 0.294 | 69 | 100 |
| 1970 | -0.0567 | 0.1036 | 1.024 | 0.322 | 70 | 68 |
| 1971 | 0.2435 | 0.3072 | 1.248 | 0.644 | 71 | 57 |
| 1972 | -0.0622 | 0.0983 | 1.021 | 0.314 | 72 | 71 |
| 1973 | 0.0737 | 0.3425 | 1.034 | 0.559 | 73 | 71 |
| 1974 | -0.3938 | 0.1147 | 0.727 | 0.240 | 74 | 102 |
| 1975 | -0.6018 | 0.0977 | 0.595 | 0.182 | 75 | 126 |
| 1976 | -0.1704 | 0.0405 | 0.943 | 0.189 | 76 | 81 |
| 1977 | -0.1337 | 0.0470 | 0.975 | 0.210 | 77 | 79 |
| 1978 | -0.1097 | 0.0518 | 0.997 | 0.225 | 78 | 78 |
| 1979 | 0.4598 | 0.0393 | 1.773 | 0.349 | 79 | 45 |
| 1980 | -0.0609 | 0.0456 | 1.050 | 0.223 | 80 | 76 |
| 1981 | 0.0387 | 0.0444 | 1.160 | 0.243 | 81 | 70 |
| 1982 | -0.1660 | 0.0926 | 0.923 | 0.275 | 82 | 89 |
| 1984 | 0.1114 | 0.1598 | 1.177 | 0.454 | 84 | 71 |
| 1985 | 0.4517 | 0.0991 | 1.706 | 0.526 | 85 | 50 |
| 1986 | 0.0078 | 0.2629 | 1.008 | 0.486 | 86 | 85 |
| 1987 | 0.2082 | 0.1708 | 1.290 | 0.513 | 87 | 67 |
| 1988 | 0.5698 | 0.3155 | 1.722 | 0.898 | 88 | 51 |
| 1990 | 0.4478 | 0.2868 | 1.546 | 0.775 | 90 | 58 |
| 1992 | -0.8305 | 0.0720 | 0.480 | 0.127 | 92 | 192 |
| 1993 | -0.1375 | 0.1801 | 0.909 | 0.370 | 93 | 102 |
| 1994 | 1.2533 | 0.1215 | 3.760 | 1.276 | 94 | 25 |
| 1995 | 0.0514 | 0.3577 | 1.004 | 0.552 | 95 | 95 |
| 1996 | -0.2258 | 0.0431 | 0.891 | 0.184 | 96 | 108 |

AVERAGE C.V. FOR THE RETRANSFORMED MEAN: 0.344

Table 6. ANOVA results and regression coefficients from a multiplicative model utilized to derive a standardized catch rate series for Redfish in Div. 30. Effort is measured in hours fished. Countries which have fished both inside and outside the EEZ (Russia and Cuba) were used in the analysis.


Table 7. Standardized catch rate series for Div. 30 redfish from a multiplicative model utilizing hours fished as a measure of effort. Only countries which have fished both inside and outside the EEZ (Russia and Cuba) were used in the analysis.

PREDICTED CATCH RATE

|  | LN TRANSFORM |  | RETRANSFORMED |  | CATCH | EFFORT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | MEAN | S.E. | MEAN | S.E. |  |  |
| 1959 | 0.4939 | 0.0492 | 1.774 | 0.390 | 59 | 33 |
| 1960 | 1.1020 | 0.2402 | 2.960 | 1.371 | 60 | 20 |
| 1962 | 1.1940 | 0.1689 | 3.364 | 1.329 | 62 | 18 |
| 1963 | 1.4626 | 0.1631 | 4.414 | 1.716 | 63 | 14 |
| 1964 | 1.0091 | 0.2834 | 2.640 | 1.314 | 64 | 24 |
| 1965 | 0.7690 | 0.1700 | 2.198 | 0.871 | 65 | 30 |
| 1969 | 1.0028 | 0.0664 | 2.925 | 0.743 | 69 | 24 |
| 1970 | 0.7380 | 0.0587 | 2.254 | 0.539 | 70 | 31 |
| 1971 | 1.1373 | 0.0529 | 3.369 | 0.766 | 71 | 21 |
| 1972 | 0.7492 | 0.0373 | 2.304 | 0.442 | 72 | 31 |
| 1973 | 0.9923 | 0.0636 | 2.899 | 0.721 | 73 | 25 |
| 1974 | 1.1613 | 0.0973 | 3.375 | 1.030 | 74 | 22 |
| 1975 | 1.0355 | 0.1290 | 2.929 | 1.021 | 75 | 26 |
| 1976 | 1.3488 | 0.0318 | 4.207 | 0.746 | 76 | 18 |
| 1977 | 1.1480 | 0.0393 | 3.429 | 0.675 | 77 | 22 |
| 1978 | 0.7798 | 0.0325 | 2.381 | 0.426 | 78 | 33 |
| 1979 | 1.1594 | 0.0282 | 3.488 | 0.583 | 79 | 23 |
| 1980 | 1.2603 | 0.0234 | 3.867 | 0.589 | 80 | 21 |
| 1981 | 1.3203 | 0.0261 | 4.101 | 0.660 | 81 | 20 |
| 1982 | 1.5447 | 0.0198 | 5.149 | 0.723 | 82 | 16 |
| 1983 | 1.1936 | 0.0228 | 3.619 | 0.545 | 83 | 23 |
| 1984 | 1.0890 | 0.0219 | 3.261 | 0.481 | 84 | 26 |
| 1985 | 1.0391 | 0.0294 | 3.090 | 0.527 | 85 | 28 |
| 1986 | 1.0038 | 0.0301 | 2.982 | 0.515 | 86 | 29 |
| 1987 | 1.0819 | 0.0248 | 3.233 | 0.507 | 87 | 27 |
| 1988 | 1.0603 | 0.0231 | 3.167 | 0.479 | 88 | 28 |
| 1989 | 0.8638 | 0.0279 | 2.595 | 0.432 | 89 | 34 |
| 1990 | 0.6670 | 0.0314 | 2.128 | 0.375 | 90 | 42 |
| 1991 | 0.4003 | 0.0362 | 1.626 | 0.307 | 91 | 56 |
| 1992 | 0.8657 | 0.0673 | 2.550 | 0.652 | 92 | 36 |
| 1993 | 0.7432 | 0.0798 | 2.241 | 0.622 | 93 | 41 |
| 1994 | 0.7899 | 0.3988 | 2.001 | 1.150 | 94 | 47 |
| 1995 | 1.2735 | 0.2816 | 3.442 | 1.708 | 95 | 28 |

AVERAGE C.V. FOR THE RETRANSFORMED MEAN: 0.256

Table 8a. Mean weight (kg) of redfish caught per standard tow in Division 30 during spring and summer Canadian research surveys from 1991-1997. ("---" indicates strata not sampled). Estimates from 1991-1995 are based on an Engels 145 trawl. Estimates from 1996-1997 are based on a Campelen trawl.

| STRATUM | $\begin{gathered} \text { Depth } \\ (\mathrm{m}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Area* sq. n. mi | $\begin{array}{r} 1991 \\ \text { Spring } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1992 \\ \text { Spring } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1993 \\ \text { Spring } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1993 \\ \text { Summer } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $1994$ Spring | $1995$ Spring | $1996$ Spring | $1997$ Spring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 329 | 093-183 | 1721 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | --- | 4.70 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.01 |
| 332 | 093-183 | 1047 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.00 | --- | 0.00 | 129.68 | 11.90 | 0.28 |
| 333 | 185-274 | 151(147) | 84.18 | 303.73 | 1195.53 | --- | 3859.26 | 83.51 | 120.42 | 20.23 |
| 334 | 275-366 | 92(96) | 95.99 | 32.75 | 234.80 | --- | 152.82 | 30.98 | 219.97 | 33.87 |
| 335 | 275-366 | 58 | 2.77 | 59.00 | 134.54 | 3845.49 | 1260.90 | 184.85 | 2445.79 | 58.72 |
| 336 | 185-274 | 121 | 6.68 | 60.30 | 557.00 | 134.57 | 699.95 | 5194.17 | 161.82 | 7.73 |
| 337 | 093-183 | 948 | 4.44 | 1.77 | 0.95 | --- | 0.00 | 198.92 | 0.05 | 0.09 |
| 339 | 093-183 | 585 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | --- | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 354 | 093-183 | 474 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 221.80 | 149.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 355 | 185-274 | 103 | 0.64 | 0.36 | 851.25 | 55.10 | 392.48 | 99.88 | 4916.31 | 7.49 |
| 356 | 275-366 | 61 | 9.90 | 104.43 | 494.82 | 2287.02 | 120.13 | 333.29 | 515.78 | 7.50 |
| 717 | 367-549 | 93(166) | 597.91 | 87.75 | 110.03 | --- | 489.23 | 113.34 | 191.18 | 534.69 |
| 718 | 550-731 | 111(134) | 49.39 | 8.30 | 101.90 | --- | 208.85 | 7.65 | 27.15 | 14.98 |
| 719 | 367-549 | 76 | 27.68 | 11.85 | 192.85 | 1815.75 | 887.30 | 79.65 | 79.53 | 59.59 |
| 720 | 550-731 | 105 | 12.44 | 68.18 | 10.18 | 35.87 | 19.12 | 17.10 | 129.06 | 21.01 |
| 721 | 367-549 | 76 | 21.65 | 49.03 | 143.25 | 1247.77 | 25.40 | 1114.63 | 68.23 | 20.90 |
| 722 | 550-731 | 93 | 149.13 | 13.37 | 39.94 | 56.22 | 159.32 | 6.20 | 25.38 | 12.16 |
| Upper |  |  | 120.04 | 79.25 | 243.40 | 1008.21 | 779.24 | 284.29 | 1209.99 | 189.48 |
| Mean |  |  | 18.20 | 15.22 | 93.50 | 597.47 | 164.87 | 186.74 | 135.27 | 18.99 |
| Lower |  |  | -83.63 | -48.81 | -56.38 | 126.72 | -449.50 | 89.19 | -939.44 | -151.50 |
| TOTAL BIOMASS (metric tons) |  |  | 8082 | 6759 | 41518 | 52338 | 74391 | 84261 | 111854 | 15721 |

*NOTE: In brackets are revised areas based on a redrawn stratification scheme implemented in 1994.

Table 8b. Mean weight ( kg ) of redfish caught per standard tow in Division 30 during autumn Canadian research surveys from 1991-1997. ("---" indicates strata not sampled). Estimates from 1991-1994 are based on an Engels 145 trawl.
Estimates from 1995-1996 are based on a Campelen trawl.

| STRATUM | Depth (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area* } \\ \text { sq. } \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1991 \\ \text { Autumn } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1992 \\ \text { Autumn } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1993 Autumn | $\begin{array}{r} 1994 \\ \text { Autumn } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1995 Autumn | $\begin{array}{r} 1996 \\ \text { Autumn } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 329 | 093-183 | 1721 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 | 0.00 |
| 332 | 093-183 | 1047 | 0.00 | 14.12 | 0.97 | 14.90 | 31.46 | 0.17 |
| 333 | 185-274 | 151(147) | 16.75 | 125.93 | 29.15 | 216.43 | 107.01 | --- |
| 334 | 275-366 | 92(96) | 1168.88 | 420.61 | 348.03 | 175.26 | 506.80 | --- |
| 335 | 275-366 | 58 | 393.74 | 755.40 | 301.02 | 806.07 | 187.70 | 332.17 |
| 336 | 185-274 | 121 | 6.00 | 284.58 | 291.29 | 204.57 | 49.73 | 9.05 |
| 337 | 093-183 | 948 | 37.95 | 38.30 | 7.77 | 4.30 | 55.45 | 0.00 |
| 339 | 093-183 | 585 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 354 | 093-183 | 474 | 0.00 | 214.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 785.26 | 15.58 |
| 355 | 185-274 | 103 | 70.30 | 193.90 | 39.13 | 392.40 | 237.04 | 37.85 |
| 356 | 275-366 | 61 | 40.15 | 673.55 | 47.70 | 231.30 | 387.60 | 145.49 |
| 717 | 367-549 | 93(166) | --- | --- | 1539.17 | 400.90 | 588.78 | --- |
| 718 | 550-731 | 111(134) | --- | --- | 203.82 | --- | 409.37 | --- |
| 719 | 367-549 | 76 | 318.02 | --- | 993.30 | 555.71 | 413.97 | 656.38 |
| 720 | 550-731 | 105 | --- | --- | 57.63 | 146.35 | 16.48 | 572.55 |
| 721 | 367-549 | 76 | 55.13 | --- | 106.00 | 18.95 | 1666.67 | 87.32 |
| 722 | 550-731 | 93 | 8.80 | --- | 202.57 | 28.90 | 125.80 | 103.91 |
| Upper |  |  | 274.18 | 163.42 | 127.90 | 111.33 | 971.94 | 86.17 |
| Mean |  |  | 37.19 | 65.24 | 64.02 | 51.46 | 151.87 | 30.54 |
| Lower |  |  | 199.80 | -32.93 | 0.13 | -8.42 | -668.20 | -25.08 |
| TOTAL BIOMASS (metric tons) |  |  | 15649 | 26256 | 28423 | 22700 | 125579 | 22974 |

*NOTE: In brackets are revised areas based on a redrawn stratification scheme implemented in 1994.


Fig. 1. Nominal catches and TACs of Division 30 redfish


Fig. 2a. Standardized CPUE for redfish Div. 30 for Canada fishing inside the EEZ based on hours fished.


Fig. 2b. Standardized CPUE for redfish Div. 30 for countries that fished inside and outside the EEZ (Russia and Cuba) based on hours fished.


Fig. 2c. Standardized CPUE for redfish Div. 30 for countries that fished outside the EEZ based on hours fished.


Figure - : Estimated commercial catch-at-length of Div. 30 redfish.


Fig. 4. Research survey biomass index for Div. 30 redfish from 1991-1997. (1991-1995 Spring surveys used Engel 145 trawl; 1995 Autumn-1997 survey used Campelen 1800 trawl (see text for details).


Fig. 5. Length frequencies from stratified-random research to Div. 30 for 1991-1997. Plotted are mean number per standard tow. X-axis unit is centimetres. The 1991-1995 Spring survey was conducted with an Engels 145 trawl ( $1.75 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. tow). The 1995 Fall surveys and onward were conducted with a Campelen trawl ( 0.75 n. mi. tow).

