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#### Abstract

An analytical assessment of the Scotian Shelf silver hake stock in 4 VWX was conducted using updated catch-at-age (1979-97), research surveys, and commercial CPUE. The assessment results show abundance and biomass to be increasing in recent years, but as was the case in previous years, a retrospective pattern was apparent, with estimates of population size in the most recent year inflated. With adjustment on an age-by-age basis to account for this pattern, spawning stock biomass shows an increase between 1993 and 1998, to about 115,000 t . Exploitation rate has decreased since 1994 to about $20 \%$, which is well below $F_{0.1}$. A projection of yield based on parameters derived from the population analysis at $F_{0.1}$ is estimated to be approximately $48,000 \mathrm{t}$. However, given recent declines in survey estimates of abundance and recruitment, cold temperatures observed on the Scotian Shelf in 1997-98, and catches of small silver hake by the Canadian fleet, catches should not increase from recent levels.


## Résumé

Une évaluation analytique du stock de merlu argenté du plateau néo-écossais de 4VWX a été effectuée à l'aide de données à jour des captures à l'âge (1979-1997), des relevés de recherche et des PUE de la pêche commerciale. L'évaluation montre que l'abondance et la biomasse se sont accrues au cours des dernières années mais, comme pour les années antérieures, on a noté un effet rétroactif qui donne lieu à une augmentation erronée de la valeur de l'effectif de dernière année. Après correction, âge par âge, faite pour tenir compte de cet effet, on obtient une biomasse de géniteurs qui augmente, de 1993 à 1998, à une valeur de 115000 t environ. Le taux d'exploitation a diminué depuis 1994, à $20 \%$ environ, ce qui est bien en deçà du $F_{0.1}$. Une projection du rendement fondée sur des paramètres tirés d'une aṇalyse de la population au niveau $F_{0.1}$ donne une valeur de $48000 t$ environ. Mais étant donné les baisses récentes des estimations de l'abondance et du recrutement indiquées par les relevés, les températures froides notees sur le plateau néo-écossais en 1997-1998 et la capture de merlus argentés de petite taille par la flottille canadienne, les captures ne devraient pas être augmentées par rapport aux niveaux récents.

## The Fishery

The silver hake fishery has been conducted on the Scotian Shelf since the mid-1960's, primarily by the distant water fleets of Russia, Cuba and Japan in the early years. Prior to 1977 , fishing on the Scotian Shelf was unrestricted in terms of area, mesh size and season. During this period fishing was conducted over the entire shelf, and the use of trawl mesh as small as 40 mm was common. Following the extension of jurisdiction to 200 miles by coastal states in 1997, Canada implemented the Coastal Fisheries Protection Act, which restricted fishing for this species to the seaward side of the Small Mesh Gear Line (SMGL, Fig 1), west of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude, with a minimum mesh size of 60 mm . On an experimental basis, a portion (4-6 vessels) of the fleet was allowed to fish landward of the SMGL during 1978 and 1979. From 1980 through 1983, fishing was permitted by condition of license in an eastern extension of the Silver Hake Box as far as $57^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude; from 1984 to present this eastern extension has been restricted to $59^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude. In 1994 further restrictions were introduced to minimize incidental catches of cod, haddock and pollock in the silver hake fishery. These included a repositioning of the SMGL to prevent fishing in depths less than 190 m (Fig. 1) and the use of a separator grate in the lengthening piece of the trawl.

Canadian fishing interests have engaged in experimental harvesting of this species since 1975, although until 1995 these efforts were developmental in nature (Showell and Cooper, MS1997). From 1995 to present a commercial fishery has been conducted by the Canadian tonnage class 3 ( $<65^{\prime}$ ) mobile gear fleet in and around Emerald and LaHave basins (Fig. 1).

Nominal catches from this stock range from 300,000 tons in 1973 to 8,000 tons in 1994 (Table 1). Catches by the foreign fleet were generally high during the mid to late 1980 's, with catches in recent years much lower (fig. 2). As the inshore Canadian fishery has developed, the proportion of the catch harvested by each fleet component has changed. The preliminary catch by Canada in 1998 is in excess of $7,500 \mathrm{mt}$, while the catch by Cuban vessels has dropped to less than $6,000 \mathrm{mt}$ (Fig. 2).

Recent scientific advice (NAFO Scientific Council), TAC's and catches (' 000 tons) are as follows:

| Year | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Advice | 100 | 167 | 235 |  | 100 | 105 | 75 | 51 | 79 | 64 | 50 | 65 |
| TAC | 100 | 120 | 135 | 135 | 100 | 105 | 86 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 50 | 55 |
| Catch | 62 | 74 | 91 | 69 | 68 | 32 | 29 | 8 | 18 | 26 | 16 | $14^{1}$ |
| ${ }^{1}$ preliminary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Removals and Weights at Age

While no foreign allocations of silver hake were caught in 1997, the fishery was conducted by two distinct fleets - Canadian flag vessels < 65 ' fishing in or near to Emerald and LaHave Basins, and the Cuban flagged tonnage class 7 vessels fishing seaward of the SMGL line under charter arrangements with Canadian partners. While modifications were made to the SMGL in 1994, several changes were subsequently made, and numerous exemptions granted to the fleets fishing in this area. Details of these changes are can be found in Branton, 1998.

Sampling for length composition and aging material from the Cuban vessels was conducted by Canadian observers, with $100 \%$ of the fishery covered. Sampling levels were relatively high, with more than 1,100 length samples and 1,100 otolith pairs collected. The commercial removals at age for this fishery in 1997 were calculated using the same procedures as the previous assessment, using the Canadian observer unculled length frequency data and monthly age/length keys, by sex, constructed from Canadian aging data. Regressions of lengths and weights from the Canadian July research vessel survey were used to calculate yearly alphas and betas by sex used in the calculation of sample weights and commercial mean weight-at-age. Catch-at-age for February catch by this fleet was constructed using the age/length key for March, as aging data were not available for this month.

As a result of changes in Departmental policy regarding observer coverage levels on domestic fishing vessels, observer data from the Canadian fleet were limited to two trips in 1997, both in June. Some port sampling was conducted for this species, but seasonal coverage was not sufficient to be representative of landings. However, in 1997 the major processor of silver hake landed by this fleet routinely conducted a size analysis of the landings as part of their quality control process. A sample of approximately 15 kg was collected at random from each landing, and measured to the nearest cm , unsexed. The length frequencies consisted of approximately 100 fish each, and were well distributed seasonally (Table 2). These samples were judged to represent the best available data source for calculation of removals at length for this fleet.

A comparison of the length composition of catches for the offshore foreign fleet to those of the inshore Canadian fleet, based on observer samples, was made for 1996 (Showell \& Cooper, 1997), and the results indicated that the inshore fleet generally caught smaller fish than the offshore. Comparisons by month of the 1997 offshore length distributions based on observer samples to the inshore length distributions based on industry samples shows a similar, but more dramatic trend, with a the majority of the inshore catches consisting of $19-24 \mathrm{~cm}$ fish. This component was virtually absent from the offshore fishery (Fig. 3). July research vessel (RV) survey length frequencies show a similar pattern when strata
are grouped by inshore vs offshore (Fig. 4). The differences between the two fleet components were therefore judged to be real as opposed to a sampling or other bias.

The lack of sexed length frequencies for the Industry samples represents a problem in determining catch at age, as silver hake exhibit differential growth rates between sexes and the proportion of each sex will vary according to length. To account for this, the ratio of males to females at length was estimated using Canadian observer data collected from the inshore fishery in 1995 and 1996. The curves for each year were similar (Fig. 5), and the ratio of each sex was calculated by combining the two curves, followed by smoothing with a running median function (SPSS, 1997). For each month, removals at length by sex were calculated by scaling the unsexed Industry numbers at length by the appropriate ratio. Monthly age/length keys from the offshore fishery were used to calculate numbers at age for the samples, by sex. Sample weights were calculated using length weight parameters from the summer survey, and the numbers adjusted for the total monthly catch by the inshore fleet. The results are presented in Table 3.

The removals at age for 1977-96 were taken from the previous assessment (Showell, 1997a) to provide estimates for the period 1977-97 inclusive (Table 4).

As has been noted in the past for this stock, commercial mean weight-at-age declined from 1992 to 1994, and has stayed relatively stable at this level in subsequent years (Table 5, Fig. 6).

## Commercial Catch Rates

Multiplicative analysis of catch rates in the offshore component of the silver hake fishery using observer data showed no significant effect by country, month or NAFO area on catch rate (Smith \& Showell, MS1996), indicating that a model with year alone has as much explanatory power as one which includes all four factors. Based on this analysis, a non-standardized catch rate series was developed using Canadian observer data (Fig. 7). The catch rates for this fleet have dropped from high levels in the period 1984-89, to relatively low levels since 1992, with the 1997 point being the lowest in the time series. Preliminary data from the 1998 fishery indicate the catch rate has risen slightly, but is still at a relatively low level.

An analysis of the effect of separator grates on silver hake catch rates by Halliday and Cooper (MS1997) indicates that the use of this equipment reduces the catch rate by about $5 \%$. CPUE and effort, adjusted for this factor, are presented in Table 6.

The inshore fleet has been conducting a true commercial (as opposed to exploratory) fishery for silver hake, in and around Emerald and LaHave Basins only since 1995, rather than exploratory. Based on observer data, catch rates increased sharply in 1996 compared to 1995 (Fig. 8). While observer coverage in 1997 was not sufficient to calculate a reliable domestic catch rate, statistics from the commercial landings (C/L) database for TC 1-3 vessels directing for silver hake were available, with both catch per day and catch per hour showing trends similar to observer data between 1995 and 1996, and a stable catch rate from 1996-98 (Fig. 8).

## Management Unit Considerations

Based on early work by Konstantinov and Noskov $(1966,1969)$ the Scotian Shelf silver hake population was considered to be separate from those of the Gulf of Maine, Georges Bank, and the Middle Atlantic States, and the management area was defined by ICNAF as 4VWX (Waldron, MS1988). However, examination of silver hake distribution from seasonally aggregated East Coast of North America Strategic Assessment Project (ECNSAP) data suggests a discontinuity between the Scotian Shelf and the Bay of Fundy portions of the population (Fig. 9). Further, the Bay of Fundy component is continuous with that of the Gulf of Maine, suggesting fish from this area may be associated with Northern Georges Bank/Gulf of Maine stock rather than the Scotian Shelf. These associations were investigated by comparing Canadian July RV numbers per tow from Bay of Fundy strata to those of the Scotian Shelf and to US fall numbers per tow for the northern Georges Bank/Gulf of Maine area. A significant linear regression relationship was found between the Bay of Fundy and Gulf of Maine numbers per tow $\left(R^{2}=0.4\right.$, p $>0.000$ ) while no significant relationship was found between the Bay of Fundy and Scotian Shelf or the Scotian Shelf and the Gulf of Maine. Together with the distribution information, these associations support the conclusion that Canadian July survey silver hake catches in the Bay of Fundy are from the Northern Georges Bank/Gulf of Maine stock. In addition, an analysis of changes in RV numbers over time was conducted to assess the ability of the July survey to track cohorts (Fig. 10). Comparisons of the results including and excluding the Bay of Fundy strata show cohorts track much more clearly at ages 1-4 with the Bay of Fundy strata excluded, indicating that silver hake from the Gulf of Maine may be confounding yearclass effects.

## Canadian Bottom Trawl Surveys

The July stratified random design groundfish survey has been conducted on the Scotian Shelf from 1970 using three Canadian research vessels (A.T. Cameron, Lady Hammond, and the Alfred

Needler). A conversion factor of 2.3 is applied to the series prior to 1982 to account for the effect of vessel and gear changes between the A.T. Cameron and the other two vessels (Fanning, MS1985). No conversion factor is required between the Lady Hammond and the Alfred Needler.

As indicated previously, silver hake found in the Bay of Fundy area likely represent a portion of the Gulf of Maine/N. Georges Bank silver hake stock, rather than the Scotian Shelf stock. Survey trends in both total numbers and biomass were therefore calculated for the Scotian Shelf portion of 4VWX only, excluding strata 484 through 495.

Survey trends in both numbers and biomass show relatively high abundance in the early to mid80 's, followed by a decline to relatively low levels over the period 1988-94 (Fig 11). Abundance and biomass increased in 1995 and 1996, but has subsequently declined in 1997 and 1998.

Numbers at age for the Scotian Shelf strata only are presented in Table 7. In 1996 the one and two year old groups were above average in numbers, while the 3 year old ( 1994 year class) survey abundance was average, while the age four and older were below average in abundance.

Previous analysis (Showell, 1997b) has shown both condition (weight for given length) and mean length at age to have declined from 1971 to 1995, with the two factors combining to produce mean weights at age for ages 3 and 4 which were the lowest in the time series in 1994. With the addition of 1996 and 1997 survey data, a modest increase is seen over the previous low levels (Fig. 12).

## Juvenile Survey

A standardized IYGPT O-group survey for this species has been conducted since 1981 (1992 excluded) during the October-November period. The stratified mean number per tow for the 1997 survey was 579, which equals the highest seen in the time series and suggests that the 1997 year class may be strong. However, as was the case with the high 1996 value, the estimate has a high coefficient of variation at 0.37 . These data, as well as those of previous years for the core strata $(460-478)$ are presented in Table 8.

## Estimation of Parameters

## Sequential Population Analysis

The adaptive framework (Gavaris, 1993) was used to calibrate the sequential population analysis using the Canadian July R/V survey for strata 440-483 (excludes Bay of Fundy), age disaggregated CPUE from the foreign fishery, and the O-group survey as tuning indices. An analysis of cohort strength in the RV and CPUE time series showed general correspondence for ages 1 though 4, but little tracking at ages 5 and older (Fig. 13). As a result, ages 1-4 were used to calibrate the analysis. Examination of the diagnostics from preliminary runs showed strong negative year effects in the early portion of the time series (1979-82). It was judged that these were likely artifacts resulting from changes in the July survey vessel calibration, and consequently these data were dropped from the time series. The resulting formulation was as follows:

Ca, $\mathrm{y}=$ catch; $\mathrm{a}=1$ to $8, \mathrm{y}=1983$-1997
RVa, $\mathrm{y}=$ Canadian July RV; $\mathrm{a}=1$ to $4, \mathrm{y}=$ 1983-1997
CPUEa, $\mathbf{y}=$ age disaggregated CPUE; $\mathrm{a}=1$ to $4, \mathrm{y}=1983$-1997
Juva, $\mathrm{y}=0$-group survey; $\mathrm{a}=1, \mathrm{y}=1983$-1997

Natural mortality was assumed constant and equal to 0.4 , and errors in the catch at age were assumed to be without error relative to the abundance indices. F at age 8 was calculated as the average of ages $4,5,6$ in the same year, and a dome was not forced.

Parameter estimates from the analysis are show in Table 9. Bias adjusted beginning of year population numbers, fishing mortality, and population biomass are shown in Tables 10, 11 and 12. Age by age residuals are shown in Table 13 for each survey, summarized in Table 14 and plotted in Fig. 14 (RV) and Fig. 15 (CPUE and O-group). Scaled residuals are plotted by year and series as a 'bubble-plot' in Fig. 16.

In past assessments of this resource, population numbers have shown changes with the addition of data in subsequent years, with a tendency for the current estimate of population size to be overly optimistic. As a result, an analysis for a retrospective pattern was conducted. The retrospective effect on population was examined for age 1 through 4 (Fig. 17). To quantify the effect of the retrospective pattern, an analysis of initial estimates of population numbers compared to the most recent estimates was conducted, and the proportion of the 1997 estimate to the initial estimate was averaged for the past 5 years. When the initial estimate was compared to the estimate with several more years data added, a difference of approximately $20 \%$ was seen in ages 1 though 4 with slightly higher levels for older ages (Table 15). Fishing mortality for the fully recruited, or near fully recruited age groups (ages 3-5) on which the fishery is conducted, was underestimated by as much as $50 \%$ in some years (Fig. 18).

Estimates of spawning stock biomass (age $2+$, adjusted for retrospective), recruitment (VPA age 1 ), and exploitation rate from the ADAPT analysis are summarized in Table 16.

## Estimates of Total Mortality (Z)

The mean numbers per tow index from the July survey was used to calculate total mortality. To reduce variability in the estimates, the results were grouped into age classes ( $1-2,3-5,6-8$ ) and smoothed using a two year moving average (Fig. 19). Bases on this method, total mortality on $2+$ fish (ie the age classes on which the fishery is conducted) has remained relatively high, despite a sharp decline in catches.

## Recruiting Yearclass Sizes

Estimates of age 1 in the terminal year of the VPA are poorly estimated (Fig. 17), and cannot be relied on as an estimate of incoming year class size. The estimates of the 1995 and earlier yearclasses can be accepted from the SPA; however, the strength of the 1996 and 1997 yearclasses at age 1 must be inferred from research vessel data.

The 1998 July RV survey has been conducted, but aging is not completed. However, the mode of lengths representing age 1 fish is clear in the length frequency data, and abundance of fish $<23 \mathrm{~cm}$ has been shown to provide a reasonable estimate of age 1 numbers (Branton et al., 1997). Using this method in conjunction with the ADAPT catchability coefficient estimated for age 1 RV , the size of the 1997 yearclass is below average at 0.4 billion fish. This cohort was previously considered to be above average in size, based on the results of the 1997 O-group survey. However, the cold temperatures observed on the Scotian Shelf in 1997-98 (Drinkwater et al., 1998) may have significantly reduced the abundance of this yearclass between the fall juvenile and July RV surveys.

The 1996 yearclass will be fully recruited at age 3 in 1999. Based on the ADAPT catchability coefficient estimated for age 1 RV, the size of this yearclass is slightly above average, at 1.1 billion fish.

The 1998 year class was taken as the 10 year geometric mean for age 1 fish from the VPA ( 750 million).

## Projection

The commercial mean weights-at-age have declined sharply since 1992, and have stabilized at lower levels in recent years. This long term decline is also seen in survey data, and appears to be a biological phenomenon rather than a result of sampling or other bias. Consequently, a short series (199597) of weights-at-age was averaged for projection. The nature of the fishery has changed somewhat in recent years, with changes in fishing area and a requirement for the use of a separator grate introduced in

1994, and the increase in landings from Canadian vessels fishing the inshore basins. As a result, the partial recruitment was averaged for the past 3 years also. To quantify the effect of the retrospective pattern, numbers for ages $3+$ from ADAPT were adjusted downwards, on an age-by-age basis, by the average proportion calculated in Table 15.

Weights at age, numbers, and partial recruitment were:

| age | avg.wt | PR | numbers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 404762 |
| 2 | 0.10 | 0.42 | 723658 |
| 3 | 0.14 | 0.74 | 362936 |
| 4 | 0.17 | 1.0 | 115195 |
| 5 | 0.21 | 0.74 | 17797 |
| 6 | 0.30 | 0.53 | 17686 |
| 7 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 12476 |
| 8 | 0.45 | 0.61 | 5122 |
| 9 | 0.66 | 0.61 | 2302 |

Some landings are still being made by the Canadian silver hake fishery. However, levels are low and the final catch for 1998 (foreign and Canadian fleets combined) is estimated to be approximately $14,000 \mathrm{t}$. An $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ value of 0.7 was used, based on yield-per-recruit analyses conducted in previous assessments. The yield in 1999 at this target fishing level is estimated to be 48,000 tons. Results of an Armstrong plot, comparing exploitation rate and biomass change at various levels of yield is presented in Figure 20. Harvesting at the $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ level would result in an exploitation rate of about $40 \%$, and reduce the population biomass by about $30 \%$.
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Table 1. Nominal catches (mt) for 4VWX silver hake 1970-1998 (1995-1998 preliminary).

| Country | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bulgaria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1722 | 3088 | 862 | 606 | 4639 | 817 | 0 | 0 |
| Canada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 101 | 26 | 10 | 26 | 13 | 104 | 6 | 38 |
| Cuba | 0 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 1724 | 12572 | 1847 | 3436 | 1798 | 2287 | 642 | T1969 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2^{1}$ |
| FRG | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 296 | 106 | 97 | 684 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GDR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Italy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 106 | 5 | 0 | 541 | $37^{1}$ |
| Japan | 129 | 8 | 63 | 88 | 67 | 54 | 78 | 19 | 161 | 219 | 239 | 120 | 937 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 295 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $1^{1}$ | $31^{2}$ |
| Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 2044 | $2^{1}$ |
| Romania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spain | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| USA | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| USSR | 168916 | 128633 | 113774 | 298533 | 95371 | 112566 | 81216 | 33301 | 44062 | 45076 | 40982 | 41243 | 47261 |


| Country | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bulgaria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Canada | 15 | 10 | 2 | 9 | 13 | 9 | 337 | 10 | 34 | 4 | 73 | 57 | $-300^{1}$ |
| Cuba | 7418 | 14496 | 17683 | 16041 | 20219 | 9016 | 14541 | 13888 | 23708 | 16528 | 22018 | 7788 | $16835^{1}$ |
| France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FRG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GDR | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Observer Program Data (data not reported to NAFO)
${ }^{2}$ FLASH data

| Country | 1996 | 1997 | $1998^{*}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bulgaria | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Canada | 3473 | 4203 | 7545 |
| Cuba | $21773^{1}$ | $11961^{1}$ | $5849^{1}$ |
| France | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FRG | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GDR | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Italy | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Japan | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Romania | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| USA | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| USSR | 669 | 0 | 168 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 25927 | 16,164 | 13562 |

${ }^{1}$ Observer Program Data (data not reported to NAFO)
${ }^{2}$ FLASH data
*incomplete

Table 2: Industry sampling of $4 V W X$ silver hake, fort Mouton plant, 1997.

| month | April | May | June | July | August | Sept |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \# samples | 3 | 16 | 27 | 37 | 17 | 5 |

Table 3: 1997 catch at age (' 000 's) for Scotian Shelf silver hake by Canadian and foreign . fishing vessels.
foreign
11961 ton Feb
Mar
age

| 1 | 17.9 | 48.1 | 295.7 | 1014.4 | 3020.5 | 1619.3 | 169.9 | 64.7 | 6250.5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 535.5 | 1438.5 | 3119.5 | 8313.5 | 7470.5 | 956.7 | 180.7 | 44.9 | 22059.8 |
| 3 | 1159.2 | 3113.9 | 5686.6 | 11836.6 | 10320.1 | 1336.5 | 368.8 | 13.5 | 33835.2 |
| 4 | 858.7 | 2306.6 | 4268.9 | 7989 | 7473.3 | 1320.2 | 324.1 | 10.3 | 24551.1 |
| 5 | 108 | 290.1 | 574.2 | 1072.6 | 1115.3 | 257 | 46.8 | 2.5 | 3466.5 |
| 6 | 5.6 | 15 | 42 | 91.9 | 112.2 | 33.5 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 304.3 |
| 7 | 0.3 | 0.82 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 12.2 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 23.84 |
| 8 | 0.14 | 0.37 | 0.74 | 4.8 | -12.6 | 0.62 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 19.33 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0.11 | 0.52 | 1.3 | 0.13 | 0.003 | 0 | 2.063 |

domestic

| 4203 tons Feb | Mar | Apr | May | June | bul | Aug | Sept | tal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 160.6 | 3236.4 | 2755.4 | $\uparrow 773.9$ | 1055.1 | 900.2 | 9881.6 |
| 2 |  | 144.1 | 2941.5 | 3432.8 | 3160.8 | 1552.4 | 726.2 | 11957.8 |
| 3 |  | 54.5 | 998.2 | 1208.5 | 917 | 293.8 | 189.9 | 3661.9 |
| 4 |  | 13 | 194.3 | 291.9 | 237.9 | 52.1 | 44.1 | 833.3 |
| 5 |  | 2.5 | 23.4 | 39 | 29.6 | 10.9 | 7.5 | 112.9 |
| 6 |  | 0.4 | 8.8 | 8 | 6.6 | 3.1 | 8.5 | 35.4 |
| 7 |  | 0 | 1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 4.7 |
| 8 |  | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 6.6 | 8 |
| 9 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |

Table 4: Commercial catch numbers at age for 4VWX silvę hake.

| Age | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 17911 | 20940 | 20569 | 16588 | 2358 | 20189 | 5849 | 59588 | 14970 | 45598 |
| 2 | 72529 | 70302 | 57893 | 70696 | 25214 | 52976 | 96852 | 45828 | 130814 | 70269 |
| 3 | 59862 | 80196 | 72891 | 70391 | 109035 | 75876 | 56158 | 206900 | 98346 | 229126 |
| 4 | 15070 | 35025 | 36669 | 32032 | 37573 | 68400 | 29282 | 82911 | 128365 | 84097 |
| 5 | 2218 | 12709 | 22380 | 14465 | 11928 | 31752 | 11388 | 19344 | 34110 | 28635 |
| 6 | 725 | 5227 | 9970 | 5184 | 3234 | 5945 | 3395 | 4268 | - 9327 | 8760 |
| 7 | 97 | 1906 | 3168 | 1431 | 1201 | 2042 | 819 | 1038 | 2344 | 1436 |
| 8 | 91 | 1168 | 495 | 451 | 290 | 465 | 253 | 183 | 226 | 497 |
| 9 | 4 | 338 | 374 | 98 | 141 | 64 | 88 | 10 | 85 | 111 |
| Age | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| 1 | 6804 | 5110 | 24264 | 6516 | 5738 | 7461 | 31572 | 1651 | 3498 | 33501 |
| 2 | 214235 | 62791 | 85846 | 209620 | 117305 | 76663 | 83140 | 13265 | 35925 | 92030 |
| 3 | 114417 | 265307 | 158745 | 142862 | 201243 | 73526 | 70735 | 35250 | 45615 | 43686 |
| 4 | 54211 | 39242 | 145105 | 41215 | 46414 | 27777 | 35222 | 8847 | 31316 | 23234 |
| 5 | 13063 | 21303 | 20025 | 11741 | 12154 | 3461 | 5511 | 1283 | 5183 | 4928 |
| 6 | 6045 | 3106 | 9369 | 1648 | 3954 | 1247 | 595 | 150 | 457 | 888 |
| 7 | 347 | 2133 | 1569 | 640 | 290 | 159 | 71 | 18 | 58 | 148 |
| 8 | 156 | 208 | 1166 | 107 | 181 | 33 | 30 | 8 | 41 | 75 |
| 9 | 117 | 143 | 39 | 40 | 50 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Age | 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 16132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 34018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 37497 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 25384 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 3579 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5: Silver hake commercial mean weights at age.

| Age | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.065 | 0.074 | 0.076 | 0.04 | 0.061 | 0.066 | 0.067 | 0.07 | 0.068 | 0.053 |
| 2 | 0.183 | 0.153 | 0.178 | 0.151 | 0.168 | 0.169 | 0.128 | 0.146 | 0.136 | 0.145 |
| 3 | 0.264 | 0.229 | 0.227 | 0.223 | 0.215 | 0.231 | 0.196 | 0.181 | 0.177 | 0.184 |
| 4 | 0.34 | 0.266 | 0.274 | 0.287 | 0.276 | 0.275 | 0.239 | 0.224 | 0.21 | 0.25 |
| 5 | 0.446 | 0.335 | 0.304 | 0.341 | 0.326 | 0.317 | 0.289 | 0.272 | 0.244 | 0.25 |
| 6 | 0.632 | 0.405 | 0.389 | 0.391 | 0.401 | 0.394 | 0.365 | 0.353 | 0.295 | 0.274 |
| 7 | 0.886 | 0.438 | 0.455 | 0.531 | 0.553 | 0.446 | 0.395 | 0.405 | 0.41 | 0.392 |
| 8 | 0.922 | 0.54 | 0.838 | 0.839 | 0.923 | 0.513 | 0.457 | 0.624 | 0.582 | 0.514 |
| 9 | 2.12 | 0.892 | 0.838 | 0.859 | 1.137 | 0.506 | 0.444 | 0.65 | 0.669 | 0.644 |
| Age | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| 1 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.06 | 0.063 | 0.047 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.050 | 0.060 | 0.040 |
| 2 | 0.119 | 0.139 | 0.135 | 0.139 | 0.139 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 |
| 3 | 0.168 | 0.185 | 0.195 | 0.184 | 0.189 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.130 | 0.140 | 0.139 |
| 4 | 0.211 | 0:227 | 0.224 | 0.217 | 0.215 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.170 | 0.170 | 0.169 |
| 5 | 0.248 | 0.26 | 0.278 | 0.24 | 0.263 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.190 | 0.210 | 0.207 |
| 6 | 0.286 | 0.292 | 0.349 | 0.315 | 0.471 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.270 | 0.310 | 0.293 |
| 7 | 0.453 | 0.401 | 0.403 | 0.37 | 0.471 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.380 | 0.410 | 0.505 |
| 8 | 0.422 | 0.497 | 0.511 | 0.401 | 0.511 | 0.41 | 0.32 | 0.420 | 0.440 | 0.433 |
| 9 | 0.518 | 0.688 | 0.82 | 0.545 | 0.568 | 0.69 | 0.96 | --- | 0.620 | --- |


| Age | -1997 |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.049 |
| 2 | 0.101 |
| 3 | 0.140 |
| 4 | 0.171 |
| 5 | 0.206 |
| 6 | 0.299 |
| 7 | 0.394 |
| 8 | 0.435 |
| 9 | 0.628 |

Table 6: CPUE (t/hr) and effort (hrs), raw and (corrected) for the effect of separator grates, for the Cuban and Russian 4VWX silver hake fishery, 1979-97.

| year | CPUE | effort (hrs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 1.71 | 30,271 |
| 1980 | 2.04 | 21,811 |
| 1981 | 1.71 | 26,083 |
| 1982 | 3.20 | 18,841 |
| 1983 | 1.76 | 20,406 |
| 1984 | 2.94 | 25276 |
| 1985 | 2.82 | 26,791 |
| 1986 | 3.48 | 23,755 |
| 1987 | 2.75 | 22,433 |
| 1988 | 2.80 | 26,535 |
| 1989 | 3.89 | 22,624 |
| 1990 | 1.89 | 37,288 |
| 1991 | 1.70 | 39,911 |
| 1992 | 1.32 | 24,148 |
| 1993 | 1.43 | 20,369 |
| 1994 | 1.36 (1.43) | 5,726 (5,440) |
| 1995 | 1.34 (1.41) | 12,563 (11,935) |
| 1996 | 1.28 (1.34) | 17,532 (16,655) |
| 1997 | 1.02 (1.07) | 11,726 (11,140) |

Table 7: Scotian Shelf siliver hake July RV survey numbers ('000) at age. strata 484-495 exciuded:

| sum_rv | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977.5 | 4678.4 | 23530.4 | 19417.3 | 4564.9 | 1360.5 | 1213.1 | 938.4 | 326.8 | 283.5 |
| 1978.5 | 23504.4 | 22781.4 | 16118.6 | 8922.9 | 6695.8 | 3050.0 | 1288.2 | 502.9 | 866.4 |
| 1979.5 | 69802.6 | 146692.0 | 69098.6 | 20340.5 | 11564.9 | 5082.7 | 2682.7 | 975.9 | 276.7 |
| 1980.5 | 11491.3 | 19280.5 | 28115.5 | 7884.4 | 4292.2 | 3358.0 | 1478.1 | 804.9 | 381.6 |
| 1981.5 | 31645.8 | 84253.6 | 129883.7 | 60438.8 | 16084.1 | 5237.5 | 2427.6 | 784.0 | 654.4 |
| 1982.5 | 177638.5 | 29113.1 | 7743.4 | 6201.0 | 3209.5 | 816.8 | 350.3 | 252.4 | 32.9 |
| 1983.5 | 41988.7 | 99362.7 | 38241.6 | 18996.2 | 10603.1 | 2779.4 | 882.0 | 400.8 | 332.7 |
| 1984.5 | 174499.2 | 65030.5 | 209274.9 | 39603.1 | 12119.9 | 8042.0 | 2872.9 | 1141.5 | 523.2 |
| 1985.5 | 37656.8 | 163469.9 | 33876.8 | 73810.7 | 22537.2 | 9947.3 | 2662.4 | 1223.6 | 215.2 |
| 1986.5 | 262382.2 | 73829.4 | 74005.9 | 22643.6 | 13551.6 | 4148.2 | 1656.1 | 713.5 | 333.6 |
| 1987.5 | 139672.6 | 253815.0 | 42291.4 | 18611.9 | 6067.6 | 4103.7 | 1265.8 | 869.1 | 477.2 |
| 1988.5 | 68465.9 | 87116.9 | 82861.9 | 16965.6 | 14225.7 | 2514.0 | 2372.5 | 480.7 | 148.2 |
| 1989.5 | 128835.7 | 60127.1 | 23089.7 | 13012.3 | 3549.5 | 1744.0 | 697.2 | 317.7 | 129.3 |
| 1990.5 | 89476.5 | 115013.2 | 46416.9 | 13857.3 | 4056.9 | 1154.9 | 408.7 | 207.6 | 81.3 |
| 1991.5 | 39735.5 | 80924.0 | 35098.3 | 13164.8 | 6623.8 | 2416.9 | 401.6 | 142.8 | 124.3 |
| 1992.5 | 25951.7 | 58010.5 | 45725.8 | 11076.8 | 4464.0 | 2230.3 | 423.3 | 139.4 | 192.1 |
| 1993.5 | 113930.3 | 89889.7 | 83213.9 | 27289.6 | 2530.8 | 807.1 | 583.7 | 97.5 | 37.8 |
| 1994.5 | 86322.8 | 56315.3 | 57237.2 | 25354.5 | 8180.1 | 1146.9 | 330.8 | 209.8 | 132.7 |
| 1995.5 | 90254.2 | 72148.1 | 82581.7 | 56654.8 | 15599.0 | 3414.7 | 1295.0 | 613.7 | 652.0 |
| 1996.5 | 94124.4 | 170254.7 | 57250.6 | 42983.5 | 10621.9 | 1584.3 | 295.4 | 566.6 | 155.6 |
| 1997.5 | 143033.6 | 122443.0 | 53562.3 | 6064.0 | 3663.5 | 594.3 | 87.7 | 76.8 | 20.4 |

Table 8: Stratified mean catch per tow for the Canada-Russia juvenile silver hake survey, core strata (60-78).

| Year Class | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mean catch/tow | 579.0 | 8.8 | 232.2 | 43.4 | 284.8 | 198.0 | 102.0 | 204.8 | 131.5 |
| std.error | 64.4 | 1.2 | 24.4 | 7.1 | 62.2 | 37.9 | 23.0 | 35.3 | 19.0 |
| CV | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.14 |
| number of sets | 77 | 61 | 64 | 71 | 82 | 74 | 105 | 79 | 74 |
| July RV age 1 \#'s <br> $\left(10^{6}\right)$ | 178 | 42 | 175 | 38 | 262 | 140 | 68 | 129 | 89 |


| Year Class | 1990 | 1991 | $1992^{1}$ | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mean catch/tow | 187.4 | 78.6 | - | 186.5 | 105.4 | 252.0 | 444.1 | 578.6 |
| std.error | 24.1 | 10.4 | - | 17.2 | 8.4 | 60.5 | 186.5 | 214.1 |
| CV | 0.13 | 0.13 | - | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.24 | 0.42 | 0.37 |
| number of sets | 68 | 71 | - | 95 | 73 | 83 | 81 | 81 |
| July RV age 1 \#'s <br> $\left(10^{6}\right)$ | 40 | 26 | 114 | 86 | 90 | 94 | 143 | - |

[^0]APPROXIMATE STATISTICS ASSUMING LINEARITY NEAR SOLUTION

| ORTHOGONALITY OEFSET......... | 0.001144 |
| :--- | :--- |
| MEAN SQUARE RESIDUALS . . . . . | 0.305590 |

Estimates for parameters

|  | PAR. E | STD. ERR. | REL. ERR. | BIAS | REL. BIAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.38 E 1 | 3.36E-1 | 0.024 | 1.70E-3 | 0.000 |
| 2 | 1.31 El | 2.74E-1 | 0.021 | $-1.44 \mathrm{E}-5$ | 0.000 |
| 3 | 1.20 E 1 | 2.86E-1 | 0.024 | -6.50E-3 | -0.001 |
| 4 | 1.05 El | 3.53E-1 | 0.034 | -2.07E-2 | -0.002 |
| 5 | 1.07 El | 3.07E-1 | 0.029 | -1.33E-2 | -0.001 |
| 6 | 1.01 El | 3.59E-1 | 0.036 | -2.43E-2 | -0.002 |
| 7 | 9.22 E 0 | 3.90E-1 | 0.042 | -2.91E-2 | -0.003 |
| RV | -1.13E1 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-1$ | -0.013 | -2.14E-3 | 0.000 |
|  | -1.07E1 | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-1$ | -0.014 | -1.59E-3 | 0.000 |
|  | -1.04E1 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-1$ | -0.014 | -1.96E-4 | 0.000 |
|  | -1.01E1 | 1.50E-1 | -0.015 | 2.33E-3 | 0.000 |
| CPUE | -1.41E1 | 1.49E-1 | -0.011 | -2.14E-3 | 0.000 |
|  | -1.17E1 | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-1$ | -0.013 | -1.59E-3 | 0.000 |
|  | -1.05E1 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-1$ | -0.014 | -1.96E-4 | 0.000 |
|  | -1.01E1 | 1.50E-1 | -0.015 | 2. $33 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.000 |
| Juv | -8.45E0 | 1.60E-1 | -0.019 | $-2.22 E-3$ | 0.000 |


| Par | in lin PAR. ES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { scale } \\ & \text { STD. ERR. } \end{aligned}$ | REL. ERR. | BIAS | REL. BIAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9.95 E 5 | 3.34E5 | 0.336 | 5.78 E 4 | 0.058 |
| 2 | 4.77E5 | 1.31E5 | 0.274 | 1.79 E 4 | 0.037 |
| 3 | 1.55E5 | 4.42 E 4 | 0.286 | 5.31 E 3 | 0.034 |
| 4 | 3.50E4 | $1.24 \mathrm{E4}$ | 0.353 | 1.46 E 3 | 0.042 |
| 5 | 4.58E4 | 1.40 E 4 | 0.307 | 1.55 E 3 | 0.034 |
| 6 | 2.32 E 4 | 8.33 E 3 | 0.359 | 9.32E2 | 0.040 |
| 7 | 1.01 E 4 | 3.96E3 | 0.390 | 4.76 E 2 | 0.047 |
| RV | 1.26E-5 | 1.87E-6 | 0.149 | 1.12E-7 | 0.009 |
|  | 2.32E-5 | 3. $42 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 0.147 | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-7$ | 0.009 |
|  | 3.12E-5 | 4.61E-6 | 0.148 | $3.35 \mathrm{E}-7$ | 0.011 |
|  | 4.23E-5 | 6. $34 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 0.150 | $5.73 E-7$ | 0.014 |
| CPUE | 7.51E-7 | 1.12E-7 | 0.149 | $6.70 \mathrm{E}-9$ | 0.009 |
|  | 8.65E-6 | $1.27 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 0.147 | 8.00E-8 | 0.009 |
|  | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-5$ | 3.95E-6 | 0.148 | $2.87 \mathrm{E}-7$ | 0.011 |
|  | $4.05 \mathrm{E}-5$ | 6.06E-6 | 0.150 | $5.49 \mathrm{E}-7$ | 0.014 |
| Juv | 2.14E-4 | 3.41E-5 | 0.160 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 0.011 |

VPA using analytical bias adjusted parameters (linear scale)

Table 9: Parameter estimates from ADAPT for Scotian Shelf silver hake using Canadian July RV survey (ages 1-4), foreign commercial CPUE (Ages 1-4) and O-group index (age 1)

Table 10: Population numbers from ADAPT analysis for Scotian Shelf silver hake. ('0000's)

Population Numbers

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1983.00 | 802518 | 1003332 | 341179 | 100480 | 29740 | 6636 | 1600 | 627 |
| 1984.00 | 1337656 | 533189 | 594108 | 183359 | 43873 | 10856 | 1768 | 426 |
| 1985.00 | 732317 | 848284 | 320271 | 232916 | 57145 | 14052 | 3877 | 372 |
| 1986.00 | 1795325 | 478722 | 462954 | 135896 | 55182 | 11607 | 2178 | 766 |
| 1987.00 | 777489 | 1166396 | 264115 | 129218 | 25433 | 14403 | 1076 | 344 |
| 1988.00 | 737261 | 515635 | 609057 | 86155 | 4351. | 6740 | 4848 | 444 |
| 1989.00 | 1075591 | 490046 | 294841 | 197555 | 26633 | 12320 | 2056 | 1556 |
| 1990.00 | 559672 | 701275 | 259215 | 72649 | 20962 | 2518 | 1096 | 179 |
| 1991.00 | 561591 | 369862 | 302065 | 61410 | 16338 | 4826 | 407 | 233 |
| 1992.00 | 655481 | 371781 | 154008 | 46281 | 5649 | 1631 | 252 | 49 |
| 1993.00 | 625405 | 433317 | 189179 | 45032 | 9290 | 1082 | 141 | 45 |
| 1994.00 | 450242 | 393598 | 223429 | 70384 | 3377 | 1912 | 258 | 38 |
| 1995.00 | 766817 | 300463 | 253063 | 121300 | 40024 | 1241 | 1160 | 158 |
| 1996.00 | 1124494 | 511167 | 172340 | 132834 | 56150 | 22637 | 467 | 730 |
| 1997.00 | 1417532 | 726558 | 268401 | 80416 | 70293 | 33645 | 14453 | 195 |
| 1998.00 |  | 937086 | 459413 | 149604 | 33579 | 44214 | 22278 | 9665 |

Table 11: Fishing mortality from ADAPT analysis for Scotian Shelf silver hake.

Fishing Mortality

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1983.00 | 0.009 | 0.124 | 0.221 | 0.429 | 0.608 | 0.922 | 0.923 | 0.653 |
| 1984.00 | 0.055 | 0.110 | 0.536 | 0.766 | 0.739 | 0.630 | 1.159 | 0.711 |
| 1985.00 | 0.025 | 0.206 | 0.457 | 1.040 | 1.194 | 1.464 | 1.222 | 1.233 |
| 1986.00 | 0.031 | 0.195 | 0.876 | 1.276 | 0.943 | 1.978 | 1.445 | 1.399 |
| 1987.00 | 0.011 | 0.250 | 0.720 | 0.688 | 0.928 | 0.689 | 0.486 | 0.768 |
| 1988.00 | 0.008 | 0.159 | 0.726 | 0.774 | 0.862 | 0.787 | 0.736 | 0.808 |
| 1989.00 | 0.028 | 0.237 | 1.001 | 1.843 | 1.959 | 2.020 | 2.040 | 1.941 |
| 1990.00 | 0.014 | 0.442 | 1.040 | 1.092 | 1.069 | 1.423 | 1.148 | 1.195 |
| 1991.00 | 0.012 | 0.476 | 1.476 | 1.986 | 1.904 | 2.551 | 1.714 | 2.147 |
| 1992.00 | 0.014 | 0.276 | 0.830 | 1.206 | 1.252 | 2.049 | 1.321 | 1.502 |
| 1993.00 | 0.063 | 0.262 | 0.589 | 2.190 | 1.181 | 1.036 | 0.901 | 1.469 |
| 1994.00 | 0.004 | 0.042 | 0.211 | 0.164 | 0.601 | 0.100 | 0.088 | 0.288 |
| 1995.00 | 0.006 | 0.156 | 0.245 | 0.370 | 0.170 | 0.577 | 0.062 | 0.372 |
| 1996.00 | 0.037 | 0.244 | 0.362 | 0.236 | 0.112 | 0.049 | 0.476 | 0.132 |
| 1997.00 | 0.014 | 0.058 | 0.184 | 0.473 | 0.064 | 0.012 | 0.002 | 0.183 |

Table 12: Population biomass from ADAPT analysis for Scotian Shelf silver hake. ('000 t)

| age |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1983-$ | 40126 | 90300 | 61412 | 23110 | 8327 | 2256 | 624 | 282 |
| 1984 | 66883 | 53319 | 89116 | 38505 | 10968 | 3474 | 672 | 213 |
| 1985 | 36616 | 84828 | 51243 | 44254 | 13143 | 3935 | 1473 | 182 |
| 1986 | 71813 | 47872 | 74073 | 28538 | 12692 | 3018 | 741 | 352 |
| 1987 | 23325 | 93312 | 42258 | 25844 | 6358 | 3889 | 377 | 141 |
| 1988 | 22118 | 41251 | 91359 | 17231 | 10008 | 1820 | 1648 | 209 |
| 1989 | 43024 | 39204 | 47175 | 39511 | 6658 | 3696 | 699 | 700 |
| 1990 | 22387 | 63115 | 41474 | 15256 | 4821 | 755 | 395 | 72 |
| 1991 | 16848 | 33288 | 48330 | 12282 | 3921 | 1303 | 159 | 100 |
| 1992 | 45884 | 29742 | 24641 | 9256 | 1356 | 440 | 86 | 22 |
| 1993 | 31270 | 38999 | 26485 | 8556 | 2044 | 292 | 47 | 15 |
| 1994 | 18010 | 31488 | 26811 | 11261 | 642 | 478 | 85 | 15 |
| 1995 | 38341 | 21032 | 30368 | 18195 | 7605 | 298 | 383 | 65 |
| 1996 | 33735 | 40893 | 20681 | 19925 | 10669 | 5659 | 187 | 307 |
| 1997 | 42526 | 43593 | 32208 | 12062 | 13356 | 8411 | 4914 | 92 |
| 1998 | 30000 | 65596 | 55130 | 22441 | 6380 | 11054 | 8020 | 4156 |

RV_ss
Age : 1
Ln calioration constant : -11.28301

| Year | Observed | Predicted | Residual | Ln Pop. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983.50 | 1. 43482 | 2.10806 | -0.67324 | 13.39107 |
| 1984.50 | 2.85934 | 2.59569 | 0.26365 | 13.87870 |
| 1985.50 | 1.32593 | 2.00841 | -0.68249 | 13.29142 |
| 1986.50 | 3.26722 | 2.90205 | 0.36516 | 14.18507 |
| 1987.50 | 2.63672 | 2.07550 | 0.56122 | 13.35851 |
| 1988.50 | 1.92375 | 2.02356 | -0.09981 | 13.30657 |
| 1989.50 | 2.55595 | 2.39194 | 0.16402 | 13.67495 |
| 1990.50 | 2.19139 | 1.74521 | 0.44618 | 13.02822 |
| 1991.50 | 1.37966 | 1.76337 | -0.38371 | 13.04638 |
| 1992.50 | 0.95365 | 1.91895 | -0.96530 | 13.20196 |
| 1993.50 | 2.43300 | 1.85036 | 0.58264 | 13.13337 |
| 1994.50 | 2.15551 | 1.54849 | 0.60701 | 12.83150 |
| 1995.50 | 2.20004 | 2.08707 | 0.11297 | 13.37008 |
| 1996.50 | 2.24203 | 2.46689 | -0.22486 | 13.74990 |
| 1997.50 | 2.66049 | 2.73389 | -0.07339 | 14.01690 |
| Average squared residual : |  |  | 0.23523 |  |

RV ss
Age : 2
In calibration constant : $\quad-10.67007$

| Year | Observed | Predicted | Residual | Ln Pop. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983.50 | 2.29619 | 2.88675 | -0.59056 | 13.55683 |
| 1984.50 | 1.87227 | 2.26170 | -0.38943 | 12.93178 |
| 1985.50 | 2.79404 | 2.67810 | 0.11594 | 13.34818 |
| 1986.50 | 1.99917 | 2.11144 | -0.11226 | 12.78151 |
| 1987.50 | 3.23402 | 2.97448 | 0.25954 | 13.64455 |
| 1988.50 | 2.16467 | 2.20362 | -0.03895 | 12.87369 |
| 1989.50 | 1.79388 | 2.11387 | -0.31999 | 12.78394 |
| 1990.50 | 2.44246 | 2.37001 | 0.07246 | 13.04008 |
| 1991.50 | 2.09093 | 1.71305 | 0.37788 | 12.38312 |
| 1992.50 | 1.72295 | 1.83456 | -0.11160 | 12.50463 |
| 1993.50 | 2.19578 | 1.99620 | 0.19958 | 12.66627 |
| 1994.50 | 1.72838 | 2.01191 | -0.28353 | 12.68198 |
| 1995.50 | 1.97614 | 1.68266 | 0.29348 | 12.35273 |
| 1996.50 | 2.83471 | 2.17829 | 0.65643 | 12.84836 |
| 1997.50 | 2.50506 | 2.63396 | -0.12890 | 13.30403 |
|  | ge squar | sidual : | 0.10081 |  |

RV ss
Age : 3
Ln calibration constant : -10.37423

| Year | Observed | Predicted | Residual | Ln Pop. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $-\mathbf{- 1 9 8 3 . 5 0}$ | 1.34134 | ----05546 | -0.71412 | 12.42968 |
| 1984.50 | 3.04106 | 2.45240 | 0.58867 | 12.82662 |
| 1985.50 | 1.22014 | 1.87406 | -0.65391 | 12.24829 |
| 1986.50 | 2.00156 | 2.03310 | -0.03154 | 12.40732 |
| 1987.50 | 1.44200 | 1.54980 | -0.10780 | 11.92402 |
| 1988.50 | 2.11217 | 2.38250 | -0.27032 | 12.75672 |
| 1989.50 | 0.83680 | 1.51960 | -0.68280 | 11.89383 |
| 1990.50 | 1.53508 | 1.37137 | 0.16371 | 11.74559 |
| 1991.50 | 1.25557 | 1.30792 | -0.05235 | 11.68215 |
| 1992.50 | 1.52008 | 0.95631 | 0.56377 | 11.33054 |
| 1993.50 | 1.84394 | 1.30759 | 0.53635 | 11.68181 |
| 1994.50 | 1.74462 | 1.66014 | 0.08448 | 12.03437 |
| 1995.50 | 2.11120 | 1.76778 | 0.34342 | 12.14201 |
| 1996.50 | 1.74485 | 1.32481 | 0.42004 | 11.69904 |
| 1997.50 | 1.67826 | 1.86576 | -0.18750 | 12.23998 |
|  | Average squared residual $:$ | 0.18718 |  |  |

Table 13: Age by age observed, predicted, and residuals from ADAPT analysis.

RV_ss
Age : 4
Ln calibration constant : -10.07159

| Year | Observed | Predicted | Residual | Ln Pop. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983.50 | 0.64165 | 1.03180 | -0.39015 | 11.10339 |
| 1984.50 | 1. 37632 | 1.46468 | -0.08836 | 11.53627 |
| 1985.50 | 1.99892 | 1.56682 | 0.43210 | 11.63841 |
| 1986.50 | 0.81729 | 0.91014 | -0.09285 | 10.98173 |
| 1987.50 | 0.62122 | 1.15343 | -0.53222 | 11.22502 |
| 1988.50 | 0.52861 | 0.70531 | -0.17671 | 10.77690 |
| 1989.50 | 0.26331 | 1.00055 | -0.73724 | 11.07214 |
| 1990.50 | 0.32623 | 0.37584 | -0.04961 | 10.44743 |
| 1991.50 | 0.27496 | -0.23814 | 0.51310 | 9.83345 |
| 1992.50 | 0.10227 | -0.12630 | 0.22857 | 9.94529 |
| 1993.50 | 1.00392 | -0.64808 | 1.65200 | 9.42351 |
| 1994.50 | 0.93037 | 0.84363 | 0.08674 | 10.91522 |
| 1995.50 | 1.73439 | 1.28010 | 0.45429 | 11.35169 |
| 1996.50 | 1.45823 | 1.43599 | 0.02224 | 11.50759 |
| 1997.50 | -0.50022 | 0.82153 | -1.32175 | 10.89312 |
|  | ge square | sidual : | 0.41479 |  |

newcpue
Age :
In calibration constant : -14.10149

| Year | Observed | Predicted | Residual | Ln Pop. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983.50 | -1.24827 | -0.71042 | -0.53785 | 13.39107 |
| 1984.50 | 0.85739 | -0.22279 | 1.08018 | 13.87870 |
| 1985.50 | -0.58161 | -0.81007 | 0.22846 | 13.29142 |
| 1986.50 | 0.65180 | 0.08357 | 0.56823 | 14.18507 |
| 1987.50 | -1.19402 | -0.74298 | -0.45104 | 13.35851 |
| 1988.50 | -1.64507 | -0.79492 | -0.85014 | 13.30657 |
| 1989.50 | 0.07046 | -0.42654 | 0.49700 | 13.67495 |
| 1990.50 | -1.74297 | -1.07327 | -0.66970 | 13.02822 |
| 1991.50 | -1.93794 | -1.05511 | -0.88283 | 13.04638 |
| 1992.50 | -1.17441 | -0.89953 | -0.27489 | 13.20196 |
| 1993.50 | 0.43825 | -0.96812 | 1.40637 | 13.13337 |
| 1994.50 | -1.19402 | -1.26999 | 0.07596 | 12.83150 |
| 1995.50 | -1.22758 | -0.73141 | -0.49617 | 13.37008 |
| 1996.50 | 0.46813 | -0.35159 | 0.81972 | 13.74990 |
| 1997.50 | -0.59784 | -0.08459 | -0.51324 | 14.01690 |
|  | age squar | idual : | 0.49820 |  |

newcpue
Age :
Age : 2
Ln calibration constant : -11.65753

| Year | Observed | Predicted |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| --1983.50 | 1.55730 | 1.89930 |
| 1984.50 | 0.59498 | 1.27425 |
| 1985.50 | 1.58576 | 1.69065 |
| 1986.50 | 1.08451 | 1.12398 |
| 1987.50 | 2.25654 | 1.98702 |
| 1988.50 | 0.86120 | 1.21616 |
| 1989.50 | 1.33368 | 1.12641 |
| 1990.50 | 1.72669 | 1.38255 |
| 1991.50 | 1.14613 | 0.72559 |
| 1992.50 | 1.12655 | 0.84710 |
| 1993.50 | 1.40659 | 1.00874 |
| 1994.50 | 0.89118 | 1.02445 |
| 1995.50 | 1.10194 | 0.69520 |
| 1996.50 | 1.50252 | 1.19083 |
| 1997.50 | 0.66320 | 1.64650 |


| Residual | Ln Pop. |
| ---: | ---: |
| -------9.0 |  |
| -0.34199 | 13.55683 |
| -0.67926 | 12.93178 |
| -0.10489 | 13.34818 |
| -0.03947 | 12.78151 |
| 0.26952 | 13.64455 |
| -0.35496 | 12.87369 |
| 0.20728 | 12.78394 |
| 0.34414 | 13.04008 |
| 0.42054 | 12.38312 |
| 0.27945 | 12.50463 |
| 0.39785 | 12.66627 |
| -0.13327 | 12.68198 |
| 0.40674 | 12.35273 |
| 0.31169 | 12.84836 |
| -0.98330 | 13.30403 |

Average squared residual : 0.17409

Table 13 (cont): Age by age observed, predicted, and residuals from ADAPT analysis.
newcpue
Age : 3
Ln calibration constant : -10.52949

| Year | Observed | Predicted | Residual | Ln Pop. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ---1983.50 | 1.01233 | 1.90019 | -0.88786 | 12.42968 |
| 1984.50 | 2.10243 | 2.29713 | -0.19471 | 12.82662 |
| 1985.50 | 1.30046 | 1.71879 | -0.41833 | 12.24829 |
| 1986.50 | 2.26644 | 1.87783 | 0.38861 | 12.40732 |
| 1987.50 | 1.62924 | 1.39453 | 0.23471 | 11.92402 |
| 1988.50 | 2.30249 | 2.22723 | 0.07525 | 12.75672 |
| 1989.50 | 1.94834 | 1.36433 | 0.58400 | 11.89383 |
| 1990.50 | 1.34313 | 1.21610 | 0.12703 | 11.74559 |
| 1991.50 | 1.68584 | 1.15265 | 0.53319 | 11.68215 |
| 1992.50 | 1.11350 | 0.80104 | 0.31246 | 11.33054 |
| 1993.50 | 1.24502 | 1.15232 | 0.09270 | 11.68181 |
| 1994.50 | 1.86872 | 1.50488 | 0.36384 | 12.03437 |
| 1995.50 | 1.34077 | 1.61251 | -0.27174 | 12.14201 |
| 1996.50 | 0.84972 | 1.16955 | -0.31982 | 11.69904 |
| 1997.50 | 1.09125 | 1.71049 | -0.61924 | 12.23998 |

Average squared residual : 0.17684
newcpue
Age : 4
Ln calibration constant : $\quad-10.11541$

| Year | Observed | Predicted |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -1983.50 | 0.36116 | 0.98798 |
| 1984.50 | 1.18784 | 1.42086 |
| 1985.50 | 1.56674 | 1.52300 |
| 1986.50 | 1.26413 | 0.86632 |
| 1987.50 | 0.88211 | 1.10961 |
| 1988.50 | 0.39137 | 0.66149 |
| 1989.50 | 1.85848 | 0.95673 |
| 1990.50 | 0.09985 | 0.33202 |
| 1991.50 | 0.21914 | -0.28196 |
| 1992.50 | 0.13976 | -0.17012 |
| 1993.50 | 0.54754 | -0.69190 |
| 1994.50 | 0.48612 | 0.79981 |
| 1995.50 | 0.96470 | 1.23628 |
| 1996.50 | 0.18065 | 1.39217 |
| 1997.50 | 0.77057 | 0.77771 |


| Residual | Ln Pop. |
| ---: | ---: |
| --0.62681 | 11.10339 |
| -0.23302 | 11.53627 |
| 0.04374 | 11.63841 |
| 0.39780 | 10.98173 |
| -0.22750 | 11.22502 |
| -0.27013 | 10.77690 |
| 0.90175 | 11.07214 |
| -0.23218 | 10.44743 |
| 0.50109 | 9.83345 |
| 0.30988 | 9.94529 |
| 1.23944 | 9.42351 |
| -0.31369 | 10.91522 |
| -0.27158 | 11.35169 |
| -1.21152 | 11.50759 |
| -0.00714 | 10.89312 |
| 0.34150 |  |

JUV
Age : 1
Ln calibration constant : $\quad-8.45160$


Table 13 (cont): Age by age observed, predicted, and residuals from ADAPT analysis.

Table 14: Summary of residuals from ADAPT analysis.

age | $R \mathrm{RV}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1983.5 | -0.67324 | -0.59056 | -0.71412 | -0.39015 |
| 1984.5 | 0.263645 | -0.38943 | 0.588666 | -0.08836 |
| 1985.5 | -0.68249 | 0.11594 | -0.65391 | 0.4321 |
| 1986.5 | 0.365162 | -0.11226 | -0.03154 | -0.09285 |
| 1987.5 | 0.56122 | 0.259542 | -0.1078 | -0.53222 |
| 1988.5 | -0.09981 | -0.03895 | -0.27032 | -0.17671 |
| 1989.5 | 0.164016 | -0.31999 | -0.6828 | -0.73724 |
| 1990.5 | 0.446179 | 0.072455 | 0.163712 | -0.04961 |
| 1991.5 | -0.38371 | 0.377879 | -0.05235 | 0.513098 |
| 1992.5 | -0.9653 | -0.1116 | 0.563769 | 0.228567 |
| 1993.5 | 0.58264 | 0.199579 | 0.536352 | 1.651998 |
| 1994.5 | 0.67015 | -0.28353 | 0.084476 | 0.086741 |
| 1995.5 | 0.112973 | 0.293479 | 0.343423 | 0.454288 |
| 1996.5 | -0.22486 | 0.656425 | 0.420039 | 0.022236 |
| 1997.5 | -0.07339 | -0.1289 | -0.1875 | -1.32175 |

| crue |  |  |  | Juv |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| -0.53785 | -0.34199 | -0.88786 | -0.62681 |  |
| 1.08018 | -0.67926 | -0.19471 | -0.23302 | -0.20723 |
| 0.22846 | -0.10489 | -0.41833 | 0.04374 | -1.28191 |
| 0.568229 | -0.03947 | 0.388611 | 0.397804 | -0.29731 |
| -0.45104 | 0.26952 | 0.234711 | -0.2275 | 0.176029 |
| -0.85014 | -0.35496 | 0.075254 | -0.27013 | -0.43421 |
| 0.497001 | 0.207276 | 0.584002 | 0.901753 | -0.11517 |
| -0.6697 | 0.344138 | 0.127026 | -0.23218 | 0.095279 |
| -0.88283 | 0.420543 | 0.53319 | 0.501094 | 0.432324 |
| -0.27489 | 0.279452 | 0.31246 | 0.309881 | -0.59283 |
| 1.406373 | 0.397847 | 0.092698 | 1.239442 | 0.646337 |
| 0.075964 | -0.13327 | 0.363844 | -0.31369 |  |
| -0.49617 | 0.406741 | -0.27174 | -0.27158 | 0.408237 |
| 0.819716 | 0.311693 | -0.31982 | -1.21152 | 0.580006 |
| -0.51324 | -0.9833 | -0.61924 | -0.00714 | 0.590498 |

Table 15: Comparisons of 1997 estimates of population numbers from ADAPT to initial estimates from retrospective analysis, age by age.

1997 est initial est proportion avg

| age 1 | 1992 | 655481 | 408486 | 1.60 | 0.83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1993 | 625405 | 1974101 | 0.32 |  |
|  | 1994 | 450242 | 807562 | 0.56 |  |
|  | 1995 | 766817 | 873808 | 0.88 |  |
|  | 1996 | 1417532 | 1839170 | 0.77 |  |
| age 2 | 1992 | 371781 | 372103 | 1.00 | 0.80 |
|  | 1993 | 433317 | 430284 | 1.01 |  |
|  | 1994 | 393598 | 642607 | 0.61 |  |
|  | 1995 | 300463 | 500176 | 0.60 |  |
|  | 1996 | 511167 | 674958 | 0.76 |  |
| age 3 | 1992 | 154008 | 223267 | 0.69 | 0.79 |
|  | 1993 | 189179 | 210860 | 0.90 |  |
|  | 1994 | 223429 | 237042 | 0.94 |  |
|  | 1995 | 253063 | 357916 | 0.71 |  |
|  | 1996 | 172340 | 248769 | 0.69 |  |
| age 4 | 1992 | 46281 | 64881 | 0.71 | 0.77 |
|  | 1993 | 45032 | 94005 | 0.48 |  |
|  | 1994 | 70384 | 83008 | 0.85 |  |
|  | 1995 | 121300 | 136061 | 0.89 |  |
|  | 1996 | 132834 | 145098 | 0.92 |  |
| age 5 | 1992 | 5649 | 14728 | 0.38 | 0.53 |
|  | 1993 | 9290 | 20331 | 0.46 |  |
|  | 1994 | 3377 | 34387 | 0.10 |  |
|  | 1995 | 40024 | 47018 | 0.85 |  |
|  | 1996 | 56150 | 64711 | 0.87 |  |
| age 6 | 1992 | 1631 | 2213 | 0.74 | 0.40 |
|  | 1993 | 1082 | 7147 | 0.15 |  |
|  | 1994 | 1912 | 8629 | 0.22 |  |
|  | 1995 | 1241 | 20777 | 0.06 |  |
|  | 1996 | 22637 | 26583 | 0.85 |  |
| age 7 | 1992 | 252 | 7065 | 0.04 | 0.56 |
|  | 1993 | 141 | 187 | 0.75 |  |
|  | 1994 | 258 | 146 | 1.77 |  |
|  | 1995 | 1160 | 4992 | 0.23 |  |
|  | 1996 | 467 | 13039 | 0.04 |  |
| age 8 | 1992 | 49 | 77 | 0.64 | 0.53 |
|  | 1993 | 45 | 118 | 0.38 |  |
|  | 1994 | 38 | 146 | 0.26 |  |
|  | 1995 | 158 | 326 | 0.48 |  |
|  | 1996 | 730 | 818 | 0.89 |  |

Table 16: Estimates of spawning stock biomass, recruitments, and exploitation rate from ADAPT analysis.

| year | SSB | age 1 \#'s | exploitation <br> rate (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 121689 | 802519 | 29 |
| 1984 | 145152 | 1337660 | 42 |
| 1985 | 177022 | 732326 | 50 |
| 1986 | 171289 | 1795348 | 55 |
| 1987 | 142880 | 777551 | 46 |
| 1988 | 133887 | 737598 | 46 |
| 1989 | 155014 | 1077964 | 69 |
| 1990 | 126807 | 560411 | 56 |
| 1991 | 101708 | 595884 | 73 |
| 1992 | 84686 | 704780 | 57 |
| 1993 | 54513 | 692148 | 63 |
| 1994 | 57277 | 493858 | 23 |
| 1995 | 62011 | 837317 | 19 |
| 1996 | 80636 | 1246202 | 18 |
| 1997 | 86433 | 1539090 | 18 |
| 1998 | 117663 |  |  |



Fig. 1: Scotian shelf silver hake fishing areas.



Fig. 2: Catches historical and recent catches of Scotian Shelf silver hake by Canada and foreign vessels.


Fig 3: Monthly catch composition by length for Canadian and foreign fishing vessels. Canadian data from Industry, foreign data from Canadian observers.

## silver hake - summer rv length dist'n

'inshore' strata vs 'offshore'


Fig. 4: Length composition of silver hake from July surveys, aggregated by inshore and offshore strata, 1970-1997.

## silver hake l/f - proportion male

 Canadian fishery

Fig. 5: Ratio of male to female Scotian Shelf silver hake, based on observer samples from Emerald Basin and LaHave Basins, collected in 1995 and 1996.


## commercial mean weight at age



Fig. 6: Commercial mean weight-at-age for Scotian Shelf silver hake


Fig. 7: Commercial catch rate by foreign vessels, 1979-98 for Scotian Shelf silver hake, from Canadian observer data


Fig. 8: Commercial catch rate by Canadian vessels, 1984-98 for Scotian Shelf silver hake, from Canadian observer data and commercial landings.


Fig. 9: Silver hake distribution (numbers) aggregated by season, from ECNSAP data set, 1970-1994


Including Bay of Fundy strata


Excluding Bay of Fundy strata

Fig. 10: Contour plot of July RV numbers at age for Scotian Shelf silver hake, including and excluding Bay of Fundy strata.


Fig. 11: Silver hake abundance and biomass estimates from Canadian July RV survey, 1970-1998 for Scotian Shelf strata 440-483 (excludes Bay of Fundy).


Fig. 12: Calculated weight-at-age for Scotian Shelf silver hake, from Canadian summer survey data, incorporating condidtion and mean length at age.
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Fig. 13: Contour plots of silver hake numbers at age, over time, from Canadian July surveys and age disaggregated CPUE from the foreign silver hake fishery.
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Fig. 14: Plots of residuals from ADAPT analysis, for RV survey
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Fig. 15: Plots of residuals from ADAPT analysis, for foreign CPUE series and O-group survey.


Fig. 16: 'Bubble plot' of residuals from ADAPT analysis. Plus signs indicate positive residuals, circles negative residuals. Magnitude of residual reflected in size of symbol.


Fig. 17: Retrospective analysis of population numbers estimated by ADAPT for Scotian Shelf silver hake, ages 1-4.


Fig. 18: Retrospective analysis of average fishing mortality for ages $3-5$ estimated by ADAPT, for Scotian Shelf silver hake.


Fig. 19: Estimates of total mortality for Scotian Shelf silver hake from July RV surveys, grouped by ages 1-2, 3-5 and 6-8.


Fig. 20: Exploitation rate and biomass change at various levels of yield for Scotian Shelf silver hake.


[^0]:    ' no survey in 1992.

