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#### Abstract

Combined Canada/USA landings of yellowtail flounder on Georges Bank have been increasing over the past three years, and population biomass has been increasing since 1995. Other measures of stock abundance such as fishery catch rates and survey size composition support the view that the resource is recovering. Results from surplus production analyses suggest that total population biomass is approaching half the level that can produce maximum sustainable yield. Exploitation rates have been low during the past three years. Recent recruitment is improved relative to the 1980s, but is poorer than in the 1960s. With combined Canada/USA catches of 1800 t in 1998 (equivalent to total catches in 1997), there is negligible risk of exceeding $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$, and a high probability that the population biomass will continue to increase. Recent management measures by both the USA and Canada have had the desired effect of rebuilding the population.


## Résumé

Les débarquements totaux de limande à queue jaune du Canada et des États-Unis en provenance du banc Georges ont augmenté au cours des trois dernières années et la biomasse de cette population est à la hausse depuis 1995. Les autres indices de l'abondance du stock, comme les taux de capture et la composition des tailles appuient l'hypothèse selon laquelle il y a rétablissement de la ressource. Les analyses de la production excédentaire portent à croire que la biomasse de la population totale se rapproche de la moitié du niveau du rendement maximum soutenu. Les taux d'exploitation ont été faibles au cours des trois dernières années. Le recrutement des dernières années est supérieur à celui des années 1980, mais plus faible que celui des années 1960. Les prises totales du Canada et des États-Unis n'ayant atteint que 1800 t en 1998 (ce qui équivaut au total de 1997), le risque de dépasser le niveau $\mathrm{F}_{0,1}$ est pratiquement nul et il y a une forte probabilité que la biomasse de la population continue de s'accroître. Des mesures de gestion récemment prises par les deux pays ont eu l'effet escompté, qui était d'obtenir le rétablissement de la population.

## Introduction

Yellowtail flounder (Limanda ferruginea) range from Labrador to Chesapeake Bay and are typically caught at depths between 37 and 73 m , and a major concentration occurs on Georges Bank from the NE peak to the east of the Great South Channel. Yellowtail flounder appear to be relatively sedentary, although seasonal movements have been reported (Royce et al. 1959). Spawning occurs during spring and summer, peaking in May. Larvae are pelagic for a month or more, then develop demersal form and settle to benthic habitats. Growth is sexually dimorphic, with females growing at a faster rate than males (Moseley 1986). Based on tagging investigations (Royce et al. 1959; Lux 1963), the management unit is considered to include Georges Bank encompassing statistical areas $5 \mathrm{Zj}, 5 \mathrm{Zm}, 5 \mathrm{Zn}$ and 5 Zh (Fig. 1). Thus, the management unit is transboundary in nature. Both the USA and Canada employ the same convention for the management unit.

The Georges Bank yellowtail stock has been assessed for the last four decades using yield-per-recruit analyses and various models for estimating abundance and mortality from catch and survey data. Results have shown that the instantaneous rate of fishing mortality ( $F$ ) has exceeded the level of maximum yield-per-recruit ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ ) since the late 1950s (Brown and Hennemuth 1971, Pentilla and Brown 1973, Sissenwine et al. 1978, Clark et al. 1981, Collie and Sissenwine 1983, McBride and Clark 1983, McBride 1989). Virtual population analysis (VPA) calibrated with survey indices of cohort abundance (Conser et al. 1991, Rago et al. 1994) confirmed that F greatly exceeded overfishing reference points. The 1994 assessment showed that the stock had collapsed and F needed to be substantially reduced to rebuild spawning stock biomass (SSB) (NEFSC 1994a). An updated analysis of combined U.S. and Canadian catch and survey indices confirmed historical patterns of stock abundance and $F$, but indicated that $F$ decreased in 1995 (Gavaris et al. 1996). Projections based on updated landings and survey information suggested that F decreased and SSB was increasing (NEFMC 1996). Recently, a VPA and biomass dynamics modelling based assessment confirmed that biomass was increasing and recent F levels were comparatively low (Neilson et al. 1997).

The most recent Canadian and USA perspectives on resource status are combined here in a single assessment document. This current assessment addresses the following terms of reference:
a. Update the status of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder through 1997 and characterize the variability of estimates of stock size and fishing mortality rates (of interest for both countries).
b. Provide projected estimates of catch for 1998-1999 and spawning stock biomass for 1999-2000 at various levels of F (Canadian management requires short-term forecasts only, whereas USA requires two year forecasts).
c. Review existing biological reference points and advise on new reference points for Georges Bank yellowtail flounder (a requirement for the United States Sustainable Fisheries Act (SFA)).
d. Provide projected estimates of $F$ for 1998 and beginning of year adult biomass for 1999 at various levels of yield in 1998. Characterize the risk of exceeding $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ and the risk of not achieving $0 \%, 10 \%$ and $20 \%$ adult biomass increase for the various levels of yield in 1998 (of interest for both countries).
e. Provide a historical perspective for current stock status and production (of interest for both countries).

## The Fisheries

Reported landings of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder from 1935 to the present are shown in Fig.2. Landings, which have been predominantly taken by the U.S. fleet, gradually increased to $7,300 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1949, decreased in the early 1950 s to $1,600 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1956, and increased again in the late 1950s. Annual landings averaged $16,300 \mathrm{mt}$ during 1962-1976, with some taken by distant water fleets. No foreign landings of yellowtail have occurred since 1975. U.S. landings declined to approximately $6,000 \mathrm{mt}$ between 1978 and 1981. Strong recruitment and intense fishing effort produced greater than $10,500 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1982 and 1983. In every year since 1985 , landings have been $3,000 \mathrm{mt}$ or less. U.S. landings fell to a low of $1,100 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1989, averaged 2,200 from 1990 to 1994 and dropped to record lows of 200 mt in 1995, then increased to $1,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1997.

The principle fishing gear used in the USA fishery to catch yellowtail flounder is the otter trawl, but scallop dredges and sink gillnets contribute some landings. In recent years, otter trawls caught greater than $95 \%$ of total landings from the Georges Bank stock, dredges caught $2-5 \%$ of annual totals, and gillnet landings were less than $0.1 \%$. Current levels of recreational and foreign fishing are negligible. Discarding of small yellowtail is an important source of mortality due to intense fishing pressure, discrepancies between minimum size limits and gear selectivity, and recently imposed trip limits for the scallop dredge fishery. U.S. trawlers that land yellowtail flounder generally target multiple species on the 'Southwest Part' of the Bank, on the northern edge, and just east of the closed area adjacent to the international boundary. Methods of estimating U.S. discards described in NEFSC (1997) indicate that 1997 discards were approximately 100 mt .

Over the past 25 years, the USA fishery for yellowtail flounder has been managed using several strategies. From 1971 to 1976, national quotas were allocated by the International Commission for Northwest Atlantic Fisheries. Minimum mesh size, area closures, and trip limits were imposed through the New England Fishery Management Council's Atlantic Groundfish Fishery Management Plan from 1977 to 1982. In 1982, the Council adopted an Interim Groundfish Plan, which established a minimum size limit of 28 cm ( 11 in ). In 1986, the Council's Multispecies Fishery Management Plan increased the minimum legal size to 30 cm ( 12 in), increased minimum mesh size to 140 mm ( 5.5 in ), and imposed seasonal closures. Amendment 4 to the Plan further increased the minimum legal size to 33 cm (13 in) in 1989. Amendments 5 and 7, in 1995 and 1996, limited days at sea, closed areas year-round, further
increased minimum mesh size to 142 mm ( 6 in diamond or square) and imposed trip limits for groundfish bycatch in the sea scallop fishery.

The Canadian fishery for yellowtail flounder is directed and began in 1993. Prior to 1993, Canadian landings were small, typically less than 100 t (Table 1, Fig. 2). Peak landings of 1328 t of yellowtail occurred in 1994 when the fishery was unrestricted. After a TAC of 400 t was established, yellowtail landings dropped to 397 t in 1995. In 1997, landings of yellowtail flounder were 809 t against a quota of 800 t (Table 2).

Flatfish landed as "unspecified" in the Canadian fishery have been significant in previous years, and generally consist of yellowtail on Georges Bank. To estimate the proportion of unspecified flatfish that were actually yellowtail in 1997, we calculated the ratio of known yellowtail to the sum of known winter flounder, American plaice and yellowtail flounder caught by month and unit area. For otter trawl landings, the ratio was relatively constant over the months of the fishery, and the values of 0.31 and 0.92 were used for 5 Zj and 5 Zm , respectively. The unspecified flounder problem has been considerably reduced over time, due to improved monitoring of the landings. In 1997, only 32 t of unspecified flounder were landed. Table 1 shows the total Canadian yellowtail landings, which includes both the specified yellowtail flounder plus the assumed yellowtail flounder, calculated as described above.

The majority of Canadian landings of yellowtail flounder are made by otter trawl, from vessels less than 65 ft , tonnage classes 2 and 3. The fishery takes place from June to December, with peak months for fishing activity occurring from July to October in 1997. The number of vessels participating in the fishery was about 55 in 1994, and dropped to about 40 in 1995 because of a requirement for participants to have a catch history of greater than 5 t of yellowtail flounder. About 45 vessels participated in the fishery in 1996 and 1997. Industry representatives indicated that about half the fleet fished 140 mm square mesh gear in 1994, with one quarter fishing 130 mm square mesh and one quarter fishing 155 square mesh. By agreement among those participating in the Canadian fishery, only 155 mm square mesh gear was used from 1995 to 1997. The same rigging of the foot gear was used from 1994 to 1997.

A trip limit of $17,000 \mathrm{lb}$. was imposed by industry in 1995 to equitably share the reduced quota among eligible participants. In 1996 and 1997, no trip limit was in place, and the quota was allocated based on previous catch history.

The Canadian yellowtail directed fishing activity was concentrated in the southern half of the Canadian fishing zone, in the portion of 5 Zm referred to as the "Yellowtail Hole" The distribution of fishing activity over the past four years is shown on Fig. 3. Comments from industry have indicated that the area where good rates are encountered expanded slightly from 1996 to 1997. Fig. 3 shows that the distribution of the fishery appears to have spread to the west relative to 1995 and 1996.

In previous years, there have been some landings of yellowtail flounder in the Canadian scallop fishery on Georges Bank. Management measures established in 1996 prohibit the landing of yellowtail flounder by this fleet, and no records of discarded quantities are available for 1997.

This represents a source of mortality for this resource that is of unknown magnitude, and efforts are required to quantify discarded catches. In 1996, at sea observer records estimated the amount of discarded yellowtail flounder as 11 t.

## Age and Length Composition

Sampling information for 1997 is summarized in Table 2. In general, sampling of the fishery by both countries has been inadequate. For the United States, very few length measurements are available to characterize the fishery during the third and fourth quarters of 1997. Canada has more length measurements available through that period, but no age determinations have been made (Canada collects age determination material, but the age determination program is not yet operational). The low number of age determinations available has hampered the development of reliable age length keys. This problem has also been noted in the most recent assessment.

A problem with the Canadian sea samples was detected in 1997. When the length composition information from the sea samples was compared with those obtained from the port sampling program, discrepancies were apparent (Fig. 4). We attribute these differences to problems of flatfish species and sex identifications within the at sea observer program. Given such potential errors, we elected to characterize the Canadian landings using the length measurements obtained from the port sampling program.

The commercial fishery length composition for the USA is shown in Fig. 5. Comparable information for Canada is given in Fig. 6. As can be seen, the average size of the commercial landings has increased in the Canadian fishery from 1994 to 1997. However, such trends in average size are less apparent in the USA fishery. The Canadian fishery age composition in 1997 is contrasted to the previous year in Fig. 7. The modal age in 1997 was four, compared with three years in 1996. The USA age composition also demonstrated a trend of increasing age in the catch (Fig. 7)

The combined catch at age and weight at age information for both countries is shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

## Abundance Indices

## Commercial Fishery Catch Rates

Catch (t) and effort (h) for less than 65 ft Canadian otter trawlers fishing for yellowtail flounder in 1993-97 were summarized on a trip basis. Initial examination of the trip records showed a large proportion of trips with very small amounts of yellowtail in the total catch. These trips were not considered to be representative of yellowtail directed effort, and therefore only trips with reported landings of more than $500 \mathrm{~kg}(1100 \mathrm{lb}$.) were included in the CPUE estimates. As well, only vessels with reported landings in two or more years in 1993-97 were included in the analysis. Examination of the spatial distribution of effort showed highest concentrations in
the area described by fishermen as the "Yellowtail Hole" located in the southeast part of the bank and adjacent to the Canada-USA boundary (Fig. 3). Therefore, only landings and effort from the Yellowtail Hole were included in the analysis.

Yellowtail landings and effort for trips were aggregated by month and year and monthly catch rates ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{h}$ ) are shown in Fig. 8. The catch rate decreased between 1993 and 1994 but increased by a factor of over two between 1994 and 1995 and increased further in 1996 and 1997. This is consistent with industry observations of increasing catch rates in the last three years. The increase from 1996 to 1997 appears to be smaller than in the preceding years.

Substantial gear changes occurred in the fishery between 1993 and 1994 with the introduction of 'flounder gear' which uses a small diameter footgear. Changes in mesh size also occurred, as described earlier. However, fishing practices have been relatively constant since 1994. While catch rates may prove to be useful as an index of abundance for this resource, the time series is too short to be included directly in the assessment at present.

## Research Vessel Surveys

Bottom trawl surveys are conducted annually on Georges Bank by the Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) in spring and by the NMFS in spring and fall. Both agencies use a stratified random design, though different strata boundaries are defined (Fig. 9). USA spring and autumn bottom trawl survey catches (strata 13-21), USA scallop survey catches (strata 54-74, Fig. 9), and Canadian bottom trawl survey catches (strata 5Z1-5Z4, Fig. 9) were used to estimate relative stock biomass and relative abundance at age for Georges Bank yellowtail. Standardization coefficients, which compensate for survey door, vessel, and net changes in USA groundfish surveys ( 1.22 for old doors, 0.85 for the Delaware II, and 1.76 for the 'Yankee 41' net; Rago et al. 1994) were applied to the catch of each tow.

Aging of DFO survey samples has not been done and therefore age sampling from the corresponding NMFS spring survey was used to obtain abundance indices by age. Males and females were treated separately and then combined for the index at age. However, the small number of fish aged in some years and the further partitioning of the age length key by sex resulted in low precision for the estimates.

Results from the Canadian and USA spring surveys are shown on Fig. 10 and Tables 5-6. The USA and Canadian survey series show good concurrence. The surveys indicated low abundance in the late 1980s, but have been following an increasing trend since then. USA age sampling was not available at the time of writing to apply against the 1998 DFO results. In 1997, the Canadian survey index was at the highest value recorded in the series. The 1998 survey index was down somewhat, but still follows an increasing trend since 1995.

The U.S. fall survey series is the longest available for this resource. In general, the series follows the same trends indicated by the spring series (Table 7, Fig. 11), but the indication of the start of resource rebuilding was not apparent until 1996.

The most recent geographic distribution of the survey catches is shown in relation to the previous 5 -yr mean in Figs. $12-14$ for the Canadian Spring, USA spring and USA fall surveys. The Canadian survey suggest that the resource has expanded beyond the area associated with the highest catch rates in the past, consistent with observations from the fishery (Fig. 12). The spring USA survey encountered the largest catches of yellowtail flounder in the Yellowtail Hole of 5 Zm (Fig. 13.) The USA fall 1997 survey had a similar distribution of survey catches, but the set density in areas of key yellowtail flounder habitat was low.

Consistent with the indications from the commercial fishery, the average size of the fish in the research survey catches has been increasing (see Fig. 15 and 16 for Canada and USA spring survey results, respectively.

USA scallop survey indices of yellowtail abundance at age were also evaluated. The survey indices were delta transformed (Pennington 1986), because there is a high proportion of tows with no yellowtail catch. The age-1 index from the NEFSC scallop survey was revised to address concerns about catchability estimates. Previous assessments, which used age data from the fall survey to characterize catches from the scallop survey, had a problematic pattern to catchability estimates (NEFSC 1997). Inspection of catch at length from the scallop survey and the range of length at age-1 from the fall survey suggests that age-1 yellowtail grow substantially between the scallop and autumn surveys. Using the fall age data appears to classify many age- 2 fish as age- 1 , inflating the age- 1 index, and reducing the age- 2 index. The age- 1 index was revised to reflect the total catch of yellowtail in the smallest length mode, which was fairly well defined and stable (generally 9 to 23 cm ). The revised scallop age-1 index has generally increased since the early 1990s (Table 8).

## ESTIMATION OF STOCK PARAMETERS

Low levels of sampling and contradictions among sources of information on relative yearclass strength indicate that there is a great deal of uncertainty in estimates of catch at age in recent years. Therefore, two methods of analysis were updated from the previous assessment: the traditional age-structured virtual population analysis (VPA) and the surplus production model, as a confirmatory analysis that does not rely on age structure information.

## Virtual Population Analysis

The adaptive framework, ADAPT (Gavaris 1988), was used to calibrate the VPA with the research survey abundance trend results. The model formulation employed assumed that the error in the catch at age was negligible. The error in the survey abundance indices was assumed to be independent and identically distributed after taking natural logarithms of the values. The annual natural mortality rate, M , was assumed constant and equal to 0.2 . A model formulation using as parameters the $\ln$ population abundance at the beginning of the year following the terminal year for which catch at age is available was considered (Gavaris 1993). The following model parameters were defined:
$\theta_{a, 1997}=\ln$ population abundance
for ages $a=1$ to 6 at the beginning of year 1997

$$
q_{s, a}=\ln \text { calibration constants }
$$

for each survey source $s$ and relevant ages $a$
ADAPT was used to solve for the parameters by minimizing the sum of squared differences between the $\ln$ observed abundance indices and the $\ln$ population abundance adjusted for catchability by the calibration constants. The objective function for minimization was defined as

$$
\underset{s, a, t}{\Psi}(\theta, q)=\sum_{s, a, t}\left(\ln I_{s, a, t}-q_{s, a}+\ln N_{a, t}(\theta)\right)^{2}
$$

for time $t$

For convenience, the population abundance $N_{a, t}(\theta)$ is abbreviated by $N_{a, t}$. At the beginning of the year 1997, i.e. $t=1997$, the population abundance for ages 2-5 was obtained directly from the parameter estimates, $N_{a, 1997}=e^{\theta_{0,1987}}$. The population abundance for ages $6+$ were calculated assuming that the fishing mortality for these was equal to the average fishing mortality on ages 4 and 5. The population abundance was computed using the virtual population analysis algorithm which incorporates the exponential decay model

$$
N_{a+\Delta t, t+\Delta t}=N_{a, t} e^{-\left(F_{a, t}+M_{a}\right) \Delta t}
$$

Year was used as the unit of time, therefore ages were expressed as years and the fishing and natural mortality rates were annual instantaneous rates. The fishing mortality rate exerted during the time interval $t$ to $t+\Delta t, F_{a, t}$, was obtained by solving the catch equation.

$$
C_{a, t}=\frac{F_{a, t} \Delta t N_{a, t}\left(1-e^{-\left(F_{a, t}+M_{a}\right) \Delta t}\right)}{\left(F_{a, t}+M_{a}\right) \Delta t}
$$

for $C_{a, t}=$ the catch at age $a$ during the time interval $t$ to $t+\Delta t$

The fishing mortality rate for age $6+$ in the last time interval of each year was assumed equal to the fishing mortality at age 5 .

The data used were annual catch at age ,

$$
C_{a, t}=\text { catch }
$$

for ages $a=1,2 \ldots 6+$ and for $t=1973-1997$ (before 1973, catches from distant water fleets and U.S. discards comprised a large portion of total catch and were not well sampled),
and bottom trawl survey abundance indices
$I_{s, a, t}=$ abundance index
for $\quad s=$ DFO spring survey, ages $a=2,3 \ldots 6$, time $t=1987-1997$
$s=$ NMFS spring survey (yankee 41), ages $a=1,2 \ldots 6+$, time $t=1973-1981$
$s=$ NMFS spring survey (yankee 36), ages $a=1,2, \ldots 6+$, time $t=1982-1997$
$s=$ NMFS fall survey, ages $a=1,2 \ldots 6+$, time $t=1973$-1997
$s=$ NMFS scallop survey, age $a=1$, time $t=1982$-1997
Choice of survey indices was based on correlations among indices and reliability of age data. Correlations were moderate to strong for ages 3-6, but the Canadian and NEFSC fall surveys were not positively correlated at ages 1 and 2 (Table 9). Fig. 17 shows correspondence among normalized indices. The Canadian age- 1 index is based on many lengths that have no corresponding age sample from the NMFS spring survey, and is not considered to be a reliable index. Alternative ADAPT configurations were performed to assess the sensitivity of results to the choice of indices used.

Approximate coefficients of variation (CVs) for abundance estimates ranged 20-50\%, and improved with age (Appendix A). Estimates of $q$ for each index were well estimated (CV=17$26 \%$ ). Although the model generally fit the data well, there were some slight trends in residuals (e.g., fall age-2 Fig. 18), and there were three statistical outliers (e.g., spring-36 age-1 1981; fall age-1 1988; and fall age-2 1995).

Variance and model bias of estimates were assessed using bootstrap analysis of the VPA calibration. One thousand bootstrap estimations were performed by randomly resampling survey residuals. Bootstrapped abundance estimates had only slightly greater CVs than the least squares approximations reported above. Bootstrapped Fs were estimated with similar precision to abundance estimates: CVs were high at age-2 (CV $=50 \%$ ) but decreased with age ( $\mathrm{CV}=18 \%$ for ages 4-6). Bootstrap analysis indicates that SSB in 1997 was well estimated (CV=15\%). Bootstrap estimates of bias were relatively low for older ages ( $1-10 \%$ for age- $3+$ abundance estimates, $2 \%$ for $\mathrm{F}_{4+}$, and $4 \%$ for SSB), but were substantial for the age- 2 abundance estimate (15\%). However, there are several difficulties in completely correcting for bias (NEFSC 1997). Therefore, bias correction was not incorporated into stochastic projections.

Consistency of VPA estimates was assessed using retrospective analysis (Sinclair et al. 1990). Unfortunately, the length of the Canadian survey limited the number of retrospective comparisons. Retrospective ADAPT runs were made by iteratively truncating the terminal year of catch and survey data back to a terminal year of 1991 (when the Canadian survey had five years of data).

Short-term projections of landings and SSB incorporated uncertainty in VPA estimates using the 1,000 bootstrap estimates of age 2-6+ 1998 abundance. Projections through 1999 were simulated for each of the 1,000 abundance estimates by randomly sampling point estimates of 1973-1997 age-1 abundance 100 times (totaling 100,000 simulated trajectories). Projections assumed geometric mean partial recruitment 1994-1997, mean discard ratios at age 1994-1997, mean weight of landings at age 1994-1997, and proportion mature at age from 1992-1997 survey observations.

## Surplus Production Model

A non-equilibrium surplus production model, ASPIC (A Stock-Production model Incorporating Covariates) (Prager 1994, 1995) was also used to assess stock status and biological reference points. The method requires total catch along with one or more abundance indices (including CPUE or RV indices) as input. In our case, the DFO spring survey (1987 to 1998) was an index of biomass at the end of the previous year, the NMFS spring survey (1968 to 1997) were considered beginning of year biomass index and the NMFS fall survey (1963 to 1997) was treated as a midyear index. The error in the survey abundance indices was assumed to be independent and identically distributed after taking natural logarithms of the values. The following model parameters were defined:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=\text { population intrinsic rate of increase } \\
& K=\text { maximum population size } \\
& q_{s}=\text { survey catchability } \\
& B_{1}=\text { population biomass }(\mathrm{t}) \text { at the start of the first year }
\end{aligned}
$$

ASPIC was used to solve for the parameters by minimizing the sum of squared differences between the $\ln$ observed survey catch rate and the $\ln$ predicted survey catch rate. The objective function for minimization was defined as

$$
\underset{s, t}{\Psi}\left(r, K, q, B_{1}\right)=\sum_{s, t}\left(\ln I_{s, t}-\ln \left(Y_{t} / \hat{f}_{t}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

where

$$
Y_{t}=\text { observed yield in year } t
$$

(the analysis from the previous assessment, Neilson et al. 1997, was revised to include discard estimates, Table 1)

$$
\hat{f}_{t}=\text { predicted effort in year } t
$$

and
$I_{s, t}=$ biomass index
for $\quad s=$ DFO spring survey, time $t=1987-1998$
$s=$ NMFS spring survey (yankee 36) time $t=1968$-1972, 1982-1997
$s=$ NMFS spring survey (yankee 41) time $t=1973$-1981
$s=$ NMFS fall survey time $t=1963$-1997

A solution for $\hat{f}_{t}$ is obtained from

$$
q f_{t}=\frac{(r / K) Y_{t}}{\ln \left[\frac{(r / K) B_{t}\left(e^{\left(r-q f_{t}\right)-1}\right)}{r-q f_{t}}+1\right]} \text { when } r \neq q f_{t}
$$

or

$$
q f_{t}=\frac{(r / K) Y_{i}}{\ln \left[1+(r / K) B_{t}\right]} \text { when } r=q f_{t}
$$

using an iterative procedure. A solution for $B_{t}$ is obtained from

$$
B_{t+\Delta t}=\frac{\left(r-q f_{t}\right) B_{t} e^{\left(r-q f_{t}\right) \Delta t}}{\left(r-q f_{t}\right)+(r / K) B_{t}\left(e^{\left(r-q f_{t}\right) \Delta t}-1\right)} \text { when } r \neq q f_{t}
$$

or

$$
B_{t+\Delta t}=\frac{B_{t}}{1+(r / K) B_{t} \Delta t} \text { when } r=q f_{t}
$$

Correlations among survey biomass indices were moderate to strong ( $r=0.5$ to 0.8 ) (Appendix B). Most of the variance in the NMFS spring 36, Canada, and NMFS fall surveys was explained by the model $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.75,0.58\right.$, and 0.56$)$, but none of the variance in the NMFS spring 41 series was explained. Biomass estimates for the first two to five years of the analysis (1963 to 1964-66) are imprecise and are not considered reliable (Prager 1994, 1995).

Survey residuals were randomly resampled 1,000 times to estimate precision and model bias. Bootstrap estimates from ASPIC (see last page of Appendix B) suggest that there is $80 \%$ confidence that current biomass is $54-86 \%$ of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}(44,000 \mathrm{mt})$. The 1997 F estimate from ASPIC was low ( 0.08 ), and bootstrap estimates of $\mathrm{F}_{97}$ indicate that there is negligible probability that $F$ exceeded $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$. The bootstrap analyses indicates that the MSY, $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{B}_{\text {msy }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ were well estimated (Interquartile Ranges $<19 \%$ ), but $q$, and the ratios of current year $B$ and $F$ relative to $\mathrm{B}_{\text {msy }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ were generally more variable (IQR 14-28\%). Also, biomass in 1963 was poorly estimated ( $\mathrm{IQR}>150 \%$ ). As suggested by Prager ( 1994,1995 ), biomass estimates in the first several years are unreliable. Alternative configurations were explored to examine sensitivity of estimates to including discards and treating the NMFS spring survey as a single index.

## Assessment Results

The VPA indicates that the stock continued to rebuild from the collapsed state of the early 1990s. Growth in stock biomass was the product of high survival and moderate recruitment. Fully-recruited $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{F}_{4-5}\right)$ remained low in 1997 (0.13, Fig. 19). Recruitment has been relatively stable for the last several years (age-1 abundance averaged 20 million from 1991 to 1996), but
only the 1993 cohort exceeded the 1972-1996 average (Fig. 20). SSB increased to $15,700 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1997 (Fig. 20).

Bootstrap distributions suggest that there is nearly $100 \%$ probability that SSB in 1997 exceeded the current rebuilding target of $10,000 \mathrm{mt}(80 \%$ confidence interval of $13,500-19,200$ mt ) and nearly $100 \%$ probability that F in 1997 was less than $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ ( $80 \% \mathrm{CI}$ of 0.11-0.17) (Fig. 21). Estimates of bias were low for $\mathrm{F}_{4-5}(2 \%)$ and SSB (4\%). Given the substantial uncertainty in estimates of catch at age, statistical bias was considered negligible for $\mathrm{F}_{4-5}$ and SSB, and abundance of older cohorts. Bias of the estimate of age-2 abundance $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ was greater ( $15 \%$ ), and decreases the reliability of the estimate. However, bias of the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ estimate is low relative to the associated uncertainty ( $\mathrm{CV}=75 \%$ ), and 1998 projections will be minimally affected by the bias, because age- 2 are only $10 \%$ recruited to the fishery.

Three alternative ADAPT configurations were explored which 1) included the Canadian age-1 index, 2) included preliminary 1998 indices from the Canadian survey (based on cohort slicing), and 3) excluded the scallop survey index. All three sensitivity runs estimated age-2 abundance in 1998 to be approximately $50 \%$ lower than reported in Appendix A. However, the Canadian age-1 index is composed of many lengths with no corresponding age sample from the NMFS spring survey, there is considerable subjectivity involved in cohort slicing samples from the 1998 Canadian survey, and there is no a priori evidence for excluding the NMFS scallop survey. A fourth sensitivity analysis that combined the NMFS spring survey into a single tuning index (using the conversion factor for the Yankee-41 net reported by Sissenwine and Bowman 1978) estimated very similar parameters to those reported in Appendix A, but had large negative residuals for the surveys that used the Yankee-41 net.

Although some retrospective differences were substantial, there were no patterns of positive or negative inconsistency. Initial estimates of abundance of the 1990 and 1993 cohorts were much greater than revised estimates, presumably resulting from imprecise discard estimates. Abundance estimates in penultimate years were relatively consistent. Fully-recruited F estimates were more consistent than retrospective recruitment estimates, and SSB estimates were very consistent (Fig. 22).

The magnitude and recent decrease in mortality indicated by the VPA was confirmed by a modified catch curve analysis which incorporates multiple surveys (A. Sinclair, Marine Fish Division, Gulf Fisheries Centre, pers. comm.) Results indicated that total mortality exceeded 1.0 in most years, but decreased to 0.4 in the last three years.

Patterns and magnitude of F and biomass estimates from the surplus production model generally confirm age-based estimates (Fig. 23). However, the 1997 mean biomass estimate from ASPIC ( $24,000 \mathrm{mt}$ ) was substantially greater than the biomass estimate from ADAPT ( 18,000 , Fig. 23). The sensitivity analysis that excluded discards had lower estimates of MSY by $15 \%$ and $B_{\text {msy }}$ by $5 \%$ but a similar estimate of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$. Combining the NMFS spring 36 and 41 series had negligible effects on parameter estimates.

ASPIC results indicate that a maximum sustainable yield of $13,700 \mathrm{mt}$ can be produced when stock biomass is approximately $44,000 \mathrm{mt}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right.$, Fig. 24) and F is 0.31 ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ). Assuming equilibrium age structures, current partial recruitment and mean weight at age, a biomass weighted F of 0.31 is equivalent to a fully-recruited F of 0.39 . The MSY and $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ estimates are slightly greater, and $r$ was slightly lower, than the estimates in the last assessment (Neilson et al. 1997), because discards were not included in the previous assessment. MSY reference points estimated from stock-recruit data are similar: $\mathrm{MSY}=13,200 \mathrm{mt}, \mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=33,800 \mathrm{mt}$, and fullyrecruited $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}=0.37$ (Overholtz 1998).

Results from VPA indicate that all cohorts were less than 30 million in age- 1 abundance, except four year classes that exceeded 50 million in age-1 abundance ( 1973, 1974, 1977, and 1981). The relationship of SSB and recruitment suggests that strong recruitment is more likely at high levels of SSB (Fig. 25). For example, three of the four dominant cohorts in the VPA time series (1973 to 1997) were produced when SSB exceeded $10,000 \mathrm{mt}$, and three of the six cohorts produced when SSB exceeded $10,000 \mathrm{mt}$ were greater than 50 million in age- 1 abundance. Extending the series of stock and recruitment using survey estimates of age-1 abundance (scaled with the ADAPT estimate of catchability) and total biomass estimates from the production model (1968-1997) supports the conclusion that much greater levels of recruitment can be produced at greater levels of stock biomass (Fig. 25).

Yield and spawning biomass per recruit reference points were revised by incorporating updated estimates of partial recruitment (1994-1997), mean weights (1994-1997), and maturity (1997). $\mathrm{F}_{\max }$ is calculated as 0.82 but the maximum yield per recruit is not well defined, $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ as 0.25 , and $\mathrm{F}_{20 \%}$ as 0.53 (Table 10, Fig. 26). An alternative analysis with ages 1-14 (the oldest observed age in surveys) had similar estimates of $\mathrm{F}_{\max }(0.83)$, slightly greater estimate of $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ ( 0.28 ), and a substantially greater estimate of $\mathrm{F}_{20 \%}(0.62)$.

## Outlook

We present projections in accordance with the management requirements for Canada and the USA. For Canada, projections of landings in 1998 and beginning of year biomass for 1998 and 1999 are required. For the USA, projections of landings in 1999 and spawning stock biomass during the spawning season in 1999 and 2000 are required, and assume status quo fishing mortality in 1998. Age-based projection inputs included average 1994-1997 partial recruitment, weights at age, and maturity at age (Tables 11a and 11 b illustrate $\mathrm{F}_{97}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ results respectively). Projections of ASPIC parameters were obtained assuming a status quo F ( 0.08 , Appendix B) and a biomass-weighted approximation to $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$.

## Canada

The 1998 projection results are documented below for two scenarios of fishing mortality:

|  |  | Yield 1998 <br> $(000 \mathrm{st})$ | Biomass <br> 1998 (beg. <br> year, total) | Biomass <br> 1999 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{97}$ | Age-based (VPA) | 1.8 | 16.1 | 21.3 |
|  | Biomass-based <br> $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ | 2.6 | 26.2 | 36.3 |
|  | (Surplus Production) |  |  |  |
|  | Age-based (VPA | 3.2 | 16.1 | 19.7 |
|  | Biomass based (Surplus <br> Production) | 5.5 | 26.2 | 33.3 |

The risk of not achieving fishing targets for population growth and exploitation rate from 1998 to 1999 was explored using VPA projections at various levels of yield (Fig. 27). A fishery yield in 1998 equal to that of $1997(1788 t)$ is associated with negligible risk of exceeding the $F_{0.1}$ fishing mortality target and has a low risk of not achieving growth in spawning stock biomass. A fishery yield associated with $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ ( 3244 t ), however, has a greater than $60 \%$ risk that a $20 \%$ growth in biomass will not occur.

## USA

Age-based projections suggest that landings and SSB increase in 1999 and 2000 at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {status }}$ quo or $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$. However, at greater levels of F there is substantial risk of decreasing SSB (Fig. 28).

Age-based (000s t)

| 1998 |  | 1999 |  |  | 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landings | SSB | $\mathrm{F}_{1999-2000}$ | Landings | SSB | SSB | Consequences/Implications |
| 1.8 | 17.8 | 0.13 | 2.2 | 21.5 | 24.1 | SSB increases to about 70\% |
|  |  | ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {status }}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ in 2000; landings in 1999 |
|  |  | quo) |  |  |  | increase slightly. |
|  |  | 0.25 | 4.0 | 20.6 | 21.4 | SSB increases to about 60\% |
|  |  | ( $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ ) |  |  |  | $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ in 2000; landings in 1999 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | increase to twice the 1997 level. |

Biomass-based (000s t)

| 1998 |  | 1999 |  |  | 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landings | SSB | $\mathrm{F}_{1999-2000}$ | Landings | B | B | Consequences/Implications |
| 2.6 | 26.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & \left(\mathrm{~F}_{\text {status }}\right. \\ & \mathrm{quo}) \end{aligned}$ | 3.4 7.3 | 36.3 363 | 46.4 42.5 | Biomass surpasses $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ in 2000; landings in 1999 increase to almost twice the 1997 level. |

$\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ in 2000; landings in 1999 increase to four times the 1997 level.

As indicated in the projections for both Canada and the USA, biomass-based estimates are more optimistic than those obtained using the age-based (VPA) approach. For the VPA approach, such differences may be attributed to poor sampling and the absence of age determinations from the Canadian fishery. The surplus production model attempts to describe long term average dynamics, which may not apply if recent recruitment has been weak.

## Conclusions

Although there are some differences in results from the two analytical models, information on current stock status is relatively clear. We conclude that the stock is still rebuilding: SSB in 1997 (from ADAPT) was approximately half $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {msy }}$ (from stock-recruit analysis), and total biomass in 1997 (from ASPIC) was also approximately half $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{msy}}$ (from ASPIC). Fishing mortality in 1997 remained at levels which should allow continued rebuilding: fully-recruited F (from ADAPT) was well below $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ and was approximately one-third the level of fully-recruited $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ (from stock-recruit analysis), and F on total biomass (from ASPIC) was also approximately one-third of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{msy}}$ (from ASPIC).

Despite the congruence in results on stock status, forecasting yield, SSB, and risk is difficult. Age-based projections are generally more informative, but are currently hampered by poor sampling and the absence of age determinations from the Canadian fishery. Conversely, projections based on biomass dynamics imply high levels of recruitment at the current biomass level. While there are suggestions of good recruitment evident from examination of the spring survey length distributions in 1997, they were not confirmed in the age-based estimates of abundance. Given the uncertainties in both the VPA and the biomass dynamics model, we consider the more conservative age-based projections and risk analyses from the VPA to be more risk-averse.

## Research Recommendations

- More complete sampling of spatial and temporal aspects of the U.S. fishery and dedicated age-length keys for the Canadian fishery are needed for more reliable age-based estimates.
- Stochastic age-based simulation of rebuilding scenarios is needed to confirm the expected growth rates from the production model.
- Consistent sampling of Georges Bank strata during NMFS winter surveys mat substantially improve the assessment.
- Extented VPA of historical catch and survey information would help to assess historical stock conditions and MSY reference points.
- Determination of quantity of yellowtail flounder discarded in Canadian scallop fishery.
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Table 1. Commercial catch ( 000 st ) of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder.

|  | USA <br> Landings | USA Discards | Canada <br> Landings | Foreign <br> Landings | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | 10.990 | 6.368 | 0.000 | 0.100 | 17.458 |
| 1964 | 14.914 | 4.855 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 19.769 |
| 1965 | 14.248 | 4.266 | 0.000 | 0.800 | 19.314 |
| 1966 | 11.341 | 2.545 | 0.000 | 0.300 | 14.186 |
| 1967 | 8.407 | 4.389 | 0.000 | 1.400 | 14.196 |
| 1968 | 12.799 | 3.722 | 0.000 | 1.800 | 18.321 |
| 1969 | 15.944 | 3.105 | 0.000 | 2.400 | 21.449 |
| 1970 | 15.506 | 6.037 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 21.793 |
| 1971 | 11.878 | 2.824 | 0.000 | 0.503 | 15.205 |
| 1972 | 14.157 | 1.330 | 0.000 | 2.243 | 17.730 |
| 1973 | 15.899 | 0.364 | 0.000 | 0.260 | 16.523 |
| 1974 | 14.607 | 0.980 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 16.587 |
| 1975 | 13.205 | 2.715 | 0.000 | 0.091 | 16.011 |
| 1976 | 11.336 | 3.021 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 14.357 |
| 1977 | 9.444 | 0.567 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 10.011 |
| 1978 | 4.519 | 1.669 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 6.188 |
| 1979 | 5.475 | 0.720 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 6.195 |
| 1980 | 6.481 | 0.382 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 6.863 |
| 1981 | 6.182 | 0.095 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 6.277 |
| 1982 | 10.621 | 1.376 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 11.997 |
| 1983 | 11.350 | 0.072 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 11.422 |
| 1984 | 5.763 | 0.028 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5.791 |
| 1985 | 2.477 | 0.043 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.520 |
| 1986 | 3.041 | 0.019 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.060 |
| 1987 | 2.742 | 0.233 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.975 |
| 1988 | 1.866 | 0.252 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.118 |
| 1989 | 1.134 | 0.073 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.207 |
| 1990 | 2.751 | 0.818 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.569 |
| 1991 | 1.784 | 0.246 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.030 |
| 1992 | 2.859 | 1.873 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4.732 |
| 1993 | 2.089 | 1.089 | 0.696 | 0.000 | 3.874 |
| 1994 | 1.589 | 0.141 | 2.142 | 0.000 | 3.871 |
| 1995 | 0.292 | 0.024 | 0.495 | 0.000 | 0.811 |
| 1996 | 0.751 | 0.039 | 0.483 | 0.000 | 1.273 |
| 1997 | 0.966 | 0.058 | 0.810 | 0.000 | 1.834 |
| average | 7.697 | 1.610 | 0.132 | 0.318 | 9.758 |

Table 2. Sampling intensity for estimation of landings at age for Georges Bank yellowtail flounder.

|  | US |  | Port Samples |  |  | Sea Samples |  |  | Landings (mt) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quarter | Size | Trips | Lengths | Ages | Trips | Lengths | Ages |  |
|  | 1 | small | 6 | 366 |  |  |  |  | 81.11 |
|  |  | large | 3 | 467 |  |  |  |  | 296.45 |
|  |  | all | 6 | 833 | 236 | 3 | 149 | 109 | 377.56 |
|  | 2 | small | 5 | 591 |  |  |  |  | 107.76 |
|  |  | large | 3 | 259 |  |  |  |  | 168.55 |
|  |  | all | 5 | 850 | 280 | 2 | 27 | 107 | 276.31 |
|  | 3 | small |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51.09 |
|  |  | large |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55.64 |
|  |  | all | 1 | 103 | 63 | 2 | 7 | 59 | 106.73 |
|  | 4 | small |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62.98 |
|  |  | large |  |  |  |  |  |  | 142.39 |
|  |  | all | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 205.37 |
| Canada 2 |  | all | 3 | 600 | 0 |  |  |  | 100.29 |
|  | 3 | all | 6 | 1347 | 0 | 3 | 1452 | 0 | 524.00 |
|  | 4 | all | 4 | 961 | 0 | 6 | 2010 |  | 185.44 |

Table 3. Total catch at age (number) of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder (thousands).

|  |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | Total |
| 1973 | 347 | 4,890 | 13,243 | 9,276 | 3,743 | 1,259 | 278 | 81 | 33117 |
| 1974 | 2,143 | 8,971 | 7,904 | 7,398 | 3,544 | 852 | 452 | 173 | 31437 |
| 1975 | 4,372 | 25,284 | 7,057 | 3,392 | 2,084 | 671 | 313 | 164 | 43337 |
| 1976 | 615 | 31,012 | 5,146 | 1,347 | 532 | 434 | 287 | 147 | 39520 |
| 1977 | 330 | 8,580 | 9,917 | 1,721 | 394 | 221 | 129 | 124 | 21416 |
| 1978 | 9,659 | 3,105 | 4,034 | 1,660 | 459 | 102 | 37 | 35 | 19091 |
| 1979 | 233 | 9,505 | 3,445 | 1,242 | 550 | 141 | 79 | 52 | 15247 |
| 1980 | 309 | 3,572 | 8,821 | 1,419 | 321 | 85 | 4 | 10 | 14541 |
| 1981 | 55 | 729 | 5,351 | 4,556 | 796 | 122 | 4 | - | 11613 |
| 1982 | 2,063 | 17,491 | 7,122 | 3,246 | 1,031 | 62 | 19 | 3 | 31037 |
| 1983 | 696 | 7,689 | 16,016 | 2,316 | 625 | 109 | 10 | 8 | 27469 |
| 1984 | 428 | 1,917 | 4,266 | 4,734 | 1,592 | 257 | 47 | 17 | 13258 |
| 1985 | 650 | 3,345 | 816 | 652 | 410 | 60 | 5 | - | 5938 |
| 1986 | 158 | 5,771 | 978 | 347 | 161 | 52 | 16 | 8 | 7491 |
| 1987 | 140 | 2,653 | 2,751 | 761 | 132 | 39 | 32 | 41 | 6549 |
| 1988 | 483 | 2,367 | 1,191 | 624 | 165 | 15 | 20 | 3 | 4868 |
| 1989 | 185 | 1,516 | 668 | 262 | 68 | 11 | 8 | - | 2718 |
| 1990 | 219 | 1,931 | 6,123 | 800 | 107 | 17 | 3 | - | 9200 |
| 1991 | 412 | 54 | 1,222 | 2,430 | 293 | 56 | 4 | - | 4471 |
| 1992 | 2,389 | 8,359 | 2,527 | 1,269 | 510 | 20 | 7 | - | 15081 |
| 1993 | 5,194 | 1,009 | 2,777 | 2,392 | 318 | 65 | 9 | 1 | 11765 |
| 1994 | 71 | 861 | 5,742 | 2,571 | 910 | 99 | 37 | 1 | 10291 |
| 1995 | 14 | 157 | 895 | 715 | 137 | 13 | 11 | 4 | 1944 |
| 1996 | 50 | 383 | 1,509 | 716 | 167 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 2841 |
| 1997 | 16 | 595 | 1,258 | 1,502 | 341 | 26 | 45 | 19 | 3802 |
| mean | 1,249 | 6,070 | 4,831 | 2,294 | 776 | 192 | 74 | 36 | 15522 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Mean weight at age for the total catch of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder (kg).

|  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | 1 | 2.347 | $8+3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 0.010 | 0.347 | 0.462 | 0.527 | 0.603 | 0.689 | 1.067 | 1.136 |
| 1974 | 0.010 | 0.339 | 0.498 | 0.609 | 0.680 | 0.725 | 0.906 | 1.249 |
| 1975 | 0.010 | 0.309 | 0.489 | 0.554 | 0.618 | 0.687 | 0.688 | 0.649 |
| 1976 | 0.010 | 0.304 | 0.542 | 0.636 | 0.741 | 0.814 | 0.852 | 0.866 |
| 1977 | 0.010 | 0.337 | 0.524 | 0.634 | 0.782 | 0.865 | 1.036 | 1.013 |
| 1978 | 0.010 | 0.309 | 0.510 | 0.684 | 0.793 | 0.899 | 0.930 | 0.948 |
| 1979 | 0.010 | 0.325 | 0.460 | 0.649 | 0.728 | 0.835 | 1.003 | 0.882 |
| 1980 | 0.010 | 0.318 | 0.492 | 0.656 | 0.813 | 1.054 | 1.256 | 1.214 |
| 1981 | 0.010 | 0.340 | 0.490 | 0.603 | 0.707 | 0.798 | 0.832 | 1.042 |
| 1982 | 0.010 | 0.297 | 0.485 | 0.650 | 0.748 | 1.052 | 1.024 | 1.311 |
| 1983 | 0.010 | 0.296 | 0.440 | 0.604 | 0.736 | 0.952 | 1.018 | 0.987 |
| 1984 | 0.010 | 0.240 | 0.378 | 0.500 | 0.642 | 0.738 | 0.944 | 1.047 |
| 1985 | 0.010 | 0.363 | 0.497 | 0.647 | 0.733 | 0.819 | 0.732 | 1.042 |
| 1986 | 0.010 | 0.342 | 0.540 | 0.664 | 0.823 | 0.864 | 0.956 | 1.140 |
| 1987 | 0.010 | 0.309 | 0.521 | 0.666 | 0.680 | 0.938 | 0.793 | 0.788 |
| 1988 | 0.010 | 0.319 | 0.555 | 0.688 | 0.855 | 1.054 | 0.873 | 1.385 |
| 1989 | 0.010 | 0.342 | 0.542 | 0.725 | 0.883 | 1.026 | 1.254 | 1.042 |
| 1990 | 0.010 | 0.281 | 0.389 | 0.574 | 0.696 | 0.807 | 1.230 | 1.042 |
| 1991 | 0.010 | 0.258 | 0.359 | 0.479 | 0.725 | 0.820 | 1.306 | 1.042 |
| 1992 | 0.010 | 0.283 | 0.360 | 0.519 | 0.646 | 1.203 | 1.125 | 1.042 |
| 1993 | 0.010 | 0.275 | 0.367 | 0.503 | 0.561 | 0.858 | 1.263 | 1.044 |
| 1994 | 0.010 | 0.262 | 0.351 | 0.471 | 0.628 | 0.786 | 0.896 | 1.166 |
| 1995 | 0.010 | 0.260 | 0.367 | 0.463 | 0.582 | 0.777 | 0.785 | 0.540 |
| 1996 | 0.010 | 0.309 | 0.409 | 0.523 | 0.667 | 0.866 | 0.916 | 1.215 |
| 1997 | 0.010 | 0.309 | 0.458 | 0.592 | 0.712 | 0.874 | 0.989 | 1.042 |
| mean | 0.010 | 0.307 | 0.459 | 0.593 | 0.711 | 0.872 | 0.987 | 1.035 |

Table 5. Canadian DFO spring survey indices of Georges bank yellowtail flounder abundance at age (\#/tow) and stratified total biomass.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total | Wt (000s t) |  |
| 1987 | 0.12 | 0.68 | 2.00 | 1.09 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 3.95 | 1.264 |  |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 1.89 | 0.80 | 0.59 | 0.01 | 3.96 | 1.235 |  |
| 1989 | 0.11 | 0.78 | 0.80 | 0.32 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 2.13 | 0.471 |  |
| 1990 | 0.00 | 1.27 | 4.62 | 1.12 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 7.45 | 1.578 |  |
| 1991 | 0.02 | 0.59 | 1.72 | 2.91 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 6.24 | 1.759 |  |
| 1992 | 0.22 | 10.04 | 4.52 | 1.21 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 16.14 | 2.475 |  |
| 1993 | 0.33 | 2.16 | 5.04 | 3.47 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 11.63 | 2.642 |  |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 6.03 | 3.33 | 3.08 | 0.75 | 0.33 | 13.51 | 2.753 |  |
| 1995 | 0.21 | 1.31 | 4.07 | 2.22 | 1.14 | 0.11 | 9.07 | 2.027 |  |
| 1996 | 0.45 | 5.54 | 8.44 | 7.49 | 1.37 | 0.16 | 23.45 | 5.304 |  |
| 1997 | 0.10 | 9.48 | 15.16 | 19.09 | 3.11 | 0.54 | 47.49 | 13.292 |  |
| 1998 | $0.89^{*}$ | $0.29^{*}$ | $3.31^{*}$ |  |  |  | 16.04 | 4.292 |  |
| mean | 0.20 | 3.50 | 4.69 | 3.89 | 0.85 | 0.11 | 13.19 | 3.258 |  |

*Preliminary: Based on cohort slicing (visual inspection)

Table 6. NEFSC spring survey indices of Georges bank yellowtail flounder abundance at age (\#/tow) and total biomass (kg/tow).

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | biomass |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | Total | (kg) |
| 1968 | 0.149 | 3.364 | 3.579 | 0.316 | 0.084 | 0.160 | 0.127 | 0.000 | 7.779 | 2.813 |
| 1969 | 1.015 | 9.406 | 11.119 | 3.096 | 1.423 | 0.454 | 0.188 | 0.057 | 26.758 | 11.170 |
| 1970 | 0.093 | 4.485 | 6.030 | 2.422 | 0.570 | 0.121 | 0.190 | 0.000 | 13.911 | 5.312 |
| 1971 | 0.791 | 3.335 | 4.620 | 3.754 | 0.759 | 0.227 | 0.050 | 0.029 | 13.564 | 4.607 |
| 1972 | 0.138 | 7.136 | 7.198 | 3.514 | 1.094 | 0.046 | 0.122 | 0.000 | 19.247 | 6.450 |
| 1973 | 1.931 | 3.266 | 2.368 | 1.063 | 0.410 | 0.173 | 0.023 | 0.020 | 9.254 | 2.938 |
| 1974 | 0.316 | 2.224 | 1.842 | 1.256 | 0.346 | 0.187 | 0.085 | 0.009 | 6.265 | 2.719 |
| 1975 | 0.420 | 2.939 | 0.860 | 0.298 | 0.208 | 0.068 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 4.806 | 1.676 |
| 1976 | 1.034 | 4.368 | 1.247 | 0.311 | 0.196 | 0.026 | 0.048 | 0.037 | 7.268 | 2.273 |
| 1977 | 0.000 | 0.671 | 1.125 | 0.384 | 0.074 | 0.013 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.267 | 0.999 |
| 1978 | 0.936 | 0.798 | 0.507 | 0.219 | 0.026 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 2.494 | 0.742 |
| 1979 | 0.279 | 1.933 | 0.385 | 0.328 | 0.059 | 0.046 | 0.041 | 0.000 | 3.072 | 1.227 |
| 1980 | 0.057 | 4.644 | 5.761 | 0.473 | 0.057 | 0.037 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 11.030 | 4.456 |
| 1981 | 0.012 | 1.027 | 1.779 | 0.721 | 0.205 | 0.061 | 0.000 | 0.026 | 3.830 | 1.960 |
| 1982 | 0.045 | 3.742 | 1.122 | 1.016 | 0.455 | 0.065 | 0.000 | 0.026 | 6.472 | 2.500 |
| 1983 | 0.000 | 1.865 | 2.728 | 0.531 | 0.123 | 0.092 | 0.061 | 0.092 | 5.492 | 2.642 |
| 1984 | 0.000 | 0.093 | 0.809 | 0.885 | 0.834 | 0.244 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.865 | 1.646 |
| 1985 | 0.110 | 2.198 | 0.262 | 0.282 | 0.148 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.000 | 0.988 |
| 1986 | 0.027 | 1.806 | 0.291 | 0.056 | 0.137 | 0.055 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.372 | 0.847 |
| 1987 | 0.000 | 0.128 | 0.112 | 0.133 | 0.053 | 0.055 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.480 | 0.329 |
| 1988 | 0.078 | 0.275 | 0.366 | 0.242 | 0.199 | 0.027 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.187 | 0.566 |
| 1989 | 0.047 | 0.424 | 0.740 | 0.290 | 0.061 | 0.022 | 0.022 | 0.000 | 1.605 | 0.729 |
| 1990 | 0.000 | 0.065 | 1.108 | 0.393 | 0.139 | 0.012 | 0.045 | 0.000 | 1.762 | 0.699 |
| 1991 | 0.435 | 0.000 | 0.254 | 0.675 | 0.274 | 0.020 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.659 | 0.631 |
| 1992 | 0.000 | 2.010 | 1.945 | 0.598 | 0.189 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4.742 | 1.566 |
| 1993 | 0.046 | 0.290 | 0.500 | 0.317 | 0.027 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.180 | 0.482 |
| 1994 | 0.000 | 0.621 | 0.638 | 0.357 | 0.145 | 0.043 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.804 | 0.660 |
| 1995 | 0.040 | 1.180 | 4.810 | 1.490 | 0.640 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 8.170 | 2.579 |
| 1996 | 0.030 | 0.990 | 2.630 | 2.700 | 0.610 | 0.060 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 7.020 | 2.853 |
| 1997 | 0.019 | 1.169 | 3.733 | 4.081 | 0.703 | 0.134 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 9.837 | 4.359 |
| mean | 0.268 | 2.215 | 2.349 | 1.073 | 0.342 | 0.082 | 0.034 | 0.010 | 6.373 | 2.447 |

Table 7. NEFSC fall survey indices of Georges bank yellowtail flounder abundance at age (\#/tow) and total biomass (kg/tow).

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | biomass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total | (kg) |
| 1963 | 0.000 | 14.722 | 7.896 | 11.226 | 1.858 | 0.495 | 0.281 | 0.034 | 0.233 | 36.746 | 12.788 |
| 1964 | 0.000 | 1.721 | 9.723 | 7.370 | 5.998 | 2.690 | 0.383 | 0.095 | 0.028 | 28.007 | 13.623 |
| 1965 | 0.014 | 1.138 | 5.579 | 5.466 | 3.860 | 1.803 | 0.162 | 0.284 | 0.038 | 18.345 | 9.104 |
| 1966 | 1.177 | 8.772 | 4.776 | 2.070 | 0.837 | 0.092 | 0.051 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 17.775 | 3.988 |
| 1967 | 0.106 | 9.137 | 9.313 | 2.699 | 1.007 | 0.309 | 0.076 | 0.061 | 0.000 | 22.708 | 7.575 |
| 1968 | 0.000 | 11.782 | 11.946 | 5.758 | 0.766 | 0.944 | 0.059 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 31.254 | 10.536 |
| 1969 | 0.135 | 8.106 | 10.381 | 5.855 | 1.662 | 0.553 | 0.149 | 0.182 | 0.000 | 27.023 | 9.279 |
| 1970 | 1.048 | 4.610 | 5.133 | 3.144 | 1.952 | 0.451 | 0.063 | 0.017 | 0.000 | 16.417 | 4.979 |
| 1971 | 0.025 | 3.627 | 6.949 | 4.904 | 2.248 | 0.551 | 0.234 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 18.586 | 6.365 |
| 1972 | 0.785 | 2.424 | 6.525 | 4.824 | 2.095 | 0.672 | 0.279 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 17.604 | 6.328 |
| 1973 | 0.094 | 2.494 | 5.497 | 5.104 | 2.944 | 1.216 | 0.416 | 0.171 | 0.031 | 17.996 | 6.602 |
| 1974 | 1.030 | 4.623 | 2.854 | 1.524 | 1.060 | 0.460 | 0.249 | 0.131 | 0.000 | 12.133 | 3.733 |
| 1975 | 0.361 | 4.625 | 2.511 | 0.877 | 0.572 | 0.334 | 0.033 | 0.000 | 0.031 | 9.420 | 2.365 |
| 1976 | 0.000 | 0.336 | 1.929 | 0.475 | 0.117 | 0.122 | 0.033 | 0.000 | 0.067 | 3.078 | 1.533 |
| 1977 | 0.000 | 0.928 | 2.161 | 1.649 | 0.618 | 0.113 | 0.056 | 0.036 | 0.016 | 5.614 | 2.829 |
| 1978 | 0.037 | 4.729 | 1.272 | 0.773 | 0.406 | 0.139 | 0.011 | 0.000 | 0.024 | 7.443 | 2.383 |
| 1979 | 0.018 | 1.312 | 1.999 | 0.316 | 0.122 | 0.138 | 0.038 | 0.064 | 0.007 | 4.041 | 1.520 |
| 1980 | 0.078 | 0.761 | 5.086 | 6.050 | 0.678 | 0.217 | 0.162 | 0.006 | 0.033 | 13.217 | 6.722 |
| 1981 | 0.000 | 1.584 | 2.333 | 1.630 | 0.500 | 0.121 | 0.083 | 0.013 | 0.000 | 6.345 | 2.621 |
| 1982 | 0.000 | 2.424 | 2.185 | 1.590 | 0.423 | 0.089 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 6.711 | 2.270 |
| 1983 | 0.000 | 0.109 | 2.284 | 1.914 | 0.473 | 0.068 | 0.012 | 0.000 | 0.038 | 4.898 | 2.131 |
| 1984 | 0.012 | 0.661 | 0.400 | 0.306 | 2.428 | 0.090 | 0.029 | 0.000 | 0.018 | 3.944 | 0.593 |
| 1985 | 0.010 | 1.350 | 0.560 | 0.160 | 0.040 | 0.080 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.200 | 0.709 |
| 1986 | 0.000 | 0.280 | 1.110 | 0.350 | 0.070 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.810 | 0.820 |
| 1987 | 0.000 | 0.113 | 0.390 | 0.396 | 0.053 | 0.079 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.031 | 0.509 |
| 1988 | 0.011 | 0.019 | 0.213 | 0.102 | 0.031 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.376 | 0.171 |
| 1989 | 0.027 | 0.248 | 1.992 | 0.774 | 0.069 | 0.066 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.176 | 0.977 |
| 1990 | 0.147 | 0.000 | 0.326 | 1.517 | 0.280 | 0.014 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.284 | 0.725 |
| 1991 | 0.000 | 2.100 | 0.275 | 0.439 | 0.358 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.172 | 0.730 |
| 1992 | 0.000 | 0.151 | 0.396 | 0.712 | 0.162 | 0.144 | 0.027 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.592 | 0.576 |
| 1993 | 0.000 | 0.842 | 0.136 | 0.587 | 0.536 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.101 | 0.545 |
| 1994 | 0.010 | 1.200 | 0.220 | 0.980 | 0.710 | 0.260 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.000 | 3.440 | 0.897 |
| 1995 | 0.070 | 0.280 | 0.120 | 0.350 | 0.280 | 0.050 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.160 | 0.354 |
| 1996 | 0.000 | 0.140 | 0.350 | 1.870 | 0.450 | 0.070 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.880 | 1.303 |
| 1997 | 0.000 | 1.392 | 0.533 | 3.442 | 2.090 | 1.071 | 0.082 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 8.611 | 3.781 |
| mean | 0.148 | 2.821 | 3.296 | 2.492 | 1.079 | 0.386 | 0.086 | 0.033 | 0.017 | 10.375 | 3.770 |

Table 8. NEFSC scallop survey index of Georges bank yellowtail flounder age-1 abundance.

| year | \#/tow |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1982 | 0.313 |
| 1983 | 0.140 |
| 1984 | 0.233 |
| 1985 | 0.549 |
| 1986 | 0.103 |
| 1987 | 0.047 |
| 1988 | 0.116 |
| 1989 | 0.195 |
| 1990 | 0.100 |
| 1991 | 2.117 |
| 1992 | 0.167 |
| 1993 | 1.129 |
| 1994 | 1.503 |
| 1995 | 0.609 |
| 1996 | 0.508 |
| 1997 | 1.062 |
| mean | 0.556 |

Table 9. Correlations among normalized indices of abundance at age for Georges Bank yellowtail flounder.

| Age-1 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Spring | Fall Canada | Scallop |  |
| Spring | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Fall | 0.40 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Canada | 0.18 | -0.01 | 1.00 |  |
| Scallop | 0.36 | 0.70 | 0.22 | 1.00 |

Age-2

|  | Spring | Fall Canada |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Spring | 1.00 |  |  |
| Fall | 0.60 | 1.00 |  |
| Canada | 0.63 | -0.06 | 1.00 |


| Age-3 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Spring | Fall Canada |  |
| Spring | 1.00 |  |  |
| Fall | 0.70 | 1.00 |  |
| Canada | 0.76 | 0.61 | 1.00 |


| Age-4 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Spring | Fall Canada |  |
| Spring | 1.00 |  |  |
| Fall | 0.65 | 1.00 |  |
| Canada | 0.70 | 0.75 | 1.00 |

Age-4

## Age-5

|  | Spring | Fall Canada |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Spring | 1.00 |  |  |
| Fall | 0.21 | 1.00 |  |
| Canada | 0.74 | 0.46 | 1.00 |


| Age-6 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Spring | Fall Canada |  |
| Spring | 1.00 |  |  |
| Fall | 0.44 | 1.00 |  |
| Canada | 0.64 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Table 10. Yield and spawning stock per recruit analyses for Georges Bank yellowtail flounder.

```
The NEFC Yield and Stock Size per Recruit Program - PDBYPRC
    PC Ver.1.2 [Method of Thompson and Bell (1934)] 1-Jan-1992
    Run Date: 23- 4-1998; Time: 08:58:08.50
GEORGES BANK YELLOWTAIL FLOUNDER - TRAC 1998
Proportion of F before spawning: .4167
Proportion of M before spawning: .4167
Natural Mortality is Constant at: . }20
Initial age is: 1; Last age is: 8
Last age is a PLUS group;
Original age-specific PRs, Mats, and Mean Wts from file:
==> gbyt8.dat
Age-specific Input data for Yield per Recruit Analysis
\begin{tabular}{c|cc|c|c|cc} 
Age & Fish Mort & Nat Mort & Proportion & Average Weights \\
Pattern & Pattern & Mature & Catch & Stock
\end{tabular}
Summary of Yield per Recruit Analysis for:
GEORGES BANK YELLOWTAIL FLOUNDER - TRAC 1998
```

```
Slope of the Yield/Recruit Curve at F=0.00: --> 2.4606
```

Slope of the Yield/Recruit Curve at F=0.00: --> 2.4606
F level at slope=1/10 of the above slope (FO.1): ----->
F level at slope=1/10 of the above slope (FO.1): ----->
Yield/Recruit corresponding to F0.1: -----> . 2194
Yield/Recruit corresponding to F0.1: -----> . 2194
F level to produce Maximum Yield/Recruit (Fmax): -----> . 821
F level to produce Maximum Yield/Recruit (Fmax): -----> . 821
Yield/Recruit corresponding to Fmax: -----> . 2508
Yield/Recruit corresponding to Fmax: -----> . 2508
F level at 20 % of Max Spawning Potential (F20): -.---> . . 686
F level at 20 % of Max Spawning Potential (F20): -.---> . . 686
SSB/Recruit corresponding to F20: --------> . 5347

```
        SSB/Recruit corresponding to F20: --------> . 5347
```

Table 11a. Age-based projection of the Georges Bank yellowtail flounder stock at status quo $F$.

PROJECTION RUN: Georges Bank yellowtail - status quo projection
INPUT FILE: gbytsq.in
OUTPUT FILE: gbytsq.out
RECRUITMENT MODEL: 3
NUMBER OF SIMULATIONS: 100
F-BASED PROJECTIONS
CONSTANT F:0.130

| SPAWNING STOCK BIOMASS | (THOUSAND |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | AVG SSB (000 MT) | STD |
| 1998 | 18.044 | 2.854 |
| 1999 | 22.053 | 4.064 |
| 2000 | 24.947 | 5.283 |

PERCENTILES OF SPAWNING STOCK BIOMASS (000 MT)

| 998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | $1 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $75 \%$ | 908 | 958 |  |
| 1998 | 11.743 | 13.621 | 14.537 | 16.131 | 17.799 | 19.761 | 21.786 | 22.976 | 25.820 |
| 1999 | 13.867 | 16.270 | 17.418 | 19.197 | 21.545 | 24.463 | 27.535 | 29.636 |  |
| 2000 | 15.379 | 17.668 | 18.873 | 21.068 | 24.162 | 28.006 | 32.541 | 34.937 | 38.053 |

ANNUAL PROBABILITY THAT SSB EXCEEDS THRESHOLD: 10.00000 THOUSAND MT

| YEAR | $\operatorname{Pr}(S S B$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 1.000 |


| 1999 | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2000 | 1.000 |

RECRUITMENT UNITS ARE: 1000.000 FISH
BIRTH

| YEAR | AVG RECRUITMENT | STD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 23123.139 | 16356.631 |
| 1999 | 23138.766 | 16356.953 |
| 2000 | 23072.730 | 16295.333 |

PERCENTILES OF RECRUITMENT UNITS ARE: 1000.000 FISH

| BIRTH <br> YEAR | $1 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $9 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 5822.000 | 6714.000 | 6892.000 | 13738.000 | 19303.000 | 22773.000 | 50272.000 | 60926.000 | 68014.000 |
| 1999 | 5822.000 | 6714.000 | 6892.000 | 13738.000 | 19303.000 | 22773.000 | 50272.000 | 60926.000 | 68014.000 |
| 2000 | 5822.000 | 6714.000 | 6892.000 | 13738.000 | 19303.000 | 22773.000 | 50272.000 | 60926.000 | 68014.000 |

LANDINGS FOR F-BASED PROJECTIONS


| PERCENTILES OF LANDINGS (000 MT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 758 | 90\% | 958 | 998 |
| 1998 | 1.208 | 1.397 | 1.484 | 1.634 | 1.788 | 1.977 | 2.172 | 2.310 | 2.528 |
| 1999 | 1.471 | 1.695 | 1.817 | 2.000 | 2.220 | 2.462 | 2.721 | 2.893 | 3.235 |
| 2000 | 1.621 | 1.886 | 2.032 | 2.240 | 2.555 | 2.922 | 3.315 | 3.617 | 4.097 |

DISCARDS FOR E-BASED PROJECTIONS
YEAR AVG DISCARDS (000 MT) STD

| 1998 | 0.030 | 0.010 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1999 | 0.033 | 0.013 |
| 2000 | 0.034 | 0.015 |


| PERCENTILES OF DISCARDS | (OOO MT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | $1 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  |
| 1998 | 0.014 | 0.017 | 0.019 | 0.023 | 0.028 | 0.035 | 0.042 | 0.047 | 0.062 |
| 1999 | 0.012 | 0.016 | 0.019 | 0.024 | 0.030 | 0.040 | 0.054 | 0.060 |  |
| 2000 | 0.012 | 0.016 | 0.019 | 0.024 | 0.030 | 0.045 | 0.056 | 0.061 | 0.070 |

Table 11b. Age-based projection of the Georges Bank yellowtail flounder stock at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$.


| PERCENTILES OF |  | RECRUITMENT UNITS ARE: |  | 1000.000 FISH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 18 | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | $90 \%$ | 958 | 99\% |
| 1998 | 5822.000 | 6714.000 | 6892.000 | 13738.000 | 19303.000 | 22773.000 | 50272.000 | 60926.000 | 68014.000 |
| 1999 | 5822.000 | 6714.000 | 6892.000 | 13738.000 | 19303.000 | 22773.000 | 50272.000 | 60926.000 | 68014.000 |
| 2000 | 5822.000 | 6714.000 | 6892.000 | 13738.000 | 19303.000 | 22773.000 | 50272.000 | 60926.000 | 68014.000 |


| LANDINGS FOR F-BASED |  | PROJECTIONS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| YEAR | AVG LANDINGS | $(000 \mathrm{MT})$ | STD |
| 1998 | 3.294 |  | 0.493 |
| 1999 | 3.748 |  | 0.621 |
| 2000 | 4.109 |  | 0.873 |



| DISCARDS FOR F-BASED PROJECTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | AVG DIS | CARDS 1000 | MT) | STD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 0.055 | 0.018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 0.061 | 0.025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 0.063 | 0.027 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENTILES OF DISCARDS (000 MT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | $1 \%$ | 5\% |  | $10 \%$ | 258 | $50 \%$ | 75\% | 90\% | 958 | 99\% |
| 1998 | 0.025 | 0.031 |  | 0.035 | 0.042 | 0.052 | 0.065 | 0.078 | 0.089 | 0.116 |
| 1999 | 0.021 | 0.030 |  | 0.035 | 0.044 | 0.055 | 0.075 | 0.101 | 0.112 | 0.130 |
| 2000 | 0.023 | 0.030 |  | 0.035 | 0.043 | 0.055 | 0.083 | 0.103 | 0.113 | 0.146 |

## Appendix A. ADAPT calibration of Georges Bank Yellowtail VPA.

Woods Hole Assessment Toolbox GB yellowtail 1973-1997 Run Number 49 4/9/98 1:07:21
PM
GB yellowtail 1973-1997 1973-1998
Input Parameters and Options Selected
-----------------------------------------1
Natural mortality is 0.2
Oldest age (not in the plus group) is 5
For all years prior to the terminal year (1997), backcalculated
stock sizes for the following ages used to estimate
total mortality (Z) for age 5 : 45
This method for estimating $F$ on the oldest age is generally used when a
flat-topped partial recruitment curve is thought to be characteristic of the stock.
$F$ for age $6+$ is then calculated from the following
ratios of $F$ [age 6 +] to $F$ [age 5 ]
19731
1997 1

Stock size of the $6+$ group is then calculated using
the following method: CATCH EQUATION
Objective function is Sum $w^{*}$ (LOG(OBS) -LOG (PRED))**2
Indices normalized (by dividing by mean observed value)
before tuning to VPA stocksizes
Downweighting is not used

The Indices that will be used in this run are:
USspr 1
USspr 2
USspr 3
USspr 4
USspr 5
USspr 6
USfall 1
USfall 2
USfall 3
USfall 4
USfall 5
USfall 6
Canada 2
Canada 3
Canada 4
Canada 5
Canada 6
Scall 1
USs2 1
USs2 2
USs2 3
USs2 4
USs2 5
USs2 6

Approximate Statistics Assuming Linearity Near Solution Sum of Squares: 220.954625414183
Mean Square Residuals: 0.69483
PAR. EST. STD. ERR. T-STATISTIC C.V.

| N | 2 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $8.66 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | 3 | $9.35 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.50 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.67 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.37 |
| N | 4 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.28 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.33 |
| N | 5 | $9.53 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.98 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.20 |

Catchability Estimates in Original Units

|  | Estimate | Std.Err. | C.V. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| q USspr 1 | 3.49E-06 | 9.57E-07 | 0.27 |
| q USspr 2 | $5.64 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.22 |
| q USspr 3 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.79 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.21 |
| q USspr 4 | 2.17E-04 | $4.58 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.21 |
| $q$ USspr 5 | $3.34 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.05 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.21 |
| q USspr 6 | 4.73E-04 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.23 |
| q USfall 1 | $3.96 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.89 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.17 |
| q USfall 2 | 7.91E-05 | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.17 |
| q USfall 3 | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.79E-05 | 0.17 |
| q USfall 4 | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.21 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.17 |
| q USfall 5 | $2.46 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.53E-05 | 0.18 |
| q USfall 6 | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 7. $40 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.22 |
| q Canada 2 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.80 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.26 |
| q Canada 3 | $5.52 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.26 |
| $q$ Canada 4 | 9.28E-04 | $2.37 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.26 |
| q Canada 5 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.74 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.26 |
| q Canada 6 | 7.27E-04 | $2.35 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.32 |
| q Scall 1 | 2.65E-05 | 5.71E-06 | 0.22 |
| q USs2 1 | 7.77E-06 | 2.30E-06 | 0.30 |
| q USs2 2 | 8.12E-05 | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.26 |
| q USs2 3 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.69 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.26 |
| q USs2 4 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.91 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.26 |
| q USs2 5 | $9.42 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.26 |
| q USs2 6 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.83 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.26 |

Standardized residuals by index and year; with row/column/grand means

|  | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USspr 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USspr 2 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USspr 3 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USspr 4 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USspr 5 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USspr 6 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USfall 1 | 1.087 | 1.158 | 0.801 | -1.055 | 0.609 | 1.278 | 0.544 |
| USfall 2 | 1.580 | 0.980 | 0.512 | -0.229 | 1.005 | 0.590 | 0.019 |
| USfall 3 | 0.580 | 0.050 | -0.024 | -0.771 | 0.368 | 0.130 | -1.138 |
| USfall 4 | 0.505 | -0.147 | 0.310 | -0.898 | 0.819 | 0.213 | -0.838 |
| USfall 5 | 0.257 | -0.526 | 0.011 | -0.002 | 0.281 | 0.194 | 0.013 |
| USfall 6 | 0.082 | -0.069 | -1.613 | -1.195 | -0.362 | -0.666 | 0.207 |
| Canada 2 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Canada 3 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Canada 4 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Canada 5 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Canada 6 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Scall 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USs2 1 | 2.608 | -0.253 | -0.284 | 2.111 | 0.000 | 1.036 | 0.516 |
| USs2 2 | 0.656 | 0.217 | -0.096 | 0.037 | -0.948 | -0.299 | -0.387 |
| USs2 3 | -0.259 | 0.258 | -0.268 | 0.343 | -0.333 | -0.430 | -0.820 |
| USs2 4 | -0.673 | -0.028 | -0.692 | 0.258 | 0.225 | -0.491 | 0.367 |
| USs2 5 | -0.523 | -0.483 | -0.325 | 1.025 | 0.224 | -1.302 | -0. 509 |
| USs2 6 | -0.416 | 0.193 | -0.859 | -0.361 | -2.211 | -1.681 | 0.673 |
| Col Avg | 0.457 | 0.113 | -0.211 | -0.061 | -0.029 | -0.119 | -0.113 |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| USspr 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.619 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.925 | 0.181 |
| USspr 2 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.342 | 0.884 | -1.102 | 2.119 | 1.244 |
| USspr 3 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.593 | -0.232 | 0.022 | 0.221 | -0.120 |
| USspr 4 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.126 | -0.847 | -0.470 | 0.242 | -1.037 |
| USspr 5 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.206 | -1.531 | 0.289 | -0.467 | 0.461 |
| USspr 6 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.493 | 0.807 | 0.362 | 0.000 | -0.128 |
| USfall 1 | -0.039 | -0.388 | 1.431 | -0.698 | 0.945 | 1.166 | 0.206 |
| USfall 2 | 1.718 | 0.750 | -0.321 | 1.255 | 0.751 | 0.626 | 0.816 |
| USfall 3 | 1.361 | 0.217 | 0.123 | -0.172 | -0.519 | -0.094 | 0.274 |
| USfall 4 | 0.710 | -0.402 | -0.288 | -0.313 | 1.996 | -1.228 | 0.031 |
| USfall 5 | 0.841 | -0.267 | -1.044 | -1.354 | -0.824 | -0.104 | 0.000 |
| USfall 6 | 1.787 | 1.305 | 0.000 | -0.184 | -0.029 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Canada 2 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Canada 3 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Canada 4 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Canada 5 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Canada 6 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Scall 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.545 | 0.082 | 0.173 | 0.566 | -0.514 |
| USs2 1 | -1.322 | -4.411 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USs2 2 | 1.327 | -0.411 | -0.097 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USs2 3 | 1.357 | 0.428 | -0.277 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USs2 4 | 0.421 | -0.079 | 0.691 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USs2 5 | -0.134 | 0.715 | 1.312 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USs2 6 | 0.624 | 1.762 | 2.277 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Col Avg | 0.721 | -0.065 | 0.150 | -0.192 | 0.133 | 0.361 | 0.129 |


|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USspr 1 | 0.000 | 0.173 | 0.546 | 0.000 | 2.062 | 0.000 | -0.271 |
| USspr 2 | -1.019 | -0.170 | -0.860 | -2.134 | 0.000 | 0.825 | -1.010 |
| USspr 3 | -1.885 | 0.424 | 0.986 | -0.352 | -0.832 | 0.775 | -0.758 |
| USspr 4 | -0.711 | 0.324 | 1.141 | 0.298 | -0.198 | 0.358 | -1.219 |
| USspr 5 | -0.201 | 1.202 | 0.390 | 0.969 | 0.768 | -0.423 | -2.247 |
| USspr 6 | -0.346 | 0.186 | 1.129 | 1.516 | -0.858 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USfall 1 | -0.949 | -4.301 | -0.241 | 0.000 | 1.167 | -1.595 | 0.659 |
| USfall 2 | 0.463 | -0.422 | 0.773 | -0.279 | -1.083 | -1.019 | -2.150 |
| USfall 3 | 0.181 | -0.669 | 1.089 | 0.366 | -0.085 | -0.331 | -0.406 |
| USfall 4 | -0.811 | -1.032 | 0.140 | 0.692 | -0.003 | -0.326 | 0.251 |
| USfall 5 | 1.526 | 0.000 | 1.424 | -0.762 | 0.000 | 0.363 | 0.000 |
| USfall 6 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.516 | 0.000 |
| Canada 2 | -0.447 | -0.552 | -1.561 | 0.000 | -1.314 | 1.322 | -0.033 |
| Canada 3 | -0.143 | 0.677 | -0.637 | -0.355 | -0.254 | 0.070 | 0.298 |
| Canada 4 | 0.069 | 0.016 | -0.484 | -0.189 | -0.189 | -0.540 | -0.091 |
| Canada 5 | -1.449 | 1.108 | -0.415 | 0.926 | 0.912 | -2.021 | 0.115 |
| Canada 6 | 0.000 | -1.522 | -0.333 | -1.088 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Scall 1 | -1.522 | -1.651 | -0.050 | -1.242 | 1.656 | -0.995 | 1.490 |
| USs2 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USs2 2 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USs2 3 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USs2 4 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USs2 5 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| USs2 6 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Col Avg | -0.483 | -0.388 | 0.179 | -0.109 | 0.125 | -0.135 | -0.384 |
|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |  |  |
| USspr 1 | 0.000 | -0.722 | -0.651 | -1.623 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USspr 2 | 0.264 | -0.062 | 0.030 | 0.649 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USspr 3 | -0.728 | 2.075 | 0.127 | 0.871 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USspr 4 | -0.842 | 1.444 | 1.226 | 0.416 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USspr 5 | -1.156 | 1.907 | 0.676 | -0.433 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USspr 6 | -0.713 | -1.560 | 0.344 | -1.231 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USfall 1 | 0.259 | -1.183 | -1.596 | 0.734 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USfall 2 | -1.204 | -3.088 | -1.491 | -0.549 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USfall 3 | 0.289 | -1.125 | -0.377 | 0.684 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USfall 4 | 1.205 | -0.015 | -0.536 | -0.033 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USfall 5 | 1.058 | -0.394 | -1.324 | 0.634 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USfall 6 | 1.228 | -0.775 | 0.000 | -1.231 | 0.000 |  |  |
| Canada 2 | 1.559 | -1.368 | 0.665 | 1.729 | 0.000 |  |  |
| Canada 3 | -0.462 | 0.158 | -0.190 | 0.836 | 0.000 |  |  |
| Canada 4 | 0.000 | 0.179 | 0.706 | 0.523 | 0.000 |  |  |
| Canada 5 | -0.582 | 1.202 | 0.249 | -0.046 | 0.000 |  |  |
| Canada 6 | 1.216 | 0.800 | 1.004 | -0.076 | 0.000 |  |  |
| Scall 1 | 1.008 | 0.229 | 0.429 | 0.888 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USs2 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USs2 2 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USs2 3 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - |  |
| USs2 4 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USs2 5 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |
| USs2 6 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |
| Col Avg | 0.141 | -0.128 | -0.042 | 0.152 | 0.000 |  |  |

STOCK NUMBERS (Jan 1) in thousands -
C: $\backslash \mathrm{SXC} \backslash \mathrm{gbyt} .49$



Average $F$ for 4,5

|  | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,5 | 0.94 | 1.22 | 1.54 | 1.07 | 1.09 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| 4,5 | 0.74 | 1.30 | 1.19 | 0.73 | 2.14 | 1.16 | 1.00 |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
| 4,5 | 1.46 | 1.73 | 0.83 | 1.00 | 1.35 | 1.18 | 1.08 |
|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |  |  |  |
| 4,5 | 2.05 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.13 |  |  |  |


| BACKCALCULATED PARTIAL RECRUITMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| 1 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.24 | 0.01 |
| 2 | 0.28 | 0.46 | 0.78 | 0.98 | 0.53 | 0.32 | 0.38 |
| 3 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.84 | 0.88 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.70 |
| 4 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.97 | 0.77 | 0.96 | 0.97 |
| 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| 1 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 2 | 0.31 | 0.03 | 0.40 | 0.63 | 0.32 | 0.69 | 0.81 |
| 3 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.68 | 1.00 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.58 |
| 4 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.59 | 0.89 | 0.96 | 0.97 |
| 5 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
| 1 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.39 |
| 2 | 0.53 | 0.35 | 0.14 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.60 | 0.09 |
| 3 | 0.91 | 0.63 | 0.42 | 0.91 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.51 |
| 4 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.97 |
| 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.42 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.58 | 0.34 | 0.50 | 0.80 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.90 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |

## MEAN BIOMASS

|  | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 255 | 445 | 600 | 205 | 141 | 412 | 211 |
| 2 | 6462 | 5404 | 6404 | 8972 | 3985 | 3040 | 8088 |
| 3 | 8797 | 4405 | 2648 | 2963 | 3847 | 2140 | 2271 |
| 4 | 5360 | 3840 | 1288 | 828 | 1042 | 1224 | 838 |
| 5 | 2408 | 1973 | 832 | 368 | 284 | 381 | 404 |
| 6 | 1316 | 996 | 492 | 664 | 408 | 164 | 241 |
| $1+$ | 24599 | 17062 | 12264 | 14000 | 9708 | 7361 | 12053 |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| 1 | 199 | 553 | 186 | 49 | 76 | 129 | 60 |
| 2 | 4883 | 5368 | 10718 | 3003 | 649 | 1526 | 2431 |
| 3 | 5791 | 4034 | 4211 | 5879 | 1079 | 520 | 910 |
| 4 | 1296 | 2218 | 1839 | 1952 | 1210 | 379 | 239 |
| 5 | 356 | 435 | 646 | 629 | 467 | 260 | 133 |
| 6 | 144 | 76 | 73 | 164 | 111 | 45 | 68 |
| $1+$ | 12668 | 12684 | 17673 | 11677 | 3593 | 2859 | 3841 |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
| 1 | 63 | 173 | 77 | 106 | 201 | 144 | 123 |
| 2 | 1036 | 1221 | 4522 | 1461 | 2212 | 3314 | 2839 |
| 3 | 1073 | 598 | 1024 | 2632 | 1024 | 2044 | 1828 |
| 4 | 365 | 265 | 234 | 475 | 905 | 581 | 1151 |
| 5 | 61 | 81 | 72 | 75 | 157 | 280 | 165 |
| 6 | 63 | 21 | 25 | 17 | 37 | 27 | 62 |
| 1+ | 2661 | 2360 | 5955 | 4766 | 4536 | 6389 | 6168 |
|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 245 | 190 | 134. | 191 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1988 | 5176 | 4739 | 3291 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1636 | 1985 | 6336 | 5393 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 645 | 691 | 1936 | 6695 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 275 | 164 | 573 | 1828 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 52 | 42 | 72 | 650 |  |  |  |
| 1+ | 4840 | 8248 | 13789 | 18049 | 00 |  |  |

SSB AT THE START OF THE SPAWNING SEASON -MALES AND FEMALES (MT)

|  | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 2 | 2796 | 2530 | 2984 | 4200 | 1870 | 1413 | 3767 |
| 3 | 8895 | 4500 | 2678 | 3026 | 3883 | 2185 | 2320 |
| 4 | 5531 | 3982 | 1319 | 861 | 1084 | 1275 | 873 |
| 5 | 2509 | 2042 | 848 | 383 | 296 | 397 | 421 |
| 6 | 1372 | 1031 | 502 | 691 | 424 | 171 | 251 |
| 1+ | 21103 | 14085 | 8331 | 9160 | 7557 | 5441 | 7632 |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| 1 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 2 | 2260 | 2678 | 5454 | 1534 | 629 | 1480 | 2358 |
| 3 | 5918 | 4161 | 4347 | 6031 | 1103 | 543 | 947 |
| 4 | 1351 | 2295 | 1908 | 2035 | 1195 | 394 | 248 |
| 5 | 371 | 449 | 670 | 656 | 450 | 270 | 139 |
| 6 | 150 | 78 | 75 | 171 | 107 | 46 | 71 |
| 1+ | 10050 | 9660 | 12455 | 10427 | 3485 | 2732 | 3763 |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
| 1 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 2 | 1004 | 1183 | 4299 | 1406 | 2089 | 1796 | 1508 |
| 3 | 1106 | 621 | 1059 | 2744 | 1062 | 2120 | 1635 |
| 4 | 375 | 269 | 244 | 495 | 934 | 603 | 1197 |
| 5 | 63 | 82 | 75 | 78 | 162 | 290 | 172 |
| 6 | 64 | 21 | 26 | 18 | 38 | 28 | 64 |
| $1+$ | 2613 | 2176 | 5705 | 4740 | 4285 | 4837 | 4576 |
|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1057 | 2734 | 2506 | 1744 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1456 | 1750 | 5565 | 4739 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 628 | 703 | 1948 | 6715 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 268 | 171 | 588 | 1871 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 51 | 44 | 74 | 666 |  |  |  |
| $1+$ | 3460 | 5401 | 10680 | 15734 |  |  |  |

The number of bootstraps: 1000
Bootstrap Output Variable: N hat

|  | NLLS | BOOTSTRAP | BOOTSTRAP | C.V. FOR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ESTIMATE | MEAN | StdError | NLLS SOLN |  |
| N 2 | 17235 | 19819 | 10978 | 0.64 |  |
| N 3 | 9346 | 10258 | 4183 | 0.45 |  |
| N 4 | 10059 | 10754 | 3216 | 0.32 |  |
| N 5 | 9529 | 9630 | 1758 | 0.18 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NLLS EST | C.V. FOR |
|  | BIAS | BIAS | PERCENT | CORRECTED | CORRECTED |
|  | ESTIMATE | STD ERROR | BIAS | FOR BIAS | ESTIMATE |
| N 2 | 2584 | 347 | 14.99 | 14651 | 0.749320 |
| N 3 | 913 | 132 | 9.77 | 8433 | 0.496071 |
| N 4 | 695 | 102 | 6.91 | 9363 | 0.343462 |
| N 5 | 101 | 56 | 1.06 | 9428 | 0.186503 |

Bootstrap Output Variable: Q_unscaled

|  | NLLS | BOOTSTRAP | BOOTSTRAP | C.V. FOR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ESTIMATE | MEAN | StdError | NLLS SOLN |  |
| q USspr1 | 0.0000035 | 0.0000036 | 0.0000010 | 0.30 |  |
| q USspr2 | 0.0000564 | 0.0000579 | 0.0000135 | 0.24 |  |
| q USspr3 | 0.0001321 | 0.0001341 | 0.0000260 | 0.20 |  |
| q USspr4 | 0.0002170 | 0.0002190 | 0.0000371 | 0.17 |  |
| q USspr5 | 0.0003340 | 0.0003401 | 0.0000740 | 0.22 |  |
| q USspr6 | 0.0004729 | 0.0004839 | 0.0000995 | 0.21 |  |
| q USfall1 | 0.0000396 | 0.0000403 | 0.0000088 | 0.22 |  |
| q USfall2 | 0.0000791 | 0.0000810 | 0.0000157 | 0.20 |  |
| q USfall3 | 0.0001653 | 0.0001654 | 0.0000161 | 0.10 |  |
| q USfall4 | 0.0001900 | 0.0001919 | 0.0000242 | 0.13 |  |
| q USfall5 | 0.0002459 | 0.0002488 | 0.0000354 | 0.14 |  |
| q USfall6 | 0.0003403 | 0.0003493 | 0.0000770 | 0.23 |  |
| q Canada2 | 0.0001859 | . 0.0001960 | 0.0000598 | 0.32 |  |
| q Canada3 | 0.0005521 | 0.0005533 | 0.0000661 | 0.12 |  |
| q Canada 4 | 0.0009282 | 0.0009302 | 0.0000906 | 0.10 |  |
| q Canada5 | 0.0010706 | 0.0010975 | 0.0002656 | 0.25 |  |
| q Canada6 | 0.0007273 | 0.0007680 | 0.0002535 | 0.35 |  |
| q Scallı | 0.0000265 | 0.0000270 | 0.0000059 | 0.22 |  |
| q USs21 | 0.0000078 | 0.0000093 | 0.0000056 | 0.72 |  |
| q USs22 | 0.0000812 | 0.0000822 | 0.0000129 | 0.16 |  |
| q USs23 | 0.0001015 | 0.0001027 | 0.0000157 | 0.16 |  |
| q USs24 | 0.0001098 | 0.0001109 | 0.0000134 | 0.12 |  |
| q USs25 | 0.0000942 | 0.0000965 | 0.0000201 | 0.21 |  |
| q USs26 | 0.0001069 | 0.0001129 | 0.0000406 | 0.38 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NLLS EST | C.V. FOR |
|  | BIAS | BIAS | PERCENT | CORRECTED | CORRECTED |
|  | ESTIMATE | STD ERROR | BIAS | FOR BIAS | ESTIMATE |
| q USspr1 | 0.00000007 | 0.000000033 | 1.999 | 0.000003418 | 0.30 |
| q USspr2 | 0.00000155 | 0.000000428 | 2.752 | 0.000054802 | 0.25 |
| q USspr3 | 0.00000206 | 0.000000823 | 1.562 | 0.000130020 | 0.20 |
| q USspr4 | 0.00000197 | 0.000001175 | 0.907 | 0.000215058 | 0.17 |
| q USspr5 | 0.00000610 | 0.000002340 | 1.825 | 0.000327862 | 0.23 |
| q USspr6 | 0.00001102 | 0.000003146 | 2.331 | 0.000461863 | 0.22 |
| q USfall1 | 0.00000074 | 0.000000278 | 1.871 | 0.000038820 | 0.23 |
| q USfall2 | 0.00000193 | 0.000000496 | 2.445 | 0.000077162 | 0.20 |
| q USfall3 | 0.00000015 | 0.000000510 | 0.092 | 0.000165123 | 0.10 |
| q USfall4 | 0.00000192 | 0.000000766 | 1.009 | 0.000188104 | 0.13 |
| q USfall5 | 0.00000298 | 0.000001119 | 1.213 | 0.000242883 | 0.15 |
| q USfall6 | 0.00000900 | 0.000002434 | 2.645 | 0.000331269 | 0.23 |
| q Canada2 | 0.00001007 | 0.000001892 | 5.417 | 0.000175819 | 0.34 |
| q Canada3 | 0.00000113 | 0.000002091 | 0.204 | 0.000551016 | 0.12 |
| q Canada4 | 0.00000197 | 0.000002863 | 0.212 | 0.000926241 | 0.10 |
| q Canada 5 | 0.00002691 | 0.000008400 | 2.514 | 0.001043720 | 0.25 |
| q Canada6 | 0.00004074 | 0.000008015 | 5.601 | 0.000686551 | 0.37 |
| q Scallı | 0.00000050 | 0.000000186 | 1.900 | 0.000026030 | 0.23 |
| q USs21 | 0.00000153 | 0.000000176 | 19.747 | 0.000006232 | 0.89 |
| q USs22 | 0.00000098 | 0.000000408 | 1.210 | 0.000080221 | 0.16 |
| q USs23 | 0.00000113 | 0.000000498 | 1.112 | 0.000100393 | 0.16 |
| q USs24 | 0.00000103 | 0.000000423 | 0.941 | 0.000108787 | 0.12 |
| q USs25 | 0.00000228 | 0.000000636 | 2.421 | 0.000091967 | 0.22 |
| q USs26 | 0.00000600 | 0.000001285 | 5.615 | 0.000100856 | 0.40 |

Bootstrap Output Variable: N t1

|  | NLLS | BOOTSTRAP | BOOTSTRAP | C.V. FOR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ESTIMATE | MEAN | StdError | NLLS SOLN |  |
| Age 1 | 18990.7 | 19027.1 | 596.6 | 0.0314 |  |
| Age 2 | 17235.1 | 19819.4 | 10978.1 | 0.6370 |  |
| Age 3 | 9345.7 | 10258.3 | 4183.4 | 0.4476 |  |
| Age 4 | 10058.6 | 10753.7 | 3216.0 | 0.3197 |  |
| Age 5 | 9529.0 | 9629.6 | 1758.4 | 0.1845 |  |
| Age 6 | 2730.1 | 2759.0 | 504.0 | 0.1846 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NLLS EST | C.V. FOR |
|  | BIAS | BIAS | PERCENT | CORRECTED | CORRECTED |
|  | ESTIMATE | STD ERROR | BIAS | FOR BIAS | ESTIMATE |
| Age 1 | 36.47 | 18.87 | 0.192 | 18954.20 | 0.03 |
| Age 2 | 2584.38 | 347.16 | 14.995 | 14650.69 | 0.75 |
| Age 3 | 912.62 | 132.29 | 9.765 | 8433.10 | 0.50 |
| Age 4 | 695.14 | 101.70 | 6.911 | 9363.42 | 0.34 |
| Age 5 | 100.65 | 55.61 | 1.056 | 9428.33 | 0.19 |
| Age 6 | 28.85 | 15.94 | 1.057 | 2701.27 | 0.19 |

Bootstrap Output Variable: F t

|  | NLLS | BOOTSTRAP | BOOTSTRAP | C.V. FOR |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age 1 | ESTIMATE | MEAN | StdError | NLLS SOLN |  |
| Age 2 | 0.0008 | 0.0010 | 0.0007 | 0.82 |  |
| Age 3 | 0.0560 | 0.0600 | 0.0261 | 0.47 |  |
| Age 4 | 0.1072 | 0.1094 | 0.0341 | 0.32 |  |
| Age 5 | 0.1333 | 0.1359 | 0.0235 | 0.18 |  |
| Age 6 | 0.1333 | 0.1359 | 0.0235 | 0.18 |  |
|  | 0.1333 | 0.1359 | 0.0235 | 0.18 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | NLLS EST |

Bootstrap Output Variable: F full t

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NLLS | BOOTSTRAP | BOOTSTRAP | C.V. FOR |  |
| ESTIMATE | MEAN | 0.1359 | StdError | NLLS SOLN |


| NLLS | BOOTSTRAP | BOOTSTRAP | C.V. FOR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ESTIMATE | MEAN | StdError | NLLS SOLN |  |
| 18048.5266 | 18831.7230 | 2749.7476 | 0.15 |  |
|  |  |  | NLLS EST | C.V. FOR |
| BIAS | BIAS | PERCENT | CORRECTED | CORRECTED |
| ESTIMATE | STD ERROR | BIAS | FOR BIAS | ESTIMATE |
| 783.1963 | 86.9547 | 4.34 | 17265.3303 | 0.16 |
| Bootstrap Output Variable: | SSB f mean |  |  |  |
| NLLS | BOOTSTRAP | BOOTSTRAP | C.V. FOR |  |
| ESTIMATE | MEAN | StdError | NLLS SOLN |  |
| 7694.4980 | 7971.5622 | 1136.2280 | 0.15 |  |
|  |  |  | NLLS EST | C.V. FOR |
| BIAS | BIAS | PERCENT | CORRECTED | CORRECTED |
| ESTIMATE | STD ERROR | BIAS | FOR BIAS | ESTIMATE |
| 277.064 | 35.931 | 3.60 | 7417.434 | 0.15 |
| Bootstrap Output Variable: | SSB spawn $t$ |  |  |  |
| NLLS | BOOTSTRAP | BOOTSTRAP | C.V. FOR |  |
| ESTIMATE | MEAN | StdError | NLLS SOLN |  |
| 15734.3045 | 16296.6180 | 2307.9784 | 0.15 |  |
|  |  |  | NLLS EST | C.V. FOR |
| BIAS | BIAS | PERCENT | CORRECTED | CORRECTED |
| ESTIMATE | STD ERROR | BIAS | FOR BIAS | ESTIMATE |
| 562.31 | 72.98 | 3.57 | 15171.99 | 0.15 |

## Appendix B. ASPIC analysis of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder.

Georges Bank Yellowtail -- ASPIC 3.6x -- Including Discards
09 Apr 1998 at 14:46
ASPIC -- A Surplus-Production Model Including Covariates (Ver. 3.65)
FIT Mode

## Author: Michael H. Prager <br> National Marine Fisheries Service <br> Southwest Fisheries Science Center <br> 3150 Paradise Drive <br> Tiburon, California 94920 USA

CONTROL PARAMETERS USED (FROM INPUT FILE)


Number of years analyzed: 35
umber of data series:
Objective function computed:
Relative conv. criterion (simplex)
Relative conv. criterion (restart):
Relative conv. criterion (effort): Maximum $F$ allowed in fitting:
in EFFORT
1.000E-08
. $000 \mathrm{E}-08$
$1.000 \mathrm{E}-04$
5.000
umber of bootstrap trials:
lower bound on MSY
Upper bound on MSY:
Lower bound on $r$ :
Upper bound on $r$ :
Random number seed:
Monte Carlo search trials:
$5.000 \mathrm{E}+00$
$5.000 \mathrm{E}+00$
$5.000 \mathrm{E}+01$
$1.000 \mathrm{E}-01$
$5.000 \mathrm{E}+00$
1964287 50000

PROGRAM STATUS INFORMATION (NON-BOOTSTRAPPED ANALYSIS)
code 0
Normal convergence.

CORRELATION AMONG INPUT SERIES EXPRESSED AS CPUE (NUMBER OF PAIRWISE OBSERVATIONS BELOW)

1 USA Fall Survey $|$| 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- |

2 USA Spring Survey 36

3 USA Spring Survey 41

4 Canadian Survey - lagged

35
$0.777 \quad 1.000$
$0.796 \quad 0.000 \quad 1.000$
$\begin{array}{rrrr}0.269 & 0.627 & 0.000 & 1.000 \\ 12 & 12 & 0 & 12\end{array}$


| Loss component number and title | Weighted SSE | N | Weighted MSE | Current weight | Suggested weight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-squared } \\ & \text { in cPuE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loss(-1) SSE in yield | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loss ( 0) Penalty for B1R > 2 | $6.521 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1 | N/A | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | N/A |  |
| Loss ( 1) USA Fall Survey | $8.085 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 35 | 2.450E-01 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.020 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.768 |
| Loss ( 2) USA Spring Survey 36 | $4.787 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 21 | 2.520E-01 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.919 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.571 |
| Loss ( 3) USA Spring Survey 41 | $2.040 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 9 | $2.915 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.574 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -0.037 |
| Loss ( 4) Canadian Survey - lagged | $2.352 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 12 | 2.352E-01 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.062 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.400 |
| TOTAL OBJECTIVE FUNCTION: | $1.79171009 \mathrm{E}+01$ |  |  |  |  |  |

NOTE: B1-ratio constraint term contributing to loss. Sensitivity analysis advised.

| Number of restarts required for convergence: | 65 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Est. B-ratio coverage index ( 0 worst, 2 best): | 1.9105 |
| Est. B-ratio nearness index ( 0 worst, 1 best): | 1.0000 |

Est. B-ratio nearness index (0 worst, 1 best): 1.0000

MODEL PARAMETER ESTIMATES (NON-BOOTSTRAPPED)

| Parameter |  | Estimate | Starting guess | Estimated | User guess |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1R | Starting biomass ratio, year 1963 | $4.485 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1 | 1 |
| MSY | Maximum sustainable yield | $1.366 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.400 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 1 |
| r | Intrinsic rate of increase <br> Catchability coefficients by fishery: | 6.207E-01 | $6.000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1 | 1 |
| q( 1) | USA Fall Survey | $1.209 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1 | 1 |
| q( 2) | USA Spring Survey 36 | 1.396E-01 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1 | 1 |
| q( 3) | USA Spring Survey 41 | $9.693 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1 | 1 |
| q( 4) | Canadian Survey - lagged | 2.870E-01 | $3.000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1 | 1 |

## MANAGEMENT PARAMETER ESTIMATES (NON-BOOTSTRAPPED)

| Parameter |  | Estimate | Formula |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSY | Maximum sustainable yield | $1.366 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $\mathrm{Kr} / 4$ |  |
| K | Maximum stock biomass | $8.805 \mathrm{E}+01$ |  |  |
| Bmsy | Stock biomass at MSY | $4.402 \mathrm{E}+01$ | K/2 |  |
| Fmsy | Fishing mortality at MSY | 3.103E-01 | r/2 |  |
| F(0.1) | Management benchmark | 2.793E-01 | 0.9*Fmsy |  |
| Y (0.1) | Equilibrium yield at $\mathrm{F}(0.1)$ | 1.353E+01 | $0.99 * M S Y$ |  |
| B-ratio | Ratio of B(1998) to Bmsy | $6.523 \mathrm{E}-01$ |  |  |
| F-ratio | Ratio of $F(1997)$ to Fmsy | $2.455 \mathrm{E}-01$ |  |  |
| Y-ratio | Proportion of MSY avail in 1998 | 8.791E-01 | $2 * B r-\mathrm{Br}^{\wedge} 2$ | $\mathrm{Ye}(1998)=1.201 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| fmsy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( 1 ) | Fishing, effort at MSY in units USA Fall Survey | shery: $2.568 \mathrm{E}+00$ | r/2q( 1) | $f(0.1)=2.311 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

ESTIMATED POPULATION TRAJECTORY (NON-BOOTSTRAPPED)

|  | Year | Estimated total | Estimated starting | Estimated average | Observed total | Model total | Estimated surplus | Ratio of F mort | Ratio of biomass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obs | or ID | $F$ mort | biomass | biomass | yield | yield | production | to Fmsy | to Bmsy |
| 1 | 1963 | 0.119 | $1.974 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.464 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.746 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.746 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.385 E+01$ | 3.842E-01 | $4.485 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 2 | 1964 | 0.198 | $1.161 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.964 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.977 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.977 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -8.601E+00 | $6.393 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.638 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 3 | 1965 | 0.243 | $8.776 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.953 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.931 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.931 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.655 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.826 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.993 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 4 | 1966 | 0.202 | $7.310 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.017 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.419 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.419 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.829 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6.515E-01 | $1.660 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 5 | 1967 | 0.216 | $6.774 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.565 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.420 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.420 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.036 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.967 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.539 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 6 | 1968 | 0.304 | $6.390 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.033 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.832 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.832 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.176E+01 | $9.785 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.451 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 7 | 1969 | 0.406 | $5.734 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.279 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.145 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.145 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.308 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.309 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.303 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 8 | 1970 | 0.489 | $4.897 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.455 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.179 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.179 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.362E+01 | $1.576 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.112 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 9 | 1971 | 0.381 | $4.080 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.993 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.520E+01 | $1.520 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.354 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.227 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.268 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 10 | 1972 | 0.482 | $3.914 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.678 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.773 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.773 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.328 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.553 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 8.891E-01 |
| 11 | 1973 | 0.505 | $3.469 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.270 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.652 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.652 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.275E+01 | $1.628 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.880 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 12 | 1974 | 0.583 | $3.092 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.846 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.659 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.659 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.194 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.878 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.023 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 13 | 1975 | 0.684 | $2.627 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.342 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.601 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.601 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.065 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.203 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.968 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 14 | 1976 | 0.799 | $2.091 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.797 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.436 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.436 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.858 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.575 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.751 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 15 | 1977 | 0.715 | $1.542 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.399 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.001 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.001 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.301 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.305 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.502 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 16 | 1978 | 0.474 | $1.271 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.307E+01 | $6.188 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.188 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.907 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.526 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.886 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 17 | 1979 | 0.443 | $1.342 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.398E+01 | $6.195 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.195 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.298 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.428 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.049 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 18 | 1980 | 0.459 | $1.453 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.495 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.863 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.863 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.704 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.479 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.300 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 19 | 1981 | 0.384 | $1.537 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.636 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.277 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.277 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.266 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.236 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.491 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 20 | 1982 | 0.795 | $1.736 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.510 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.200 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.200 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.754 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.560 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.943 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 21 | 1983 | 1.174 | $1.311 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.733 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.142 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.142 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.352 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.781 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.979 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 22 | 1984 | 1.015 | $7.044 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.705 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.791 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.791 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.308 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.271 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.600 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 23 | 1985 | 0.539 | $4.561 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.675 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.520 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.520 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.747 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.737 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.036 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 24 | 1986 | 0.664 | $4.789 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.612 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.060 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.060 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.712 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.138 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.088 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 25 | 1987 | 0.711 | $4.441 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.184 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.975 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.975 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.473 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.291 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.009 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 26 | 1988 | 0.518 | $3.939 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.089 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.118 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.118 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.420 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.669 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 8.948E-02 |
| 27 | 1989 | 0.238 | $4.241 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.076 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.207 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.207 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.967 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.663 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.634 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 28 | 1990 | 0.602 | $6.001 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.933 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.569 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.569 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.434 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.938 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.363E-01 |
| 29 | 1991 | 0.301 | $5.867 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.751 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.030 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.030 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.867 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 9.690E-01 | $1.333 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 30 | 1992 | 0.635 | $7.704 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.448 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.732 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.732 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.232 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.047 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.750E-01 |
| 31 | 1993 | 0.526 | $7.204 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.360 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.874 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.874 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.186 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.696 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.636 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 32 | 1994 | 0.498 | $7.516 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.781 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.871 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.871 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.402 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1. $603 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.707E-01 |
| 33 | 1995 | 0.079 | $8.047 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.030 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 8.110E-01 | $8.110 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.631 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.538E-01 | $1.828 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 34 | 1996 | 0.079 | $1.287 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.612 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.273 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.273 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.148 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.544E-01 | $2.923 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 35 | 1997 | 0.076 | $1.974 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.408 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.834 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.834 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.081 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.455 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.484E-01 |
| 36 | 1998 |  | $2.872 \mathrm{E}+01$ |  |  |  |  |  | $6.523 \mathrm{E}-01$ |

Data type CC: CPUE-catch series

| Obs | Year | Observed effort | Estimated effort | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Estim } \\ \mathrm{F} \end{array}$ | Observed yield | Model <br> yield | Resid in $\log$ effort | Resid in yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1963 | 1.365E+00 | $9.866 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.1192 | $1.746 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.746 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.32479 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 2 | 1964 | $1.451 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.642 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.1984 | 1.977E+01 | $1.977 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -0.12338 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 3 | 1965 | $2.121 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.010 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.2429 | $1.931 E+01$ | $1.931 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.05416 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 4 | 1966 | $3.557 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.673 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.2022 | $1.419 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.419 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.75436 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 5 | 1967 | $1.874 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.789 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.2162 | $1.420 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.420 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.04635 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 6 | 1968 | $1.739 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.513 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.3037 | $1.832 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.832 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -0.36816 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 7 | 1969 | $2.312 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.362 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.4063 | $2.145 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.145E+01 | -0.37463 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 8 | 1970 | $4.377 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.048 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.4892 | $2.179 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.179 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.07820 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 9 | 1971 | $2.389 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.151 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.3808 | 1.520E +01 | $1.520 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -0.27696 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 10 | 1972 | $2.802 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.989 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.4821 | $1.773 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.773 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -0.35335 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 11 | 1973 | $2.503 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.182 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.5053 | 1.652E+01 | $1.652 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -0.51331 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 12 | 1974 | $4.443 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.823 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.5829 | 1.659E+01 | $1.659 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -0.08207 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 13 | 1975 | $6.770 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.658 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.6837 | $1.601 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.601 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.17951 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 14 | 1976 | $9.365 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.612 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.7991 | $1.436 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.436 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.34809 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 15 | 1977 | $3.539 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.919 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.7153 | $1.001 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.001 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -0.51444 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 16 | 1978 | $2.597 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.918 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.4735 | $6.188 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.188 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.41144 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 17 | 1979 | $4.076 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.667 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.4432 | $6.195 E+00$ | $6.195 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.10555 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 18 | 1980 | $1.021 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.798 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.4590 | $6.863 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.863 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -1.31379 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 19 | 1981 | $2.395 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.175 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.3836 | $6.277 E+00$ | $6.277 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.28182 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 20 | 1982 | $5.285 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.574 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.7945 | $1.200 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.200 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -0.21831 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 21 | 1983 | $5.360 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.711 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.1735 | $1.142 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.142 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -0.59428 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 22 | 1984 | $9.766 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.400 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.0151 | $5.791 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.791 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.15066 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 23 | 1985 | $3.554 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.461 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.5391 | $2.520 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.520 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.22714 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 24 | 1986 | $3.732 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.491 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.6635 | $3.060 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.060 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.38618 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 25 | 1987 | $5.845 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.884 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.7111 | $2.975 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.975 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.00668 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 26 | 1988 | $1.239 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.286 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.5180 | $2.118 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.118 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.06121 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 27 | 1989 | $1.235 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.968 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.2378 | $1.207 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.207 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.46547 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 28 | 1990 | $4.923 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.977E+00 | 0.6015 | $3.569 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.569 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.01105 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 29 | 1991 | $2.781 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.488 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.3007 | $2.030 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.030 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.11116 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 30 | 1992 | $8.215 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.257 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.6353 | $4.732 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.732 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.44645 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 31 | 1993 | $7.108 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.356 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.5264 | $3.874 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.874 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.48978 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 32 | 1994 | $4.315 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.117 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 10.4975 | $3.871 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.871 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.04714 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 33 | 1995 | $2.291 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.517 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.0788 | $8.110 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.110E-01 | 1.25722 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 34 | 1996 | $9.770 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.533 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.0789 | $1.273 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.273 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.40246 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 35 | 1997 | 4.851E-01 | $6.303 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.0762 | 1. $834 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.834 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.26196 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

Georges Bank Yellowtail -- ASPIC 3.6x -- Including Discards


RESULTS FOR DATA SERIES \# 2 (NON-BOOTSTRAPPED)

| Obs | Year | Observed effort | Estimated effort | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estim } \\ \mathrm{F} \end{gathered}$ | Observed index | Model index | Resid in log index | Resid in index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1963 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $2.756 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 1964 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.621 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 1965 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.225 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 1966 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.020 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 1967 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $9.456 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 6 | 1968 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $2.813 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.920 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -1.15409 | $-6.107 E+00$ |
| 7 | 1969 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $1.117 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.005 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.33318 | $3.165 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 8 | 1970 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $5.312 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.837 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.25233 | $-1.525 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 9 | 1971 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $4.607 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.696 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.21218 | $-1.089 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 10 | 1972 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $6.450 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.464 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.16591 | 9.861E-01 |
| 11 | 1973 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $4.843 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 12 | 1974 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $4.316 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 13 | 1975 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $3.668 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 14 | 1976 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $2.920 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 15 | 1977 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $2.152 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 16 | 1978 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.774 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 17 | 1979 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 E+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.874 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 18 | 1980 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $2.028 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 19 | 1981 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $2.145 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 1982 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $2.500 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.423 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.03129 | $7.702 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 21 | 1983 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $2.642 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.831 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.36682 | $8.113 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 22 | 1984 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $1.646 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.834 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.51510 | $6.626 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 23 | 1985 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $9.880 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.367 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.43933 | 3.513E-01 |
| 24 | 1986 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $8.470 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.685 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.23669 | $1.785 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 25 | 1987 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $3.290 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.199E-01 | -0.63358 | -2.909E-01 |
| 26 | 1988 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $5.660 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.499E-01 | 0.02885 | $1.609 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 27 | 1989 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | 7.290E-01 | 5.921E-01 | 0.20803 | $1.369 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 28 | 1990 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $6.990 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.378E-01 | -0.18109 | -1.388E-01 |
| 29 | 1991 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $6.310 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.190E-01 | -0.26076 | -1.880E-01 |
| 30 | 1992 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $1.566 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.075 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.37582 | $4.906 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 31 | 1993 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $4.820 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.006 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.73539 | -5.236E-01 |
| 32 | 1994 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $6.600 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.049 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.46351 | -3.892E-01 |
| 33 | 1995 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $2.579 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.123 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.83109 | $1.456 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 34 | 1996 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $2.853 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.796 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.46272 | $1.057 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 35 | 1997 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $4.359 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.756 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.45852 | $1.603 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

USA Spring Survey 36
Series weight: 1.000

## Data type IO: Start-of-year biomass index

* Asterisk indicates missing value(s).

Georges Bank Yellowtail -- ASPIC 3.6x -- Including Discards


Data type I0: Start-of-year biomass index Series weight: 1.000

| Obs | Year | Observed effort | Estimated effort | $\underset{\mathrm{F}}{\text { Estim }}$ | Observed index | Model index | Resid in $\log$ index | Resid in index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1963 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.914 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 1964 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.126 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 1965 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $8.506 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 1966 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $7.085 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 1967 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $6.566 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 6 | 1968 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $6.194 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 7 | 1969 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $5.558 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 8 | 1970 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $4.747 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 9 | 1971 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $3.955 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 10 | 1972 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $3.794 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 11 | 1973 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $2.938 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.362 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.13495 | -4.245E-01 |
| 12 | 1974 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $2.719 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.997 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.09727 | -2.778E-01 |
| 13 | 1975 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $1.676 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.546 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.41831 | -8.705E-01 |
| 14 | 1976 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $2.273 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.027 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.11449 | $2.459 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 15 | 1977 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | 9.990E-01 | $1.494 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.40254 | -4.951E-01 |
| 16 | 1978 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | 7.420E-01 | $1.232 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.50664 | -4.895E-01 |
| 17 | 1979 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $1.227 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.301 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.05869 | -7.417E-02 |
| 18 | 1980 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $4.456 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.408 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.15205 | $3.048 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 19 | 1981 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $1.960 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.490 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.27447 | 4.705E-01 |
| 20 | 1982 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.682 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 21 | 1983 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.271 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 22 | 1984 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $6.828 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 23 | 1985 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $4.421 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 24 | 1986 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | 4.641E-01 | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 25 | 1987 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $4.304 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 26 | 1988 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | 3.818E-01 | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 27 | 1989 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $4.111 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 28 | 1990 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $5.817 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 29 | 1991 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $5.686 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 1992 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $7.467 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 31 | 1993 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $6.982 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 32 | 1994 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $7.285 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 33 | 1995 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $7.800 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 34 | 1996 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.247 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 35 | 1997 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.913 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |

* Asterisk indicates missing value(s).

Georges Bank Yellowtail -- ASPIC 3.6x -- Including Discards


RESULTS FOR DATA SERIES \# 4 (NON-BOOTSTRAPPED)
Data type 12: End-of-year biomass index

| Obs | Year | Observed effort | Estimated effort | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estim } \\ F \end{gathered}$ | Observed index | Model index | Resid in log index | Resid in index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1963 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $3.333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 1964 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $2.518 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 1965 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $2.098 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 1966 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.944 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 1967 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.834 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 6 | 1968 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.646 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 7 | 1969 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.405 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 8 | 1970 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.171 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 9 | 1971 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.123 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 10 | 1972 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $9.956 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 11 | 1973 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $8.873 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 12 | 1974 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $7.540 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 13 | 1975 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $6.002 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 14 | 1976 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $4.424 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 15 | 1977 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $3.646 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 16 | 1978 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $3.852 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 17 | 1979 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $4.169 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 18 | 1980 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $4.410 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 19 | 1981 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $4.981 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 1982 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $3.763 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 21 | 1983 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | 2.022E+00 | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 22 | 1984 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | 1.309E+00 | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 23 | 1985 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | * | $1.374 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00000 | 0.0 |
| 24 | 1986 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $1.264 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.274 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.00823 | -1.044E-02 |
| 25 | 1987 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $1.235 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.130 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.08845 | $1.045 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 26 | 1988 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | 4.710E-01 | $1.217 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.94941 | -7.462E-01 |
| 27 | 1989 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $1.578 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.722 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.08746 | -1.442E-01 |
| 28 | 1990 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $1.759 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.684 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.04380 | 7.539E-02 |
| 29 | 1991 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $2.475 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.211 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.11291 | $2.643 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 30 | 1992 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $2.642 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.067 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.24533 | $5.748 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 31 | 1993 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $2.753 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.157 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.24407 | $5.962 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 32 | 1994 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $2.027 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.309 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.13038 | -2.823E-01 |
| 33 | 1995 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $5.304 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.692 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.36218 | $1.612 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 34 | 1996 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $1.329 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.665 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.85282 | $7.627 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 35 | 1997 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.0 | $4.292 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.241 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.65238 | $-3.949 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

* Asterisk indicates missing value(s).
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## Bootstrapped Estimates of ASPIC Model Parameters

Georges Bank Yellowtail -- ASPIC 3.6x -- Including Discards Georges Bank Yellowtail -- ASPIC
RESULTS OF BOOTSTRAPPED ANALYSIS

| Param name | Biascorrected estimate | Ordinary estimate | Relative bias | Approx 80\% lower CL | Approx 80\% upper CL | Approx 50\% lower CL | Approx 50\% upper CL | Interquartile range | Relative IQ range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blratio | $5.323 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.485 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -15.75\% | $4.236 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.201 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.669 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.391 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.237 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.735 |
| K | $8.192 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.805 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 7.498 | $3.223 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.038 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.033 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.729 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.696 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.207 |
| r | 6.726E-01 | $6.207 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -7.72\% | $5.939 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.739 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.271 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.533 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.262 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.188 |
| q(1) | 1.317E-01 | 1.209E-01 | -8.23\% | $1.130 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.580E-01 | 1.215E-01 | $1.394 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.792E-02 | 0.136 |
| q(2) | $1.544 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.396 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -9.58\% | $1.309 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.146E-01 | $1.419 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.708 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.892E-02 | 0.187 |
| q(3) | $1.051 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.693 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -7.77\% | $8.451 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.568E-01 | $9.445 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.237 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.921E-02 | 0.278 |
| q(4) | 3.197E-01 | $2.870 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -10.22\% | $2.498 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.202 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.812 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.652E-01 | 8.396E-02 | 0.263 |
| MSY | $1.388 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.366 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1.59\% | $1.321 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.526 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.358 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.426 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 6.807E-01 | 0.049 |
| Ye(1998) | $1.251 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.201 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -4.01\% | $1.092 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.367 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.165 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.324 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.595 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.127 |
| Bmsy | $4.096 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.402 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.49 \%$ | $1.611 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.519 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.516 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.364 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.481 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.207 |
| Fmsy | 3.363E-01 | 3.103E-01 | -7.72\% | $2.970 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.370 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.136 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.767 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.310E-02 | 0.188 |
| fmsy (1) | $2.565 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.568 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.138 | $2.296 E+00$ | $2.925 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.423 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.731 E+00$ | 3.079E-01 | 0.120 |
| fmsy (2) | $2.169 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.223 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.498 | $1.861 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.553 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.015 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.348 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.330 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.154 |
| fmsy (3) | $3.217 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.202 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.46\% | $2.605 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.975 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.875 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.583 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.078 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.220 |
| Emsy (4) | $1.059 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.081 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.14 \%$ | $8.441 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.331 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.491 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.190 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.405 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.227 |
| $F(0.1)$ | 3.027E-01 | 2.793E-01 | -6.95\% | $2.673 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.133 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.822 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.390 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.679E-02 | 0.188 |
| Y(0.1) | 1.375E+01 | 1. $353 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1.58\% | $1.308 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.511 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.344 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.412 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 6.739E-01 | 0.049 |
| B-ratio | $6.770 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.523 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -3.64\% | $5.418 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.856 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.058 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.864 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.806 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.267 |
| F-ratio | 2.338E-01 | $2.455 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.00 \%$ | $1.734 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.976 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.018 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.649 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.315 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.270 |
| Y-ratio | 8.981E-01 | $8.791 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -2.12\% | $7.932 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.787 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.468E-01 | $9.541 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.073 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.119 |
| f0.1(1) | $2.308 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.311 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.118 | $2.066 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.632 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.180 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.458 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.771E-01 | 0.120 |
| £0.1(2) | $1.952 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.001 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.24 \%$ | $1.675 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.298 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1. $814 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.113 E+00$ | $2.997 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.154 |
| f0.1(3) | $2.895 E+00$ | $2.882 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.42\% | $2.344 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.577 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.588 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.225 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6.370E-01 | 0.220 |
| f0.1(4) | 9.529E-01 | 9.733E-01 | $1.93 \%$ | $7.597 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.198 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.541 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.071 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.165E-01 | 0.227 |
| q2/q1 | $1.176 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.155 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -1.78\% | $9.879 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.428 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.073 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.280 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.073 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.176 |
| q3/q1 | $7.975 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.020 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.578 | $6.328 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.039 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.092 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.025 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.932 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.242 |
| q4/q1 | $2.415 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.375 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -1.68\% | $1.931 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.081 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.146 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.740 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.932E-01 | 0. 246 |

NOTES ON BOOTSTRAPPED ESTIMATES:

- The bootstrapped results shown were computed from 1000 trials
- These results are conditional on the constraints placed upon MSY and $r$ in the input file (ASPIC.INP)
- All bootstrapped intervals are approximate. The statistical literature recommends using at least 1000 trials for accurate $95 \%$ intervals. The $80 \%$ intervals used by ASPIC should require fewer trials for equivalent accuracy. Using at least 500 trials is recommended
- The bias corrections used here are based on medians. This is an accepted statistical procedure, but may estimate nonzero bias for unbiased, skewed estimators

Trials replaced for lack of convergence:
Trials replaced for MSY out-of-bounds:
105
1
46
Trials replaced for $r$ out-of-bounds:
1.0488

## Appendix B - ASPIC Projection

USER CONTROL INFORMATION (FROM INPUT FILE)
Name of biomass (BIO) file
Name of output file (this file)
Number of years of projections

Year

TABLE OF PROJECTED YIELDS

| 1998 | $2.592 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.546 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-1.76 \%$ | $2.506 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.679 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.547 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.608 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.039 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1999 | $3.435 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.321 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-3.32 \%$ | $3.218 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.616 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.324 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.502 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.775 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 2000 | $4.256 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.065 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-4.50 \%$ | $3.873 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.526 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.056 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.434 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.779 \mathrm{E}-01$ |

TRAJECTORY OF ABSOLUTE BIOMASS (BOOTSTRAPPED)

| Year | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Bias- } \\ \text { corrected } \\ \text { estimate } \end{array}$ | Ordinary <br> estimate | Relative bias | Approx 80\% lower CL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Approx } 80 \% \\ & \text { upper CL } \end{aligned}$ | Approx 50\% lower CL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Approx } 508 \\ & \text { upper CL } \end{aligned}$ | Interquartile range | Relative <br> IQ range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | $2.022 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.876 \mathrm{E}+02$ | -7.20\% | $1.739 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.738 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.874 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.136 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.262 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 1.119 |
| 1964 | 1.204E+02 | $1.178 \mathrm{E}+02$ | -2.19\% | $1.093 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.425 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.136 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.879 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 2.352E+01 | 0.195 |
| 1965 | $9.082 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.053 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -0.32\% | $8.108 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.134 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $8.716 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.001 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.040 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.115 |
| 1966 | $7.576 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.586 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.14 \%$ | $6.751 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.700 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.219 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.946 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.270 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.096 |
| 1967 | $6.984 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.033 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.70 \%$ | $6.342 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.731 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.692 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.262 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.697 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.082 |
| 1968 | $6.565 E+01$ | $6.631 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.01\% | $6.057 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.243 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.357 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.793 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.365 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.067 |
| 1969 | $5.884 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.955 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.20 \%$ | $5.452 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.454 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.721 E+01$ | $6.079 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.578 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.061 |
| 1970 | $5.026 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.096 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.38 \%$ | $4.646 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.479 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.884 E+01$ | $5.184 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.999 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.060 |
| 1971 | $4.188 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.257 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.66 \%$ | $3.776 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.546 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.055 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.326 E+01$ | $2.712 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.065 |
| 1972 | $4.006 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.071 \mathrm{E}+0.1$ | 1.618 | $3.629 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.315 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.888 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.132 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.441 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.061 |
| 1973 | $3.545 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.606 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.72\% | $3.239 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.830 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.449 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.660 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.113 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.060 |
| 1974 | $3.153 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.210 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.78\% | $2.945 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.402 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.075 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.257 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.811 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.057 |
| 1975 | $2.675 E+01$ | $2.727 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.94 \%$ | $2.465 E+01$ | $2.891 E+01$ | 2.612E+01 | $2.765 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.528 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.057 |
| 1976 | $2.131 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.176 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.15 \%$ | $1.956 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.315 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.079 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.207 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.278 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.060 |
| 1977 | 1.577E+01 | $1.618 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.62 \%$ | $1.422 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.744 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.531 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.645E+01 | $1.145 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.073 |
| 1978 | $1.305 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.345 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.09 \%$ | $1.153 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.468 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.260E+01 | 1.372E+01 | $1.120 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.086 |
| 1979 | 1.377E+01 | $1.418 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.93 \%$ | $1.222 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.540 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.332E+01 | $1.444 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.126 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.082 |
| 1980 | $1.487 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.526 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.62 \%$ | $1.335 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.643 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.442 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.551 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.090 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.073 |
| 1981 | $1.568 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.603 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.26 \%$ | $1.428 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.709 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.527 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.627 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.934 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.063 |
| 1982 | $1.761 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.789 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.63\% | $1.645 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.873 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.728 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.807 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 7.867E-01 | 0.045 |
| 1983 | $1.329 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.349 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.50 \%$ | $1.250 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.408 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.306 E+01$ | 1.361E+01 | 5.453E-01 | 0.041 |
| 1984 | $7.171 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.321 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.09 \%$ | $6.608 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.764 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.008 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.408 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 3.999E-01 | 0.056 |
| 1985 | $4.671 E+00$ | $4.816 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.09 \%$ | $4.171 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.234 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.528 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.899 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 3.705E-01 | 0.079 |
| 1986 | $4.894 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.043 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.05 \%$ | $4.360 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.451 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.747 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.124 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 3.771E-01 | 0.077 |
| 1987 | $4.545 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.699 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 3.39\% | $4.013 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.112 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.384 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.777 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 3.927E-01 | 0.086 |
| 1988 | $4.049 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.221 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.23\% | $3.481 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.672 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.880 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.311 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.310E-01 | 0.106 |
| 1989 | $4.343 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.566 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.14 \%$ | $3.665 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.978 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.113 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.619 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.057E-01 | 0.116 |
| 1990 | $6.074 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.361 E+00$ | 4.738 | $5.265 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.734 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.777 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.389 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.115 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.101 |
| 1991 | $5.888 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.251 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6.178 | $5.139 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.724 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.681 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.306 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6.256E-01 | 0.106 |
| 1992 | $7.638 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.099 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.03 \%$ | $6.753 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.637 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.405 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.152 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 7.466E-01 | 0.098 |
|  |  |  |  | 11 |  | 61 | I | $1:$ | 11 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1993 | $6.997 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.590 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.48 \%$ | $6.212 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.234 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.624 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.653 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.055 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.129 |
| 1994 | $7.059 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.891 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 11.798 | $6.146 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.678 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.523 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.906 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.383 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.196 |
| 1995 | $7.119 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.388 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 17.838 | $5.776 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.808 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.302 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.507 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.205 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.310 |
| 1996 | $1.142 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.308 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 14.578 | $9.174 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.518 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.851 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.341 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.562 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.312 |
| 1997 | $1.787 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.963 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.88 \%$ | $1.356 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.246 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.522 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.034 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.115 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.286 |
| 1998 | $2.622 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.811 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.19 \%$ | $2.011 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.220 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.306 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.933 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.267 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.239 |
| 1999 | $3.627 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.792 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.55 \%$ | $2.908 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.345 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.240 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.990 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.497 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.207 |
| 2000 | $4.637 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.795 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.408 | $3.795 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.422 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.215 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.065 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.501 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.183 |
| 2001 | $5.546 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.697 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.738 | $4.597 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.280 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.100 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.958 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.575 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.155 |

NOTE: Printed $B C$ confidence intervals are always approximate.
At least 500 trials are recommended when estimating confidence intervals.

TRAJECTORY OF ABSOLUTE FISHING MORTALITY RATE (BOOTSTRAPPED)

| Year | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Bias- } \\ \text { corrected } \\ \text { estimate } \end{array}$ | Ordinary <br> estimate | Relative bias | Approx 80\% lower CL | Approx 80\% upper CL | Approx 50\% lower CL | Approx 50\% upper CL | Interquartile range | Relative <br> IQ range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | $1.156 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.206 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.34\% | $6.362 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.301 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.320 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.219 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.871E-02 | 0.335 |
| 1964 | $1.918 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.937E-01 | 0.98\% | 1.352E-01 | $2.137 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.601 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.605E-02 | 0.136 |
| 1965 | $2.346 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.346 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.00 \%$ | $2.016 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.631 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.184 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.452 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.242E-02 | 0.096 |
| 1966 | 1.957E-01 | $1.947 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -0.48\% | $1.743 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.167 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.869 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.046 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.773 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.091 |
| 1967 | $2.101 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.083E-01 | -0.85\% | $1.900 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.286 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.027E-01 | $2.183 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.565 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.074 |
| 1968 | 2.955E-01 | $2.925 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -1.02\% | $2.692 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.199 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.857E-01 | $3.039 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.821E-02 | 0.062 |
| 1969 | 3.957E-01 | 3.908E-01 | -1.24\% | $3.637 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.274 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.842 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.083 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.412 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.061 |
| 1970 | 4.766E-01 | 4.694E-01 | -1.52\% | $4.386 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.173 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.619 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.919 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.992E-02 | 0.063 |
| 1971 | $3.713 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.656E-01 | -1.54\% | $3.439 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.105 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.601 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.833 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.318E-02 | 0.062 |
| 1972 | $4.713 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.636 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -1.62\% | $4.367 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.116 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.567E-01 | $4.851 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.843 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.060 |
| 1973 | $4.947 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.864E-01 | -1.68\% | $4.584 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.311 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.793 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.081 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.882 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.058 |
| 1974 | $5.718 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.615 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -1.80\% | $5.301 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.118 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.538 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.865 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.264E-02 | 0.057 |
| 1975 | $6.708 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.577 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -1.95\% | $6.197 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.183 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.486 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.877 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.915E-02 | 0.058 |
| 1976 | $7.820 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.646E-01 | -2.23\% | $7.143 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.603E-01 | $7.535 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.042E-01 | $5.072 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.065 |
| 1977 | $6.968 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.785 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -2.63\% | 6.262E-01 | $7.820 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.669 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.208 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.387 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.077 |
| 1978 | $4.605 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.478E-01 | -2.76\% | $4.118 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.210 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.398 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.774 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.763E-02 | 0.082 |
| 1979 | $4.320 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.209E-01 | -2.56\% | $3.896 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.844 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.139 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.466 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.265 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.076 |
| 1980 | 4.488E-01 | 4.386E-01 | -2.27\% | $4.097 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.966 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.322 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.621E-01 | 2.995E-02 | 0.067 |
| 1981 | $3.769 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.700 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -1.82\% | $3.506 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.082 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.658E-01 | $3.857 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.983 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.053 |
| 1982 | $7.833 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.715 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -1.50\% | $7.377 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.347 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.643 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.977 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.341E-02 | 0.043 |
| 1983 | $1.155 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.135 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -1.68\% | $1.079 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.239 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.123 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.178 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.497E-02 | 0.048 |
| 1984 | 9.929E-01 | 9.696E-01 | -2.35\% | $9.040 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.095 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.558 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.021 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.545 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.066 |
| 1985 | $5.261 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.112E-01 | -2.82\% | $4.714 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.874 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.028E-01 | $5.435 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.077 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.078 |
| 1986 | $6.476 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.286E-01 | -2.93\% | $5.812 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.316 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.190 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.708 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.175E-02 | 0.080 |
| 1987 | $6.918 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.679E-01 | -3.45\% | $6.085 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.853 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.555 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.188 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.332E-02 | 0.092 |
| 1988 | $5.021 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.823 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -3.94\% | $4.416 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.909 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.769 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.338 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.692E-02 | 0.113 |
| 1989 | $2.325 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.227 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -4.20\% | $2.062 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.684 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.204 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.430 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.256 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.097 |
| 1990 | $5.930 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.660E-01 | -4.56\% | $5.319 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.756 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.621E-01 | $6.219 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.975E-02 | 0.101 |
| 1991 | 2.997E-01 | 2.842E-01 | -5.16\% | 2.666E-01 | $3.334 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.831 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.143 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.113E-02 | 0.104 |
| 1992 | $6.421 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.036 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -6.00\% | $5.575 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.135 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.972E-01 | $6.795 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.062E-02 | 0.110 |
| 1993 | $5.420 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.005 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -7.65\% | $4.596 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.309 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.997E-01 | $5.927 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.907E-02 | 0.146 |
| 1994 | $5.294 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.756E-01 | -10.16\% | 4.220E-01 | $6.340 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.746E-01 | $6.074 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.328 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.251 |
| 1995 | $8.610 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 7.660E-02 | -11.04\% | $6.607 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.105 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.574 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.018 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.609E-02 | 0.303 |
| 1996 | $8.637 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.861 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -8.98\% | $6.850 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.128 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.636 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.032 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.681E-02 | 0.310 |
| 1997 | $8.314 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.730 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -7.02\% | $6.766 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.090 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.427 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.545 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.118 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.255 |
| 1998 | $8.314 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.730 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -7.02\% | $6.766 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.090 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.427 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.545 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 2.118E-02 | 0.255 |
| 1999 | $8.314 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.730 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -7.02\% | $6.766 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.090 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.427 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.545 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.118 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.255 |
| 2000 | $8.314 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 7.730E-02 | -7.02\% | $6.766 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.090 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.427 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.545 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 2.118E-02 | 0.255 |

NOTE: Printed BC confidence intervals are always approximate.
At least 500 trials are recommended when estimating confidence intervals.


Fig. 1. Canadian fisheries statistical unit areas in NAFO Subdivision 5Ze.


Fig. 2 . Landings of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder by Canada and the United States. The top panel shows landings from 1935-1997, and the bottom panel shows the national composition of landings from 1963-1997.


Fig 3. Distribution of Canadian mobile gear (TC $2 \& 3$ ) effort for 1994-97 where trip landings of yellowtail were $>0.5$ t, expanding symbols represent metric tonnes.


Fig. 4. Comparison of length frequency distributions of samples observed in 1997 by port technicians with those at sea sample collected with the Observer Program, 1997, Georges Bank


Fig. 5. Comparison of USA yellowtail flounder fishery length compositions, 1994-1997.


Fig. 6. Comparison of the yellowtail flounder length frequency composition taken in the Canadian Georges Bank fishery from 1994 to 1997. The dashed vertical line represents the modal length of females in 1994.


Fig. 7. Comparison of yellowtail flounder fishery age composition, 1996 and 1997, for Canadian (left panels, males and females) and USA(right panel, sexes aggregated) catches on Georges Bank.


Fig. 8. Monthly catch rates of stern trawlers (TC 2-3) in the Canadian fishery, Georges Bank Yellowtail flounder, 1993 to 1997.


Fig. 9. USA (top) and Canadian (bottom) strata used to derive research survey abundance indices for Georges Bank groundfish surveys.


Fig. 10. USA and Canadian spring survey results for yellowtail flounder (Strata 5Z1-4), 1987-1997 (the series includes 1998 for the Canadian survey).


Fig. 11. USA fall survey results for yellowtail flounder on Georges Bank, 1963-1997.


Fig. 12. The distribution of catches of yellowtail flounder (solid circles) in the Canadian Georges Bank spring survey in 1998 compared with the average distribution in the previous five years (shaded rectangles), averaged by 3 ' squares.


Fig. 13. The distribution of catches of yellowtail flounder in the USA Georges Bank spring survey in 1997 (solid circles), compared with the average distribution in the previous five years (shaded rectangles), averaged by 3 ' squares.


Fig. 14. The distribution of catches of yellowtail flounder in the USA Georges Bank fall survey in 1997 (solid circles), compared with the average distribution in the previous five years (shaded rectangles), averaged by 3 ' squares.


Fig. 15. Comparison of yellowtail flounder length composition in Canadian spring surveys, 1995-1998, Georges Bank. The dashed line represents modal length of female yellowtail flounder in 1995.


Fig. 16. Comparison of length composition of yellowtail flounder caught in USA spring research vessel surveys, 1994-1997.







Figure 17. Normalized indices of abundance at age [ $\operatorname{Ln}(x / m e a n)]$ for Georges Bank yellowtail flounder.






Figure 18. Standardized residuals from ADAPT calibration of the Georges Bank yellowtail flounder VPA.


Figure 19. Instantaneous rate of fishing mortality (F 4-5) of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder.


Figure 20. Spawning stock biomass and age-1 recruitment of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder.


Figure 21. Bootstrap distributions of fully-recruited fishing mortality (above) and spawning stock biomass (below) of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder in 1997.


Fig. 22. Retrospective analyses of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder, showing the impacts of additional year's of data on estimates of spawning stock biomass (bottom panel), fishing mortality (middle panel) and recruitment (top panel).


Figure 23. Comparison of results from VPA and surplus production modeling of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder.


Figure 24. Observed yield and fitted biomass of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder from ASPIC results.


Figure 25. Relationship between total stock biomass from surplus production modelling and age -1 recruitment from the VPA (1972 to 1996 year-classes) or recruitment from the USA fall surveys (1969 to 1971 year-classes), Georges Bank yellowtail flounder.


Figure 26. Yield per recruit and percent maximum spawning potential (SSB/R) of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder.


Fig. 27. Risk of exceeding various fishery targets ( $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$, spawning stock biomass in 1999 being less than 1998 , or not having a 10 or $20 \%$ increase in biomass in 1999) .


Figure 28. ASPIC projections (median and interquartile range) of Georges Bank yellowtail flounder catch (above) and total stock biomass (below) at status quo F .

