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#### Abstract

Adult Atlantic salmon were visually counted in Crabbes, Middle Barachois, and Robinsons rivers during the last week of August by swimmers snorkeling down each river. Salmon were only found in pools, generally with water depths greater than 1 m . An adjustment factor was applied to the counts in each Section surveyed to account for fish not counted in the larger pools. The actual count and the adjusted count provided an estimate of the minimum and maximum numbers of salmon in each river. There were no known removals before or after the survey since only 'hook and release' angling was permitted. The minimum and maximum estimate of the numbers of salmon counted in each river are: Crabbes River, 144-239 large and 592-844 small salmon; Middle Barachois, 34-36 large and 755-805 small salmon; and Robinsons 102120 large and 659-768 small salmon. Neither river attained its conservation egg deposition requirements. Crabbes River obtained 44-68\% of the egg deposition required for Conservation ; whereas, Middle Barachois River received 76-81\% and Robinsons River received $57-67 \%$ of their conservation requirements. The estimated percentage of conservation levels attained for each river in 1996 was similar to that previously estimated for 1994 using angling exploitation rates. The information available did not lend itself to forecasting the abundance of salmon in 1997.


## Résumé

Au cours de la dernière semaine d'août, les saumons atlantique adultes des rivières Crabbes, Middle Barachois et Robinsons ont fait l'objet d'un dénombrement visuel par plongeurs équipés de tuba qui ont descendu chacune des rivières. Des saumons n'ont été aperçus que dans les fosses, de profondeur généralement supérieure à 1 m . Un facteur de correction a été appliqué à chaque segment évalué afin de tenir compte des poissons non dénombrés se trouvant dans les plus grandes fosses. Le dénombrement réel et la valeur corrigée constituent des estimations du nombre minimum et maximum de saumons dans chaque cours d'eau. Il n'y a pas eu de récolte connue de poissons avant ou après le dénombrement car seule la pêche par capture et remise à l'eau était autorisée. Les valeurs minimum et maximum estimées du nombre de saumons dénombrés dans chaque rivière sont : 144-239 grands et 592-844 petits saumons dans la rivière Crabbes, $34-36$ grands et $755-805$ petits saumons dans la rivière Middle Barachois et 102-120 gros et 659-768 petits saumons dans la rivière Robinsons. La ponte nécessaire aux besoins de conservation n'a été atteinte dans aucune des rivières. Le pourcentage d'atteinte des besoins a été de 44-48 \% dans la rivière Crabbes, de 76-81 \% dans la rivière Middle Barachois et de 57-67 \% dans la rivière Robinsons. Le pourcentage estimé des niveaux de conservation atteints dans chaque rivière en 1996 est semblable à celui estimé pour 1994 à partir des taux d'exploitation par les pêcheurs à la ligne. Les renseignements obtenus ne permettent pas d'estimer l'abondance du saumon 1997.

## INTRODUCTION

Atlantic salmon populations in Bay St. George rivers declined in the 1970's (Porter and Chadwick 1983) and have been below conservation levels for most of the past 30 years (Reddin and Mullins, 1996). The total returns to rivers appear to have only marginally increased with the closure of the commercial fisheries in 1992. Direct measurements of salmon population sizes using conventional fish counting facilities are difficult to obtain due to the large size of the rivers and their extreme range in discharge. The assessments conducted by Reddin and Mullins (1996) used angling catch statistics and estimates of angling exploitation rates to estimate population sizes. In late August 1996 visual counts of salmon were carried out as a means to estimate the numbers of large and small salmon spawners in Crabbes River, Middle Barachois River and Robinsons River (Fig 1). This paper is an assessment of the status of the salmon populations in these rivers.

## METHODS

## Recreational Fisheries data

There were 'hook and release' recreational fisheries on Crabbes, Middle Barachois and Robinsons rivers in 1996. However, no data were collected on fishing effort or numbers of salmon hooked and released.

## Unrecorded mortalities

An estimate of all fish killed or naturally died before spawning is important for any stock assessment. Illegal activities do occur on the three rivers being assessed; however, no quantitative estimates of salmon mortality are available. The percentage of the released salmon that will die will vary with handling techniques and water temperature (Wilkie et al, 1996; and Brobbel et al, 1996). Mortality of hooked and released salmon at water temperatures below $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is believed to be less than $10 \%$. Since no data are available on numbers of salmon hooked and released or numbers of salmon poached on Crabbes, Middle Barachois and Robinsons rivers, no estimates can be made of unrecorded mortalities.

## Biological characteristics

The biological characteristics of Atlantic salmon that are most important for assessing the status of a salmon stock are: mean weight of large and small salmon, percent female of each size group, and relative fecundity. The values of these parameters determine the reproductive potential of the stock.

The mean weights ( 1.63 kg for small, 5.06 kg for large) and percentage female ( $71.9 \%$ for small, $86.8 \%$ for large salmon) used in this assessment are values for years 1992-94 from

Table 6a, $b$ in Reddin \& Mullins (1996). These values are the same for all three rivers. The estimated mean fecundity of 1540 eggs/kg of body weight was used (Porter \& Chadwick 1983).

## Conservation spawning requirements

Spawning requirements for Atlantic salmon represent an estimate of the number of eggs (or spawners) required for conservation of the stock (O'Connell \& Dempson 1995). Juvenile salmon rear in both stream and lake habitat and thus spawning requirements should be based on both types (O'Connell \& Dempson 1995). The available habitat in Crabbes, Middle Barachois and Robinsons rivers is mostly fluvial with little pond habitat (Table 1). Those lakes that are present are mostly found in the upper part of the watershed and are inaccessible to migrating salmon. Therefore, in relation to the river habitat, the contribution of parr produced in lakes to the total produced would be small but still important.

Conservation egg deposition requirements for Crabbes, Middle Barachois and Robinsons rivers are those calculated by Reddin \& Mullins (1996) as target eggs. These numbers are:
Crabbes ..................... $4,600,000$ eggs
Middle Barachois ....... $2,100,000$ eggs
Robinsons .................. 3,300,000 eggs

The conservation requirements in terms of small $\left(\mathrm{CS}_{s}\right)$ and large salmon spawners $\left(\mathrm{CS}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ were calculated as follows:


The proportion small and large salmon used to determine the number of spawners required to achieve the egg deposition levels required for conservation for Crabbes, Middle Barachois and Robinsons rivers are the values observed in the visual survey in 1996. These proportions should represent the entire population since there was no known selective harvest. Other biological characteristics are those referenced above in the Biological Characteristics Section.

## Total Returns to the Rivers and Number of Spawners

Atlantic salmon were visually counted in Crabbes, Middle Barachois, and Robinsons rivers by surveyors snorkelling or, where the water was not deep enough, walking down each river. Only one tributary on each river was surveyed since all other tributaries were small and had very low water levels and salmon were unlikely to have ascended them. The main stem of each river which is accessible to sea-run Atlantic salmon was divided into five Sections with each section being less than 10 km in length (Fig. 2, 3 and 4). A crew of two or three people were assigned to each Section. For most sections, one or two surveyors would passively float or swim the river and count salmon, and one person would walk the river bank and record the information. Water levels in most riffle areas were too low for swimming, particularly in the upper sections (Sections 1 and 2) of each river. Sections 1-4 of Crabbes River and tributary (Little Crabbes Brook) (Fig. 2a) were surveyed on 28 August 1996 and Section 5 on 29 August. Section 1 of Middle Barachois (Fig. 2b) was surveyed on 28 August and Sections 2-5 and tributary (Big Dribble Brook) were surveyed 29 August.
Sections 1-5 on Robinsons River (Fig. 2c) was surveyed on August 30, and its tributary, Northern Feeder was surveyed on 5 September. Information collected included: numbers of large and small salmon, and a description of the locations where salmon were observed. The procedure for the snorkel team was to float through a pool, preferably to one side, with as little movement as possible, and count the salmon. If the surveyors were unsure of the count, they would float through the pool a second or third time. In some pools, the river conditions and location of fish were such that it was possible for the snorkel team to hold position and count salmon.

No attempt was made to calibrate differences between teams or individuals since there are many factors which affect accuracy of the counts. These factors include water depth and width of pools, turbidity and colour of water, angle of sun, and light conditions in general.

The water levels in the rivers were very low and salmon were only found in pools; however some of the pools were too deep and/or too wide to obtain a complete count of salmon in them. Therefore, an adjustment factor was applied to the count in each Section to obtain an estimate of the maximum number of salmon in the each river. This factor was subjectively determined in consultation with the surveyors, taking into consideration the number and size of the pools in which complete counts could not be ascertained, and the number of salmon counted in adjacent pools. No adjustments were made for the possibility of salmon in other tributaries because the tributaries are small and water levels were so low that it was unlikely that salmon would be in them. Due to the low water levels it is unlikely that salmon moved between Sections during the period of the survey. The sizing of the salmon by the various survey teams were examined for possible differences or bias in sizing by comparing whether or not a particular team consistently recorded a higher or lower number of large salmon than other teams. No bias were found, so no adjustment were made to the proportion of large and small salmon recorded by each team.

The unadjusted counts are assumed to be the minimum number of salmon in each river and the adjusted numbers are believed to represent the maximum numbers of salmon in
each river. It is assumed that the results of the survey represent the total run to each river and the spawning escapement, since no information is available on unrecorded mortalities.

## Egg deposition

The minimum and maximum egg deposition $\left(E D_{m i} \& E D_{m x}\right)$ for each river, in 1996, was calculated for small and large salmon separately then summed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E D_{m i}=\left(U N_{S} * P F_{S} * R F_{S} * M W_{S}\right)+\left(U N_{L} * P F_{L} * R F_{L} * M W_{L}\right)  \tag{2}\\
& E D_{m x}=\left(A N_{S} * P F_{S} * R F_{S} * M W_{S}\right)+\left(A N_{L} * P F_{L} * R F_{L} * M W_{L}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where,
$U_{S}$ or $L \quad=$ unadjusted numbers of small and large salmon counted in the survey
$\mathrm{AN}_{\mathrm{S} \text { or } \mathrm{L}} \quad=$ adjusted number of small or large salmon counted in the survey

## Percentage of Conservation Level Achieved

The minimum and maximum percentage of the conservation egg deposition levels which were achieved in each river were calculated as follows:
(4) Percentage of conservation level achieved $=\left(E D_{\text {mi or mx }} / C E D\right) * 100$

## RESULTS

## Recreational Fisheries data

There were 'hook and release' recreational fisheries on Crabbes, Middle Barachois and Robinsons rivers from 1 June to 20 August, 1996. The rivers were closed from 21 August to 2 September due to low water levels and high water temperatures. Retention of salmon was not permitted. No data were collected on the numbers of salmon hooked and released. However, the River Monitors reported that there were few anglers fishing. Historical angling data are provided in Appendices 1, 2, and 3.

## Unrecorded mortalities

No estimates of hook and release mortality can be make due to the lack of angling catch statistics. No information are available on removals due to poaching; however it
was noted that very few salmon were observed with net marks or other physical injuries.

## Biological characteristics

The parameter values for mean weights and percent female used to calculate the egg depositions are shown in Table 2. The proportions small and large salmon shown in Table 2 are those observed during the visual counts and are detailed in Tables 3-5. The same biological characteristics were used to calculate the number of small and large salmon required for conservation.

## Conservation Spawning Requirements

The number of eggs required for conservation and equivalent numbers of fish are:

| River | Eggs Required | Spawners Required <br> Small |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crabbes | $4.6 * 10^{6}$ | 1,235 | 350 |
| Middle Barachois | $2.1 * 10^{6}$ | 996 | 45 |
| Robinsons | $3.3^{* 1} 0^{6}$ | 1,154 | 180 |

The relative proportions of small and large salmon in the `Spawner Required columns', for the three rivers, are based on the proportion of small and large salmon observed in during the visual spawner survey.

## Total Returns to Rivers and Number of Spawners

The numbers of salmon counted in each Section of Crabbes, Middle Barachois and Robinsons rivers are provided in Tables 3, 4, and 5. The largest number of small salmon were counted in Section 1 of each river (Figs 2, 3, \& 4). In Crabbes River, the largest number of large salmon were found in Section 4; whereas in Middle Barachois and Robinsons rivers most of the large salmon were found in Section 1. There were 592 small salmon and 144 large salmon counted in Crabbes River: 755 small salmon and 34 large salmon counted in Middle Barachois River; and 659 small and 102 large salmon counted in Robinsons River. There were very few salmon counted in the tributaries surveyed on Crabbes and Middle Barachois rivers. Forty-one small salmon were counted in Northern Feeder, tributary of Robinsons River; and 40 of these fish were in one pool in the lower portion of the tributary.

Salmon where highly concentrated in a small number of pools in each river. Densities of greater than 10 salmon were found in 17 pools on Crabbes River, 16 pools on Middle Barachois River and only 10 pools on Robinsons River (Tables 3, 4 and 5). No salmon were found in riffle areas and very few in water depths less than one meter. Some pools were too large or deep to get a complete count of the salmon in them. The adjustment factors that were developed for each Section ranged from 1.0 to 2.0 (Tables 3, 4 and 5). The least adjustment was required for Middle Barachois River, and the greatest adjustment was required for Crabbes River due to the number of large pools which could not be completely surveyed.

The adjusted numbers of salmon are: for Crabbes River, 844 small and 239 large; for Middle Barachois River, 805 small and 36 large; and for Robinsons River, 768 small and 120 large (Tables 3,4 and 5). The percentage of the populations that were large salmon was 22.1 \% for Crabbes River, $4.3 \%$ for Middle Barachois River, and 13.5\% for Robinsons River (Tables 3, 4 and 5).

The unadjusted numbers of salmon are considered the minimum numbers of salmon in the rivers and the adjusted numbers of salmon are considered the maximum numbers. It is assumed that the minimum and maximum numbers of salmon in the river at the time of the survey are equivalent to the minimum and maximum total returns to the rivers and spawning escapements, since no estimates were made for in-river mortalities.

## Egg deposition

The egg deposition in Crabbes River, Middle Barachois River and Robinsons River, in 1996, are as follows:

| Crabbes R | $\frac{\text { Minimum }}{20 \times 10^{6}}$ | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{31+10^{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle Barachois R | $1.6 * 10^{6}$ | $1.7 * 10^{6}$ eggs |
| Robinsons R | $1.9 * 10^{6}$ | $2.2 * 10^{6}$ eggs |

## Percentage of Conservation Level Achieved

Table 6 provides the percentage of the conservation egg deposition requirements which were achieved in 1996. The minimum and maximum percent of the conservation egg requirement which were achieved are: Crabbes River $44 \%$ and $68 \%$ (mid-point 56\%); Middle Barachois River 76\% \& 81\% (mid-point 79\%); and Robinsons River 57\% and 67\% (mid-point 62\%).

## DISCUSSION

The status of the salmon stock in Crabbes, Middle Barachois, Robinsons rivers in 1996 is similar to that derived for 1994 by Reddin and Mullins (1996) (Tables 7, 8 and 9 , Fig. 5). All three rivers had egg depositions less than that which is required for conservation. The numbers of small salmon spawners (592-844) in Crabbes River in 1996 was the highest since 1984; and the number of large salmon spawners (144-239) was the highest since 1981 (Table 8). Crabbes River, in 1996, achieved the highest percentage of its conservation level ( $56 \%$, mid-point of range) since 1965 (Table 9).

The estimated spawning escapement of small salmon (755-805) in Middle Barachois River in 1996, was the highest since 1981; but the number of large salmon (34-36) was the lowest estimate since 1991. The percentage of conservation level ( $76-81 \%$ ) achieved in 1996, is only slightly higher than the 74\% estimated for 1994 (Table 9). However it is the highest percentage of its conservation level achieved since 1980. The proportion of the total river escapement to Middle Barachois in 1996, which was large salmon ( 0.043 ) is lower than the 1992-94 mean ( 0.087 ) calculated from Reddin and Mullins (1996) (Tables 4 and 8).

The estimated spawning escapement of small salmon (659-768) and large salmon (102-120) in Robinsons River in 1996, was similar to that estimated for 1994 by Reddin and Mullins (1996) (Table 8). Thus the percentage of conservation level achieved ( $57-67 \%$ ) is also similar to the estimates for 1994 (Table 9). The proportion of the total river escapement to Robinsons River in 1996, which was large salmon (0.135) is similar to the 1992-94 mean (0.146) calculated from Reddin and Mullins (1996) (Tables 5 and 8 ).

The number of spawners required to deposit sufficient eggs for conservation on each of the three rivers (Table 2) is less than the numbers of spawners calculated by Reddin and Mullins (1996). The differences is due to the differ values for biological characteristics used in the calculations. In this analysis, the proportions of large and small salmon are the proportions observed during the visual surveys; whereas, the percentage female salmon and mean weights are those calculated by Reddin and Mullins (1996) for years 1992-94 (see Table 6a,b in Reddin and Mullins, (1996)). Reddin and Mullins used the average biological characteristics for the period 1953-94 to calculate spawner requirements.

The absence of recent information on the mean weight and sex ratio of large and small salmon in Crabbes, Middle Barachois, and Robinsons rivers is a shortcoming of this assessment. An effort should be made to collect these data and incorporate them into the next assessment. The small salmon in Middle Barachois River appears, from the visual survey, to be smaller in size than the small salmon in Crabbes and Robinsons rivers. Techniques to improve the capability to count salmon in large pools should be developed. Also further surveys should consider observer effect.

There were very few net marked salmon observed during the visual surveys which suggests that marine by-catch in other species gear, and illegal netting in the rivers may be low.
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Table 1. Drainage area, available fluvial habitat, and egg deposition levels required for conservation in Crabbes, Middle Barachois and Robinsons rivers.

|  | DRAINAGE <br> AREA <br> (sq. km ) | FLUVIAL <br> REARING <br> UNITS <br> (100 sq. m) | STANDING <br> WATER <br> (ha) | Conservation <br> Requirement <br> EGGS <br> $\left(\times 10^{\star *} 6\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RIVER NAME |  |  |  |  |
| Crabbes | 551 | 18,429 | 381 | 4.6 |
| Middle Barachois | 241 | 8,395 | 362 | 2.1 |
| Robinsons | 439 | 13,491 | 124 | 3.3 |
| Total | 1,231 | 40,315 | 866 | 10.0 |

Table 2. Conservation spawner requirements for Crabbes, Middle Barachois, and Robinsons rivers.

| River | Small Salmon |  |  | Large salmon |  |  | Conservation Spawners |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |  | Mean Wt(kg) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Female | Mean $\mathrm{Wt}(\mathrm{kg})$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Small | Large |
| Crabbes | 77.9 | 71.9 | 1.63 | 22.1 | 86.8 | 5.06 | 1235 | 350 |
| Middle Barachois | 95.7 | 71.9 | 1.63 | 4.3 | 86.8 | 5.06 | 996 | 45 |
| Robinsons | 86.5 | 71.9 | 1.63 | 13.5 | 86.8 | 5.06 | 1154 | 180 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3385 | 575 |

Table 3. Number of small and large salmon counted in Crabbes River, 28 \& 29 August 1996.

| River Section | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \# poois } \\ >10 \text { fish } \end{array}$ | Unadjusted Count |  | Adjustment Factor | Adusted Count |  |  | Percent Large |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Small | Large |  | Small | Large | Total |  |
| 1 | 5 | 341 | 49 | 1.10 | 375 | 54 | 429 | 12.6 |
| 2 | 3 | 56 | 10 | 1.50 | 84 | 15 | 99 | 15.2 |
| 3 | 4 | 98 | 11 | 2.00 | 196 | 22 | 218 | 10.1 |
| 4 | 5 | 89 | 74 | 2.00 | 178 | 148 | 326 | 45.4 |
| 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2.00 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| L Crabbes | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1.00 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 17 | 592 | 144 |  | 844 | 239 | 1083 | 22.1 |

Table 4. Number of small and large salmon counted in Middle Barachois River, 28 \& 29 August 1996.

| River Section | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \hline \text { \# pools } \\ >10 \text { fish } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Unadjusted Count |  | AdjustmentFactor | Adusted Count |  |  | Percent Large |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Small | Large |  | Small | Large | Total |  |
| 1 | 6 | 355 | 18 | 1.05 | 373 | 19 | 392 | 4.8 |
| 2 | 7 | 315 | 10 | 1.05 | 331 | 11 | 341 | 3.1 |
| 3 | 1 | 32 | 5 | 1.10 | 35 | 6 | 41 | 13.5 |
| 4 | 2 | 52 | 1 | 1.25 | 65 | 1 | 66 | 1.9 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Big Dribble | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1.00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 16 | 755 | 34 |  | 805 | 36 | 841 | 4.3 |

Table 5. Number of small and large salmon counted in Robinsons River, 30 August and in Northern Feeder, 5 Sept 1996.

| River Section | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# pools } \\ >10 \text { fish } \end{gathered}$ | Unadjusted Count ${ }^{\text {Adjustment }}$ |  |  | Adusted Count |  |  | Percent Large |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Small | Large | Factor | Small | Large | Total |  |
| 1 | 2 | 343 | 66 | 1.20 | 412 | 79 | 491 | 16.1 |
| 2 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 1.10 | 41 | 0 | 41 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 1 | 76 | 16 | 1.05 | 80 | 17 | 97 | 17.4 |
| 4 | 3 | 86 | 17 | 1.20 | 103 | 20 | 124 | 16.5 |
| 5 | 2 | 76 | 3 | 1.20 | 91 | 4 | 95 | 0.0 |
| N. Feeder | 1 | 41 | 0 | 1.00 | 41 | 0 | 41 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 10 | 659 | 102 |  | 768 | 120 | 888 | 13.5 |

Table 6. Adjusted and unadjusted numbers of small and large spawners, estimated egg deposition and percentage of egg deposition required for conservation for Crabbes, M. Barachois and Robinsons rivers

| River | Small salmon |  | Large salmon |  | Egg deposition |  | \% Conservation leve |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | unadjust | adjusted | unadjust | ladjusted | Min | Max | Min | max |
| Crabbes | 592 | 844 | 144 | 239 | 2042449 | 3139829 | 44 | 68 |
| Middle Barachois | 755 | 805 | 34 | 36 | 1592619 | 1696388 | 76 | 81 |
| Robinsons | 659 | 768 | 102 | 120 | 1879293 | 2197769 | 57 | 67 |

Table 7. Estimated total returns to Crabbes, Middle Barachois and Robinsons rivers, 1953-96. Estimates for 1953-94 are based on angling exploitation rates (Reddin and Mullins 1996).
Estimates for 1996 are based on a visual salmon counts.

| Year | Crabbes |  | Middle Barachois |  | Robinsons |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large |
| 1953 | 237 | 85 | 77 | 10 | 1630 | 380 |
| 1954 | 387 | 128 | 110 | 35 | 1233 | 508 |
| 1955 | 253 | 248 | 90 | 38 | 1210 | 265 |
| 1956 | 600 | 548 | 760 | 175 | 1960 | 498 |
| 1957 | 1103 | 778 | 557 | 170 | 2653 | 445 |
| 1958 | 447 | 685 | 363 | 218 | 1200 | 745 |
| 1959 | 787 | 460 | 197 | 40 | 1627 | 245 |
| 1960 | 490 | 125 | 287 | 38 | 2533 | 293 |
| 1961 | 1080 | 280 | 717 | 63 | 2440 | 415 |
| 1962 | 1897 | 490 | 787 | 118 | 3350 | 293 |
| 1963 | 1560 | 750 | 903 | 363 | 4020 | 975 |
| 1964 | 2727 | 728 | 1140 | 248 | 3117 | 705 |
| 1965 | 1433 | 605 | 1807 | 278 | 3403 | 500 |
| 1966 | 533 | 282 | 416 | 164 | 1120 | 258 |
| 1967 | 1078 | 365 | 1213 | 289 | 1882 | 302 |
| 1968 | 1004 | 413 | 1362 | 225 | 1789 | 267 |
| 1969 | 1851 | 425 | 1702 | 280 | 1260 | 133 |
| 1970 | 673 | 273 | 827 | 125 | 1153 | 145 |
| 1971 | 689 | 155 | 1222 | 98 | 829 | 104 |
| 1972 | 884 | 276 | 773 | 335 | 638 | 75 |
| 1973 | 740 | 193 | 1262 | 140 | 1822 | 155 |
| 1974 | 653 | 178 | 571 | 127 | 787 | 31 |
| 1975 | 600 | 164 | 1133 | 213 | 1358 | 76 |
| 1976 | 424 | 105 | 1169 | 84 | 1236 | 102 |
| 1977 | 482 | 229 | 1187 | 102 | 896 | 335 |
| 1978 | 471 | 397 | 285 | 1159 | 827 | 386 |
| 1979 | 782 | 44 | 692 | 0 | 1743 | 131 |
| 1980 | 1240 | 284 | 1619 | 273 | 2408 | 642 |
| 1981 | 1329 | 359 | 1172 | 34 | 3031 | 733 |
| 1982 | 1916 | 234 | 765 | 23 | 3186 | 233 |
| 1983 | 359 | 119 | 469 | 11 | 827 | 51 |
| 1984 | 1346 | 44 | 882 | 0 | 1767 | 131 |
| 1985 | 224 | 30 | 258 | 40 | 880 | 67 |
| 1986 | 819 | 108 | 526 | 82 | 804 | 61 |
| 1987 | 198 | 26 | 134 | 21 | 543 | 41 |
| 1988 | 670 | 89 | 531 | 83 | 684 | 52 |
| 1989 | 111 | 15 | 208 | 33 | 274 | 21 |
| 1990 | 264 | 35 | 363 | 57 | 547 | 42 |
| 1991 | 243 | 32 | 179 | 28 | 415 | 32 |
| 1992 | 682 | 126 | 584 | 72 | 967 | 130 |
| 1993 | 354 | 34 | 665 | 36 | 531 | 31 |
| 1994 | 774 | 113 | 732 | 81 | 910 | 115 |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 592-844 | 144-239 | 755-805 | 34-36 | 659-768 | 102-120 |
| Mean 53-65 | 1000 | 454 | 599 | 138 | 2337 | 482 |
| Mean 66-77 | 801 | 255 | 1070 | 182 | 1231 | 165 |
| Mean 78-84 | 1063 | 212 | 840 | 214 | 1970 | 330 |
| Mean 85-91 | 361 | 48 | 314 | 49 | 592 | 45 |
| Mean 92-94 | 603 | 91 | 661 | 63 | 803 | 92 |

Table 8. Spawning escapement of Atlantic salmon in Crabbes, Middle Barachois, and Robinsons rivers, 1953-96. Estimates for 1953-94 are from Reddin and Mullins (1996)

| Year | Crabbes |  | M. Barachois |  | Robinsons |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large |
| 1953 | 166 | 51 | 54 | 6 | 1141 | 228 |
| 1954 | 271 | 77 | 77 | 21 | 863 | 305 |
| 1955 | 177 | 149 | 63 | 23 | 847 | 159 |
| 1956 | 420 | 329 | 532 | 105 | 1372 | 299 |
| 1957 | 772 | 467 | 390 | 102 | 1857 | 267 |
| 1958 | 313 | 411 | 254 | 131 | 840 | 447 |
| 1959 | 551 | 276 | 138 | 24 | 1139 | 147 |
| 1960 | 343 | 75 | 201 | 23 | 1773 | 176 |
| 1961 | 756 | 168 | 502 | 38 | 1708 | 249 |
| 1962 | 1328 | 294 | 551 | 71 | 2345 | 176 |
| 1963 | 1092 | 450 | 632 | 218 | 2814 | 585 |
| 1964 | 1909 | 437 | 798 | 149 | 2182 | 423 |
| 1965 | 1003 | 363 | 1265 | 167 | 2382. | 300 |
| 1966 | 293 | 127 | 229 | 74 | 616 | 116 |
| 1967 | 593 | 164 | 667 | 130 | 1035 | 136 |
| 1968 | 552 | 186 | 749 | 101 | 984 | 120\% |
| 1969 | 1018 | 191. | - 936 | - 126 | …‥693.... | $\sim 60 \cdots$ |
| 1970 | 370 - | 123 | --. 455 | -56 | $\therefore 634$ | $\cdots 6$ |
| 1971 | 379 | 70 | 672 | 44 | 456 | 47 |
| 1972 | 486 | 124 | 425 | 151 | 351 | 34 |
| 1973 | 407 | 87 | 694 | 63 | 1002 | 70 |
| 1974 | 359 | 80 | 314 | 57. | 433 | 14 |
| 1975 | 330 | 74 | 623 | 96 | 747 | 34. |
| 1976 | 233 | 47 | 643 | 38 | 680 | 46. |
| 1977 | 265 | 103 | 653 | 46 | 493 | 151 |
| 1978 | 333 | 270 | 234 | 1057 | 592 | 318 |
| 1979 | 553 | 30 | 568 | 0 | 1248 | 108 |
| 1980 | 877 | 193 | 1329 | 249 | 1724 | 529 |
| 1981 | 940 | 244 | 962 | 31 | 2170 | 604 |
| 1982 | 1355 | 159 | 628 | 21 | 2281 | 192 |
| 1983 | 254 | 97 | 385 | 10 | 592 | 42 |
| 1984 | 952 | 30 | 724 | 0 | 1265 | 108 |
| 1985 | 129 | 30 | 160 | 40 | 507 | 67 |
| 1986 | 472 | 108 | 326 | 82 | 463 | 61 |
| 1987 | 11.4 | 26 | 83 | 21 | 313 | 4.1 |
| 1988 | 386 | 89 | 329 | 83 | 394 | 52 |
| 1989 | 64 | 15 | 129 | 33 | 158 | 21 |
| 1990 | 152 | 35 | 225 | 57 | 315 | 42 |
| 1991 | 140 | 32 | 111 | 28 | 239 | 32 |
| 1992 | 393 | 126 | 362 | 72 | 557 | 130 |
| 1993 | 204 | 34 | 435 | 36 | 306 | 31 |
| 1994 | 600 | 113 | 578 | 81 | 750 | 115 |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 592-844 | 144-239 | 755-805 | 34-36 | 659-768 | 102-120 |
| Mean 53-65 | 700 | 273 | 420 | 83 | 1636 | 289 |
| Mean 66-77 | 441 | 115 | 588 | 82 | 677 | 74 |
| Mean 78-84 | 752 | 146 | 690 | 195 | 1410 | 272 |
| Mean 85-91 | - 208 | 48 | 195 | 49 | 341 | 45 |
| Mean 92-94 | 399 | 9.1 | 459 | 63 | , 538 | 92 |

Table 9. Percentage of the egg deposition level required for conservation acheived on Crabbes, Middle Barachois, and Robinsons rivers, 1953-96.
Estimates for 1953-94 are from Reddin and Mullins (1996).

| Year | Crabbes | M. Barachois | Robinsons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1953 | 10 | 4 | 73 |
| 1954 | 15 | 9 | 75 |
| 1955 | 20 | 8 | 53 |
| 1956 | 46 | 52 | 92 |
| 1957 | 69 | 44 | 104 |
| 1958 | 52 | 43 | 95 |
| 1959 | 43 | 13 | 61 |
| 1960 | 17 | 16 | 87 |
| 1961 | 37 | 35 | 96 |
| 1962 | 64 | 45 | 107 |
| 1963 | 75 | 83 | 184 |
| 1964 | 94 | 76 | 138 |
| 1965 | 64 | 105 | 127 : |
| 1966 | 19 | 25. | 32 |
| 1967 | 28 | 54 | 45 |
| 1968 | 30 | 51 | 42 |
| 1969 | 38 | 63 | 26 |
| 1970 | 20 | 30 | 25 |
| 1971 | 14 | 35 | 18 |
| 1972 | 22 | 50 | 14 |
| 1973 | 16 | 40 | 35 |
| 1974 | 15 | 25 | 13. |
| 1975 | 14 | 45 | 23 |
| 1976 | 9 | 32 | 23 |
| 1977 | 16 | 35 | 34 |
| 1978 | 38 | 254 | 70 |
| 1979 | 19 | 34 | 65 |
| 1980 | 45 | 136 | 146 |
| 1981 | 52 | 64 | 174 |
| 1982 | 55 | 42 | 117 |
| 1983 | 17 | 25 | 29 |
| 1984 | 30 | 43 | 65 |
| 1985 | 6 | 18 | 28 |
| 1986 | 24 | 36 | 25 |
| 1987 | 6 | 9 | 17 |
| 1988 | 19 | 37 | 22 |
| 1989 | 3 | 14 | 9 |
| 1990 | 8 | 25 | 17 |
| 1991 | 7 | 12 | 13 |
| 1992 | 34 | 53 | 57 |
| 1993 | 13 | 48 | 23 |
| 1994 | 41 | 74 | 65 |
| 1995 | ? | ? | ?. |
| 1996 | 44-68 | 76-81 | 57-67 |
| Mean 53-65 | 4.7 | 41 | 99 |
| Mean 66-77 | 20 | 40 | 28 |
| Mean 78-84 | 37 | 86 | 95 |
| Mean 85-91 | 10 | 22 | 19 |
| Mean 92-94 | 29 | 58 | 49 |



Figure 1. Map showing the salmon fishing areas for Newfoundland and locations of Crabbes, Middle Barachois and Robinsons rivers.

Figure 2. Sections of Crabbes River and Little Crabbes Brook in which visual surveys were conducted.


Figure 3. Sections of Middle Barachois River and Big Dribble Brook in which visual surveys were conducted.


Figure 4. Sections of Robinsons River and Northern Feeder in which visual surveys were conducted.


## Crabbes River


M. Barachois River


Robinsons River


Fig. 5. Percentages of the egg deposition levels required for conservation which were achieved on Crabbes, Middle Barachois and Robinsons rivers 1953-94. Values for 1953-94 are from Reddin and Mullins (1996).

Appendix 1. Recreational catch and effort statistics for Crabbes River, 1974-95.

| Year | Effort <br> Rod Days | Small ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Large ( $>=63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Total (Small + Large) |  |  | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rel | Tot | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. |  |
|  |  | Ret. | Rel. | Tor. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98 | 392 |  | 392 | 0.39 |
| 1974 | 1010 | 294 |  | 294 | 98 | - | 90 | 360 | . | 360 | 0.22 |
| 1975 | 1641 | 270 |  | 270 | 58 |  | 58 | 249 |  | 249 | 0.29 |
| 1976 | 859 | 191 |  | 191 | 126 |  | 126 | 343 |  | 343 | 0.40 |
| 1977 | 859 | 217 |  | 217 | 127 |  | 127 | 265 |  | 265 | 0.29 |
| 1978 | 907 | 138 |  | 138 | 127 | - | 14 | 243 | . | 243 | 0.49 |
| 1979 | 501 | 229 |  | 229 | 14 | - | 91 | 454 | . | 454 | 0.50 |
| 1980 | 902 | 363 | - | 363 | 115 | - | 115 | 504 |  | 504 | 0.56 |
| 1981 | 905 | 389 | . | 389 | 115 75 | - | 75 | 636 |  | 636 | 0.56 |
| 1982 | 1135 | 561 | . | 561 | 75 | - | 38 | 143 |  | 143 | 0.19 |
| 1983 | 758 | 105 | - | 105 | 14 |  | 14 | 408 |  | 408 | 0.48 |
| 1984 | 848 | 394 | . | 394 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 95 | 3 | 98 | 0.16 |
| 1985 | 602 | 95 | - | 95 | * | 0 | 0 | 347 | 0 | 347 | 0.35 |
| 1986 | 997 | 347 | . | 347 | * | 4 | 4 | 84 | 4 | 88 | 0.23 |
| 1987 | 377 | 84 |  | 84 | * | 17 | 17 | 284 | 17 | 301 | 0.39 |
| 1988 | 773 | 284 | - | 284 | * | 17 5 | 5 | 47 | 5 | 52 | 0.12 |
| 1989 | 419 | 47 | - | 47 | * | 25 | 25 | 112 | 25 | 137 | 0.30 |
| 1990 | 457 | 112 | . | 112 | * | - 9 | 9 | 103 | 9 | 112 | 0.29 |
| 1991 | 385 | 103 |  | 103 | * | 9 | 88 | 263 | 114 | 377 | 0.46 |
| 1992 | 822 | 263 | 26 | 289 | * | 24 | 24 | 150 | 24 | 174 | 0.24 |
| 1993 | 737 | 150 | 0 | 150 | * | 45 | 45 | 174 | 82 | 256 | 0.28 |
| 1994 | 906 | 174 | 37 | 211 | * | 32 | 32 | 26 | 37 | 63 | 0.24 |
| 1995 | 268 | 26 | 5 | 31 | * | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996** | . | . | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 210.8 | 5.8 | 215.7 | 0.32 |
| $84.89 \overline{\text { X }}$ | 669.3 | 208.5 | - | 208.5 |  | 5.8 | 7.2 | 162.0 | 8.1 | 161.6 | 0.14 |
| 95\% CL | 258.3 | 158.3 |  | 158.3 |  | 8.1 | 7.1 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162.8 | 10.0 | 172.8 | 0.30 |
| $86-91$ X | 568.0 | 162.8 | - | 162.8 |  | 10.0 98 | 9.8 | 128.0 | 9.8 | 127.3 | 0.09 |
| 95\% CL | 269.9 | 128.0 |  | 128.0 |  | 9.8 6 | 9 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 153.3 | 64.3 | 217.5 | 0.32 |
| 92-95 $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 683.3 | 153.3 | 17.0 | 170.3 | - | 45.3 | 45.4 | 155.6 | 65.9 | 210.8 | 0.18 |
| 95\% CL | 453.9 | 155.6 | 27.8 | 173.2 | 0 | 45.4 | 45 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| N | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

IN THE ABOVE TABLE A PERIOD INDICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR.
FOR 1985-1996 AND ON RETAINED FISH ONLY PRIOR TO 1985
NOT ALIOWED TO RETAIN LARGE SALMON IN INSULAR NEWFOUNDLAND

- -preliminary

Appendix 2. Recreational catch and effort statistics for Middle Barachois River, 1974-95.

| Year | Effort Rod Days | Small (<63 cm) |  |  |  | Large ( $>=63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Total (Small + Large) |  |  | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. |  |
| 1974 | 894 |  | 257 | - | 257 | 70 | . | 70 | 327 |  | 327 | 0.37 |
| 1975 | 1129 |  | 510 | . | 510 | 117 | . | 117 | 627 | . | 627 | 0.56 |
| 1976 | 1572 |  | 526 | . | 526 | 46 | . | 46 | 572 | . | 572 | 0.36 |
| 1977 | 1218 |  | 534 | . | 534 | 56 | - | 56 | 590 |  | 590 | 0.48 |
| 1978 | 273 |  | 51 | . | 51 | 102 | - | 102 | 153 |  | 153 | 0.56 |
| 4979 | 342 |  | 124 | . | 124 | 0 | - | 0 | 124 |  | 124 | 0.36 |
| 1980 | 622 |  | 290 | . | 290 | 24 | - | 24 | 314 |  | 314 | 0.50 |
| 1981 | 487 |  | 210 | - | 210 | 3 | - | 3 | 213 |  | 213 | 0.44 |
| 1982 | 313 |  | 137 | - | 137 | 2 | - | 2 | 139 |  | 139 | 0.44 |
| 1983 | 292 |  | 84 | . | 84 | . 1 | - | 1 | 85 |  | 85 | 0.29 |
| 1984 | 320 |  | 158 |  | 158 | : 0 |  | 0 | 158 |  | -158 | 0.49 |
| 1985 | 422 |  | 98 | - | 98 | ; * | 1 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 99 | 0.23 |
| 1986 | 683 |  | 200 |  | 200 | * | 23 | 23 | 200 | 23 | 223 | 0.33 |
| 1987 | 208 |  | 51 | . | 51 | :* | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 0.25 |
| 1988 | 565 |  | 202 | . | 202 | * | 11 | 11 | 202 | 11 | 213 | 0.38 |
| 1989 | 395 |  | 79 | . | 79 |  | 1 | 1 | 79 | 1 | 80 | 0.20 |
| 1990 | 547 |  | 138 | . | 138 |  | 7 | 7 | 138 | 7 | -145 | 0.27 |
| 1991. | 293 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 6 | 6 | 68 | 6 | 74 | 0.25 |
| 1992 | 535 |  | 222 | 0 | 222 | ;* | 22 | 22 | 222 | 22 | 244 | 0.46 |
| 1993 | 916 |  | 230 | 23 | 253 | * | 11 | 11 | 230 | 34 | 264 | 0.29 |
| 1994 | 785 |  | 154 | 25 | 179 | * | 14 | 14 | 154 | 39 | 193 | 0.25 |
| 1995 | 341 |  | 53 | 2 | 55 | * | 24 | 24 | 53 | 26 | 79 | 0.23 |
| 1996** | . |  | . | . | - | - | - | . | - | , |  |  |
| 84-89 $\bar{X}$ | 432.2 |  | 131.3 |  | 131.3 | - | 7.2 | 6.0 | 131.3 | 7.2 | 137.3 | 0.32 |
| 95\% CL | 178.7 |  | 67.6 |  | 67.6 |  | 12.3 | 9.8 | 67.6 | 12.3 | 75.3 | 0.10 |
| N | . 6 |  | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 86-91 $\bar{X}$ | 448.5 |  | 123.0 |  | 123.0 | - | 8.0 | 8.0 | 123.0 | 8.0 | 131.0 | 0.29 |
| 95\% CL | 189.6 |  | 70.5 |  | 70.5 |  | 8.8 | 8.8 | 70.5 | 8.8 | 78.0 | 0.07 |
| N | 6 |  | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 92-95 $\overline{\text { X }}$ | 644.3 | 1 | 164.8 | 12.5 | 177.3 | - | 17.8 | 17.8 | 164.8 | 30.3 | 195.0 | 0.30 |
| 95\% CL | 408.3 |  | 130.4 | 21.2 | 138.4 |  | 9.9 | 9.9 | 130.4 | 12.2 | 131.9 | 0.14 |
| N | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

CPUE IS BASED ON RETAINED + RELEASED FISH FOR 1985-1996 AND ON RETAINED FISH ONLY PRIOR TO 1985.

* NOT ALLOWED TO RETAIN LARGE SALMON IN INSULAR NEWFOUNDLAND.
*PRELIMINARY

Appendix 3. Recreational catch and effort statistics for Robinsons River, 1974-95.


IN THE ABOVE TABLE A PERIOD INDICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR.
CPUE IS BASED ON RETAINED + RELEASED FISH FOR 1985. 1996 AND ON RETAINED FISH ONLY PRIOR TO 1985.

- NOT ALLOWED TO RETAIN LARGE SALMON IN INSULAR NEWFOUNDLAND
-•preliminary

