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#### Abstract

The Exploits River was the site of an Atlantic salmon colonization program from 1957-1993. Counts at fishways and angling data provided the basis for assessing the status of the salmon population and determining percent of conservation egg deposition achieved. The 1996 freshwater escapement to the Exploits, of 32,369 ( 30,316 small and 2,053 large) the highest recorded to date, was $179 \%$ of the average 1992-95 escapement and 405\% of the 1987-1991 mean and 259\% of the 1984-1989 mean. In 1996 the lower, middle and upper Exploits received $221 \%, 43 \%$ and $25 \%$ of the required egg deposition respectively. Escapement to the Grand Falls fishway (middle Exploits) more than doubled any previous count. Anticipated returns for 1997 are presented based on a fry to adult recruit relationship using mean data for the 1992-1996 period and on a relationships between $2^{+}$ and $3^{+}$as well as $3^{+}$and $4^{+}$recruits.


## Résumé

La rivière Exploits a fait l'objet d'un programme de colonisation pour le saumon de l'Atlantique de 1957 à 1993. Les dénombrements réalisés aux passes à poisson et les données de la pêche à la ligne servent de fondement à l'évaluation de l'état de la population de saumon et à la détermination de l'atteinte de la ponte nécessaire à la conservation. L'échappée de 1996, de 32369 saumons (30 316 petits et 2053 gros), la plus élevée jamais enregistrée, correspond à $179 \%$ de l'échappée moyenne de la période 19921995, à $405 \%$ de celle de la période 1987-1991 et à $259 \%$ de celle de la période 1984-1989. En 1996, la ponte dans les cours inférieur, médian et supérieur de l'Exploits a correspondu à, respectivement, $221 \%, 43 \%$ et $25 \%$ de la ponte nécessaire. L'échappée à la passe à poisson de Grand Falls (cours médian) a été plus que deux fois supérieure à celle de toute année antérieure. On présente les remontées prévues pour 1997 qui sont fondées sur un rapport entre le nombre d'alevins et le recrutement adulte déterminé à partir des données moyennes pour la période 1992-1996 et le rapport entre les $2^{+}$et les $3^{+}$de même que celui entre les recrues $3^{+}$ et $4^{+}$.

## Introduction

The Exploits River is the largest watershed in insular Newfoundland, encompassing a drainage area of $11,272 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (Porter et al. 1974). The river flows in a northeasterly direction, entering the sea in SFA 4 (Fig. 1). Prior to the inception of enhancement activity (O'Connell and Bourgeois, 1987) less than $10 \%$ of watershed area was available to anadromous Atlantic salmon due to the presence of natural and man-made obstructions (Taylor and Bauld, 1973). The Exploits River requires 95.9 million eggs to meet it's conservation egg deposition requirement (Table 1. However, to date, only 53\% of the colonizable habitat within the watershed has been stocked.

The intent of this document is to review the status of the stock in 1996.

## Background

## Stocking Activities

For details of the fry stockings conducted in the various sections of the Exploits River(Fig.2), refer to Tables 2-4. With respect to the middle Exploits, $187,668 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (egg requirement $45,040,320$ ) of river habitat (Table 1) did not receive the required five years of stocking to establish a self-sustaining run.

Management measures implemented in 1992, which remained in place for 1996
1.Moratorium on commercial salmon fishing in insular Newfoundland.
2.Moratorium on the northern cod fishery affecting Salmon Fishing Areas (SFA's) 1-9 implemented on July 15, 1992. This measure eliminated by-catch of salmon in cod fishing gear.
3. In 1994, due to the low egg deposition in the upper Exploits (Table 4) and that the expected low returns in 1995 would represent returns from the last year of fry stocking, concern was expressed with respect to future returns to Red Indian Lake fishway. The increase in angling effort and catch(Table 5) realized on the Exploits in 1994 further reduced the rate of increase of spawners in the upper Exploits. In an effort to increase escapement at Red Indian Lake in 1995 to about 1,000 fish, special management measures were put in place for the
recreational fishery. In addition to the above management measures DFO, with funding from University of Waterloo, transferred approximately 300 adults from Grand Falls to a location within Red Indian Lake.
4. 1996 Management Plan

The following Management Plan was announced for the 1996 angling fishery:

Exploits River below Grand Falls

- Catch-and-release angling only June 22-July 9 and August 16Sept. 2
- Catch-and-retain angling July 10- Aug.15. No quota. An in season review in late July would determine if a spawning escapement of 13,000 would be achieved and if not angling would revert to catch-and-release only.

Exploits River above Grand Falls

- The main stem of the river from Grand Falls to Red Indian Lake, and all tributaries above Red Indian Lake dam , will be open only for catch-and-release angling for the entire season.
Tributaries between Grand Falls and Red Indian Lake will be open for catch-and-retain angling July 10-Aug. 15 inclusive. Catch-and-release angling will apply before and after these dates.
1,000 fish will be trucked from Grand Falls to Red Indian Lake.

The only change to the proposed plan was the extension of the hook and retain fishery from August 16 - September 2 in sections of the watershed where a retention fishery had occurred in 1996.

## Industrial Activity

In September of 1995 Abitibi-Price, the operators of Grand Falls pulp and paper located in Grand Falls immediately downstream of the Grand Falls fishway, began operation of an Aeration Settlement Basin as a secondary waste water treatment process. This process reduced the Total Suspended Solids(TSS) and Biological Oxygen Demand(BOD) as follows;

| Year | TSS(metric <br> tonnes/day) | BOD (metric <br> tonnes/day) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1995 |  |  |
| 1996 | $\star 13.1$ | $\star 12.1$ |

*Data for the time period Jan. 1 - Sept. 30 for 1995 and 1996 supplied by Environment Canada.
With respect to BOD this translates to an $81.8 \%$ reduction in biochemical oxygen demand.

## Methods

## Adult Counts

Fish are enumerated at four fishway locations on the Exploits; I) Bishop Falls fishway which enumerates all fish entering the river at the community of Bishop Falls on the main stem of the river in the lower Exploits ii) Camp 1 fishway on Great Rattling Brook in the lower Exploits iii) Grand Falls fishway on the main stem of the Exploits at the community of Grand Falls-Winsor which enumerates all fish entering the middle and upper Exploits and iv) Red Indian Lake fishway at the outflow of Red Indian Lake which enumerates all fish entering the upper Exploits (see Fig. 2).

## Fry Stocking

Fry stocking was conducted in riverine habitat utilizing mainly helicopters with some distribution via vehicle (in accessible locations). Stocking was conducted such that the habitat that was stocked received 75 fry per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of habitat. Fry were stocked along the river banks in areas of low flow with depth less than 30 cm in areas where gravel/cobble substrate was present. If suitable habitat was available, fry were stocked at one quarter kilometre intervals on opposite sides of the river. The number of fry released in individual drops ranged from 5,000-50,000 depending on the available habitat to be stocked. Releases in excess of 25,000 fry/drop were only conducted on the main stem of the middle Exploits.

## Angling Statistics

Angling catch and effort data prior to 1994 were supplied by DFO staff and from 1994 to present the data were collected by DFO
staff and River Monitors. Angling statistics presently (19941996) collected are reported for 7 various locations of which five are located in the lower Exploits; Lower Exploits I) downstream of the Bishops Falls fishway ii) Bishop Falls fishway to Grand Falls fishway (main stem of river only) iii) Great Rattling Brook downstream of Camp 1 fishway ( includes angling at the mouth of Great Rattling Brook and therefore all fish angled at this location are not destined for Great Rattling Brook) iv) Great Rattling Brook upstream of Camp 1 fishway v)Stoney Brook (includes angling at the mouth of Stoney Brook and therefore all fish angled at this location are not destined for Stoney Brook) middle vi) Grand Falls fishway to Red Indian Lake fishway upper vii) upstream of Red Indian Lake. From 1985-1993 angling data was collected from four locations and prior to 1985 data was collected from three locations (Table 5).

Angling exploitation rates for above and below Bishop Falls are calculated as follows; Below Bishop Falls fishway = angling below Bishop Falls fishway / (count at Bishop Falls fishway + angling below Bishop Falls fishway + known removals); Above Bishop Falls fishway $=$ angling above Bishop Falls fishway / count at Bishop Falls fishway

## Biological Characteristics

Biological characteristic data presented in Tables 6 and 7 was collected from various locations within the Exploits watershed as detailed in the various tables.

## Egg Depositions

Habitat determinations and conservation egg depositions are detailed in Table 1. Target egg requirement was calculated based on 240 egg $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and 7 smolts/ha of standing water. Smolt production of 7 smolt/ha was divided by $1.9 \%$ to convert this to eggs (O'Connell et al., 1991).

Spawning escapement was calculated by subtracting angling catches and known removals from counts at fishways without inclusion of an estimate for poaching and disease or hook and release mortality. In 1992 and 1993 spawning surveys on various tributaries of the lower Exploits were utilized in calculating egg deposition.

Egg deposition is calculated based on a length fecundity relationship based on mean length of female fish. Data collected from broodstock from 1984-1991 were used to determine mean female length and percent female fish in the run. Calculations use a
mean female length of 52 cm (a mean no. of eggs per female of 2198) and that females compromise $77 \%$ of the run. Caution: Mean length of female fish may have changed since the 1992 management changes to commercial exploitation due to increased returns of large fish.

In order to calculate the egg deposition in areas where fry stocking occurred, an estimate of egg-to-fry survival of $20 \%$ (Sturge, 1968) was used to back calculate fry to eggs. Sturge (1968) gave a range of $10-30 \%$ for egg-to-fry survival and indicated that a figure of $20 \%$ appeared to be a reasonable value.

Spawners and recruits, 1975-1996, and predicted returns for 1997
As stated in $O^{\prime}$ Connell et. al. (1996) it is possible to estimate total population size of small salmon prior to any exploitation in rivers where escapement data is available and to estimate anticipated returns one year in advance. The methodology set out in $O^{\prime}$ Connell et. al. (1996) was utilized to determine total population size prior to 1992 , the ratio of recruits to spawners and anticipated returns in 1997. A fry to recruit ratio was developed with respect to the Exploits watershed as fry stocking prevented comparing wild egg deposition to fry stocking; To account for this difference all eggs naturally deposited were converted to fry using $20 \%$ egg to fry survival. Freshwater ages of returning adults were available for all years with smolt ages ranging from $2^{+}-6^{+}$which were utilized.

For the above referenced estimates of anticipated returns the 1980 and 1983 counts at Bishop Falls fishway were estimated as follows. The percentage of the Bishop Falls fishway escapement that was enumerated at Camp 1 and Grand Falls fishways was calculated and this percentage was used to calculate the number of fish that would have been enumerated at the Bishop Falls fishway. For the 1980 count the mean of the previous five years (1975-1979) was used and for the 1983 count the mean of the previous eight years (1975-1982) was used. The 1974 count at Grand Falls fishway was not used in any calculation as the fishway operated for only part of the season.

Anticipated returns for $19973^{+}$and $4^{+}$freshwater age recruits were also estimated using the following approach.

Regression relationships (significant at the $5 \%$ level) of $2^{+}$ recruits in year $x$ versus $3^{+}$recruits in year $x+1$ and $3^{+}$ recruits in year $x$ versus $4^{+}$recruits in year $x+1$. This derivation is possible as the number of $2^{+}$(freshwater age)
recruits from the 1992 egg deposition is known as well as the $3^{+}$ recruits from the 1991 egg deposition.

## Results and Discussion

Table 1 details the accessible rearing area and target egg deposition for the Exploits River. The use of fixed parameters, such as 240 eggs $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ of fluvial habitat and 7 smolts/ha of standing water habitat, has certain limitations (see O'Connell \& Dempson, 1991 for discussion on this topic).

Table 8 details the $1975-1996$ counts from the various fishways on the Exploits whilst Tables $6-7$ detail smolt and adult biological characteristics.

The 1996 total count at the Bishop's Falls fishway of 31,814 ( 29,761 small and 2,053 large) was $243 \%, 421 \%$ and $186 \%$ of the 1982 - 1986 mean, 1987 - 1991 mean and of 1992 - 1995 (post moratorium) mean respectively(Table 8).

The 1996 freshwater escapement of 32,369 (count at Bishops Falls fishway + removals below the fishway) to the Exploits was $179 \%$ of the 1992-95 escapement and 405\% of the 1987-1991 mean and 226\% of the 1982-1986 mean. The 1992-1996 freshwater escapement plus bycatch(unknown) is equal to watershed adult production. The 1996 adult returns to the Exploits River watershed approaches the 1982-86 adult production of the watershed if the assumption that the commercial fishery harvested $60 \%$ of adult returns is correct. The 1984 returns were the watersheds highest adult production with 1996 having the highest freshwater escapement.

A negative linear relationship was found to exist between fry in year $x$ and subsequent adult recruits in year $x+2-6$ for the recruit years 1980 - 1996 (Figure 3 and Appendix 1). With the removal of the 1984 and 1985 recruits this relation whilst still negative became significant at the 5\% level. The 1984 and 1985 recruits were removed as the commercial fisheries in these years had low catches due to ice conditions and river escapement was likely higher than would be expected in these years when compared to other years when there was a commercial fishery. Total recruits was calculated as previously mentioned by dividing river escapement by (1-.6) to account for a commercial fishery that on average caught $60 \%$ of production. Figure 3 shows that for the recruit years 1989 - 1995 that lower numbers of recruits per fry were realized than for the $1980-1988$ time frame. A major shift occurred in the number of recruits realized from the fry
contributing to the 1996 recruits (Figure 3) which accounts for the high river escapement on the Exploits in 1996. The most plausible explanations for this is high survival of fry to $2^{+}$ smolts compared to previous years. No strong evidence exists to support higher than average smolt to adult survival as seen from smolt to adult survival on the Campbelton River in SEA 4. Figure 3 indicates higher numbers of recruits from lower fry numbers.

Table 5 details the angling statistics for the Exploits watershed. In 1995 the recreational fishery was managed by a retention season and a retention quota whilst in 1996 the fishery was managed by a retention season making comparisons with previous years difficult. The 1995 retained catch of 1,336 was below the 1990-1994 mean of 1,619 fish and $43.5 \%$ of the 1994 catch. The 1996 catch(retained) of 1,915 was $103 \%$ of the 19921995 catch and $140 \%$ of the 1995 catch. Angling exploitation (retention only)downstream of Bishop Falls fishway has generally declined since the early 1980's with the 1980-1995 mean being $51.4 \%$ of the total 1996 catch and the 1985-1995 and 1990-1995 means being $41.6 \%$ and $46.0 \%$ respectively of total retained fish in 1996 . It is very likely that the late opening for the retention fishery on the Exploits in 1995 and 1996 had an impact on the retention fishery downstream of Bishop Falls which accounted for 29\% of the 1996 retention catch.

In 19963,313 total (3,202 small and 111 large) fish were hooked and released in the recreational fishery on the Exploits River with 1,089 small and 44 large being released below Bishop Falls.

Run timing(cumulative percent of run to date) for Bishops, Camp 1 and Grand Falls fishways are presented in Tables 9-11 respectively. The average dates for the $1986-1995$ period for 50 percent of the escapement for Bishops, Camp 1 and Grand Falls fishways are the week of July 19, July 28 and August 6 respectively. Mid season reviews of escapement for various watershed sections could be conducted on these dates respectively as the count would represent $50 \%$ of the run.

In 1996 fish at the Bishop Falls fishway were examined for netmarks as they were enumerated with $16.15 \%$ of the fish posing marks (Table 12). All marks were classed as netmarks and estimates of netmarks are likely high. In addition due to the large numbers of fish enumerated the accuracy of these figures are questionable. The accuracy was likely higher on days when fewer fish were enumerated however the percent of fish marked was high throughout the entire season. Without knowing the efficiency of this fishing gear total production cannot be estimated. Whìle the assumption that river escapement equals total production is
assumed it is obvious that this is not the case. While there are legal pelagic and groundfish fisheries in operation throughout the entire migrational period the authors would have expected the numbers of fish that possessed netmarks to decrease throughout the season which did not appear to happen.

Egg Deposition and Percent of Target Egg Achieved

## Lower Exploits

Table 2 details the number of spawners and subsequent egg deposition and \% conservation egg deposition achieved for Great Rattling Brook and for other tributaries (combined) of the lower Exploits for the period 1957-1995. The egg deposition for Great Rattling Brook in 1996 was $103 \%$ of conservation which compares to the 1992-96 mean of $71 \%$ the 1984-89 mean of $65 \%$ and 1992-95 mean of $63 \%$. Since the moratorium Great Rattling Brook has received between 43 and $103 \%$ of conservation egg deposition while the various other tributaries of the Lower Exploits have received between 115 and $449 \%$ of conservation egg deposition.

The 1996 egg deposition within the lower Exploits was $221 \%$ of conservation with a post moratorium (1992-1996) mean of $120 \%$ which compares to the 1987-1991 mean of 51\% and the 1985-1989 mean of $69 \%$.

## Middle Exploits

The middle Exploits requires a deposition of 64.2 million eggs to meet its total conservation requirement (Table 1); however the $187,668100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of habitat in the main stem of the river (egg requirement $45 \times 10^{8}$ ) have not received adequate stocking to be producing a self-sustaining run of adults. Furthermore it is questioned if smolt production in the order of 3 smolts per unit should be expected from this habitat (the main stem of the middle Exploits River is fast flowing and 1 - 2 metres deep in many $=$ areas).

The middle Exploits received an egg deposition of 27.3 million eggs from natural spawning which is $42.6 \%$ of conservation and $142 \%$ of the 19.2 million conservation requirement if one excludes the main stem of the river(Table 3 ).

Figure 4 details the count at Grand Falls and the percent of the fish released at Bishop Falls that were enumerated at Grand Falls. The 1996 count at Grand Falls of 14,343 adults was more
than double any previous count at this facility and was resultant from the low egg depositions in 1990 and 1991 based on $3^{+}$and $4^{+}$ smolt ages.

The returns to Grand Falls in 1996 were the offspring of the natural spawners from 1989-1992 (1710 in total) and fry stocking in 1991 -1993. The escapement to Grand Falls in 1996 is the highest recorded to date (see Figure 4).

A possible explanation for the high escapement to Grand Falls fishway is the improvement in the water quality of the Grand Falls mill effluent. At this point in time the authors recommend caution in attributing the high returns to Grand Falls to any particular event or occurrence.

## Upper Exploits

The upper Exploits requires an egg deposition of 15.4 million eggs but only received $25.1 \%$ of this conservation requirement in 1996(Table 4). This was in part accomplished by the transfer of 1,114 adults from Grand Falls fishway. With the cessation of stocking in 1991 and low natural egg depositions in 1990-1995 returns during the next three years are expected to be very low. The 1996 returns of 733 which was below the 1995 count of 818 are mainly the offspring fry stocked in 1991. The authors strongly recommend that measures be undertaken to increase the egg deposition in the upper Exploits.

## Anticipated returns for 1997

## 1. Fry to recruit ratio method

River ages of recruits were used to back-calculate numbers of fry contributing to annual returns and these may vary from actual smolt ages(\%) due to differential survival of smolts thus not properly reflecting freshwater smolt production.

The estimated returns of small salmon for 1997 based on the average recruit to fry ratio for smolts aged $2^{+}-6^{+}$for the 19921996 adult return years is 29,103 with a predicted high and low of 68,706 and 13,377 respectively(see Table 13 and Appendix 1). This would translate into a reduction from the 1996 escapement and is lower than the mean of 20,905 for the $1992-96$ period. An estimate of the precision of this estimator is given in Table 14 which suggests that recruits are on average overestimated by 30 $\%$.

In an effort to determine the effect of the high returns in 1996 on this estimator the 1992-1995 returns were estimated without inclusion of the 1996 data. This data is presented in Table 13 and reveals a tendency to overestimate returns using the 1996 data whilst using only the 1992-95 data a tendency to underestimate returns occurs. Using this approach the prediction for 1997 would be 19,507 small recruits or a $33 \%$ reduction over the above estimate. An estimate of the precision of this estimator is given in Table 14 which suggests that recruits are on average underestimated by $10 \%$.

This estimator predicts small salmon recruits only and does not predict total returns as the repeat spawners have to be added to the estimator to predict total returns. A good estimator of repeat spawners is unavailable since the moratorium as the recreational fishery does not provide an estimate of the repeat spawners above 63 cm .
2. Relationship of recruits in year $x$ to year $x+1$ for recruits river age $3^{+}$and $4^{+}$.

Significant relationships(at the $5 \%$ level) were found between recruits with river ages 2 and 3 as well as 3 and 4 in years $x$ and $x+1$ (Figures 5 and 6). Data used to calculate these relationships are in Appendix 1. This methodology allows for the estimation of the river age 3 and 4 recruits for the 1997 recruits which comprise the majority of the annual recruits. Solving the equation in Figures 5 and 6 the prediction for 1997 recruits would be 31,408 and 9775 recruits with river ages $3^{+}$and $4^{+}$respectively. This estimate of 41,183 recruits does not include recruits with river ages 2,5 and 6 or any repeat spawners.

## Management Considerations

One of DFO'S objectives on the Exploits River is to increase spawning escapement above Red Indian Lake. This was attempted in 1995-96 through quotas and catch-and-release angling. It is suggested that in 1997 that the recreational fishery be controlled to allow for increased spawners to escape above Red Indian Lake. Even with the increased escapement to the Exploits in 1996 escapement to Red Indian Lake decreased over 1995. The spawning escapement on the Exploits River should not be allowed to decrease to below 13,000 adults. To further address the escapement above Red Indian Lake it is recommended that at least

1,000 adults be trucked from Grand Falls fishway to Red Indian Lake.

The 1996 recreational fishery on the Exploits angled 5,228 fish of which 1,915 were retained. Concern is expressed over earlier openings of the retention recreational fishery as this fishery has the potential to seriously erode egg deposition within the various sections of the Exploits watershed.
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Table 1: Rearing area and target egg deposition for sections of the Exploits River.

| Exploits River | Riverine | Lacustrine | Target Egg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Habitat $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | Habitat (ha) | Deposition |  |
| Lower | $\mathbf{5 7 , 5 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 9 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 3 6 0 , 1 1 2}$ |
| Middle | $\mathbf{2 3 4 , 8 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 1 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 1 7 1 , 9 4 1}$ |
| main stem | 187,668 | 0 | $45,040,320$ |
| tributaries | 47,205 | 21,178 | $19,131,621$ |
| Upper | 55,437 | 5,665 | $\mathbf{1 5 , 3 8 4 , 6 1 7}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4 7 , 8 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 7 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 , 9 1 6 , 6 7 0}$ |

Table 2. Details of egg deposition Lower Exploits(G.R.B.=Great Rattling Brook; Other=Other Tributaries)

| Year | No. fry released G.R.B. | No. spawners G.R.B. | No. spawners other | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total eggs } \\ \text { G.R.B. } \end{gathered}$ | Total eggs other | \% Target | \% Target other | \% Target total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1957 |  | 610 | * | 1,032,401 | * | 10 | * | * |
| 1958 |  | 786 | * | 1,330,274 | * | 13 | * | * |
| 1959 |  | 329 | 1,005 | 556,819 | * | 5 | * | * |
| 1960 |  | 785 | 892 | 1,328,581 | 1,509,674 | 13 | 28 | 18 |
| 1961 |  | 626 | 577 | 1,059,480 | 976,549 | 10 | 18 | 13 |
| 1962 |  | 1,212 | * | 2,051,262 | * | 20 | * | * |
| 1963 |  | 578 | 691 | 978,242 | 1,169,490 | 9 | 22 | 14 |
| 1964 |  | 1,886 | * | 3,191,980 | * | 31 | * | * |
| 1965 |  | 777 | 594 | 1,315,041 | 1,005,321 | 13 | 19 | 1 |
| 1966 |  | 1,412 |  | 2,389,754 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 15 |
| 1967 |  | 1,204 | 829 | 2,037,722 | 1,403,049 | 20 | 26 | 22 |
| 1968 |  | 2,021 | * | 3,420,462 | * | 33 | * | * |
| 1969 |  | 1,182 | 272 | 2,000,488 | 460,349 | 19 | 9 | 16 |
| 1970 |  | 1,222 | * | 2,068,186 | * | 20 | * | * |
| 1971 |  | 1,163 | 66 | 1,968,331 | 111,702 | 19 | 2 | 13 |
| 1972 |  | 729 | 114 | 1,233,803 | 192,940 | 12 | 4 | 9 |
| 1973 |  | * | * | 0 | * | * | * | * |
| 1974 |  | * | 2,647 | 0 | 4,479,942 | * | 83 | * |
| 1975 |  | 4,601 | 4,225 | 7,787,008 | 7,150,644 | 75 | 133 | 94 |
| 1976 |  | 2,004 | 983 | 3,391,690 | 1,663,688 | 32 | 31 | 32 |
| 1977 |  | 3,632 | 1,395 | 6,147,015 | 2,360,982 | 59 | 44 | 54 |
| 1978 |  | 2,139 | 671 | 3,620, 172 | 1,135,641 | 35 | 21 | 30 |
| 1979 |  | 3,048 | 2,434 | 5,158,618 | 4,119,448 | 49 | 77 | 59 |
| 1980 |  | 4,611 | * | 7,803,933 | * | 75 | * | * |
| 1981 |  | 4,741 | 660 | 8,023,953 | 1,117,024 | 77 | 21 | 58 |
| 1982 |  | 2,877 | 2,258 | 4,869,207 | 3,821,575 | 47 | 71 | 55 |
| 1983 |  | 3,252 | * | 5,503,880 | * | 53 | * | * |
| 1984 |  | 6,178 | 5,679 | 10,456,018 | 9,611,480 | 100 | 179 | 127 |
| 1985 |  | 5,952 | 3,712 | 10,073,522 | 6,282,412 | 96 | 117 | 103 |
| 1986 |  | 2,742 | 3,035 | 5,616,360 | 5,136,616 | 54 | 95 | 68 |
| 1987 | 195,127 | 230 | 3,236 | 4,744,161 | 5,476,801 | 45 | 102 | 65 |
| 1988 | 870,979 | 896 | 1,900 | 6,469,514 | 3,215,674 | 62 | 60 | 61 |
| 1989 | 990,614 | 46 | 2,574 | 3,215,478 | 4,356,392 | 31 | 81 | 48 |
| 1990 | 627,525 | 11 | 2,313 | 3,483,172 | 3,914,660 | 33 | 73 | 47 |
| 1991 | 692,911 | 1,086 | 1,993 | 1,838,012 | 3,755,473 | 18 | 70 | 35 |
| 1992 | 76,480 | 3,762 | $3666+$ | 6,367,035 | 6,204,558 | 61 | 115 | 79 |
| 1993 | 0 | 5,927 | 4273+ | 10,031,210 | 7,231,882 | 96 | 134 | 109 |
| 1994 | 0 | 2637 | 6023 | 4,463,017 | 10,193,687 | 43 | 189 | 93 |
| 1995 | 0 | 3113 | 6104 | 5,268,628 | 10,330,776 | 50 | 192 | 99 |
| 1996 | 0 | 4952 | 11150 | 10,732,997 | 24,166,583 | 103 | 449 | 221 |
| indi <br> resu | tes no da s of spaw | ing surve |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Details of egg deposition Middle Exploits.

| Year | No. Fry <br> Released | Spawners Released | Natural Egg Deposition | Fry to Egg Equiv. | Total Eggs | \%Target Egg Achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 768600 | 768600 | 1.2 |
| 1968 | 153720 | 0 | 0 | 841700 | 841700 | 1.3 |
| 1969 | 168340 | 0 | 0 | 1644600 | 1644600 | 2.6 |
| 1970 | 328920 | 0 | 0 | 1479730 | 1479730 | 2.3 |
| 1971 | 295946 | 0 | 0 | 1612530 | 1612530 | 2.5 |
| 1972 | 322506 | 0 | 0 | 2053445 | 2053445 | 3.2 |
| 1973 | 410689 | 0 | 0 | 1779000 | 1779000 | 2.8 |
| 1974 | 355800 | 31 | 88491 | 1063050 | 1151541 | 1.8 |
| 1975 | 212610 | 650 | 1855455 | 6463125 | 8318580 | 13.0 |
| 1976 | 1292625 | 79 | 225509 | 6733930 | 6959439 | 10.8 |
| 1977 | 1346786 | 27 | 77073 | 6832050 | 6909123 | 10.8 |
| 1978 | 1366410 | 0 | 0 | 3628785 | 3629785 | 5.7 |
| 1979 | 725757 | 47 | 134164 | 9352470 | 9486634 | 14.8 |
| 1980 | 1870494 | 2246 | 6411309 | 4513470 | 10924779 | 17.0 |
| 1981 | 902694 | 2586 | 7381855 | 3941270 | 11323125 | 17.6 |
| 1982 | 788254 | 1229 | 3508236 | 1926610 | 5434846 | 8.5 |
| 1983 | 385322 | 810 | 2312182 | 3960965 | 6273147 | 9.8 |
| 1984 | 792193 | 3750 | 10704545 | 2539510 | 13244055 | 20.6 |
| 1985 | 507902 | 2981 | 8509400 | 2558670 | 11068070 | 17.2 |
| 1986 | 511734 | 0 | 0 | 5333120 | 5333120 | 8.3 |
| 1987 | 1066624 | 80 | 228364 | 5243995 | 5472359 | 8.5 |
| 1988 | 1048799 | 5 | 14273 | 7854460 | 7868733 | 12.3 |
| 1989 | 1570892 | 0 | 0 | 8758425 | 8758425 | 13.6 |
| 1990 | 1751685 | 2 | 5709 | 7436240 | 7441949 | 11.6 |
| 1991 | 1487248 | 267 | 762164 | 9304990 | 10067154 | 15.7 |
| 1992 | 1605761 | 1441 | 4113400 | 8464850 | 12578250 | 19.6 |
| 1993 | 1692970 | 5174 | 14769418 | 0 | 14769418 | 23.0 |
| 1994 | 0 | 5857 | 16719073 | 0 | 16719073 | 26.1 |
| 1995 | 0 | 5416 | 15460218 | 0 | 15460218 | 24.1 |
| 1996 | 0 | 12,615 | 27341834 | 0 | 27341834 | 42.6 |

Note: Egg target is 64 million (45 for main stem and 19 for tributaries)

Table 4. Details of egg deposition Upper Exploits.

| Year | No. Fry <br> Released | Fry to egg | Adults Spawning | Total Eggs | of Target egg Deposition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 0 | 952665 | 0 | 952665 | 6.19 |
| 1976 | 190533 | 892390 | 0 | 892390 | 5.80 |
| 1977 | 178478 | 155580 | 0 | 155580 | 1.01 |
| 1978 | 31116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 1980 | 0 | 3326500 | 0 | 3326500 | 21.62 |
| 1981 | 665300 | 4460735 | 0 | 4460735 | 28.99 |
| 1982 | 892147 | 2041055 | 0 | 2041055 | 13.27 |
| 1983 | 408211 | 1992570 | 0 | 1992570 | 12.95 |
| 1984 | 398514 | 4403050 | 0 | 4403050 | 28.62 |
| 1985 | 880610 | 8189350 | 0 | 8189350 | 53.23 |
| 1986 | 1637870 | 11078265 | 0 | 11078265 | 72.01 |
| 1987 | 2215653 | 14895245 | 0 | 14895245 | 96.82 |
| 1988 | 2979049 | 19275305 | 0 | 19275305 | 125.29 |
| 1989 | 3855061 | 18345255 | 0 | 18345255 | 119.24 |
| 1990 | 3669051 | 13471645 | 0 | 13471645 | 87.57 |
| 1991 | 2694329 | 0 | 28 | 47389 | 0.31 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 238637 | 1.6 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 585 | 990089 | 6.4 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 633 | 1071327 | 7.0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 1102 | 1865091 | 12.1 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 1784 | 3866653 | 25.1 |

Table 5. Angling statistics for Exploits River

| Year | Lower Exploits |  |  |  | Middle Upper <br> Exploits Exploits |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below Bishop Falls | Great Rattling Brook | Stoney Brook | Bishop Falls to Grand Falls | Grand Falls Above Red to Red Indian Indian Lake $\quad$ Lake | Total Retained Catch | Total Released Catch | Total Effort |
| 1975 | 1563 | 47 | 9 |  |  | 1,619 |  | 5,702 |
| 1976 | 1651 | 222 | 61 |  |  | 1,934 |  | 5,775 |
| 1977 | 1342 | 417 | 93 |  |  | 1,852 |  | 6,944 |
| 1978 | 990 | 241 | 249 |  |  | 1,480 |  | 5,031 |
| 1979 | 1431 |  |  |  |  | 1,431 |  | 8,363 |
| 1980 | 1417 | 164 | 209 |  |  | 1,790 |  | 7,427 |
| 1981 | 1558 | 303 |  |  |  | 1,861 |  | 7,515 |
| 1982 | 1519 | 132 | 82 |  |  | 1,733 |  | 9,630 |
| 1983 | 527 | 332 | 494 |  |  | 1,353 |  | 5,079 |
| 1984 | 1809 | 398 | 217 |  |  | 2,424 |  | 9,459 |
| 1985 | 903 | 560 | 1004 | 531 |  | 2,998 |  | 8,600 |
| 1986 | 646 | 478 | 631 | 302 |  | 2057 |  | 8,123 |
| 1987 | 467 | 94 | 995 | 379 |  | 1935 |  | 5,891 |
| 1988 | 522 | 50 | 608 | 551 |  | 1731 |  | 6,181 |
| 1989 | 385 | 16 | 152 | 24 |  | 577 |  | 3,813 |
| 1990 | 366 | 59 | 454 | 38 |  | 917 |  | 5,869 |
| 1991 | 414 | 71 | 279 | 281 |  | 1045 |  | 5,931 |
| 1992 | 966 | 163 | 227 | 52 |  | 1408 | 199 | 4,347 |
| -1993 | 831 | 258 | 393 | 173 |  | 1655 | 3,039 | 7,896 |
| 1994 | 1388 | 492 | 144 | 938 | 1100 | 3072 | 1,175 | 16,330 |
| 1995 | 435 | 246 | 419 | 234 | 20 | 1,336 | 1,603 | 10,089 |
| 1996 | 555 | 378 | 547 | 307 | 128 0 | 1,915 | 3,313 | 11,987 |

Table 6. Biological characteristics of Exploits River smolt 1984 -1995.

| YEAR | Life Stage | FORK LENGTH |  |  | WEIGHT |  |  | RIVER |  | AGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MEAN (NO.) | S.D | RANGE | MEAN (NO.) | S.D | RANGE | MEAN (NO.) | S.D | RANGE |
| 1984 | smolt | 16.4(954) | 2.3 | 12.0-26.8 | 57.6(39) | 9.4 | 38.2-76.8 | 3.5 (938) | 0.6 | 2.0-6.0 |
| 1985 | smolt | 16.6(280) | 1.9 | 10.6-26.7 | 42.7(252) | 15.8 | 12.4-169.0 | $3.2(276)$ | 0.5 | 2.0-5.0 |
| 1986 | smolt | 15.4(1378) | 2.3 | $6.70-26.7$ | 34.1(1212) | 14.8 | 7.8-207.0 | 3.6(1299) | 0.7 | 2.0-7.0 |
| 1987 | smolt | 17.3(779) | 2.3 | 10.8-28.4 | 51.3(776) | 22.4 | 15.6-228.1 | 3.4(780) | 0.7 | 2.0-6.0 |
| 1988 | smolt | 16.3(823) | 3.1 | 10.3-26.7 | 46.4(823) | 29.7 | 12.8-333.8 | 3.7 (805) | 0.8 | 2.0-7.0 |
| 1989 | smolt | 15.7(600) | 2.8 | 10.1-26.3 | 43.6(593) | 23.2 | 13.7-176.8 | 3.4(613) | 0.7 | 2.0-5.0 |
| 1990 | smolt | 16.2(557) | 3.0 | 8.8-33.9 | 46.7 (555) | 27.8 | 8.1-246.0 | 3.4(552) | 0.7 | 2.0-5.0 |
| 1991 | smolt | 17.5(100) | 2.8 | 12.3-28.4 | $52.2(100)$ | 27.3 | 21.6-190.7 | 3.3(98) | 0.7 | 2.0-5.0 |
| 1992 | smolt | 16.5(173) | 1.5 | 12.9-21.6 | 42.3(170) | 11.7 | 18.2-104.6 | 3.4(173) | 0.6 | 2.0-5.0 |
| 1993 | smolt | 16.6(201) | 1.9 | 12.8-23.0 | 46.4(201) | 16.0 | 20.6-119.0 | 3.3(197) | 0.6 | 2.0-5.0 |
| 1994 | smolt | 15.9(215) | 1.8 | 9.2-21.0 | 38.3(215) | 12.4 | 10.7-79.0 | 3.5(214) | 0.6 | 1.0-5.0 |
| 1995 | smolt | 15.7(189) | 1.9 | 11.2-23.7 | 34.6(199) | 14.5 | 13.2-124.4 | $3.2(199)$ | 0.7 | 1.0-5.0 |

Sample Locations
1984 - Bishops Falls forebay, Lake Ambrose, Lloyd's River
1985 - Bishops Falls forebay
1986 - Bishops Falls forebay, Badger Brook, Great Rattling Brook, Stoney Brook, Little Red Indian
Brook, Red Indian Lake, Noel Paul's Brook
1987 - 1990 Bishops Falls forebay, Badger Brook, Great Rattling Brook, Stoney Brook, Little Red
Indian Brook, Red Indian Lake, Noel Paul's Brook, Three Brooks, Little Rattling Brook, Greenwoods Brook
1991 - 1993 \& 1995 Bishops Falls forebay
1994 - Bishops Falls forebay, Stoney Brookg

Table 7. Biological Characteristics Exploits River Adults 1984 1996.

| YEAR | LIFE |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | STAGE |


|  |  | MEAN | (NO.) | S.D | RANGE | MEAN | (NO.) | S.D | RANGE | MEAN | (NO.) | S.D | RANGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 1SW | 49.63 | (1735) | 2.77 | 39.00-60.00 | 1.18 | (1735) | 0.21 | 0.51-2.40 | 3.22 | (1501) | 0.46 | 2-5 |
|  | Repeat | 56.17 | (65) | 4.99 | 46.50-76.00 | 1.83 | (65) | 0.60 | 0.80-4.80 | 3.32 | (53) | 0.55 | 2-5 |
|  | 2SW | 65.00 | (1) |  |  | 2.20 | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | small | 49.91 | (1960) | 3.00 | 38.50-62.00 | 1.21 | (1958) | 0.24 | 0.55-2.80 | 3.22 | (1550) | 0.46 | 2-5 |
|  | large | 67.56 | (8) | 4.95 | 63.00-76.00 | 2.97 | (8) | 0.90 | 2.20-4.80 | 3.00 | (3) | 0.00 | 3.00 |
| 1985 | 1SW | 50.96 | (3604) | 2.75 | 37.00-67.00 | 1.35 | (3604) | 0.21 | 0.55-2.96 | 3.46 | (3111) | 0.56 | 2-7 |
|  | Repeat | 54.11 | (102) | 3.38 | 48.00-63.00 | 1.56 | (101) | 0.30 | 0.98-2.64 | 3.25 | (80) | 0.52 | 2-4 |
|  | 2SW | 53.50 | (1) |  |  | 1.40 | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | small | 51.10 | (3851) | 2.80 | 37.00-62.50 | 1.36 | (3850) | 0.22 | 0.55-2.96 | 3.45 | (3188) | 0.57 | 2-7 |
|  | large | 64.40 | (7) | 1.73 | 63.00-67.00 | 2.22 | (7) | 0.41 | 1.60-2.84 | 3.50 | (4) | 0.58 | 3-4 |
| 1986 | 1SW | 52.23 | (243) | 5.17 | 41.10-66.50 | 1.42 | (238) | 0.44 | 0.65-2.90 | 3.56 | (242) | 0.60 | 2-5 |
|  | Repeat | 66.74 | (69) | 6.43 | 44.30-81.00 | 3.00 | (68) | 0.74 | 1.00-4.30 | 3.19 | (67) | 0.47 | 2-4 |
|  | 2SW | 68.10 | (21) | 2.48 | 64.50-73.80 | 3.13 | (21) | 0.42 | 2.60-3.99 | 3.14 | (21) | 0.57 | 2-5 |
|  | small | 52.25 | (2505) | 3.13 | 29.90-62.90 | 1.45 | (285) | 0.44 | 0.45-3.20 | 3.54 | (259) | 0.60 | 2-5 |
|  | large | 69.22 | (80) | 3.30 | 63.00-81.00 | 3.24 | (79) | 0.47 | 2.35-4.30 | 3.17 | (72) | 0.50 | 2-5 |
| 1987 | 1SW | 50.13 | (456) | 6.42 | 27.70-74.00 | 1.22 | (413) | 0.54 | 0.40-3.85 | 3.47 | (394) | 0.61 | 2-6 |
|  | Repeat | 63.40 | (124) | 6.81 | 38.30-77.00 | 2.50 | (96) | 0.84 | 0.50-4.60 | 3.31 | (97) | 0.57 | 2-5 |
|  | 2SW | 68.90 | (3) | 4.55 | 64.00-73.00 | 2.80 | (1) |  |  | 2.50 | (2) | 0.71 | 2-3 |
|  | small | 51.29 | (4225) | 3.88 | 23.00-62.90 | 1.27 | (507) | 0.49 | 0.10-2.60 | 3.48 | (443) | 0.64 | 2-6 |
|  | large | 69.61 | (110) | 3.59 | 63.00-78.00 | 3.30 | (72) | 0.61 | 2. 00-4.60 | 3.25 | (56) | 0.58 | 2-4 |
| 1988 | ISW | 48.58 | (475) | 5.66 | 34.60-67.10 | 1.12 | (426) | 0.38 | 0.45-2.60 | 3.50 | (448) | 0.65 | 2-6 |
|  | Repeat | 58.09 | (35) | 7.24 | 39.00-74.00 | 2.03 | (31) | 0.86 | 0.65-4.50 | 3.61 | (28) | 0.79 | 2-6 |
|  | 2SW | 66.20 | (4) | 6.13 | 60.50-72.80 | 2.87 | (4) | 0.90 | 2.10-3.99 | 3.25 | (4) | 0.50 | 3-4 |
|  | small | 50.59 | (5104) | 3.74 | 25.40-62.50 | 1.12 | (566) | 0.45 | 0.30-2.40 | 3.65 | (531) | 0.83 | 2-8 |
|  | large | 69.22 | (16) | 4.78 | 63.10-81.00 | 3.17 | (16) | 0.71 | 2.20-4.50 | 3.50 | (6) | 0.55 | 3-4 |
| 1989 | 1SW | 51.97 | (387) | 5.68 | 37.60-68.80 | 1.38 | (376) | 0.42 | 0.55-3.00 | 3.53 | (323) | 0.63 | 2-7 |
|  | Repeat | 56.73 | (37) | 8.08 | 41.00-75.00 | 1.87 | (36) | 0.75 | 0.70-4.20 | 3.33 | (30) | 0.55 | 3-5 |
|  | 2SW | 67.17 | (3) | 3.41 | 65.00-71.10 | 2.73 | (3) | 0.53 | 2.25-3.30 | 3.00 | (3) | 0.00 | 3-3 |
|  | small | 52.45 | (4332) | 3.68 | 25.00-62.50 | 1.29 | (479) | 0.46 | 0.30-2.30 | 3.75 | (398) | 0.93 | 2-9 |
|  | large | 67.01 | (21) | 3.10 | 63.00-75.00 | 2.78 | (21) | 0.55 | 2.00-4.20 | 3.42 | (12) | 0.51 | 3-4 |


| 1990 | 1SW | 53.00 (340) | 5.58 | 40.50-67.00 | 1.38 | (338) | 0.41 | 0.58-2.66 | 3.49 | (320) | 0.62 |  | 2-6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Repeat | 61.95 (52) | 6.75 | 44.10-80.20 | 2.30 | (52) | 0.87 | $0.62-5.20$ | 3.36 | (44) | 0.49 | - | 3-4 |
|  | 2SW | 66.50 (3) | 2.60 | 63.50-68.00 | 2.85 | (3) | 0.45 | 2.34-3.12 | 3.67 | (3) | 0.58 |  | 3-4 |
|  | small | 52.92 (3801) | 3.63 | 29.20-62.90 | 1.41 | (739) | 0.37 | 0.20-2.66 | 3.56 | (364) | 0.75 |  | 2-9 |
|  | large | 66.81 (36) | 3.92 | 63.00-80.20 | 2.79 | (34) | 0.75 | 1.90-5.20 | 3.42 | (24) | 0.50 |  | 3-4 |
| 1991 | 1SW | 52.51 (227) | 5.47 | 35.00-64.10 | 1.43 | (227) | 0.40 | 0.50-2.40 | 3.60 | (212) | 0.65 |  | 2-6 |
|  | Repeat | 56.57 (20) | 3.20 | 47.40-61.50 | 1.82 | (20) | 0.27 | 1.10-2.30 | 3.72 | (18) | 0.75 |  | 3-5 |
|  | 2SW | 66.70 (1) |  |  | 2.65 | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | small | 51.10 (1377) | 4.42 | 26.60-61.80 | 1.36 | (1372) | 0.29 | 0.20-2.40 | 3.84 | (273) | 0.97 |  | 2-8 |
|  | large | 64.60(3) | 1.90 | 63.00-66.70 | 2.47 | (3) | 0.16 | 2.35-2.65 | 4.00 | (1) |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 1SW | 54.08 (243) | 4.86 | 38.70-65.70 | 1.59 | (243) | 0.38 | 0.65-2.90 | 3.50 | (423) | 0.70 |  | 2-6 |
|  | Repeat | 59.59 (40) | 4.63 | 54.00-74.80 | 2.11 | (40) | 0.59 | 0.15-4.35 | 3.54 | (52) | 0.61 |  | 2-5 |
|  | 2SW | 68.57 (3) | 3.86 | 64.20-71.50 | 3.27 | (3) | 0.64 | 0.25-3.80 | 3.17 | (6) | 0.41 |  | 3-4 |
|  | small | 52.51 (1078) | 3.68 | 29.00-62.80 | 1.48 | (1077) | 0.28 | 0.40-2.60 | 3.62 | (271) | 0.81 |  | 2-8 |
|  | large | 68.20 (10) | 4.29 | 63.00-74.80 | 3.04 | (10) | 0.90 | 1.35-4.35 | 3.63 | (8) | 0.74 |  | 3-5 |
| 1993 | 1SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.40 | (94) | 0.54 |  | 3-5 |
|  | Repeat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.40 | (10) | 0.70 | $\cdots$ | 2-4 |
|  | small |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | large |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |
| 1994 | 1SW | 54.43 (387) | 2.99 | 46.00-63.00 | 1.69 | (207) | 0.35 | 0.91-2.90 | 3.38 | (393) | 0.62 |  | 2-5 |
|  | Repeat | 58.75 (20) | 3.27 | 51.00-63.00 | 2.19 | (12) | 0.62 | 1.36-2.99 | 3.20 | (20) | 0.62 |  | 2-5 |
|  | small | 54.54 (407) | 3.06 | 46.00-62.50 | 1.69 | (216) | 0.35 | 0.91-2.90 | 3.39 | (403) | 0.71 |  | 2-9 |
|  | large | 63.00 (4) | 0.00 | 63.00-63.00 | 2.87 | (4) | 0.25 | 2.49-2.99 | 3.25 | (4) | 1. 26 | - | 2-5 |
| 1995 | 1SW | 53.63 (56) | 3.06 | 49.00-61.00 | 1.76 | (32) | 0.38 | 1.27-2.63 | 3.21 | (56) | 0.62 |  | 2-5 |
|  | Repeat | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | small | 53.63 (56) | 3.06 | 49.00-61.00 | 1.76 | (32) | 0.38 | 1.27-2.63 | 3.21 | (56) | 0.62 |  | 2-5 |
|  | large |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 1SW | 54.26 (56) | 3.73 | 43.00-63.00 | - |  | - | - | 3.22 | (59) | 0.62 | -- | 2-5 |
|  | Repeat | 60.00 (5) | 2.24 | 57.00-63.00 | - |  | - | - | 3.40 | (5) | 0.55 |  | 3-4 |
|  | small | 54.45 (59) | 3.70 | 43.00-61.00 | - |  | - | - | 3.25 | (59) | 0.60 |  | 2-5 |
|  | large | 63.00 (2) | 0.00 | 63.00-63.00 | - |  | - | - | 3.00 | (2) | 0.00 |  | 3-3 |

[^0]Table 8 . Counts at various counting facilities on the Exploits River.

| Year | Count at Bishops |  |  | Count at Camp 1 |  |  | Count at Grand Falls |  |  | Count at Red Indian Lake |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | small | large | total | small | large | total | small | large | total | small | large | total |
| 1959 | 886 | 119 | *1005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 1013 | 157 | 1170 | 94 | 9 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 839 | 118 | 957 | 319 | 53 | 372 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1962 |  |  |  | 1037 | 31 | 1068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1963 | 1202 | 65 | 1267 | 491 | 37 | 528 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1964 |  |  |  | 1752 | 116 | 1868 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1965 | 1228 | 203 | 1431 | 587 | 190 | 777 |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 1966 | 829 | 506 | *1335 | 942 | 470 | 1412 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 1372 | 710 | 2082 | 822 | 382 | 1204 |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 1968 |  |  |  | 1334 | 687 | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 979 | 498 | 1477 | 892 | 290 | 1182 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 |  |  |  | 1023 | 199 | 1222 |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| 1971 | 961 | 300 | 1261 | 902 | 261 | 1163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 794 | 113 | 907 | 495 | 234 | *729 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 205 | 89 | 294 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 2538 | 411 | 2949 |  |  | - | 64 | 0 | *64 |  | - |  |
| 1975 | 9218 | 1439 | 10657 | 5531 | 505 | 6036 | 319 | 21 | 340 |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 3991 | 460 | 4451 | 2935 | 117 | 3052 | 128 | 5 | 133 |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 6148 | 581 | 6729 | 4300 | 271 | 4571 | 244 | 9 | 253 |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 3790 | 303 | 4093 | 2704 | 81 | 2785 | 132 | 6 | 138 |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 6715 | 277 | 6992 | 3925 | 124 | 4049 | 501 | 8 | 509 |  |  |  |
| 1980 |  |  |  | 4597 | 426 | 5023 | 3062 | 23 | 3085 |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 8114 | 1695 | *9809 | 4264 | 514 | 4778 | 3809 | 227 | 4036 |  | - |  |
| 1982 | 7605 | 181 | 7786 | 2796 | 122 | 2918 | 2321 | 67 | 2388 |  |  |  |
| 1983 |  |  |  | 2952 | 302 | *3254 | 2182 | 37 | 2219 |  |  |  |


| 1984 | 17219 | 529 | 17748 | 6300 | 111 | *6411 | 4993 | 50 | 5043 |  | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 16652 | 183 | 16835 | 5985 | 38 | 6023 | 4992 | 11 | 5003 |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 9697 | 355 | 10052 | 3072 | 174 | 3246 | 2243 | 67 | 2310 |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 1987 | 9014 | 310 | 9324 | 2327 | 41 | 2368 | 2211 | 41 | 2252 |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 8974 | 147 | 9121 | 3433 | 10 | 3443 | 2535 | 34 | 2569 |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 7192 | 89 | 7281 | 1694 | 14 | 1708 | 2737 | 70 | 2807 |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 6629 | 122 | 6751 | 1057 | 15 | 1072 | 2697 | 118 | 2815 |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 5245 | 99 | 5344 | 1060 | 40 | 1100 |  |  | 1614 | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| 1992 | 12538 | 314 | 12852 | 3520 | 242 | 3762 | 2609 | 64 | 2673 | 138 | 3 | 141 |
| 1993 | 21319 | 627 | 21946 | 5615 | 312 | *5927 | 5658 | 101 | 5759 | 571 | 14 | 585 |
| 1994 | 16168 | 916 | 17084 | 2488 | 333 | *2821 | 6430 | 196 | 6626 | 611 | 25 | 636 |
| 1995 | 15714 | 941 | 16655 | 2719 | 394 | *3113 | N/A | N/A | 6523 | 774 | 44 | 818 |
| 1996 | 29761 | 2053 | 31814 | 4502 | 578 | *5080 | 13489 | 906 | 14395 | 776 | 20 | 796 |

Table 9 . Cumulative percent of run to date for Bishops Falls fishway 1986-1996.

| Date | Julian Day | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| June 9 | 160 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 166 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
|  | 173 | 0.41 | 0.97 | 0.24 | 0.76 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.59 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 3.36 |
|  | 179 | 1.28 | 3.73 | 2.02 | 2.21 | 1.41 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 1.33 | 1.67 | 2.20 | 14.22 |
| Jul. 5 | 186 | 4.67 | 13.89 | 5.11 | 14.68 | 13.23 | 1.03 | 1.50 | 12.67 | 13.35 | 11.59 | 44.08 |
|  | 193 | 20.28 | 37.72 | 25.29 | 41.00 | 34.81 | 5.91 | 17.94 | 28.38 | 36.37 | 42.39 | 68.40 |
|  | 200 | 37.07 | 69.66 | 51.15 | 61.20 | 57.80 | 25.77 | 43.79 | 45.55 | 60.78 | 63.10 | 82.50 |
|  | 207 | 60.58 | 86.75 | 77.69 | 77.96 | 75.13 | 49.14 | 71.87 | 69.60 | 74.64 | 80.13 | 90.54 |
| Aug. 4 | 214 | 75.06 | 93.84 | 89.90 | 87.50 | 85.47 | 69.07 | 87.75 | 83.25 | 87.44 | 88.61 | 95.39 |
|  | 221 | 88.40 | 96.79 | 96.08 | 92.83 | 90.68 | 78.37 | 94.53 | 93.43 | 93.26 | 93.28 | 97.32 |
|  | 228 | 92.89 | 98.22 | 98.20 | 96.64 | 94.67 | 88.17 | 97.64 | 96.93 | 96.21 | 96.56 | 98.38 |
|  | 235 | 96.47 | 98.72 | 99.51 | 98.76 | 97.81 | 93.88 | 99.20 | 98.62 | 97.55 | 97.93 | 99.18 |
|  | 242 | 98.25 | 99.09 | 100.00 | 99.93 | 99.60 | 96.99 | 99.77 | 99.49 | 98.54 | 99.04 | 99.80 |
| Sept 6 | 249 | 98.98 | 99.44 |  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 98.67 | 100.00 | 99.75 | 99.16 | 99.76 | 100.00 |
|  | 256 | 99.57 | 99.62 |  |  |  | 99.83 |  | 99.97 | 99.72 | 100.00 |  |
|  | 263 | 99.73 | 99.96 |  |  |  | 100.00 |  | 100.00 | 100.00 |  |  |
|  | 270 | 99.81 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 277 | 99.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. 11 | 284 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Date of $100 \%$ of count does not represent closure of fishway.

Table 10. Cumulative percent run to date Camp 1 Fishway 1986-1996.

| Date | Julian Day | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| June 23 | 174 | 0.00\% | 0.13\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | 181 | 0.46\% | 0.30\% | 0.12\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | 188 | 1.60\% | 3.29\% | 0.55\% | 3.04\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.07\% | 0.50\% | 0.00\% | 18.76\% |
|  | 195 | 3.33\% | 10.47\% | 8.07\% | 22.31\% | 12.03\% | 1.27\% | 0.88\% | 3.63\% | 8.47\% | 9.22\% | 43.58\% |
|  | 202 | 12.05\% | 17.99\% | 29.71\% | 44.96\% | 34.33\% | 4.45\% | 8.03\% | 14.39\% | 30.70\% | 31.74\% | 43.82\% |
| July 28 | 209 | 43.75\% | 17.99\% | 56.61\% | 67.10\% | 79.20\% | 25.82\% | 37.13\% | 29.14\% | 44.31\% | 64.41\% | 64.69\% |
|  | 216 | 64.08\% | 17.99\% | 71.74\% | 76.23\% | 92.91\% | 50.1\% | 72.43\% | 47.63\% | 64.76\% | 80.95\% | 76.12\% |
|  | 223 | 82.13\% | 17.99\% | 84.05\% | 90.93\% | 97.11\% | 71.45\% | 81.77\% | 75.05\% | 77.03\% | 89.82\% | 86.83\% |
|  | 230 | 87.31\% | 17.99\% | 91.20\% | 94.50\% | 98.23\% | 84.73\% | 93.04\% | 91.99\% | 87.13\% | 94.76\% | 93.70\% |
| August 25 | 237 | 91.93\% | 42.27\% | 96.20\% | 98.54\% | 99.25\% | 91.18\% | 98.43\% | 97.64\% | 91.24\% | 97.88\% | 98.70\% |
|  | 244 | 95.07\% | 52.53\% | 98.61\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 99.65\% | 99.33\% | 96.49\% | 98.84\% | 100.00\% |
|  | 251 | 98.18\% | 57.52\% | 99.07\% |  |  |  | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 98.05\% | 99.26\% |  |
|  | 258 | 99.20\% | 81.80\% | 99.91\% |  |  |  |  |  | 98.90\% | 100.00\% |  |
|  | 265 | 99.82\% | 95.52\% | 100.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | 99.57\% |  |  |
|  | 272 | 100.00\% | 96.03\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.00\% |  |  |
|  | 279 |  | 99.54\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October9 | 282 |  | 100.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 11. Cumulative percent of run to date for Grand Falls Fishway 1986-1996.


Table 12.. Daily numbers of salmon through Bishop's Falls fishway with netmarks in 1996.

| Date | Total Salmon | No. With Net Marks | \% Net Marked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 10 | 23 | 0 | 0.00 |
| June 11 | 17 | 2 | 11.76 |
| June 12 | 19 | 1 | 5.26 |
| June 13 | 5 | 0 | 0.00 |
| June 14 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| June 15 | 9 | 2 | 22.22 |
| June 16 | 44 | 9 | 20.45 |
| June 17 | 10 | 0 | 0.00 |
| June 18 | 149 | 16 | 10.74 |
| June 19 | 213 | 25 | 11.74 |
| June 20 | 266 | 67 | 25.19 |
| June 21 | 205 | 38 | 18.54 |
| June 22 | 107 | 29 | 27.10 |
| June 23 | 131 | 67 | 51.15 |
| June 24 | 488 | 56 | 11.48 |
| June 25 | 616 | 53 | 8.60 |
| June 26 | 718 | 89 | 12.40 |
| June 27 | 930 | 379 | 40.75 |
| June 28 | 569 | 108 | 18.98 |
| June 29 | 361 | 111 | 30.75 |
| June 30 | 769 | 168 | 21.85 |
| July 1 | 1678 | 325 | 19.37 |
| July 2 | 1855 | 488 | 26.31 |
| Juty 3 | 1865 | 373 | 20.00 |
| July 4 | 1471 | 403 | 27.40 |
| July 5 | 1489 | 386 | 25.92 |
| July 6 | 1469 | 188 | 12.80 |
| Juty 7 | 1515 | 148 | 9.77 |
| July 8 | 667 | 69 | 10.34 |
| July 9 | 485 | 79 | 16.29 |
| July 10 | 1336 | 83 | 6.21 |
| July 11 | 1149 | 61 | 5.31 |


| July 12 | 1109 | 68 | 6.13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 13 | 835 | 54 | 6.47 |
| July 14 | 806 | 48 | 5.96 |
| July 15 | 932 | 69 | 7.40 |
| July 16 | 251 | 36 | 14.34 |
| July 17 | 454 | 73 | 16.08 |
| July 18 | 558 | 56 | 10.04 |
| July 19 | 646 | 45 | 6.97 |
| July 20 | 503 | 63 | 12.52 |
| July 21 | 534 | 49 | 9.18 |
| July 22 | 349 | 53 | 15.19 |
| July 23 | 217 | 39 | 17.97 |
| July 24 | 415 | 48 | 11.57 |
| July 25 | 292 | 27 | 9.25 |
| July 26 | 253 | 23 | 9.09 |
| July 27 | 259 | 47 | 18.15 |
| July 28 | 364 | 91 | 25.00 |
| July 29 | 203 | 51 | 25.12 |
| July 30 | 209 | 28 | 13.40 |
| July 31 | 211 | 18 | 8.53 |
| Aug. 1 | 116 | 27 | 23.28 |
| Aug. 2 | 171 | 26 | 15.20 |
| Aug. 3 | 159 | 22 | 13.84 |
| Aug. 4 | 81 | 26 | 32.10 |
| Aug. 5 | 104 | 12 | 11.54 |
| Aug. 6 | 93 | 19 | 20.43 |
| Aug. 7 | 75 | 11 | 14.67 |
| Aug. 8 | 38 | 9 | 23.68 |
| Aug. 9 | 61 | 12 | 19.67 |
| Aug. 10 | 52 | 9 | 17.31 |
| Aug. 11 | 58 | 14 | 24.14 |
| Aug. 12 | 72 | 14 | 19.44 |
| Aug. 13 | 51 | 11 | 21.57 |
| Aug. 14 | 40 | 7 | 17.50 |
| Aug. 15 | 36 | 11 | 30.56 |
| Aug. 16 | 28 | 6 | 21.43 |


| Aug. 17 | 41 | 8 | 19.51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug. 18 | 51 | 8 | 15.69 |
| Aug. 19 | 35 | 6 | 17.14 |
| Aug. 20 | 24 | 5 | 20.83 |
| Aug. 21 | 19 | 5 | 26.32 |
| Aug. 22 | 33 | 4 | 12.12 |
| Aug. 23 | 51 | 8 | 15.69 |
| Aug. 24 | 38 | 5 | 13.16 |
| Aug. 25 | 38 | 7 | 18.42 |
| Aug. 26 | 36 | 8 | 22.22 |
| Aug. 27 | 35 | 11 | 31.43 |
| Aug. 28 | 29 | 2 | 6.90 |
| Aug. 29 | 11 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Aug. 30 | 11 | 1 | 9.09 |
| Aug. 31 | 8 | 2 | 25.00 |
| Sept. 1 | 8 | 2 | 25.00 |
| Sept. 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Sept. 3 | 13 | 4 | 30.77 |
| Sept. 4 | 9 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Sept. 5 | 16 | 2 | 12.50 |
| Sept. 6 | 4 | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 31779 | 5133 | 16.15 |

Table 13. Predicted 1997 returns using 1992-1996 (post moratorium) return data.

| Year of |  | Recruit to fry ratio |  |  |  |  | No.of Recruits |  |  |  |  | Predicted Small Recruits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2+ | $3+$ | 4+ | $5+$ | 6+ | 2+ | $3+$ | 4+ | $5+$ | $6+$ |  |
| 1997 | Mean | . 00022 | . 00274 | . 00103 | . 00011 | . 00001 | 1287 | 21741 | 5692 | 315 | 67 | 29103 |
|  | High | . 00051 | . 00726 | . 00139 | . 00015 | . 00001 | 3001 | 57509 | 7657 | 459 | 80 | 68706 |
|  | Low | . 00003 | . 00118 | . 00066 | . 00004 | . 00001 | 195 | 9366 | 3633 | 126 | 57 | 13377 |
| 1996 | Mean | . 00018 | . 00162 | . 00096 | . 00010 | . 00001 | 1426 | 8905 | 2877 | 546 | 82 | 13835 |
| 1995 | Mean | . 00015 | . 00296 | 00113 | . 00012 | . 00001 | 802 | 8882 | 6449 | 840 | 86 | 17059 |
| 1994 | Mean | . 00024 | . 00304 | . 00108 | . 00010 | . 00001 | 717 | 17417 | 7486 | 764 | 72 | 26456 |
| 1993 | Mean | . 00026 | . 00313 | . 00094 | . 00011 | . 00001 | 1513 | 21770 | 6900 | 688 | 54 | 30925 |
| 1992 | Mean | . 00026 | . 00313 | . 00105 | . 00009 | . 00001 | 1810 | 22927 | 6488 | 515 | 83 | 31823 |

Table 14. Comparision of 1992-1996 predicted returns( with and without inclusion of the 1996 return data) with actual observed data.

| YEAR | DATA USED | PREDICTED | OBSERVE <br> D | DIFFERENCE | \%DIFFERENCE | MEAN <br> DIFFERENCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | $93-96$ | 31823 | 13818 | -18005 | -130 |  |
| 1993 | $92+94-96$ | 30925 | 22777 | -8148 | -35 |  |
| 1994 | $92-93+95-96$ | 26456 | 18472 | -7984 | -43 |  |
| 1995 | $92-94+96$ | 17059 | 17090 | +31 | .002 |  |
| 1996 | $92-95$ | 13835 | 32369 | +18534 | 57 |  |
| 1997 | $92-96$ | 29103 |  |  |  | -30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | $93-95$ | 20874 | 13818 | -7056 | -51 |  |
| 1993 | $92+94-95$ | 20184 | 22777 | 1903 | 8 |  |
| 1994 | $92-93+95$ | 17608 | 18472 | 864 | 5 |  |
| 1995 | $92-94$ | 11927 | 17090 | 5163 | 30 |  |
| 1996 | $92-95$ | 13835 | 32369 | 18534 | 57 |  |
| 1997 | $92-95$ | 19507 |  |  |  | 10 |

Appendix 1. Data used to estimate total recruits and anticipated returns for Exploits River 1997.

| Year X | Spawning Escapement | Percentage at freshwater age |  |  |  |  | Number of females in year X | Total fry in year $\mathrm{x}+1$ | Freshwater Escapement in year $x$ | Total Recruits in year $x$ | Fry contributing to year class x |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 8843 | 0.8 | 61.6 | 36.9 | 0.8 | 0 | 6915 | 4471877 | 12220 | 30550 |  |
| 1976 | 3013 | 1 | 53.3 | 43.5 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 2522 | 2711395 | 6102 | 15255 |  |
| 1977 | 5054 | 0.2 | 52.9 | 43 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 4043 | 3299189 | 8071 | 20178 |  |
| 1978 | 2810 | 1.7 | 65.9 | 30.4 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 2214 | 1741226 | 5083 | 12708 |  |
| 1979 | 5529 | 8.1 | 62.8 | 27.5 | 1.6 | 0 | 4324 | 3815276 | 8423 | 21058 |  |
| 1980 | 9891 | 2.1 | 93.2 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 0 | 7834 | 4976644 | 13334 | 33335 | 4234509 |
| 1981 | 7987 | 3.2 | 80 | 16.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 5783 | 4077804 | 11367 | 28418 | 3004669 |
| 1982 | 6364 | 0.8 | 45.4 | 48.7 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 5104 | 2939520 | 9305 | 23263 | 3051546 |
| 1983 | 5495 | 3 | 56 | 37.9 | 3 | 0.2 | 4286 | 3080860 | 8529 | 21323 | 2430223 |
| 1984 | 15382 | 0.6 | 77.9 | 20.3 | 1.3 | 0 | 11629 | 5902623 | 19557 | 48893 | 3398318 |
| 1985 | 12645 | 0.7 | 56.4 | 40.3 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 9307 | 6062689 | 17738 | 44345 | 4419758 |
| 1986 | 5777 | 1.8 | 53.9 | 40.6 | 3.6 | 0 | 4327 | 5525231 | 10698 | 26745 | 4408715 |
| 1987 | 3546 | 1.8 | 57 | 37.5 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 2794 | 6147476 | 9791 | 24478 | 3436995 |
| 1988 | 2801 | 1.9 | 53.1 | 38.5 | 6 | 0.4 | 2182 | 7314792 | 9643 | 24108 | 3144377 |
| 1989 | 2620 | 0.6 | 53.4 | 41.9 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 1957 | 6945805 | 7666 | 19165 | 4600253 |
| 1990 | 2326 | 1.1 | 54.8 | 40.1 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 1805 | 5728729 | 7117 | 17793 | 5875974 |
| 1991 | 3374 | 0.4 | 47.8 | 43.5 | 7.8 | 0.4 | 2537 | 2996840 | 5758 | 14395 | 5775648 |
| 1992 | 11319 | 2.5 | 52.6 | 37.6 | 6.7 | 0.6 | 8172 | 5512728 | 13818 | 13818 | 5935545 |
| 1993 | 21122 | 1 | 59.6 | 37.5 | 1.9 | 0 | 17278 | 7923597 | 22777 | 22777 | 6839357 |
| 1994 | 15150 | 4.1 | 58.6 | 33.7 | 3.6 | 0 | 10923 | 5906715 | 18472 | 18472 | 6991514 |
| 1995 | 15735 | 8.9 | 62.5 | 26.8 | 1.8 | 0 | 13721 | 6936529 | 17090 | 17090 | 5840316 |
| 1996 | 29226 | 6.3 | 67.2 | 23.4 | 3.1 | 0 | 23556 | 12668925 | 32369 | 32369 | 3917021 |

Appendix 1 continued

| SpawningYear (Recruit Year) | River Escapement | Total Recruits | Spawning Escapement | Total Fry | Recruits |  |  |  |  | Total Recruits | No. of recruits per Fry |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2+ | $3+$ | 4+ | 5+ | $6+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} x(x+4, x+5, \\ x+6, x+7) \end{gathered}$ | x | x | x | x+1 | x+4 | x+5 | x+6 | $x+7$ | x+8 |  | 2+ | $3+$ | 4+ | 5+ | 6+ | Total |
| 1975 (79,80,81,82) | 12,220 | 30,550 | 8,843 | 4,471,877 | 1,706 | 31,068 | 4,632 | 1,140 | 21 | 38,567 | 0.0004 | 0.00695 | 0.001036 | 0.0003 | 0.000005 | 0.00862 |
| 1976 | 6,102 | 15,255 | 3,013 | 2,711,395 | 700 | 22,734 | 11,329 | 640 | 43 | 35,446 | 0.0003 | 0.00839 | 0.004178 | 0.0002 | 0.00002 | 0.013073 |
| 1977(81,82,83,84) | 8,071 | 20,178 | 5,054 | 3,299,189 | 909 | 10,561 | 8,081 | 636 | 0 | 20,188 | 0.0003 | 0.0032 | 0.002449 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.00612 |
| 1978 | 5,083 | 12,708 | 2,810 | 1,741,226 | 186 | 11,941 | 9,925 | 1,109 | 44 | 23,205 | 0.0001 | 0.00686 | 0.0057 | 0.0006 | 0.00003 | 0.013327 |
| 1979(83,84,85,86) | 8,423 | 21,058 | 5,529 | 3,815,276 | 640 | 38,088 | 17,871 | 963 | 0 | 57,561 | 0.0002 | 0.00998 | 0.004684 | 0.0003 | 0 | 0.015087 |
| 1980 | 13,334 | 33,335 | 9,891 | 4,976,644 | 293 | 25,011 | 10,858 | 832 | 49 | 37,044 | 0.00006 | 0.00503 | 0.002182 | 0.0002 | 0.00001 | 0.00744 |
| 1981(85, 86, 87,88) | 11,367 | 28,418 | 7,987 | 4,077,804 | 310 | 14,416 | 9,179 | 1,446 | 96 | 25,448 | 0.00008 | 0.00354 | 0.002251 | 0.0004 | 0.00002 | 0.00624 |
| 1982 | 9,305 | 23,263 | 6,364 | 2,939,520 | 481 | 13,952 | 9,282 | 709 | 57 | 24,482 | 0.0002 | 0.00475 | 0.003158 | 0.0002 | 0.00002 | 0.00833 |
| 1983(87,88,89,90) | 8259 | 21,323 | 5,495 | 3,080,860 | 441 | 12,801 | 8,030 | 676 | 53 | 22,002 | 0.0001 | 0.00416 | 0.002606 | 0.0002 | 0.00002 | 0.00714 |
| 1984 | 19,557 | 48,893 | 15,382 | 5,902,623 | 458 | 10,234 | 7,135 | 1,123 | 58 | 19,008 | 0.00008 | 0.00173 | 0.001209 | 0.0002 | 0.00001 | 0.00322 |
| 1985(89,90,91,92) | 17,738 | 44,345 | 12,645 | 6,062,689 | 115 | 9,751 | 6,262 | 926 | 83 | 17,136 | 0.00002 | 0.00161 | 0.001033 | 0.0002 | 0.00001 | 0.00283 |
| 1986 | 10,698 | 26,745 | 5,777 | 5,525,231 | 196 | 6,881 | 5,196 | 433 | 0 | 12,705 | 0.00004 | 0.00125 | 0.00094 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0.0023 |
| 1987(91,92,93,94) | 9,791 | 24,478 | 3,546 | 6,147,476 | 58 | 7,268 | 8,541 | 665 | 0 | 16,532 | 0.000009 | 0.00118 | 0.001389 | 0.0001 | 0 | 0.00269 |
| 1988 | 9,643 | 24,108 | 2,801 | 7,314,792 | 345 | 13,575 | 6,225 | 308 | 0 | 20,453 | 0.00005 | 0.00186 | 0.00085 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0.0028 |
| 1989(93,94,95,96) | 7,666 | 19,165 | 2,620 | 6,945,805 | 228 | 10,825 | 4,580 | 1,003 | 0 | 16,636 | 0.00003 | 0.00156 | 0.00066 | 0.0001 |  | 0.0024 |
| 1990 | 7,117 | 17,793 | 2,326 | 5,728,729 | 757 | 10,681 | 7,574 |  |  |  | 0.0001 | 0.00186 | 0.001322 |  |  |  |
| 1991(95,96,97,98) | 5,758 | 14,395 | 3,374 | 2,996,840 | 1,521 | 21,752 |  |  |  |  | 0.0005 | 0.00726 |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 13,818 | 13,818 | 11,319 | 5,512,728 | 2,039 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0004 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993(97,98,99,00) | 22,777 | 22,777 | 21,122 | 7,923,597 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 18,472 | 18,472 | 15,150 | 5,906,715 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995(99,00,01,02) | 17,090 | 17,090 | 15,735 | 6,936,529 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 32,369 | 32,369 | 29,226 | 12,668,925 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Fig. 1. Map showing the 14 Salmon Fishing Areas of the Newfoundland Region.


Fig. 2. Detailed map of the Exploits River system.


Figure 3. Regression relationship for fry to recruit (by year-class) for Exploits River.


Figure 4. Count at Grand Falls and \% of fish enumerated at Bishop Falls that escaped Grand Falls.


Figure 5. Regression relationship of $2^{+}$river age recruits in year x on $3^{+}$river age recruits in year $\mathrm{x}+1$.


Figure 6. Regression relationship for $3^{+}$river age recruits in year x on $4^{+}$river age recruits in year $\mathrm{x}+1$.


[^0]:    -samples from 1984-1992 were Noel Paul's broodstock
    -samples were collected from Grand Falls each year and from Great Rattling Brook from 1986-1990 -1993 samples from Camp 1, Grand Falls \& Red Indian Lake fishways
    -1994 - 1996 angling samples from Lower Exploits

