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#### Abstract

Nominal catches have ranged between $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ and 35,000 since 1960 . Up to 1986 , catches averaged 13,000 , increased to $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ by 1988,. and have declined subsequently to about $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1995. TACs were exceeded in 1987 (by $7,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) and 1988 (by $21,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) due primarily to increased activity outside the 200 -mile Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) by countries who were not contracting parties of NAFO (primarily Panama and South Korea) and didn't have bilateral agreements with Canada. Foreign fleets, which predominantly fish outside the EEZ account for most of the catch. Canada fishes inside the EEZ and has taken less that 200 t each year since 1982, but has increased landings in recent years. Standardized commercial catch rate indices are not considered reflective of stock abundance inside the EEZ. For fleets fishing outside the EEZ where most of the effort is located, they show a declining trend since the early-to-mid 1980s. RV surveys show different trends seasonally, and are difficult to interpret, but indicate there are more small redfish of recruiting sizes $(17 \mathrm{~cm}-22 \mathrm{~cm})$ available in Division 30 than previous years. Based on stock stability in the past with average catches of about $15,000 \mathrm{t}$ and the recent increase in survey estimates of trawlable abundance indicating substantially improved recruitment, it is believed that catches at this level do not appear to have altered the dynamics that occur in the area and will be harmful to the resource.


## RÉSUMÉ

Les prises nominales ont varié entre 3000 t et 35000 t depuis 1960. Jusqu'en 1986, les prises étaient en moyenne de 13000 t ; elles ont presque triplé pour atteindre 35000 t en 1988; elles ont ensuite chuté à environ 3000 t en 1995. Le TAC a été dépassé en 1987 (de 7000 t ) et en 1988 (de 21000 t ) essentiellement en raison d'une augmentation des activités à l'extérieur de la zone économique exclusive de 200 milles par les pays qui n'étaient pas partie contractante de l'OPANO (surtout Panama et Corée du Sud) et qui n'avaient pas conclu d'entente bilatérale avec le Canada. La majeure partie des captures est attribuable aux flottes étrangères, qui pêchent essentiellement à l'extérieur de la zone économique exclusive. Le Canada pêche à l'intérieur de cette zone et a capturé moins de 200 t chaque année depuis 1982, quoique les débarquements aient augmenté au cours des dernières années. Les indices normalisés des captures commerciales n'illustrent pas l'abondance réelle du stock à l'intérieur de la zone économique exclusive. Pour les flottes qui pêchent à l'extérieur de cette zone, où est déployée la plus grande partie de l'effort, les captures montrent une tendance décroissante depuis le début ou le milieu des années 80 . Les relevés de recherche présentent des tendances saisonnières différentes, sont difficiles à interpréter, mais indiquent que le nombre de petits sébastes de taille suffisante pour être recrutés ( $17-22 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) dans la division 30 est supérieur à celui des années précédentes. D'après la stabilité antérieure des stocks avec des captures moyennes d'environ 15000 t , et étant donné l'accroissement récent des estimations de l'abondance de poisson chalutable indiquant une nette amélioration du recrutement, on peut penser que les captures à ce niveau ne semblent pas avoir modifié la dynamique de la région et qu'elles ne seront pas nuisibles pour la ressource.

## Description of management regulations and the fishery

## Management regulations

A TAC of $16,000 \mathrm{t}$ was first implemented on this stock in 1974. The TAC was increased in 1978 to $20,000 \mathrm{t}$ on the assumption that the stock was healthy and generally remained at that level through to 1987. The TAC for 1988 was reduced to $14,000 \mathrm{t}$ and remained unchanged until 1994 when it was reduced to $10,000 t$ as a precautionary measure and maintained at that level to 1996. In addition to catch regulation, a small fish protocol at 22 cm was implemented inside the 200 mile limit for this stock in 1995. The 1996 TAC ( $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) is divided into a Canadian quota ( $5,590 \mathrm{t}$ ), a French quota ( $1,500 \mathrm{t}$ ) and a Foreign Quota ( $2,910 \mathrm{t}$ ). About $10 \%$ of the stock area lies outside Canada's 200 mile Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ).

## Nominal Catches and the fishery

Nominal catches have ranged between 3,000 t and 35,000 t since 1960 (Table 1, Fig. 1). Up to 1986 catches averaged $13,000 \mathrm{t}$, increased to $27,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1987 with a further increase to $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1988, exceeding TACs by $7,000 \mathrm{t}$ and 21,000 respectively. Catches declined to $13,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1989, and were about this amount annually through to 1993 . The 1994 catch, at about $5,400 \mathrm{t}$, represented an $11,000 \mathrm{t}$ reduction from 1993. Catches declined further to about $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1995 and up to September 1996 less than 1,000 t had been taken. Reductions since 1994 are partly due to reductions in foreign allocations. The increased catches in 1987 and 1988 were due primarily to increased activity outside the 200 mile EEZ by countries who were not contracting parties of NAFO (primarily Panama and South Korea) and had no bilateral agreements with Canada. Canadian surveillance estimates of non-reported catch, which have ranged from 200 t to $23,500 \mathrm{t}$ are included in catch statistics since 1983 (Table 2). A further explanation of these are given in Shelton and Atkinson (1994).

Russia predominated in this fishery up until 1993 and generally caught its share (about 50\%) of the total non-Canadian allocation, which accounted for about $2 / 3$ of the TAC. From 1982 to 1993 Russian catches were between $3,800 \mathrm{t}$ to $8,700 \mathrm{t}$. Since 1993 Russia has taken less than 500 t . Cuba, has not participated in the fishery in this area since 1993. Russia, Cuba and Japan fished throughout the stock area after the implementation of the EEZ through agreements with Canada. Portugal, which began fishing outside the EEZ in 1992 took 2,900 t in 1994, a reduction of 2,000 t from their 1993 catch, and about 2,500 $t$ in 1995. Canada, which landed less than 200 t from 1983-1991, took 1,600 t in 1994 due to improved markets related to lobster bait, but declined to about 100 t in 1995. Canadian catches have increased again in 1996, with about 400 t being reported to September.

The fishery has occurred primarily in the second and third quarters of the year since 1983 (Table 3a). The prominent means of capture from the mid-1970s to the early 1980s was the bottom otter trawl (Table 3b). Since 1984 there has been an increase in the use of the midwater trawl but the bottom trawl catches still dominate.

## Available Data

Commercial catch rates

Catch and effort data for 1959 to 1992 were extracted from ICNAF/NAFO Statistical Bulletins and were combined with provisional 1993-1994 NAFO data and 1993-1995 Atlantic region data compiled by various DFO regional statistics branches. Initially selected from this database were observations where redfish comprised more than $50 \%$ of the total catch and were therefore considered to be redfish directed.

These data were analysed with a multiplicative model (Gavaris 1980) to derive a standardized catch rate series. The effects included in the model were a combination country-gear-tonnage class category type (CGT), month, and a category type representing the amount of bycatch associated with each observation. For this effect five groups were arbitrarily established : ( $>50 \%<=60 \%$ ), ( $>60 \%$ $<=70 \%),(>70 \%<=80 \%),(>80 \%<=90 \%)$ and ( $>90 \%$ ) where each group corresponds to the percentage of redfish relative to the total catch associated with each observation. In the usual manner, catch or effort data of less than 10 units were eliminated prior to analysis in addition to any categories with less than five samples except in the year category type. For all analyses hours fished was the measure of effort and an unweighted regression was run because of unknown percentages of prorating prior to 1984.

Previous catch rate analyses of this stock (Power et al., 1995) suggested different trends in the catch rate series derived for Canada only and for countries that have only fished outside the EEZ. Accordingly, separate standardizations of available catch rate data were conducted as follows: (i) Canada only, (ii) countries which have fished both inside and outside the EEZ (Russia and Cuba) and (iii) countries which have only fished outside the EEZ (Japan, Poland, Portugal and South Korea).

The analysis of Canadian catch rates (Table 4) resulted in a significant overall regression explaining $69 \%$ of the variability in the data, however, the month category type was not significant ( $\mathrm{P}>$ .05). The catch rate index (Table 5, Fig. 2a) shows much interannual variability over the 1959-1995 period but without any discernible trend with time. These catch rates have fluctuated particularly wide in recent years, dropping dramatically between 1990 and 1992, increasing substantially from 1993 to 1994 and declining steeply between 1994 and 1995.

The regression analysis conducted on catch rates for Russia and Cuba was significant ( $\mathrm{P}<.05$ ) and explained $65 \%$ of the variability in catch rates (Table 6). The catch rate index (Table 7, Fig. 2b) again shows much interannual variability prior to 1977. The index shows a steady increase from 1978 to 1.982 and a general decline to 1994 .

The annual update to the databases did not provide any further information than was available for last year's assessment for those countries that have fished outside the EEZ. The catch rate index (Fig. 2c, reproduced from Power et al. 1995) shows a steady decline from 1984 to 1993. Fishing was sporadic by these countries prior to 1984.

In summary, the analysis of catch rates by the Canadian fleet are not considered indicative of overall trends in the resource. Canada has not accounted for a major portion of the reported catches from Division 30 and has only fished within the 200 mile EEZ. The recent dramatic fluctuations cannot be accounted for by the biology of redfish. The trend in the two foreign fleet catch rate series are similar and indicate a general decline since the early to mid 1980s to the more recent period. The catch rates of the fleets that have fished outside is probably indicative of a decline in the proportion of the stock outside the EEZ where most of the effort occurs.

## Catch at Length

Length distributions sampled from 1995-1996 fisheries from Canadian port sampling and observer data, and data available from the 1995 Portuguese fishery by Portuguese observers (Godhino et al., 1996) were weighted by the monthly landings to derive a catch-at-length by country for Div. 30. For 1996, only the Nfld. data that was available in time for the assessment in September were used.

The length-weight relationships used were:
WT (males) $=0.01659$ Forklength $^{2.9548}$
WT $($ females $)=0.013272$ Forklength $^{3.0210}$
The data (Fig. 3) indicate a mode at about 22 cm in the Canadian and Japanese catches in 1995. Portuguese catches for 1995 were bimodal with peaks at about 29 cm and 38 cm . These fish were much larger than the 'traditional' smaller sizes taken in Division 30 relative to other divisions. Additional sampling information indicates the samples were obtained from $200-800 \mathrm{~m}$. It is likely that the larger fish taken by Portuguese vessels were from deeper water. It is also reported the Portuguese fleet fishes in the vicinity of the border with Div. 3N which, to some extent, may also account for the distribution differences with Japanese and Canadian samples.

## Research vessel surveys

Stratified random groundfish surveys have been conducted in the spring and autumn in Division 30 since 1991, with coverage of depths to 730 m . In addition, a summer survey was conducted in 1993. From 1991 to spring 1995 an Engel 145 otter trawl was used ( $1.75 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. standard tow) and from 1995 fall onwards a Campelen 1800 shrimp trawl ( $0.75 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. standard tow). Comparative fishing trials have been conducted between the two gears but the analysis has not been finalized to apply conversion factors to the pre-Campelen data. This new gear has a similar catchability for large redfish ( $>20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), but a much greater catchability for smaller redfish.

The series of mean weight per standard tow (Table 8) exhibits a typical situation of sometimes large fluctuations in estimates between seasons and years for some strata. The spring survey biomass index (Table 8, Fig. 4) increased steadily from about $7,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1992 to $112,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1996. Over half the 1995 spring estimate of $84,000 \mathrm{t}$ was accounted for by two large catches of about $5,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ each in a relatively small stratum. Similarly, about $70,000 \mathrm{t}$ of the 1996 spring estimate of 118,000 was due to the influence of one large set of about $10,000 \mathrm{~kg}$. The 1993 summer survey index, at $52,000 \mathrm{t}$, was similar to the level estimated from the 1993 spring survey ( $42,000 \mathrm{t}$ ). The autumn survey biomass index has ranged between $16,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $126,000 \mathrm{t}$ from 1991 to 1995 , although the 1992 autumn survey only covered depths down to 370 m ( 200 fathoms).

These results are difficult to interpret. Stratum by stratum estimates indicate that the increases during the spring surveys occurred over a great deal of the area and depths where redfish generally reside. However, in all surveys, the densities outside the 200 mile EEZ were generally lower than
inside. Differences between the spring and fall surveys may be related to changes in availability within the Division at different times of the year.

Size distribution in terms of mean number per tow at length (Fig. 5) indicate a bimodal distribution in the 1991 spring survey with modes at 11 cm and 20 cm corresponding to about the 1988 and 1984 year classes respectively. These modes progress in the surveys up to the 1992 autumn survey when the modes were at 17 cm and 22 cm . The corresponding peaks in surveys up to autumn 1995 show little progression. The autumn 1995 survey shows peaks at $9-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 19 cm . In the three year period from autumn 1992 to autumn 1995 the 17 cm mode progressed to 19 cm and the 22 cm mode could only be followed to autumn 1994 when it was 23 cm . It is unknown how this apparent relatively slow growth after the fall of 1992 relates to the increases in the biomass index over the same period. The prominent mode of the 1996 spring survey was 21 cm . It is difficult to track year classes beyond a length of 25 cm , their fate is unknown.

Overall, size distributions of the survey catches indicate only a narrow range of sizes caught each year in Division 30. Generally fish smaller than about 10 cm and larger than about 25 cm are absent in survey catches. It is well documented that the Engel survey gear (eg. Power MS 1994, Power MS 1995) and the Campelen survey gear (e.g. Power et al. MS 1996) can catch both smaller and larger redfish. In addition, length sampling from the commercial fisheries reveal a higher proportion of fish greater than 25 cm compared to the survey catches. Therefore, it appears that fish sizes outside this range are generally unavailable to the gear in this area. The reasons for this are unknown but may be related to distribution relative to trawlable bottom.

## PROGNOSIS

Research survey indices have shown an increasing trend in recent years but it is still not possible to relate this to trends in total stock size because of the restricted size range of fish taken during the surveys compared to what is believed to be present in the area. There are indications that larger fish do reside in the division, but in generally untrawlable areas. Therefore it is difficult to determine exactly what the survey data are measuring. Based on these limitations, it is not possible to determine current fishing mortality, nor the possible fishing mortality generated by catching the TAC of $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1996. Based on an analysis in 1995 of available commercial catch rate at age data from 1987-1990 (Power et al. MS 1995), there are indications that fishing mortality was somewhat above $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ during the 15 years prior to the 1990 s when catches averaged about $15,000 \mathrm{t}$.

Recently, more small redfish of recruiting sizes ( $17 \mathrm{~cm}-22 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) appear to be available in Division 30 based on research vessel surveys. Based on stock stability in the past with average catches of about $15,000 \mathrm{t}$ and the recent increase in survey estimates of trawlable abundance indicating substantially improved recruitment, it is believed that catches at this level do not appear to have altered the dynamics that occur in the area and will not be harmful to the resource. The length at which half the females are sexually mature $\left(\mathrm{L}_{50}\right)$ is about 29 cm ( 11 inches). Given that generally the shallower the depth fished the smaller the size composition, caution is warranted because a greater proportion of immature females may be captured if fishing is concentrated in shallower water (less than 375 m ( 205 fathoms)).
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Table 1. Nominal catches ( t ) and TACs of redfish in Div. 30.

| Year | Canada | Non-Canadian | Total | TAC |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1960 | 100 | 4,900 | 5,000 |  |
| 1961 | 1,000 | 10,000 | 11,000 |  |
| 1962 | 1,046 | 6,511 | 7,557 |  |
| 1963 | 2,155 | 7,025 | 9,180 |  |
| 1964 | 1,320 | 14,724 | 16,044 |  |
| 1965 | 203 | 19,588 | 19,791 |  |
| 1966 | 107 | 15,198 | 15,305 |  |
| 1967 | 645 | 18,392 | 19,037 |  |
| 1968 | 52 | 6,393 | 6,445 |  |
| 1969 | 186 | 15,692 | 15,878 |  |
| 1970 | 288 | 12,904 | 13,192 |  |
| 1971 | 165 | 19,627 | 19,792 |  |
| 1972 | 508 | 15,609 | 16,117 |  |
| 1973 | 133 | 8,664 | 8,797 |  |
| 1974 | 91 | 13,033 | 13,124 | 16,000 |
| 1975 | 103 | 15,007 | 15,110 | 16,000 |
| 1976 | 3,664 | 11,684 | 15,348 | 16,000 |
| 1977 | 2,972 | 7,878 | 10,850 | 16,000 |
| 1978 | 1,841 | 5,019 | 6,860 | 16,000 |
| 1979 | 6,404 | 11,333 | 17,737 | 20,000 |
| 1980 | 1,541 | 15,765 | 17,306 | 21,900 |
| 1981 | 2,577 | 10,027 | 12,604 | 20,000 |
| 1982 | 491 | 10,869 | 11,360 | 20,000 |
| 1983 | 7 | 7,333 | 7,340 | 20,000 |
| 1984 | 167 | 16,811 | 16,978 | 20,000 |
| 1985 | 104 | 12,756 | 12,860 | 20,000 |
| 1986 | 141 | 10,914 | 11,055 | 20,000 |
| 1987 | 183 | 26,987 | 27,170 | 20,000 |
| 1988 | 181 | 34,611 | 34,792 | 14,000 |
| 1989 | 27 | 13,229 | 13,256 | 14,000 |
| 1990 | 155 | 14,087 | 14,242 | 14,000 |
| 1991 | 28 | 8,433 | 8,461 | 14,000 |
| 1992 | 1,219 | 14,049 | 15,268 | 14,000 |
| $1993 a$ | 698 | 15,022 | 15,720 | 14,000 |
| $1994 a$ | 1,624 | 3,804 | 5,428 | 10,000 |
| $1995 a$ | 121 | 3,064 | 3,185 | 10,000 |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 10,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 103 |  |  |

a Provisional

Table 2. Nominal catches (t) of redfish in Div. 30 by country and year.

| Country | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | $1993{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $1994{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1995 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada (M) | 47 | 4 | 29 | 48 | 5 | 24 | 5 | 18 | 27 | 4 | 27 | 21 | 779 | 4 |
| Canada (N) | 444 | 3 | 138 | 56 | 136 | 159 | 176 | 9 | 128 | 24 | 1192 | 677 | 845 | 117 |
| France | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Japan | 496 | 1 | 1258 | 661 | 1162 | 1074 | 1606 | 1724 | 1406 | 226 | 125 | 159 | - | 264 |
| Portugal | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | 12 | 83 | 3 | 1468 | 4794 | 2918 |  |
| Spain | - | - | 25 | 630 | 45 | 26 | 4 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 26 | - |
| Russia | 8717 | 5670 | 7262 | 5905 | 6099 | 7152 | 4921 | 4517 | 3811 | 4427 | 5845 | 6887 | 60 | 483 |
| Cuba | 1651 | 1460 | 1316 | 806 | 3006 | 2859 | 2753 | 2138 | 2750 | 2748 | 2776 | 665 | - |  |
| USA | - | - | - | 104 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | ${ }^{\text {27. }}$ | - | . |  |
| Korea(S) | - | - | - | - | . | 1726 | 1805 | 2638 | 833 | 129 | 1935 | 17 | - | - |
| EEC/EU | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 1917 |
| OTHER ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | 200 | 6950 | 4650 | 600 | 14150 | 23500 | 2200 | 5200 | 900 | 1900 | 2500 | 800 | 400 |
| Total | 11360 | 7340 | 16978 | 12860 | 11055 | 27170 | 34792 | 13256 | 14242 | 8461 | 15268 | 15720 | 5428 | 3185 |
| TAC | 20000 | 20000 | 20000 | 20000 | 20000 | 20000 | 14000 | 14000 | 14000 | 14000 | 14000 | 14000 | 10000 | 10000 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Provisional
${ }^{\circ}$ Estimates of non-reported catch (by Canadian Surveillance)

Table 3a. Nominal reported catches (t) of redfish in Div. 30 by month and year (not including surveillance estimates).

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1982 | - | 1 | 1121 | 1258 | 545 | 652 | 4555 | 2245 | 661 | 233 | 89 | - | 11360 |
| 1983 | 254 | 355 | 2904 | 1227 | 71 | 156 | 576 | 938 | 319 | 1 | 73 | 266 | 7140 |
| 1984 | 219 | 155 | 2 | 32 | 85 | 257 | 446 | 3210 | 2799 | 1882 | 435 | 506 | 10028 |
| 1985 | 1522 | - | 453 | 239 | 118 | 252 | 227 | 1711 | 1486 | 350 | 35 | 1817 | 8210 |
| 1986 | 707 | - | 427 | 593 | 69 | 710 | 3491 | 3712 | 58 | 1 | 319 | 368 | 10455 |
| 1987 | 102 | 40 | 1052 | 37 | 1010 | 757 | 2001 | 4142 | 429 | 344 | 1326 | 1780 | 13020 |
| 1988 | 15 | 1 | 493 | 684 | 915 | 1 | 1755 | 3922 | 1286 | 1057 | 915 | 248 | 11292 |
| 1989 | 228 | 585 | 224 | 6 | 674 | 1411 | 1143 | 3311 | 2737 | 666 | 51 | 20 | 11056 |
| 1990 | 108 | 23 | 257 | 26 | 1220 | 2474 | 1534 | 1571 | 1002 | 686 | 28 | 113 | 9042 |
| 1991 | 17 | 47 | 96 | 1 | 713 | 2054 | 2346 | 1118 | 830 | 338 | - | 1 | 7561 |
| 1992 | 0 | 57 | 14 | 10 | 635 | 3262 | 2520 | 1808 | 896 | 1261 | 797 | 2108 | 13368 |
| $1993^{a}$ | 226 | 14 | 754 | 817 | 2089 | 1601 | 1887 | 2068 | 1809 | 829 | 630 | 496 | 13220 |
| $1994^{a}$ | 60 | 93 | 710 | 1605 | 272 | 83 | - | 68 | 1000 | 540 | 19 | 178 | 4628 |

a Provisional.

Table 3b. Nominal reported catches (t) of redfish in Div. 30 by gear (not including surveillance estimates).

| Year | Otter Trawls |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 9394 | 1966 | - | - | 11360 |
| 1983 | 5217 | 1923 | - | - | 7140 |
| 1984 | 7451 | 2577 | - | - | 10028 |
| 1985 | 4431 | 3778 | - | 1 | 8210 |
| 1986 | 5231 | 5224 | - | - | 10455 |
| 1987 | 8601 | 4419 | - | - | 13020 |
| 1988 | 6692 | 4596 | - | 4 | 11292 |
| 1989 | 7026 | 4030 | - | - | 11056 |
| 1990 | 5501 | 3537 | - | 4 | 9042 |
| 1991 | 4625 | 2936 | - | - | 7561 |
| 1992 | 10046 | 3292 | 1 | 29 | 13368 |
| 1993 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11997 | 1214 | - | 9 | 13220 |
| 1994 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3085 | 1498 | 26 | 19 | 4628 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Provisional.

TABLE 4 . ANOVA results and regression coefficients from a multiplicative utilized to derive a standardized catch rate series for Redfish in Div. 30. Effort is measured in hours fished. Only Canadian data were utilized in the analysis.


TABLE 5 . Standardized catch rate series for Div. 30 redfish from a multiplicative model utilizing hours fished as a measure of effort. Only Canadian data were utilized in the analysis.

PREDICTED CATCH RATE
LN TRANSFORM RETRANSFORMED

| YEAR | LN TRANSFORM |  | REIRANSFORMED |  | CATCH | EFFORT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MEAN | S.E. | MEAN | S.E. |  |  |
| 1959 | 0.1837 | 0.0497 | 1.273 | 0.281 | 59 | 46 |
| 1960 | 0.0590 | 0.1057 | 1.092 | 0.347 | 60 | 55 |
| 1961 | 0.2596 | 0.0658 | 1.362 | 0.345 | 61 | 45 |
| 1962 | -0.1819 | 0.0434 | 0.886 | 0.183 | 62 | 70 |
| 1963 | -0.2221 | 0.0433 | 0.851 | 0.176 | 63 | 74 |
| 1964 | -0.0042 | 0.0785 | 1.039 | 0.287 | 64 | 62 |
| 1965 | 0.2757 | 0.1236 | 1.344 | 0.460 | 65 | 48 |
| 1966 | -0.4366 | 0.1928 | 0.637 | 0.268 | 66 | 104 |
| 1967 | 0.2287 | 0.0384 | 1.339 | 0.261 | 67 | 50 |
| 1969 | -0.5126 | 0.1254 | 0.611 | 0.210 | 69 | 113 |
| 1970 | -0.0270 | 0.0648 | 1.023 | 0.257 | 70 | 68 |
| 1971 | 0.1942 | 0.1915 | 1.197 | 0.502 | 71 | 59 |
| 1972 | -0.1999 | 0.0626 | 0.862 | 0.213 | 72 | 84 |
| 1973 | -0.0548 | 0.2140 | 0.923 | 0.407 | 73 | 79 |
| 1974 | -0.5188 | 0.0721 | 0.623 | 0.165 | 74 | 119 |
| 1975 | -0.6293 | 0.0611 | 0.561 | 0.137 | 75 | 134 |
| 1976 | -0.2234 | 0.0254 | 0.858 | 0.136 | 76 | 89 |
| 1977 | -0.2636 | 0.0302 | 0.822 | 0.142 | 77 | 94 |
| 1978 | -0.2327 | 0.0332 | 0.846 | 0.154 | 78 | 92 |
| 1979 | 0.3567 | 0.0261 | 1.531 | 0.247 | 79 | 52 |
| 1980 | -0.1141 | 0.0294 | 0.955 | 0.163 | 80 | 84 |
| 1981 | -0.0294 | 0.0285 | 1.039 | 0.175 | 81 | 78 |
| 1982 | -0.2118 | 0.0577 | 0.853 | 0.203 | 82 | 96 |
| 1984 | 0.0358 | 0.1000 | 1.070 | 0.331 | 84 | 78 |
| 1985 | 0.4420 | 0.0628 | 1.637 | 0.406 | 85 | 52 |
| 1986 | 0.0078 | 0.1635 | 1.008 | 0.393 | 86 | 85 |
| 1987 | 0.2708 | 0.1086 | 1.348 | 0.434 | 87 | 65 |
| 1988 | 0.5689 | 0.1966 | 1.737 | 0.737 | 88 | 51 |
| 1990 | 0.3687 | 0.1788 | 1.435 | 0.583 | 90 | 63 |
| 1.992 | -1.0970 | 0.0476 | 0.354 | 0.077 | 92 | 260 |
| 1993 | -0.3292 | 0.1138 | 0.738 | 0.243 | 93 | 126 |
| 1994 | 0.9813 | 0.0937 | 2.764 | 0.830 | 94 | 34 |
| 1995 | -0.6283 | 0.2477 | 0.511 | 0.240 | 95 | 186 |

AVERAGE C.V. FOR THE RETRANSFORMED MEAN: 0.282

TABLE 6 . ANOVA results and regression coefficients from a multiplicative model utilized to derive a standardized catch rate series for Redfish in Div. 30. Countries which have fished both inside and outside the EEZ (Russia and Cuba) were used in the analysis.


TABLE 7 . Standardized catch rate series for Div._30 redfish from a multiplicative model utilizing hours fished as a measure of effort. Only countries which have fished inside and outside the EEZ were utilized in the analysis.

| PREDICTED CATCH RATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| YEAR | LN TRANSFORM | RETRANSFORMED |  |  |  |  |
| $-\quad$ S.E. | MEAN | S.E. | CATCH | EFFORT |  |  |
| 1959 | 0.4655 | 0.0457 | 1.713 | 0.363 | 59 | 34 |
| 1960 | 1.0146 | 0.2226 | 2.714 | 1.215 | 60 | 22 |
| 1962 | 1.1712 | 0.1562 | 3.282 | 1.251 | 62 | 19 |
| 1963 | 1.4703 | 0.1510 | 4.438 | 1.665 | 63 | 14 |
| 1964 | 0.9852 | 0.2629 | 2.583 | 1.245 | 64 | 25 |
| 1965 | 0.7293 | 0.1573 | 2.109 | 0.806 | 65 | 31 |
| 1969 | 1.0004 | 0.0615 | 2.902 | 0.710 | 69 | 24 |
| 1970 | 0.7200 | 0.0547 | 2.200 | 0.509 | 70 | 32 |
| 1971 | 1.0929 | 0.0493 | 3.202 | 0.704 | 71 | 22 |
| 1972 | 0.7211 | 0.0347 | 2.224 | 0.412 | 72 | 32 |
| 1973 | 1.0188 | 0.0588 | 2.959 | 0.709 | 73 | 25 |
| 1974 | 1.1092 | 0.0907 | 3.188 | 0.941 | 74 | 23 |
| 1975 | 1.0421 | 0.1199 | 2.938 | 0.990 | 75 | 26 |
| 1976 | 1.3433 | 0.0297 | 4.155 | 0.712 | 76 | 18 |
| 1977 | 1.1753 | 0.0367 | 3.500 | 0.666 | 77 | 22 |
| 1978 | 0.8009 | 0.0305 | 2.414 | 0.419 | 78 | 32 |
| 1979 | 1.1602 | 0.0265 | 3.465 | 0.561 | 79 | 23 |
| 1980 | 1.2714 | 0.0220 | 3.881 | 0.573 | 80 | 21 |
| 1981 | 1.3409 | 0.0244 | 4.156 | 0.647 | 81 | 19 |
| 1982 | 1.5559 | 0.0185 | 5.168 | 0.701 | 82 | 16 |
| 1983 | 1.2129 | 0.0214 | 3.662 | 0.534 | 83 | 23 |
| 1984 | 1.0787 | 0.0204 | 3.203 | 0.457 | 84 | 26 |
| 1985 | 1.0297 | 0.0275 | 3.040 | 0.502 | 85 | 28 |
| 1986 | 1.0136 | 0.0280 | 2.990 | 0.498 | 86 | 29 |
| 1987 | 1.0632 | 0.0232 | 3.150 | 0.478 | 87 | 28 |
| 1988 | 1.0669 | 0.0216 | 3.164 | 0.463 | 88 | 28 |
| 1989 | 0.8641 | 0.0261 | 2.577 | 0.414 | 89 | 35 |
| 1990 | 0.6616 | 0.0292 | 2.102 | 0.357 | 90 | 43 |
| 1991 | 0.3953 | 0.0336 | 1.607 | 0.293 | 91 | 57 |
| 1992 | 0.8904 | 0.0802 | 2.575 | 0.717 | 92 | 36 |
| 1993 | 0.7027 | 0.1106 | 2.102 | 0.682 | 93 | 44 |
| 1994 | 0.8233 | 0.3898 | 2.061 | 1.173 | 94 | 46 |

AVERAGE C.V. FOR THE RETRANSFORMED MEAN: 0.243

Table 8. Mean weight (kg) of redfish caught per standard tow in Division 30 during Canadian research surveys 1991-1996. ("-" indicates strata not sampled)

*NOTE: In brackets are revised areas based on a redrawn stratification scheme implemented in 1994.


Fig. 1. Nominal catches and TACs of Division 30 redfish


Fig. 2a. Standardized CPUE for redfish Div. 30 for Canada fishing inside the EEZ based on hours fished.


Fig. 2b. Standardized CPUE for redfish Div. 30 for countries that fished inside and outside the EEZ (Russia and Cuba) based on hours fished.


Fig. 2c. Standardized CPUE for redfish Div. 30 for countries that fished outside the EEZ based on hours fished.





Figure 3 : Estimated commercial catch-at-length of Div. 30 redfish.


Fig. 4. Research survey biomass index for Div. 30 redfish from 1991-1996. (1991-1995 Spring surveys used Engel 145 trawl; 1995 Autumn -1996 survey used Campelen 1800 trawl (see text for details).


Fig. 5. Length frequencies from stratified-random research to Div. 30 for 1991-1996. Plotted are mean number per standard tow. X-axis unit is centimetres. The 1991-1995 Spring survey was conducted with an Engels 145 trawl ( 1.75 n. mi. tow). The 1995 Fall surveys and onward were conducted with a Campelen trawl ( 0.75 n . mi. tow).

