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#### Abstract

The status of Atlantic salmon spawning escapement for 1996 in the LaHave River above Morgan Falls relative to conservation is presented. The status of stocks in other rivers of SFA 21 is inferred from reports of recreational catches and a distribution of probable angling exploitation rates estimated for the LaHave River. Non-return fallback at Morgan Falls is estimated at about $40 \%$ and its impact on the assessment of the status of the stock is reviewed. A count-based estimate of the production of wild smolts above Morgan Falls in 1996 indicated about 20,650 or 0.79 smolts per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Egg deposition above Morgan Falls in 1996 was $94 \%$ of the conservation objective of $1.96 \times 10^{6}$ without non-return fallback and $56 \%$ if non-return fallback was $40 \%$. The modal deposition for the entire LaHave River basin was $75.5 \%$ of the basin-wide required conservation escapement of fish and $80 \%$ of the basin-wide required deposition of $4.74 \times 10^{6}$ eggs. The most probable pre-fishery abundance for eleven other rivers of SFA 21 was 7,368 salmon ( $6,087-9,608 ; 5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) and the most probable spawning escapement for these rivers was 4,861 fish, a $16 \%$ increase from 1995. Status of stocks for SFA 21 rivers is uncertain because of acidification resultant of acid precipitation and the undetermined effectiveness of standard conservation requirements. Egg depositions to the entire LaHave river increased in 1996 because of increased returns to the river, hook-and-release angling and sizes of fish-at-age. The 1996 LaHave River angling catch rate was $44 \%$ and exploitation was $30 \%$. Forecasts to Morgan Falls for 1997 indicated a deficit above Morgan Falls assuming a hook-andrelease fishery for salmon ( $>63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and retention of grilse ( $\leq 63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) below Morgan Falls if nonreturn fallback at Morgan Falls is $40 \%$. However, escapements may be in excess of spawning requirements below Morgan Falls in 1997, if returns are as forecast and exploitation is as in 1996. Risk to conservation at various exploitation rates for the 1997 returns was estimated. Return rate of hatchery smolts slightly improved in 1996. Short-term prognosis for the LaHave River was favourable with reduced exploitation warranted to improve opportunity for recovery to higher returns.


#### Abstract

Résumé

Nous faisons le point sur l'échappée de reproducteurs de saumon atlantique, en 1996, dans la rivière LaHave au-dessus des chutes Morgan, dans une perspective de conservation. L'état des stocks des autres cours d'eau de la ZPS 21 est déduit à partir des rapports sur les prises de la péche sportive, et nous calculons la distribution des taux probables d'exploitation de la péche sportive pour la rivière LaHave. Nous estimons à environ $40 \%$ la proportion des poissons qui renoncent à franchir les chutes des leur premier essai, et nous examinons l'impact de ce phénomène sur l'évaluation de l'état du stock. Une estimation par dénombrement de la production de smolts sauvages en amont des chutes Morgan en 1996 donne un résultat de 20 650, soit 0,79 smolts par $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. La ponte en amont des chutes en 1996 atteignait $94 \%$ de l'objectif de conservation, fixé à $1,96 \times 10^{6}$, si on ne tenait pas compte des saumons qui renoncent au premier essai, et $56 \%$ si la proportion des saumons qui renoncent était de $40 \%$. Pour l'ensemble du bassin de la rivière LaHave, la ponte modale correspondait à $75,5 \%$ de l'échappée nécessaire pour satisfaire aux exigences de la conservation, et à $80 \%$ de la ponte nécessaire à l'échelle du bassin, soit $4,74 \times 10^{6}$ oeufs. Le niveau le plus probable d'abondance avant la péche pour 11 autres cours d'eau de la ZPS 21 était de 7368 saumons ( $6087-9608 ; 5^{\text {e }}$ et $95^{\bullet}$ percentiles), et le niveau le plus probable de l'échappée de géniteurs pour ces cours d'eau était de 4861 poissons, soit une hausse de $16 \%$ par rapport à 1995 . L'état des stocks des rivières de la ZPS 21 est incertain, à cause de l'acidification due aux précipitations et de l'incertitude quant à l'efficacité des exigences fixées pour la conservation. La ponte a augmenté en 1996 sur l'ensemble de la rivière LaHave à cause de l'accroissement des remontes, de la pratique de la capture avec remise à l'eau et de la taille des poissons selon l'âge. Le taux de capture de la péche sportive dans la rivière LaHave était de $44 \%$, et le taux d'exploitation de $30 \%$. Pour 1997, les prévisions aux chutes Morgan indiquent un déficit au-dessus des chutes si l'on suppose la


pratique de la péche avec remise a l'eau pour les grands saumons (>63 cm) et la retention des madeleineaux ( $\mathbf{~} 63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) en aval des chutes Morgan, si la proportion des poissons qui renoncent a franchir les chutes dès leur premier essai est de $40 \%$. Toutefois, il est possible que les échappées dépassent les besoins de la ponte en aval des chutes en 1997, si les remontes correspondent aux prévisions et que le taux d'exploitation est le méme qu'en 1996. Nous avons estimé les risques sur le plan de la conservation à divers taux d'exploitation pour les remontes de 1997. Le taux de retour des smolts d'élevage était légèrement en hausse en 1996. Le pronostic à court terme pour la rivière LaHave est favorable, car une baisse de l'exploitation va à coup súr améliorer les possibilités de rétablissement et d'augmentation des remontes.

## Introduction

Salmon Fishing Area (SFA) 21 includes the counties of Digby, Yarmouth, Shelburne, Queens, Lunenburg, and the south-westerly quarter of Halifax County in Nova Scotia (Figure 1). The number of rivers in SFA 21 that historically produced Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) is unknown. Salmon production potential is currently listed for eighteen rivers of SFA 21 (Figure 2).

Atlantic salmon stocks of SFA 21 are impacted by acidification of the water. Watt (1986, 1989, 1997) (Table 1) estimated that nine rivers of SFA 21 have completely lost their salmon populations (Category 1, mean pH <4.7), nine rivers have only remnant populations (Category 2, mean pH 4.7-5.0), six rivers were impacted (Category 3, mean pH 5.1-5.4) and four are unaffected by acidification (Category 4, mean $\mathrm{pH}>5.4$ ) principally due to acid rain.

In addition to water quality impacts, hydroelectric impacts occur on the Mersey, Tusket, Medway, Sissiboo, Indian, Ingram, Jordan and Roseway rivers. A low-head hydro project at Morgan Falls, LaHave River, went into operation during January, 1996. A fish collection facility installed below the by-pass louvers provided the opportunity to count and examine out-migrating smolts from above Morgan Falls in 1996.

Fish passage facilities are in place on the Tusket, Medway, Mersey, Sackville and LaHave rivers. Fish passage facilities do not exist or are ineffective on the Indian, Mersey, Roseway, Sissiboo and Bear rivers. A natural obstruction to fish passage on the Meteghan River prevented natural production of salmon above the falls until 1993, when fish were lifted above the falls.

Complete counts of salmon are taken at Morgan Falls on the LaHave River (Figure 3). Counts of salmon are taken while collecting broodstock from a fishway on the Tusket River. However, Tusket River counts are perhaps not indicative of the total population because counts are not taken over the entire run of salmon. No counts of salmon are taken at Harmony Mills on the Medway because of the irregular operation and use of the fishway. Salmon runs through the Sackville River fishway were not enumerated in 1996.

The SFA 21 management advisory committee met May 2, 1996. The committee reviewed the 1995 fishery, the biological advice for 1996 and heard recommendations from stakeholders concerning the 1996 salmon angling season (Appendix 1). Based on the low numbers of fish forecast to return in 1996, the low returns from 1993 to 1995, and the unfavourable conditions for Atlantic salmon in the North Atlantic Ocean in 1995 and early 1996, biological advice to the committee was to proceed cautiously with harvests in 1996. An in-season assessment and management review was conducted July 8, 1996. On average, about $50 \%$ of the end-of-season count passes Morgan Falls by the end of the first week of July.

The purpose of this document is to review the status of Atlantic salmon stocks in rivers of SFA 21 in 1996, as indexed by the status of the stock above Morgan Falls on the LaHave River. The river above Morgan Falls has an average pH of 5.7 and is minimally impacted by acidification. Three tributaries, the Ohio River, Meadow Brook and Bob and Joan Brook ( $3.3 \%$ of the habitat area above Morgan Falls) have average annual pHs less than 5.1 , a pH below which salmon production is severely affected. The population above Morgan Falls has developed since the installation of the fishway in 1971. A conservation requirement, based on a subjective assessment of the habitat area and productivity, was established and the status of Atlantic salmon in SFA 21 for 1996 is indexed from that area above Morgan Falls on the LaHave River.

Status is normally reviewed in relation to a defined conservation requirement. However, most rivers of SFA 21 are impacted to various degrees by acidification of the water, principally from acid rain. Conservation requirements are undefined for losses in production caused by pH toxicity. Detailed water chemistry data necessary to derive river-specific conservation requirements for salmon are not presently available. Therefore, conservation requirements for salmon are undefined
for most rivers of SFA 21. Conservation of salmon is not required for rivers where natural production of salmon is impossible because of acidity or obstructions (Clyde, Jordan and Mersey rivers).

This document provides: 1) estimates of the wild and hatchery smolt migration above Morgan Falls on the LaHave River; 2) counts to Morgan Falls and estimates of spawning escapements from these counts i) to above Morgan Falls and ii) to the entire LaHave River; 3) estimates of angling catch rates and exploitation rates in LaHave River are applied to estimates of angling catches in other rivers of SFA 21 as indicators of possible returns and escapements to those rivers in 1996; 4) an estimate of non-return fallback (fish which ascend the fishway, fall back over the falls and do not re-ascend the fishway) at Morgan Falls and its impact on assessment of escapements above Morgan Falls; 5) forecasts of counts to Morgan Falls for 1997; and 6) risks to attaining conservation requirements above Morgan Falls associated with forecasts, requirements and exploitation scenarios.

## Stocking programs

Nine rivers in SFA 21, including the Clyde and Jordan rivers, are no longer capable of maintaining Atlantic salmon because of acidification of the water (Table 1). The Mersey River is no longer self-sustaining because of fish passage availability/effectiveness and flooded juvenile salmon habitat. Three rivers, the Clyde, Jordan and Mersey, are stocked with hatchery smolts of LaHave River origin to provide harvest fisheries. Supplementary stocking of LaHave River hatchery smolts was conducted in the Petite and Mushamush rivers. Re-colonisation and enhancement programs are in progress in the Sackville River with LaHave stock and in the Bear River with Tusket River stock. Broodstock are also collected for stocking in four other acid-impacted rivers of SFA 21, the Gold, Medway, Tusket, and Salmon (Digby) rivers. Totals of 242,833 hatchery smolts and 1,192,175 fall fingerlings were stocked in rivers of SFA 21 in 1995 which contributed to one-sea-winter (grilse) returns in 1996 (Table 2).

## Conservation requirements

## Above Morgan Falls on the LaHave River

A conservation requirement of 1,320 fish is required above Morgan Falls. This value was derived based on the 3,312 fish for all utilizable habitat in the LaHave River (Cutting and Gray MS 1984) and the approximate $40 \%$ of the Cutting and Gray production area estimated for above Morgan Falls. This value $(1,320)$ was used to assess the risk to conservation by harvests within the river in 1996, and to provide advice to managers and stakeholders on July 8, 15; August 1; and September 15, 1996.

## Other rivers in SFA 21

Conservation requirements for rivers of SFA 21 have not been established because of the uncertainty involved in managing Atlantic salmon stocks in acid-impacted rivers. Conservation requirements based on remote-sensed stream area with stream gradient greater than $0.12 \%$, standard $240 \mathrm{egg} 100^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, stock characteristics as in the LaHave River and assuming non-acid impacts can provide preliminary perfunctory estimates. However, conservation requirements derived in this fashion have unknown bias. Establishing habitat and water-quality-sensitive estimates of conservation requirements for rivers of SFA 21 remains a priority for research.

## Description of fisheries and harvests

## First Nation fisheries

Atlantic salmon harvesting plans in 1996 called for harvests of 200 grilse removed from the Morgan Falls fishway; 80 grilse by angling, snaring, or dip nets in the Mersey River; 20 grilse by
angling, snaring, or dip nets in the Gold River; 50 grilse by angling, snaring, or dip nets in the Medway River; and 50 grilse by angling, snaring, or dip nets in the Tusket River.

A total of 218 male hatchery grilse was removed for First Nations use before the fishway was closed due to low water on August 23, 1996. Harvests by First Nations from other rivers in SFA 21 were unreported.

## Aboriginal Peoples' fishery

The aboriginal peoples of Nova Scotia, under an agreement with the Native Council of Nova Scotia, could have registered 276 harvesters in SFA 21 and issued 2,760 tags. Harvests were to be conducted under the Netukulimk ${ }^{1}$ understanding. Reported harvests by the Netukulimkewe'I Commission, for SFA 21, were 20 grilse. Harvests were 15 grilse from the Medway River, 3 grilse from the Gold River and 2 grilse from the LaHave River.

## Angling fishery

Eight tags for salmon < 63.0 cm were issued with the purchase of each 1996 Nova Scotia salmon angling licence. Salmon angling regulations were modified throughout SFA 21 in 1996 in order to decrease exploitation. Three measures were taken: 1) salmon angling was for hook-andrelease only from May 10 to May 31 in all rivers except the Clyde, Jordan and Mersey rivers where retention was permitted; 2) retention of grilse ( $\leqslant 63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) from June 1 (June 15 on the Petite Riviere) to August 15, except for the Clyde, Jordan and Mersey rivers where retention angling remained open until September 30; and 3) a daily fish retention limit of one grilse for the entire SFA 21 (Table 3).

In-season assessments of the status of the LaHave River salmon run were conducted every two weeks after July 8, using the Morgan Falls count. As a result of the in-season assessment, angling seasons remained open, in SFA 21 rivers sustaining wild stocks, until August 15, 1996. Angling in rivers containing only stocked hatchery salmon closed on September 30, 1996.

A total of 18,350 rod days of effort was expended on 16 rivers of SFA 21 in 1996 (Table 1). Effort was up 35\% over 1995. A total of 2,180 grilse was reported retained and 557 released, which was a $66 \%$ increase from 1995. The 530 salmon reported released was a $42 \%$ increase over 1995. Catch rod ${ }^{*}$ day ${ }^{-1}$ increased to 0.178 in 1996 from 0.112 in 1995 . Effort, number of grilse retained and numbers of grilse and salmon released in 1996 were all greater than the 1991 to 1995 means.

Good-to-excellent water levels for fish migration and for angling were experienced in 1996 (Figure 4). Daily river discharge in June, measured at West Northfield on the upper LaHave River, was lower than 1995 and about the same as average 1980-to-1995 discharges. July discharge was above normal. Water levels were maintained throughout July and into August. August discharges began at higher percentile values and declined to lower percentile values. Heavy rain on September 3,1996 , increased discharges to record highs throughout September. October discharges were within October observations of 1980 to 1995.

## Commercial fisheries

Tagged LaHave River salmon have been recaptured in the Greenland salmon fishery, the Newfoundland salmon fishery, and in the Nova Scotia salmon and mackerel (Scomber scombrus) fisheries.

No licensed commercial salmon fishers remain in SFA 21 and the fishery was reduced in 1983 and closed since 1985. Interception of salmon in other gear of SFA 21 is unreported for 1996. The moratorium in the Newfoundland commercial salmon fishery, which began in 1992, remained in

[^0]effect in 1996. The Greenland offshore commercial fishery did not occur in 1995 (potentially affecting the 1996 two-sea-winter return).

## Research data

This assessment utilises counts at Morgan Falls in 1996 to estimate the total river return based on the results of a mark-and-recapture experiment carried out in 1983 to calibrate the Morgan Falls counts to total river returns. This total river return estimate is used to derive a range of possible catch and exploitation rates for the LaHave river. Angling catches are available, through the Nova Scotia License Stub return system, for all rivers of SFA 21 where angling effort was expended and reported in 1996. The range of possible catch rates determined for the LaHave River were applied to reported catches from other rivers in SFA 21 to derive pre-fishery salmon population estimates in 1996. Minimum catch rates applied to 1996 catches were used to estimate maximun ( $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile) pre-fishery population estimates.

Morgan Falls fishway operated April 29 to August 23, and September 4 to November 1, 1996. Mid-summer closure occurred during low water levels when fish were not moving through the fishway. Operation was Monday to Friday except for mid-June when runs were high and the fishway was operated for seven days a week. A double trap arrangement reduced backlogs during high use periods. All salmon and grilse were counted through the fishway. All salmon and every fifth grilse were weighed, measured, scale sampled (one to three scales removed). A small hole was punched through the caudal fin of all fish passing through the fishway. Fish may fall back over the falls during high water either over a low-head dam at the top of the falls established in the summer of 1995 or through a fish passage notch in the dam. Fish that reused the fishway were noted and not included in the cumulative counts. This operational schedule was similar to most years of operation from 1970 to 1995. In some years of lower summer flows and populations, the fishway remained closed for more of the summer period.

Cumulative counts of wild and hatchery grilse and salmon were reported every two weeks to stakeholders in 1996 (Table 4). These counts continue the 26 -year record of counts at Morgan Falls (Table 5; Figure 5).

The age composition, length and weight of wild and hatchery salmon returns (Table 6) together with gender-specific length and weight data (Table 7), the record of fish removed for brood stock (Table 8) and the numbers of fish angled and harvested (Table 1) provide essential information for the derivation of age, size and origin-specific potential egg depositions, recruitment and return rates of hatchery smolts.

## Assessment methodology

## Smolt production above Morgan Falls

An assessment facility installed downstream of the fish louver by-pass screens in the intake canal to the Morgan Falls Power Company's low-head 1.5 kW hydro generating plant provides the opportunity to capture and examine smolts moving downstream through the facility. Fish are deflected to a holding tank, where most of the water necessary to attract the fish away from the power intake is separated and discharged to the river. The assessment facility is operated by manipulating a gate system such that smolts can be collected in a shallow assessment tank and examined before being re-routed to the downstream by-pass.

Historic information (Hayes 1953) on the timing of the smolt run in the LaHave River suggested that the majority of the smolts migrate in May. A mark-and-recapture experiment was conducted, utilizing hatchery smolts released above Morgan Falls at five dates in May 1996 and operation of the assessment facility for four to five hours from sundown. The facility was operated by Department of Fisheries and Oceans personnel for five evenings a week during the month of May, 1996. These data provided the opportunity to estimate the wild smolt production above

Morgan Falls in 1996. Additional data were provided by the Morgan Falls Power Company and by the LaHave River Salmon Association collected while conducting by-pass assessments at other times of the day. Smolts were counted and examined for tags and finclips, a sign of hatchery production, the information was recorded and the smolts were released. Petersen and Bayesian (Gazey and Staley 1986) population estimates were constructed for these data.

## In-season assessments

The probability of not achieving the 1996 interim conservation target of 1,320 Atlantic salmon above Morgan Falls on the LaHave River was estimated as the season progressed. This is called an in-season assessment.

The 1996 end of season count of total returns to the counting facility at Morgan Falls fishway was estimated from the relationship between total end-of-season count at Morgan Falls and cumulative count to date for the years 1980 to 1995. Bi-weekly dates were selected to provide a series of in-season estimates of the end-of-season total count of fish. An in-season forecast was also made on July 6, the earliest date at which the width of the $90 \%$ confidence interval around the average (1980-1995) cumulative count can approximate the average (a coefficient of variation of approximately $25 \%$ ). Historically ( 1970 to 1995), $43 \%$ of the return to Morgan Falls passed before July 6.

The regression equation ( $p<0.001 ; \mathrm{R}_{\text {adj }}^{2}=0.817 ; n=16$ ) for forecasting on July 6 the 1996 end of season count of total returns has a standard error of the estimate of 421 fish and is of the form:

$$
\text { Total returns }=784.6+1.4696 \times \text { Count to July } 6
$$

where new values of the constant, 874.7, and proportion of the count, 1.417, were determined for each two-week period for the years 1980 to 1995.

Estimates of the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles associated with each in-season forecast were obtained using Bayes' theorem (Gazey and Staley 1986), which assumes a normal distribution of the residuals from the regression. A Bayesian posterior probability distribution was estimated, from the above equation and the data, to assess the probability of counting less than the required number of spawners by the end of the season. The effectiveness of this procedure was evaluated by Amiro et al. (MS1996).

## LaHave River salmon population based on counts at Morgan Falls

The principal assessment tool for SFA 21 is the Morgan Falls fishway. The fishway is located 25.3 km above the head of tide and above two major tributaries, West Branch and North Branch. An estimate of the proportion of the total salmon population counted at Morgan Falls is necessary in order to calibrate the count at Morgan Falls to the total river return of salmon. An experiment conducted in 1983, whereby adult salmon and grise were tagged at Pleasantville on the west side of the LaHave River estuary, provides the only experimental basis, to date, to calibrate Morgan Falls counts to a basin-wide population estimate.

The estuarial trap operated from May 21 to August 7, 1983. A total of 204 tags ( 199 Carlin tags and 5 floy tags) was applied and 13 fish were found dead in the mesh of the trap (see Amiro et al. MS 1996). Four Carlin-tagged salmon were recaptured at the trap in a median of 14 days later (range 5 to 24d). One tag was recaptured the next day in another commercial salmon trap farther up the estuary at Upper LaHave. Recaptures numbered 42 at Morgan Falls, a median of 46 days later; four at Indian Falls on the North Branch, a median of 116 days after tagging; and two fish were angled in the LaHave below Morgan Falls, four days after tagging. A total of 1,469 fish was counted at Morgan Falls; 31 fish were counted at Indian Falls (a partial barrier) in 1983.

Estimates of total population may be derived from various combinations of these data. Because we are interested in the count at Morgan Falls as an indicator of the basin-wide population estimate and because constructing a basin-wide estimate based on all recaptured tags involves adjusting the tags available for recapture using additional assumptions about the proportion of North Branch salmon tagged, our analysis was constructed on the count and tags at Morgan Falls. Using a tag loss rate of $1 \%$ per day (developed on the Margaree River; Chaput et al. MS 1994) and the 46 day median time to recapture, an estimated 100 tags were available for recapture at Morgan Falls.

Petersen and Bayesian (Gazey and Staley 1986) population estimates were constructed for these data. Assuming the 1983 estimate is an unbiased estimate of the 1983 population and that Morgan Falls consistently measures a similar portion of the total population, then the posterior of the Bayes estimate may be calibrated to the count at Morgan Falls and produce an annual (1996 in this = case) posterior distribution of the probabilities of basin-wide population estimates.

## Catch Rates

Assuming that estimates of angling catches are measured without bias, then annual (1996 in this case) estimates of a range of possible exploitation rates may be derived from the posterior estimates of population probabilities. Assuming that the annual exploitation rate for LaHave River is similar to other rivers in SFA 21, then posteriors of possible population estimates for rivers with reported angling catches may be constructed.

## Egg depositions

Potential egg deposition was estimated from the number of fish by origin and sea-age at maturity minus removals (broodstock, Native harvest, retained angled fish and hook-and-release mortality) times mean length of females by origin and sea-age at maturity, where fecundity was Eggs $=446.54^{*} e^{\left(0.0362^{* F o r k l e n g t h)}\right.}$ (Cutting et al. MS 1987). Potential egg depositions were estimated for the area above Morgan Falls based on the count at Morgan Falls and for the LaHave River based on the basin-wide population estimate.

Estimated escapement and egg deposition above Morgan Falls in 1996
Voluntary angler log books collected in 1992 to 1995 indicated 4 to $17 \%$ of the fish angled in the LaHave River were taken above Morgan Falls. Escapement above Morgan Falls in 1996 was estimated using the count at Morgan Falls minus 10\% of the total river angling catch.

Wilkie et al. (in press) examined hook-and-release mortality with respect to water temperatures and found minimal mortality at water temperatures less than $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Mortality increased rapidly over $22.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Maximum daily water temperatures exceeded $22.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ beginning August 6 , 1996, as compared to June 20, 1995. Nonetheless, to be conservative we use $10 \%$ hook-andrelease mortality to estimate fish surviving to spawning escapement after release by angling above Morgan Falls.

## Hatchery-origin smolt return rates

Annual estimates of marine survival for LaHave River hatchery-reared smolts, stocked above Morgan Falls, are available for each year since 1971. Numbers of smolts are adjusted for the proportion "good quality" as determined by the annual smolt evaluation assessment (G. Farmer pers. comm. ${ }^{2}$ ). Smolts of hatchery origin derived from stocking marked parr above Morgan Falls were estimated using over-winter survival rates of 0.6 for age- $0+$ to age- $1+$ parr and 0.4 for age- $1+$ parr to two-year smolt. Return rates are to Morgan Falls and are unadjusted for removals by the angling fishery below Morgan Falls.

[^1]
## Forecasts of counts to Morgan Falls and returns to LaHave River in 1997

Counts of wild multi-sea-winter salmon to Morgan Falls in 1997 are based on regression of counts of wild two-sea-winter salmon (1975-1996) on wild one-sea-winter salmon (1974-1995) and the count of one-sea-winter salmon in 1996. A similar procedure was used to estimate the hatchery two-sea-winter count based on the counts of hatchery one-sea-winter grilse. The count of hatchery one-sea-winter grilse at Morgan Falls in 1996 was forecast from a regression through the origin (intercept not significant) of hatchery one-sea-winter counts (1973-1996) on the estimate of smolts output above Morgan Falls (1972-1994) and the 1996 estimate of smolts originating from hatchery stocking. The wild one-sea-winter count at Morgan Falls was forecast as the 1992-1996 mean count. All forecasts were converted to Bayes posterior probability distributions assuming a binomial distribution of residuals. The posteriors of these estimates were used to estimate probabilities associated with various fisheries management goals, i.e., counts and escapements.

## Assessment results

## Smolt production above Morgan Falls 1996

A total of 49,326 hatchery-produced one year old smolts of LaHave River origin was released above Morgan Falls on five dates in May 1996 (Table 9). Most of these smolts were released on May 1,8 and 15,1996 . Also, some smolts were expected from age- $0+$ parr released in 1994, and the total estimated hatchery origin smolt output above Morgan Falls in 1996 was 52,139 (Table 10). Also, 300 tagged wild large (> 13 cm ) parr were potentially available to the assessment facility from large parr tagging conducted above Morgan Falls in 1995.

Counts were conducted almost daily May 3 to May 23, 1996 (Table 11). Totals of 11,323 hatchery smolt, and 4,453 wild smolt were counted through the facility. More fish passed through the facility during other times but were unrecorded.

These data provided a modal estimate of $73,090\left(72,320-73,530 ; 5^{\text {th }}\right.$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) smolts above Morgan Falls of which 52,439 were hatchery or tagged wild smolts. The most probable wild smolt migration in 1996 was 20,651 . At $26,052 \times 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of production area, with a map-derived stream gradient greater than 0.12\% (Amiro et al. MS 1996), the production rate was 0.79 smolts per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. This is $30 \%$ of the average of 2.6 smolts measured in the Pollett River, 1953 to 1960 (Elson 1975), and less than the lowest observed index of 1.1 smolts per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in the 1958 migration from the Pollett River.

## In-season assessments above Morgan Falls 1996

The total cumulative count to July 6, 1996, was 1,052 fish. This count indicated an end of season count of 2,270 fish ( $1,595-2,935,5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles), which over-estimated the actual end of season total count ( 1,324 fish) by $71.5 \%$ (Table 4 and Figure 6). As of July 6, 1996, the probability of passing 1,320 fish above Morgan Falls by the end of the season was $98.9 \%$ and no adjustments to the fishing season were made. Subsequent, July 15, August 1 and September 15, assessments declined to 1,$995 ; 1,785$ and 1,580 fish respectively. No changes were made to the fishing season based on the assessments conducted on July 15 or August 1. These overestimates were the result of the earliest cumulative run timing in the sixteen-year record of counts at Morgan Falls (Figure 7).

## Estimates of the 1996 total LaHave River salmon population

Based on the 1983 Bayes modal estimate of $3,500\left(2,700-4,940,2.5^{\text {th }}\right.$ and $97.5^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) fish, the 1983 count at Morgan Falls of 1,469 was 0.49 of the post-fishery total river population estimate. The 0.49 proportion and the 1996 Morgan Falls count of 1,324 fish, applied to the 1983 posterior probability distribution, indicated a modal value of 2,720 fish in the post-fishery total river population estimate ( $2,040-3,910$ fish, $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) in 1996. The 1996 prefishery population estimate was 3,913 fish ( $3,233-5,103$ fish, $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles). This was
estimated by adding the post-fishery abundance, the angling harvest and 10\% hook-and-release mortality.

By this method the most probable 1996 basin-wide escapement of salmon was 2,502 (2,720-218 harvest at fishway) ( $1,822-3,692$ fish, $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles). At this escapement level, $75.5 \%$ of the 3,312 conservation requirement escaped to spawn in 1996. The fishway harvest was all hatchery male grilse which would not have affected the egg deposition. The probability that at least the basin-wide conservation requirement of 3,312 fish escaped to spawn in 1996 was $13.9 \%$, derived for the value 3,530 fish $(3,312+218$; because a harvest at fishway was not conducted at the time of the 1983 estimate).

## Estimates of returns and spawners to eleven other rivers in SFA 21, 1996

The 1996 LaHave River total angling catch of 1,732 fish (Table 2) and the Bayes posterior of possible populations for 1995 indicate a modal angling catch rate of $0.442\left(0.339-0.535,5^{\text {th }}\right.$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) (Table 12, A). Populations for eleven other rivers of SFA 21 were derived using this distribution and the 1996 angling catches (Table 12, B).

The most probable pre-fishery total population of Atlantic salmon for SFA 21 for 1996, estimated from the total angling catches and the 1996 Bayes posterior of probable exploitation rates for the LaHave River, was 7,368 ( $6,087-9,608 ; 5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) (Table 12, $B$ ). This is a $40 \%$ increase over the 1995 estimate of 5,239 salmon.

Spawning escapement for all SFA 21 rivers is estimated by subtracting all known removals from the total SFA 21 estimate. Spawners are estimated to have numbered 4,841 fish $=7,368$ (2,180 (retained angled fish) +218 (Native harvest LaHave) +20 (Aboriginal Peoples' harvest) +109 (angling hook-and-release mortality of $10 \%$ ). This total is a $16 \%$ increase over the 1995 estimated spawning escapement of salmon in SFA 21.

## Estimated escapement and egg deposition above Morgan Falls in 1996

Native fishery harvests totalled 218 male hatchery grilse at the fishway. A total of 95 broodstock (Table 8) was removed at Morgan Falls in 1996. Estimated removals by angling above Morgan Falls, including $10 \%$ for hook-and-release mortality, was 130 fish. Total spawning escapement above Morgan Falls was 881 fish. Egg deposition was $1,845,466$ eggs or $94 \%$ of the interim required egg deposition of $1.96 \times 10^{8}$ eggs ( 1,320 fish at an average of 1,482 eggs $^{*}$ fish ${ }^{-1}$ ) (Table 13). The stock composition of the 1996 run indicated an average eggs*fish ${ }^{-1}$ of 1,501 or $1.3 \%$ greater than the average eggs*fish ${ }^{-1}$ estimated by Cutting and Gray (MS 1984). The estimated egg deposition above Morgan Falls in 1996 was a $24 \%$ increase over the 1995 estimated deposition (Table 14).

There were 5.09 male hatchery grilse for every female hatchery grilse counted at the fishway in 1996 (Table 13). There were 883 male and 442 female wild and hatchery fish counted at the fishway in 1996. After the removal of 218 hatchery male grilse there were 1.5 male fish for every female fish. At a required 1:1 ratio of males to females, 441 male grilse were surplus above the fishway in 1996. Assuming spawning proportions as observed in the counts, 110 hatchery grilse were surplus to the interim conservation requirement above the fishway in 1996.

## Estimated egg deposition in the LaHave River in 1996

The modal post-angling fishery population estimate $(2,502)$ and the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles, together with the 1996 egg deposition*fish ${ }^{-1}$ of 1,501 eggs, indicate a modal deposition of $3.75 \times 10^{6}$ eggs ( $2.73 \times 10^{6}-5.54 \times 10^{6}, 5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles). The modal deposition is $80 \%$ of the $4.7 \times 10^{6}$ egg deposition requirement for the LaHave River as determined by Cutting and Gray (MS 1984).

## Hatchery-origin smolt return rates

The estimated hatchery-origin smolt output above Morgan Falls in 1995 was 28,497 smolts comprised of $93 \%$ of the 19,155 age-1 smolts stocked in 1995 and $24 \%$ of the 44,512 age$0+$ fall parr stocked in 1993 and migrating as age-2 smolts in 1995 (Table 10). The return of 396 one-sea-winter fish of hatchery origin to Morgan Falls in 1996 indicates a return rate of 1.39\%, an increase from the 1.05\% observed in 1995 and the highest since 1992 (Figure 8).

The return of 78 two-sea-winter hatchery salmon in 1996 yields a return rate of $0.22 \%$. The total return for the 1994 smolt class was $1.27 \%$, an increase from the 1993 year class (Table 10).

The estimated output above Morgan Falls of hatchery-origin smolts for 1996 was 52,007 fish. This is an $83 \%$ increase from the 1995 estimate (Table 10).

## Forecast counts at Morgan Falls for 1997

The forecast count of wild multi-sea-winter returns to Morgan Falls in 1997 was estimated from the wild one-sea-winter returns to Morgan Falls (Table 5) by the regression:

$$
\text { WMSW }_{(1974-1996)}=56.66+0.167^{*} \mathrm{~W}_{1} \mathrm{SW}_{(1973-1995)}\left(\mathrm{p}=0.00008, \text { Adj } R^{2}=0.507, \mathrm{SE}=132.01\right)
$$

and the 735 wild one-sea-winter returns counted in 1996. These parameters were used to construct a Bayesian posterior distribution of possible returns which indicated a most probable count of 179 (32-396, $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) wild multi-sea-winter fish in 1997. The probability of counting at least 101 MSW fish in 1997 (the number required in 1996 to meet the egg deposition target of $1.95 \times 10^{6}$ eggs) was $79 \%$.

The count of hatchery multi-sea-winter salmon to Morgan Falls in 1997 was estimated from the count of hatchery one-sea-winter fish at Morgan Falls by the regression:
$\operatorname{HMSW}_{(1974-1996)}=9.939+0.2421 * \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{SW}_{(1973-1995)}\left(\mathrm{p}=0.00008\right.$, Adj $\left.^{2}=0.5045, \mathrm{SE}=77.217\right)$
and the 396 hatchery one-sea-winter returns counted in 1996. These parameters were used to construct a Bayesian posterior distribution of possible returns which indicated a most probable count of 106 (18-232, $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) hatchery multi-sea-winter fish in 1997. The probability of counting at least 72 HMSW fish in 1997 (the number required in 1996 to meet the egg deposition target of $1.95 \times 10^{6}$ eggs) was $73 \%$.

The count of hatchery one-sea-winter fish to Morgan Falls in 1997 was estimated from the regression through the origin (no stocking = zero hatchery returns) of estimated smolt output above Morgan Falls (Table 9) and the number of returns of hatchery-origin one-sea-winter grilse by the regression:
$\mathrm{H}_{1 S W}^{(1973-1996)}=0.014248$ * Smolts $_{(1972-1995)}(\mathrm{p}=0.00077, \mathrm{SE}=250.093)$
and the 52,007 smolts estimated output from above Morgan Falls in 1996. These parameters were used to construct a Bayesian posterior distribution of possible returns and indicated a most probable count of 743 ( $324-958,5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) hatchery one-sea-winter fish in 1997. The probability of achieving 451 hatchery grilse (the requirement at 1996 biological characteristics) in the 1997 count at Morgan Falls was $88 \%$.

The count of wild one-sea-winter salmon to Morgan Falls in 1997 was forecast as the mean number of wild one-sea-winter fish counted at Morgan Falls from 1992 to 1996. A total count of 938 wild grilse (195-1,890, $90 \%$ confidence limits) was forecast for 1997. The probability of
achieving 851 wild grilse in the count at Morgan Falls (the requirement at 1997 biological characteristics) was $61 \%$.

A forecast count of 1,966 total fish at Morgan Falls in 1997 can be derived from the sum of the most probable forecasts and the five-year mean one-sea-winter grilse count assuming a retention fishery below the falls as included in the data used to forecast the count. This count would allow for a harvest of 646 fish, or $33 \%$, of the population above Morgan Falls. The angling exploitation rate (retained fish only) in 1996 was $0.305(1,193 / 3,913)$ or $30.5 \%$ and harvest for Native Peoples was 218, or $5.6 \%$. If the counts were biased by $40 \%$ and the fishery were to operate below the falls, then no surplus fish would be available for harvest above Morgan Falls in 1997.

The basin-wide forecast using the 1983 Morgan Falls calibration and the 1997 forecast count of 1,966 fish indicates a post-angling stock of 4,012 fish. If angling exploitation rate were similar to that of 1996 ( 0.305 ), then total returns to the river could be 5,773 fish. Using the 3,312 fish requirement, about 700 fish would be surplus to requirements in the entire basin in 1997.

## Non-Return Fallback at Morgan Falls

A concern of previous LaHave River assessments has been the possibility that escapements based on counts at Morgan Falls are biased because fish passing through Morgan Falls back down-river and are removed or spawn below the fishway. This non-return fallback would over-estimate escapements above Morgan Falls. Re-cycling of fish through the fishway cannot estimate these numbers. Anecdotal information from anglers has suggested that fish tailpunched at the fishway were angled substantial distances below the Morgan Falls and in other branches of the river below Morgan Falls.

An experiment using esophageal-implanted long-duration (four to six month) radio tags to examine non-return fallback was initiated in 1996. Over the course of the season 17 tags were implanted in 18 fish (one tag was removed and replanted in another fish) at Morgan Falls (Table 15). These fish had esophageal-implanted radio tags and yellow Carlin tags applied at the base of the dorsal fin. The fish were held for at least 24 hours in a shore-based 3 -m holding tank and released in the same manner as all fish counted at the fishway. The method of release is a chute leading to a holding area in front of the top of the fishway.

On November 5, 1996, an aerial survey of the river by helicopter at low elevation, 20 m ., and with a signal receiver tuned to the frequency of the tags, 7 transmitting tags were located below Morgan Falls (Table 16). Two of these tags were far below Morgan Falls and one was in the North Branch of the LaHave River. Three tags were located above Morgan Falls. No tags passed the falls in either direction during the fall season when the fishway was open for free ascent by salmon. No salmon with rejected radio tags were observed passing the fishway and no radio-tagged salmon were reported angled above or below Morgan Falls.

These data indicate that at least 7 of 18 or 39\% of radio-tagged fish spawned below Morgan Falls after being counted in the return to Morgan Falls.

The magnitude of this non-return fallback estimate initiated a review of the down-river trucking experiments conducted in 1987, 1988 and 1989. In these years between 395 and 632 fish captured at Morgan Falls were fitted with dorsal-tied Carlin tags and trucked down-river to Cooks Falls, the first pool on the river (Table 17). Over the course of these seasons, the return and angling of these fish was recorded. Using the count of returns to Morgan Falls and accounting for a non-reporting bias in the angled fish of $20 \%$, the non-return rate was 30.8 to $49.5 \%$. The mean non-return rate was $39.7 \%$.

The implications of a 40\% non-return fallback to assessment of escapements above Morgan Falls since 1983 (ten years after establishment of the run to above the falls) are that the
required escapement of 1,320 fish would not have been met in 6 of 13 years (Figure 9). Five of the six years have occurred since 1991, a period when marine survival has been low. Without accounting for non-return fallback only three years of escapements less than conservation were noted (Figure 4).

If non-return fallback were $40 \%$ in 1996, then escapement above Morgan Falls was $60 \%$ of the required escapement in fish and $56 \%$ in egg deposition. The higher numbers of angled fish relative to the count at Morgan Falls in 1996 (particularly released multi-sea-winter salmon) and the increase in eggs*spawner ${ }^{-1}$ to 1,501 in 1996 (mostly because of the increased sizes-at-age) contributed to the greater achievement, $80 \%$ of the required egg deposition for the entire river as compared to $56 \%$ above Morgan Falls.

A 40\% non-return fallback at Morgan Falls applied to the 1997 forecast return of 1,966 fish would contribute about $90 \%$ of the required spawning escapement above Morgan Falls after average exploitation in the angling fishery below the falls. The high numbers of hatchery fish expected in 1997 and the prevalence of male grilse in both wild and hatchery fish suggests greater uncertainty in achieving the required egg deposition.

## Concerns and sources of uncertainty in the assessment

Establishing a consensus among biologists, managers and stakeholders of required spawning escapement for above Morgan Falls and for the entire river must be a priority for future assessments. The interim target of 1,320 , based on the estimate of $40 \%$ of utilizable area above Morgan Falls, agrees with the $42 \%$ of the portion of the population estimated above Morgan Falls in 1983 but not with the $51.2 \%$ proportional estimate of total area greater than $0.12 \%$ water surface grade by remote sensing (Amiro et al. MS 1996). The low smolt production, 0.79 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ measured in 1996 and the higher achievement of escapement for stocks below Morgan Falls in 1996 suggest that colonization of the area above Morgan Falls may not be complete and/or that the assumption of equal deposition of spawners to all utilizable habitats is incorrect.

Counts of salmon at Morgan Falls are conducted by DFO personnel using stated sampling protocols. The time series of these data provide a reliable post-fishery indicator of abundance and escapement above Morgan Falls. However, the non-return fallback estimated here is the first attempt to adjust Morgan Falls counts. The 40\% scenario posed here approximates the average of four estimates, all of which may be biased by the experimental effect of tagging. Nonetheless, non-return fallback is a reality of counts at Morgan Falls and needs to be addressed in both the estimates of escapement and in the management plan. Ideally a model incorporating the uncertainty of the forecast, the non-return fallback and the exploitation rate would contribute to the assessment of risk of failing to achieve the conservation requirement with a variety of fishing plans. Further development is warranted (See Prognosis).

Scaling the count at Morgan Falls to a basin-wide estimate introduces a further source of uncertainty. The observation of non-return fallback and the difference in levels of achievement of spawning escapement above and below Morgan Falls increase the merit of this basin-wide approach. The implications can be seen in the forecast surplus in 1997, which will for the most part be for below Morgan Falls. This is not surprising since the population below is well established while that above is historically new. Anecdotal information persists of higher abundance of salmon in branches below Morgan Falls.

The essential assumption in using the 1983 mark-and-recapture experiment to estimate 1996 basin-wide populations is an unbiased 1983 estimate. If the 1983 estimate were biased, then the 1996 estimate will also be biased. The assumption is that the true value is included in the percentile range used. However, establishing an estimate of error for the proportional representation of the Morgan Falls count to the LaHave River basin would increase confidence in
using this technique and provide the data to estimate better the uncertainty of the total LaHave River population for years when a mark-recapture population estimate is not conducted. This, or a similar, experiment should be repeated for three to five years.

Mark-recapture estimates of populations of this size, with marks and recaptures in these ranges (about 200), are particularly sensitive to the number of marks available for recapture. Population estimates by the mark-recapture technique are sensitive to tag loss for the marked fish parameter in the estimate. Tag loss and mortality rates of tagged fish need to be established for the LaHave River.

The use of an annual exploitation rate determined at Morgan Falls and applied to other rivers in SFA 21 is appealing because of the modest cost and availability of angling data. The assumption of no difference in catch rates among rivers of SFA 21, inherent in the analysis, needs to be tested. Also, an estimate of the error of the number of angled salmon would enable further development of probabilities associated with assessments and forecasts. The only other independent assessment of returns and escapements in SFA 21 is for the Sackville River. Late season snorkel counts of marked and unmarked salmon in that river indicated a population of 721 (541-1,241; $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) salmon of all ages (Table 18). The reported angling catch of 43 fish indicated an exploitation rate of $6.0 \%$. This is less than the $5^{\text {th }}$ percentile estimate for LaHave River (33.9\%). However, these data may not be compared fairly since the Sackville River is a recent re-developing and colonizing salmon run, has not had an established fishery for many years, and acceptance of harvesting is undecided among users.

## Ecological considerations

The ecological implications of extremes or anomalies in environmental events, both in the freshwater and marine stages of Atlantic salmon, are difficult to interpret. Atlantic salmon stocks of SFA 21 are known to utilize the North Atlantic for winter foraging. Environmental conditions, potentially negatively affecting salmon at sea, have been documented (Reddin and Friedland 1993). Only slight improvement in conditions in the North Atlantic in 1996, potentially affecting returns of 2SW salmon in 1997, has been observed (Anon. MS 1996).

Fish assemblages in the North Atlantic have also changed in the 1990s. Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua), a predator of post-smolt salmon, is known to be at low population levels and salmon fisheries have been closed, but returns and return rates of salmon remain low.

Information to support a hypothesis that marine survival has declined, independent of uncertainties in freshwater production, may be provided in the return of hatchery smolts. Hatchery-origin smolt output above Morgan Falls was estimated taking into account the numbers, stage and quality of smolt at release (Table 10). These data indicate that survival of smolts to adult recruits has not increased to levels observed in the 1980s (Figure 8). Marine survival remains low in spite of the prevalence of closed interceptory fisheries. The Greenland commercial fishery had a total allowable catch of 174 t in 1996 but took only 85 t .

The earlier run timing observed at Morgan Falls in 1996 was not forecast and has yet to be accurately modeled. Modeling run timing would be beneficial and contribute to more accurate inseason management advice. Variance from the mean run time was not correlated with river discharge or cumulative discharge (Amiro et al. MS 1996). We note that the early run-timing in 1996 was not resultant of extreme discharges in June when river entry began to surpass previous records (Figures 4 and 7).

Juvenile salmon production is not analyzed in this document. The low levels of parr observed in the LaHave River have been modeled in the Atlantic Salmon Regional Acidification Model (ASRAM) and are associated with the amount of low gradient habitat and acidification (Korman et al. 1994). Although escapements and returns to Morgan Falls are somewhat uncertain, juvenile data would add little additional critical information to the assessment above

Morgan Falls without direct assessment of egg-to-juvenile salmon survival rates. Juvenile data below Morgan Falls may provide information on the relative production below and assist in calibrating an ASRAM for the area below. Chemistry data necessary to run the ASRAM analysis below Morgan Falls are not presently available.

## Prognosis

## Short term

The prognosis for 1997 looks favourable compared to the previous four years. Returns, however, are not expected to reach the high levels experienced in the 1980s. This prognosis is based on the recent low survival rates of hatchery smolts, the low index of marine habitat and the five-year run of low returns.

The implications of $40 \%$ non-return fallback at Morgan Falls are that the usual 30\% exploitation rate would over-harvest relative to the conservation requirement. The management actions in place in 1996, i.e., one fish per day and no retention until June 1, would not likely be sufficient in 1997. Further reduction in exploitation rate is necessary if non-return fallback occurs and if a lower risk of not attaining the conservation requirement is desired.

The range of exploitation rates of grilse ( $>63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) with fixed exploitation of salmon of $3 \%$, associated with various risks of not meeting the conservation requirement (the interim requirement of 1,320 and the 2,200 requirement adjusted for $40 \%$ non-return fallback) above Morgan Falls, are (see Appendix 1 for derivation):

| Conservation | Risk levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| requirement | .10 | .25 | .40 | .50 | .60 | .75 | .90 |  |
| 1,320 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 0.78 | $>1.0$ | $>1.0$ |  |
| 2,200 | $0+$ | $0+$ | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.52 | 0.81 |  |

## Long term

The long-term prognosis for the LaHave River seems stable if in-river exploitation is reduced in years of low returns. If non-return fallback is $40 \%$ then egg depositions in three of the last four years have been 50 to $55 \%$ of the conservation egg deposition requirement. Ignoring non-return fallback the egg depositions were still only 76 to $85 \%$ of the requirement. These escapements set up the next four to five years with reduced wild smolt outputs. These reduced outputs could easily be overcome by increased marine survival. The upturn in hatchery smolt survival in 1996, if repeated in 1997, may serve to compensate to some degree, for the low recent escapements. Unless marine survival increases substantially in the next three to five years, the probabilities for returns in excess of requirements will not be large.

Other rivers in SFA 21, which are impacted by acid precipitation to greater degrees than LaHave River, are subject to losses consequent of increased acid depositions. This loss in addition to that of lower-than-average escapements in the past four years places these rivers in jeopardy of not achieving their conservation escapements, based on standard conservation requirement rates, i.e., $2.4 \mathrm{egg} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$, without in-river exploitation.
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Table1. Rearing areas of rivers on the south shore of Nova Scotia grouped by pH impact category (Watt 1986) and Atlantic salmon sportcatch and effort for 1995 and 1996, contrasted with mean catches, 1991-95.

| River <br>  | pH impact Category Watt (1986) | Rearingarea$(100$ sq. m$)$ | 1996 Preliminary |  |  |  | 1995 |  |  |  |  | 1991-95 means |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Grilse |  | Salmon | Effort | Grilse |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Salmon } \\ & \text { released } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Effort |  | Grilse |  |  |  | Salmon |  | Effort |  |
|  |  |  | retained released |  | released |  | retained | released |  |  |  | retained | 95\% C.I. | released | 95\% C.I. | released | 95\% C.I. | roddays 95\% C.I. |  |
| Broad | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| Clyde | 1 | 24,256 | 50 | 13 | 15 | 765 | 35 | 1 | 6 |  | 546 | 44 | 47 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 579 | 176 |
| Jordan | 1 | 15,777 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 1 | N/A |
| Nine Mile | 1 | 3,334 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/ |
| Sub-total |  | 43,367 | 50 | 13 | 15 | 767 | 35 | 1 | 6 |  | 547 | 44 | 47 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 580 | 176 |
| Percent of total |  | 15 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| East: Lunen | 2 | 3,969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 |
| Ingram | 2 | 3,702 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 4 | 4 | 15 |  | 239 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 120 | 87 |
| Mersey | 2 |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 422 | 26 | 34 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 1353 | 836 |
| Middle: Lunt | 2 | 9,270 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 7 | 5 | 0 |  | 41 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 20 |
| Sackville | 2 | 6,485 | 39 | 74 | 7 | 712 | 22 | 4 | 2 |  | 476 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 20 | 7 | 8 | 368 | 196 |
| Tusket | 2 | 65,764 | 104 | 30 | 68 | 1127 | 42 | 11 | 16 |  | 736 | 60 | 51 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 18 | 1089 | 429 |
| Sub-total |  | 89,190 | 171 | 104 | 75 | 2285 | 75 | 24 | 34 |  | 1918 | 108 | 102 | 28 | 41 | 49 | 44 | 2951 | 1572 |
| Percent of total |  | 31 | 8 | 19 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 16 | 9 |  | 14 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 21 | 15 | 27 | 18 | 20 |
| Gold | 3 | 17,741 | 187 | 20 | 61 | 1509 | 156 | 27 | 32 |  | 1636 | 132 | 109 | 17 | 19 | 26 | 10 | 1689 | 910 |
| LaHave | 3 | 50,848 | 1133 | 346 | 253 | 9053 | 557 | 79 | 240 |  | 6340 | 573 | 492 | 90 | 86 | 184 | 69 | 6845 | 3297 |
| Medway | 3 | 67,653 | 429 | 35 | 54 | 3237 | 106 | 6 | 32 |  | 2135 | 186 | 219 | 16 | 20 | 47 | 15 | 3310 | 1446 |
| Salmon: Dig | 3 | 7,727 | 81 | 9 | 48 | 508 | 20 | 1 | 11 |  | 258 | 19 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 261 | 83 |
| Sub-total |  | 143,969 | 1830 | 410 | 416 | 14307 | 839 | 113 | 315 |  | 10369 | 910 | 828 | 125 | 127 | 262 | 100 | 12105 | 5735 |
| Percent of total |  | 50 | 84 | 74 | 78 | 78 | 84 | 76 | 84 |  | 76 | 80 | 77 | 74 | 67 | 79 | 61 | 73 | 72 |
| Martins | 4 | 5,441 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/ | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| Meteghan | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 9 | 6 |  | 88 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 24 | 47 |
| Mushamush | 4 | 2,303 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 14 | 2 | 1 |  | 79 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 72 | 57 |
| Pette Rivier | 4 | 6,444 | 118 | 30 | 22 | 825 | 32 | 0 | 11 |  | 605 | 67 | 87 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 787 | 415 |
| Sub-total |  | 14,188 | 129 | 30 | 24 | 991 | 48 | 11 | 18 |  | 772 | 78 | 96 | 10 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 884 | 519 |
| Percent of total |  | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 5 |  | 6 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 6 |
| Totals |  | 290,714 | 2180 | 557 | 530 | 18350 | 997 | 149 | 373 |  | 13606 | 1141 | 1074 | 170 | 191 | 334 | 164 | 16520 | 8003 |

Table 2. Distribution of hatchery-stocked Atlantic salmon smolts and fall fingerlings to rivers of SFA 21 during 1995.

| River | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ \text { Category } \end{gathered}$ | Origin of stock | Number of smolt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { of SFA } 21 \end{aligned}$ | Number of fall fingerlings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub-total $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clyde } \\ & \text { Jordan }\end{aligned}$ | 1 | LaHave | 15019 | 6 |  |
|  | 1 | LaHave | 5000 | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | 20,019 | 8 |  |
|  | 2 | LaHave | 14001 | 6 |  |
|  | 2 | LaHave | 17102 | 7 |  |
|  | 2 | Sackville |  | 0 | 22592 |
|  | 2 | Tusket | 35742 | 15 | 991061 |
|  |  |  | 66,845 | 28 |  |
| GoldLaHaveMedwaySalmon River - Digby | 3 | Gold | 15282 | 6 | 12334 |
|  | 3 | LaHave | 50006 | 21 | 54107 |
|  | 3 | Medway | 51355 | 21 | 54026 |
|  | 3 | Salmon River | 12522 | 5 | 19923 |
|  |  |  | 129,165 | 53 |  |
| Sub-total $\begin{gathered}\text { Meteghan } \\ \text { Mushamush } \\ \text { Petite }\end{gathered}$ | 4 | Tusket |  |  | 20007 |
|  | 4 | LaHave | 13327 | 5 | 7250 |
|  | 4 | LaHave | 13477 | 6 | 10875 |
|  |  |  | 26,804 | 11 |  |
| Bear River |  | Tusket |  | 0 |  |
| Total |  |  | 242,833 |  | 1,192,175 |

Table 3. Atlantic salmon fishing seasons and variations for Salmon Fishing Area 21, Southwestern Nova Scotia, 1996

2 (a) SALMON FISHING AREA 21 (Southwestern Nova Scotia) and all waters of the Province flowing into that Area except the waters referred to in paragraphs (b) to (p)June 1 to Aug. 15
(b) Clyde River May 10 to Sept. 30
(c) Gold River June 1 to Aug. 15
(d) Ingram River June 1 to Aug. 15
(e) Jordan River May 10 to Sept. 30
(f) LaHave River downstream from Morgan Falls May 10 to Aug. 15 (Hook and release only from May 10 to May 31)
(g) LaHave River upstream from Morgan Falls except the waters referred to in paragraph (h) Closed all year
(h) LaHave River between the bridge on the Lower Branch Road
(Varner's Bridge \#2) in New Germany and Cherryfield Bridge at Cherryfield, not including tributaries May 10 to Aug. 15
(Hook and release only from May 10 to May 31)
(I) Medway River downstream from

McGowan Lake
June 1 to July 31
(j) Mersey River

May 10 to Aug. 15
Sept. 1 to Sept. 30
Aug. 1 to Sept. 30
(k) Metaghan River
(I) Mushamush River
(m) Petite Riviere
(n) Salmon River
(o) Sackville River

June 1 to Aug. 15
June 15 to Aug. 15
June 1 to Aug. 15
June 1 to Aug. 15
(p) Tusket River

June 1 to Aug. 15

[^2]Table 4. Cumulative counts of wild and hatchery Atlantic salmon to Morgan Falls fishway on the LaHave River by weekly dates in 1996.

|  |  | Salmon |  | Grilse |  | Total count |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Month | Day | Wild | Hatchery |  | Wild | Hatchery |

Table 5 Stock origins and sea-age composition of Atlantic salmon returns to the Morgan Falls fishway, LaHave River, 1970-1996, and forecasts for 1997.

| Year | Hatchery |  | Wild |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1SW | MSW | 15W | MSW | 1SW | MSW | Combined |
| 1970 | -- | - | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 1971 | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 |
| 1972 | 9 | -- | 8 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 19 |
| 1973 | 138 | 9 | 14 | 7 | 152 | 16 | 168 |
| 1974 | 442 | 19 | 29 | 2 | 471 | 21 | 492 |
| 1975 | 466 | 68 | 38 | 5 | 504 | 73 | 577 |
| 1976 | 468 | 108 | 178 | 23 | 646 | 131 | 777 |
| 1977 | 974 | 84 | 292 | 25 | 1,266 | 109 | 1,375 |
| 1978 | 567 | 209 | 275 | 67 | 842 | 276 | 1.118 |
| 1979 | 1,064 | 99 | 856 | 67 | 1,920 | 166 | 2,086 |
| 1980 | 336 | 524 | 1,648 | 294 | 1,984 | 818 | 2,802 |
| 1981 | 1,186 | 232 | 1,880 | 349 | 3,066 | 581 | 3,647 |
| 1982 | 623 | 234 | 804 | 257 | 1,427 | 491 | 1,918 |
| 1983 | 25 | 99 | 1,118 | 217 | 1,143 | 316 | 1,459 |
| 1984 | 249 | 33 | 2,041 | 392 | 2,290 | 425 | 2715 |
| 1985 | 105 | 76 | 1,348 | 629 | 1,453 | 705 | 2158 |
| 1986 | 133 | 78 | 1,584 | 589 | 1,717 | 667 | 2384 |
| 1987 | 564 | 81 | 2,491 | 524 | 3,155 | 605 | 3660 |
| 1988 | 1,059 | 62 | 2,465 | 388 | 3,524 | 450 | 3974 |
| 1989 | 442 | 290 | 2,053 | 392 | 2,495 | 682 | 3177 |
| 1990 | 592 | 110 | 1,866 | 382 | 2,458 | 492 | 2950 |
| 1991 | 109 | 87 | 499 | 233 | 608 | 320 | 928 |
| 1992 | 617 | 60 | 1,950 | 217 | 2,567 | 277 | 2844 |
| 1993 | 383 | 83 | 788 | 110 | 1,171 | 193 | 1364 |
| 1994 | 207 | 119 | 641 | 128 | 848 | 247 | 1095 |
| 1995 | 372 | 85 | 577 | 143 | 949 | 228 | 1177 |
| 1996 | 396 | 81 | 735 | 112 | 1,131 | 193 | 1324 |
| 1997 Forecast | 741 | 106 | 938 | 179 | 1,679 | 285 | 1,964 |
| Upper $95^{\text {tn }}$ percentils | 958 | 232 | 1,890 | 396 | 2,848 | 628 | 3,476 |
| Lower $5^{\text {n }}$ percentile | 324 | 18 | 195 | 32 | 519 | 50 | 569 |

Table 6. Age and size composition of wild and hatchery adult Atlantic salmon sampled at Morgan Falls on the LaHave River, May to November, 1996. Age is shown as years to smolt (fresh), post-smolt years (sea) and ages at previous spawnings ( $\mathbf{s} 1, \mathrm{~s} 2$ ).


Table 7. Length and weight of wild and hatchery male and female one-sea-winter (grilse) and multi-sea-winter Atlantic salmon sampled at Morgan Falls fishway on the LaHave River,

|  | Sea |  | Length (cm) |  |  | Weight (kg) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin | age | Gender | Number | Mean | Std. dev. | Numbe | Mean | Std. dev. |
| Wild | 1SW | Female | 51 | 55.2 | 2.7 | 51 | 2.02 | 0.3 |
| Hatchery | 1SW | Female | 8 | 56.9 | 2.3 | 8 | 2.40 | 0.4 |
| Wild | 1SW | Male | 134 | 55 | 2.3 | 134 | 1.97 | 0.3 |
| Hatchery | 1SW | Male | 41 | 55.5 | 2.6 | 41 | 2.06 | 0.3 |
| Wild | MSW | Female | 103 | 73.2 | 4.8 | 103 | 4.62 | 1.1 |
| Hatchery | MSW | Female | 72 | 72.0 | 4.0 | 72 | 4.56 | 0.8 |
| Wild | MSW | Male | 9 | 75 | 9 | 9 | 4.43 | 1.2 |
| Hatchery | MSW | Male | 10 | 73.5 | 6.5 | 10 | 4.63 | 1.0 |

Table 8. Number, mean length and weight by origin, age (smolt and post smolt) and gender of Atlantic salmon selected for broodstock from Morgan Falls fishway on the LaHave River, 1996.

| Origin | Age | Gender | Length (cm) |  |  | Weight (kg) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number Mean Std. dev. |  |  | Number Mean Std. dev. |  |  |
| Wild | 2.1 | Female | 8 | 54.2 | 2.0 | 8 | 1.70 | 0.2 |
|  | 3.1 | Female | 4 | 54.9 | 1.7 | 4 | 2.10 | 0.2 |
| Hatchery | 1.1 | Female | 2 | 55.5 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.20 | 0.1 |
| Wild | 2.1 | Male | 20 | 55.9 | 2.1 | 20 | 1.90 | 0.2 |
|  | 3.1 | Male | 2 | 57.2 | 2.2 | 2 | 2.00 | 0.0 |
| Hatchery | 1.1 | Male | 19 | 55.1 | 2.4 | 19 | 2.00 | 0.3 |
| Wild | 2.2 | Female | 14 | 71.6 | 1.8 | 14 | 4.10 | 0.4 |
|  | 3.2 | Female | 3 | 71.9 | 1.1 | 3 | 4.60 | 0.2 |
| Hatchery | 1.2 | Female | 16 | 72.9 | 2.5 | 16 | 4.50 | 0.6 |
| Wild | 2.2 | Male | 1 | 73.5 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.40 | 0.0 |
| Hatchery | 1.2 | Male | 6 | 72.9 | 2.0 | 6 | 4.60 | 0.7 |
| Total |  |  | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9. Releases of Atlantic salmon hatchery-reared smolts to LaHave River, 1996.

| Realease Date | Release Locations |  |  |  | Total above MF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Forebay (marked three grps) | Lwr.Brdg N.Ger. (known tag numbers) | Meisner <br> Tagged | Section <br> Untagged |  |
| 01-May |  |  | 1000 | 14333 |  |
| 08-May | 287 | 990 | 1000 | 14333 |  |
| 15-May |  | 999 | 1100 | 14333 |  |
| 22-May | 300 t | 551 |  |  |  |
| 29-May | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 737 | 2540 | 3100 | 42999 | 49376 |
| (Note:All smolts t = tagged | -clipped) |  |  |  |  |

Table 10. Numbers of marked LaHave River parr and smolt stocked above Morgan Falls from the Mersey FCS and estimated hatchery-derived smolt on of release of smolts, numbers of marked returns and percent return as recruit 1SW and 2SW adults. Number in bold is a forecast. (see text for method)

| Year of release | $0+\text { parr }$ <br> Number | $1+\text { parr }$ <br> Number | 1+ smolt |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2+\text { smolt }}{\text { Number }} \end{aligned}$ | Estimated smolt output | Adult returns to trap $\mathrm{yr}+1$ and $\mathrm{yr}+2$ |  |  | Return rate per smolt * 100 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number | good quality |  |  | 1SW | 2SW | Total | 1SW | 2SW | Total |
| 1971 |  | 9,440 | 4,892 |  |  | 4,892 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 |  | 6,790 | 8,400 |  | 6,450 | 18,626 | 138 | 19 | 157 | 0.74 | 0.10 | 0.84 |
| 1973 | $51,643 \mathrm{u}$ | 43,133 | 9,166 |  | 18,526 | 30,408 | 442 | 68 | 510 | 1.45 | 0.22 | 1.68 |
| 1974 | 0 | 3,735 | 19,815 |  | 14,435 | 51,503 | 466 | 108 | 574 | 0.90 | 0.21 | 1.11 |
| 1975 | 0 | 18,883 | 0 |  | 0 | 13,888 | 468 | 84 | 552 | 3.37 | 0.60 | 3.97 |
| 1976 | 0 | 6,875 | 45,259 | 0.87 | 5,769 | 52,698 | 974 | 209 | 1,183 | 1.85 | 0.40 | 2.24 |
| 1977 | 0 | 44,314 | 74,577 | 0.79 | 5,370 | 67,036 | 567 | 99 | 666 | 0.85 | 0.15 | 0.99 |
| 1978 | 0 | 7,108 | 72,067 | 0.78 | 0 | 73,938 | 1,064 | 524 | 1,588 | 1.44 | 0.71 | 2.15 |
| 1979 | 30,753u | 0 | 33,910 | 0.56 | 0 | 21,833 | 336 | 232 | 568 | 1.54 | 1.06 | 2.60 |
| 1980 | 10,626u | 0 | 62,225 | 0.73 | 16,039 | 61,463 | 1,186 | 234 | 1,420 | 1.93 | 0.38 | 2.31 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 25,482 | 0.91 | 0 | 30,569 | 623 | 99 | 722 | 2.04 | 0.32 | 2.36 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2,550 | 25 | 33 | 58 | 0.98 | 1.29 | 2.27 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 28,451 | 0.69 | 0 | 19,631 | 249 | 76 | 325 | 1.27 | 0.39 | 1.66 |
| 1984 | 32,900u | 0 | 15,000 | 0.48 | 0 | 7,200 | 105 | 78 | 183 | 1.46 | 1.08 | 2.54 |
| 1985 | 10,804 | 0 | 4,996 | 0.55 | 0 | 2,748 | 133 | 81 | 214 | 4.84 | 2.95 | 7.79 |
| 1986 | 55,722 | 0 | 16,864 | 0.92 | 0 | 23,411 | 564 | 62 | 626 | 2.41 | 0.26 | 2.67 |
| 1987 | 19,650 | 0 | 33,353 | 0.73 | 0 | 26,941 | 1,059 | 290 | 1,349 | 3.93 | 1.08 | 5.01 |
| 1988 | 42,481 | 0 | 16,018 | 0.84 | 0 | 26,828 | 442 | 110 | 552 | 1.65 | 0.41 | 2.06 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 30,004 | 0.86 | 0 | 30,519 | 592 | 87 | 679 | 1.94 | 0.29 | 2.22 |
| 1990 | 82,432 | 0 | 15,970 | 0.97 | 0 | 25,686 | 109 | 60 | 169 | 0.42 | 0.23 | 0.66 |
| 1991 | 83,823 | 0 | 21,943 | 0.78 | 0 | 17,116 | 617 | 83 | 700 | 3.60 | 0.48 | 4.09 |
| 1992 | 48,587 | 0 | 27,516 | 0.94 | 0 | 45,649 | 383 | 119 | 502 | 0.84 | 0.26 | 1.10 |
| 1993 | 44,512 | 0 | 19,748 | 0.86 | 0 | 37,101 | 207 | 85 | 292 | 0.56 | 0.23 | 0.79 |
| 1994 | 34,827 | 0 | 26,110 | 0.91 | 0 | 35,421 | 372 | 78 | 450 | 1.05 | 0.22 | 1.27 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 19,155 | 0.93 | 0 | 28,497 | 396 |  |  | 1.39 |  |  |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 49,376 | $0.884 *$ | 0 | 52,007 | 741 |  |  |  |  |  |

u. unmarked fry
${ }^{1}$ Survival values used to estimate smolts:

| fry to $0+$ parr | 0.8 | $0+$ to smolt unknown |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0+$ parr to $1+$ parr | 0.6 |  |
| $1+$ parr to 2 ry smolts | 0.4 |  |
| assumed preliminary value |  |  |

Table 11. Daily counts of wild and hatchery-origin Atlantic salmon smolts and parr in the by-pass assessment facility of the Morgan Falls Power Company on the LaHave River at New Germany, Nova Scotia, during May, 1996.


Table 12. Estimated angling catch rates (maximum probable, $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) for the LaHave River determined from a total river population estimate which was based on the Morgan Falls count in 1996 and the probability distribution of the 1983 mark and recapture population estimate(A); Pre-fishery population estimates derived by applying the 1996 LaHave River catch rate to the estimated angling catches reported for 11 other rivers of SFA 21 (B).

| A |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max. Prob. | $5^{\text {th }} \%$ | $95^{\text {th }} \%$ |
| 1996 Angling Catch Rate | 0.4426 | 0.5357 | 0.3394 |

B

|  | Angling | Population Estimate |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| River | catch | Max. Prob. | $5^{\text {th }} \%$ | $95^{\text {th }} \%$ |
| Clyde | 78 | 176 | 146 | 230 |
| Gold | 268 | 606 | 500 | 790 |
| Ingram | 7 | 16 | 13 | 21 |
| LaHave | 1,732 | 3,913 | 3,233 | 5,103 |
| Medway | 518 | 1,170 | 967 | 1,526 |
| Meteghan | 2 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| Middle: Lunenburg Co. | 15 | 34 | 28 | 44 |
| Mushamush | 11 | 25 | 21 | 32 |
| Petite Riviere | 170 | 384 | 317 | 501 |
| Sackville | 120 | 271 | 224 | 354 |
| Salmon: Digby Co. | 138 | 312 | 258 | 407 |
| Tusket | 202 | 456 | 377 | 595 |
| Total for SFA 21 | 3,261 | 7,368 | 6,087 | 9,608 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13. Spawning escapement relative to requirements based on numbers of Atlantic salmon counted and aged at Morgan Falls fishway in 1996, removals, size-at-sea-age, fecundity, contribution to egg deposition and required adult salmon. Standing spawning requirements are based on 1,320 fish which at 1,482 eggs per fish yeilds $1.96 \times 10^{6}$ eggs.

| Origin <br> Postsmolt age | Gender | Number at age | Prop. | Broodstock removals | Angling+ Native harvest above | Mean length females (cm) | Mean fecundity (eggs) | Eggs contributed | Percent cont. to egg dep. | Required female spawners |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WIId |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-sea-winter | Female | 203 | 0.15 | 12 | 23 | 55.2 | 3,294 | 703,250 | 38.1 | 226 |
|  | Male | 529 | 0.40 | 22 | 59 | 55.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-sea-winter | Female | 103 | 0.08 | 17 | 2 | 73.2 | 6,319 | 556,154 | 30.1 | 93 |
|  | Male | 9 | 0.01 | 1 | 0 | 75.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Hatchery 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-sea-winter | Female | 65 | 0.05 | 2 | 7 | 56.9 | 3,503 | 244,339 | 13.2 | 74 |
|  | Male | 331 | 0.25 | 19 | 255 | 55.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-sea-winter | Female | 71 | 0.05 | 16 | 1 | 72.0 | 6,051 | 341,722 | 18.5 | 60 |
|  | Male | 14 | 0.01 | 6 | 0 | 73.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  | 1,324 | 1.00 | 95 | 348 |  | 4,792 | 1,845,466 | 100 |  |
| Escaped female spawners Required female spawners = |  | 442 |  |  | -33 |  |  |  |  | 408 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 453 |
| $\text { Surplus (Deficit) }=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (45) |
| Required egg deposition = |  |  |  | $1.96 \mathrm{E}+06$ | Eggs/ | sh $1996=$ | 1,501 |  |  |  |
| One sea winter angling retained total = One sea winter angling released total = Multi sea winter angling released total = |  |  |  | 1133 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 346 | Preliminary |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 253 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion angled above Morgan Falls= |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 14. Estimated egg depositions (' 000 's) by Atlantic salmon above Morgan Falls, LaHave River, with no adjustment for unknown losses, 1973-1996.
Requirement is $1.96 \times 10^{6}$ eggs.

|  | No. of eggs ('000's) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Wild |  |  |
| 1973 | Hatchery |  | Total |
| 1974 | 50 | 87 | 137 |
| 1975 | 25 | 372 | 397 |
| 1976 | 91 | 501 | 592 |
| 1977 | 190 | 727 | 917 |
| 1978 | 396 | 1,086 | 1,482 |
| 1979 | 1,292 | 1,367 | 1,819 |
| 1980 | 2,698 | 1,284 | 2,586 |
| 1981 | 3,263 | 1,641 | 4,378 |
| 1982 | 1,683 | 1,779 | 3,904 |
| 1983 | 1,968 | 335 | 2,303 |
| 1984 | 3,059 | 248 | 3,307 |
| 1985 | 3,421 | 413 | 3,834 |
| 1986 | 4,079 | 499 | 4,578 |
| 1987 | 4,899 | 720 | 5,619 |
| 1988 | 4,381 | 958 | 5,339 |
| 1989 | 4,315 | 1,024 | 5,339 |
| 1990 | 3,414 | 652 | 4,066 |
| 1991 | 1,354 | 376 | 1,730 |
| 1992 | 2,867 | 508 | 3,375 |
| 1993 | 1,140 | 522 | 1,662 |
| 1994 | 1,177 | 455 | 1,632 |
| 1995 | 1,006 | 479 | 1,485 |
| 1996 | 847 | 477 | 1,845 |

Table 15. Record of esophageal-implanted radio tags for Atlantic salmon captured at Morgan Falls on the LaHave River 1996.

| Date <br> tagged | Length <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Weight <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Gender | Tag\# | Radio Tag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| code \# |  |  |  |  |  | Channel \# | Frequency |
| :---: |
| $(\mathrm{kHz})$ | | Release |
| :---: |
| date |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Tag rejected but not recoverable
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Note: Tag \# 9 CH 5 was removed on July 5 and reused on July 9.

Table 16. Locations of 10 radio tagged Atlantic salmon on November 5,1996 , located by low level aerial reconnaissance of the LaHave River, of 17esophageal-implanted tagged salmon captured and released at Morgan Fallls.


Table 17. Estimated non-return fallback of tagged Atlantic salmon captured at Morgan Falls, LaHave River, trucked downriver with non-return fallback determined from subsequent re-captures at Morgan Falls, angling catches below and an assumed non-reporting of tagged fish, during 1987,1988, and 1989.

| Year | Number <br> trucked | Angled <br> below | Adjusted <br> angling <br> below | Returned <br> to fishway | Estimated <br> non-returns | Proportion <br> non-return <br> fallback |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 632 | 17 | 21 | 298 | 313 | 0.495 |
| 1988 | 395 | 27 | 34 | 208 | 153 | 0.388 |
| 1989 | 561 | 36 | 45 | 343 | 173 | 0.308 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | 0.397 |  |

Assumed non-reporting rate $=\quad 0.2$

Table 18. Number of Atlantic salmon marked at the counting fence on the Sackville River (A) and the number of marked fish captured during the recapture (B), 1996.


Notes: 14 of the fish captured on October 21 were taken for broodstock, 7 males and 7 females. The large salmon was taken and all wild fish.

The Bayeslan population estimate is $\mathbf{7 2 1}$ fish ( $\mathbf{9 0 \%} \mathbf{C l} \mathbf{5 4 1 - 1 2 4 1 \text { ). }}$
The capture at the fence was 206/218-94.5\% grilse.
Estimated river return was 681 grilse and 40 large salmon.
At 681 grike returned then based on a smolt release in 1995 of 18,200 fish, the return rate was 3.74\%.

In 1994, 16,000 smolts were stocked for a large salmon return rate in 1996 of $0.25 \%$.
The smotts stocked in 1994 and 1995 came predominately from grilse $\times$ gritse crosses so a low return rate on large salmon would be expected.
The proportion wild in the run was $15.6 \%$.


Figure 1. Map of Salmon Fishing Areas of the Maritimes Region of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada.


Figure 2. Locations of eighteen rivers of SalmonFishing Area 21 where potential Atlantic salmon production is defined.


Figure 3. Map of LaHave River, Lunenburg County, Nova Scotia showing location of Morgan Falls and three tributaries above that have reduced Atlantic salmon production due to acidity.

Daily Discharge at West Northfield Station, LaHave River, 1980-1996


Figure 4. Daily discharge at the West Northfield gauging station, LaHave River, 1980 to 1996.

Morgan Falls Atlantic Salmon Counts 1970-1996
\& 1997 Forecast


Figure 5. Counts of Atlantic salmon at Morgan Falls by sea-age class and origin for the years 1970 to 1996 and forecast for 1997.

1996 In-season Estimates


Figure 6. Count-to-date (bars) and in-season forecasts (dots), with 5th and 95th percentiles of error (lines), of the end-of-season total count of Atlantic salmon to Morgan Falls, LaHave River, 1996.

Cumulative Percent Count of Saimon by Date at Morgan Falls, LaHave River, 1980-1996


Figure 7. Cumulative percent count of Atlantic salmon by date at Morgan Falls fishway on the LaHave River, 1980 to 1996.

LaHave River Hatchery Returns to Morgan Falls


Figure 8. Atlantic salmon smolt-to-adult returns to Morgan Falls by sea-age at first return for marked smolts and parr stocked above Morgan Falls for smolt years 1972 to 1994.

Escapement above Morgan Falls
40\% non-return fallback scenario


Figure 9 Escapement, numbers of wild and hatchery Atlantic salmon above Morgan Falls on the LaHave River 1973-1996, and forecast for 1997, using a $40 \%$ non-returning annual fallback suggested by distribution of angled fish and radio tagging.

## Appendix I

Counts at Morgan Falls are dependent on the number of fish entering the LaHave River and the exploitation (removal) of fish below the falls and the proportion of fish destined to Morgan Falls. Essentially, within a year, if exploitation goes down counts go up.

River returns may be estimated from counts at Morgan Falls adjusted to a total river postfishery estimate plus removals below Morgan Falls. The distribution of possible post-fishery populations was estimated from a mark-and-recapture experiment in 1983. This estimate indicated that the count at Morgan Falls was 0.486 of the modal post-fishery population estimate.

River returns are therefore:
(1) River Returns $=$ MF Count/0.486 + Removals

Removals is a function of River Returns and Exploitation rate:
(2) Removals = River returns * Exploitation Rate

Combining these functions one gets:
(3) River Returns $=$ MF Count/(0.486*(1-Expl. Rate))

Counts to MF are forecast separately for wild salmon, hatchery salmon, wild grilse and hatchery grilse. Cumulative probabilities for each of these forecasts are derived through Bayesien techniques (see Forecast of Counts to Morgan Falls for 1997). Therefore the probability of obtaining at least some suggested value can be estimated for all four classes of salmon. The combination of each of these estimates at a fixed cumulative probability, say 0.25 , is the probability of not attaining this count. The forecasts, as conducted thus far to 1996, assume an average exploitation rate across all years; i.e., a portion of the variation in counts to MF is resultant of variable exploitation rate. An exploitation rate for the LaHave was estimated at about $30 \%$ (Cutting et al. MS 1987) and 30.5\% in 1997 (see Forecast of Counts to Morgan Falls for 1997). If the exploitation rate changes in 1997 then the actual count will be different than forecast without the River Return changing.

Exploitation has been affected by changes in the fishing regulations. Hook-and-release has been both regulated and voluntary. Catch Rate (the number of fish reported retained plus the number reported released) will always be greater than the Exploitation Rate. Hook-and-release also has a mortality factor associated with capture and release. This hook-and-release mortality is also part of the exploitation rate.

Regulations designed to attain conservation objectives while maintaining economic benefits make use of the difference between Catch Rate and Exploitation Rate by restricting the Retention Season within the Open Season for Angling. An ideal management scenario would be to adjust the Exploitation Rate to attain the conservation escapement while meeting committments to aboriginal fishers and maximizing economic benefits. Management strategies that announce the harvest plan well in advance of the season provide further benefits and are a present request of management. Over-riding this ideal plan is the primary obligation of government for conservation of the resource. Forecasts, then, provide a mechanism for management to assess the risks to conservation before setting the harvest regulations. Setting these regulations requires an acceptance of risk because there are possible counts (River Returns) that are less than the requirement in the range of the forecasts. The level of acceptance of risk can be a communitywide decision.

To assist the community and management in deriving a 1997 harvest plan, particularly in light of the non-return fall back at MF, we develop exploitation scenarios for the angling fishery and assess risk to conservation (not achieving the conservation count at MF) based on the forecast counts for 1997. Exploitation rates associated with risk levels of $10,25,40,50,60,75$ and $90 \%$ of not achieving the conservation count are developed for both the 1,320 fish and 2,200 fish conservation requirements. The higher number represents a $40 \%$ fall back at MF.

Exploitation Rates that yield specified risk levels were obtained by iterating exploitations in a model of MF counts to achieve the desired conservation count. Estimating potential counts at MF for each trial exploitation rate, was done by estimating the River Return and extracting potential Removals using the trial Exploitation Rate and re-adjusting back to a MF count. Exploitation of salmon was assumed to be $3 \%$, reflecting an assumed hook and release mortality.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mi'kmaq Fisheries, Netukulimk, Towards a Better Understanding, 1993 Native Council of Nova Scotia, Language Program - Truro, Nova Scotia B2N 5N2. ISBN: 0-929073-86-X

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ G. Farmer, Biologist, Diadromous Fish Division, P.O. Box 550, Halifax, N.S. B3J2S7

[^2]:    *NOTE: THE DAILY BAG LIMIT FOR SALMON LESS THAN 63 CM IN LENGTH IS REDUCED TO ONE (1) PER DAY FOR ALL RIVERS IN SALMON FISHING AREA 21. THE SEASONS AND DAILY BAG LIMIT ARE SUBJECT TO IN-SEASON ADJUSTMENTS. FROM MAY 10 TO MAY 31 HOOK AND RELEASE ANGLING ONLY IS PERMITTED ON THE LAHAVE RIVER.

