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#### Abstract

The status of Atlantic salmon stocks was determined for Middle Brook and Terra Nova River in Salmon Fishing Area (SFA) 5, Biscay Bay River in SFA 9, and Northeast River in SFA 10 in 1996. Assessments were also conducted in relation to the commercial salmon fishery moratorium which entered its fifth year in 1996. Conservation egg requirement was achieved in all five years of the moratorium for Middle Brook and Northeast River and in three out of five years for Biscay Bay River (which included 1996). Although conservation requirement has never been achieved in Terra Nova River, egg depositions during the moratorium tended to be higher than prior to the moratorium. However, it should be noted that accessible rearing habitat above the lower Terra Nova River fishway more than doubled with the opening of the area above Mollyguajeck Falls in the early 1990 s. There were significant increases in returns of small and large salmon and proportions of large salmon in moratorium years (1992-96) over pre-moratorium years (1984-91) for all rivers except Biscay Bay River. Contrary to expectations, proportions of repeat spawning grilse in the small salmon category decreased significantly in Terra Nova River and Biscay Bay River and also decreased in Middle Brook, but not significantly; however the proportion for Northeast River did increase, but not significantly. Weights and lengths of small salmon observed during the moratorium were significantly higher than recorded prior to the moratorium for all rivers. Condition index increased significantly over that of the pre-moratorium period for Biscay Bay River and Northeast River while the reverse was true for Terra Nova River; there was no significant difference for Middle Brook. Compared to the late 1970s and early 1980s, estimated total population sizes of small salmon for Middle Brook and Biscay Bay River have been quite low. It is anticipated that returns of small salmon for Middle Brook and Biscay Bay River in 1997 will exceed conservation requirement.


#### Abstract

Résumé

En 1996, on a établi l'état des stocks de saumon de l'Atlantique dans la zone de pêche du saumon (ZPS) 5 pour les rivières Middle Brook et Terra Nova, la ZPS 9 pour la rivière Biscay Bay et la ZPS 10 pour la rivière Northeast. De plus, des évaluations ont été faites relativement au moratoire de la pêche commerciale du saumon qui en était à sa cinquième année en 1996. On a réussi à répondre aux besoins en matière d'oeufs de conservation pendant chacune des cinq années du moratoire dans les rivières Middle Brook et Northeast et pendant trois des cinq années dans la rivière Biscay Bay (y compris l'année 1996). Bien que les besoins en matière de conservation n'aient jamais été comblés dans la rivière Terra Nova, la ponte a eu tendance à être plus élevée pendant le moratoire qu'avant. Il faudrait cependant noter que la zone d'élevage accessible en amont de la passe migratoire située dans la basse partie de la rivière Terra Nova a plus que doublé avec l'ouverture de la zone au-dessus des chutes Mollyguajeck au début des années 1990. Si l'on compare les données des années du moratoire (1992-1996) à celles des années qui l'ont précédé (1984-1991), il y a eu des augmentations subtantielles des remontées de petits et gros saumons et des proportions de gros saumons dans toutes les rivières, sauf dans la rivière Biscay Bay. Contrairement à ce à quoi on pouvait s'attendre, les proportions de petits saumons ayant déjà frayé ont diminué considérablement dans la rivière Terra Nova et la rivière Biscay Bay. Elles ont diminué également dans la rivière Middle Brook, mais de façon moins substantielle. Cependant, cette proportion a augmenté dans la rivière Northeast, mais pas de façon significative. Le poids et la longueur des petits saumons observés pendant le moratoire étaient considérablement plus élevés que les données de poids et de longueur consignées avant le moratoire, et ce, dans toutes les rivières. L'indice des conditions a augmenté considérablement par rapport à la période antérieure au moratoire pour les rivières Biscay Bay et Northeast alors que ce fut le contraire dans la rivière Terra Nova. Il n'y a eu aucune différence significative dans la rivière Middle Brook. Si l'on compare les données actuelles à celles de la fin des années 1970 et du début des années 1980, les tailles de l'ensemble de la population de petits saumons prévues pour les rivières Middle Brook et Biscay Bay ont été très faibles. On prévoit que les remontées de petits saumons dans les rivières Middle Brook et Biscay Bay en 1997 dépasseront les besoins en géniteurs pour assurer la conservation.


## Introduction

In this paper, we examine the status of Atlantic salmon in Middle Brook and Terra Nova River, Bonavista Bay (SFA 5), Biscay Bay River, St. Mary's Bay (SFA 9), and Northeast River, Placentia Bay (SFA 10) in 1996, the fifth year of the commercial salmon fishery moratorium. The location of each river is shown in Fig. 1. Anticipated impacts of the moratorium on the Atlantic salmon populations in these rivers include: increases in the numbers of small and large salmon returning to the rivers and in the percentage of conservation requirement achieved; increases in the proportion of large salmon; changes in biological characteristics, e.g., increases in weight, length, and condition. These aspects are compared for two time periods, moratorium (1992-96) and premoratorium (1984-91). The pre-moratorium period selected corresponds to the years of a major management plan introduced in 1984 (O'Connell et al. 1992a; May 1993; Mullius and Caines MS 1994), which was modified in 1990 and 1991 to iuclude a commercial fishery quota in each SFA (O'Connell et al. MS 1992b). Elements of this management regime continued into the moratorium years. All the above measures were aimed at increasing river escapements. Also, a moratorium on the Northern Cod Fishery was implemented in early July of 1992, which should have resulted in the elimination of by-catch in cod fishing gear in SFAs 1-9. A moratorium on cod fishing in SFAs $10-$ 14A went into effect in August 1993. These moratoria continued in 1996.

Counts of small and large salmon are used in coujunction with recreational fishery data and biological characteristics data to calculate total river returns and egg depositions. Stock status is evaluated relative to conservation egg requirements developed for all rivers. An analysis of trends in the numbers of small salmon recruits and spawners for 1974-96 is provided for Middle Brook and Biscay Bay River as well as anticipated adult returns in 1997.

## Management Measures Since 1992

The introduction of the five-year moratorium on the commercial fishery in insular Newfoundland in 1992 was a major change in the management of Atlantic salmon. The moratorium was coupled with a commercial license retirement program. A quota on the number of fish that could be retained in the recreational fishery was introduced in each SFA in 1992 and 1993. The quota was assigned for each SFA as a whole and not administered on an individual river basis. Only hook-andrelease fishing was permitted after the quota was caught.

Recreational fishery quotas were eliminated in 1994. In place of quotas, for insular Newfoundlaud, the season bag limit for retained small salmon was lowered from eight to six fish, three to be caught prior to July 31 and three after that date. Hook-and-release fishing only was permitted after the bag limit of three was reached in each time period. These measures remained in effect in 1995 and 1996. As in previous years, retention of large salmon was not permitted in insular Newfoundland.

## Atlantic Salmon Enhancement - Terra Nova River

Terra Nova River has undergone Atlantic salmon enhancement programs since the early 1950s. A fishway was built around impassable falls located approximatley 22 km from the mouth of the river in 1952 (Porter et al. 1974). This structure (upper fishway) provided access for anadromous Atlantic salmon upstream as far as the complete obstruction at Mollyguajeck Falls. Colonization of the newly accessible area depended on adults straying from below the fishway. A fishway (lower) was built around falls located approximately 8 km from the mouth of the river in 1954 in order to facilitate the upstream movement of adults. Anadromous Atlantic salmon were introduced into the area above Mollyguajeck Falls in 1985-89. Adults were collected from the upper fishway and transferred above the falls by helicopter. In order to allow the progeny of these transferred fish to access their natal areas, passage through Mollyguajeck Falls was made possible by blasting pools in the river bed. A swim-up fry stocking program utilizing broodstock from the upper fishway was initiated above Mollyguajeck Falls in 1994 and continued in 1996.

The falls in Middle Brook and Northeast River were not complete obstructions and only impeded adult migration during low water conditions. The fishways for these rivers were installed to ease passage during low flows, similar to the situation for the lower Terra Nova River fishway.

## Methods

Recreational catch and effort information and counts of adult salmon in 1996 were compared to two pre-salmon moratorium means (1984-89 and 1986-91) and to the 1992-95 mean during the moratorium. The 1984-89 mean corresponds to years under the major management changes in the commercial fishery in the Newfoundland Region, cited above. The 1986-91 mean incorporates the quota years of 1990 and 1991. The mix of management measures in effect during 1984-89 on the one hand aid the imposition of commercial quotas in 1990 and 1991 on the other, should be kept in mind when making evaluations based on the 1986-91 mean.

## ADULT SALMON COUNTING EQUIPMENT

Adult salmon were counted in traps installed in the fishways located in Middle Brook, lower Terra Nova River, and Northeast River. In Biscay Bay River, counts were obtained with the salmonid Silhouette Imaging and Counting System (Pippy et al. 1997) using both the semi-automatic (video tape recording system) and fully automatic (computer-based system) methods.

RECREATIONAL FISHERY DATA
Catch and effort data for each river were collected by Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) Officers and processed by DFO Science Branch staff. Data for Maccles Brook were included in the totals for Terra Nova River. Rivers with counting facilities have angling catches separated above aud below the counting facilities. Procedures for the collection and compilation of recreational
fishery data are described by Ash and O'Connell (1987). Angling data for 1987 were not included in the means because in that year the rivers were closed to angling for nearly the entire season due to drought conditions.

## BIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS

Biological characteristics information (obtained by sampling recreational catches) used to calculate egg depositions and for the comparison of biological characteristics during and prior to the moratorium for adults $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length (small salmon) is shown in Tables 1-4. In instances where sample sizes were small $(\mathrm{N}<20)$, the means of the various parameters for either the mortatorium period (1992-96) or the pre-moratorium period (1984-91) were used.

A mean weight of 3.13 kg and a proportion of female value of 0.77 ( $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Connell et al. MS 1997a) was used for fish $\geq 63 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length (large salmon) for all years and all rivers.

Fecundity was determined from ovaries collected in the recreational fishery. Ovaries were stored in Gilson's fluid until ovarian tissue had broken down after which time eggs were transferred to $10 \%$ formalin. Eggs, which for the most part were in early stages of development, were counted directly. Relative fecundity values used for each river are shown in Table 5. In years when the sample size was small ( $\mathrm{N}<20$ ), the mean fecundity for all years combined for a given river was used. The same relative fecundity was used for both small and large salmon.

## TOTAL RIVER RETURNS, SPAWNING ESCAPEMENT, AND EGG DEPOSITION

Calculations were performed for small and large salmon separately. Total egg deposition was obtained by summing depositions for grilse and large salmon.

## Total River Returns

Total river returns (TRR) were calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{TRR}=\mathrm{RC}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{HRM}_{\mathrm{b}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{RC}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ recreational catch below counting facility
$\mathrm{C}=$ count of fish at counting facility
$\mathrm{HRM}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ hook-and-release mortalities ( $10 \%$ of hook-and-release fish) below counting facility in 1993-96

For Terra Nova River, recreational catch below the fishway did not include that of Maccles Brook. Partial counts of small and large salmon for Biscay Bay River were adjusted to total counts. For each each year in question, fish by-passed the counting fence for an approximate 24 hour period. The
average count for 3-5 days immediately prior to flood conditions each year was used to fill in missing data.

## Spawning Escapement

Spawning escapement (SE) was calculated according to the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SE}=\mathrm{FR}-\mathrm{RC}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{BR}-\mathrm{HRM}_{\mathrm{a}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{FR}=$ fish released at counting facility
$\mathrm{RC}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ recreational catch above counting facility
BR = broodstock removal (Terra Nova River in 1994-96; Biscay Bay River in 1985-90)
HRM $_{\mathrm{a}}=$ hook-and-release mortalities ( $10 \%$ of hook-and-release fish) above counting facility in 1993-96

A number of mortalities of small salmon occurred in Northeast River (49) and Middle Brook (16) subsequent to being counted in 1996 which were deducted from FR in equation 2. These mortalities resulted from unusually high flood conditions in Northeast River and from modifications to the trap configuration in Middle Brook.

## Egg Deposition

Egg deposition (ED) was calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E D=S E \times P F \times R F \times M W \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{SE}=$ number of spawners
$\mathrm{PF}=$ proportion of females
$\mathrm{RF}=$ relative fecundity (no. of eggs $/ \mathrm{kg}$ )
$M W=$ mean weight of females
For Terra Nova River, spawning escapements and egg depositions were calculated for the area above the lower fishway, including the area above Mollyguajeck Falls.

The phenomenon of atresia occurs in Atlantic salmon in insular Newfoundland (O'Comell and Dempson MS 1997). Since egg deposition calculations above were based on eggs in early stages of development, they should be regarded as potential egg depositions.

## CONSERVATION EGG DEPOSITION AND SPAWNER REQUIREMENTS

The conservation egg deposition and spawner requirements for each river were developed by O'Connell and Dempson (MS 1991a,b) (Table 6). The egg requirement for fluvial parr rearing habitat (Elson 1957) for all rivers was $240 \mathrm{eggs} / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (Elson 1975); the requirement for lacustrine habitat was 368 eggs/ha (O'Connell and Dempson 1995). The adult conservation requirement for each river was calculated in terms of small salmon only. Egg deposition from large salmon was considered as a buffer.

NUMBER OF RECRUITS AND SPAWNERS, 1974-96, AND ANTICIPATED RETURNS IN 1997

It is possible to retrospectively estimate total population size of small salmon (or total number of small salmon recruits), prior to any exploitation, for several year classes in some rivers with counting facilities, and to use the ratio of recruits to spawners to estimate anticipated returns one year in advance. A calculation of anticipated total returns (small plus large salmon) is also possible. Details of the calculations are presented below and were used for the Middle Brook and Biscay Bay River stocks.

Since the implementation of the commercial fishery moratorium in 1992, the total number of small salmon recruits (TNR) for Middle Brook and Biscay Bay River were equivalent to TRR (equation 1). Prior to 1992, TNR was calculated using a commercial fishery exploitation rate ( $\mu_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) of 0.60 (Anon. MS 1990) according to the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{TNR}=\operatorname{TRR} /\left(1-\mu_{c}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the period 1974-83, TRR for Biscay Bay River was calculated as the ratio of total recreational catch ( $R C$ ) and the average recreational fishery exploitation rate ( $\mu_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) for the years 1989-91 (prior to recreational quotas) of 0.14 , or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{TRR}=\mathrm{RC}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mu_{\mathrm{r}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the years 1974-83, TRR for Middle Brook was deternined by applying the average proportion of total recreational catch below the fishway ( $\mathrm{P}_{-} \mathrm{RC}_{\mathrm{b}}=0.74$ ) for 1984-91 to total recreational catch and counts of small salmon according to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T R R=\left(R C_{1} \times P_{-} R C_{b}\right)+C \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Spawning escapement for Middle Brook for 1974-83 was calculated using the average proportion of total recreational catch above the fishway $\left(P_{-} R C_{a}=0.26\right)$ for 1984-91 in the relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
S E=C-\left(R C_{1} \times P_{-} R C_{a}\right) \text { or } T R R-R C_{1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Age composition of Middle Brook and Biscay Bay River smolts was adjusted to reflect only the 3+ and 4+ age groups, i.e., the minimal numbers of $2+$ and $5+$ year old smolts present weré not considered; the resultant proportions of $3+$ and $4+$ smolts were 0.5 and 0.5 for Middle Brook, and 0.74 and 0.26 for Biscay Bay River. The ratio of recruits to spawners (R/S) was calculated incorporating smolt age composition of small salmon according to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R / S=\left[\left(\mathrm{TNR}_{i+5} \times \mathrm{P}_{-} 3+\right)+\left(\mathrm{TNR}_{i+6} \times \mathrm{P}_{--} 4+\right)\right] / \mathrm{SE}_{i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{TNR}_{i+5}$ and $\mathrm{TNR}_{i+6}=$ small salmon recruits in years $\mathrm{i}+5$ and $\mathrm{i}+6$
$\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ spawning escapement (small salmon) in year i
P_3+ and P_4+ = proportion of 3+ and 4+ smolts, respectively
Anticipated returns of small salmon $\left(\mathrm{AR}_{s}\right)$ in 1997 was calculated as the product of the average $R / S$ and SE for each smolt-age grouping separately and then summed. The average R/S for 1993-96 was used for both the 3+ and 4+ smolt-age groups for Middle Brook and Biscay Bay River. The equation was as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AR}_{\mathrm{s}}=\left(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{S}_{-} 3+_{\mathrm{i}} \times \mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{i}-5}\right)+\left(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{S}_{-} 4+_{\mathrm{i}} \times \mathrm{SE}_{1-6}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{S}_{-} 3+_{i}$ and $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{S}_{-} 4+_{i}=$ small salmon recruits per spawner with smolt ages $3+$ and $4+$ in 1997 (year i)
$\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{i}-5}$ and $\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{i}-6}=$ spawning escapement (small salmon) in years $\mathrm{i}-5$ and $\mathrm{i}-6$
A similar calculation was performed with the minimum and maximum $R / S$ corresponding to the mean for each smolt-age grouping to obtain an estimate of the range of anticipated returns.

Anticipated total returns $\left(A R_{4}\right)$, or the sum of small and large salmon, was determined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AR}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{AR}_{s} / \mathrm{P}_{-} \mathrm{AR}_{s} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathrm{AR}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ mean proportion of small salmon in escapements for 1993-96
A measure of the precision of estimates of anticipated returns of small salmon for 1995 (O'Connell et al. MS 1995) and 1996 (O'Connell et al. MS 1996) was obtained by comparison to actual returns for 1995 and 1996.

## ANALYSIS TO DETECT RECRUITMENT OVERFISHING

Anon. (MS 1994) defined recruitment overfishing as a level of fishing mortality that reduces the ability of a population to persist, more specifically, the failure of a cohort of spawners to replace itself as a result of fishing. One way to evaluate Atlantic salmon stocks in terms of recruitment overfishing is through the examination of spawner-to-spawner relationships. Estimated numbers of spawners obtained from parental spawning cohorts of small salmon were traced backward, beginning with the estimate of the number of spawners for the current year. Data sets (Middle Brook and Biscay Bay River) were examined to see if numbers of spawners, which were made up of a range of chronological ages, were sufficient to replace the weighted sum of spawning parents of the same sea age. The appropriate weighting for historical spawners was determined from the average smolt-age distribution. This technique, demonstrating the use of the necessary lags and river-age distributions, is found in Anon. (MS 1994).

## IMPACTS OF THE MORATORIUM

Total returns of small and large salmon, proportion of large salmon, proportion of repeat spawning grilse in the small salmon category, and weight and length of small salmon (sexes combined plus unsexed fish) for the moratorium period 1992-96 were compared to that of the the premoratorium period 1984-91 using the Wilcoxon two-sample test ( $Z$ ) in the NPARIWAY Procedure of SAS (SAS Institute 1985).

Adjusted mean weight standardized to length as a covariate (both variables ln transformed) was used as a measure of condition following the general linear model approach outlined in Dempson et al. (1994) and Winters and Wheeler (1994). An analysis was first run for each river comparing slopes of individual regressions between pre-moratorium and moratorium periods. If slopes were not significantly different, the analysis was run with a common slope model and adjusted means standardized to the overall mean length. In the event a significant difference was found between slopes, the model was rum with a common slope and the $r^{2}$ and residual error mean square thus obtained compared with that of the multiple slope model. If the change in these parameters was negligible, then the common slope model was run as above (Dempson et al. MS 1994; Winters and Wheeler 1994). Analyses were carried out using the GLM Procedure of SAS (SAS Institute 1985).

## Results

## RECREATIONAL FISHERY

Catch and effort data for each river are presented in Appendices 1-4. Catches for all years prior to 1992 represent retained catch for the entire angling season. Total catch for 1996 (retained plus released fish), effort, and catch per unit of effort (CPUE) are compared to years prior to 1992 and 1992-95. There was no estimate of released fish during the period of retention of catch in 1992 which could impact on comparisons. The total number of fish retained in 1996 is also shown.

Calculation of CPUE in terms of retained fish only was not possible since effort figures apply to both retained and released fish collectively.

The objective of implementing SFA quotas on the retention of small salmon in the recreational fishery was to constrain catches to levels observed just prior to the moratorium, with the intent of not reallocating catch from the commercial fishery to the recreational fishery. This was also the objective of the split seasoual bag limit (three fish prior to and after July 31) since historically the major proportion of total seasonal catch has been taken prior to July 31. The objective was met more or less for Middle Brook (Appendix 1) but for Terra Nova River, retained catch in 1994-96 nearly doubled that of 1992 and 1993 (Appendix 2). Biscay Bay River (Appendix 3) was closed to angling during peak periods in July in both 1994 and 1995 as a result of high water temperatures and low water levels. In spite of this, the catch for 1995 was substantially higher than in 1992 and 1993 and was the fourth highest on record; the catch in 1996 decreased from that of 1995. It should be noted that the quota for retained fish for SFA 9, which includes Biscay Bay River, was not caught in 1993. Northeast River (Appendix 4) was also closed to angling during peak periods in July in both 1994 and 1995 due to low water levels and high water temperatures; the number of small salmon retained in 1996 was by far the highest in recent years and the second highest on record.

## COUNTS AT COUNTING FACILITIES

Counts of small and large salmon at the Middle Brook and lower Terra Nova River fishways for the period 1974-96 are shown in Table 7 and Fig. 2. The count of small salmon at the Middle Brook fishway in 1996 increased over 1995 (54\%) and the 1984-89 (91\%), 1986-91 (132\%), and 1992-95 ( $21 \%$ ) means. The count of small salmon for Terra Nova River in 1996 decreased from that of $1995(11 \%)$, which was the second highest on record, exceeded the 1984-89 (56\%) and 1986-91 ( $74 \%$ ) means, and was similar to the 1992-95 meau. The count of large salmon for Middle Brook in 1996 decreased slightly ( $4 \%$ ) from that of the record high in 1995 and remained substantially over the 1984-89, 1986-91, and 1992-95 meaus ( 540,928 , and $66 \%$, respectively). The Terra Nova River count of large salmon in 1996 decreased ( $27 \%$ ) from the record high in 1995 but remained above the meaus ( 265,247 , and $15 \%$, respectively). As a result of a combination of the loss of the flow control dam above the lower Terra Nova River fishway and exceptionally high water levels in 1993, some fish bypassed the fishway and hence counts of small and large salmon for that year are partial. However, even the partial counts were the highest on record (highest up to that point for large salmon), and for this reason they were included in the mean for 1992-95.

Counts of small and large salmon for the Biscay Bay River counting fence and the Northeast River fishway are presented in Table 8 and Fig. 3. The count of small salmon in Biscay Bay River in 1996 increased over 1995 ( $10 \%$ ) but decreased from the means ( 33,16 , and $9 \%$, respectively). The 1996 count of small salmon for Northeast River was the highest on record and increased substantially over 1995 and the means ( $85,137,129$, and $58 \%$, respectively). The count of large salmon for Biscay Bay River in 1996 was the lighest recorded, increasing markedly over 1995 and the means ( $166,85,86$, and $102 \%$, respectively). The count of large salmon in 1996 for Northeast

River was also the highest on record (increases of $66,490,547$, and $93 \%$, respectively over 1995 and the means).

## RUN TIMING

Figs. 4-7 show the daily cumulative percentage of small salmon counted each year during 1985-96 for each river. The days are standardized for all years and the date on which the first fish was counted is denoted by an arrow. The latest median count observed for Middle Brook (Fig. 4) occurred in 1991 followed very closely by 1992 and 1993. The median date for 1996 was the earliest in recent years, just a few days ahead of 1995. Other early years included 1987 and 1989. The latest median date for Terra Nova River (Fig. 5) occurred in 1991 followed closely by 1993 and 1985. The earliest median date occurred in 1987; 1995 and 1996 were the earliest in recent years. The latest median date for Biscay Bay River (Fig. 6) by far occurred in 1991. There were several median dates comparable to 1996 in the past; the earliest was in 1989. Median dates for Northeast River (Fig. 7) in 1985 and 1991 were similar and the latest. Run timing comparable to 1996 occurred during several years in the past for this river; the earliest median date was in 1989.

## total river Returns, Spawning escapement, and percentage of CONSERVATION REQUIREMENT ACHIEVED

Total river returns, spawning escapements, potential egg depositions, and percentage of conservation egg requirement achieved for Middle Brook and Terra Nova River are shown in Table 9. The percentage of conservation egg requirement achieved for 1984-96 is also shown in Fig. 8. Conservation requirement was achieved in all moratorium years in Middle Brook but in only one year (1984) prior to the moratorium. The percentages of conservation requirement met during the moratorium years for Terra Nova River were generally higher than during pre-moratorium years, with record highs being recorded during the moratorium. Biscay Bay River (Table 10 and Fig. 8) achieved conservation requirement in three of the five moratorium years and came close in one year (1993); requirement was met in six out of eight pre-moratorim years. Conservation requirement was achieved in all years in Northeast River; percentages during the moratorium were consistently higher than prior to the moratorium (Table 10 and Fig. 8).

## TRENDS IN TOTAL NUMBERS OF RECRUITS AND SPAWNERS

The estimated numbers of small salmon recruits and corresponding numbers of spawners for each year class for Middle Brook and Biscay Bay River are shown in Tables 11-12 and Figs. 9A and 10A. There was a lot of variability in recruitment from a given spawning for both rivers. The ratio of total number of small salmon recruits to spawners (R/S) in 1996 increased over that of 1995 in both rivers (Figs. 9B and 10B). In spite of the increase in 1996 for Biscay Bay River, there was a siguificant overall decline since $1980\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.68 ; \mathrm{df}=15 ; \mathrm{P}<0.01\right)$. The trend for Middle Brook was not significant ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ). Expressing conservation requirement in terms of small salmon adults (horizontal line in Figs. 9C and 10C), it is evident that for Middle Brook the requirement was achieved in 1975, 1977-84, and during all moratorium years and for Biscay Bay River in 1979-88,

1990, and in 1992 and 1994 of the moratorium years. There has been a decline in numbers of small salmon recruits since 1981 for Middle Brook and 1980 for Biscay Bay River (Figs. 9D and 10D). The lowest recruitment for the entire time series for Middle Brook was in 1992 and for Biscay Bay River it was in 1991.

## ANTICIPATED RETURNS IN 1997

The estimated number of small salmon recruits anticipated for 1997 for Middle Brook, based on the average R/S for each smolt-age grouping and assuming natural survival rates remain the same, is approximately 2690 ; corresponding low and high values are approximately 1770 and 3240 (Table 11 and Fig. 9D). Average anticipated returns are above the conservation requirement. The estimated return of 1648 small salmon for 1996 compares to an actual return of 2112. An idea of the precision of estimates of anticipated returns for small salmon recruits cau be obtained by examining the differences between estimated and observed returns for 1995 and 1996 shown in Table 11. The variability described in Fig. 9A must be kept in mind with respect to estimates of anticipated returns. The auticipated number of small salmon recruits for Biscay Bay River in 1997 is around 1865 with corresponding low and high values of approximately 955 and 3410 (Table 12 and Fig. 10D). Anticipated returns of small salmon in 1997 are above conservation requirement (Fig. 10C), bearing in mind the variability shown in Fig. 10A. The estimated number of small salmon returns for 1996 was 711 compared to an actual return of 1217. The precision of estimated versus observed small salmon returns for 1995 and 1996 is shown in Table 12.

## RECRUIT OVERFISHING

During the commercial fishery moratorium years 1992-96, numbers of spawners in Middle Brook were above the replacement (diagonal) line and the conservation requirement (horizontal) line (Fig. 11). The years 1985-91 were below both lines.

Spawners for Biscay Bay River 1992, 1994, and 1996 were on or above the replacement line but not 1993 and 1995 (Fig. 12). Moratorium years 1993 and 1995 were also below the conservation requirement (horizontal) line.

## IMPACTS OF THE MORATORIUM

The results of statistical analysis on data presented in Tables 1-4, comparing the moratorium years with pre-moratorium years are summarized in Table 13. There were significant increases in all factors during the moratorium for Middle Brook; the porportion of repeat spawning grilse in the small salmon category decreased but not significantly ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ). There were significant increases in all factors for Terra Nova except for the proportion of repeat spawning grilse which showed a significant decline. There were no significant differences in returns of small and large salmon and proportion of large salmon for Biscay Bay River. However, there were significant increases in weight and length while the proportion of repeat spawning grilse decreased significantly. For Northeast River, there
was an increase in all factors during the moratorium and significantly so except for the proportion of repeat spawning grilse.

Individual regressions of $\ln$ whole weight on $\ln$ fork length during and prior to the moratorium are presented in Table 14. There was no significant difference in slopes for Middle Brook ( $\mathrm{F}=1.64$; $\mathrm{P}=0.2009$ ) and Biscay Bay River ( $\mathrm{F}=0.020 ; \mathrm{P}=0.6551$ ). The common slope model showed there was no significant difference in adjusted means for Middle Brook but there was a significant increase during the moratorium over pre-moratorium years for Biscay Bay River (Table 15). Slopes were significantly different between the two time periods for Terra Nova River ( $\mathrm{F}=8.39 ; \mathrm{P}=0.0039$ ) and Northeast River ( $\mathrm{F}=5.72 ; \mathrm{P}=0.0173$ ). Differences in $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ and residual (error) mean square between the multiple slopes model and the common slope model were $<1 \%$ for Terra Nova River and $1.3 \%$ for each parameter for Northeast River. Adjusted means from the common slope model were therefore used as an index of condition. There was a siguificant increase during the moratorium over pre-moratorium years for Northeast River while Terra Nova River showed the opposite (Table 15).

## Discussion

In the present assessment, biological characteristics information was updated and applied on an individual year basis for each river to a larger extent than previously, when there was greater reliance on default values. Consequently, the percentage of conservation egg requirement achieved for each year since 1989 has changed from that reported in previous documents (see O'Connell et al. MS 1996). Also, for the first time, a factor of $10 \%$ for hook-and-release mortality was applied in the calculation of total river escapements and spawning escapements for the years 1993-96, the only years with sufficient information on numbers of released fish. The mortality rate of $10 \%$ was arbitrarily chosen. Brobbel et al. (1996) reported a mortality rate of $12 \%$ for bright Atlantic salmon augled to exhaustion under controlled conditions in the Miramichi River, New Brunswick. These mortalities occurred within 12 hours and mean water temperature during the angling period was $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

As anticipated, with the closure of the commercial salmon fishery, there were significant increases in the numbers of small and large salmon and proportions of large salmon entering. Middle Brook, Terra Nova River, and Northeast River. Contrary to expectations, there were no such increases for Biscay Bay River. Northeast River was the only one to show an increase in the proportion of repeat spawning grilse in the small salmon component. Other anticipated results of the removal of size-selective commercial fishing gear were increases in mean weight and mean length and improved condition. With respect to condition, it was felt that commercial gear selectively removed the better conditioned or more robust fish. There were significant increases in weight and length of small salmon during the moratorium for all rivers. The response for condition index was mixed: there was no significant change for Middle Brook; Biscay Bay River and Northeast River showed significant increases; Terra Nova River had a significant decrease.

It was not possible to meaningfully compare biological charcateristics of large salmon before and during the moratorium because of insufficient sample sizes. This stems largely from the fact that
the retention of large salmon in the recreational fishery has been prohibited since 1984. Sampling of large salmon at counting facilities has been kept to a mimimum in order to minimize the risk of mortality to this valuable component of the stock.

Conservation egg requirement was achieved in all five years of the moratorium for Middle Brook and Northeast River and in three out of five years for Biscay Bay River. Requirement has never been reached in Terra Nova River but egg depositions tended to be higher during the moratorium. It should be noted that accessible rearing habitat above the lower Terra Nova River fishway more than doubled with the opening of the area above Mollyguajeck Falls. The first returns resulting from the adult transfers in 1985-89 were expected beginning in 1990. With the absence of counts of the numbers of adults ascending Mollyguajeck Falls since 1990, it is not possible to assess the results of the adult stocking. Broodstock used for swim-up fry stocking in the area above Mollyguajeck Falls since 1994 were simply deducted from spawning escapement, i.e, no attempt was made at this stage to backcalculate fry into egg equivalents.

Estimated total population sizes of small salmon since 1989 for Middle Brook and Biscay Bay River have been quite low compared to the late 1970s and early 1980s. Had there been a commercial fishery in 1992-96, total river returns and spawning escapements would probably have continued at the low levels indicative of the period 1989-91. Anticipated total returns of small salmon in 1997 will exceed conservation requirement for both rivers. These predictions were based on fixed parameter values (smolt-age composition and commercial and recreational fishery exploitation rates) and assumes constant natural survival rates both in freshwater and in the sea. The use of constants in the prediction of adult returns is risky since the parameters are most likely subject to annual variability. For instance, smolt-to-adult survival has been shown to be highly variable in Northeast Brook, Trepassey (SFA 9) and Conne River (SFA 11) (O'Connell et al. MS 1997b). Each of these rivers, Rocky River (SFA 9), and Campbellton River (SFA 4) showed an increase in smolt-adult survival in 1996 over 1995. Western Arm Brook (SFA 14A) showed a decline from 1995, but survival for 1995 was the highest since 1983 and that of 1996 the second highest.

Increased returns of adults with a smolt age of 3+ years, the progeny of increased numbers of spawners in the first year of the moratorium in 1992, are expected in 1997. The magnitude of these returns will depend on the strength of the $3+$ age component which varies among the four rivers and can vary annually. The first year of major returns will be in 1998 when the $4+$ smolt-age component from the 1992 spawning and the the $3+$ smolt-age component from the 1993 spawning are recruited. This expectation assumes that overall survival will not fall below that currently being observed.

Cautions associated with the parameter values used to calculate the conservation egg requirement have been discussed previously by O'Connell and Dempson (1995) and will not be dealt with here. In the above analysis, total conservation egg requirement came from small salmon and the contribution from large salmon was considered as a buffer. Recently, managers have expressed an interest in setting conservation requirements for both small and large salmon. Using the biological characteristics information and relative proportions of small and large salmon presented above and
computational formulae presented in O'Connell et al. (MS 1997a), it is possible to derive a conservation requirement for each component for each of the above rivers. These separate requirements have to be viewed from both the biological and operational perspectives. On the biological side, the proportion of eggs to come from each component should reflect natural stock characteristics. This may not be readily defined due to potential modifications in proportions resulting from decades of size-selective commercial fisheries. On the operational side, Figs. 13-16 give an idea of the outcome of selecting various proportions of the conservation egg requirement to come from small salmon on the proportionate contributions required from large salmon in order to achieve conservation egg requirement for each river. By selecting a percentage contribution for small salmon and locating the corresponding point on the small salmon curve, then drawing a line from this point vertically to meet the curve for large salmon, the number of small and large salmon corresponding to the point on each curve can be read from the $y$-axis. The tradeoff between each component in numbers needed to meet egg requirement is quite apparent. However, the question of whether a particular choice of proportions is biologically appropriate for the population and the longterm biological consequences of such a choice still has to be considered.
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Table 1. Biological characteristics data for female small salmon and with sexes combined plus unsexed fish by year and for pre-moratorium (1984-91) and moratorium (1992-96) periods for Middle Brook, Bonavista Bay (SFA 5), Newfoundland. $W W=$ whole weight $(\mathrm{kg}) ; F L=$ fork length $(\mathrm{cm}) ; R S=$ repeat spawning grilse.

| Year | Sexes combined plus unsexed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |  | $\%$ <br> Female | $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bar{X} W W$ | SD | $N$ | $\bar{X} F L$ | SD | N | \% RS | N | $\bar{X} W W$ | SD | N | $\bar{X} F L$ | SD | N |  |  |
| 1984 | 1.48 | 0.39 | 155 | 49.9 | 4.31 | 155 | 7.7 | 12 | 1.48 | 0.40 | 121 | 49.8 | 4.43 | 121 | 79 | 121 |
| 1985 | 1.48 | 0.35 | 115 | 49.5 | 4.46 | 115 | 4.4 | 5 | 1.51 | 0.34 | 89 | 50.2 | 4.20 | 89 | 82 | 89 |
| 1986 | 1.63 | 0.47 | 54 | 52.2 | 4.56 | 55 | 18.2 | 10 | 1.58 | 0.47 | 41 | 52.0 | 4.75 | 42 | 86 | 42 |
| 1987 | 1.33 | 0.34 | 19 | 49.9 | 3.14 | 19 | 15.8 | 3 | 1.30 | 0.33 | 7 | 49.5 | 3.36 | 7 | 41 | 7 |
| 1988 | 1.32 | 0.41 | 46 | 49.3 | 3.47 | 47 | 0.0 | 0 | 1.37 | 0.51 | 22. | 49.7 | 3.82 | 22 | 71 | 22 |
| 1989 | 1.48 | 0.30 | 9 | 51.5 | 4.37 | 15 | 26.7 | 4 | 1.80 |  | 1 | 53.3 | 0.35 | 2 | 100 | 2 |
| 1990 | 1.67 | 0.24 | 16 | 52.3 | 2.39 | 16 | 25.0 | 4 | 1.69 | 0.27 | 11 | 52.7 | 2.67 | 11 | 85 | 11 |
| 1991 | 1.50 | 0.45 | 11 | 53.4 | 4.82 | 11 | 9.1 | 1 | 1.40 | 0.50 | 4 | 51.5 | 5.34 | 4 | 50 | 4 |
| 1992 | 1.64 | 0.43 | 78 | 53.6 | 3.96 | 93 | 8.2 | 6 | 1.74 | 0.40 | 37 | 54.1 | 3.27 | 48 | 83 | 48 |
| 1993 | 1.72 | 0.44 | 120 | 53.7 | 4.38 | 137 | 0.8 | 1 | 1.65 | 0.42 | 71 | 53.2 | 4.40 | 79 | 76 | 79 |
| 1994 | 1.78 | 0.40 | 72 | 53.2 | 3.61 | 73 | 1.6 | 1 | 1.75 | 0.33 | 33 | 53.0 | 3.42 | 34 | 74 | 34 |
| 1995 | 1.55 | 0.45 | 83 | 51.3 | 4.11 | 83 | 2.5 | 2 | 1.47 | 0.34 | 33 | 51.5 | 4.31 | 33 | 62 | 33 |
| 1996 | 1.96 | 0.42 | 73 | 54.2 | 3.74 | 73 | 15.7 | 11 | 1.95 | 0.38 | 41 | 54.2 | 3.58 | 41 | 82 | 41 |
| Pre-moratorium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984-9 | 1.48 | 0.40 | 425 | 50.2 | 4.34 | 433 | 9.1 | 39 | 1.50 | 0.40 | 296 | 50.4 | 4.34 | 298 | 78 | 298 |
| Moratorium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992-9 | 1.72 | 0.45 | 426 | 53.2 | 4.12 | 459 | 5.1 | 21 | 1.71 | 0.41 | 215 | 53.3 | 3.96 | 235 | 76 | 235 |

Table 2. Biological characteristics data for.female small salmon and with sexes combined plus unsexed fish by year and for pre-moratorium (1984-91) and moratorium (1992-96) periods for Terra Nova River, Bonavista Bay (SFA 5), Newfoundland $\mathrm{WW}=$ whole weight $(\mathrm{kg}) ; \mathrm{FL}=$ fork length (cm); RS = repeat spawning grilse.

| Year | Sexes combined plus unsexed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |  | \% <br> Female | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bar{X} W W$ | SD | N | $\overline{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{FL}$ | SD | N | \% RS | N | $\bar{X} W W$ | SD | N | $\bar{X} F L$ | SD | N |  |  |
| 1984 | 1.59 | 0.40 | 118 | 50.2 | 4.43 | 118 | 12.7 | 15 | 1.57 | 0.36 | 73 | 50.2 | 3.74 | 73 | 74 | 73 |
| 1985 | 1.49 | 0.33 | 119 | 51.0 | 3.98 | 132 | 10.6 | 14 | 1.53 | 0.37 | 13 | 51.8 | 4.30 | 24 | 76 | 25 |
| 1986 | 1.70 | 0.37 | 93 | 53.4 | 3.66 | 93 | 27.2 | 25 | 1.63 | 0.32 | 31 | 52.7 | 3.45 | 31 | 65 | 31 |
| 1987 | 1.56 | 0.34 | 59 | 51.9 | 3.60 | 58 | 18.6 | 11 | 1.52 | 0.32 | 36 | 51.5 | 3.48 | 35 | 72 | 36 |
| 1988 | 1.81 | 0.40 | 47 | 52.8 | 3.67 | 46 | 31.9 | 15 | 1.70 | 0.65 | 4 | 50.0 | 5.72 | 4 | 57 | 4 |
| 1989 | 1.67 | 0.33 | 32 | 51.3 | 3.78 | 32 | 22.6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1990. | 1.65 | 0.36 | 50 | 52.0 | 3.86 | 50 | 10.6 | 5 | 1.70 | 0.39 | 5 | 51.0 | 4.47 | 5 | 100 | 5 |
| 1991 | 1.43 | 0.39 | 29 | 51.3 | 3.07 | 29 | 6.9 | 2 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 2 | 49.5 | 4.95 | 2 | 100 | 2 |
| 1992 | 1.76 | 0.37 | 84 | 53.0 | 3.65 | 95 | 1.1 | 1 | 1.30 | 0.30 | 6 | 49.3 | 2.64 | 6 | 75 | 6 |
| 1993 | 1.70 | 0.36 | 47 | 53.9 | 3.62 | 47 | 0.0 | 0 | 1.60 | 0.40 | 11 | 52.6 | 4.58 | 11 | 79 | 11 |
| 1994 | 1.80 | 0.42 | 82 | 54.8 | 3.72 | 83 | 10.4 | 8 | 1.75 | 0.50 | 15 | 55.0 | 5.33 | 16 | 84 | 16 |
| 1995 | 1.69 | 0.40 | 53 | 53.4 | 3.93 | 53 | 9.8 | 5 | 1.47 | 0.30 | 11 | 51.7 | 3.49 | 11 | 65 | 11 |
| 1996 | 1.71 | 0.42 | 63 | 53.7 | 4.27 | 63 | 13.1 | 8 | 1.57 | 0.39 | 19 | 52.4 | 4.53 | 19 | 76 | 19 |
| Pre-moratorium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984-91 | 1.61 | 0.38 | 547 | 51.6 | 4.03 | 558 | 16.9 | 94 | 1.56 | 0.35 | 164 | 51.1 | 3.85 | 174 | 72 | 176 |
| Moratorium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992-96 | 1.74 | 0.40 | 329 | 53.8 | 3.86 | 341 | 6.8 | 22 | 1.58 | 0.41 | 62 | 52.7 | 4.63 | 63 | 76 | 63 |

Table 3. Biological characteristics data for female small salmon and with sexes combined plus unsexed fish by year and for pre-moratorium (1984-91) and moratorium (1992-96) periods for Biscay Bay River, St. Mary's Bay (SFA 9), Newfoundland. $W W=$ whole weight (kg); FL = fork length (cm); RS = repeat spawning grilse.

| Year | Sexes combined plus unsexed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |  | \% <br> Female | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bar{X} W W$ | SD | N | $\bar{X} F L$ | SD | N | \% RS | $N$ | $\bar{X} W W$ | SD | N | $\bar{X} F L$ | SD | N |  |  |
| 1984 | 1.62 | 0.41 | 118 | 51.6 | 3.45 | 134 | 5.9 | 8 | 1.62 | 0.43 | 77 | 51.6 | 3.65 | 84 | 66 | 85 |
| 1985 | 1.67 | 0.29 | 133 | 52.9 | 3.27 | 156 | 22.5 | 34 | 1.63 | 0.26 | 92 | 52.8 | 2.90 | 106 | 72 | 106 |
| 1986 | 1.75 | 0.36 | 149 | 53.3 | 3.68 | 152 | 22.4 | 34 | 1.76 | 0.36 | 114 | 53.4 | 3.58 | 116 | 76 | 116 |
| 1987 | 1.68 | 0.38 | 36 | 53.1 | 3.90 | 186 | 22.1 | 34 | 1.63 | 0.38 | 26 | 52.6 | 4.02 | 136 | 73 | 137 |
| 1988 | 1.60 | 0.33 | 106 | 51.7 | . 3.91 | 210 | 13.3 | 28 | 1.63 | 0.32 | 82 | 52.0 | 3.87 | 156 | 75 | 156 |
| 1989 | 1.87 | 0.35 | 13 | 53.7 | 3.68 | 13 | 23.1 | 3 | 1.74 | 0.29 | 6 | 52.3 | 3.47 | 6 | 60 | 6 |
| 1990 | 1.72 | 0.40 | 30 | 53.1 | 3.33 | 30 | 10.0 | 3 | 1.72 | 0.43 | 16 | 53.5 | 4.16 | 16 | 55 | 16 |
| 1991 |  |  | 0 | 54.4 | 3.20 | 46 | 13.0 | 6 |  |  | 0 | 55.1 | 3.25 | 25 | 54 | 25 |
| 1992 |  |  | 0 | 51.5 | 2.12 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 53.0 |  | 1 | 100 | 1 |
| 1993 | 1.90 | 0.39 | 17 | 54.7 | 3.47 | 17 | 11.8 | 2 | 2.10 | 0.38 | 9 | 56.4 | 3.56 | 9 | 56 | 9 |
| 1994 | 1.89 | 0.36 | 20 | 55.0 | 2.63 | 20 | 0.0 | 0 | 1.88 | 0.44 | 12 | 54.8 | 3.05 | 12 | 67 | 12 |
| 1995 | 2.00 | 0.27 | 49 | 55.0 | 2.56 | 49 | 4.1 | 2 | 1.99 | 0.28 | 32 | 54.9 | 2.70 | 32 | 65 | 32 |
| 1996 | 1.91 | 0.33 | 25 | 55.2 | 2.50 | 25 | 16.0 | 4 | 1.93 | 0.36 | 20 | 55.5 | 2.66 | 20 | 83 | 20 |
| Pre-moratorium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984-91 | 1.67 | 0.36 | 585 | 52.7 | 3.72 | 927 | 16.8 | 150 | 1.67 | 0.35 | 413 | 52.6 | 3.72 | 645 | $\bigcirc 71$ | 647 |
| Moratoriu 1992-96 | 1.94 | 0.32 | 111 | 54.9 | 2.70 | 113 | 7.1 | 8 | 1.97 | 0.34 | 73 | 55.2 | 2.84 | 74 | 69 | 74 |

Table 4. Biological characteristics data for female small salmon and with sexes combined plus unsexed fish by year and for pre-moratorium (1984-91) and moratorium (1992-96) periods for Northeast River, Placentia Bay (SFA 10), Newfoundland. $\mathrm{WW}=$ whole weight (kg); $\mathrm{FL}=$ fork length (cm); RS = repeat spawning grilse.

| Sexes combined plus unsexed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |  | $\%$ <br> Female | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\bar{X} W W$ | SD | N | $\bar{X} F L$ | SD | N | \% RS | N | $\bar{X} W W$ | SD | N | $\bar{X} F L$ | SD | N |  |  |
| 1984 | 1.50 | 0.18 | 25 | 52.1 | 2.40 | 27 | 3.7 | 1 | 1.51 | 0.19 | 22 | 52.2 | 2.32 | 24 | 89 | 24 |
| 1985 | 1.55 | 0.24 | 51 | 51.6 | 3.26 | 51 | 7.8 | 4 | 1.56 | 0.24 | 47 | 51.8 | 3.25 | 47 | 92 | 47 |
| 1986 | 1.67 | 0.25 | 68 | 53.1 | 2.39 | 69 | 2.9 | 2 | 1.69 | 0.25 | 63 | 53.3 | 2.36 | 63. | 93 | 63 |
| 1987 | 1.40 |  | 1 | 52.6 | 5.09 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 1.40 |  | 1 | 49.0 |  | 1 | 100 | 1 |
| 1988 | 1.61 | 0.27 | 44 | 52.6 | 3.38 | 43 | 6.8 | 3 | 1.63 | 0.27 | 33 | 52.8 | 3.56 | 33 | 94 | 33 |
| 1989 | 1.71 | 0.22 | 24 | 53.7 | 2.85 | 25 | 8.0 | 2 | 1.72 | 0.24 | 19 | 53.9 | 2.64 | 19 | 95 | 19 |
| 1990 | 1.60 | 0.31 | 49 | 54.6 | 2.32 | 49 | 4.1 | 2 | 1.56 | 0.29 | 40 | 54.4 | 2.33 | 40 | 87 | 40 |
| 1991 | 1.00 |  | 1 | 47.5 |  | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1.00 |  | 1 | 47.5 |  | 1 | 100 | 1 |
| 1992 |  |  | 0 | 53.5 | 2.95 | 10 | 0.0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 53.6 | 3.13 | 9 | 100 | 9 |
| 1993 | 1.83 | 0.31 | 23 | 54.2 | 3.08 | 24 | 4.8 | 1 | 1.76 | 0.33 | 10 | 52.9 | 1.97 | 10 | 83 | 10 |
| 1994 | 1.62 | 0.44 | 30 | 55.2 | 3.14 | 30 | 40.9 | 9 | 1.73 | 0.24 | 5 | 55.0 | 2.69 | 5 | 100 | 5 |
| 1995 | 1.77 | 0.47 | 48 | 55.4 | 3.76 | 48 | 31.1 | 14 | 1.72 | 0.37 | 25 | 54.7 | 3.21 | 25 | 100 | 25 |
| 1996 | 1.83 | 0.44 | 71 | 55.5 | 3.71 | 70 | 30.0 | 21 | 1.81 | 0.42 | 45 | 55.3 | 3.45 | 44 | 98 | 45 |
| Pre-moratorium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984-91 | 1.61 | 0.26 | 263 | 52.9 | 2.96 | 267 | 5.2 | 14 | 1.61 | 0.26 | 226 | 53.0 | 2.91 | 228 | 92 | 228 |
| Moratorium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992-96 | 1.78 | 0.43 | 172 | 55.1 | 3.53 | 182 | 26.8 | 45 | 1.78 | 0.38 | 85 | 54.7 | 3.24 | 93 | 97 | 94 |

Table 5. Relative fecundity values used to calculate egg depositions for each river in SFAs 5,9 and 10.

| River | Year | Relative fecundity $\text { (No. eggs } / \mathrm{Kg} \text { ) }$ | $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SFA 5 |  |  |  |
| Middle Brook | 1984 | 1896 | 102 |
|  | 1985 | 1993 | 84 |
|  | 1986 | 1955 | 36 |
|  | 1987 | 2160 | 5 |
|  | 1988 | 2259 | 10 |
|  | 1990 | 1896 | 10 |
|  | 1993 | 2150 | 31 |
|  | Years combined | 1980 | 278 |
| Terra Nova River | 1984 | 1709 | 46 |
|  | 1985 | 2163 | 7 |
|  | 1986 | 1410 | 15 |
|  | 1987 | 2323 | 3 |
|  | 1990 | 2281 | 5 |
|  | 1993 | 1794 | 8 |
|  | Years combined | 1761 | 84 |
| SFA 9 |  |  |  |
| Biscay Bay River | 1984 | 1874 | 72 |
|  | 1985 | 2194 | 81 |
|  | 1986 | 2141 | 114 |
|  | 1987 | 2130 | 26 |
|  | 1988 | 1940 | 75 |
|  | 1994 | 2007 | 5 |
|  | Years combined | 2060 | 373 |
| SFA 10 |  |  |  |
| Northeast River, Placentia | 1984 | 2332 | 21 |
|  | 1985 | 2205 | 39 |
|  | 1986 | 2282 | 45 |
|  | 1988 | 2472 | 34 |
|  | 1990 | 2500 | 41 |
|  | 1993 | 2144 | 5 |
|  | Years combined | 2352 | 186 |

Table 6. Atlantic salmon conservation requirement for each river in terms of eggs and small salmón.

| River | Conservation requirement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eggs <br> (Millions) | Small salmon (No.) |
| SFA 5 |  |  |
| Middle Brook | 2.342 | 1012 |
| Terra Nova River | 14.303 | 7094 |
| SFA 9 |  |  |
| Biscay Bay River | 2.951 | 1134 |
| SFA 10 |  |  |
| Northeast River, Placentia | 0.719 | 224 |

Table 7. Counts of Atlantic salmon at Middle Brook fishway 1974-96, and lower Terra Nova River fishway 1978-96, Bonavista Bay (SFA 5). Partial counts are in parentheses and are not included in means.

| Year | Middle Brook |  | Terra Nova River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Small | Large |
| 1974 | (770) | (77) |  |  |
| 1975 | (1119) | (9) |  |  |
| 1976 |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 1403 | 16 | 810 | 20 |
| 1979 | (1350) | (54) | 569 | 170 |
| 1980 | 1712 | 91 | 843 | 39 |
| 1981 | 2414 | 39 | 1115 | 90 |
| 1982 | 1281 | 20 | 963 | 19 |
| 1983 | 1195 | 75 | 1210 | 57 |
| 1984 | 1379 | 57 | 1233 | 107 |
| 1985 | 904 | 27 | 1557 | 112 |
| 1986 | 1036 | 15 | 1051 | 140 |
| 1987 | 914 | 19 | 974 | 56 |
| 1988 | 772 | 14 | 1737 | 206 |
| 1989 | 496 | 19 | 1138 | 142 |
| 1990 | 745 | 13 | 1149 | 144 |
| 1991 | 562 | 14 | 873 | 114 |
| 1992 | 1182 | 43 | 1443 | 270 |
| 1993 | 1959 | 87 | (2713) | (470) |
| 1994 | 1513 | 90 | 1571 | 242 |
| 1995 | 1139 | 168 | 2258 | 634 |
| 1996 | 1751 | 161 | 2005 | 464 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{X}} 84-89$ | 917 | 25 | 1282 | 127 |
| 95\% LCL | 610 | 8 | 965 | 75 |
| 95\% UCL | 1223 | 42 | 1598 | 179 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| $\bar{\chi} 86-91$ | 754 | 16 | 1154 | 134 |
| 95\% LCL | 540 | 13 | 835 | 83 |
| 95\% UCL | 969 | 18 | 1473 | 185 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Х 92-95 | 1448 | 97 | 1996 | 404 |
| 95\% LCL | 845 | 14 | 1046 | 111 |
| 95\% UCL | 2052 | 180 | 2946 | 697 |
| N | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

Table 8. Counts of Atlantic salmon at the Biscay Bay River counting fence, St. Mary's Bay (SFA 9), 1983-96, and the Northeast River fishway, Placentia Bay SFA (10), 1974-95. Partial counts are in parentheses and are not included in means. Adjusted counts are bold and in italics.

| Year | Biscay Bay River |  | Northeast River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small salmon | Large salmon | Small salmon | Large salmon |
| 197.4 |  |  | 223 | 9 |
| 1975 |  |  | (186) | (36) |
| 1976 |  |  | 294 | 56 |
| 1977 |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  |  | 390 | 32 |
| 1979 |  |  | 454 | 37 |
| 1980 |  |  | 433 | 34 |
| 1981 |  |  | 334 | 62 |
| 1982 |  |  | 86 | 36 |
| 1983 | 2330 | 88 | 233 | 22 |
| 1984 | 2430 | 83 | 419 | 44 |
| 1985 | 1665 | 25 | 384 | 0 |
| 1986 | 2516 | 101 | 725 | 39 |
| 1987 | 1302 | 106 | 325 | 16 |
| 1988 | 1695 | 61 | 543 | 11 |
| 1989 | 912 | 107 | 706 | 15 |
| 1990 | 1657 | 71 | 551 | 25 |
| 1991 | 394 | 35 | 353 | 8 |
| 1992 | 1442 | 51 | 921 | 46 |
| 1993 | 1107 | 120 | 847 | 65 |
| 1994 | 1592 | 68 | 677 | 70 |
| 1995 | 1071 | 56 | 663 | 74 |
| 1996 | 1182 | 149 | 1225 | 123 |
| $\overline{\text { X } 84-89 ~}$ | 1753 | 81 | 517 | 21 |
| 95\% LCL | 1096 | 47 | 339 | 3 |
| 95\% UCL | 2411 | 114 | 695 | 39 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| $\bar{\chi}$ 86-91 | 1413 | 80 | 534 | 19 |
| 95\% LCL | 647 | 49 | 356 | 7 |
| 95\% UCL | 2178 | 111 | 711 | 31 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| $\overline{\text { x 92-95 }}$ | 1303 | 74 | 777 | 64 |
| 95\% LCL | 897 | 23 | 574 | 44 |
| 95\% UCL | 1709 | 124 | 980 | 83 |
| N | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

Table 9. Total river returns, spawning escapement, and percentage of conservation requirement achieved in terms of small salmon and eggs for Middle Brook and Terra Nova River (SFA 5), 1984-96.

| Year | Total returns |  | Prop. <br> Large | Spawning escapement |  | Egg deposition (Millions) |  | $\%$ cons. req. achieved |  | Eggs per <br> 240 sq. m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large |  | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Eggs |  |
| Middle Brook |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 1675 | 57 | 0.033 | 1265 | 57 | 2.804 | 0.260 | 125 | 131 | 1161 |
| 1985 | 1283 | 27 | 0.021 | 745 | 27 | 1.838 | 0.130 | 74 | 84 | 745 |
| 1986 | 1547 | 15 | 0.010 | 758 | 15 | 2.014 | 0.071 | 75 | 89 | 789 |
| 1987 | 1053 | 19 | 0.018 | 866 | 19 | 2.006 | 0.091 | 86 | 90 | 794 |
| 1988 | 1337 | 14 | 0.010 | 629 | 14 | 1.211 | 0.067 | 62 | 55 | 484 |
| 1989 | 626 | 19 | 0.029 | 461 | 19 | 1.068 | 0.091 | 46 | 49 | 439 |
| 1990 | 1070 | 13 | 0.012 | 721 | 13 | 1.670 | 0.062 | 71 | 74 | 656 |
| 1991 | 763 | 14 | 0.018 | 485 | 14 | 1.124 | 0.067 | 48 | 51 | 451 |
| 1992 | 1563 | 43 | 0.027 | 1140 | 43 | 3.260 | 0.205 | 113 | 148 | 1312 |
| 1993 | 2247 | 88 | 0.038 | 1909 | 84 | 5.148 | 0.436 | 189 | 238 | 2115 |
| 1994 | 1844 | 90 | 0.047 | 1423 | 90 | 3.648 | 0.429 | 141 | 174 | 1544 |
| 1995 | 1448 | 168 | 0.104 | 1037 | 168 | 1.872 | 0.801 | 103 | 114 | 1012 |
| 1996 | 2112 | 161 | 0.071 | 1605 | 161 | 5.081 | 0.767 | 159 | 250 | 2215 |
| Terra Nova River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 1534 | 107 | 0.065 | 1100 | 107 | 2.184 | 0.440 | 16 | 18 | 80 |
| 1985 | 2012 | 112 | 0.053 | 1431 | 112 | 2.830 | 0.475 | 20 | 23 | 101 |
| 1986 | 1459 | 140 | 0.088 | 974 | 140 | 1.817 | 0.593 | 14 | 17 | 74 |
| 1987 | 1404 | 56 | 0.038 | 940 | 56 | 1.812 | 0.237 | 13 | 14 | 63 |
| 1988 | 2114 | 206 | 0.089 | 1617 | 206 | 3.198 | 0.873 | 23 | 28 | 125 |
| 1989 | 1377 | 142 | 0.093 | 1085 | 142 | 2.146 | 0.602 | 15 | 19 | 84 |
| 1990 | 1518 | 144 | 0.087 | 1052 | 144 | 2.081 | 0.610 | 15 | 19 | 82 |
| 1991 | 1127 | 114 | 0.092 | 815 | 114 | 1.612 | 0.483 | 11 | 15 | 64 |
| 1992 | 1780 | 270 | 0.132 | 1371 | 270 | 2.899 | 1.144 | 19 | 28 | 124 |
| 1993 | 3050 | 472 | 0.134 | 2620 | 467 | 5.540 | 1.977 | 37 | 53 | 230 |
| 1994 | 2035 | 246 | 0.108 | 1305 | 232 | 2.759 | 0.985 | 18 | 26 | 115 |
| 1995 | 2638 | 638 | 0.195 | 1835 | 587 | 3.881 | 2.486 | 26 | 45 | 195 |
| 1996 | 2575 | 472 | 0.155 | 1577 | 429 | 3.334 | 1.818 | 22 | 36 | 158 |

Table 10. Total river returns, spawning escapement, and percentage of conservation requirement achieved in terms of small salmon and eggs for Biscay Bay River, St. Mary's Bay (SFA 9), and Northeast River, Placentia Bay (SFA 10), 1984-96.

| Year | Total returns |  | Prop. <br> Large | Spawning escapement |  | Egg deposition (Millions) |  | $\%$ cons. req. achieved |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eggs per } \\ & 240 \text { sq. } \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large |  | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Eggs |  |
| Biscay Bay River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 2430 | 83 | 0.033 | 2108 | 83 | 4.224 | 0.374 | 186 | 156 | 562 |
| $1985^{1}$ | 1926 | 25 | 0.013 | 1397 | 25 | 3.597 | 0.132 | 123 | 126 | 456 |
| 1986 | 2688 | 101 | 0.036 | 2184 | 101 | 6.255 | 0.520 | 193 | 230 | 829 |
| 1987 | 1393 | 106 | 0.071 | 1171 | 106 | 2.968 | 0.543 | 103 | 119 | 430 |
| 1988 | 1802 | 61 | 0.033 | 1333 | 61 | 3.161 | 0.285 | 118 | 117 | 422 |
| 19891 | 1004 | 107 | 0.096 | 828 | 107 | 2.022 | 0.531 | 73 | 87 | 312 |
| 1990 | 1670 | 73 | 0.042 | 1328 | 73 | 3.244 | 0.362 | 117 | 122 | 441 |
| 1991 | 394 | 35 | 0.082 | 384 | 35 | 0.938 | 0.174 | 34 | 38 | 136 |
| 1992 ${ }^{1}$ | 1467 | 51 | 0.034 | 1393 | 51 | 3.901 | 0.253 | 123 | 141 | 508 |
| 1993 ${ }^{1}$ | 1117 | 120 | 0.097 | 814 | 120 | 2.280 | 0.595 | 72 | 97 | 352 |
| 1994 | 1600 | 68 | 0.041 | 1382 | 68 | 3.869 | 0.337 | 122 | 143 | 515 |
| 1995 | 1151 | 56 | 0.046 | 754 | 56 | 2.009 | 0.278 | 66 | 77 | 280 |
| 1996 | 1217 | 149 | 0.109 | 974 | 149 | 2.727 | 0.739 | 86 | 117 | 424 |
| Northeast River, Placentia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 459 | 44 | 0.087 | 389 | 44 | 1.219 | 0.247 | 174 | 204 | 1084 |
| 1985 | 519 | 0 | 0.000 | 346 | 0 | 1.095 | 0.000 | 154 | 152 | 810 |
| 1986 | 879 | 39 | 0.042 | 645 | 39 | 2.313 | 0.214 | 288 | 352 | 1870 |
| 1987 | 350 | 16 | 0.044 | 317 | 16 | 1.104 | 0.091 | 142 | 166 | 884 |
| 1988 | 637 | 11 | 0.017 | 451 | 11 | 1.708 | 0.065 | 201 | 247 | 1312 |
| 1989 | 809 | 15 | 0.018 | 599 | 15 | 2.087 | 0.085 | 267 | 302 | 1606 |
| 1990 | 699 | 25 | 0.035 | 526 | 25 | 1.785 | 0.150 | 235 | 269 | 1431 |
| 1991 | 368 | 8 | 0.021 | 349 | 8 | 1.216 | 0.045 | 156 | 175 | 933 |
| 1992 | 956 | 46 | 0.046 | 919 | 46 | 3.732 | 0.260 | 410 | 555 | 2953 |
| 1993 | 980 | 65 | 0.062 | 842 | 65 | 3.419 | 0.368 | 376 | 527 | 2801 |
| 1994 | 710 | 70 | 0.090 | 670 | 70 | 2.721 | 0.396 | 299 | 434 | 2306 |
| 1995 | 774 | 74 | 0.087 | 646 | 74 | 2.613 | 0.419 | 288 | 422 | 2243 |
| 1996 | 1420 | 123 | 0.080 | 1102 | 123 | 4.598 | 0.696 | 492 | 736 | 3916 |

${ }^{1}$ Based on adjusted count.

Table 11. Data used to estimate total stock size and anticipated returns in 1997 for Middle Brook.
The smolt age distribution is $50 \% 3+$ and $50 \% 4+$. Conservation requirement $=1012$.

| Spawning <br> Year (i) | Recruit years |  | Total river escapement Yeari | Total recruits Yeari | Spawning escapement Yeari | Recruits at smolt age |  |  | No. of recruits/spawner (R/S ratio) |  |  |  | Smolt age distribution |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3+ |  |  | 4+ | Total | 3+ | 4+ | Total | Recruit |  |  |
|  | (i+5) | (i+6) |  |  |  | (i+5) | (i+6) |  | (i+5) | (i+6) |  | Year | 3+ | 4+ |
| 74 | 79 | 80 |  | 975 | 2438 | 903 | 1714 | 2641 | 4355 | 1.8978 | 2.9250 | 4.8228 | 4.9290 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 75 |  |  | 1426 | 3565 | 1318 | 2641 | 3560 | 6201 | 2.0040 | 2.7011 | 4.7050 | 6.3337 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 76 | 81 | 82 | 1053 | 2633 | 980 | 3560 | 2068 | 5628 | 3.6327 | 2.1097 | 5.7423 | 2.8800 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 77 |  |  | 2883 | 7208 | 2684 | 2068 | 1838 | 3905 | 0.7703 | 0.6846 | 1.4549 | 1.8395 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 78 | 83 | 84 | 1692 | 4230 | 1591 | 1838 | 2094 | 3931 | 1.1549 | 1.3160 | 2.4709 | 2.8521 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 79 |  |  | 1371 | 3428 | 1363 | 2094 | 1604 | 3698 | 1.5361 | 1.1766 | 2.7128 | 1.9899 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 80 | 85 | 86 | 2113 | 5283 | 1972 | 1604 | 1934 | 3538 | 0.8133 | 0.9806 | 1.7939 | 1.6979 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 81 |  |  | 2848 | 7120 | 2696 | 1934 | 1316 | 3250 | 0.7173 | 0.4882 | 1.2055 | 1.3525 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 82 | 87 | 88 | 1654 | 4135 | 1523 | 1316 | 1671 | 2988 | 0.8642 | 1.0973 | 1.9616 | 2.3137 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 83 |  |  | 1470 | 3675 | 1374 | 1671 | 783 | 2454 | 1.2163 | 0.5695 | 1.7858 | 1.1881 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 84 | 89 | 90 | 1675 | 4188 | 1265 | 783 | 1338 | 2120 | 0.6186 | 1.0573 | 1.6759 | 2.8526 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 85 |  |  | 1283 | 3208 | 745 | 1338 | 954 | 2291 | 1.7953 | 1.2802 | 3.0755 | 2.5384 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 86 | 91 | 92 | 1547 | 3868 | 758 | 954 | 782 | 1735 | 1.2582 | 1.0310 | 2.2892 | 1.9334 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 87 |  |  | 1053 | 2633 | 866 | 782 | 1124 | 1905 | 0.9024 | 1.2973 | 2.1998 | 3.0835 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 88 | 93 | 94 | 1337 | 3343 | 629 | 1124 | 922 | 2046 | 1.7862 | 1.4658 | 3.2520 | 3.4658 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 89 |  |  | 626 | 1565 | 461 | 922 | 724 | 1646 | 2.0000 | 1.5705 | 3.5705 | 2.5747 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 90 | 95 | 96 | 1070 | 2675 | 721 | 724 | 1056 | 1780 | 1.0042 | 1.4646 | 2.4688 | 3.6420 |  |  |
| 91 |  |  | 763 | 1908 | 485 | 1056 |  |  | 2.1773 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | 97 | 98 | 1563 | 1563 | 1140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93 |  |  | 2247 | 2247 | 1909 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | 99 | 00 | 1844 | 1844 | 1423 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95 |  |  | 1448 | 1448 | 1037 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 96 | 01 | 02 | 2112 | 2112 | 1605 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 98 | 03 | 04 | Anticipated returns in 1997 (based on the mean R/S in 1993-96) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Source | R/S ratios |  | No. of small |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 1.06 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3+ | 4+ | 3+ | $4+$ | Total |  |
| Mean | 1.7419 | 1.4496 | 1986 | 703 | 2689 | 2854 |
| Hi | 2.1773 | 1.5705 | 2482 | 762 | 3244 | 3443 |
| Low | 1.0042 | 1.2973 | 1145 | 629 | 1774 | 1883 |

Estimate of precision

| Comparison of observed \& expected in 1995-96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recruit | R/S ratios | Est. no. of small |  |  | Difference |  |
| Year | 3+ | $4+$ | $3+$ | 4+ | Total (Obs-exp) | \% |
| 95* | 1.5530 | 1.2575 | 1120 | 580 | 1699 -251 | -17 |
| 96** | 1.4145 | 1.3339 | 686 | 962 | 1648 464 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | 2 |

[^0]Table 12. Data used to estimate total stock size and anticipated returns in 1997 for Biscay Bay River. Conservation spawning requirement $=1134$.

| Spawning <br> Year (i) | Recruit years |  | Total river escapement Year i | Total recruits Yeari | Spawning escapement Yeari | Recruits at smolt age |  |  | No. of recruits/spawner (R/S ratio) |  |  |  | Smolt age distribution |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $3+$ |  |  | 4+ | Total | 3+ | $4+$ | Total | Recruit |  |  |
|  | (i+5) | (i+6) |  |  |  | (i+5) | (i+6) |  | (i+5) | (i+6) |  | Year | $3+$ | $4+$ |
| 74 | 79 | 80 |  | 507 | 1268 | 436 | 2459 | 1314 | 3772 | 5.6388 | 3.0128 | 8.6517 | 8.6516 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
|  |  |  | 771 | 1928 | 663 | 3739 | 1969 | 5708 | 5.6388 | 2.9693 | 8.6081 | 8.3992 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
| 76 | 81 | 82 | 1200 | 3000 | 1032 | 5604 | 1704 | 7307 | 5.4299 | 1.6508 | 7.0807 | 7.1301 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
|  |  |  | 1029 | 2573 | 885 | 4849 | 1922 | 6771 | 5.4793 | 2.1720 | 7.6513 | 9.5342 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
| 78 | 83 | 84 | 864 | 2160 | 743 | 5470 | 1580 | 7050 | 7.3623 | 2.1257 | 9.4880 | 6.0590 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
|  |  |  | 1329 | 3323 | 1143 | 4496 | 1252 | 5747 | 3.9333 | 1.0953 | 5.0286 | 3.1454 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
| 80 | 85 | 86 | 2021 | 5053 | 1738 | 3563 | 1747 | 5310 | 2.0500 | 1.0053 | 3.0553 | 2.9142 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
|  |  |  | 3029 | 7573 | 2605 | 4973 | 905 | 5878 | 1.9090 | 0.3476 | 2.2566 | 1.4909 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
| 82 | 87 | 88 | 2621 | 6553 | 2254 | 2577 | 1171 | 3748 | 1.1433 | 0.5196 | 1.6629 | 1.8306 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
|  |  |  | 2957 | 7393 | 2543 | 3334 | 653 | 3986 | 1.3109 | 0.2566 | 1.5675 | 1.1377 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
| 84 | 89 | 90 | 2430 | 6075 | 2108 | 1857 | 1086 | 2943 | 0.8811 | 0.5149 | 1.3961 | 2.7265 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
|  |  |  | 1926 | 4815 | 1397 | 3090 | 256 | 3346 | 2.2115 | 0.1833 | 2.3948 | 0.5171 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
| 86 | 91 | 92 | 2688 | 6720 | 2184 | 729 | 381 | 1110 | 0.3337 | 0.1746 | 0.5084 | 1.1017 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
|  |  |  | 1393 | 3483 | 1171 | 1086 | 290 | 1376 | 0.9271 | 0.2480 | 1.1751 | 0.8681 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
| 88 | 93 | 94 | 1802 | 4505 | 1333 | 827 | 416 | 1243 | 0.6201 | 0.3121 | 0.9322 | 1.7420 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
|  |  |  | 1004 | 2510 | 828 | 1184 | 299 | 1483 | 1.4300 | 0.3614 | 1.7914 | 1.0028 | 0.74 | 0.26 |
| 90 | 95 | 96 | 1670 | 4175 | 1328 | 852 | 316 | 1168 | 0.6414 | 0.2383 | 0.8796 | 2.5835 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 394 | 985 | 384 | 901 |  |  | 2.3453 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | 97 | 98 | 1467 | 1467 | 1393 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1117 | 1117 | 814 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| '94 | 99 | 00 | 1600 | 1600 | 1382 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1151 | 1151 | 754 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 96 | 01 | 02 | 1217 | 1217 | 974 | Anticipate | urns in 199 | 7 (based on | the mean | S in 1993 |  |  |  |  |
| 98 | 03 | 04 |  |  |  |  | R/S ra | Lios |  | No. of small |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Source | $3+$ | $4+$ | $3+$ | $4+$ | Total | 1.07 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | 1.2592 | 0.2899 | 1754 | 111 | 1865 | 1998 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Hi | 2.3453 | 0.3614 | 3267 | 139 | 3406 | 3648 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | 0.6201 | 0.2383 | 864 | 91 | 955 | 1023 |  |  |

Estimate of precision Comparison of observed \& expected in 1995.96

| Recruit Year | R/S ratios |  | Est. no. of small |  |  | Difference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $3+$ | 4+ | $3+$ | 4+ | Total | (Obs-exp) | \% |
| 95* | 0.9924 | 0.2449 | 1318 | 203 | 1521 | -370 | -23 |
| 96** | 0.9046 | 0.2740 | 347 | 364 | 711 | 506 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | 10 |

NB - the average used for anticipated retums is for 4 years.

* From O'Connell et al. (MS 1995)
** From O'Connell et al. (MS 1996)

Table 13. Results of statistical analyses comparing various factors between the moratorium (1992-96) and pre-moratorium (1984-91) periods for each river.

| Factor | Middle Brook |  | Terra Nova River |  | Biscay Bay River |  | Northeast River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Z | P | Z | P | Z | P | Z | P |
| Total river returns (No.) - small salmon | 2.42 | 0.0157 | 2.42 | 0.0157 | 1.10 | 0.2723 | 2.27 | 0.0233 |
| - large salmon | 2.72 | 0.0066 | 2.85 | 0.0043 | 0.37 | 0.7144 | 2.85 | 0.0043 |
| Proportion of large salmon | 2.56 | 0.0104 | 2.85 | 0.0043 | 1.10 | 0.2723 | 2.27 | 0.0233 |
| Proportion of repeat spawning grilse | $-1.39$ | 0.1643 | -1.98 | 0.0481 | -1.98 | 0.0478 | 1.40 | 0:1620 |
| Whole weight ( kg ) | -8.36 | 0.0001 | 4.79 | 0.0001 | 7.88 | 0.0001 | 4.14 | 0.0001 |
| Fork length (cm) | -10.22 | 0.0001 | 7.59 | 0.0001 | 6.91 | 0.0001 | 6.13 | 0.0001 |

Table 14. Individual regressions of $\ln$ whole weight ( kg ) on $\ln$ fork length ( cm ) for the moratorium (1992-96) and pre-moratorium (1984-91) periods for each river.

| River | Period | Equation | r-sq. | P | df |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle Brook | Pre-moratorium | $\ln Y=2.5720 \ln X-15.6286$ | 0.7074 | 0.0001 | 423 |
|  | Moratorium | $\ln Y=2.7369 \ln X-16.6602$ | 0.6493 | 0.0001 | 388 |
| Terra Nova River | Pre-moratorium | $\ln \mathrm{Y}=2.3787 \ln \mathrm{X}-14.4027$ | 0.6303 | 0.0001 | 543 |
|  | Moratorium | $\ln Y=2.7471 \ln X-16.7428$ | 0.7323 | 0.0001 | 327 |
| Biscay Bay River | Pre-moratorium | $\ln \mathrm{Y}=2.5591 \ln \mathrm{X}-15.5484$ | 0.7344 | 0.0001 | 501 |
|  | Moratorium | $\ln Y=2.6623 \ln X-16.1587$ | 0.5996 | 0.0001 | 92 |
| Northeast River | Pre-moratorium | $\ln Y=2.0489 \ln X-12.3841$ | 0.4917 | 0.0001 | 260 |
|  | Moratorium | $\ln Y=2.6438 \ln X-16.1066$ | 0.5503 | 0.0001 | 95 |

Table 15. Adjusted means (in scale) resulting from the general linear model analyses for the moratorium (1984-91) and pre-moratorum (1992-96) periods and overall model r-square for each river. See text for more details.

| River | Period | Adjusted mean ln scale (P) | Model r-sq. (P) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle Brook | Pre-moratorium | 0.4283 (0.8964) | 0.7009 (0.0001) |
|  | Moratorium | 0.4268 |  |
| Terra Nova River | Pre-moratorium | 0.4879 (0.0030) | 0.6735 (0.0001) |
|  | Moratorium | 0.4585 |  |
| Biscay Bay River | Pre-moratorium | 0.4999 (0.0010) | 0.7401 (0.0001) |
|  | Moratorium | 0.5402 |  |
| Northeast River | Pre-moratorium | 0.4866 (0.0161) | 0.5412 (0.0001) |
|  | Moratorium | 0.5098 |  |



Fig. 1. Map showing Salmon Fishing Areas of Newfoundland and Labrador and the locations of the four rivers mentioned in the text (1) Middle Brook; (2) Terra Nova River; (3) Biscay Bay River; (4) Northeast River, Placentia.


Fig. 2. Counts of small and large salmon at the lower Terra Nova River fishway and Middle Brook fishway, 1974-96. The thin solid horizontal line represents the 1984-89 mean, the broken line the 1986-91 mean and the thick solid line the 1992-95 mean. $\mathrm{P}=$ partial count not included in means.


Fig. 3. Counts of small and large salmon at the Biscay Bay River counting fence, and the Northeast River fishway, 1974-96. The thin solid horizontal line represents the 1984-89 mean, the broken line the 1986-91 mean and the thick solid line the 1992-95 mean. $\mathrm{A}=$ adjusted count and $\mathrm{P}=$ partial count not included in means.
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Fig. 4. Cumulative percentage of small salmon counted by day for Middle Brook in 1985-96. Days are standardized for all years and the date on which the first fish was counted is denoted by an arrow.

## Terra Nova River














Fig. 5. Cumulative percentage of small salmon counted by day for Terra Nova River in 1985-96. Days are standardized for all years and the date on which the first fish was counted is denoted by an arrow.


Fig. 6. Cumulative percentage of small salmon counted by day for Biscay Bay River in 1985-96. Days are standardized for all years and the date on which the first fish was counted is denoted by an arrow.

## Northeast River (Placentia)














Fig. 7. Cumulative percentage of small salmon counted by day for Northeast River, Placentia in 1985-96. Days are standardized for all years and the date on which the first fish was counted is denoted by an arrow.


Fig. 8. Percentage conservation egg requirement achieved for Middle Brook and Terra Nova River (SFA 5), Biscay Bay River (SFA 9) and Northeast River, Placentia (SFA 10), 1984-96.


Fig. 9. Number of small salmon spawners and recruits, lagged and totalled according to smolt age (A), number of small salmon produced (years i+5,6) per spawner (year i) (B), number of small salmon spawners, 1975-96, and anticipated returns in 1997 in relation to conservation spawner requirement (C), and the total number of small salmon produced (recruits), 1975-96, and anticipated returns for 1997 (D) for Middle Brook.

A - Stock \& recruit for Biscay Bay River small salmon based on 3+ \& 4+ smolt ages


In Biscay Bay Rlver


米 Spawnors MAntlelpatod 97 - HI 97 Low 97 - Consorvation thresold

B - Number of salmon produced per spawner for Biscay Bay River based on year of return



Fig. 10. Number of small salmon spawners and recruits, lagged and totalled according to smolt age (A), number of small salmon produced (years i+5,6) per spawner (year i) (B), number of small salmon spawners, 1975-96, and anticipated returns in 1997 in relation to conservation spawner requirement (C), and the total number of small salmon produced (recruits), 1975-96, and anticipated returns for 1997 (D) for Biscay Bay River.

Atlantic salmon in Middle Brook
Parents to future spawners (small)


Fig. 11. The relationship between parents and spawners (after exploitation), the replacement (diagonal) line, and conservation spawner requirement (horizontal) line for small salmon for Middle Brook.

Atlantic salmon in Biscay Bay River Parents to future spawners (small)


Fig. 12. The relationship between parents and spawners (after exploitation), the replacement (diagonal) line, and conservation spawner requirement (horizontal) line for small salmon for Biscay Bay River.

Middle Brook
Conservation Spawner Requirement


Fig. 13. Graphic representation showing the impact of selecting a particular percentage of conservation egg requirement to come from small salmon on the number of large salmon required to make up the remainder of the egg requirement, for Middle Brook. See text for further explanation.

## Terra Nova River

Conservation Spawner Requirement


- Small - Large

Fig. 14. Graphic representation showing the impact of selecting a particular percentage of conservation egg requirement to come from small salmon on the number of large salmon required to make up the remainder of the egg requirement, for Terra Nova River. See text for further explanation.

## Biscay Bay River <br> Conservation Spawner Requirement



- Small - Large

Fig. 15. Graphic representation showing the impact of selecting a particular percentage of conservation egg requirement to come from small salmon on the number of large salmon required to make up the remainder of the egg requirement, for Biscay Bay River. See text for further explanation.

## Northeast River, Placentia

## Conservation Spawner Requirement



Fig. 16. Graphic representation showing the impact of selecting a particular percentage of conservation egg requirement to come from small salmon on the number of large salmon required to make up the remainder of the egg requirement, for Northeast River, Placentia. See text for further explanation.

Appendix 1. Atlantic salmon recreational fishery catch and effort data for Middle Brook, Bonavista Bay (SFA 5), 1974-96. Ret. $=$ retained fish; Rel. $=$ released fish.

| Year | Effort <br> Rod Days | Small ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Large ( $>=63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Total (Small + Large) |  |  | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. |  |
| 1974 | 1823 | 277 |  | 277 | 11 | . | 11 | 288 | . | 288 | 0.16 |
| 1975 | 1635 | 415 |  | 415 | 8 | . | 8 | 423 |  | 423 | 0.26 |
| 1976 | 1339 | 280 |  | 280 | 2 |  | 2 | 282 |  | 282 | 0.21 |
| 1977 | 1511 | 767 |  | 767 | 3 | . | 3 | 770 |  | 770 | 0.51 |
| 1978 | 1322 | 391 |  | 391 | 1 |  | 1 | 392 |  | 392 | 0.30 |
| 1979 | 211 | 28 |  | 28 | 0 | . | 0 | 28 |  | 28 | 0.13 |
| 1980 | 1358 | 542 | - | 542 | 2 | . | 2 | 544 |  | 544 | 0.40 |
| 1981 | 1574 | 587 |  | 587 | 0 | . | 0 | 587 | . | 587 | 0.37 |
| 1982 | 2481 | 504 | . | 504 | 8 | . | 8 | 512 |  | 512 | 0.21 |
| 1983 | 1505 | 372 | . | 372 | 20 | - | 20 | 392 |  | 392 | 0.26 |
| 1984 | 2712 | 410 | - | 410 | 0 |  | 0 | 410 |  | 410 | 0.15 |
| 1985 | 2319 | 538 |  | 538 | * |  | 0 | 538 |  | 538 | 0.23 |
| 1986 | 2307 | 789 |  | 789 | * | . | 0 | 789 |  | 789 | 0.34 |
| 1987 | 840 | 187 | - | 187 | * | . | 0 | 187 |  | 187 | 0.22 |
| 1988 | 1545 | 708 | . | 708 | * | . | 0 | 708 |  | 708 | 0.46 |
| 1989 | 712 | 165 | . | 165 | * |  | 0 | 165 |  | 165 | 0.23 |
| 1990 | 949 | 349 |  | 349 | * |  | 0 | 349 |  | 349 | 0.37 |
| 1991 | 903 | 278 |  | 278 | * | . | 0 | 278 |  | 278 | 0.31 |
| 1992 | 1584 | 423 | 17 | 440 | * | 0 | 0 | 423 | 17 | 440 | 0.28 |
| 1993 | 1327 | 299 | 387 | 686 | * | 37 | 37 | 299 | 424 | 723 | 0.54 |
| 1994 | 2049 | 409 | 122 | 531 | * | 0 | 0 | 409 | 122 | 531 | 0.26 |
| 1995 | 2657 | 402 | 82 | 484 | * | 0 | 0 | 402 | 82 | 484 | 0.18 |
| 1996 | 2481 | 476 | 153 | 629 | * | 0 | 0 | 476 | 153 | 629 | 0.25 |
| 84-89 $\bar{X}$ | 1919.0 | 522.0 |  | 522.0 | - | . | . | 522.0 |  | 522.0 | 0.27 |
| 95\% CL | 988.5 | 308.0 |  | 308.0 | . |  |  | 308.0 |  | 308.0 | 0.15 |
| N | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | - 5 |
| 86-91 $\bar{X}$ | 1283.2 | 457.8 | - | 457.8 | - | . | . | 457.8 |  | 457.8 | 0.36 |
| 95\% CL | 809.1 | 341.2 |  | 341.2 |  | . |  | 341.2 |  | 341.2 | 0.09 |
| N | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 92-95 $\bar{\chi}$ | 1904.3 | 383.3 | 152.0 | 535.3 | - | 9.3 | 9.3 | 383.3 | 161.3 | 544.5 | 0.29 |
| 95\% CL | 929.2 | 90.4 | 258.6 | 170.5 |  | 29.4 | 29.4 | 90.4 | 287.1 | 198.3 | 0.21 |
| N | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

1987 DATA NOT INCLUDED IN MEAN.
IN THE ABOVE TABLE A PERIOD INDICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR
CPUE IS BASED ON RETAINED + RELEASED FISH FOR 1992 - 96 AND ON RETAINED FISH ONLY PRIOR TO 1992.

- NOT ALLOWED TO RETAIN LARGE SALMON IN INSULAR NEWFOUNDLAND

Appendix 2. Attantic salmon recreational fishery catch and effort data for Terra Nova River, Bonavista Bay (SFA 5), $1974-96$. Ret. $=$ retained fish; Rel. $=$ released fish.

| Year | Effort Rod Days | Small (<63 cm) |  |  | Large ( $>=63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Total (Small + Large) |  |  | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. |  |
| 1974 | 2098 | 243 | - | 243 | 5 | . | 5 | 248 | - | 248 | 0.12 |
| 1975 | 1723 | 506 | . | 506 | 2 | . | 2 | 508 | . | 508 | 0.29 |
| 1976 | 1236 | 424 |  | 424 | 7 | - | 7 | 431 | - | 431 | 0.35 |
| 1977 | 1956 | 850 | . | 850 | 13 | . | 13 | 863 | . | 863 | 0.44 |
| 1978 | 1608 | 628 | . | 628 | 6 | . | 6 | 634 | - | 634 | 0.39 |
| 1979 | 910 | 537 | . | 537 | 15 | . | 15 | 552 | . | 552 | 0.61 |
| 1980 | 872 | 512 | - | 512 | 22 | . | - 22 | 534 | - | 534 | 0.61 |
| 1981 | 1303 | 739 | . | 739 | 33 | - | 33 | 772 |  | 772 | 0.59 |
| 1982 | 1174 | 465 | - | 465 | 24 | . | 24 | 489 | - | 489 | 0.42 |
| 1983 | 2157 | 486 | . | 486 | 43 | . | 43 | 529 | . | 529 | 0.25 |
| 1984 | 2042 | 636 | - | 636 | 0 | - | 0 | 636 | . | 636 | 0.31 |
| 1985 | 1810 | 751 | . | 751 | , | . | 0 | 751 |  | 751 | 0.41 |
| 1986 | 1485 | 620 | . | 620 | * | . | 0 | 620 | - | 620 | 0.42 |
| 1987 | 1764 | 546 | . | 546 | * | . | 0 | 546 | - | 546 | 0.31 |
| 1988 | 1613 | 682 |  | 682 | * | - | 0 | 682 | . | 682 | 0.42 |
| 1989 | 1946 | 357 |  | 357 | * | - | 0 | 357 |  | 357 | 0.18 |
| 1990 | 2165 | 624 | - | 624 | * | . | 0 | 624 |  | 624 | 0.29 |
| 1991 | 1701 | 448 | . | 448 | * |  | 0 | 448 | - | 448 | 0.26 |
| 1992 | 2488 | 409 | 141 | 550 | * | 0 | 0 | 409 | 141 | 550 | 0.22 |
| 1993 | 3925 | 484 | 569 | 1053 | * | 62 | 62 | 484 | 631 | 1115 | 0.28 |
| 1994 | 5853 | 822 | 178 | 1000 | * | 44 | 44 | 822 | - 222 | 1044 | 0.18 |
| 1995 | 6042 | 696 | 132 | 828 | * | 72 | 72 | 696 | 204 | 900 | 0.15 |
| 1996 | 5933 | 896 | 260 | 1156 | * | 113 | 113 | 896 | 373 | 1269 | 0.21 |
| 84-89 $\bar{x}$ | 1779.2 | 609.2 | - | 609.2 | - | - | . | 609.2 | - | 609.2 | 0.34 |
| 95\% CL | 285.8 | 186.1 | . | 186.1 | . | , |  | 186.1 |  | 186.1 | 0.13 |
| N | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86-91 $\bar{X}$ | 1782.0 | 546.2 | - | 546.2 | - | - | - | 546.2 | - | 546.2 | 0.31 |
| $95 \% \mathrm{CL}$ | $338.2$ | 170.4 | - | 170.4 | . | . | . | 170.4 |  | 170.4 | 0.12 |
| N | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 92-95 $\bar{X}$ | 4577.0 | 602.8 | 255.0 | 857.8 | - | 44.5 | 44.5 | 602.8 | 299.5 | 902.3 | 0.20 |
| 95\% CL | 2688.0 | 302.4 | 334.6 | 360.4 | . | 50.7 | 50.7 | 302.4 | 355.9 | 399.8 | 0.09 |
| N | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

## 1987 DATA NOT INCLUDED IN MEAN

IN THE ABOVE TABLE A PERIOD INDICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR.
CPUE IS BASED ON RETAINED + RELEASED FISH FOR 1992-96 AND ON RETAINED FISH ONLY PRIOR TO 1992.

- NOT ALLOWED TO RETAIN LARGE SALMON IN INSULAR NEWFOUNDLAND.

Appendix 3 Atlantic salmon recreational fishery catch and effort data for Biscay Bay River, St. Mary's Bay (SFA 9), 1974-96. Ret. $=$ retained fish; Rel. $=$ released fish.

| Year | Effort <br> Rod Days | Small ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Large (>=63 cm) |  |  | Total (Small + Large) |  |  | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. |  |
| 1974 | 1043 | 71 | . | 71 | 1 | . | 1 | 72 | . | 72 | 0.07 |
| 1975 | 1553 | 108 | . | 108 | 0 | . | 0 | 108 | . | 108 | 0.07 |
| 1976 | 1074 | 168 | . | 168 | 0 | . | 0 | 168 |  | 168 | 0.16 |
| 1977 | 1607 | 144 | . | 144 | 0 | . | 0 | 144 |  | 144 | 0.09 |
| 1978 | 1790 | 121 | . | 121 | 5 | . | 5 | 126 | . | 126 | 0.07 |
| 1979 | 612 | 186 | . | 186 | 5 | . | 5 | 191 |  | 191 | 0.31 |
| 1980 | 392 | 283 | . | 283 | 32 | . | 32 | 315 | - | 315 | 0.80 |
| 1981 | 1181 | 424 | . | 424 | 31 | . | 31 | 455 |  | 455 | 0.39 |
| 1982 | 1044 | 367 | . | 367 | 9 | . | 9 | 376 | - | 376 | 0.36 |
| 1983 | 1064 | 414 | . | 414 | 10 | . | 10 | 424 | . | 424 | 0.40 |
| 1984 | 915 | 322 | . | 322 | 0 | . | 0 | 322 |  | 322 | 0.35 |
| 1985 | 1121 | 290 | . | 290 | , | . | 0 | 290 |  | 290 | 0.26 |
| 1986 | 1124 | 393 | . | 393 | * | . | 0 | 393 | . | 393 | 0.35 |
| 1987 | 1062 | 101 | . | 101 | * | - | 0 | 101 | . | 101 | 0.10 |
| 1988 | 1221 | 349 | . | 349 | * | . | 0 | 349 |  | 349 | 0.29 |
| 1989 | 965 | 102 | . | 102 | * | . | 0 | 102 |  | 102 | 0.11 |
| 1990 | 1165 | 232 |  | 232 | * | . | 0 | 232 |  | 232 | 0.20 |
| 1991 | 1134 | 10 |  | 10 | * | - | 0 | 10 |  | 10 | 0.01 |
| 1992 | 954 | 75 | 63 | 138 | * | 0 | 0 | 75 | 63 | 138 | 0.14 |
| 1993 | 1593 | 299 | 38 | 337 | * | 0 | 0 | 299 | 38 | 337 | 0.21 |
| 1994 | 1406 | 214 | 43 | 257 | * | 0 | 0 | 214 | 43 | 257 | 0.18 |
| 1995 | 1715 | 386 | 112 | 498 | * | 0 | 0 | 386 | 112 | 498 | 0.29 |
| 1996 | 1723 | 238 | 50 | 288 | * | 0 | 0 | 238 | 50 | 288 | 0.17 |
| 84-89 $\bar{X}$ | 1069.2 | 291.2 | - | 291.2 | - | - | . | 291.2 | . | 291.2 | 0.27 |
| 95\% CL | 156.3 | 139.4 |  | 139.4 | . |  | . | 139.4 |  | 139.4 | 0.11 |
| N | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 86-91 $\overline{\text { X }}$ | 1121.8 | 217.2 | - | 217.2 | . | - | . | 217.2 | - | 217.2 | 0.19 |
| 95\% CL | 118.5 | 200.9 |  | 200.9 | . |  |  | 200.9 | . | 200.9 | 0.17 |
| N | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 92-95 $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 1417.0 | 243.5 | 64.0 | 307.5 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 243.5 | 64.0 | 307.5 | 0.22 |
| 95\% CL | 531.1 | 210.8 | 53.7 | 240.3 | . | 0.0 | 0.0 | 210.8 | 53.7 | 240.3 | 0.10 |
| N | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

1987 DATA NOT INCLUDED IN MEAN.
IN THE ABOVE TABLE A PERIOD INDICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR.
CPUE IS BASED ON RETAINED + RELEASED FISH FOR 1992-96 AND ON RETAINED FISH ONLY PRIOR TO 1992.

- NOT ALLOWED TO RETAIN LARGE SALMON IN INSULAR NEWFOUNDLAND.

Appendix 4. Atlantic salmon recreational fishery catch and effort data for Northeast River, Placentia Bay (SFA 10), $1974-96$.
Ret. $=$ retained fish; Rel. $=$ released fish.

| Year | Effort <br> Rod Days | Small ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Large ( $>=63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Total (Small + Large) |  |  | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot. | Ret. | Rel. | Tot |  |
| 1974 | 1721 | 142 | . | 142 | 0 | . | 0 | 142 |  | 142 | 0.08 |
| 1975 | 877 | 121 | . | 121 | 4 |  | 4 | 125 |  | 125 | 0.14 |
| 1976 | 1164 | 147 | - | 147 | 1 | - | 1 | 148 |  | 148 | 0.13 |
| 1977 | 1465 | 180 | . | 180 | 1 |  | 1 | 181 |  | 181 | 0.12 |
| 1978 | 1237 | 161 | . | 161 | 0 | . | 0 | 161 |  | 161 | 0.13 |
| 1979 | 969 | 138 | . | 138 | 0 | - | 0 | 138 |  | 138 | 0.14 |
| 1980 | 1612 | 246 | - | 246 | 6 | . | 6 | 252 |  | 252 | 0.16 |
| 1981 | 2339 | 349 | . | 349 | 0 | - | 0 | 349 |  | 349 | 0.15 |
| 1982 | 1303 | 150 |  | 150 | 0 | . | 0 | 150 |  | 150 | 0.12 |
| 1983 | 2037 | 165 | . | 165 | 0 | - | 0 | 165 |  | 165 | 0.08 |
| 1984 | 988 | 70 | . | 70 | 0 | . | 0 | 70 |  | 70 | 0.07 |
| 1985 | 1276 | 173 | . | 173 | * | . | 0 | 173 |  | 173 | 0.14 |
| 1986 | 862 | 234 | . | 234 | * | . | 0 | 234 | . | 234 | 0.27 |
| 1987 | 349 | 36 |  | 36 | * | . | 0 | 36 | - | 36 | 0.10 |
| 1988 | 772 | 186 | . | 186 | * | - | 0 | 186 | . | 186 | 0.24 |
| 1989 | 852 | 210 | . | 210 | * | . | 0 | 210 |  | 210 | 0.25 |
| 1990 | 786 | 173 | . | 173 | * | - | 0 | 173 | . | 173 | 0.22 |
| 1991 | 153 | 19 | . | 19 | * | . | 0 | 19 | . | 19 | 0.12 |
| 1992 | 485 | 37 | 189 | 226 | * | 0 | 0 | 37 | 189 | 226 | 0.47 |
| 1993 | 592 | 132 | 61 | 193 | * | 0 | 0 | 132 | 61 | 193 | 0.33 |
| 1994 | 313 | 39 | 5 | 44 | * | 0 | 0 | 39 | 5 | 44 | 0.14 |
| 1995 | 544 | 127 | 8 | 135 | * | 0 | 0 | 127 | 8 | 135 | 0.25 |
| 1996 | 2883 | 268 | 7 | 275 | * | 0 | 0 | 268 | 7 | 275 | 0.10 |
| 84-89 $\overline{\text { X }}$ | 950.0 | 174.6 |  | 174.6 | - | . | - | 174.6 | - | 174.6 | 0.18 |
| 95\% CL | 245.8 | 78.2 | . | 78.2 | - | - | - | 78.2 |  | 78.2 | 0.11 |
| N | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 86-91 $\bar{X}$ | 685.0 | 164.4 | - | 164.4 | . | . | . | 164.4 | - | 164.4 | 0.24 |
| 95\% CL | 372.4 | 105.0 | . | 105.0 | . | . | . | 105.0 | - | 105.0 | 0.03 |
| N | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 92-95 $\bar{X}$ | 483.5 | 83.8 | 65.8 | 149.5 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.8 | 65.8 | 149.5 | 0.31 |
| 95\% CL | 193.8 | 84.1 | 137.0 | 126.9 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84.1 | 137.0 | 126.9 | 0.19 |
| N | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

1987 DATA NOT INCLUDED IN MEAN.
IN THE ABOVE TABLE A PERIOD INDICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR.
CPUE IS BASED ON RETAINED + RELEASED FISH FOR 1992-96 AND ON RETAINED FISH ONLY PRIOR TO 1992.

- NOT ALLOWED TO RETAIN LARGE SALMON IN INSULAR NEWFOUNDLAND.


[^0]:    * From O'Connell et al. (MS 1995)
    * From O'Connell et al. (MS 1996)

