Not to be cited without permission of the authors ${ }^{1}$

DFO Atlantic Fisheries
Research Document 96/122

## Ne pas citer sans autorisation des auteurs ${ }^{1}$

MPO Pêches de l'Atlantique Document de Recherche 96/122

STATUS OF ATLANTIC SALMON IN THE RESTIGOUCHE RIVER IN 1995
by
A. Locke, R. Pickard, F. Mowbray, G. Landry ${ }^{2}$, A. Madden ${ }^{3}$ and P. D'Amours ${ }^{4}$

Department of Fisheries \& Oceans Science Branch, Gulf Region P.O. Box 5030

Moncton, New Brunswick, E1C 9B6
${ }^{1}$ This series documents the scientific basic for the evaluation of fisheries resources in Atlantic Canada. As such, it addresses the issues of the day in the time frames required and the documents it contains are not intended as definitive statements on the subjects addressed but rather as progress reports on ongoing investigations.

Research documents are produced in the official language in which they are provided to the secretariat.
${ }^{1}$ La présente série documente les bases scientifiques des évaluations des ressources halieutiques sur la côte Atlantique du Canada. Elle traite des problèmes courants selon les échéanciers dictés. Les documents qu'elle contient ne doivent pas être considérés comme des énoncés définitifs sur les sujets traités, mais plutôt comme des rapports d'étape sur les études en cours.

Les documents de recherche sont publiés dans la langue officielle utilisée dans le manuscrit envoyé au secrétariat.
${ }^{2}$ Ministère de l'Environnement et de la Faune
308 chemin St-Edgar
C.P. 488

New Richmond, Québec GOC 2B0
${ }^{3}$ New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources and Energy
P.O. Box 277

Campbellton, New Brunswick E3N 3G4
${ }^{4}$ Listuguj First Nation
Ristigouche, Québec GOC 2R0

## Table of Contents

Abstract ..... 2
Résumé ..... 3
Summary Sheet ..... 4
1 - Introduction ..... 5
2 - Target ..... 5
3 - Description of fisheries ..... 6
4 - Fishery data ..... 7
5 - Research data ..... 7
5.1 - Morrissey Rock trapnet ..... 7
5.2 - Adams' Shore and Smith Island trapnets ..... 8
5.3 - Upsalquitch fish barrier ..... 9
5.4 - Causapscal fish barrier ..... 9
5.5 - Spawner surveys (canoe) ..... 9
5.6 - Spawner surveys (snorkel) ..... 10
5.7 - Evaluation of spawner counting methods ..... 10
5.8 - Hatchery stocking and broodstock collection ..... 11
5.9 - Electrofishing ..... 11
6 - Estimation of stock parameters ..... 12
6.1 - Angling-based estimate ..... 12
6.2 - Mark-recapture experiment ..... 13
7 - Assessment results ..... 14
8 - Ecological considerations ..... 15
9 - Forecast/Prospects ..... 15
10 - Management Considerations ..... 16
11 - Research Recommendations ..... 16
Acknowledgements ..... 16
Literature Cited ..... 17


#### Abstract

Salmon egg deposition and large salmon spawning escapement in the Restigouche system were approximately 32\% lower than 1994 levels. The estimated spawning escapements, based on an angling-based methodology with an assumed exploitation rate of 0.3 , were approximately 8,000 large ( $67 \%$ of target) and 4,000 small ( $141 \%$ of target) salmon. Minimum population estimates obtained from visual surveys of spawners resembled these estimates.

Returns were approximately 12,000 large and 6,000 small salmon. Angling catches (retained+released) were 2,792 large and 1,589 small salmon. Retained large salmon catch (Québec) was 866 fish. Estimated First Nations harvest was 1187 large and 39 small salmon. Large and small salmon angling catches decreased by 19 and $60 \%$, respectively, relative to the five-year means. Low water levels delayed upriver migration of salmon until late in the angling season.

Fry densities determined by electrofishing were the second highest since 1989. Age 1 parr were the most abundant in 24 years of electrofishing this system.

Assuming average (1991-1995) returns in 1996, total returns (angling based estimate, exploitation rate of 0.3 to 0.5 ) will be 9,000 14,000 large salmon and 8,000-13,000 small salmon.


## Résumé

En 1995, les taux de la ponte ainsi que de l'échappée des gros saumons géniteurs dans le bassin versant de la rivière Ristigouche étaient d'environ $32 \%$ inférieurs aux taux de 1994. En ce qui concerne l'échappée des géniteurs, calculée d'après la pêche à la ligne qui est estimée à un taux d'exploitation de 0,3 , on estime qu'environ 8000 gros saumons ( $67 \%$ de l'objectif) et 4000 petits saumons ( $141 \%$ de l'objectif) ont réussi à s'échapper. Des estimations de la population minimale, obtenues par des relevés visuels des géniteurs, donnent les memes chiffres.

La remontée s'est composée d'environ 12000 gros saumons et de 6000 petits saumons. Les pêcheurs à la ligne ont capturé (pêche et remise à 1'eau) 2792 gros saumons et 1589 petits. Au Québec, les pêcheurs ont retenu 866 gros saumons capturés. Les prises des Premières nations ont été estimées à 1187 gros saumons et à 39 petits saumons. Les taux de capture à la ligne des gros et des petits saumons ont diminué de 19 et de 60 \% respectivement par rapport aux moyennes des cinq dernières années. Les faibles niveaux d'eau ont retardé la migration du saumon dans la partie amont de la rivière jusqu'à tard dans la saison de pêche à la ligne.

Les relevés par pêche électrique ont révélé que les densités des alevins étaient au deuxième plus haut niveau depuis 1989. L'abondance des tacons d'un an était à son apogée depuis 24 ans d'électropêche dans ce bassin versant.

En présumant que la remontée de 1996 sera conforme à la moyenne de 1991 à 1995, la remontée globale (fondée sur le taux de pêche à la ligne qui est estimé entre 0,3 et 0,5 ) sera de 9000 à 14000 gros saumons et de 8000 à 13000 petits saumons.

| Stock: Restigouche River, SFA 15 <br> Target: 71.4 million eggs ( 12,200 large salmon, 2,600 small salmon) <br> Rearing area: $29,768,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}, 76 \%$ of SFA $15,30 \%$ of Gulf New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | MIN ${ }^{1}$ | MAX ${ }^{1}$ | MEAN ${ }^{2}$ |
| Angling catch (retained+released) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large 3735 | 3137 | 4355 | 2055 | 3979 | 2792 | 1016 | 6707 | 3452 |
| Small 4324 | 2522 | 4751 | 3268 | 4840 | 1589 | 896 | 6873 | 3941 |
| Angling catch (retained) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large 893 | 956 | 1004 | 514 | 963 | 866 | 514 | 6707 | 866 |
| Small 4324 | 2522 | 4751 | 3268 | 4840 | 1589 | 896 | 6873 | 3941 |
| First Nations catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large 1606 | 1111 | 1422 | 1202 | 1365 | 1187 | 129 | 2950 | - |
| Small 136 | 19 | 55 | 0 | 76 | 39 | 0 | 178 | - |
| Spawning escapement (angling exploitation method)4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large ( X 1000) 6-11 | 5-9 | 7-13 | 3-6 | 7-12 | 4-8 | 1-2 | 11-19 | 6-10 |
| Small (X 1000) 4-10 | 3-6 | 5-11 | 3-8 | 5-11 | 2-4 | 1-2 | 7-16 | 4-9 |
| Total returns (angling exploitation method) ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large (X 1000) 10-16 | 9-14 | 12-19 | 6-9 | 11-17 | 8-12 | 6-9 | 23-30 | -- |
| Small (X 1000) 10-17 | 6-10 | 11-18 | 8-13 | 11-19 | 4-6 | 3-4 | 16-27 | -- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 MIN MAX for years 1970 to present.
2 MEAN for years 1990 to 1994.
${ }^{3}$ Most probable value with 95\% confidence limits.
${ }^{4}$ Range given reflects uncertainty of angling exploitation rate (assumed to be between 0.3 and 0.5 ), from which spawning escapement, eggs, and total returns are derived.

Landings: Angling catches of large (including catch and release in N.B.) and small salmon in 1995 were $19 \%$ and $60 \%$ lower than the five-year means, respectively.

Data and assessment: The assessment was based on angling catch with an assumed exploitation rate of 0.3-0.5. Visual surveys of spawners provide a minimum estimate of escapement similar to the anglingbased estimate with exploitation rate of 0.3 . For management purposes the angling-based estimate at exploitation rate $=0.3$ is recommended; according to this estimate, 67\% of target egg spawners (large salmon) was met.

State of the stock: Egg deposition based on large salmon spawning escapement was 68\% of target. Small salmon escapement exceeded target levels, but was was low compared to recent years.

Forecast for 1995: Based on mean returns from 1991-1995, between 9, 000-14,000 large salmon and 8,00013,000 small salmon are expected to return in 1996.

## 1 - Introduction

The objective of this report is to evaluate the status of Atlantic salmon in the Restigouche River in 1995. Numbers of spawners are estimated from (1) a mark-recapture experiment, (2) angling data and exploitation rates believed to represent lower and upper limits, and (3) visual surveys of spawners. This report summarizes angling and First Nations harvest statistics, juvenile salmon densities at 13 standard electrofishing sites, hatchery stocking and broodstock collection, and forecasts of adult salmon returns in 1996. Results of an experimental evaluation of two methods of carrying out visual counts of salmon (canoebased counts and counts by snorkellers) are also included.

In the terminology of this report, small salmon (grilse) are adults less than 63 cm in fork length, which are comprised mainly of 1 SW (one-sea-winter) maiden salmon. Large salmon (also known as salmon, MSW or multi-sea-winter salmon) are adults greater than or equal to 63 cm in fork length. This category contains mainly maiden 2 SW and 3 SW fish and previous spawners.

## 2 - Target

Egg deposition requirements for the Restigouche River, to provide 2.4 eggs per square meter, are $71,443,200$ eggs (Randall 1984). About 12,200 large salmon are required to produce these eggs. An additional 2,600 small salmon are required to ensure a $1: 1$ sex ratio at spawning, based on past sex ratios of large and small salmon (Randall 1984). Total egg deposition is calculated as follows:

Egg deposition $=$ (large spawners' x eggs/large fish) $+($ small spawners x eggs/small fish)
where: eggs/large fish=5,933 $\begin{array}{r}\text { eggs/small fish= } 86\end{array}$
Eggs/fish is a mean value for the entire spawning population (males and females combined), calculated by Randall (1984) from egg counts made on fish harvested in 1983 by the freshwater, commercial, and First Nation fisheries, and sex ratios of salmon sampled at the Dalhousie trap, 19721980.

The above estimate of spawning target is based on DFO's estimate of rearing area, $29.8 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. DNRE considers the rearing area in the system to be $32.3 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (A. Madden, unpubl. data). At the time when the current target egg deposition was determined, the DNRE estimate of rearing area was only $24 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and consequently the larger estimate, $29.8 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, was used (Randall, 1984).

Research Recommendation: Target egg deposition for the Restigouche system should be re-evaluated. As well as rearing area, eggs/fish, sex ratio, and age structure of the population may have to be updated; they may have changed in response to management and regulatory changes since 1984. Biological sampling of salmon killed in angling or gillnetting fisheries would be necessary to determine sex ratios and eggs/fish, since all fish trapped by DFO for research purposes are released alive.

Restigouche salmon were fished by recreational anglers and First Nation communities.

Recreational angling was permitted from June 1 to August 31. Anglers in New Brunswick tributaries and provincial boundary waters were regulated by New Brunswick policies: all large salmon were released, and catches of small salmon were restricted by seasonal and daily bag limits to eight and two fish, respectively.

In Québec tributaries, anglers were allowed to retain both small and large salmon with daily and seasonal bag limits of one and seven fish, respectively; if the first fish caught in a day was a small salmon, a second salmon could be caught and retained irrespective of size.

Most salmon captured by First Nations fisheries were gillnetted in the estuary, although some angling also took place in freshwater portions of the river. Gillnet fisheries were centred at Listuguj First Nation at Ristigouche, Québec, and at Eel River Bar First Nation near Dalhousie, N.B. (Fig. 1). No food-fishery trapnets were operated.

Eel River Bar First Nation's target harvest was set at 500 large and 50 small salmon to be harvested from Chaleur Bay, crown Open waters of the Restigouche system, Benjamin River, Charlo River, Jacquet River and/or Eel River. The estuarine (Chaleur Bay) component of this harvest could be taken using up to 30 gillnets, each up to $400^{\prime}$ in length, and up to three trapnets. Salmon were to be removed from other areas by angling only (jigging was specifically excluded). The season covered by this license was May 25 to December 31 1995. Fishing took place between May 29 and October 1 (Table 1).

There was no quota or harvest target for Listuguj First Nation, but in the interests of conservation the band council restricted gillnet fishing to five, nights/week (allowing unobstructed passage of fish on Mondays and Tuesdays) and also designated an area of the upper estuary immediately below the mouth of the river as a conservation zone where gillnetting was not permitted. Fishing ended on July 26 (Table 1).

A third group, Madawaska Maliseet First Nation, fished upriver with a target harvest of 60 large and 190 small salmon to be taken from specified portions of the $S t$. John and Restigouche (Crown Open waters of the Main Restigouche, Kedgwick and Gounamitz rivers) watersheds. Angling was the only authorized means of harvest. This license covered April 1 1995 to March 311996.

Commercial salmon fisheries in Chaleur Bay have been closed in Québec since 1984, and in New Brunswick since 1985. Commercial fisheries in both provinces were prohibited from landing salmon caught in nonsalmon fishing gear (by-catch).

Harvests of large salmon in 1995 were 866 by recreational anglers (Québec only) and 1187 by First Nations (Table 2). Harvests of small salmon (New Brunswick and Québec) were 1589 by recreational anglers and 39 by First Nations.

Fishery data were obtained from the sources listed in Appendix 6 of Claytor et al. (1994). No data were obtained from Listuguj First Nation in 1995, so the mean estuarine catch in 1989-1993 (the five most recent years for which data were available) was used, as in 1994. It was assumed that there was no river component to the Listuguj salmon harvest. The salmon harvest of Eel River Bar First Nation was subdivided into estuarine and freshwater components (R. Simonson, ERBFN band council, pers. comm.). The salmon harvest of Madawaska First Nation was entirely from freshwater portions of the river.

In 1995, angling catches of small salmon decreased by $60 \%$ relative to the 1990-1994 mean (Table 3, Fig. 2). The angling catch of large salmon decreased by 19\%. Catches of small salmon decreased relative to the five-year mean by $52 \%$ to $82 \%$ in every tributary (Table 4). Catches of large salmon decreased relative to the mean in all tributaries except the Patapedia (increase of $78 \%$ ). The majority of the catch ( $69 \%$ of large salmon, $78 \%$ of small salmon) was taken in New Brunswick or provincial boundary waters (Table 3). In 1995, $64 \%$ of the angled salmon were large, an increase of $36 \%$ over the mean proportion of angled large salmon (Table 3).

Small salmon catch per unit effort (CPUE) in 1995 was 59\% lower than the five-year mean (Table 5). Large salmon CPUE was $20 \%$ lower than the mean.

Landings by New Brunswick First Nations decreased by 46\% (large salmon) and $45 \%$ (small salmon) in 1995 relative to the five-year means (Table 6). Trends in Listuguj First Nation landings could not be determined.

Research recommendation: Harvest data must be obtained from all fisheries.

## 5 - Research data

## 5.1 - Morrissey Rock trapnet

For the fourth year, a tagging trapnet was operated jointly at Morrissey Rock Pool (Fig. 1) by Eel River Bar First Nation and DFO. Design and dimensions of the trapnet were similar to those described by Locke et al. (1995). The trapnet was operated from June 4 to September 4. The startup date of trapnet operations was approximately 10-12 days earlier than in the previous two years, due in large part to comparatively low water conditions in June 1995.

In response to concerns raised by Pinkham's angling camp (located approx 1 km upriver) that interception of fish at Morrissey Rock Pool was adversely affecting their fishing success, the trapnet was lifted from Tuesday evening to Wednesday evening each week, from July 4 to August 29. It appeared that this modification increased the number of fish in Pinkham's pools the first week (F. Mowbray, personal observation). Poor fishing continued in subsequent weeks, but very few small salmon returns were recorded at the trapnet during this time period (Fig. 3).

During the season 149 large and 117 small salmon were counted (Table

8, Figs. 3,4), an increase of $10 \%$ for large and a decrease of $74 \%$ for small salmon relative to 1994 captures: Large salmon captures increased despite the net operating only 6 days per week. The increase was in large part due to the earlier operating dates of the trap in 1995; large salmon captures during the operating period common to both 1994 and 1995 were similar (Fig. 4). Timing of the large salmon run was similar to that of 1993 and 1994. The small salmon run appeared to start later and end earlier than in 1993 and 1994, and daily catch during the run was lower (Fig. 3).

In total, 109 large and 103 small salmon were tagged with blue Carlin tags and released. Fish which were visibly diseased or injured were released but not tagged.

The occurrence of presumed furunculosis (based on external signs such as reddish fins or other areas of the body) was similar to that recorded in 1994 (Table 9). The incidence of parasites (sea lice), and net-marked fish was somewhat lower in 1995 than in 1994 (Table 9).
"Catches" of dead salmon on the upstream side of the trapnet and leaders, recorded as an index of in-river mortality, were lower in 1995 than in the previous two years (Table 10). These fish, which probably died upriver as a result of disease or hook-and-release mortality, may not have been carried downriver by the current to the same extent in 1995 because of the low-water conditions.

Only 18 of 103 tags applied to small salmon at Morrissey Rock trapnet were recovered, compared to 66 of 430 in 1994 and 57 of 329 in 1993 (Table 11). Despite inconsistent recording of tags by DNRE personnel at the Upsalquitch barrier fence, $17 \%$ of the tags applied to small salmon were recovered in 1995, a similar proportion to previous years (17\% in 1993, 15\% in 1994). As usual, few (5\%) tags were recaptured at Morrissey Rock and no fish tagged at Morrissey Rock were recaptured at the Listuguj trapnets downriver (section 5.2). However, a higher proportion (28\% of recoveries, $5 \%$ of tags applied) of tags were recovered from dead fish than in previous years ( $0.3 \%$ of tags applied in 1993, $0.5 \%$ in 1994).

## 5.2 - Adams' Shore and Smith Island trapnets

Listuguj First Nation operated a tagging trapnet on the Adams' Shore side of Smith Island (Fig. 1) for the second year, although in a location a few hundred metres downstream of that used in 1994. A second trap was installed in the channel on the southern side of Smith Island (referred to as the Smith Island trapnet). Design and dimensions of both trapnets were similar to those of the Morrissey Rock trapnet. Operating dates were June 6 to August 11 (Adams' Shore) and June 15 to August 11 (Smith Island).

The Adams' Shore trapnet captured 173 large and 289 small salmon (Table 8), of which 156 large and 210 small salmon were tagged and released. Data collection was similar to that at Morrissey Rock.

The Smith Island trapnet captured 36 large and 106 small salmon (Table 8), of which 26 large and 69 small-salmon were tagged and released.

Catches at the two Listuguj traps, particularly the Adams' Shore
trap, continued throughout July and early August during the time period when Morrissey Rock was catching few or no fish (Fig. 5). Water depth in the north channel (where the Listuguj traps were located) was more consistent during the low water conditions of July and August when the south channel (where Morrissey Rock trap was located) was unnavigable for canoes and presumably also for salmon. Water depth probably accounts for most of the continued catch of salmon at the Listuguj traps. Another factor which would promote catches of fish at the Listuguj traps over Morrissey Rock is the relative position in the river; Adams Shore is several km further downstream than Morrissey Rock in an area subject to tidal influence and saltwater intrusion. Morrissey Rock is above tidal waters. In 1995, 38\% of all recaptures of tagged small salmon ( $3 \%$ of all small salmon tagged at the two Listuguj traps) occurred at the Listuguj traps (Table 11), suggesting that salmon were holding position in the estuary rather than moving upriver. Days to recapture in the estuary traps varied from 0 to 6 days.

## 5.3 - Upsalquitch fish barrier

Returns to the barrier fence operated by DNRE at 10 Mile Pool on the Northwest Upsalquitch River (Fig. 1) were 817 small and 946 large salmon(Table 12). These returns represent a decrease of $34 \%$ relative to the 5 year mean for small salmon, and an increase of $20 \%$ for large salmon. Large salmon comprised $54 \%$ of the total run to the fence, compared to $38 \%$ on average in the past five years. As at Morrissey Rock trapnet, few salmon arrived at the fence during the low-water conditions in summer (Fig. 6). Following an increase in water level due to autumn rains, above-average numbers of large and small salmon compared to previous autumns returned to the fence. In the case of small salmon, these aboveaverage autumn returns did not compensate for the deficit of low summer returns (Table 12).

## 5.4 - Causapscal fish barrier

Returns to MEF's barrier fence on the Causāpscal River (a tributary of the Matapedia River; Fig. 1) were 1 small salmon and 462 large salmon (Table 12). Large salmon numbers increased relative to the five-year mean by $24 \%$, similar to the proportional change at the Upsalquitch counting fence. Since small salmon are not retained well by the conduit fence, their proportional change is not a valid indicator of abundance of small fish at the Causapscal fence.

## 5.5 - Spawner surveys (canoe)

Spawner surveys were carried out by DNRE, MEF, Listuguj and/or DFO (Conservation \& Protection) personnel in autumn (usually OctoberNovember) of 1982-1995 (no survey was done in 1990 due to high water). In New Brunswick waters, DNRE and DFO personnel visually surveyed spawners from canoes. On waters $>20 \mathrm{~m}$ in width, two canoes on opposite sides of the stream were poled downstream, each carrying one or two persons, with the observer standing. On narrower streams, one canoe was used. Areas which were inaccessible by canoe were walked. In Québec waters, spawners were counted by snorkelling (1993-1995; see section 5.6 ) or canoe (previous years). Ideally, the objective of these spawner surveys was to directly observe spawners in $80-85 \%$ of the Restigouche River system, including all the main spawning areas but excluding some smaller tributaries (e.g. Tom's, Christopher, Hailes and Berry brooks)
which generally contain few spawners. However, the proportion of the Restigouche system directly surveyed for spawners was sometimes much less than $80-85 \%$. When spawner counts could not be carried out in a particular tributary, redd counts later in the season were often substituted, using an estimate of redds/fish from previous years to calculate spawner abundance. Historical relationships between parts of the system were sometimes utilized to estimate spawner numbers in areas which were not surveyed. Barrier fence counts were added to the totals for the Northwest Upsalquitch and Causapscal rivers. DNRE collated the data from the various sources and generated abundance estimates for each tributary.

The estimated abundance of spawners in the entire system in 1995 was 8,304 large and 2,637 small salmon (Table 13a). In 1995, 29\% of spawners were reported from the Matapedia, 26\% from the Upsalquitch, and the remainder were more-or-less equally distributed (10-13\% per tributary) in the Patapedia, Kedgwick, Little Main and Main Restigouche rivers (Table 14a).

## 5.6 - Spawner surveys (snorkel)

Snorkelling was used by MEF to survey the entire system for the second time in 1995. Between October 1-25, the Causapscal, Kedgwick, Little Main Restigouche, Gounamitz, Upsalquitch, Main Restigouche, Matapedia and Patapedia rivers were surveyed. Mid-season surveys of selected areas were also carried out from July 23 to August 23 (D'Amours 1996). The method used varied with river size and water clarity. When conditions allowed (clear water, weak current), canoe counts were carried out during the spawning season when salmon were concentrated in the head and foot of pools. However, in most tributaries, salmon were counted by divers. In small tributaries such as the upper Patapedia, Causapscal and Gounamitz rivers, one diver drifted downriver counting all salmon. In intermediate-size tributaries (e.g. the lower reaches of the Patapedia, the Little Main, and the upper reaches of the Kedgwick River), the team included a diver and a canoeist. The canoe preceded the diver downriver, so as to form a $45^{\circ}$ angle with the bank, and funnel salmon towards the diver, who was responsible for counting. In large and deep rivers (Main Restigouche, Matapedia, Kedgwick, Upsalquitch rivers) two divers and a canoe formed a $45^{\circ}$ angle with the bank. As they drifted downriver, the first diver was responsible for counting fish passing between himself and the canoe. The second diver counted all other fish.

Abundance of spawners observed by this method in 1995 was 7,570 large salmon and 3,430 small salmon (Table 13b). The area covered was approximately $75 \%$ of the spawning habitat. The distribution of salmon among tributaries (Table 14b) was approximately similar to that of the canoe counts, with the exception of the Little Main Restigouche River, which accounted for only $1 \%$ of fish. This is explained by the attribution of fish at Junction Pool, near the mouth of the Kedgwick and Little Main Restigouche rivers, to the Kedgwick River. In the canoe-based surveys (section 5.5), fish counted in Junction Pool were attributed to the Little Main Restigouche River.

## 5.7 - Evaluation of spawner counting methods

Spawner counts by canoe and snorkelling were evaluated by an experiment on October 11-19, 1995 (Locke, unpub.ms.). The experiment took place in a 3.2 km stretch of river just above the Northwest Upsalquitch

River barrier fence. The fence at the upper end of the holding pool was used as the downstream boundary of the experimental stretch, and the upstream end was barricaded with nylon netting. Salmon were released into the stretch from the holding pool. Three or four snorkellers counted fish twice daily from October 11 to October 13, for a total of 22 counts. An additional two counts were carried out on October 18. A total of eight canoe-based counts were obtained from October 18 to October 19.

Snorkellers counted, at best, $96 \%$ of the expected numbers of large salmon and 70\% of small salmon. Accuracy was probably overestimated, especially for large salmon, because initial abundance may have been underestimated. However, behavioural differences may make large salmon easier to count than small salmon. Replicability (precision) of the counts was also better for large salmon.

Canoe-based counts were $85 \%$ and $69 \%$ of snorkeller counts of large and small salmon: Replicability of the canoe-based counts was also lower than that of diver counts.

Both canoe-based and snorkelling counts underestimated the number of Carlin-tagged fish present, especially when many untagged fish were present.

The experiment did not address the effects of (1) movements of fish between stretches of river, which could occur during the approximately three weeks over which Restigouche River diver counts took place in 1995 or (2) the other methods used by DNRE (e.g. redd counts, historical fish distributions) to supplement canoe-based spawner counts.

## 5.8 - Hatchery stocking and broodstock collection.

In total, 425,000 eyed eggs, 221,572 age 0 parr, and 14,162 age 1 parr were distributed by the Charlo Salmonid Enhancement Centre (Table 15).

Adults to be used as broodstock were collected from Forks Pool in the Kedgwick River ( 68 female large salmon, 69 male large salmon) and Junction Pool at the confluence of the Kedgwick and Little Main Restigouche rivers ( 8 female large salmon, 8 male large salmon, 10 male small salmon). At Charlo, 568,848 eggs were collected from Kedgwick River stock, and 76,670 eggs from presumed Little Main Restigouche stock. An additional 11,128 eggs were spawned from 3 female and 2 male large salmon at the Northwest Upsalquitch barrier fence. A total of 656,646 eggs was collected ( $0.9 \%$ of the target for the Restigouche system).

## 5.9 - Electrofishing

Juvenile salmon were electrofished by DFO at 13 of the 15 standard sites during July and August (Fig. 1). Two sites could not be sampled due to insufficient water. Abundances were calculated by the removal method (Zippin 1956). Densities of juveniles per unit area were determined using site areas measured at the time of sampling. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals of the mean densities were calculated after individual site counts were transformed to natural logarithms. Densities of salmon fry and parr have been estimated at these sites each year since 1972.

Mean abundances of 71.9 age $0,14.7$ age 1 and 2.6 age 2 parr. $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ had increased by $13 \%, 19 \%$ and decreased by $19 \%$ respectively relative to their five-year means (Table 16, Fig. 7). With the exception of the exceptionally large cohort spawned in 1990, the age 0 cohort from spawning in 1994 was the largest since 1988. The age 1 cohort sampled in 1995 was the most abundant since sampling started - marginally more abundant than the 1990 cohort.

## 6 - Estimation of stock parameters

## 6.1 - Angling-based estimate

Total returns were considered to be the sum of estuary harvest, river harvest, poaching and disease (PAD) removals, and spawning escapement.

Returns $=$ Estuary harvest + PAD + River harvest + Escapement


Estuary harvest is First Nations harvest.
An adjustment for mortality resulting from poaching and disease is normally excluded from calculations of spawning escapement in other rivers since the target egg deposition level of 2.4 eggs $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ takes this source of mortality into account. It has been retained in the assessment for the Restigouche River since in this system poaching and disease occurs prior to or at the same time as in-river removals and thus must be added to these to estimate returns.

The poaching and disease (PAD) mortality rate was assumed to be 0.14 of the population entering the river (i.e. after estuary harvest, but before angling) for small salmon and 0.16 for large salmon, as in previous assessments (Randall et al. 1988). The calculation was made as follows:

For large salmon, $\operatorname{PAD}=0.16[B / 0.84]$ because,
$\operatorname{PAD}=16 \%$ of the population at point $A$ and,
The population at point $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{A}= & \mathrm{B} \\ = & +0.16 \mathrm{~A} \\ = & \mathrm{B} / 0.84\end{aligned}$
B, the population available to anglers $=$ angling catch/exploitation rate B = Catch/Exp
Therefore, $\operatorname{PAD}=0.16[($ Catch/Exp) $/ 0.84]$
By similar logic, PAD for small salmon was calculated as:

## PAD $=0.14[($ Catch $/ E x p) / 0.86]$

River harvest for small fish is the sum of fish lost to angling, broodstock collection (Charlo hatchery, N.B.) and First Nations river removals.

River harvest for large fish is the sum of fish lost to angling (Québec), mortality associated with catch and release (N.B.), broodstock collection and First Nations river removals. The mortality rate associated with catch-and-release of large salmon was assumed to be $6 \%$ (from observations summarized in Appendix 1 of Courtenay et al. 1991).

Spawning escapement was calculated as angling catch divided by angling exploitation rate minus river harvest. Angling exploitation rate is unknown for the Restigouche River, but Randall et al. (1990) argued that it is probably somewhere between 0.3 and 0.5 . Therefore, spawning escapements were calculated for these limits.

Returns were estimated as 7,811-12,243 large (Tables 17, 18) and 3,713-6,177 small (Tables 19, 20) salmon. The ranges reflect the difference in the estimates when exploitation rate is set to 0.3 or 0.5 . Spawning escapement was calculated as 4,425-8,148 large and 1,558-3,677 small salmon.

## 6.2 - Mark-recapture experiment

### 6.2.1 - With angling tag recaptures

An estimate of river population (point A in PAD description) in 1995 was made using small salmon marked with blue carlin tags at the Morrissey Rock trapnet. The estimate was obtained using a Bayesian estimator as described by Gazey and Staley (1986). The most probable population size given $R$ recaptures out of $M$ marks in a sampled catch of $C$ was calculated over a range of possible population sizes. Total small salmon returns to point "A" (the trapnet) by August 15 was calculated using all angling returns (to August 31) of tags applied up to August 15 (to allow two weeks for fish to disperse throughout the system).

The values of $R, M$ and $C$ required for the Bayesian estimate were obtained as:

M ('Tags applied'): 103 small salmon were tagged and released by August 15. $10 \%$ tagging mortality was assumed as in the Miramichi River. Tag loss was assumed to occur after tagging mortality at a rate of $0.009 /$ day as in the Margaree River (Chaput et al. 1993). Median days to recapture was 12 days.

R ('Tags recaptured') : All recaptures at angling camps contacted for tag returns were utilized. This accounted for 9 of the 10 angled small salmon tags (applied at Morrissey Rock) returned in 1995. Tag reporting rate was believed to be $100 \%$ at these camps.

C ('Total recaptures'): The total small salmon catch at these angling camps was 966.

Spawning escapement was obtained by subtracting angling catch (including First Nations in-river catch), other freshwater removals
(broodstock, hook-and release mortality of large salmon) and a poaching-and-disease correction (see section 6.1). Total returns were obtained by adding First Nation estuary harvest.

The above procedure was used to obtain small salmon estimates. Since reporting of large salmon tags was less reliable than small salmon tags, the large salmon population estimate was made using the ratio of large:small salmon in the combined New Brunswick and Québec angling catch (64\% large salmon, Table 3).

The spawning escapement estimates from the 1995 mark-recapture study were 12,020 ( $95 \%$ C.L. of $6,980-32,927$ ) large (Table 21) and 5,969 (3,06718,009) small (Table 22) salmon. Returns of large salmon were estimated as 16,852 (10,852-41,741) fish and of small salmon were 8,843 (5,468$22,843)$ fish.

## 7 - Assessment results

|  | Large <br> spawners | Small <br> spawners |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Target (71.4 million <br> eggs): | 12,200 | 2,600 |
| Mark-recapture estimate <br> (95\% confidence limits) | 12,020 <br> $(6,980$ <br> $-32,927)$ | 5,969 <br> $(3,067-$ <br> $18,009)$ |
| Angling exploitation <br> estimate, ER=0.3 | 8,148 | 3,677 |
| Angling exploitation <br> estimate, ER=0.5 | 4,425 | 1,558 |
| Canoe-based spawner <br> counts | 8,304 | 2,637 |
| Diver spawner counts | 7,570 | 3,430 |

As summarized above, only the mark-recapture method indicated that spawning escapement of large salmon was close to target. The other methods concluded that large salmon spawning escapement was, at best, about two-thirds of the target level. Spawning escapement of small salmon exceeded target by all but the angling exploitation rate ( $E R=0.5$ ) method.

This is the second year (out of three years when the mark-recapture method was used) in which the mark-recapture estimates exceeded all other estimates by a factor of $\sim 1.5$ or more (Fig. 8). As in 1994 , this estimate is not believed to accurately represent conditions on the river.

For 1995, the angling exploitation-based (ER=0.3) estimates are supported for management purposes. They are more conservative than the mark-recapture estimates. It is clearly nonsensical to support the angling exploitation ( $E R=0.5$ ) estimates which are lower than the observed spawners in the system. In addition, $E R=0.3$ is similar to the ER observed
in Gaspé rivers (G. Landry, unpub. data) in 1995. Given the poor angling success (low CPUE) in 1995 (Table 5), and low water conditions which delayed upstream migration of salmon until late in the season, angling exploitation rate was probably considerably less than 0.5.

Based on the angling exploitation (ER=0.3) estimate, $67 \%$ of the spawning target was achieved in 1995 (Fig. 9).

## 8 - Ecological considerations

Water discharge was low in 1995 and water temperatures were high through much of the summer. Few or no fish entered the river during lowwater conditions in July and August, resulting in poor catches at Morrissey Rock trapnet and the Upsalquitch barrier fence (Figs. 3,4,6). Given the continued catches of salmon at the Listuguj trapnets during July and August (Fig. 5), salmon were evidently holding position in the lower portion of the river or in the estuary, or moving into the river via the north channel, which had greater water depth than the south channel.

The common perception of anglers and others on the river was that the incidence of furunculosis-induced mortality was elevated because of the warm, low-water conditions, although this is not apparent from dead salmon "catches" on the upstream side of Morrissey Rock trapnet (Table 10), or from trapnet catches of salmon with external signs of furunculosis (Table 9). However, tag returns from salmon found dead were higher than those of the past two years (Table 11), suggesting that disease, temperature or some other factor was stressing fish more than usual.

## 9 - Forecast/Prospects

Three forms of forecasting were used:
(1) Five-year mean: Returns of large and small salmon in 1996 were predicted to be similar to average returns for the period 1991 to 1995 (based on the angling catch-exploitation rate method with ER of 0.3 to $0.5)$.
(2) Adult survival: Returns of small fish in 1994 and 1995 were assumed to reflect the relative survival at sea of cohorts contributing to large salmon returns in 1996. The average of returns of small salmon in 1994 and 1995 was compared to the previous 5 -year average, as a possible index of sea survival. The predicted return of large salmon in 1996 from this method is expressed as a percent change from the forecast of 1995 returns derived by this method.
(3) Spawning success: Abundance of age 1 parr was used to predict future returns of both large and small salmon. Forecasting from juvenile densities is based on ages of spawners in the Restigouche River, where most small salmon return to spawn at age 3 or 4 , and most large salmon return to spawn at age 4 to 6 (unpub. data). Thus, small salmon returning to spawn in 1996 originate from eggs laid in 1991 or 1992. Large salmon returning in 1996 originate from eggs laid in 1989 through 1991. The average of age 1 parr densities in 1991 to 1993 was compared to the previous 5 -year average, as a possible index of recruitment
strength of large salmon. Similarly, for potential returns of small salmon in 1996, age 1 parr densities in 1993 and 1994 were considered. Predicted returns based on parr abundance are expressed as a percent change from the forecast of 1995 returns derived by this method.

Forecasts for 1996 returns are as follows:

Large salmon
Five-year mean 9,013-14,194 7,920-13,183
Adult survival -13\%
Spawning success $+33 \% \quad-3 \%$

Small salmon

## 10 - Management Considerations

It is likely that only $67 \%$ of the large salmon target for spawning escapement was met. Despite poor small salmon returns to the river in 1995, small salmon escapement was met and current harvesting strategies appear to be feasible. Large salmon escapement could be improved by reducing estuary and river harvests targetted at this portion of the stock.

## 11 - Research Recommendations

1. The effectiveness of the current mark-recapture estimate must be evaluated. Its performance as an assessment technique for this river has been poor. Alternative means of population assessment, for example an expansion of the diver-based spawner counts, should be considered.
2. Reliable harvest data from Listuguj First Nation netting is required for this assessment, regardless of the assessment method selected.
3. The spawning target for the Restigouche should be re-examined. Alternative estimates of habitat area are presently in use by DFO and DNRE. Biological characteristics of the stock have not been sampled since the commercial fishery closure and adoption of a catch-and-release fishery for large salmon in New Brunswick. Collection of data on sex ratios, length-weight relationships, and egg production could be carried out at existing harvest fisheries.
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* One trap net in 1986. Two trap nets in 1987 to 1992.

Table 2. Preliminary estimates of harvests (numbers) of small and large salmon in Restigouche River, 1995. Harvests of salmon in 1994 are given for comparison.

|  | 1995 |  | 1994 |  | Mean (90.94) |  | 1995 c.f. Mean |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fishery | Smal1 | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large |
| First Nations 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.B. | 21 | 202 | 58 | 380 | 38 | 376 | -45\% | -46\% |
| P.Q.* | 18 | 985 | 18 | 985 | $\cdot$ | . |  |  |
| Angling 1235 - 3942 -618 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{B} . \\ & \mathrm{P} . \mathrm{Q} . \end{aligned}$ | 1235 354 | 866 | 898 | 963 | 749 | 866 | -53\% | 0\% |
| Total | 1628 | 2053 | 4916 | 2328 | - | - | - | - |

[^0]Table 3. Estimated angling catches of salmon in the Restigouche River, 1970 to 1995 . Estimates of large salmon (1984 to 1995) include released fish in New Brunswick. New Brunswick catch-and-release data were estimates from angling lodge logbooks, crown reserve angler questionnaires and DFo fishery officers.

| Year | Large |  |  | Small |  |  | Proportion Large |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PQ | NB | Total | PQ | NB | Total | PQ | NB | Total |
| 1970 | 326 | 1716 | 2042 | 166 | 1340 | 1506 | 0.66 | 0.56 | 0.58 |
| 1971 | 259 | 757 | 1016 | 173 | 999 | 1172 | 0.60 | 0.43 | 0.46 |
| 1972 | 1171 | 3870 | 5041 | 111 | 978 | 1089 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.82 |
| 1973 | 1146 | 3746 | 4892 | 147 | 1423 | 1570 | 0.89 | 0.72 | 0.76 |
| 1974 | 1163 | 4785 | 5948 | 129 | 1038 | 1167 | 0.90 | 0.82 | 0.84 |
| 1975 | 741 | 2160 | 2901 | 149 | 1130 | 1279 | 0.83 | 0.66 | 0.69 |
| 1976 | 1029 | 4481 | 5510 | 377 | 2345 | 2722 | 0.73 | 0.66 | 0.67 |
| 1977 | 1579 | 5128 | 6707 | 459 | 2333 | 2792 | 0.77 | 0.69 | 0.71 |
| 1978 | 1652 | 3373 | 5025 | 282 | 1322 | 1604 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.76 |
| 1979 | 826 | 997 | 1823 | 556 | 1990 | 2546 | 0.60 | 0.33 | 0.42 |
| 1980 | 2059 | 4098 | 6157 | 409 | 2833 | 3242 | 0.83 | 0.59 | 0.66 |
| 1981 | 1408 | 2832 | 4240 | 635 | 3010 | 3645 | 0.69 | 0.48 | 0.54 |
| 1982 | 962 | 1620 | 2582 | 402 | 2449 | 2851 | 0.71 | 0.40 | 0.48 |
| 1983 | 587 | 1481 | 2068 | 181 | 715 | 896 | 0.76 | 0.67 | 0.70 |
| 1984 | 604 | 1672 | 2276 | 314 | 1474 | 1788 | 0.66 | 0.53 | 0.56 |
| 1985 | 851 | 3563 | 4414 | 344 | 3258 | 3602 | 0.71 | 0.52 | 0.55 |
| 1986 | 1420 | 4763 | 6183 | 502 | 4915 | 5417 | 0.74 | 0.49 | 0.53 |
| 1987 | 970 | 3203 | 4173 | 696 | 4414 | 5110 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.45 |
| 1988 | 1129 | 4546 | 5675 | 789 | 6084 | 6873 | 0.59 | 0.43 | 0.45 |
| 1989 | 1162 | 3441 | 4603 | 509 | 2851 | 3360 | 0.70 | 0.55 | 0.58 |
| 1990 | 893 | 2842 | 3735 | 765 | 3559 | 4324 | 0.54 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
| 1991 | 956 | 2181 | 3137 | 535 | 1987 | 2522 | 0.64 | 0.52 | 0.55 |
| 1992 | 1004 | 3351 | 4355 | 752 | 3999 | 4751 | 0.57 | 0.46 | 0.48 |
| 1993 | 514 | 1541 | 2055 | 796 | 2472 | 3268 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.39 |
| 1994 | 963 | 3016 | 3979 | 898 | 3942 | 4840 | 0.52 | 0.43 | 0.45 |
| 1995 | 866 | 1926 | 2792 | 354 | 1235 | 1589 | 0.71 | 0.61 | 0.64 |
| Mean (90-94) | 866 | 2586 | 3452 | 749 | 3192 | 3941 | 0.53 | 0.45 | 0.47 |
| 1995 c.f. Mean | 0\% | -26\% | -19\% | -53\% | -61\% | -60\% | +34\% | $+36 \%$ | $+36 \%$ |

Table 4. Estimated angling salmon catches from Restigouche River, by tributary, 1970 to 1995 Prior to 1982 Little Main catches included In Main Restigouche. Catches of large salmon (1984 to 1995) include released fish in New Brunswick.

| Year | Matapedia |  | Upsalquitch |  | Patapedia |  | Kedgwick |  | Little Main |  | Main Restigouche |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Small | Large | Smal1 | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large |
| 1970 | 162 | 290 | 270 | 122 | 4 | 24 | 323 | 205 |  |  | 747 | 1401 |
| 1971 | 153 | 217 | 344 | 90 | 20 | 40 | 128 | 67 |  |  | 527 | 602 |
| 1972 | 102 | 1010 | 362 | 984 | 7 | 144 | 165 | 425 |  |  | 453 | 2478 |
| 1973 | 147 | 1098 | 498 | 512 | 0 | 43 | 128 | 548 |  |  | 797 | 2691 |
| 1974 | 124 | 1083 | 433 | 579 | 5 | 63 | 80 | 289 |  |  | 525 | 3934 |
| 1975 | 131 | 692 | 462 | 262 | 18 | 31 | 136 | 316 |  |  | 532 | 1600 |
| 1976 | 296 | 922 | 767 | 753 | 80 | 88 | 209 | 348 |  |  | 1370 | 3399 |
| 1977 | 278 | 1312 | 554 | 901 | 181 | 227 | 368 | 684 |  |  | 1411 | 3583 |
| 1978 | 251 | 1457 | 449 | 507 | 31 | 158 | 143 | 423 |  |  | 730 | 2480 |
| 1979 | 466 | 754 | 507 | 135 | 90 | 60 | 316 | 123 |  |  | 1167 | 751 |
| 1980 | 311 | 1784 | 1178 | 592 | 95 | 229 | 284 | 468 |  |  | 1374 | 3084 |
| 1981 | 485 | 1176 | 1234 | 221 | 148 | 175 | 356 | 473 |  |  | 1422 | 2195 |
| 1982 | 259 | 841 | 818 | 214 | 143 | 112 | 322 | 190 | 59 | 50 | 1250 | 1175 |
| 1983 | 154 | 456 | 203 | 218 | 27 | 103 | 68 | 224 | 14 | 0 | 430 | 1067 |
| 1984 | 285 | 560 | 483 | 346 | 44 | 59 | 149 | 164 | 102 | 27 | 725 | 1120 |
| 1985 | 291 | 807 | 1175 | 507 | 104 | 84 | 330 | 185 | 163 | 50 | 1539 | 2781 |
| 1986 | 389 | 1289 | 1397 | 630 | 163 | 187 | 566 | 519 | 481 | 155 | 2421 | 3403 |
| 1987 | 602 | 915 | 819 | 410 | 193 | 77 | 583 | 409 | 407 | 142 | 2506 | 2220 |
| 1988 | 680 | 1068 | 1296 | 659 | 185 | 107 | 807 | 707 | 524 | 74 | 3381 | 3060 |
| 1989 | 466 | 1119 | 836 | 515 | 73 | 62 | 208 | 544 | 43 | 31 | 1734 | 2332 |
| 1990 | 718 | 856 | 905 | 375 | 81 | 45 | 304 | 258 | 152 | 108 | 2164 | 2093 |
| 1991 | 521 | 940 | 403 | 195 | 30 | 29 | 277 | 403 | 121 | 75 | 1170 | 1495 |
| 1992 | 693 | 966 | 1180 | 561 | 122 | 57 | 420 | 320 | 238 | 141 | 2098 | 2310 |
| 1993 | 735 | 505 | 644 | 221 | 80 | 16 | 231 | 104 | 85 | 42 | 1493 | 1167 |
| 1994 | 822 | 917 | 1212 | 508 | 147 | 51 | 455 | 231 | 269 | 106 | 1935 | 2166 |
| 1995 | 337 | 829 | 307 | 304 | 32 | 71 | 119 | 202 | 32 | 32 | 762 | 1354 |
| Mean (90.94) | 698 | 837 | 869 | 372 | 92 | 40 | 337 | 263 | 173 | 94 | 1772 | 1846 |
| 1995 c.f. Mean | -52\% | -1\% | -65\% | -18\% | -65\% | +78\% | -65\% | -23\% | -82\% | -66\% | -57\% | -27\% |

Table 5. Preliminary estimates of angling catch, effort and CPUE in New Brunswick and Quebec portions of the Restigouche River, 1995. Catch, effort and CPUE in 1994 are given for comparison.

|  |  | 1995 |  |  | 1994 |  |  | Mean (90-94) |  |  | $1995 \mathrm{c.f}$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Catch | Effort | CPUE | Catch | Effort | CPUE | Catch | Effort | CPUE | Catch | Effort | CPUE |
| N.B. | Small <br> Large ${ }^{*}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1235 \\ 1926 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9948 \\ & 9948 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.12 \\ & 0.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3942 \\ & 3016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10303 \\ & 10303 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.38 \\ & 0.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3192 \\ & 2586 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10163 \\ & 10163 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.31 \\ & 0.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -61 \% \\ & -26 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2 \% \\ & -2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -61 \% \\ & -24 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| P.Q. | Small <br> Large | $\begin{aligned} & 354 \\ & 866 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6980 \\ & 6980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05 \\ & 0.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 898 \\ & 963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8554 \\ & 8554 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10 \\ & 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 749 \\ & 866 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7461 \\ & 7461 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10 \\ & 0.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -53 \% \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -68 \\ & -6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -50 \% \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ |
| N.B. ${ }^{+}$ P.Q. | Small Large | 1589 2792 | 16928 16928 | 0.09 0.16 | 4840 3979 | 18857 18857 | 0.26 0.21 | 3941 3452 | 17625 17625 | 0.22 0.20 | $-60 \%$ $-19 \%$ | $-4 \%$ $-4 \%$ | $-59 \%$ $-20 \%$ |

a Estimates of N. B. large salmon are released fish.

Table 6. First Nations salmon landings for Chaleur Bay and Restigouche River, 1975 to 1995.

| Year | New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Québec |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estuary |  |  | River |  |  | Total |  |  | Estuary |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Small | Large | Total | Smal1 | Large | Total | Small | Large | Total | Small | Large | Total |  |  |
| 1975 | 3 | 132 | 135 |  |  |  | 3 | 132 | 135 |  |  |  |  | 135 |
| 1976 | 13 | 124 | 137 |  |  |  | 13 | 124 | 137 | 0 | 1517 | 1517 |  | 1654 |
| 1977 | 19 | 212 | 231 |  |  |  | 19 | 212 | 231 | 0 | 2738 | 2738 |  | 2969 |
| 1978 | 23 | 129 | 152 |  |  |  | 23 | 129 | 152 |  |  |  |  | 152 |
| 1979 | 84 | 148 | 232 |  |  |  | 84 | 148 | 232 | 85 | 748 | 833 |  | 1065 |
| 1980 | 34 | 264 | 298 |  |  |  | 34 | 264 | 298 | 24 | 1563 | 1587 |  | 1885 |
| 1981 | 20 | 211 | 231 |  |  |  | 20 | 211 | 231 |  |  |  | 二 | 231 |
| 1982 | 12 | 155 | 167 |  |  |  | 12 | 155 | 167 | 148 | 1521 | 1669 | - | 1836 |
| 1983 | 0 | 260 | 260 |  |  |  | 0 | 260 | 260 | 32 | 1216 | 1248 |  | 1508 |
| 1984 | 1 | 213 | 214 |  |  |  | 1 | 213 | 214 | 177 | 1070 | 1247 |  | 1461 |
| 1985 | 0 | 241 | 241 |  |  |  | 0 | 241 | 241 | 35 | 976 | 1011 |  | 1252 |
| 1986 | 26 | 431 | 457 |  |  |  | 26 | 431 | 457 | 4 | 1145 | 1149 |  | 1606 |
| $1987^{\text {a }}$ | 95 | 916 | 1011 |  |  |  | 95 | 916 | 1011 | 5 | 986 | 991 |  | 2002 |
| 1988 | 70 | 509 | 579 |  |  |  | 70 | 509 | 579 | 3 | 921 | 924 |  | 1503 |
| 1989 | 151 | 568 | 719 |  |  |  | 151 | 568 | 719 | 12 | 1081 | 1093 |  | 1812 |
| 1990 | 120 | 471 | 591 |  |  |  | 120 | 471 | 591 | 16 | 1135 | 1151 |  | 1742 |
| 1991 | 10 | 252 | 262 |  |  |  | 10 | 252 | 262 | 9 | 859 | 868 | --- | 1130 |
| 1992 | 2 | 464 | 466 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 474 | 476 | 53 | 948 | 1001 |  | 1477 |
| 1993 | 0 | 293 | 293 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 301 | 301 | 0 | 901 | 901 |  | 1202 |
| $1994^{\text {b }}$ | 29 | 348 | 377 | 29 | 32 | 61 | 58 | 380 | 438 | 18 | 985 | 1003 |  | 1441 |
| 1995 | 0 | 178 | 178 | 21 | 24 | 45 | 21 | 202 | 223 | 18 | 985 | 1003 |  | 1226 |
| Mean (90-94) | 32 | 366 | 398 | 10 | 17 | 26 | 38 | 376 | 414 | - | - | - |  | - |
| 1995 c.f. Mean | -100\% | -51\% | -55\% | +110\% | +41\% | +73\% | . $45 \%$ | -468 | -46\% | - | $\cdot$ | - |  | - |

[^1]Table 7. Commercial, angling and First Nations salmon landings from Chaleur Bay and Restigouche River, 1970 to 1995.

| Year | Commercial |  | Angling |  | First Nations |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Smal1 | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large |  |
| 1970 |  | 18180 | 1506 | 2042 |  |  | 21728 |
| 1971 |  | 8967 | 1172 | 1016 |  |  | 11155 |
| 1972 | 36 | 23 | 1089 | 5041 |  |  | 6189 |
| 1973 | 1272 | 295 | 1570 | 4892 |  |  | 8029 |
| 1974 | 132 | 68 | 1167 | 5948 |  |  | 7315 |
| 1975 | 163 | 1026 | 1279 | 2901 | 3 | 132 | 5504 |
| 1976 | 5107 | 225 | 2722 | 5510 | 13 | 1641 | 15218 |
| 1977 | 1134 | 168 | 2792 | 6707 | 19 | 2950 | 13770 |
| 1978 | 1522 | 156 | 1604 | 5025 | 23 | 129 | 8459 |
| 1979 | 83 | 671 | 2546 | 1823 | 169 | 896 | 6188 |
| 1980 | 1986 | 9 | 3242 | 6157 | 58 | 1827 | 13279 |
| 1981 | 3045 | 3534 | 3645 | 4240 | 20 | 211 | -14695- |
| 1982 | 2202 | 4437 | 2851 | 2582 | 160 | 1676 | 13908 |
| 1983 | 1552 | 4569 | 896 | 2068 | 32 | 1476 | 10593 |
| 1984 | 7161 | 2026 | 1788 | 604 | 178 | 1283 | 13040 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 3602 | 851 | 35 | 1217 | 5705 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 5417 | 1420 | 30 | 1576 | 8443 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 5110 | 970 | 100 | 1902 | 8082 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 6873 | 1129 | 73 | 1430 | 9505 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 3360 | 1162 | 163 | 1649 | 6334 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 4324 | 893 | 136 | 1606 | 6959 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 2522 | 956 | 19 | 1111 | 4608 " |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 4751 | 1004 | 55 | 1422 | 7232 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 3268 | 514 | 0 | 1202 | 4984 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 4840 | 963 | 76 | 1365 | 7244 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 866 | 39 | 1187 | 3681 |
| Mean (90-94) | 0 | 0 | 3941 | 866 | $\bullet$ | - | - - |
| 1995 c.f. Mean | 0\% | 0\% | -60\% | $0 \%$ | - | - | - |

Table 8. Salmon catches at Morrissey Rock, Adams' Shore and Smith Island trapnets.

| Year | Morrissey Rock |  |  | Adams' Shore |  |  | Smith Island |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Operating dates | Small | Large | Operating dates | Small | Large | Operating dates |
| 1992 | 63 | 38 | $\begin{array}{lr} \text { Jul. } & 15 \text {-Aug. } \\ \text { Aug. } & 11 \text {-Oct. } \\ 22 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 342 | 51 | $\begin{array}{lr} \text { Jun. } & 1 \text {-Jun. } \\ \text { Jun. } & 16 \text {-Aug. } \\ 16 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 455 | 136 | Jun. 16-Sep. 20 | 141 | 23 | Jun. 20-Sep. 11 |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 117 | 149 |  | 289 | 173 | Jun. 6-Aug. 11 | 106 | 36 | Jun. 15-Aug. 11 |

Table 9. Percentage of salmon trapped at Morrissey Rock with presumed furunculosis (i.e. with red fins or body) ectoparasites (sea lice) or net marks. (a) Large salmon

| Large salmon | 1993 |  |  |  | 1994 |  |  |  | 1995 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period* | Percent Furunculosis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { of salmon } \\ & \text { Sea } \\ & \text { lice } \end{aligned}$ | with: Net marks | Total catch at trap | Percent Furunculosis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { of salmon } \\ & \text { Sea } \\ & \text { lice } \end{aligned}$ | with: Net marks | Total catch at trap | Percent Furunculosis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { of salmon } \\ & \text { Sea } \\ & \text { lice } \end{aligned}$ | with: Net marks | Total catch at trap |
| Jun. 1-15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | 3 | 50 | 13 | 32 |
| Jun. 16-30 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 46 | 42 | 43 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 61 |
| Jul. 1-15 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 22 | 6 | 49 | 18 | 55 | 0 | 23 | 9 | 34 |
| Jul. 16.31 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 28 | 19 | 24 | 14 | 21 |
| Aug. 1-15 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 43 | 29 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Aug. 16-31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep. 1-15 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep. 16.30 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Total number with condition | 2 | 2 | 6 | 51 | 3 | 55 | 30 | 136 | 5 | 39 | 15 | 149 |


| Small salmon | 1993 |  |  |  |  | 1994 |  |  |  | 1995 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period* | Percent Furun culosis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { of salmon } \\ & \text { Sea } \\ & \text { lice } \end{aligned}$ | with: Net marks | Total catch at trap | 1 | Percent Furun culosis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { of salmon } \\ & \text { Sea } \\ & \text { lice } \end{aligned}$ | with: Net marks | Total catch at trap | Percent <br> Furun- <br> culosis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { of salmon } \\ & \text { Sea } \\ & \text { lice } \end{aligned}$ | with: Net marks | Total catch at trap |
| Jun. 1-15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | - | $\cdot$ | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jun. 16-30 | 0 | 57 | 14 | 14 |  | 0 | 31 | 23 | 26 | 0 | 39 | 6 | 18 |
| Jul. 1-15 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 117 |  | 2 | 27 | 13 | 199 | 0 | 23 | 11 | 47 |
| Ju1. 16-31 | 2 | 12 | 8 | 115 |  | 2 | 45 | 22 | 168 | 2 | 21 | 12 | 43 |
| Aug. 1-15 | 5 | 40 | 14 | 91 |  | 0 | 55 | 15 | 54 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 8 |
| Aug. 16-31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 0 | 60 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $i$ |
| Sep. 1-15 | - | - | - | - |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep. 16-30 | - | - | - | - |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 2 | - | - | - |  |
| Total number with condition | 7 | 69 | 36 | 342 |  | 7 | 173 | 77 | 455 | 1 | 28 | 12 | 117 |

[^2]| Period ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jun. 1-15 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Jun. 16-30 | 3 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Jul. 1-15 | 13 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Jul. 16-31 | 0 | 5 | 0 | - |
| Aug. 1-15 | 0 | 6 | 0 |  |
| Aug. 16-31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Sep. 1-15 | - | 1 | 0 |  |
| Sep. 16-30 | - | 0 | - |  |
| Total | 16 | 15 | 3 |  |

a Operating dates are shown in Table 8.

Table 11. Means by which tags applied to small salmon were recovered in 1993, 1994 and 1995.
 Percent of recoveries

| Method of recovery | Morrissey Rock trap |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\text { Listuguj traps }}{1995} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1993 | 1994 |  | 1995 |  |
| Angling | 63 | 58 |  | 56 | 33 |
| Upsalquitch barrier fence | 32 | 24 |  | 6 | 5 |
| Morrissey Rock recaptures | 3 | 11 |  | 5 | 5 |
| Listuguj traps recaptures | - | - |  | - | 38 |
| Broodstock | 0 | 4 |  | 5 | 5 |
| Found dead by anglers | 2 | 3 | $\cdots$ | 28 | 14 |
| Total number recovered | 57 | 66 |  | 18 | 21 |
| Total number applied | 329 | 430 |  | 103 | 279 |

Table 12. Counts of salmon at two fish barriers in the Restigouche River system.


Table 13. (a) DNRE canoe-based spawner counts, by tributary, of the Restigouche River system, 1985 to 1995

| Year | Matapedia |  | Upsalquitch |  | Patapedia |  | Kedgwick |  | Little Main |  | Main Restigouche |  | Restigouche System |  | Restigouche System |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | $\overline{\text { Small + Large }}$ |
| 1985 | 321 | 892 | 925 | 1174 | 61 | 548 | 108 | 968 | 525 | 1859 | 343 | 2342 | 2283 | 7783 | 10066 |
| 1986 | 336 | 1114 | 2632 | 2451 | 311 | 728 | 281 | 976 | 1241 | 2541 | 413 | 1708 | 5214 | 9518 | 14732 |
| 1987 | 622 | 946 | 1948 | 2179 | 80 | 953 | 582 | 1729 | 610 | 1418 | 357 | 949 | 4199 | 8174 | 12373 |
| 1988 | 791 | 1243 | 1761 | 2140 | 317 | 1117 | 602 | 1546 | 536 | 2128 | 238 | 962 | 4245 | 9136 | 13381 |
| 1989 | 764 | 1834 | 1387 | 2223 | 178 | 1012 | 289 | 1640 | 923 | 2442 | 803 | 2837 | 4344 | 11988 | 16332 |
| $1990^{\circ}$ | 1080 | 1289 |  |  | 214 | 783 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 640 | 1152 | 2247 | 1575 | 162 | 586 | 423 | 1204 | 332 | 862 | 453 | 1713 | 4257 | 7092 | 11349 |
| 1992 | 711 | 1023 | 1986 | 1434 | 141 | 502 | 161 | 515 | 200 | 665 | 73 | 565 | 3272 | 4704 | 7976 |
| 1993 | 628 | 1010 | 1183 | 570 | 98 | 442 | 127 | 370 | 175 | 500 | 141 | 620 | 2352 | 3512 | 5864 |
| 1994 | 384 | 1376 | 1909 | 1534 | 282 | 670 | 518 | 1111 | 611 | 1192 | 686 | 988 | 4390 | 6871 | 11261 |
| 1995 | 669 | 2461 | 1263 | 1578 | 232 | 825 | 83 | 1244 | 96 | 1319 | 294 | 877 | 2637 | 8304 | 10941 |
| Mean (90-94) | 689 | 1170 | 1831 | 1278 | 179 | 597 | 307 | 800 | 330 | 805 | 338 | 972 | 3568 | 5545 | 9113 |
| 1995 c.f. Mean | -3\% | +110\% | -31\% | +23\% | +30\% | +38\% | -73\% | +56\% | -71\% | +64\% | -13\% | -10\% | -26\% | +50\% | +20\% |

- Count incomplete. High water prevented field spawner count in New Brunswick.
(b) MEF diver-based spawner counts, by tributary, of the Restigouche River system, 1994 to 1995.

|  | Matapedia |  | Upsalquitch |  | Patapedia |  | Kedgwick |  | Little Main |  | Main Restigouche |  | Restigouche System |  | Restigouche System |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small + Large |
| 1994 | 383 | 1389 | 1795 | 1282 | 282 | 670 | 960 | 772 | 572 | 414 | 458 | 1157 | 4450 | 5684 | 10134 |
| 1995 | 669 | 2461 | 1497 | 2002 | 232 | 825 | 717 | 1276 | 102 | 43 | 213 | 963 | 3430 | 7570 | 11000 |
| 1995 C.f. 1994 | +75\% | +77\% | -17\% | +56\% | -18\% | +23\% | -25\% | +65\% | -82\% | -90\% | -53\% | -17\% | -23\% | +33\% | +9\% |

Table 14. (a) Distribution of spawners and spawning habitat among tributaries. From DNRE spawner counts.

| Year | Matapedia | Upsalquitch | Patapedia | Kedgwick | Little Main | Main Restigouche |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 12.0 | 20.9 | 6.0 | 10.7 | 23.7 | 26.7 |
| 1986 | 9.8 | 34.5 | 7.1 | 8.5 | 25.7 | 14.4 |
| 1987 | 12.7 | 33.3 | 8.3 | 18.7 | 16.4 | 10.6 |
| 1988 | 15.2 | 29.2 | 10.7 | 16.0 | 19.9 | 9.0 |
| 1989 | 15.9 | 22.1 | 7.3 | 11.8 | 20.6 | 22.3 |
| 1991 | 15.8 | 33.7 | 6.6 | 14.3 | 10.5 | 19.1 |
| 1992 | 21.7 | 42.9 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 10.8 | 8.0 |
| 1993 | 27.9 | 29.9 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 11.5 | 13.0 |
| 1994 | 15.6 | 30.6 | 8.4 | 14. 5 | 16.0 | 14.9 |
| 1995 | 28.6 | 26.0 | 9.7 | 12.1 | 12.9 | 10.7 |
| Mean (90-94) | 20.3 | 34.3 | 8.1 | 11.5 | 12.2 | 13.8 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1995 \text { c.f. Mean } \\ (90.94) \end{gathered}$ | +41\% | -24\% | +20\% | +5\% | +6\% | -22\% |
| Habitat | 21.4 | 13.1 | 5.8 | 8.1 | 5.9 | 45.8 |

(b) Distribution of spawners and spawning habitat among tributaries. From MEF spawner counts.
 Percentage of total spawner numbers by tributary:

| Year | Matapedia | Upsalquitch | Patapedia | Kedgwick | Little Main | Main Restigouche |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 17.5 | 30.4 | 9.4 | 17.1 | 9.7 | 15.9 |
| 1995 | 28.5 | 31.8 | 9.6 | 18.1 | 1.3 | 10.7 |
| 1995 c.f. 1994 | +63\% | +5\% | +2\% | +6\% | .87\% | -33\% |
| Habitat | 21.4 | 13.1 | 5.8 | 8.1 | 5.9 | 45.8 |

Table 15. Distributions of Atlantic salmon to the Restigouche River system (by system of broodstock origin) by the Charlo Salmonid Enhancement Centre in 1995. Fish were not adipose-clipped or otherwise marked unless noted under Destination.


| River | Number | Stage | Destination |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kedgwick | 150,000 | eyed eggs | MSRT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ incubation boxes |
|  | 22,000 | 0 parr | MSRT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ satellite site |
|  | 138,572 | 0 parr | Kedgwick River (49,464 adipose-clipped) |
|  | 13,102 | 1 parr | Kedgwick River (all adipose-clipped) |
|  | 1,060 | 1 parr | MSRT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ satellite site (all adipose-clipped) |
| Little Main | 250,000 | eyed eggs | NWSA ${ }^{\text {b }}$ incubation boxes |
|  | 25,000 | eyed eggs | MSRT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ incubation boxes |
|  | 30,000 | 0 parr | Runnymede Lodge satellite site (M. Restigouche R.) |
|  | 16,000 | 0 parr | Boston Brook Lodge satellite site (L.M. Restigouche R.) |
|  | 10,500 | 0 parr | MSRT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ satellite site |
|  | 4,500 | 0 parr | Boland Brook Lodge satellite site (Upsalquitch R.) |

[^3]Table 16. Juvenile densities of Atlantic salmon in the Restigouche River, 1972 to 1995. Juvenile densities (number per 100m2) are mean densities of 15 (1972-90 \& 93), 8 (1991), 10 (1992), 11 (1994) and 13 (1995) standard sites, designated by year of spawning.

| Year <br> (i) | Juvenile salmon densities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { (year } i+1 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | (year i+2) | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { (year i+3) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1971 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 0.6 |
| 1972 | 22.0 | 6.1 | 1.5 |
| 1973 | 13.1 | 4.8 | 1.0 |
| 1974 | 28.6 | 6.9 | 1.4 |
| 1975 | 13.3 | 3.9 | 1.0 |
| 1976 | 14.7 | 6.3 | 1.4 |
| 1977 | 19.5 | 5.9 | 2.1 |
| 1978 | 6.1 | 3.8 | 0.4 |
| 1979 | 9.3 | 2.4 | 0.4 |
| 1980 | 18.9 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| 1981 | 11.2 | 7.8 | 2.5 |
| 1982 | 25.4 | 7.3 | 1.6 |
| 1983 | 25.1 | 10.4 | 2.8 |
| 1984 | 25.2 | 7.5 | 4.7 |
| 1985 | 23.9 | 9.4 | 2.1 |
| 1986 | 42.0 | 6.1 | 1.9 |
| 1987 | 53.2 | 12.1 | 3.1 |
| 1988 | 72.1 | 12.9 | 2.9 |
| 1989 | 53.2 | 12.3 | 2.8 |
| 1990 | 106.5 | 14.6 | 4.7 |
| 1991 | 49.6 | 11.5 | 2.6 |
| 1992 | 51.4 | 10.9 | 2.6 |
| 1993 | 58.5 | 14.7 | - |
| 1994 | 71.9 | - | - |
| 1995 | - | - | - |
| Mean (90-94) | 63.8 | 12.4 | 3.2 |
| 1995 c.f. Mean | +13\% | +19\% | -19\% |


| Year | Harvest |  | Catch Including Releases | Poaching and | Spawners (S) | Returns <br> (R) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estuary | River |  | Disease (PAD) |  |  |
| 1970 | 18180 | 2042 |  | 1297 | 4765 | 26284 |
| 1971 | 8967 | 1016 |  | 645 | 2371 | 12999 |
| 1972 | 23 | 5041 |  | 3201 | 11762 | 20027 |
| 1973 | 295 | 4892 |  | 3106 | 11415 | 19708 |
| 1974 | 68 | 5948 |  | 3777 | 13879 | 23672 |
| 1975 | 1158 | 2901 |  | 1842 | 6769 | 12670 |
| 1976 | 1866 | 5510 |  | 3499 | 12857 | 23732 |
| 1977 | 3118 | 6707 |  | 4259 | 15650 | 29734 |
| 1978 | 285 | 5025 |  | 3191 | 11725 | 20226 |
| 1979 | 1567 | 1823 |  | 1158 | 4254 | 8802 |
| 1980 | 1836 | 6157 |  | 3910 | 14366 | 26269 |
| 1981 | 3745 | 4240 |  | 2692 | 9893 | 20570 |
| 1982 | 6113 | 2582 |  | 1640 | 6025 | 16360 |
| 1983 | 6045 | 2068 |  | 1313 | 4825 | 14251 |
| 1984 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3309 | 722 | 2276 | 1445 | 6865 | 12341 |
| 1985 | 1217 | 1173 | 4414 | 2803 | 13540 | 18733 |
| 1986 | 1576 | 1695 | 6183 | 3926 | 18915 | 26112 |
| 1987 | 1902 | 1170 | 4173 | 2650 | 12740 | 18462 |
| 1988 | 1430 | 1329 | 5675 | 3604 | 17588 | 23951 |
| 1989 | 1649 | 1492 | 4603 | 2923 | 13851 | 19915 |
| 1990 | 1606 | 1146 | 3735 | 2372 | 11304 | 16428 |
| 1991 | 1111 | 1181 | 3137 | 1992 | 9276 | 13560 |
| 1992 | 1412 | 1337 | 4355 | 2765 | 13180 | 18694 |
| 1993 | 1194 | 779 | 2055 | 1305 | 6071 | 9349 |
| 1994 | 1333 | 1308 | 3979 | 2527 | 11955 | 17123 |
| 1995 | 1163 | 1159 | 2792 | 1773 | 8148 | 12243 |
| Mean (90-94) | $\bullet$ | 1150 | 3452 | 2192 | 10357 | - |
| 1995 c.f. Mean | - | +1\% | -19\% | -19\% | -21\% | - |


| Year | Harvest |  | Catch Including Releases | ```Poaching and Disease (PAD)``` | Spawners (S) | Returns <br> (R) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estuary | River |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 18180 | 2042 |  | 778 | 2042 | 23042 |
| 1971 | 8967 | 1016 |  | 387 | 1016 | 11386 |
| 1972 | 23 | 5041 |  | 1921 | 5041 | 12026 |
| 1973 | 295 | 4892 |  | 1864 | 4892 | 11943 |
| 1974 | 68 | 5948 |  | 2266 | 5948 | 14230 |
| 1975 | 1158 | 2901 |  | 1105 | 2901 | 8065 |
| 1976 | 1866 | 5510 |  | 2099 | 5510 | 14985 |
| 1977 | 3118 | 6707 |  | 2555 | 6707 | 19087 |
| 1978 | 285 | 5025 |  | 1915 | 5025 | 12250 |
| 1979 | 1567 | 1823 |  | 695 | 1823 | 5908 |
| 1980 | 1836 | 6157 |  | 2346 | 6157 | 16496 |
| 1981 | 3745 | 4240 |  | 1615 | 4240 | 13840 |
| 1982 | 6113 | 2582 |  | 984 | 2582 | 12261 |
| 1983 | 6045 | 2068 |  | 788 | 2068 | 10969 |
| 1984 | 3309 | 722 | 2276 | 867 | 3830 | 8728 |
| 1985 | 1217 | 1173 | 4414 | 1682 | 7655 | 11727 |
| 1986 | 1576 | 1695 | 6183 | 2356 | 10671 | 16298 |
| 1987 | 1902 | 1170 | 4173 | 1590 | 7176 | 11838 |
| 1988 | 1430 | 1329 | 5675 | 2162 | 10021 | 14942 |
| 1989 | 1649 | 1492 | 4603 | 1754 | 7714 | 12609 |
| 1990 | 1606 | 1146 | 3735 | 1423 | 6324 | 10499 |
| 1991 | 1111 | 1181 | 3137 | 1195 | 5093 | 8580 |
| 1992 | 1412 | 1337 | 4355 | 1659 | 7373 | 11781 |
| 1993 | 1194 | 779 | 2055 | 783 | 3331 | 6087 |
| 1994 | 1333 | 1308 | 3979 | 1516 | 6650 | 10807 |
| 1995 | 1163 | 1159 | 2792 | 1064 | 4425 | 7811 |
| Mean (90-94) | - | 1150 | 3452 | 1315 | 5754 | - |
| 1995 C.f. Mean | - | +1\% | -19\% | -19\% | -23\% | - |


| Year | Harvest |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Returns } \\ & (R) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estuary | River | Catch | Disease (PAD) | (S) |  |
| 1970 | 0 | 1506 |  | 817 | 3514 | 5837 |
| 1971 | 0 | 1172 |  | 636 | 2735 | 4543 |
| 1972 | 36 | 1089 |  | 591 | 2541 | 4257 |
| 1973 | 1272 | 1570 |  | 852 | 3663 | 7357 |
| 1974 | 132 | 1167 |  | 633 | 2723 | 4655 |
| 1975 | 166 | 1279 |  | 694 | 2984 | 5123 |
| 1976 | 5120 | 2722 |  | 1477 | 6351 | 15670 |
| 1977 | 1153 | 2792 |  | 1515 | 6515 | 11975 |
| 1978 | 1545 | 1604 |  | 870 | 3743 | 7762 |
| 1979 | 252 | 2546 |  | 1382 | 5941 | 10121 |
| 1980 | 2044 | 3242 |  | 1759 | 7565 | 14610 |
| 1981 | 3065 | 3645 |  | 1978 | 8505 | 17193 |
| 1982 | 2362 | 2851 |  | 1547 | 6652 | 13412 |
| 1983 | 1584 | 896 |  | 486 | 2091 | 5057 |
| 1984 | 7339 | 1788 |  | 970 | 4172 | 14269 |
| 1985 | 35 | 3602 |  | 1955 | 8405 | 13997 |
| 1986 | 30 | 5417 |  | 2940 | 12640 | 21027 |
| 1987 | 100 | 5110 |  | 2773 | 11923 | 19906 |
| 1988 | 73 | 6873 |  | 3730 | 16037 | 26713 |
| 1989 | 163 | 3360 |  | 1823 | 7840 | 13186 |
| 1990 | 136 | 4324 |  | 2346 | 10089 | 16895 |
| 1991 | 19 | 2522 |  | 1369 | 5885 | 9795 |
| 1992 | 55 | 4755 | 4751 | 2578 | 11082 | 18470 |
| 1993 | 0 | 3288 | 3268 | 1773 | 7605 | 12666 |
| 1994 | 47 | 4869 | 4840 | 2627 | 11264 | 18807 |
| 1995 | 18 | 1620 | 1589 | 862 | 3677 | 6177 |
| Mean (90-94) | - | 3952 | 4286 | 2139 | 9185 | - |
| 1995 c.f. Mean | - | -59\% | -63\% | -60\% | -60\% | - |


| Year | Harvest |  | Catch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Poaching } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { isease (PAD) } \end{aligned}$ | Spawners (S) | Returns <br> (R) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estuary | River |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 0 | 1506 |  | 490 | 1506 | 3502 |
| 1971 | 0 | 1172 |  | 382 | 1172 | 2726 |
| 1972 | 36 | 1089 |  | 355 | 1089 | 2569 |
| 1973 | 1272 | 1570 |  | 511 | 1570 | 4923 |
| 1974 | 132 | 1167 |  | 380 | 1167 | 2846 |
| 1975 | 166 | 1279 |  | 416 | 1279 | 3140 |
| 1976 | 5120 | 2722 |  | 886 | 2722 | 11450 |
| 1977 | 1153 | 2792 |  | 909 | 2792 | 7646 |
| 1978 | 1545 | 1604 |  | 522 | 1604 | 5275 |
| 1979 | 252 | 2546 |  | 829 | 2546 | 6173 |
| 1980 | 2044 | 3242 |  | 1056 | 3242 | 9584 |
| 1981 | 3065 | 3645 |  | 1187 | 3645 | 11542 |
| 1982 | 2362 | 2851 |  | 928 | 2851 | 8992 |
| 1983 | 1584 | 896 |  | 292 | 896 | 3668 |
| 1984 | 7339 | 1788 |  | 582 | 1788 | 11497 |
| 1985 | 35 | 3602 |  | 1173 | 3602 | 8412 |
| 1986 | 30 | 5417 |  | 1764 | 5417 | 12628 |
| 1987 | 100 | 5110 |  | 1664 | 5110 | 11984 |
| 1988 | 73 | 6873 |  | 2238 | 6873 | 16057 |
| 1989 | 163 | 3360 |  | 1094 | 3360 | 7977 |
| 1990 | 136 | 4324 |  | 1408 | 4324 | 10192 |
| 1991 | 19 | 2522 |  | 821 | 2522 | 5884 |
| 1992 | 55 | 4755 | 4751 | 1547 | 4747 | 11104 |
| 1993 | 0 | 3288 | 3268 | 1064 | 3248 | 7600 |
| 1994 | 47 | 4869 | 4840 | 1576 | 4811 | 11303 |
| 1995 | 18 | 1620 | 1589 | 517 | 1558 | 3713 |
| Mean (90-94) | - | 3952 | 4286 | 1283 | 3930 | - |
| 1995 c.f. Mean | - | -59\% | -63\% | -60\% | -60\% | - |

Table 21. Estimated spawners and total returns of large salmon in Restigouche River, 1993 to 1995 , with $95 \%$ confidence limits. Spawners were estimated using mark-recapture techniques.


|  | Harvest |  | River population at point $A$ | ```Poaching and Disease (PAD)``` | Spawners | Returns |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Estuary | River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 1194 | 779 | 7672 | 1228 | 5665 | (4323-9022) | 8866 | (7268-12862) |
| 1994 | 1333 | 1308 | 20864 | 3338 | 16218 | (12438-25839) | 22197 | (17697-33651) |
| 1995 | 1163 | 1159 | 15689 | 2510 | 12020 | (6980-32927) | 16852 | (10852-41741) |
| Mean (93-94) | - | 1044 | 14268 | 2283 | 10942 |  | - |  |
| 1995 c.f. Mean | - | +11\% | +10\% | +10\% | +10\% |  | - |  |

Table 22. Estimated spawners and total returns of small salmon in Restigouche River, 1993 to 1995 , with $95 \%$ confidence limits. Spawners were estimated using mark-recapture techniques.


|  | Harvest |  | River population at point A | ```Poaching and Disease (PAD)``` | Spawners | Returns |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Estuary | River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 0 | 3288 | 12000 | 1680 | 7032 | (4882-12407) | 12000 | (9500-18250) |
| 1994 | 47 | 4869 | 25500 | 3570 | 17061 | (12331-29101) | 25547 | (20047-39547) |
| 1995 | 18 | 1620 | 8825 | 1236 | 5969 | (3067-18009) | 8843 | (5468-22843) |
| Mean (93-94) | - | 4079 | 18750 | 2625 | 12047 |  | - |  |
| 1995 C.f. Mean | - | -60\% | -53\% | -53\% | -50\% |  | - |  |



Figure 1. Nap of the Restigouche River showing the location of salmon counting facilities, First Nations fisheries and electrofishing sites in 1995.


Figure 2. Angling catch of Atlantic salmon in the Restigouche River, 1970-1995.




Figure 3. Daily catches of small salmon at Morrissey Rock assessment trap in 1993-1995. Horizontal bars indicate periods when the trap was not operating. In addition, the trap did not operate in 1995 on July 4, 11, 18, 25, August $1,8,15,22$ and 29.




Figure 4. Daily catches of large salmon at Morrissey Rock assessment trap in 1993-1995. Horizontal bars and dates when the trap did not operate, as in Figure 3.


Figure 5. Cumulative catches of simall and large salmon at the Morrissey Rock (squares), Adams" Shore (diamonds) and Smith Island (circles) assessment traps in 1995.


Figure 6. Daily catches of large and small salmon at the Northwest Upsalquitch barrier fence in 1995 (hatched bars), compared to the five-year (1990-1994) mean (solid bars).


Figure 7. Mean densities of age 0,7 and 2 parr in the Restigouche River, 1972-1995 ( 15 sites, 1972-1990 and 1993; 3 sites, 1991; 10 sites, 1992; 11 sites, 1994; 13 sites, 1995). Dashed lines are $95 \%$ confidence limits.

## Large salmon spawning escapement



Small salmon spawning escapement


Angling ER=.3 $\rightarrow$ Angling ER=. 5 - Mark-recapture $\rightarrow$ Spawner count
Figure 8. Comparison of large and small salmon spawning escapement estimates by various methods (angling exploitation rates (ER) of 0.3 and 0.5 , markrecapture estimate, and visual spawner counts), 1970-1995.


Figure 9. Egg deposition rates of Restigouche River salmon, estimated from angling exploitation rate method (two levels of exploitation rate, ER), and mark-recapture method, 1970-1995. Horizontal line indicates target deposition rate.


[^0]:    - Québec First Nation harvests (1994 to 1995) are 1989-93 means. Thus, previous five year means were not calculated in this and subsequent tables that involve First Nations harvasts.

[^1]:    - Québec First Nation landings from (Randall et al. 1988)
    b Quebec First Nation landings (1994 to 1995) are 1989-93 means.

[^2]:    - Operating dates are shown in Table 8.

[^3]:    - Management of Salmon in the Restigouche and Tributaries.
    b Northwest Salmon Association.

