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## ABSTRACT

This is the first biological assessment of the Nepisiguit River salmon resource. The stock was reduced to critical levels by heavy metal mining pollution in the late 1960's. Continued overfishing since the mid 1970's has slowed the rate of recovery in spite of a stocking program. Marked Nepisiguit fish returning as adults from hatchery distributions have been used to provide an estimate of the numbers of Nepisiguit River salmon and grilse harvested in the mixed stock commercial salmon fishery of Nepisiguit Bay. We have been unable however, to assess the success of the stocking program to date. In 1983, spawning requirements were 1574 salmon and 2567 grilse . These were not met. The deficit was calculated to be 1100 salmon and 1900 grilse. Atlantic salmon returns to the Nepisiguit River will decrease in 1984 and again in 1985. Spawning requirements will not be met without any homewater fisheries. It is recommended that a restoration plan be developed for this stock.
resumé
La présente est la première évaluation biologique du stock de saumon dans la rivière Népisiguit. Vers la fin des années ' 60 ce stock a étē fortement réduit par des polluants en provenance des mines de mētaux lourds. Depuis le milieu des années ' 70 la récupération du stock a été ralentie par une surexploitation continue, et cela malgré un programme de repeuplement. Des saumons d'ēcloserie marqués et libérés dans la Nēpisiguit ont permis, lors de leur migration potamique, une évaluation du taux d'exploitation de ce stock par la péche commerciale des stocks mixtes dans la baie Népisiguit. Toutefois il nous a ēté impossible jusqu'à date d'évaluer le succès du programme de repeuplement. Les besoins en gēniteurs pour 1983 ētaient de l. 574 saumons et 2567 castillons, et ceux-ci n'ont pas été satisfaits. Les calculs indiquent un dēficit de 100 saumons et 1,900 castillons. Les retours de saumons à la rivière Nēpisiguit seront à la baisse en 1984 et de nouveau en 1985. Les besoins en géniteurs ne seront pas satisfaits, même sans la pēche dans les eaux d'origine. Nous préconisons qu'un plan pour rebâtir ce stock soit développé.

## INTRODUCTION

The Nepisiguit River is situated in New Brunswick between Restigouche and Miramichi watersheds and empties northeasterly into the Bay of Chaleur via Nepisiguit Bay (Figure 1). It has the potential to be the third largest producer of Atlantic salmon in the "Gulf" portion of the Maritimes next to the Miramichi and Restigouche systems (Anon 1978). Only the lower 37 percent of habitat however, is currently accessible to salmon. A barrier waterfall at river kilometer 32 restricts potential production to about 18,000 fish.

The river's salmon population was reduced to critical levels by pollution from heavy metal mining activities in the late 1960 's. Despite the ban on commercial salmon fishing and angling restrictions (1972-80) and the initiation of a juvenile salmon stocking program in 1974, the Nepisiguit River salmon resource has been slow to recover. A counting fence has been operated five kilometers above the river's mouth since 1981.

This report is the first biological assessment of the Nepisiguit River salmon stock. Specifically, the following are estimated: (i) the egg deposition required to bring Nepisiguit salmon to optimal harvest levels; (ii) 1983 homewater harvests including an estimate of commercial catch in the mixed stock fishery of Nepisiguit Bay; (iii) spawning escapement in 1983; and (iv) a forecast of Atlantic salmon available for harvest in 1984 and 1985.

## METHODS

## Egg deposition requirement

Egg deposition requirements for the Nepisiguit River salmon stock were estimated from the following data:

Required egg deposition rate $=2.4 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (Elson 1975)
Accessible rearing area $\quad=3,973,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (Anon 1978)
Fecundity
Salmon: mean weight $=4.3 \mathrm{~kg}$
percent female $=71$ percent
Grilse: mean weight $=1.5 \mathrm{~kg}$
percent female $=16$ percent

| Percent salmon | $=38$ percent |
| :--- | :--- |
| Percent grilse | $=62$ percent |

The mean weights were calculated from logio weight $=-5.1803+3.2787$ log 10 length (Elson and Tuomi 1975) using mean lengths of salmon $(n=293)$ and grilse ( $n=355$ ) sampled at the Nepisiguit River counting fence, 1982-83. The Nepisiguit fence is a portable design modified from Anderson and MacDonald (1978).

Sex ratios of salmon $(n=51)$ and grilse $(n=131)$ from June through the end of August were estimated from internal sexing of angled samples (pooled data 1977-82). Sex ratios of salmon $(n=234)$ and grilse $(n=520)$ passing through the counting fence in the September through November interval were determined by external sexing (1982-83). Sex ratios of early and late run (after August) were different. All fish measured at the Nepisiguit River counting fence $>63.0$ centimetres length were assumed to be salmon.

Homewater harvest in 1983
Commercial Fishery:
Commercial catches of Atlantic salmon in Nepisiguit Bay from 1967-83 are given in Table 1. The commercial fishing season was 13 June - 31 July in 1983. Trapnet landings in Statistical Districts 64 and 65 (Figure 2) were divided into Nepisiguit and non-Nepisiguit portions. The catch of Atlantic salmon destined for the Nepisiguit River was determined by comparing the unmarked:marked ratios of fish taken in the commercial fishery to the same ratios at the Nepisiguit River counting fence through the end of August. It was assumed that fish arriving at the fence after the end of August were not available to the commercial fishery. Juvenile salmon were marked in previous years with adipose fin-clips or modified carlin tags and total numbers of marked and unmarked salmon and grilse trapped were reported. All three licences in FSD 64 and two of five operating in FSD 65 participated in the 1983 study. The total catch of the latter area was determined by extrapolation. Since reported catch included both killed and released fish, the total recorded catch was adjusted to the total kill (logbook values) maintaining the same ratios of unmarked to marked fish as noted above.

## Spawning escapement in 1983

The number of spawners above the counting fence was calculated using the total number of salmon or grilse released from the traps, angling harvest data collected by

DFO wardens and a five percent non-fishing mortality subtracted from this estimate. The percentage of salmon harvested was determined from samples collected at a weigh in station in 1982. Total number of spawners below the counting fence was determined from redd counts. It was assumed that all salmon and grilse spawning below the fence were of late run origin and that the ratio of grilse to salmon and percent females were the same below as at the counting fence. The number of two redds per female was assumed to be similar to data collected on the Bartholomew River in 1977 (unpublished data).

RESULTS

## i) Egg deposition requirement

Egg deposition requirements for salmon and grilse were calculated as follows:


| Adjustment factor $=\frac{\text { Total kill }}{\text { Total catch }}$ | $=0.977$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Kill, wild salmon $=435 \times 0.977$ |  |
| Kill, marked salmon $=38 \times 0.977$ |  |
| Total kill (logbook value) | $=325$ |

The calculated total kill of wild and marked Atlantic Salmon in FSD's 64 and 65 is shown in Table 2.

Ratios of 8.57:1 and 2.23:1 were used for unmarked:marked salmon and unmarked:marked grilse respectively.

For FSD 65:
8.57:1 = $x: 37$ where $x$ is the number of unmarked or wild Nepisiguit salmon and 37 is the number of marked Nepisiguit salmon.
$x=317$
The calculated total kill of wild and marked Nepisiguit River salmon and grilse is shown in Table 3.
b) Recreational fishery:

Sport fishery statistics for the Nepisiguit indicate a kill of 176 salmon and 117 grilse in 1983 (Table 4). The total fence counts were 293 salmon and 305 grilse (Table 5). Forty-six and thirty-four percent of all angled salmon and grilse were captured above the counting fence in 1982.
iii) Spawning escapement in 1983

Spawning escapement=fence count salmon - (no. salmon angled above fence + losses due to non-fishing mortality)
$=293-([176 \times 0.46]+[293 \times 0.05])$
$=197$ salmon and similarly, 250 grilse.

A total of 414 Atlantic salmon redds were counted below the fence. At two redds per female, there were 207 female spawners. A total of 92 salmon and 150 grilse were counted through the fence from September through November. Percent female was 74 and 6 percent respectively. The number of salmon and grilse which spawned below the fence were estimated from the equations below:

1) $x: y=92: 150 \quad y=1.63 x$
2) $0.74 x+0.06 y=207$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { where } & =\text { number of spawning salmon } \\
y & =\text { number of spawning grilse }
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving for $x$ and $y$, there were 247 salmon and 403 grilse spawners below the fence. Therefore, the total number of spawners was 444 salmon and 653 grilse. Total returns to the Nepisiguit River can then be calculated as:

|  | Salmon | Grilse |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated commercial harvest | 450 | 148 |
| Estimated sport fishing harvest | 176 | 117 |
| Non-fishing mortality | 15 | 15 |
| Spawning escapement | 444 | 653 |
| Total returns (excluding bycatch) | 1085 | 933 |
| Required spawning escapement | 1574 | 2567 |
| Spawning surplus or deficit | -1130 | -1914 |

## DISCUSSION

The 1983 Atlantic salmon run to the Nepisiguit River counting fence was down 56 percent from 1982 (Table 5), a 22 percent decline for salmon and a 69 percent decline for grilse. There were insufficient returns in 1983 to meet spawning requirements. Spawning escapement in 1983 was only 28 percent of the target for salmon and 25 percent for grilse.

Samples of adult Atlantic salmon at the counting fence over the past two years have shown a very high percentage of fish leaving freshwater as two year old smolts. Two year old smolt production was 83 percent of the total in 1982. The figure increased to 91 percent in 1983 (Table 6). This is likely the minimum possible smolt age in these latitudes. Year class data for 1982 and 1983 adult returns appear in Table 7. The corresponding weighted age $0+$ parr data (1977-80) shows a continuous decline, one which did not reverse until 1982 (Tables 7,8).

These data suggest that the unmarked or wild portion of the Atlantic salmon run to the Nepisiquit River will decline in 1984 and again in 1985, and that the wild salmon component of the 1984. run will be down compared to 1983 levels. We are unable to predict the trend for wild grilse in 1984. Spawning requirements will not be met without any homewater fisheries.

We recommend that a restoration plan be developed for this stock.
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Table 1. Estimated numbers of At lantic salmon landed in the commercial salmon fishery of Nepisiguit Bay, 1967-83. Numbers were taken from fishermen's logbook summaries or estimated from weight data contained in annual Atlantic Salmon Commercial Catch Statistics - Maritime Provinces reports.


Table 2. Reported catch and landings of unmarked and marked At antic salmon in the commercial trapnet fishery of Nepisiguit Bay, 1983. Total landings are divided into unmarked and marked portions based on unmarked to marked ratios in the reported catch, some of which were released. Marked fish (adipose finclipped or marked with modified carlin tags) were released as juveniles in the Nepisiguit River. All three 1 icenses in District 64 reported, but catch in District 65 was extrapolated from data on two of the five licences operating.

## Statistical District

|  |  | Reported catch |  |  | Adjustment Factor | Landings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unmarked Marked Total |  |  |  | Unmarked | Marked | Total |
| 64 | salmon | 443 | 16 | 459 | 0.599 | 265 | 10 | 275 |
|  | grilse | 206 | 1 | 207 | 0.681 | 140 | 1 | 141 |
| 65 | salmon | 435 | 38 | 473 | 0.977 | 425 | 37 | 462 |
|  | grilse | 440 | 30 | 470 | 1.489 | 655 | 45 | 700 |

Table 3. Estimated landings of Nepisiguit River salmon and grilse in the commercial trapnet fishery of Nepisiguit Bay, 1983. Marked fish (adipose finclipped or marked with modified carlin tags) were released as juveniles.

## Statistical District

| Salmon wild |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| marked | 10 | 317 | 403 |
| Grilse wild |  | 37 | 47 |
| marked |  | 1 | 100 |

Table 4. Numbers of bright Atlantic salmon angled in the Nepisiguit River system below Grand Falls and percent composition of large salmon, 1965-83.

| Year | Large salmon | Grilse | Total | Percent large salmon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 20 | 473 | 493 | 4.1 |
| 1966 | 38 | 407 | 445 | 8.5 |
| 1967 | 46 | 410 | 456 | 10.1 |
| 1968 | 5 | 189 | 194 | 2.6 |
| 1969 | 5 | 38 | 43 | 11.6 |
| 1970 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 1971 | 1 | 16 | 17 | 5.9 |
| 1972 | 10 | 16 | 26 | 38.5 |
| 1973 | 95 | 0 | 95 | 100.0 |
| 1974 | 140 | 28 | 168 | 83.3 |
| 1975 | 95 | 77 | 172 | 55.2 |
| 1976 | 100 | 335 | 435 | 23.0 |
| 1977 | 38 | 28 | 66 | 57.6 |
| 1978 | 69 | 40 | 109 | 63.3 |
| 1979 | 6 | 44 | 50 | 12.0 |
| 1980 | 103 | 135 | 238 | 43.3 |
| 1981 | 179 | 130 | 309 | 57.9 |
| 1982 | 187 | 130 | 317 | 59.0 |
| 1983 | 176 | 117 | . 293 | . 60.1. |
| Overall |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { averages }}{(1965-82)}$ | 63.2 | 138.8 | 201.9 | 31.3 |

Table 5. Salmon and grilse returns to the Nepisiguit River counting fence, 1982-83.

|  | Salmon |  |  | Grilse |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unmarked | Marked | Total | Unmarked | Marked | Total |
| 1982 | 236 | 141 | 377 | 784 | 211 | 995 |
| 1983 | 264 | 29 | 293 | 235 | 70 | 305 |

Table 6. Smolt age for unmarked Atlantic salmon at the Nepisiguit River counting fence, 1982-83.

|  | Smolt age |  |  |  | Percent 2-year smolt |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | 1arge salmon | grilse | total |
| 1982 | 632 | 82.8 | 131 | 17.2 | 13.0 | 69.9 | 82.9 |
| 1983 | 299 | 91.4 | 28 | 8.6 | 46.1 | 45.3 | 91.4 |

Table 7. Mean weighted density of age 0+ At lantic salmon (number per 100 square meters of salmon habitat) as determined by electrofishing, in the Nepisiguit River system below Grand Falls. Figures shown are the sum of densities weighted according to percent accessible nursery area per tributary and mainstream (Table 8).

Year
Mean weighted density

| 1977 |  | 19.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1978 |  | 9.3 |
| 1979 |  | 6.9 |
| 1980 |  | 4.8 |
| 1981 |  | 2.2 |
| 1982 |  | 3.9 |
| 1983 |  | 5.5 |

Table 8. Distribution of salmon nursery area( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) in the Nepisiguit River system below Grand Falls (Turner, unpublished, 1968) .

| Tributary | Nursery area | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mainstream | $3,522,907$ | 88.7 |
| Pabineau River | 256,014 | 6.4 |
| Gordon Meadow Brook | 196,226 | 4.9 |



Figure 1. Map of Nepisiguit River system showing location of hydroelectric dam, heavy metal mining and counting fence.


Figure 2. Location of operational commercial salmon stands in Statistical Districts 64 and 65 , in 1983 . The dashed line divides the two areas.

