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#### Abstract

A minimum of $62 \%$ of the egg deposition required for conservation of Atlantic salmon in the Nepisiguit River was estimated to have been achieved in 1996. The conservation requirements were recalculated as 1626 large and 823 small salmon, $19 \%$ higher than the previous requirements. The egg deposition required for conservation was not changed. Neither the large or small salmon conservation requirements for spawning escapement were met. Small salmon returns (1036 fish) exceeded the conservation requirement, but large salmon returns ( 1178 fish) did not. The egg deposition requirement has not been met since 1988. Egg deposition has been approximately 50 to $60 \%$ of the conservation requirement for the past four years. The 1996 egg deposition was estimated conservatively because the salmon run was unusually late.

The estimated egg deposition of Atlantic salmon in the Jacquet River was $88 \%$ of the conservation requirement. This is the first time that the conservation requirement has not been met in the three years that the salmon stock of this river has been assessed. Conservation requirements for the Jacquet River were recalculated as 412 large and 250 small salmon. Returns of large salmon ( 337 fish) were less than the conservation requirement for spawning. Spawning escapement of small salmon ( 528 fish) was more than double the conservation requirement.


## Résumé

Il a été évalué qu'un minimum de $62 \%$ de l'objectif de ponte fixé pour la conservation du saumon atlantique dans la rivière Nepisiguit a été atteint en 1996. L'objectif en matière de conservation de la ressource avait été recalculé pour l'échappée des géniteurs à 1626 gros saumons et à 823 petits saumons, soit $19 \%$ de plus que l'objectif précédent. L'objectif de ponte exigé pour la conservation n'a pas changé. Les besoins en matière d'échappée des géniteurs pour la conservation n'ont été atteints ni pour le gros saumon ni pour le petit. La remontée de petits saumons ( 1036 poissons) a dépassé les besoins pour la conservation de la ressource, mais celle des gros saumons ( 1178 poissons) n'a pas été conforme aux exigences. L'objectif de ponte n'a pas été réalisé depuis 1988. Depuis quatre ans, la ponte atteint entre 50 et $60 \%$ environ des besoins pour la conservation de l'espèce. Les objectifs de ponte pour 1996 étaient très modérés étant donné que la montaison du saumon a accusé un retard inhabituel.

La ponte du saumon atlantique dans la rivière Jacquet a été évaluée à $88 \%$ des besoins pour la conservation. C'est la première fois en trois ans d'évaluation du stock de saumons dans cette rivière que l'objectif n'est pas atteint. Les besoins de montaison pour la conservation de cette ressource dans la rivière Jacquet ont été recalculés à 412 gros saumons et à 250 petits saumons. La montaison de gros saumons ( 337 poissons) était inférieure aux besoins en matière de frai pour assurer la conservation de la ressource. Le nombre de géniteurs atteignant les frayères en ce qui concerne le petit saumon ( 528 poissons) était plus de deux fois supérieur aux besoins pour la conservation.

## 1 - Introduction

Atlantic salmon occur naturally in the Nepisiguit (Fig. 1) and Jacquet (Fig. 2) rivers of northern New Brunswick. An active salmon stocking program has been carried out in the Nepisiguit River for the past two decades, initially to restore the population following a spill of mining waste and overfishing, and subsequently for enhancement purposes. To minimize losses of the existing wild population of the Jacquet River to poaching, the New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources and Energy has operated a salmon containment barrier since 1994.

This report documents the status of Atlantic salmon in the Nepisiguit and Jacquet rivers in 1996. For the Nepisiguit River, barrier fence counts, redd counts, estimates of angling catch, electrofishing surveys of juvenile abundance, and stocking data are summarized. For the Jacquet River, barrier fence counts, stocking data, and visual counts of spawners and redds are presented. In both rivers, egg depositions are estimated from salmon returns to the counting fences, removals and spawner abundances.

In the terminology utilised herein, salmon are subdivided into two size classes. Small salmon are adults less than 63 cm in fork length ( 1 SW , one sea-winter salmon or grilse). Large salmon are adults greater than or equal to 63 cm in fork length (MSW or multi-sea-winter salmon).

## 2 - Nepisiguit River

## 2.1-Conservation requirement

The conservation egg deposition that has been used for Atlantic salmon in the Nepisiguit River is $9.535 \times 10^{6}$ eggs ( 1363 large, 690 small salmon). This estimate was based on the following:

- accessible rearing habitat $=3.973 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (Anon. 1978)
- optimal egg deposition $=2.4 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (Elson 1975)
- average fecundity of females $=1,760 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Elson 1957)
- proportion of females in the large salmon population $=0.71$, and in the small salmon population $=0.17$ (Locke et al. 1994)
- mean weight of large salmon $=5.6 \mathrm{~kg}$, of small salmon $=1.4 \mathrm{~kg}$ (weights estimated at counting fence, R. Baker, pers. comm.)

Conservation requirements were recalculated based on a lower average fecundity, 1475 eggs $/ \mathrm{kg}$ (Randall 1984), which increased the number of fish required to attain the conservation egg deposition by $19 \%$. The total egg requirement was not changed. The new conservation requirement is $\mathbf{9 . 5 3 5} \times 10^{6}$ eggs ( $\mathbf{1 6 2 6}$ large, $\mathbf{8 2 3}$ small salmon).

## 2.2-Fisheries

### 2.2.1 - Description of fisheries

Salmon fisheries in the Nepisiguit River include recreational angling and angling by members of Pabineau First Nation. In 1996, a small food fishery trapnet was also operated at the Pabineau First Nation. The recreational angling season was June 1 to October 15. Only hook-andrelease fishing was permitted for large salmon, with a daily hook-and-release limit of four fish. Seasonal and daily bag limits for small salmon were eight and two fish, respectively. Anglers were required to stop fishing once the daily small salmon limit was reached.

### 2.2.2 - First Nation fishery

The combined catch of First Nation anglers and the food fishery trapnet was estimated as 100 to 125 salmon (B. Paul Jr., Pabineau First Nation, personal communication). For stock assessment, it is assumed that 112 salmon were retained, $25 \%$ of which were large salmon, and that all were captured above the counting fence. First Nation harvest was therefore estimated as 28 large and 84 small salmon.

### 2.2.3 - Nepisiguit Salmon Association angling catch estimate

Angling catches by recreational anglers, estimated by the Nepisiguit Salmon Association (NSA), were 450 retained and 130 released small salmon, and 420 released large salmon (Table 1). Compared to the five-year mean of 687 small salmon and 276 large salmon, the 1996 angling catch of small salmon was down by $16 \%$ and large salmon catch was up by $52 \%$ (Table 2, Fig. 3).

Fishing effort in 1996 ( 3800 rod-days) was the highest since 1992, and was $4 \%$ higher than the five-year mean of 3660 rod-days (Table 2). As in 1994 (Locke et al. 1995) and 1995 (Locke and Mowbray 1996), most of the angling effort occurred in September (1500 rod-days) and October ( 800 rod-days before the season closure on October 15; Table 1).

Monthly catch per unit effort (CPUE) was highest in August at 0.30 fish/rod-day closely followed by July and October at 0.29 fish/rod-day (Table 1). The high fishing success in July and August is unusual for this river. CPUE for the full season was 0.26 fish/rod-day, the same as the five-year mean (Table 2).

### 2.2.4 - Angler logbooks

Angling logbooks completed by eleven members of the Nepisiguit Salmon Association indicated increased angling success in 1996 compared to either 1995 or 1994 (Table 3). CPUE increased over the three years of the logbook program ( $0.27 \mathrm{fish} /$ rod-day in 1994, 0.35 fish/rod-day in 1995, and 0.44 fish/rod-day in 1996).

As in 1994 and 1995, most angling ( $73 \%$ of the total rod-days) by logbook anglers took place in the waters below the fence. In all three years, CPUE has been consistently higher below than above the fence.

## 2.3 - Research data

### 2.3.1 - Juvenile stocking and broodstock collection

In 1996, the Charlo Salmonid Enhancement Centre (S.E.C.) stocked 118,000 feeding fry, 154,129 fall fingerlings, 11,107 age 1 parr and 12,921 age 1 smolts (Table 4) into the Nepisiguit River and its tributaries below Grand Falls (Fig. 1). All fish were unmarked. This was the first year that age 1 smolts were stocked from Charlo S.E.C.

The Nepisiguit Salmon Association obtained 350,000 eyed eggs from Charlo S.E.C., for streamside incubation at Grand Falls. Survival rate in the incubation boxes was $81.7 \%$, producing 285,000 swim-up fry, of which 240,000 were stocked to the Nepisiguit River (Table 4). An additional 45,000 swim-up fry were stocked to the Tetagouche River above the falls. All fish were unmarked.

All broodstock used to produce eggs for this stocking were collected from the Nepisiguit River in 1995. In 1996, 162 large salmon were collected as broodstock for 1997 enhancement projects. All but one were collected at the counting fence; the remaining fish was angled at Gray's angling camp. An attempt to collect broodstock using an electrofishing boat was unsuccessful ( P . Cameron, DFO, pers. comm.).

### 2.3.2 - Counting fence

A salmon counting fence was operated by Pabineau First Nation in collaboration with Nepisiguit Salmon Association and DFO from June 18 to October 9 (Table 5). The fence was located approximately 0.5 km below the mouth of the Pabineau River, just above Gray's Ledge Pool.

Salmon captured at the fence during their upstream migration were counted, and all large and approximately $30 \%$ of small salmon were also measured, a scale sample was collected and fish were externally sexed if possible. Approximately $30 \%$ of the small salmon were tagged with numbered blue Carlin tags just posterior to the first ray of the dorsal fin. Adipose fin clips (indicating hatchery origin) or numbered Carlin tags were noted. Salmon were released above the fence or retained as broodstock to be sent to Charlo Salmonid Enhancement Centre.

In total, 197 small salmon were counted at the fence. Six small salmon were adipose finclipped (Table 6). In total, 335 large salmon were counted, of which five were fin-clipped. Total
returns of both small and large salmon to the fence are believed to have been larger than is indicated by counts at the fence, for two reasons. First, the fence was removed earlier than anticipated (due to budgetary constraints) and much of the late run entered the river following removal of the fence. Second, gaps in the fence could have allowed small salmon to pass through the fence without being counted during part of its early operation (J. Grant, R. Baker, pers. comm.). Large salmon were not likely to have fit through the holes in the fence.

Returns of both small ( $57 \%$ of total returns) and large ( $48 \%$ of total returns) salmon were concentrated in September (Fig. 4). Unlike the poor summer returns observed in 1995, salmon commonly returned to the fence during July and August of 1996. Compared to previous years, the timing of large salmon returns was atypical, with a large number of fish returning in week 34 (late August) (Fig. 5).

The salmon count at the fence was adjusted by regression on the number of fish angled above the fence (NSA data). The regression equations were based on 1982-1992 data, and were also used to adjust the 1993-1995 fence counts (Locke et al. 1994, 1995).

Large salmon returns to fence $=6.47$ * Large salmon angled above fence $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.92\right)$
Small salmon returns to fence $=3.18 *$ Small salmon angled above fence $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.81\right)$
The adjusted returns to the fence were 615 small and 897 large salmon (Table 6). These estimated returns are probably lower than the actual returns because fish were still returning to the river after October 15, the end of the angling season on which these adjustments are based.

Averaged over the full season, $1 \%$ of large salmon and $3 \%$ of small salmon were adiposeclipped (Table 7). Adipose-clipped fish were observed only in August and September.

Carlin tags were applied to 54 small salmon at the counting fence, but only two were reported caught by anglers upriver (Table 8). No large salmon were tagged in 1996. One large salmon tagged in 1994 at a research trap located near the highway 11 bridge (Locke et al. 1995) was recaptured at the counting fence (Table 8).

Length-frequency analysis of salmon measured at the counting fence shows three distinct peaks (Fig. 6), corresponding to 1, 2 and 3 sea-winter age groups (Locke et al. 1995).

### 2.3.3 - Redd counts

Redd counts were conducted by the Nepisiguit Salmon Association both above and below the fence (Table 9). The total count was 2267 redds, compared to 2926 redds in 1995. It is likely that the total number of redds was underestimated in 1996 as a result of unusually late spawning (R. Baker, pers. comm.). Only $50 \%$ of the broodstock from the Nepisiguit River had spawned at Charlo
S.E.C. by mid-November, an unusually late spawning (P. Cameron, DFO, pers. comm.). Areas of the upper Nepisiguit River, examined for redds by the NSA on October 29, had at least $25 \%$ more redds during spot-checks by the NSA on November 6 and 8.

### 2.3.4 - Juvenile densities

Estimates of juvenile densities were obtained at 11 sites in the Nepisiguit River, 3 sites in Gordon Meadow Brook, and 6 sites in the Pabineau River electrofished by the Nepisiguit Salmon Association. Densities were estimated by the DeLury (1958) method, using removal from sites enclosed by barrier nets. Juvenile salmon were separated into age classes using fixed length categories (age 0 parr, $\leq 5.5 \mathrm{~cm}$; age 1 parr, $5.6-10.5 \mathrm{~cm}$; age 2 parr, $\geq 10.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

Mean density of age 0 parr at in 1996 was higher than in 1995 (the lowest recorded in five years), but lower than in 1991-1994 (Fig. 7). Mean density of age 1 parr was the lowest since 1990, as expected given the low age 0 density observed in 1995. Density of age 2 parr was the highest ever, reflecting the high age 0 density in 1994.

## 2.4-Estimation of returns, removals and spawning escapement

Calculations of spawning escapement and returns were carried out by the methods outlined by Locke et al. (1994), as follows:

## (1) Spawning escapement above the fence

Spawners $=$ salmon counted at fence (adjusted by regression, as described in section 2.3.2) (broodstock removals + mortalities at fence + angling mortality + First Nation harvest)

## (2) Spawning escapement below the fence

Spawners $=($ spawners above fence $) x$ average $($ redd count below fence $) /($ redd count above fence $)$

## (3) Returns below the fence

Returns $=$ spawners + angling mortality + First Nation harvest (none in 1996) + commercial harvest (none in 1996)

Angling mortality was calculated using angling removals and releases estimated by the Nepisiguit Salmon Association. To separate total removals and releases into above- and belowfence components, the average distribution of angling above ( $38 \%$ ) and below ( $62 \%$ ) the fence was calculated based on returns of scale samples by anglers (Table 10), which include information on the angling location. Hook-and-release mortality of released fish was calculated for an assumed $3 \%$
mortality rate. Thus, angling mortality was estimated as the sum of removals and mortalities of released fish.

Mean proportions of redds above ( $72 \%$ ) and below ( $28 \%$ ) the fence (Table 9) were used to estimate the number of spawners below the fence, assuming that fish spawning in the two areas produce similar numbers of redds/fish.

## 2.5 - Assessment results

Returns of large salmon were estimated as 281 below the fence and 897 above the fence (Table 11). Returns of small salmon were estimated as 421 below the fence and 615 above the fence (Table 12).

Total returns were estimated as 1178 large and 1036 small salmon (Table 13). Spawning escapement was estimated to be 976 large and 499 small salmon. Large salmon returns were the highest since 1991. Small salmon returns were the highest since 1993 (Table 13, Fig. 8a).

Based on these estimates, $62 \%$ of the conservation requirement for egg deposition was met in 1996 (Table 13). Spawning requirements have been exceeded in only two years (1987 and 1988) of the fifteen in which salmon stocks have been assessed on this river (Fig. 8b). Neither the conservation egg deposition or large salmon escapement have been met since 1988 (Table 13). Small salmon spawning escapement was exceeded in nine years, although not since 1993 (Table 13). Egg deposition has declined since 1989, but has remained at approximately $50-60 \%$ of the conservation level over the past four years (Fig. 8b). Estimates of spawning escapement in 1996 are probably conservative because of the late spawning run.

## 2.6-Ecological considerations

### 2.6.1 - Species interactions

Predation by piscivorous birds, primarily mergansers, on juvenile salmon is a major concern of some anglers on the Nepisiguit River. Merganser surveys carried out by the Nepisiguit Salmon Association in July-September counted the same number of mergansers present in 1994 and 1995 a maximum of about 1.7 mergansers/river km , with up to 45 birds on the Nepisiguit River below Grand Falls. Elson (1962) recommended that merganser densities should not exceed 1 bird/20 ha (actually, 1 bird/river stretch 24 km long and 9 m wide) for maximum smolt production. This translates to 4.6 mergansers $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$. Dividing the total count of mergansers on the main Nepisiguit River below Grand Falls by the river habitat below Grand Falls (which includes tributaries to the main Nepisiguit River), the density of mergansers in 1996 was at least $11.3 / \mathrm{km}^{2}$.

### 2.6.2 - Environmental conditions

Unlike 1995, when the morning water temperature at the counting fence (sampled daily at approximately 0800 h ) was commonly $>20 \mathrm{C}$ and occasionally $>25 \mathrm{C}$, temperatures in 1996 rarely exceeded 20 C and never exceeded 25 C (Fig. 4).

Spot-checks of river pH by the Nepisiguit Salmon Association in July-October showed circumneutral readings in the Nepisiguit River (pH 6.95-7.38), Pabineau Brook (pH 6.90-7.25), and Gordon Meadow Brook (the most acidic of the three water bodies, with pH 6.35-6.85) (Table 14).

## 2.7-Management considerations

The recommended conservation level of spawning escapement was not achieved in 1996. Even before exploitation of the stock, returns of large salmon were less than the recommended spawning escapement. Total egg deposition was estimated at $62 \%$ of the conservation level. This estimate is conservative due to late entry of fish to the river.

## 3 - Jacquet River

## 3.1-Conservation requirements

The conservation egg deposition that has been used for the Jacquet River is 2,724,000 eggs, to be obtained from $\mathbf{3 2 0}$ large and $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ small salmon (Anon., 1978). This egg requirement was set assuming that all eggs should come from large salmon and using a required egg deposition of 2.4 eggs $\cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$. Biological characteristics of the stock were based on samples from a trapnet formerly operated by DFO in the Restigouche River. Data collected in the Jacquet River since 1994, however, indicated that use of Restigouche River stock characteristics was inappropriate (Table 15).

Biological characteristics of salmon sampled at a barrier fence in the Jacquet River (section 3.3.1) were used to recalculate the number of salmon required to attain the conservation egg deposition. The mean fork length of large salmon, 80.3 cm (Table 15) was substituted in Randall's (1984) equation relating fecundity to fork length,
$\ln ($ fecundity, eggs/female $)=-1.1862+2.3423 \ln ($ fork length, cm$)$.
Fecundity was 8229.8 eggs/female. This equation assumes that mean fecundity is $1475 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Randall 1984) rather than the fecundity in use when the previous conservation limit was set, 1760 eggs/kg (Elson 1957).

To obtain 2,724,000 eggs, 331 large female Jacquet River salmon are required. Given that females make up $80.3 \%$ of the large salmon population, a large salmon escapement of 412 (331 females, 81 males) is required. An additional 250 small salmon are required for a $1: 1$ sex ratio.

The new conservation requirements, then, are 412 large and 250 small salmon. The conservation egg deposition of $2,724,000$ eggs is unchanged; however, the estimate of rearing area should be reevaluated. The conservation requirement of $2.4 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ is also unchanged.

## 3.2 - Fisheries

Unlike the Nepisiguit River, angling for kelts was permitted in the Jacquet River from April 15 to May 15. Angling regulations for bright fish in the Jacquet River were similar to those in the Nepisiguit River with the exception of a later season closure, October 30.

The New Brunswick Aboriginal Peoples Council received a communal license for salmon fishing in a number of rivers, including the Jacquet River, with a total allocation of 45 small salmon. These salmon were to be taken by angling only, from the waters of the Upsalquitch, Charlo, Benjamin and Jacquet rivers in August 1 through October 31, and from the waters of the Restigouche River from the confluence of the Restigouche and Matapedia rivers for a distance of approximately 10 km upstream in August 1 through September 15.

No catch or harvest data for either the native or non-native fisheries were available for 1996. Mean 1990-1995 catches were 19 small and 24 large kelts, and 67 small and 55 large bright salmon (Table 16). Fishing effort on bright salmon increased and CPUE decreased over this six-year period.

## 3.3-Research data

### 3.3.1 - Juvenile stocking and broodstock collection

In 1996, 18,302 age 0 parr were stocked to the Jacquet River on October 8. Charlo Salmonid Enhancement Centre staff collected eight large salmon (four males, four females) for broodstock. Broodstock were spawned at the river and 21,259 eggs were returned to the hatchery.

### 3.3.2-Counting fence

In 1996, a containment barrier was operated by the New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources and Energy for the third year at Big Rock Pool just upriver of the Highway 11 bridge. The fence operated from June 17 to October 27. In total, 337 large and 600 small salmon returned to the fence (Fig. 9); 403 of these (large and small salmon combined) were seined just below the fence by DNRE personnel on October 25, and placed in the holding pool following collection of biological data (fork length and sex, where possible). Mortalities of 5 small and 2 large salmon
were recorded at the fence. The number of fish released from the fence was 335 large salmon and 595 small salmon. Small salmon were released throughout the season to afford angling opportunities upriver. Large salmon were held until October 27.

As in 1994 and 1995, the majority of fish ( $94 \%$ of large and $85 \%$ of small salmon) reached the fence after October 1 (Fig. 9).

Fork length and proportions of females in the population from 1994 to 1996 were consistent from year to year (Table 17).

### 3.3.3 - Redd surveys

In total, 786 redds were counted in the main stem of the Jacquet River from tidal water to the waterfall above Kettle Hole. This was a larger portion of the river than was sampled in previous years; the redd count from Pumphouse Pool upriver was 726 in 1996, an increase of $51 \%$ over the averaged 1994 and 1995 redd counts ( 479.5 redds) (Table 18). The redd count in the $8-\mathrm{km}$ index stretch from Kettle Hole to Doyles Pool was the highest since redd counts began in 1971, and was $204 \%$ higher than the mean number of redds counted in 1994 and 1995.

## 3.4-Estimation of returns, removals and spawning escapement

Returns to the barrier fence were assumed to represent in-river returns. Mortalities at the fence were subtracted to obtain the number of salmon released above the fence. Removals of salmon upstream of the barrier fence were estimated as the mean angling mortality on bright fish in 1990-1995. Virtually all angling takes place above the fence (A. Madden, personal observation). Angling mortality of released large salmon was estimated as $3 \%$ of the total catch.

## 3.5-Assessment results

Conservation spawning escapement was not achieved in 1996 (Table 19). Only $88 \%$ of the conservation egg deposition was met. This is the first year in the three years that this stock has been assessed that spawning escapement did not exceed the requirement. Large salmon spawning escapement was only $57 \%$ of the mean escapement in 1994 and 1995. Small salmon spawning escapement in 1996 was $122 \%$ of the mean escapement in 1994-1995.

Returns of large salmon (337 fish) did not exceed the conservation requirement (412 fish).
The large number of redds counted in 1996 (Table 18), compared to those counted in the two previous years, was unexpected given that the 1996 spawning escapement was estimated to be lower than that in 1994 and 1995. Annual changes in size and sex ratio of the spawning population are not sufficient to explain the large number of redds. If one assumes that the number of redds/female was reasonably consistent from year to year, and that the redds were counted
accurately in all years, then spawning escapement may have been overestimated in 1994 and 1995 or underestimated in 1996; one possibility is that higher levels of poaching took place in 1994 and 1995 than in 1996.

## 3.6-Management considerations

Atlantic salmon in the Jacquet River did not meet conservation requirements for the first time in three years. Small salmon escapement has exceeded the conservation level substantially in all years. Large salmon returns were less than the conservation requirement for spawning escapement. Poaching could reduce the large salmon escapement well below the conservation level. The extent of poaching in the Jacquet River is not quantified, but it is believed to be an important source of mortality. Retention of large salmon at the DNRE holding pool until late October was probably effective in reducing poaching mortalities.

## 4 - Research recommendations (Nepisiguit and Jacquet rivers)

1. Continue operation of the Nepisiguit River counting fence for assessment and broodstock collection. Early installation of the fence has been favoured in order to collect early-run broodstock. For the assessment program, it would be better to put the fence in later rather than earlier in order that the late October run of fish not be missed in the case of budget shortfalls.
2. Update the salmon habitat estimates in both the Jacquet and Nepisiguit rivers, then reevaluate conservation requirements for total egg deposition.
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Table 1. Monthly angling catches, effort, and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of Atlantic salmon on the Nepisiguit River in 1996. Information provided by the Nepisiguit Salmon Association.


Table 2. Estimates of angling catch of Atlantic salmon in the Nepisiguit River, 1951-1996. Based on DFO (C\&P) statistics in 1951-1983 and 1985, Nepisiguit Salmon Association statistics in 1984, 1986-1996, DNRE FISHSYS angler surveys from 1969-1995.
(a) Data for bright and kelt fisheries, collected by DFO C\&P, 1951-1983. All fish caught are assumed to have been retained.


Table 2. Continued.
(b) Angling data collected by Nepisiguit Salmon Association (except 1985, which is based on DFO C\&P data), showing removals and releases for the bright fishery.

|  | Removed |  | Released |  |  | Catch per rod-day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Small | Large | Small | Large | Rod-days |  |
| 1984 | 600 | 0 | 150 | 150 | 3015 | 0.30 |
| 1985 | 229 | 0 | -- | -- | 1734 | ---- |
| 1986 | 800 | 0 | 400 | 500 | 3600 | 0.47 |
| 1987 | 800 | 0 | 550 | 500 | 4250 | 0.44 |
| 1988 | 1000 | 0 | 400 | 600 | 5000 | 0.40 |
| 1989 | 600 | 0 | 100 | 490 | 4000 | 0.30 |
| 1990 | 500 | 0 | 100 | 300 | 3400 | 0.26 |
| 1991 | 700 | 0 | 150 | 300 | 3700 | 0.31 |
| 1992 | 800 | 0 | 330 | 270 | 4700 | 0.30 |
| 1993 | 470 | 0 | 85 | 258 | 3300 | 0.25 |
| 1994 | 380 | 0 | 70 | 250 | 3700 | 0.19 |
| 1995 | 350 | 0 | 100 | 300 | 2900 | 0.26 |
| 1996 | 450 | 0 | 130 | 420 | 3800 | 0.26 |
|  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mean (91-5) | 540 | 0 | 147 | 276 | 3660 | 0.26 |
| \%96/(91-95) | -17\% | - | -12\% | +52\% | + 4\% | 0 \% |

Table 2. Continued.
(c) Angling data from DNRE FISHSYS angler surveys, 1969-1995, showing estimates of retained small salmon and released large salmon for the bright fishery. Data for 1996 were not available at the time of publication.

| Year | Small <br> salmon <br> (removed) | Large salmon (released) | Total <br> Rod-days | CPUE <br> (catch/rod-day) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1969 | 46 | 9 | 150 | 0.37 |
| 1970 | 41 | 0 | 196 | 0.21 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0.00 |
| 1972 | 23 | 20 | 352 | 0.12 |
| 1973 | 0 | 14 | 294 | 0.05 |
| 1974 | 39 | 12 | 633 | 0.08 |
| 1975 | 8 | 8 | 57 | 0.28 |
| 1976 | 207 | 79 | 633 | 0.45 |
| 1977 | 52 | 0 | 221 | 0.24 |
| 1978 | 18 | 30 | 473 | 0.10 |
| 1979 | 14 | 0 | 1052 | 0.01 |
| 1980 | 752 | 145 | 2952 | 0.30 |
| 1981 | 1033 | 170 | 3599 | 0.33 |
| 1982 | 522 | 81 | 3429 | 0.18 |
| 1983 | 430 | 50 | 4140 | 0.12 |
| 1984 | 814 | 289 | 2444 | 0.45 |
| 1985 | 1135 | 653 | 7084 | 0.25 |
| 1986 | 2018 | 939 | 7365 | 0.40 |
| 1987 | 1903 | 1072 | 7498 | 0.40 |
| 1988 | 1429 | 703 | 6578 | 0.32 |
| 1989 | 778 | 795 | 5433 | 0.29 |
| 1990 | 1035 | 528 | 9781 | 0.16 |
| 1991 | 1628 | 792 | 10869 | 0.22 |
| 1992 | 1153 | 705 | 11861 | 0.16 |
| 1993 | 1546 | 1013 | 12393 | 0.21 |
| 1994 | 484 | 147 | 5044 | 0.13 |
| 1995 | 490 | 20 | 3070 | 0.17 |

Table 3. Angling records from logbooks distributed to Nepisiguit Salmon Association members, 1996. Numbers of landed fish only.


Table 4. Number of juvenile salmon stocked to the Nepisiguit system. Value in parentheses is percentage of salmon marked (AC=adipose fin clip, NT = magnetic wire nose tag, CT = Carlin tag). Source: 1976-1981, Newbould 1983; 1982-1992, Nepisiguit Salmon Association; 1993-1995, Charlo Salmonid Enhancement Centre). Swim-up fry from streamside incubation boxes, all other life stages from hatcheries.

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Swim-up } \\ & \text { fry } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feeding } \\ & \text { fry (3 cm }) \end{aligned}$ | Fingerling <br> fry ( 7 cm ) | Age 1 parr | Age 2 smolt | YEARLY <br> TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 78,196 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 33,101 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | 111,297 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 166,283 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,320 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 171,603 |
| 1979 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 138,600 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86,947 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,229 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,002 \\ & (100 \% \text { AC\&CT) } \end{aligned}$ | 231,778 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 178,047 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,978 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23,588 \\ & (100 \% \text { AC\&NT) } \end{aligned}$ | 208,613 |
| 1981 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 176,440 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 498,301 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,819 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,635 \\ & (100 \% \text { AC\&NT) } \end{aligned}$ | 686,195 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 293,140 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,980 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 296,120 |
| 1983 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 216,172 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 298,453 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,645 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,454 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | 535,724 |
| 1984 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 65,576 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 261,141 \\ & (1008 \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,667 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,752 \\ & (100 \% \text { AC\&NT) } \end{aligned}$ | 356,136 |

## Table 4. Continued.

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Swim-up } \\ & \text { fry } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feeding fry } \\ & (3 \mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Fingerling <br> fry ( 7 cm ) | Age 1 parr | Age 2 smolt | YEARLY TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & 25,669 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,000 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 316,618 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,152 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,650 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | 394,089 |
| 1986 | $\begin{aligned} & 48,312 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98,734 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 268,277 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,540 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,706 \\ & (100 \% \text { AC\&NT) } \end{aligned}$ | 428,569 |
| 1987 | $\begin{aligned} & 144,450 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82,306 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 206,814 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,872 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,706 \\ & (100 \% \quad \text { AC\&NT) } \end{aligned}$ | 446,148 |
| 1988 | $\begin{aligned} & 293,465 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141,000 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 208,046 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 8,792 \\ & (1008 \mathrm{AC} \& N T) \end{aligned}$ | 651,303 |
| 1989 | $\begin{aligned} & 335,533 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 284,004 \\ & (28 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 10,000 \\ & (1008 A C \& N T) \end{aligned}$ | 629,577 |
| 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & 342,981 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 400,000 \\ & (35 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,500 \\ & (100 \% A C) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,700 \\ & (100 \% \text { AC\&NT) } \end{aligned}$ | 761,181 |
| 1991 | $\begin{aligned} & 243,016 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 176,702 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 9,663 \\ & (100 \% \text { AC\&NT) } \end{aligned}$ | 429,381 |
| 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & 335,801 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118,542 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 146,950 \\ & (10 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | 12,441 | $\begin{aligned} & 11,641 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | 625,375 |
| 1993 | $\begin{aligned} & 336,277 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 149,522 \\ & (65 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,944 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 516,743 |
| 1994 | $\begin{aligned} & 255,000 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168,000 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 423,000 |

Table 4. Continued.

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Swim-up } \\ & \text { fry } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feeding fry } \\ & (3 \mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Fingerling <br> fry ( 7 cm ) | Age 1 parr | Age 2 smolt | YEARLY TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | $\begin{aligned} & 105,000 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 90,906 \\ (13 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $0 \quad 1$ | 195,906 |
| 1996 | $\begin{aligned} & 240,000 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118,000 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 154,129 \\ & \text { (unmarked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,107 \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,921 \\ & \text { (age } 1 \text { smolt) } \\ & (100 \% \mathrm{AC}) \end{aligned}$ | $536,157$ |

TOTAL STOCKED, 1976-1996: 8,634,895

Table 5. Dates of operation of the Nepisiguit counting fence, 1982-1996.

| YEAR | OPERATION DATES |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | May 28 -Nov. 1 |
| 1983 | May 26 -Nov. 4 |
| 1984 | May 27-30, June 4-Nov. 7 |
| 1985 | May 30-Nov. 8 |
| 1986 | June 2-Nov. 5 |
| 1987 | June 4-July 12, July 17-Nov. 5 |
| 1988 | June 3-Oct. 23 |
| 1989 | June 5-Aug. 14, Aug. 17-Nov. 6 |
| 1990 | June 15-July 25, Aug. 4-11, Aug. 26-Sept. 4 |
| 1991 | June 22-July 5, July 9-12, July 16-19, July 23-26, July 30-31, Aug. 1-2, Aug. 6-9, Aug. 13-15, Aug. 19-22, Aug. 26-30, Sept. 3-13 |
| 1992 | June 25-Oct. 23 |
| 1993 | July 2-Oct. 25 |
| 1994 | June 29-Oct. 26 |
| 1995 | July 6-Oct. 20 |
| 1996 | June 18-Oct. 9 |

Table 6. Salmon counts at the Nepisiguit River counting fence, subdivided into adipose fin-clipped (AC) and unclipped salmon.

|  | Small salmon |  | Large salmon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | AC | not AC | Total | AC | not AC | Total |
| 1982 | 211 | 784 | 995 | 138 | 234 | 372 |
| 1983 | 70 | 236 | 306 | 29 | 262 | 291 |
| 1984 | 125 | 831 | 956 | 102 | 310 | 412 |
| 1985 | 160 | 349 | 509 | 194 | 627 | 821 |
| 1986 | 496 | 913 | 1409 | 363 | 581 | 944 |
| 1987 | 734 | 1000 | 1734 | 477 | 905 | 1382 |
| 1988 | 552 | 1865 | 2417 | 460 | 1392 | 1852 |
| 1989 | 90 | 386 | 476 | 323 | 757 | 1080 |
| 1990* | 65 (564) | 87 (755) | 152 (1319) | 59 (303) | 125 (641) | 184 (944) |
| 1991* | 15 (226) | 104 (1570) | 119 (1796) | 22 (175) | 88 (698) | 110 (873) |
| 1992 | 182 | 930 | 1112 | 13 | 428 | 441 |
| 1993* | 14 (100) | 104 (742) | 118 (842) | 20 (80) | 177 (709) | 197 (789) |
| 1994* | 24 (52) | 242 (525) | 266 (577) | 6 (17) | 227 (635) | 233 (652) |
| 1995* | 8 (26) | 173 (551) | 181 (577) | 12 (25) | 359 (757) | 371 (782) |
| 1996* | 6(19) | 191 (596) | 197 (615) | 5 (13) | 330 (884) | 335 (897) |

* numbers in parentheses are estimated counts at fence, obtained by regression analysis as explained in the text.

Table 7. Monthly returns of adipose fin-clipped salmon to Nepisiguit River fence. Parenthesized numbers are percentages of nose-tagged fish (included in adipose-clipped percentages).
(a) Percentage of adipose-clipped large salmon relative to total large salmon.

|  | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 100 | $65(3)$ | $51(3)$ | $29(3)$ | 26 | $19(1)$ | 40 | 37 |
| 1983 | 100 | 5 | $13(3)$ | $29(18)$ | 9 | $11(2)$ | 0 | 10 |
| 1984 | -- | 19 | 34 | 38 | 18 | 19 | 14 | 25 |
| 1985 | -- | 48 | 32 | 21 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 24 |
| 1986 | -- | 72 | 61 | 34 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 38 |
| 1987 | -- | 58 | 58 | 36 | 30 | 22 | 0 | 34 |
| 1988 | -- | 66 | 46 | 23 | 25 | 13 | -- | 25 |
| 1989 | -- | 62 | 36 | 35 | 23 | 15 | 12 | 30 |
| 1990 | -- | 42 | 32 | 13 | 20 | -- | -- | 32 |
| 1991 | -- | 40 | 29 | 21 | 7 | -- | -- | 20 |
| 1992 | -- | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -- | 3 |
| 1993 | -- | -- | 15 | 7 | 6 | 0 | -- | 10 |
| 1994 | -- | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | -- | 2 |
| 1995 | -- | -- | 8 | 25 | 9 | 0 | -- | 3 |
| 1996 | -- | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | -- | 1 |

(b) Percentage of adipose-clipped small salmon relative to total small salmon.

|  | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1982 | 0 | $39(11)$ | $18(2)$ | 19 | $21(3)$ | $21(3)$ | 12 | 21 |
| 1983 | -- | $18(9)$ | $37(8)$ | $25(8)$ | 19 | 8 | 0 | 23 |
| 1984 | -- | 7 | 19 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 13 |
| 1985 | -- | 100 | 49 | 12 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 31 |
| 1986 | -- | 37 | 42 | 34 | 36 | 26 | 33 | 35 |
| 1987 | -- | 78 | 62 | 48 | 26 | 17 | 0 | 42 |
| 1988 | -- | 61 | 41 | 29 | 24 | 8 | -- | 23 |
| 1989 | -- | 54 | 32 | 6 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 19 |
| 1990 | -- | 44 | 61 | 14 | 20 | -- | -- | 43 |
| 1991 | -- | 0 | 0 | 14 | 7 | -- | - | 13 |
| 1992 | -- | 41 | 26 | 7 | 1 | 2 | - | 16 |
| 1993 | -- | -- | 20 | 4 | 0 | 5 | -- | 13 |
| 1994 | -- | 0 | 22 | 14 | 2 | 0 | -- | 10 |
| 1995 | -- | -- | 13 | 0 | 5 | 1 | -- | 5 |
| 1996 | -- | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | -- | 3 |

Table 8. Summary of Carlin tags recovered from Atlantic salmon in the Nepisiguit River, 1996.

| Tag No. | Date applied | Date <br> recaptured | Recapture <br> location |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 64048 | Oct. 24/94 | Sept. 17/96 | Counting fence |
| 64251 | Sept. 9/96 | Oct. 5/96 | Island Pool |
| 64253 | Sept. 10/96 | Oct. 11/96 | Long Hole |

Table 9. Redd counts in the Nepisiguit River and tributaries. Above and below fence refer to the 1991 fence location. Both tributaries are located below the fence. (--) indicates that no observations were made.

| Year | Nepisiguit River |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Above fence | Below fence | Total | Pabineau <br> River | Meadow <br> Brook | \% of redds above fence |
| 1981 | -- | -- | -- | 17 | 8 | -- |
| 1982 | 149 | 87 | 236 | 52 | 66 | 63.1 |
| 1983 | 1164 | 414 | 1578 | -- | -- | 73.8 |
| 1984 | 1014 | 564 | 1578 | -- | -- | 64.3 |
| 1985 | 1341 | 513 | 1854 | -- | -- | 72.3 |
| 1986 | 2250 | 692 | 2942 | 337 | 91 | 76.5 |
| 1987 | 2447 | 1383 | 3830 | 158 | 64 | 63.9 |
| 1988 | 3017 | 1468 | 4485 | 177 | 39 | 67.3 |
| 1989 | $732^{\text {a }}$ | $43^{\text {a }}$ | $775^{\text {a }}$ | -- | -- | -- |
| 1990 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 1991 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 1992 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 1993 | 1647 | -- | $1647^{\text {a }}$ | -- | -- | -- |
| 1994 | 2198 | 754 | 2952 | -- | -- | 74.5 |
| 1995 | $2763^{\text {a }}$ | 163 | $2926{ }^{\text {a }}$ | -- | -- | -- |
| 1996 | 2030 | 237 | 2267 | -- | -- | 89.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean $=71.7 \%$ |

Table 10. Distribution of salmon angling above and below the Nepisiguit River counting fence, based on angler scale data returns.

|  | Large salmon |  |  | Small salmon |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year | Above <br> fence | Below <br> fence |  | Above <br> fence | Combined <br> Below <br> fence | \% Above <br> fence |
| 1982 | 5 | 21 | 19 | 64 | 22.0 |  |
| 1983 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 40.0 |  |
| 1984 | - | - | - | - | -- |  |
| 1985 | - | - | 24 | 33 | 42.1 |  |
| 1986 | - | - | 15 | 43 | 25.9 |  |
| 1987 | - | - | 20 | 25 | 44.4 |  |
| 1988 | - | - | 16 | 28 | 36.4 |  |
| 1989 | - | - | 18 | 32 | 36.0 |  |
| 1990 | - | - | 26 | 33 | 44.1 |  |
| 1991 | - | - | 20 | 21 | 48.8 |  |
| 1992 | - | - | 36 | 36 | 50.0 |  |
| 1993 | - | - | 22 | 24 | 47.8 |  |
| 1994 | - | - | 21 | 25 | 45.7 |  |
| 1995 | - | - | 9 | 36 | 25.0 |  |
| 1996 | - | - | 35 | 20.5 |  |  |

MEAN $=37.8 \%$

Table 11. Calculations of total returns and spawners for large salmon in the Nepisiguit River.
(a) Above the counting fence.

|  | $[1]$ | $[2]$ | $[3]$ | $[4]$ | $[5]$ | $[6]=[1]-[2]$ <br> Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Returns <br> to fence | Brood- <br> stock | Mortality <br> at fence | Native <br> harvest | Angling <br> mortality | Spawners |  |
| 1982 | 372 | 68 | 0 | 59 | 74 | 171 |
| 1983 | 291 | 87 | 0 | 59 | 70 | 75 |
| 1984 | 412 | 92 | 1 | 59 | 2 | 258 |
| 1985 | 821 | 111 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 651 |
| 1986 | 944 | 104 | 0 | 59 | 6 | 775 |
| 1987 | 1382 | 150 | 0 | 59 | 6 | 1167 |
| 1988 | 1852 | 151 | 0 | 59 | 7 | 1635 |
| 1989 | 1080 | 164 | 0 | 59 | 6 | 851 |
| 1990 | 944 | 114 | 0 | 59 | 4 | 767 |
| 1991 | 873 | 104 | 1 | 59 | 4 | 705 |
| 1992 | 441 | 147 | 1 | 59 | 3 | 231 |
| 1993 | 789 | 128 | 3 | 20 | 3 | 635 |
| 1994 | 652 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 537 |
| 1995 | 782 | 162 | 3 | 44 | 4 | 569 |
| 1996 | 897 | 161 | 0 | 28 | 5 | 703 |

(b) Below the counting fence. "A" refers to the ratio of redds below the fence:redds above the fence. In 1982-1995 stock assessments, a value of 0.44 (mean of all years up to 1995) was used. With the addition of the 1996 redd counts, the mean value used was 0.39 .

|  | $[6]$ | $[7]=[6]$ <br> x A | $[8]$ | $[9]$ | $[10]$ | $[11]=[7]+[8]$ <br> $+[9]+[10]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year | Spawners <br> above <br> fence | Spawners <br> below <br> fence | Angling <br> mortality | Native <br> harvest | Commer- <br> cial <br> harvest | Returns <br> below <br> fence <br> 1982 |
| 171 | 78 | 113 | 91 | 14 | 296 |  |
| 1983 | 75 | 34 | 106 | 91 | 23 | 254 |
| 1984 | 258 | 118 | 3 | 91 | 68 | 280 |
| 1985 | 651 | 297 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 397 |
| 1986 | 775 | 353 | 9 | 91 | 0 | 453 |
| 1987 | 1167 | 532 | 9 | 91 | 0 | 632 |
| 1988 | 1635 | 746 | 11 | 91 | 0 | 848 |
| 1989 | 851 | 388 | 9 | 91 | 0 | 488 |
| 1990 | 767 | 350 | 5 | 91 | 0 | 446 |
| 1991 | 705 | 321 | 5 | 91 | 0 | 417 |
| 1992 | 231 | 105 | 5 | 91 | 0 | 201 |
| 1993 | 635 | 290 | 5 | 30 | 0 | 295 |
| 1994 | 537 | 236 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 240 |
| 1995 | 569 | 250 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 255 |
| 1996 | 703 | 273 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 281 |

Table 12. Calculations of total returns and spawners for small salmon in the Nepisiguit River. (a) Above the counting fence.

|  | $[1]$ | $[2]$ | $[3]$ | $[4]$ | $[5]$ | $[6]=[1]-[2]$ <br> Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Returns <br> to fence | Brood- <br> stock | Mortality <br> at fence | Native <br> harvest | Angling <br> mortality | Spawners |  |
| 1982 | 995 | 84 | 0 | 20 | 51 | 840 |
| 1983 | 306 | 17 | 0 | 20 | 46 | 223 |
| 1984 | 956 | 4 | 7 | 20 | 239 | 686 |
| 1985 | 509 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 90 | 395 |
| 1986 | 1409 | 5 | 1 | 20 | 321 | 1062 |
| 1987 | 1734 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 323 | 1385 |
| 1988 | 2417 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 400 | 1992 |
| 1989 | 476 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 238 | 212 |
| 1990 | 1319 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 199 | 1094 |
| 1991 | 1796 | 10 | 1 | 20 | 279 | 1486 |
| 1992 | 1112 | 16 | 6 | 20 | 320 | 750 |
| 1993 | 842 | 0 | 2 | 79 | 187 | 574 |
| 1994 | 577 | 15 | 0 | 60 | 154 | 348 |
| 1995 | 577 | 9 | 0 | 131 | 142 | 295 |
| 1996 | 615 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 172 | 359 |

(b) Below the counting fence. "A" refers to the ratio of redds below the fence:redds above the fence. In 1982-1995 stock assessments, a value of 0.44 (mean of all years up to 1995) was used. With the addition of the 1996 redd counts, the mean value used was 0.39 .

|  | [6] | [7]=[6] | [8] | [9] | [10] | $[11]=[7]+[8]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | x A |  |  |  | $+[9]+[10]$ |
| Year | Spawners <br> above <br> fence | Spawners below fence | Angling mortality | Native harvest | Commer- <br> cial <br> harvest | Returns below fence |
| 1982 | 840 | 383 | 79 | 30 | 50 | 542 |
| 1983 | 223 | 102 | 71 | 30 | 53 | 256 |
| 1984 | 686 | 313 | 366 | 30 | 474 | 1183 |
| 1985 | 395 | 180 | 139 | 30 | 0 | 349 |
| 1986 | 1062 | 484 | 491 | 30 | 0 | 1005 |
| 1987 | 1385 | 632 | 494 | 30 | 0 | 1156 |
| 1988 | 1992 | 908 | 702 | 30 | 0 | 1640 |
| 1989 | 212 | 97 | 365 | 30 | 0 | 492 |
| 1990 | 1094 | 499 | 304 | 30 | 0 | 833 |
| 1991 | 1486 | 678 | 426 | 30 | 0 | 1134 |
| 1992 | 750 | 342 | 490 | 30 | 0 | 862 |
| 1993 | 574 | 262 | 286 | 121 | 0 | 669 |
| 1994 | 348 | 153 | 228 | 60 | 0 | 441 |
| 1995 | 295 | 130 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 341 |
| 1996 | 359 | 140 | 281 | 0 | 0 | 421 |

Table 13. Annual estimates of total returns and total spawners for large and small salmon in the Nepisiguit River. Spawner numbers in bold type exceeded the conservation spawning escapement of 1626 large salmon and 823 small salmon. Egg deposition is calculated from spawner estimates, assuming 5864.6 eggs/large spawner ( 8260 eggs/large female) and 351.05 eggs/small spawner ( $2065 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{small}$ female).

| Year | Large salmon |  |  | Small salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Returns | Spawners | Egg deposition (x $10^{6}$ ) | Returns | Spawners | Egg deposition ( $\times 10^{6}$ ) | Total egg deposition ( $\times 10^{6}$ ) | \% of <br> egg requiremen |
| 1982 | 668 | 249 | 1.46 | 1537 | 1223 | 0.43 | 1.89 | 7 |
| 1983 | 545 | 109 | 0.54 | 562 | 325 | 0.11 | 0.75 | 8 |
| 1984 | 692 | 376 | 2.21 | 2139 | 999 | 0.35 | 2.56 | 27 |
| 1985 | 1218 | 948 | 5.56 | 858 | 575 | 0.20 | 5.76 | 60 |
| 1986 | 1397 | 1128 | 6.62 | 2414 | 1546 | 0.54 | 7.16 | 75 |
| 1987 | 2014 | 1699 | 9.96 | 2890 | 2017 | 0.71 | 10.67 | 112 |
| 1988 | 2700 | 2381 | 13.96 | 4057 | 2900 | 1.02 | 14.98 | 157 |
| 1989 | 1568 | 1239 | 7.27 | 968 | 309 | 0.11 | 7.38 | 77 |
| 1990 | 1390 | 1117 | 6.55 | 2152 | 1593 | 0.56 | 7.11 | 75 |
| 1991 | 1290 | 1026 | 6.02 | 2930 | 2164 | 0.76 | 6.78 | 71 |
| 1992 | 642 | 336 | 1.97 | 1974 | 1092 | 0.38 | 2.35 | 25 |
| 1993 | 1084 | 925 | 5.42 | 1511 | 836 | 0.29 | 5.71 | 60 |
| 1994 | 892 | 773 | 4.53 | 1018 | 501 | 0.18 | 4.71 | 49 |
| 1995 | 1037 | 819 | 4.80 | 918 | 425 | 0.15 | 4.95 | 52 |
| 1996 | 1178 | 976 | 5.72 | 1036 | 499 | 0.18 | 5.90 | 62 |

Table 14. Measurements of pH and temperature in the Nepisiguit River system, 1996.

| Location | Date | pH | Temperature (C) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nepisiguit R. | July 11 | 7.38 | 19.0 |
|  | Sept. 4 | 6.95 | 13.2 |
|  | Oct. 3 | 7.13 | 10.5 |
| Pabineau Brook |  |  |  |
|  | July 11 | 6.90 | 19.6 |
|  | Sept. 4 | 7.25 | 16.5 |
|  | Oct. 3 | 6.90 | 8.4 |
| Gordon Meadow Brook | July 11 | 6.50 | 16.0 |
|  | July 27 | 6.35 | 17.3 |
|  | Sept. 4 | 6.75 | 17.5 |
|  | Oct. 3 | 6.85 | 11.5 |

Table 15. Comparison of biological characteristics of Atlantic salmon in the Jacquet and Restigouche Rivers. Jacquet River salmon were sampled in 1994-1996 at the DNRE barrier fence. Restigouche River salmon were sampled at a trapnet formerly located in Dalhousie in 1972-1980 (biological characteristics from Randall 1984).

| Small salmon | Jacquet R. | Restigouche R. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean \% female | 9.3 | 2.6 |
| Mean fork length (cm) | 55.6 | 53.1 |
|  |  |  |
| Large salmon | Jacquet R. | Restigouche R. |
| Mean \% female | 80.3 | 59.5 |
| Mean fork length (cm) | 77.9 | 80.9 |

Table 16. Annual angling catch (including retained and hooked-and-released salmon) and effort (rod-days) in the Jacquet River.

| Year | Kelts |  |  |  |  | Bright salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Total | Effort | CPUE | Small | Large | Total | Effort | CPUE |
| 1984 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 50 | 0.06 | 39 | - | 39 | 275 | 0.14 |
| 1985 | 6 | - | 6 | 25 | 0.24 | 34 | 52 | 86 | 270 | 0.32 |
| 1986 | 10 | 6 | 16 | 50 | 0.32 | 76 | 105 | 181 | 355 | 0.51 |
| 1987 | 15 | 50 | 65 | 120 | 0.54 | 45 | 27 | 72 | 165 | 0.44 |
| 1988 | 16 | 42 | 58 | 180 | 0.32 | 110 | 70 | 180 | 320 | 0.56 |
| 1989 | 13 | 25 | 38 | 165 | 0.23 | 70 | 42 | 112 | 330 | 0.34 |
| 1990 | 20 | 32 | 52 | 75 | 0.69 | 82 | 58 | 140 | 330 | 0.42 |
| 1991 | 15 | 35 | 50 | 150 | 0.33 | 56 | 23 | 79 | 295 | 0.27 |
| 1992 | 20 | 15 | 35 | 90 | 0.39 | 105 | 95 | 200 | 455 | 0.44 |
| 1993 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1994 | 20 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 0.33 | 33 | 100 | 133 | 720 | 0.18 |
| 1995 | 18 | 30 | 48 | 130 | 0.37 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 740 | 0.08 |
| 1996 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mean (90-95) | 19 | 24 | 43 | 107 | 0.42 | 67 | 55 | 123 | 508 | 0.28 |

Table 17. Biological characteristics of Atlantic salmon sampled at the Jacquet River counting fence, 1994-1996.
(a) Mean fork length (cm)

| Year | Small salmon |  | Large salmon |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | N | Mean | N | Mean |
| 1994 | 476 | 55.9 | 358 | 79.2 |
| 1995 | 334 | 54.4 | 578 | 76.6 |
| 1996 | 386 | 56.3 | 284 | 79.1 |
| Mean | 1196 | 55.6 | 1220 | 77.9 |

(b) Mean proportion of females in population (\%).

| Year | Small salmon |  | Large salmon |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | N | Mean | N | Mean |
| 1994 | 340 | 11.1 | 342 | 79.8 |
| 1995 | 263 | 7.2 | 589 | 83.0 |
| 1996 | 55 | 8.6 | 233 | 74.1 |
| Mean | 658 | 9.3 | 1164 | 80.3 |

Table 18. Summary of Atlantic salmon redd surveys of the Jacquet River.

| Year | Number of redds counted |  |  |  | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 19. Summary of Atlantic salmon stock assessment in the Jacquet River, 1994-1996. Egg deposition is calculated based on biological data collected at the counting fence in 1994-1996 (large salmon: 8229.8 eggs/female, $80.3 \%$ females in population, 6608.5 eggs/fish; small salmon: 3735.3 eggs/female, $9.3 \%$ females in population, 347.4 eggs/fish). Conservation requirement is 412 large and 250 small salmon contributing 2.724 million eggs.

|  | 1994 |  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large salmon | Small salmon | Large salmon | Small salmon | Large salmon | Small salmon |
| Returns (to fence) | 595 | 613 | 584 | 359 | 337 | 600 |
| Releases from fence (returns mortalities) | 594 | 603 | 582 | 354 | 335 | 595 |
| Angling mortalities | 3 | 33 | 0 | 61 | 2 | 67 |
| Broodstock removals |  |  |  |  | 8 | 0 |
| Spawning escapement | 591 | 570 | 582 | 293 | 325 | 528 |
| Egg deposition ( $\times 10^{6}$ ) | 3.9 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 0.2 |
| Total egg deposition (large+small salmon) (x $10^{6}$ ) | 4.1 |  | 3.9 |  | 2.3 |  |
| \% of conservation requirement met | 151\% |  | 143\% |  | 88\% |  |




Fig. 2. Map of the Jacquet River, showing location of the barrier fence in 1994-1996.


Fig. 3. Angling catches, rod-days and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of bright Atlantic salmon in the Nepisiguit River.


Fig. 4. Daily returns of large and small Atlantic salmon, and environmental conditions at the Nepisiguit River counting fence in 1996.



Fig. 5. Timing of salmon returns (by week) to the Nepisiguit counting fence in 1996 and mean of 1982-1995.


Fig. 6. Length frequency of Atlantic salmon returning the Nepisiguit River counting fence in 1996. Solid bars show number of males and hatched bars show number of females in each length category.


Fig. 7. Mean juvenile salmon abundance at electrofishing sites on the Nepisiguit River.


Fig. 8. Estimated spawning escapement and egg deposition of Atlantic salmon in the Nepisiguit River.



Fig.9. Daily returns of small and large Atl antic salmon to the Jacquet River counting fence. Counts for Oct. 25, 1996 include fish seined from pool below the fence


Fig. 10. Semi monthly returns of small Atlantic salmon to the Jacquet River counting fence (1994-96). Counts for Oct. 25, 1996 include fish seined from pool below the fence.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This series documents the scientific basis for ${ }^{1}$ La présente série documente les bases the evaluation of fisheries resources in Canada. As such, it addresses the issues of the day in the time frames required and the documents it contains are not intended as definitive statements on the subjects addressed but rather as progress reports on ongoing investigations.
    scientifiques des évaluations des ressources halieutiques du Canada. Elle traite des problèmes courants selon les échéanciers dictés. Les documents qu'elle contient ne doivent pas être considérés comme des énoncés définitifs sur les sujets traités, mais plutôt comme des rapports d'étape sur les études en cours.

    Les documents de recherche sont publiés dans la langue officielle utilisée dans le manuscrit envoyé au secrétariat.

