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#### Abstract

The harvests of gaspereau from the Margaree River have undergone large annual variations between 1950 and 1996. Harvests in 1996 of $94 t$ were the second lowest in the time series and follow an almost continuous decline from the peak historic catch of $1,912 \mathrm{t}$ in 1988. The alewife (Alosa pseudoharengus) harvests in 1995 and 1996 were dominated by first time spawners, similar to previous years. The 1991 year-class, based exclusively on catches, has been the weakest observed to date. The fishing mortality rates between 1983 and 1994 were in excess of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{OPT}}$ ( $\mathrm{F}=$ $0.4)$. There was a greater than $99 \%$ chance that the fishing mortality rate in 1995 exceeded $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{opt}}$. There was less chance ( $60 \%$ ) that F in the 1996 fishery exceeded the target level. The large oscillations observed in the landings are in part due to overexploitation. That overexploitation has occurred is evident from the large dependence of the runs on first time spawners and the high proportion of the cohorts which are harvested before ever having spawned. The management plan in 1996 which included a full three days closure and the reduced number of licenses actively fished resulted in lower exploitation rates from those of the previous five years and close to the target.


## RESUME

Des grandes fluctuations annuelles caratérisent les débarquements de gaspareau de la rivière Margaree depuis 1950 . Le débarquement en 1996 de 94 t était le deuxième plus faible depuis 1950 et correspond à une tendance décroissante continue du maximum de 1912 t enrégistrées en 1988. Les captures de gaspareau (Alosa pseudoharengus) en 1995 et 1996 comprenaient majoritairement des poissons de premier frai, tout comme dans les années précédentes. Par rapport uniquement aux captures, la cohorte de 1991 est la plus faible jusqu'à date. Les taux de mortalité de pêche entre 1983 et 1994 dépassaient le taux optimal ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{OPT}}, \mathrm{F}=0.4$ ). En 1995, il était fort probable ( $99 \%$ de chance) que le taux de mortalité de pêche dépassait $\mathrm{F}_{\text {oprr }}$. En 1996, il était moins probable ( $60 \%$ ) que le taux de pêche fut excessif. Les grandes fluctuations des débarquements sont en parti attribuables à une sur-pêche. Il est évident que le stock a été sur-pêché : forte dépendence des captures sur les poissons de premier frai, proportion élevée de poissons récoltés avant avoir frayer une fois. Le plan de gestion mis en place en 1996 comprenait une fermeture complète de trois jours par semaine. Cette gestion et le nombre réduit de trappes pêchées ont contribué à la réduction du taux d'exploitation des cinq années précédentes et à un rapprochement au niveau de pêche cible.

## Introduction

The Margaree River gaspereau fishery is prosecuted in-river, above head of tide along a 20 km stretch between the estuary and the main spawning area in Lake Ainslie (Fig. 1). Tip-traps are installed from the bank and generally filter half of the river, from the bank outward. Alewife (Alosa pseudoharengus) are the dominant component of the harvests, blueback herring (Alosa aestivalis) are less abundant and migrate into the river later (in early June) than alewife. Alewife have returned to the river as early as mid-April but the major run occurs in the second to fourth weeks of May. Crawford (1986) has shown that spawning occurs throughout Lake Ainslie but tended to be concentrated in the shallower Loch Ban portion of the lake (Fig. 1). Juvenile gaspereau leave the lake in late summer and throughout the fall and return to spawn at age three and four years. Adults feed in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence after spawning and overwinter outside the Gulf of St. Lawrence, along the Atlantic coast of Nova Scotia (Crawford and Tully 1989).

There are no quotas on the river but control of exploitation rates in recent years has relied on closures to reduce effort and allow escapement into Lake Ainslie. The majority of gaspereau harvested is salted on site and processed by individual fishers. Fishing practices have evolved with mechanical tip-traps adopted by almost all fishers by the early 1980's.

The Margaree River has been formally assessed since 1983 (Alexander 1984, Alexander and Vromans 1985, 1986, 1987, 1988; Chaput 1993; Chaput and LeBlanc 1988, 1989; Chaput et al. 1991; Claytor et al. 1995). Other information related to migrations, age structure and physiology were prepared by O'Neil (1980) and Crawford (1988).

The objectives of this assessment are to evaluate the exploitation rates in the 1995 and 1996 fisheries relative to target reference levels.

## Fisheries Management and Landings

Prior to 1984, there were no within season closed periods for fishing gaspereau on the Margaree River. The fishing season opened March 31 and closed June 30. In 1984, weekend closures were introduced following the assessment that exploitation rates were excessive. The weekend closures consisted of a 18:00 Friday to 8:00 Sunday closure for the river situated below the Highway 19 bridge (about midway between the estuary and Lake Ainslie) and the 18:00 Saturday to 8:00 AM Monday closure for the fishers above the bridge (Fig. 1). This management measure had the effect of reducing the potential fishing time (traditionally sunrise to sunset) by $20 \%$ (Table 1). In response to concerns by fishers that a chance occurrence of the major run on a weekend closed period could impact on their harvests, an alternative management plan was proposed by the fishers and adopted for the 1992 to 1995 fishery. The alternative managment plan, described by Chaput (1992) and Claytor et al. (1995) resulted in a slight decrease in potential fishing time from the 1984 to 1991 period ( $6 \%$ ) but a $25 \%$ reduction relative to the pre-1984 situation (Table 1, 2). Under this management plan, traps could be fished in both zones seven days per week. After the 1995 fishery, it was evident that the stock had severely declined (see subsequent sections) and in response, additional closure periods were introduced to the 1996 season: complete closure for three days of the week and half day fishing periods for two of the four fishing days (Table 2). This management regime resulted in a $57 \%$ reduction in potential fishing from the pre-1984 period, a $46 \%$ reduction from the 1984 to 1991 period and a $43 \%$ reduction from the 1992 to 1995 period (Table 1).

Regulations require that traps plus leaders and all walkways or other conveyances over the river allow onehalf the width of the river to remain open at all times. Additionally, the combined length of trap and leader may not be more than 15 m and no trap may be set within 55 m of another trap.

Potential licensed effort increased from the 21 licenses in 1971 , peaked in 1980 at 82 licenses and has stabilized at about 60 licenses since 1989 (Table 3). The fishery is currently restricted by a freeze on new entrants and license or site transfers are permitted only to immediate family members. Active licenses have tended to be substantially less than the potential licenses on the river. The decline in active licenses in 1992 was the result of a
change in the fisheries inspection regulations which required that all gaspereau destined for human consumption must be cured and processed in a certified building. Several fishers were able to accommodate the inspection regulations in 1993 and active effort increased. The decline in active licenses in 1996 was the result of the management plan.

The Statistical District 2 landings have undergone large annual variations between 1950 and 1996 (Fig. 2). The harvests of gaspereau from the Margaree River have represented important proportions of the Gulf Nova Scotia harvests ( $38 \%$ to $90 \%$ ) since 1978 (Table 4). Estimated landings in 1996 were the second lowest in the time series and follow an almost continuous decline from the peak historic catch of $1,912 \mathrm{t}$ in 1988 . High harvest levels were generally short-lived, lasting no more than two years in succesion followed by a dramatic decline in the subsequent year (Fig. 2). Relative to the southern Gulf gaspereau fisheries, the harvests from the Margaree River have represented $6 \%$ to $36 \%$ of the total with the lowest percentages in the 1991 to 1996 time period.

## Conservation and Management Objectives

## Fisheries Management Objective

In past assessments, the status of the gaspereau stock was evaluated relative to the management objective of $F_{0.1}$. Estimation of the fishing mortality for $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ was based on yield per recruit analysis method of Thompson and Bell as described in Rivard (1982) under the assumptions of a Type I fishery (natural mortality occurs at a time of year different from the fishing mortality) because the fishery occurs over a period of about four weeks during the year (Chaput and LeBlanc MS1989). The estimation of $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ is sensitive to the assumed natural mortality rate (M) for the species.

Parameters used in the yield per recruit analysis (Chaput and LeBlanc MS 1989)

| Age | Weight $\mathbf{( k g})$ | Patial <br> Recruitment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.213 | 0.60 |
| 4 | 0.267 | 0.99 |
| 5 | 0.312 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 0.351 | 1.00 |
| 7 | 0.394 | 1.00 |
| 8 | 0.415 | 1.00 |
| 9 | 0.441 | 1.00 |
| 10 | 0.500 | 1.00 |
| 11 | 0.500 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{0.1}$ |  |
| 0.2 | 0.32 |  |
| 0.4 | 0.60 |  |
| 0.5 | 0.78 |  |
| 0.6 | 1.00 |  |

An analysis of the population of alewife from South River (Gulf of St. Lawrence shore, Nova Scotia) indicated that the natural mortality of alewife was high ( $M=0.44$ equivalent to $36 \%$ mortality for the year) and increased for previous spawners ( $\mathrm{M}=1.05$ equivalent to $65 \%$ mortality for the year ) (Chaput and Alexander MS1989). These values are much higher than the assumed natural mortality of 0.2 for Atlantic herring ( $18 \%$ annual mortality). Higher natural mortality for gaspereau relative to herring would be expected because of the freshwater spawning migration which gaspereau undertake. At a maximum spawning age of eleven years for gaspereau from the southern Gulf, the empirical relationship derived by Hoening (1981) indicated that M was in the order of 0.4. Jensen (1996) reviewed three life history relations called the Beverton and Holt invariants, one of which provides an indication of the natural mortality on the basis of the age at maturity ( $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{Cl}$; where $\mathrm{M}=$ natural mortality, $\mathrm{m}=$
age at maturity, and $\mathrm{Cl}=1.65$ or 2 ). The age at maturity of alewife in the Margaree River (based on the proportion of the recruitment to the river which matures at age 3 years versus 4 years) was 3.36 years. This gives an estimate of M $=0.49$.

Based on the estimated mortality rates from the South River alewife population, $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ was estimated at $\mathrm{F}=$ 1.05 (exploitation rate of 0.65 ) (Chaput and LeBlanc 1989). At $\mathrm{M}=0.4$, the target fishing mortality at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ declines to 0.6 (exploitation rate of 0.33 ) while at $\mathrm{M}=0.5$, the target fishing mortality would be 0.8 (exploitation rate of 0.55 ). Walters and Pearse (1996) suggest that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{opt}}$ (defined as the optimum fishing rate based on the long-term objective of maximizing a logarithmically risk-averse function of catch) is less than two-thirds $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ of harvestable fish. It has also been suggested that given the uncertainty of estimating and forecasting stock size, the fishing mortality should remain below M (Walters and Maguire 1996). For the gaspereau stock of the Margaree River, the target $F$ should therefore not exceed 0.4 , which is equivalent to about two-thirds $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$..

## Assessment Data

The data used in the assessment of the Margaree River gaspereau fishery include logbook reports from individual fishers, two-stage stratified sampling for age composition and derivation of the catch at age, and estimation of the harvests by telephone survey.

Fishery logbooks are used to make inferences on timing of the catch and in the past have been used as an abundance index in cohort analysis (Chaput et al. MS1991) and in a depletion estimation procedure (Leslie) to estimate exploitation rates in the current year (Claytor et al. MS1995). Logbook contributions in 1996 declined from previous years ( $30 \%$ of active licenses returned logbooks) with the highest returns in 1988 ( $92 \%$ participation). Logbook reported harvests in 1996 represented $21 \%$ of the estimated harvests.

Commercial sampling followed a similar procedure to that used since 1989 (Chaput MS 1993). Sampling was conducted daily in each of the fishing zones (lower and upper). The objective was to measure 200 to 250 fish from each zone, preferrably from one trap site but several trap sites were visited to obtain the complete length sample when catch rates were low. Detailed samples for species identification, length, weight, sex, maturity and ovary weight were collected by retaining 3 fish for every 5 mm fork length group up to 280 mm and 5 fish for every 5 mm group for fish longer than 280 mm . When detailed samples were frozen prior to analysis, fresh fish lengths were estimated from frozen lengths using the following relationship (Chaput MS 1993):
adjusted length $(\mathrm{mm})=1.0143 \mathrm{X}$ frozen length $(\mathrm{mm})+4.557$
Scales for age determination were collected preferentially from the left side, midway between the dorsal fin and the ventral scutes. Species (alewife, Alosa pseudoharengus; blueback herring, Alosa aestivalis) were identified on the basis of the external appearance and the peritoneum colour (Scott and Crossman 1973). The peritoneal lining of alewife tends to be pale to dusky whereas the lining of the body cavity of blueback herring is sooty to black.

The catch-at-age of alewife and blueback herring was derived from age-length keys (Table 5) applied to length sampling vectors. Length vectors within each group were weighted by the reported logbook catch for that period. Catch-at-age was first derived for the total logbook catches and then adjusted for the total harvests from the river using the proportion of the total harvests reported in the logbooks.

The total harvests from the Margaree River were obtained from a telephone survey conducted during January to March of each year. Fishers were asked for bait sale amounts as well as the total number of pails of cured gaspereau packed. A 50 lb pail of cured gaspereau was assumed to represent 70 lbs of fresh fish ( 30 lb pail of cured fish $=42 \mathrm{lbs}$ of fresh fish) (Alexander and Vromans MS 1988). Estimates of bait sales were obtained in 1995 by Conservation and Protection Branch field staff. No fishers reported selling bait in 1996.

Continuous ( 1 to 1.5 hour intervals) water temperature recorders were installed in Loch Ban (Lake Ainslie) and at the Environment Canada water gauging station in the upper part of the Southwest Margaree.

## Estimation of Stock Parameters

The timing of the 1995 fishery was the latest observed since monitoring began in 1983 (Table 6). The 50\% cumulative catch occurred on June 6 with the $10 \%$ cumulative catch on May 25 and the maximum catch on June 10 (Fig. 3). Timing of the harvests in 1996 was earlier than observed during 1990 to 1995 (Table 6). The 50\% cumulative harvests reported from the logbooks occurred on May 23, the same day as the maximum reported harvest. Prior to 1990, the $50 \%$ cumulative catch occurred between May 17 and May 23, with the exception to 1985 . The first report of gaspereau in 1996 was May 3; the first report in 1995 was May 8. The Southwest Margaree water temperatures in 1995 were cool; mean daily temperatures did not stay above 10 C until May 21 and above 15 C until June 16. In 1996, mean daily temperatures exceeded and remained above 10 C by May 18 and above 15 C by June 3 (Fig. 3).

Approximately 500 thousand gaspereau (alewife and blueback herring) were harvested in 1996 compared to over 800 thousand in 1995 (Table 7). These harvests are the lowest during the 1983 to 1994 period when harvests ranged between 1.9 million and 6.7 million fish (Tables 7 and 8). The alewife harvests in 1995 and 1996 were dominated by first time spawners, similar to previous years. Previous-spawners comprised $28 \%$ by number of the 1996 alewife catches but the percent previous-spawners in 1995 was among the highest in the time series at $42 \%$. The 1990 year-class was the dominant component of the 1993 and 1994 fisheries whereas the 1992 year-class has been the dominant cohort in the 1995 and 1996 fisheries (Table 7). The 1991 year-class, based exclusively on catches, has been the weakest observed to date. The first blueback was observed in samples collected on May 23, 1996 and June 8, 1995. The much later arrival of blueback herring into the fishery in 1995 corresponds to the later migration of alewife into the river that year. Blueback herring made up a higher proportion of the overall harvest in $1995(9.0 \%$ by number) compared to previous years (range $0.1 \%$ to $5.7 \%$ ).

The total returns and spawning escapements to Lake Ainslie were estimated direclty from the catch-at-age matrix by cohort summation, under different assumptions for natural mortality (M): M=0 (provides estimates of maximum exploitation rate), and mortality rates of $0.4,0.5$ and age-stratified as described by Chaput and Alexander (MS1989). The number of females escaping to Lake Ainslie was estimated using the proportion female by age group and spawning history (Table 9). The total ovarian material brought into Lake Ainslie was estimated as the product of the number of females at age escaping to Lake Ainslie and the age-specific gonad weight at age of females (Table 9). Alewife recruiting to the spawning stock at age 3 tend to be mostly males whereas those recruiting at age 4 and 5 tend to be mostly females.

The exploitation rates between 1983 and 1994 are equal to or in excess of target exploitation rates at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {OPT }}$ under the assumed natural mortality rate of $M=0.4$ (Fig. 4). The high exploitation rates in the 1991 to 1994 fisheries were previously estimated using a depletion estimator applied to logbook catch rates (Claytor et al. MS1995). As the total stock abundance declined (based on estimated runs to the river), the exploitation rates increased. The increased exploitation rate on the smaller run is consistent with the observed behaviour of gasperau during the migration and the placement of the fishing gear. At low abundance, gaspereau travel in small schools close to the river banks. At very high abundance, gaspereau schools spread across the entire river. Since the commercial traps are installed from the bank towards the middle of the river, at low abundance, each trap exploits a greater proportion of the run than at high abundance.

Exploitation rates of the 1995 and 1996 fisheries cannot be estimated by backward summation because insufficient proportions of the 1992 and 1993 cohorts have been seen. A Leslie depletion estimate for these two years was attempted following the procedure outlined by Claytor et al. (MS1995). No significant fits ( $\mathrm{P}>0.20$ ) were obtained from the Leslie depletion analysis of the logbook catch and effort data. There were insufficient numbers of logbooks returned in 1996.

## Alternate estimates of escapement

Sampling for gaspereau larvae in Lake Ainslie (the main spawning area for the Margaree River stock) has been conducted in nine years since 1983 (Crawford 1996). Sampling was conducted weekly from the latter part of May until early July. A five minute surface tow with a half metre plankton net (mesh $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) was conducted at four fixed stations within the lake. Mean larval abundance was calculated for the entire sampling season from the
individual weekly observations. There was a strong positive association between the estimates of escapement (male and female number from cohort summation and $\mathrm{M}=0.4$ ) and mean larval abundance (Fig. 5). The associations were weaker for female spawners and for total gonad weight variables. Total spawning escapement estimates adjusted for natural mortalities of $0.5,0.6$ and age-stratified resulted in associations of similar strength to $\mathrm{M}=0.4$.

Our interest was in estimating the exploitation rate (harvest divided by total return) in 1995 and 1996. A Bayesian approach was used to describe the probabilities of alternate spawning escapement levels and exploitation rates in 1995 and 1996 (Hilborn and Walters 1992). Spawning escapement estimates were obtained from the backward cohort summation for the 1983 to 1994 spawning escapements. A total of seven spawner / larval index annual estimates were available ( 1983 to 1985, 1989 to 1991, 1994). Uninformative prior probabilities (uniform distribution) were used for the slope and intercept parameters of the linear association between larval index and total spawning escapement. The likelihoods of each slope and intercept combination were determined from the normal distribution. The 1995 spawning escapement was estimated using the 1995 larval abundance index of 120.6 larvae (prolarvae and postlarvae) per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$. The 1996 spawning escapement was estimated in a similar fashion based on the mean index of 280.5 larvae per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ (Crawford 1996).

There was a very high probability that the fishing mortality rate in 1995 exceeded the target level at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {opt }}$ (the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {opt }}$ level $=$ two-thirds $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ ) (Fig. 6). At $\mathrm{M}=0.4$, there was a greater than $90 \%$ chance that the fishing mortality rate exceeded the target level. Even under the age-stratified-M assumptions, the fishing mortality rate in 1995 had a $60 \%$ chance of exceeding $\mathrm{F}=0.5$.

There was less chance that F in the 1996 fishery exceeded the target level (Fig. 6). At $\mathrm{M}=0.4$ assumption, there was a $60 \%$ chance that $F$ exceeded 0.4 whereas under $M=0.5$ assumption, there was less than $20 \%$ chance that the F exceeded the target level of 0.5 . Under the age-stratified- M assumption, it was very probable that the F was below the target level.

## Other indicators of exploitation levels

Claytor et al. (MS1995) used logbook data and the Leslie depletion estimator to derive exploitation rates for the 1991 to 1994 fisheries. The depletion estimates derived by Claytor et al. (MS1995) and the exploitation rates estimated by backward cohort summation indicate that exploitation rates during 1991 to 1994 were in excess of 0.75 .

|  | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depletion <br> estimate | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.85 to 0.96 | 0.73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $M=0.4$ | Backward cohort summation |  |  |  |
| $M=0.5$ | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.77 |
| $M=$ stratified | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.75 |

Additional evidence of the high exploitation level in this fishery is provided by the counts of gaspereau passing through a counting fence to Lake Ainslie in 1979 relative to the harvest of gaspereau in the same year. The counting fence was installed above the last commercial trap in the Southwest Margaree, just below the outlet of the lake. The daily counts of gaspereau are summarized in Figure 7. A total of $3,367,944$ gaspereau were counted through the fence between May 2 and June 3, 1979. The fishery harvested 1,776 tons. Based on average weights of 0.225 kg to 0.276 kg per gaspereau ( 1983 to 1994 values), the 1979 harvest would have represented between 0.66 and 0.70 of the total run of between 9.8 and 11.3 million fish. The 1987 spawning migration to the Southwest Margaree was estimated to have been as high as 11.9 million fish in 1987 and 11.5 million fish in 1988 (assuming the age-stratified natural mortalities of 0.44 and 1.05) yielding a harvest of 1259 t in 1987 and $1,666 \mathrm{t}$ in 1988.

A counting fence was also operated in 1983 but because of operational difficulties, the escapement of gaspereau to Lake Ainslie was estimated to have been at least twice the count of gaspereau ( 148,000 fish), i.e. 300,000 fish (Alexander MS 1984). Backward cohort summation suggests that the minimum escapement to the lake in 1983 was 644 thousand fish. The 1983 harvest of gaspereau was 2.1 million alewife. The maximum exploitation rate
for the 1983 fishery, based on backward summation, was estimated at 0.77 . At an escapement of 300 thousand gaspereau, the exploitation rate would have been 0.88 . Both of these are in excess of the target fishing exploitation rates.

The combination of methods used to estimate the total annual returns to the river provide a clear indication of depressed returns to the Margaree River since 1991 (Fig. 8). The largest return was estimated in 1979 (based on counting fence data) while the lowest returns to date were estimated for 1996 based on the larval index / spawning escapement relationship. The cohort larval index method generally encompasses the cohort summation estimate; this is not surprising since the cohort summation is used to estimate the spawning escapement for the larvae / spawner relationship. The Leslie depletion estimates are very close to the cohort summation estimates. In a fishery where generally $75 \%$ of the population is removed in a given year, the estimates of returns are less sensitive to losses from natural mortality. In the Margaree River fishery, $62 \%$ to $95 \%$ of the 1980 to 1990 cohorts were harvested before they had a chance to spawn once (Table 10).

A plot of the estimated recruitment (to the river as first time spawners) relative to the estimated spawning stock (from cohort summation assuming $M=0.4$ ) indicates that an important part of the decreased abundance of the 1991 and 1992 year-classes (returns in 1994 to 1996) was the result of low spawning escapement (Fig. 9). There was large variability in the recruit to spawner relationship, but escapements of less than 1 million fish (males and females) to Lake Ainslie have produced less than 2 million recruits (to age 3 and 4) in two of three years. When escapement has exceeded 1 million spawners, recruitment has exceeded 2 million fish in 5 of 7 years (Fig. 9).

The estimated escapement in 1992 to 1996 (based on Leslie depletion estimates for 1991 to 1994 and larval indices for 1995 and 1996) have been less than 1 million spawners (Fig. 10). Recruitments of more than 3 or 4 million spawners are not expected for the next five years.

## Management Considerations

1) Are the exploitation rates in 1995 and 1996 greater than the target levels?

The target exploitation rates suggested for the Margaree fishery are based on levels equivalent to at most $\mathrm{F}=$ 0.5 (two-thirds $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ or $\mathrm{F}<=\mathrm{M}$ ) (Walters and Pearse 1996). The estimated exploitation rates are greater than the rates at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {opt }}$ for all assumed M in 1995. The 1996 exploitation rates are closer to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{opt}}$ at the high M assumptions but there was a high probability ( $60 \%$ ) that the exploitation rates were in excess of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {opt }}$ if $\mathrm{M}=0.4$.
2) Was the 1996 management plan effective?

There are strong indications that the management plan in 1996 reduced the exploitation rates from those of the previous five years to a level which corresponded to the target. The estimated migration in 1996 was less than the 1995 value but the larval abundance increased, as a direct result of increased escapement directly related to the lower exploitation rate. The consecutive three day closure was initiated to reduce exploitation and to allow gaspereau to migrate freely from the estuary to the lake. Alexander and Vromans (1989) indicated that in 1988, gaspereau required, on average, 148 hours ( 6 days) to pass through the 20 km fishing zone. Fishers of the Margaree River feel that gaspereau can ascend to the lake within one to two days based on the synchrony of catches between lower and upper traps. Gaspereau can ascend the river more quickly if there are no traps in the river and no fishing activity to deter their upstream migrations.
3) What is the conservation definition for gaspereau?

Minimum spawning stock biomass as a conservation definition for the Margaree River gaspereau has not been defined. There are indications from estimates of escapements and returns in previous years that escapements to the lake less than one million fish result in a high probability of producing recruitments less than two million fish. Recruitment to the river from combined year-classes has frequently exceeded 10 million fish. Year-class production can attain 8 to 10 million fish. One threshold reference point (conservation) has been defined as the spawning stock which produces less than half of maximum recruitment (Mace 1994). A conservation limit of one million fish would not be an unreasonable threshold level.

Fixed harvest rates have been suggested as more appropriate for ensuring the sustainability of fisheries (Hilborn and Walters 1992; Walters and Pearse 1996). For the Margaree gaspereau fishery, an exploitation rate of 0.32 to 0.4 would be appropriate. A fixed harvest rate strategy would take advantage of large recruitments and increase the spawning escapement. Harvests would also increase when recruiment is large but the challenge is to ensure that exploitaiton rates on low runs to the river do not exceed the levels defined under $\mathrm{F}_{\text {opt }}$.
4) What is the prognosis for 1997 to 2000 ?

Reduced escapements in 1991 to 1996 are not expected to produce any large recruitment over the next five years. There is always a chance that a large year-class may result from a low spawning escapement as was observed for the 1990 year-class.
5) What are the harvest expectations for this stock?

Had the stock been exploited at the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {opt }}$ level of 0.4 between 1983 and 1995, harvests would have been reduced by half. The large oscillations observed in the landings are in part due to overexploitation. That overexploitation has occurred is evident from the large dependence of the runs on first time spawners and the high proportion of the cohorts which are harvested before ever having spawned. If recruitments observed in the last 14 years are an indication of the levels in the future, harvests as high as 800 t would be expected. This harvest level could be achieved by fewer fishers fishing fewer days per week as in 1996.
6) What is required to improve the assessment of this stock?

Estimates of returns and escapements are based on cohort summation, depletion estimates from logbook catch rates and an associaton between spawning escapement (from cohort summations) and a larval index. An independent estimate of the spawning escapement for the current year would be used to verify the appropriateness of the larval survey as an index of escapement and to directly estimate the exploitation rate in the fishery. A counting fence was successfully operated in 1979 but not so in 1983. Mark and recapture estimates could be considered to estimate the escapement into the lake. The estimates of escapement should be combined with the larval survey and sampling of the commercial catches.
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Table 1. Potential effort (hours) by a single trap in the lower zone and in the upper zone for the AM (500 to 1200) and PM periods (1200 to 2200) relative to the management plans.

|  | Low AM | PM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UpH } \\ & \text { AM } \end{aligned}$ | PM | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-1984 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monday | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Tuesday | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Wednesday | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Thursday | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Friday | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Saturday | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Sunday | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 238 |
| 1984 to 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monday | 7 | 10 | 4 | 10 |  |
| Tuesday | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Wednesday | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Thursday | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Friday | 7 | 6 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Saturday | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 |  |
| Sunday | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1992 to 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monday | 0 | 9 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Tuesday | 7 | 10 | 7 | 1 |  |
| Wednesday | 7 | 1 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Thursday | 7 | 10 | 0 | 9 |  |
| Friday | 0 | 9 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Saturday | 7 | 10 | 7 | 1 |  |
| Sunday | 7 | 1 | 7 | 10 |  |

1996

| Monday | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Tuesday | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wednesday | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Thursday | 7 | 1 | 7 | 10 |
| Friday | 7 | 10 | 0 | 9 |
| Saturday | 0 | 9 | 7 | 10 |
| Sunday | 7 | 10 | 7 | 1 |

Table 2. Margaree River, N.S. gaspereau fishery fishing schedule for the 1995 and 1996 season. AM fisheries open at sunrise and close at 13:00 the same day. PM fisheries open at 13:00 and close at dusk. Fuil day fisheries are open from sunrise to dusk. During the 1996 season each the lower and upper zone were closed from sunrise to dusk for three consecutive days.

|  | Week | 1996 |  | 1995 |  | Weak |  | 1996 |  | 1995 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper |  |  | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper |
| May 1 | 1 | AM | Full | AM | Full | June 1 | 5 | Full | AM | Full | AM |
| 2 | 1 | Fuill | PM | Full | PM | 2 | 5 | Closed | Closed | AM | Full |
| 3 | 1 | PM | Full | PM | Full | 3 | 5 | Closed | Closed | Full | PM |
| 4 | 1 | Full | AM | Full | AM | 4 | 5 | Closed | Closed | PM | Full |
| 5 | 1 | Closed | Closed | AM | Full | 5 | 6 | PM | Full | Full | AM |
| 6 | 1 | Closed | Closed | Full | PM | 6 | 6 | Full | AM | AM | Full |
| 7 | 1 | Closed | Closed | PM | Full | 7 | 6 | AM | Full | Full | PM |
| 8 | 2 | PM | Full | Full | AM | 8 | 6 | Full | PM | PM | Full |
| 9 | 2 | Full | AM | AM | Full | 9 | 6 | Closed | Closed | Full | AM |
| 10 | 2 | AM | Full | Full | PM | 10 | 6 | Closed | Closed | AM | Fuil |
| 11 | 2 | Full | PM | PM | Full | 11 | 6 | Closed | Closed | Full | PM |
| 12 | 2 | Closed | Closed | Full | AM | 12 | 7 | Full | AM | PM | Full |
| 13 | 2 | Closed | Closed | AM | Fulf | 13 | 7 | AM | Full | Full | AM |
| 14 | 2 | Closed | Closed | Full | PM | 14 | 7 | Futl | PM | AM | Full |
| 15 | 3 | Full | AM | PM | Full | 15 | 7 | PM | Full | Full | PM |
| 16 | 3 | AM | Full | Full | AM | 16 | 7 | Closed | Closed | PM | Full |
| 17 | 3 | Full | PM | AM | Full | 17 | 7 | Closed | Closed | Full | AM |
| 18 | 3 | PM | Full | Fuil | PM | 18 | 7 | Closed | Closed | AM | Full |
| 19 | 3 | Closed | Closed | PM | Full | 19 | 8 | Full | PM | Full | PM |
| 20 | 3 | Closed | Closed | Full | AM | 20 | 8 | PM | Full | PM | Full |
| 21 | 3 | Closed | Closed | AM | Full | 21 | 8 | Full | AM | Full | AM |
| 22 | 4 | Full | PM | Full | PM | 22 | 8 | AM | Full | AM | Full |
| 23 | 4 | PM | Full | PM | Full | 23 | 8 | Closed | Closed | Full | PM |
| 24 | 4 | Full | AM | Full | AM | 24 | 8 | Closed | Closed | PM | Full |
| 25 | 4 | AM | Fuill | AM | Full | 25 | 8 | Closed | Closed | Full | AM |
| 26 | 4 | Closed | Closed | Full | PM | 26 | 9 | AM | Full | AM | Full |
| 27 | 4 | Closad | Closed | PM | Full | 27 | 9 | Full | PM | Full | PM |
| 28 | 4 | Closed | Closed | Full | AM | 28 | 9 | PM | Full | PM | Full |
| 29 | 5 | AM | Full | AM | Full | 29 | 9 | Full | AM | Fuil | AM |
| 30 | 5 | Full | PM | Full | PM | 30 | 9 | Closed | Closed | AM | Full |
| 31 | 5 | PM | Full | PM | Full |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Historic harvests of gaspereau from Statistical District 2, from the Margaree River and total licenses and active licenses.


Table 4. Gaspereau landings from Margaree River, Nova Scotia (NS), New Brunswick (NB), and Prince Edward Island (PEI) statistical districts from 1978 to 1996. Data are summarized from purchase slip and supplementary "B" slips compiled by Statistics Branch. Asterisk indicate values compiled by Science Branch.

| Year | Margaree | Nova Scotia Statistical Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  | NS | NB | PEI | Gulf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 45 | 46 |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 1713 | 5 | 36 | 7 | 32 | 118 | 0 | 1911 | 3084 | 104 | 5099 |
| 1979 |  | 1776 | 0 | 114 | 9 | 49 | 74 | 0 | 2024 | 4409 | 405 | 6837 |
| 1980 |  | 1069 | 0 | 910 | 21 | 80 | 76 | 12 | 2167 | 4676 | 253 | 7097 |
| 1981 |  | 1369 | 1 | 61 | 13 | 78 | 103 | 30 | 1653 | 2708 | 259 | 4620 |
| 1982 |  | 1446 | 0 | 29 | 18 | 34 | 115 | 21 | 1664 | 1994 | 133 | 3790 |
| 1983 |  | 580 | 0 | 144 | 27 | 16 | 10 | 3 | 780 | 1901 | 36 | 2717 |
| 1984 | 883* | 883 | 0 | 78 | 7 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 1052 | 1717 | 88 | 2857 |
| 1985 | 1,223* | 1223 | 0 | 0 | 1854 | 100 | 26 | 0 | 3203 | 3569 | 238 | 7011 |
| 1986 | 545* | 623 | 0 | 161 | 32 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 1052 | 2261 | 464 | 3699 |
| 1987 | 1,259* | 1259 | 0 | 848 | 59 | 128 | 122 | 144 | 2559 | 4419 | 364 | 7342 |
| 1988 | 1,911* | 1912 | - | 570 | 120 | 225 | - | 8 | 2835 | 3714 | 233 | 6782 |
| 1989 | 1,506* | 1506 | - | 245 | 148 | 130 | 75 | 12 | 2116 | 3681 | 133 | 5929 |
| 1990 | 1,016* | 1016 | - | 226 | 1 | 202 | 33 | 26 | 1504 | 3196 | 84 | 4784 |
| 1991 | 450* | 641 | 0 | 218 | 60 | 110 | 1 | 40 | 1070 | 3554 | 87 | 4711 |
| 1992 | 553* | 617 | - | 101 | 20 | 23 | - | 11 | 772 | 3454 | 318 | 4544 |
| 1993 | 736* | 802 | - | 73 | 40 | 24 | 0 | 12 | 951 | 3573 | 198 | 4722 |
| 1994 | 498* | 498 | - | 77 | 21 | 10 | - | 11 | 617 | 3246 | 95 | 3958 |
| 1995 | 217* | 217 | - | 25 | 7 | 7 | 58 | 55 | 368 | 3230 | 34 | 3632 |
| 1996 | 94* | 105 | - | 1 | 4 | 7 | 99 | 49 | 265 | 1828 | 53 | 2051 |

Table 5. Dates, sites, periods and numbers of fish sampled in 1995 and 1996 for the Margaree River, N.S. gaspereau fishery.
Boxes define sample groupings for age-length keys.


Table 6. Dates of maximum and cumulative landings for the Margaree River, N.S. during 1983-1996.

| Year | Maximum Catch | Cumulative 10\% | Cumulative 50\% | Cumulative 90\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Days For } \\ 10 \% \text { to } 90 \% \end{gathered}$ | Logbook Catch (mt) | Estimated Landings (mt) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | May 17 | May 10 | May 17 | May 24 | 15 | 113 | 579 |
| 1984 | May 17 | May 16 | May 21 | May 28 | 12 | 637 | 883 |
| 1985 | May 30 | May 21 | May 28 | June 02 | 12 | 506 | 1223 |
| 1986 | May 17 | May 09 | May 17 | May 26 | 15 | 213 | 545 |
| 1987 | May 13 | May 12 | May 16 | May 26 | 15 | 882 | 1259 |
| 1988 | May 22 | May 17 | May 23 | May 29 | 13 | 1375 | 1666 |
| 1989 | May 18 | May 14 | May 19 | May 23 | 10 | 973 | 1123 |
| 1990 | June 04 | May 13 | May 29 | June 04 | 22 | 780 | 1016 |
| 1991 | May 31 | May 18 | May 28 | May 31 | 13 | 208 | 450 |
| 1992 | June 02 | May 24 | June 01 | June 04 | 12 | 359 | 553 |
| 1993 | May 23 | May 18 | May 27 | June 05 | 19 | 439 | 736 |
| 1994 | May 19 | May 19 | May 29 | June 05 | 18 | 273 | 498 |
| 1995 | June 10 | May 25 | June 06 | June 12 | 19 | 83 | 217 |
| 1996 | May 23 | May 23 | May 23 | June 06 | 15 | 20 | 94 |

Table 7. Alewife catch-at-age for the Margaree River, N.S. gaspereau fishery. First number in age indicates total age, second number indicates age at first spawning. Numbers are in 1000s of fish. PS=Previous spawners; YC=year-class.

| Age | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3.3 | 713 | 2601 | 447 | 1262 | 4400 | 2479 | 120 | 2806 | 422 | 1774 | 2460 | 19 | 345 | 73 |
| 3.2 | 2 | 0 | 107 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Total 3 | 715 | 2601 | 554 | 1278 | 4400 | 2479 | 120 | 2806 | 422 | 1776 | 2460 | 20 | 345 | 73 |
| 4.4 | 371 | 428 | 3070 | 235 | 434 | 1431 | 2444 | 281 | 1283 | 188 | 565 | 1448 | 115 | 243 |
| 4.3 | 397 | 258 | 920 | 159 | 429 | 2355 | 1236 | 54 | 41 | 133 | 151 | 240 | 31 | 86 |
| 4.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total 4 | 768 | 687 | 3990 | 394 | 873 | 3786 | 3680 | 335 | 1324 | 321 | 722 | 1688 | 146 | 329 |
| 5.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 36 | 35 | 0 | 8 | 17 | 8 | 6 |
| 5.4 | 157 | 35 | 205 | 372 | 131 | 267 | 186 | 628 | 56 | 47 | 40 | 63 | 209 | 13 |
| 5.3 | 334 | 185 | 41 | 129 | 19 | 160 | 181 | 244 | 55 | 97 | 21 | 82 | 89 | 3 |
| Total 5 | 491 | 221 | 245 | 501 | 149 | 428 | 368 | 908 | 146 | 144 | 69 | 162 | 306 | 22 |
| 6.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 6.5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 6.4 | 45 | 20 | 6 | 11 | 181 | 0 | 11 | 23 | 19 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 13 |
| 6.3 | 52 | 4 | 27 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 55 | 20 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 7 |
| Total 6 | 103 | 26 | 34 | 23 | 186 | 7 | 17 | 79 | 39 | 3 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 21 |
| 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7.4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7.3 | 18 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total 7 | 18 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8.4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total 8 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.4 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total 9 | 5 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 2105 | 3588 | 4852 | 2202 | 5608 | 6700 | 4186 | 4135 | 1940 | 2249 | 3265 | 1881 | 805 | 447 |
| \% PS | 49 | 15 | 27 | 32 | 14 | 42 | 39 | 24 | 10 | 13 | 7 | 21 | 42 | 28 |
| Major YC | 79 | 81 | 81 | 83 | 84 | 84 | 85 | 87 | 87 | 89 | 90 | 90 | 92 | 92 |
| \% of Total | 36 | 72 | 82 | 58 | 78 | 57 | 88 | 68 | 68 | 79 | 75 | 90 | 18 | 74 |
| Landings (t) | 580 | 883 | 1223 | 545 | 1259 | 1666 | 1123 | 1016 | 450 | 553 | 736 | 498 | 217 | 94 |
| Weight (kg) perfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.276 | 0.246 | 0.252 | 0.248 | 0.225 | 0.249 | 0.268 | 0.246 | 0.232 | 0.246 | 0.225 | 0.265 | 0.270 | 0.210 |

Table 8. Blueback catch-at-age for the Margaree River, N.S. gaspereau fishery. First number in age indicates total age, second number indicates age at first spawning. Numbers are in 1000s of fish. PS=Previous spawners; YC=year-class.

| Age | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 3.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 49 | 94 | 40 | 0 |  |  |
| 3.2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 49 | 94 | 40 | 0 |  |  |
| 4.4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 39 | 12 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 4.3 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 4 |  |  |
| 4.2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total 4 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 30 | 6 | 0 | 50 | 12 | 8 | 7 |  |  |
| 5.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| 5.4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 |  |  |
| 5.3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 5.2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 50 | 47 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 9 |  |  |
| 6.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 6.4 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 6.3 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total 6 | 13 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 7.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 7.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 8.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 8.3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 9.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 10.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total | 13 | 12 | 37 | 4 | 6 | 34 | 57 | 67 | 118 | 109 | 49 | 16 | 79 | 16 |
| \%PS | 99 | 94 | 80 | 81 | 55 | 21 | 66 | 79 | 16 | 3 | 11 | 52 | - | . |
| Major YC | 79 | 81 | 81 | 83 | 84 | 84 | 85 | 87 | 87 | 89 | 90 | 90 | . | - |
| \% of Total | 97 | 42 | 57 | 40 | 33 | 89 | 87 | 71 | 42 | 86 | 81 | 58 | . | . |

Table 9. Percent female at age and mean ovary weight of migrating alewife in the Margaree River, 1995 and 1996.

| Total | Age | Percent female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  |
|  | FSP | female | N | female | N |
| 2 | 2 |  |  | 20.0\% | 5 |
| 3 | 3 | 30.1\% | 528 | 26.1\% | 111 |
| 4 | 3 | 22.2\% | 45 | 29.1\% | 55 |
| 5 | 3 | 55.2\% | 145 | 0.0\% | 1 |
| 6 | 3 |  |  | 37.5\% | 8 |
| 4 | 4 | 60.7\% | 140 | 68.9\% | 180 |
| 5 | 4 | 69.5\% | 370 | 72.7\% | 11 |
| 6 | 4 | 85.7\% | 14 | 66.7\% | 15 |
| 7 | 4 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 |
| 5 | 5 | 75.0\% | 12 | 83.3\% | 6 |
| 6 | 5 | 85.7\% | 7 |  |  |
| 7 | 5 |  |  | 100.0\% | 1 |
| 6 | 6 |  |  | 100.0\% | 2 |


|  | Ovary Weight |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age <br> Total | 1995 |  |  | 1996 |  |
|  | Grams | N |  | Grams | N |
| 2 |  |  |  | 23.7 | 5 |
| 3 | 31.8 | 161 |  | 35.6 | 107 |
| 4 | 44.4 | 95 |  | 46.9 | 234 |
| 5 | 61.3 | 348 |  | 54.5 | 17 |
| 6 | 59.6 | 18 | 69.6 | 25 |  |
| 7 | 75.5 | 1 | 70.1 | 2 |  |

Table 10. Exploitation histories of the 1979 to 1993 year-classes in the Margaree River gaspereau fishery.
Percentage of the year-class harvested as first time spawners (new recruitment) is based on summation of the catch-at-age matrix and therefore reresents a maximum value. No adjustments are made for natural mortality.

| YEAR CLASS |  | 3 Year Olds | 4 Year Olds | 5 Year Olds | 6 Year Olds | 7 Year Olds |  | \% Harvest as New Recrultment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% New Recruitment$>36$ |
|  |  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | SUM |  |
|  | Catch (1000's) | ? | 768 | 221 | 34 | 4 | 1027 |  |
|  | New recruitment | ? | 371 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 371 |  |
| 1980 | Catch (1000's) New recruitment | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | Sum | \% New Recruitment 68 |
|  |  | 715 | 687 | 245 | 23 | 0 | 1670 |  |
|  |  | 713 | 428 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1141 |  |
| 1981 | Catch (1000's) Now recruitment | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | SUM | \% New Recruitment 78 |
|  |  | 2601 | 3990 | 501 | 186 | 0 | 7278 |  |
|  |  | 2601 | 3070 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5671 |  |
| 1982 | Catch (1000's) New recruitment | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | SUM | \% New Recruitment 62 |
|  |  | 554 | 394 | 149 | 7 | 0 | 1104 |  |
|  |  | 447 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 682 |  |
| 1983 | Catch ( 1000 's) New recruitment | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | Sum | \% New Recruitment 65 |
|  |  | 1278 | 873 | 428 | 17 | 7 | 2596 |  |
|  |  | 1262 | 434 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1696 |  |
| 1984 | Catch (1000's) New recruitment | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | SUM | \% New Recruitment 68 |
|  |  | 4400 | 3786 | 368 | 79 | 3 | 8633 |  |
|  |  | 4400 | 1431 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5833 |  |
| 1985 | Catch (1000's) New recruitment | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | SUM | \% New Recruitment 70 |
|  |  | 2479 | 3680 | 908 | 39 | 0 | 7106 |  |
|  |  | 2479 | 2444 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 4959 |  |
| 1986 | Catch (1000's) New recruitment | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | SUM | \% New Recruitment 72 |
|  |  | 120 | 335 | 146 | 3 | 0 | 604 |  |
|  |  | 120 | 281 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 436 |  |
| 1987 | Catch (1000's) New recruitment | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | SUM | \% New Recruitment 95 |
|  |  | 2806 | 1324 | 144 | 14 | 0 | 4288 |  |
|  |  | 2806 | 1283 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4089 |  |
| 1988 | Catch ( 1000 's) New recruitment | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | SUM | \% New Recruitment 75 |
|  |  | 422 | 321 | 69 | 11 | 0 | 823 |  |
|  |  | 422 | 188 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 619 |  |
| 1989 | Catch (1000's) <br> New recruitment | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | SUM | \% New Recruitment 88 |
|  |  | 1776 | 722 | 162 | 8 | 1 | 2669 |  |
|  |  | 1774 | 564 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2355 |  |
| 1990 | Catch ( 1000 's) New recruitment | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |  | SUM | \% New Recruitment 88 |
|  |  | 2460 | 1688 | 306 | 21 |  | 4475 |  |
|  |  | 2460 | 1448 | 8 | 1 |  | 3917 |  |
| 1991 | Catch (1000's) New recruitment | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |  |  | Sum | \% New Recruitment 74 |
|  |  | 20 | 146 | 22 |  |  | 188 |  |
|  |  | 19 | 115 | 6 |  |  | 140 |  |
| 1992 | Catch (1000's)New recruitment | 1995 | 1996 |  |  |  | Sum | \% New Recruitment 87 |
|  |  | 345 | 329 |  |  |  | 674 |  |
|  |  | 345 | 243 |  |  |  | 588 |  |
| 1993 | Catch ( 1000 's) New recruitment | 1996 |  |  |  |  | Sum | \% New Recruitment 100 |
|  |  | 73 |  |  |  |  | 73 |  |
|  |  | 73 |  |  |  |  | 73 |  |



Figure 1. Gaspereau trap sites of the Margaree River and Lake Ainslie.


Figure 2. Historic landings (metric tons) of the District 2 gaspereau fishery, 1950 to 1996. The Margaree River fishery has represented between $70 \%$ and $100 \%$ of the District 2 landingsof gaspereau.



Figure 3. Daily harvests (kg) of gaspereau from the Margaree River as reported in logbooks during 1995 (upper) and 1996 (lower). Mean daily water temperatures from Loch Ban are also shown.


Figure 4. Estimates of the fishing mortality rate as calculated from backward summation of the catch at age matrix assuming $\mathrm{M}=0.4$. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{opt}}$ is defined as being equal to M (minimum $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{opt}}=0.4$, maximum $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{opt}}=0.5$ ).


Figure 5. Relationship between larval index (number per 100 m 3 ) in Lake Ainslie and spawning escapement for 1983 to 1985,1989 to 1991 , and 1994 to 1995.


Figure 6. Bayes probability summaries of exploitation rates in the 1995, 1996 and for comparative purposes, the 1983 returns of gaspereau to the Margaree River. The spawning escapement was estimated by applying the relationship between spawners (from cohort summation) and the larval index for 1983 to 1985, 1989 to 1991, and 1994 to the larval index values for 1995 and 1996. The 1983 spawning escapement was estimated by excluding 1983 from the linear fit.


Figure 7. Daily counts of gaspereau through a counting fence at the outlet to Lake Ainslie, Margaree River, 1979. Data are from D. Morantz memo June 21, 1979 to B. Jessop.


Figure 8. Estimated total returns of gaspereau to the Margaree River for 1979, 1983 to 1996. Methods used for estimation include: counting fence for 1979 and 1983 (squares), Leslie depletion estimates for 1991 to 1994 from Claytor et al. (1995) (squares), cohort summation at $\mathrm{M}=0.4$ for 1983 to 1994 (open triangles), and Bayes estimates of returns on the cohort summation of spawners relative to larval index for 1983 to 1985, 1989 to 1991, 1994 to 1996 (open circles $=$ median, dashes ae the 5th to 95 th percentiles from Bayes).


Figure 9. Recruitment at spawning stock for gaspereau from the Margaree River. Estimates of spawning stock and recruitment were obtained from cohort summation assuming $\mathrm{M}=0.4$.


Figure 10. Estimated spawning escapement to Lake Ainslie (Margaree River) for 1991 to 1996. The vertical line in the open circles defines the 5th to 95 th percentile range from Bayes analysis. Other symbols are similar to Figure 8.

