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## Abstract

The Exploits River was the site of an Atlantic salmon colonization program from 1957-1993. Counts of at fishways and angling data provided the basis for assessing the status of the salmon population and determining percent of target egg deposition achieved. The 1995 returns to the Exploits was $93 \%$ of the average 1992-94 returns and $213 \%$ of the $1987-1991$ mean and 136\% of the 1984-1989 mean. In 1995 the lower, middle and upper Exploits received 99\%, $24 \%$ and $12 \%$ of the required egg deposition respectively.

Résumé
La rivière Exploits a été le site d'un progranme de colonisation dusaumon atlantique de 1957 à 1993. Les dénombrements effectués à des passes migratoires et des donnees sur la péche sportive ont été utilisées pour évaluer la situation de la population de saumon et déterminer le pourcentage de la ponte cible réalisé. La remonte de 1995 s'est située à $93 \%$ de la moyenne 1992-1994, à $213 \%$ de la moyenne 1987-1991 et à 136\% de la-moyenne 1984-1989. En 1995, l'étendue d'amont, médiane et d'aval de la rivière a-connurespectivement $12 \%, 24 \%$ et $99 \%$ de la ponte cible.

## Introduction

The Exploits River is the largest watershed in insular Newfoundland, encompassing a drainage area of $11,272 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (Porter et al. 1974). The river flows in a northeasterly direction, entering the sea in SFA 4 (Fig. 1). Prior to the inception of enhancement activity (O'Connell and Bourgeois, 1987) less than $10 \%$ of watershed area was available to anadromous Atlantic salmon due to the presence of natural and man-made obstructions (Taylor and Bauld, 1973). The Exploits River requires 95.9 million eggs to meet its required target egg deposition (Table 1); however, to date, only 53\% of the colonizable habitat within the watershed has been stocked.

The intent of this document is to review the status of the stock in 1995.

## Background

For details of the stocking conducted in the various sections of the Exploits River(Fig.2), refer to Tables $2-4$. With respect to the middle Exploits, 26,612 riverine units (egg requirement $45,040,320$ ) of habitat did not receive the required five years of stocking to establish a self-sustaing run.

Management measures implemented in 1992, which remained in place are as follows:
1.Moratorium on commercial salmon fishing in insular Newfoundland.
2.Moratorium on the northern cod fishery affecting Salmon Fishing Areas (SFA's) 1-9 implemented on July 15, 1992. This measure eliminated by-catch of salmon in cod fishing gear.

In 1994, due to the low egg deposition in the upper Exploits (1991-1994 Table 4) and that the expected low returns in 1995 would represent returns from the last year of fry stocking, concern was expressed with respect to future returns to Red Indian lake fishway. The increase in angling effort and catch(Table 5) realized on the Exploits in 1994 further reduced the rate of increase of spawners in the upper Exploits. In an effort to increase escapement at Red Indian Lake in 1995 to about 1,000 fish the following management measures for the recreational fishery were put in place. In addition to the above management measures DFO with funding from University of Waterloo
transferred approximately 300 adults from Grand Falls to a location within Red Indian lake.

## Lower Exploits:

From June 24 - July 7 the recreational fishery was restricted to hook and release only.

From July 8 - July 31 A quota of 700 fish for retention with hook and release fishery.

From Aug. 1 - Aug. 27 A quota of 300 fish for retention with Hook and release fishery.

Middle and Upper Exploits
Hook and Release fishery for season.

The quota of 700 salmon was reached on July 12 and on July 13 the river was opened to hook and release only.

However as a result of angler complaints and demonstrations the lower Exploits was opened to catch and retain fishing on July 15 with a quota of 300 salmon. This quota was taken on July 18 and the river was open to hook and release fishing only.

The 300 salmon quota for August was reached on Aug. 9 and was followed by hook and release fishing until Aug. 27.

In the middle Exploits Badger Brook was open to retention with a quota of 30 fish from Aug. 11 - Aug. 27.

## Methods

Fish are enumerated at four fishway locations on the Exploits; I) Bishop Falls fishway which enumerates all fish entering the river at the community of Bishop Falls on the main stem of the river in the lower Exploits ii) Camp 1 fishway on Great Rattling Brook in the lower Exploits iii) Grand Falls fishway on the main stem of the Exploits at the community of Grand Falls-Winsor which enumerates all fish entering the middle and upper Exploits and iv) Red Indian Lake fishway at the outflow of Red Indian Lake which enumerates all fish entering the upper Exploits.

Fry stocking was conducted utilizing mainly helicopters with minimal distribution via vehicle (in accessible locations) in riverine habitat. Stocking was conducted such that the habitat that was stocked received 75 fry per unit of habitat. Fry were stocked along the river banks in areas of low flow with depth less than 30 cm in areas where gravel/cobble substrate was present. If suitable habitat was available fry were stocked at one quarter kilometre intervals on opposite sides of the river. The number of fry released in individual drops ranged from 5,000 - 50,000 depending on the available habitat to be stocked. Releases in excess of 25,000 were only conducted on the main stem of the river in the middle Exploits.

Angling catch and effort data prior to 1994 were supplied by DFO staff and from 1994 to present the data were collected by DFO staff and River Monitors. Angling statistics presently (19941995) collected are reported for 7 various locations of which five are located in the lower Exploits; lower I) downstream of the Bishops Falls fishway ii) Bishop Falls fishway to Grand Falls fishway (main stem of river only) iii) Great Rattling Brook downstream of Camp 1 fishway (includes angling at the mouth of Great Rattling Brook and therefore all fish angled at this location are not destined for Great Rattling Brook) iv) Great Rattling Brook upstream of Camp 1 fishway v) Stoney Brook ( includes angling at the mouth of Stoney Brook and therefore all fish angled at this location are not destined for Stoney Brook) middle vi) Grand Falls fishway to Red Indian Lake fishway upper vii) upstream of Red Indian Lake. From 1985-1993 angling data was collected from four locations and prior to 1985 data was collected from three locations (Table 5).

Angling exploitation rates for above and below Bishop Falls are calculated as follows; Below Bishop Falls fishway = angling below Bishop Falls fishway / (count at Bishop Falls fishway + angling below Bishop Falls fishway); Above Bishop Falls fishway = angling above Bishop Falls fishway / count at Bishop Falls fishway

Habitat determinations and target egg depositions are detailed in Table 1. Target egg requirement was calculated based on 240 egg $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and 7 smolts/ha of standing water. Smolt production of 7 smolt/ha was divided by 1.9 ? to convert this to eggs (O'Connell et al., 1991).

Biological characteristic data presented in Tables 6 and 7 was collected from various locations within the Exploits watershed as detailed in the various tables.

Spawning escapement was calculated by subtracting angling catches and known removals from counts at fishways without inclusion of an estimate for poaching and disease or hook and release mortality.

Egg deposition is calculated based on a length fecundity relationship based on mean length of female fish. Data collected from broodstock from 1984-1991 were used to determine mean female length and percent female fish in the run. Calculations use a mean female length of 52 cm (a mean no. of eggs per female of 2198.) and that females compromise $77 \%$ of the run. Caution: Mean length of female fish may have changed since the 1992 management changes to commercial exploitation.

In order to calculate the egg deposition in areas where fry stocking occurred, an estimate of egg-to-fry survival of 20\% (Sturge, 1968) was used to back calculate fry to eggs. Sturge (1968) gave a range of $10-30 \%$ for egg-to-fry survival and indicated that a figure of $20 \%$ appeared to be a reasonable value.

## Results and Discussion

Table 1 details the accessible rearing area and target egg deposition for the Exploits River. The use of fixed parameters, such as 240 eggs $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ of fluvial habitat and 7 smolts/ha of standing water habitat, has certain limitations (see O'Connell \& Dempson, 1991 for discussion on this topic).

The 1995 count at the Bishop's Falls fishway of 16,655 (15, 723 small and 941 large) adults was $97 \%$ of 1994 count (Table 8). The 1995 escapement to the Exploits (17090) was 93\% of the 1992-94 escapement and 213\% of the 1987-1991 mean and 136\% of the 19841989 mean.

Table 5 details the angling statistics for the Exploits watershed. The 1995 catch of 1,336 was below the 1990-1994 mean of 1,619 fish and $43.5 \%$ of the 1994 catch. Of particular interest is the retained catch of 1,300 fish in 15 days. Angling exploitation(retention only) downstream of Bishop Falls has generally declined since the early 1980's with the 1980-1995 mean being 51.4\% (range 87.6\%-24.1\%) of total catch and the 1985-1995 and 1990-1995 means being $41.6 \%$ and $46.0 \%$ respectively of total retained fish. It is very likely that the late opening for the retention fishery on the Exploits in 1995 had an impact on the retention fishery downstream of Bishop Falls which accounted for $32.5 \%$ of the 1995 retention catch.

Run timing (cumulative percent of run to date) for Bishops, Camp 1 and Grand Falls fishways are presented in Tables 9-11 respectively. The dates for 50 percent of the escapement for Bishops, Camp 1 and Grand Falls fishways are the week of July 19, July 28 and August 6 respectively. Mid season reviews of escapement for various watershed sections could be conducted at the latter referenced dates respectively as the count would represent $50 \%$ of the run.

## Egg Deposition and Percent of Target Egg Achieved

## Lower Exploits

Table 2 details the number of spawners and subsequent egg deposition and $\%$ target egg deposition achieved for Great Rattling Brook and for other tributaries (combined) of the lower Exploits for the period 1957-1995. The egg deposition for Great Rattling Brook in 1995 was only $50 \%$ of target which was higher than observed in 1994 but lower than the 1984-89 average(64.6\%) and 1992-94 average (66.6\%).Since the moratorium Great Rattling Brook has received between 43 and $96 \%$ of target egg deposition while the various other tributaries of the Lower Exploits have received between 115 and $280 \frac{0}{\circ}$ of target egg deposition.

The results of spawning surveys conducted on various tributaries of the Lower Exploits from 1992-94 are detailed in Table 12. The Lower exploits requires 9,666 spawners to meet it's egg target with 6,169 of these spawners required in Great Rattling Brook. Greenwoods, Stoney, Little Rattling and Three Brooks require 3,497 spawners for their egg target. From 1975 to present these latter four tributaries have received an average of 3,596 (range $660-9,436$ ) per year. Spawning surveys conducted in 1992 and 1993 could not account for 2,309 and 5,199 spawners respectively. In 1995 the difference between the number of salmon counted at Bishop Falls fishway and the sum of the counts at Grand Falls and Camp 1 fishways plus angling is 6,104 salmon. This would imply that 6,104 salmon spawned in Little Rattling, Greenwoods and Three Brooks equivalent to 192 of their egg target. Table 2 details the overall egg deposition for the lower exploits broken down into Great Rattling Brook and other tributaries. The dissimilarity in production of the four tributaries in question is disturbing due to the close proximity and similarity of habitat of these tributaries. This is borne out in that the four tributaries in question account for $34 \%$ of the habitat in the Lower Exploits and $6 \%$ of the habitat in the watershed but yet is receiving on average $32 \%$ of the adults migrating through Bishop Falls fishway.

## Middle Exploits

The middle Exploits requires a deposition of 64.2 million eggs to meet its total seeding requirement (Table 1); however the 187,668 units (egg requirement $45 \times 10^{8}$ ) in the main stem of the river have not received adequate stocking to be producing a self-sustaining run of adults. Furthermore it is questioned if smolt production in the order of 3 smolts per unit should be expected from this habitat.

The middle Exploits received an egg deposition of 15.46 million eggs from natural spawning which is 24.1 of the target $80.5 \%$ of the 19.2 million target if one excludes the main stem of the river (Table 3).

The returns to Grand Falls in 1995 were the offspring of the natural spawners in 1990 (2 in total) and fry stocking in 1990 and 1991. The escapement to Grand Falls in 1995 is the second highest recorded to date.

## Upper Exploits

The upper Exploits requires an egg deposition of 15.4 million eggs but only received $12.1 \%$ of this target in 1995 (Table 4). This was in part accomplished by the transfer of 314 adults from Grand Falls fishway. With the cessation of stocking in 1991 and few spawners in 1990 and 1991 returns during the next three years are expected to be very low. The 1996 returns are mainly the offspring fry stocked in 1991. The authors strongly recommend that measures be undertaken to increase the egg deposition in the upper Exploits.

## 1996 Management Plan

The following Management Plan has been announced for the 1996 angling fishery:

Exploits River below Grand Falls

- Catch-and-release angling only June 22-July9 and August 16Sept. 2
■ Catch-and-retain angling July 10- Aug.15. No quota. An in season review in late July will determine if a spawning escapement of 13,000 will be achieved. And if not angling will revert to catch-and-release only.

Exploits River above Grand Falls

- The main stem of the river from Grand Falls to Red Indian Lake, and all tributaries above Red Indian Lake dam , will be open only for catch-and-release angling for the entire season.
- Tributaries between Grand Falls and Red Indian Lake will be open for catch-and-retain angling July 10- Aug. 15 inclusive. Catch-and-release angling will apply before and after these dates.
1,000 fish will be trucked from Grand Falls to Red Indian Lake.


## Management Considerations

One of DFO'S objectives on the Exploits River is to increase spawning escapement above Red Indian Lake. This was attempted in 1995 through quotas and catch-and-release angling. It is suggested that in 1996 that the recreational fishery be controlled through catch-and-release angling with no quota on catch-and-retain fishing. The spawning escapement on the Exploits River should not be allowed to decrease to below 13,000 adults. To further address the escapement above Red Indian Lake it is recommended that at least 1,000 adults be trucked from Grand Falls fishway to Red Indian Lake.
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Table 1: Rearing area and target egg deposition for sections of the Exploits River.

| Exploits River | Riverine <br> Habitat. $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | Lacustrine <br> Habitat (ha) | Target Egg <br> Deposition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower | 57,552 | 6,915 | $\mathbf{1 6 , 3 6 0 , 1 1 2}$ |
| Middle | 234,873 | 21,178 | $64,171,941$ |
| main stem | 187,668 | 0 | $45,040,320$ |
| tributaries | 47,205 | 21,178 | $19,131,621$ |
| Upper | 55,437 | 5,665 | $15,384,617$ |
| Total | 347,862 | 33,758 | $95,916,670$ |

Table 2. Details of egg deposition Lower Exploits (G.R.B.=Great Rattling Brook; Other=Other Tributaries)

| Year | No. Ery released G.R.B. | No. spawners G.R.B. | No. spawners other | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total eggs } \\ \text { G.R.B. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total eggs other | of Target | \% Target other | \% Target total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1957 |  | 610 | * | 1,032,401 | + | 10 | + | * |
| 1958 |  | 786 | * | 1,330,274 | * | 13 | * | * |
| 1959 |  | 329 | 1,005 | 556,819 | + | 5 | * | + |
| 1960 |  | 785 | 892 | $1,328,581$ | 1,503,674 | 13 | 28 | 18 |
| 1961 |  | 626 | 577 | 1,059,480 | 976,549 | 10 | 18 | 13 |
| 1962 |  | 1,212 | - | 2,051,262 | * | 20 | + | * |
| 1963 |  | 578 | 691 | 978,242 | 1,169,490 | 9 | 22 | 14 |
| 1964 |  | 1,886 | * | 3,191,980 | + | 31 | * | * |
| 1965 |  | 777 | 594 | 1,315,041 | 1,005,321 | 13 | 19 | 1 |
| 1966 |  | 1,412 |  | 2,389,754 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 15 |
| 1967 |  | 1,204 | 829 | 2,037,722 | 1,403,049 | 20 | 26 | 22 |
| 1968 |  | 2,021 | + | 3,420,462 | - | 33 | + | * |
| 1969 |  | 1,182 | 272 | 2,000,488 | 460,349 | 19 | 9 | 16 |
| 1970 |  | 1,222 | * | 2,068,186 | + | 20 | + | + |
| 1971 |  | 1,163 | 66 | 1,968,331 | 111,702 | 19 | 2 | 13 |
| 1972 |  | 729 | 114 | 1,233,803 | 192,940 | 12 | 4 | 9 |
| 1973 |  | * | * | 0 | + | * | * | + |
| 1974 |  | * | 2,647 | 0 | 4,479,942 | * | 83 | * |
| 1975 |  | 4,601 | 4,225 | 7,787,008 | 7,150,644 | 75 | 133 | 94 |
| 1976 |  | 2,004 | 983 | 3,391,690 | 1,663,688 | 32 | 31 | 32 |
| 1977 |  | 3,632 | 1,395 | 6,147,015 | 2,360,982 | 59 | 44 | 54 |
| 1978 |  | 2,139 | 671 | 3,620,172 | 1,135,641 | 35 | 21 | 30 |
| 1979 |  | 3,048 | 2,434 | 5,158,618 | 4,119,448 | 49 | 77 | 59 |
| 1980 |  | 4,611 | + | 7,803,933 | + | 75 | * | * |
| 1981 |  | 4,741 | 660 | 8,023,953 | 1,117,024 | 77 | 21 | 58 |
| 1982 |  | 2,877 | 2.258 | 4,869,207 | 3,821,575 | 47 | 71 | 55 |
| 1983 |  | 3,252 | * | 5,503,880 | + | 53 | * | + |
| 1984 |  | 6,178 | 5,679 | 10,456,018 | 9,611,480 | 100 | 179 | 127 |
| 1985 |  | 5,952 | 3,712 | 10,073,522 | 6,282,412 | 96 | 117 | 103 |
| 1986 |  | 2,742 | 3,035 | 5,616,360 | 5,136,616 | 54 | 95 | 68 |
| 1987 | 195,127 | 230 | 3.236 | 4,744,161 | 5,476,801 | 45 | 102 | 65 |
| 1988 | 870,979 | 896 | 1,900 | 6,469,514 | 3,215,674 | 62 | 60 | 61 |
| 1989 | 990,614 | 46 | 2,574 | 3,215,478 | 4,356,392 | 31 | 81 | 48 |
| 1990 | 627,525 | 11 | 2,313 | 3,483,172 | 3,914,660 | 33 | 73 | 47 |
| 1991 | 692,911 | 1,086 | 1,993 | 1,838,012 | 3,755,473 | 18 | 70 | 35 |
| 1992 | 76,480 | 3,762 | 3666+ | 6,367,035 | 6,204,558 | 61 | 115 | 79 |
| 1993 | 0 | 5,927 | 4273+ | 10,031,210 | 7,231,882 | 96 | 134 | 109 |
| 1994 | 0 | 2637 | 8,909 | 4,463,017 | 15,078,126 | 43 | 280 | 124 |
| 1995 | 0 | 3113 | 6104 | 5,268,628 | i0,330,776 | 50 | 192 | 99 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { indi } \\ & \text { resu } \end{aligned}$ | es no da of spawn | ing surve |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Details of egg deposition Middle Exploits.

| Year | No. Ery <br> Released | Spawners <br> Released | Natural Egg <br> Deposition | Fry to Egg <br> Equiv. | Total Eggs | \&Target Egg <br> Achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 768600 | 768600 | 1.2 |
| 1968 | 153720 | 168340 | 0 | 0 | 841700 | 841700 |
| 1969 | 328920 | 295946 | 0 | 0 | 1644600 | 1644600 |


| 1991 | 1487248 | 267 | 752164 | 9304990 | 10067154 | 15.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 1605761 | 1441 | 4113400 | 8464850 | 12578250 | 19.6 |
| 1993 | 1692970 | 5.744 | 14769418 | 0 | 14769418 | 23.0 |
| 1994 | 0 | 5967 | 17033073 | 0 | 17033073 | 26.5 |
| 1995 | 0 | 5416 | 15450218 | 0 | 15460218 | 24.1 |

Note: Egg target is 64 million ( 45 for main stem and 19 for tributaries)

Table 4. Details of egg deposition Upper Exploits.

| Year | No. Fry <br> Released | Fry to egg | Adults Spawning | Total Eggs | \% Target egg Deposition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 0 | 952665 | 0 | 952665 | 6.19 |
| 1976 | 190533 | 892390 | 0 | 892390 | 5.80 |
| 1977 | 178478 | 155590 | 1 | 155580 | 1.01 |
| 1978 | 31116 | 1) | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 1980 | 0 | 3326500 | 0 | 3326500 | 21.62 |
| 1981 | 665300 | 4460735 | 0 | 4460735 | 28.99 |
| 1982 | 892147 | 2041055 | 0 | 2041055 | 13.27 |
| 1983 | 408211 | 1992570 | 0 | 1992570 | 12.95 |
| 1984 | 398514 | 4403050 | 0 | 4403050 | 28.62 |
| 1985 | 880610 | 8189350 | 0 | 8189350 | 53.23 |
| 1986 | 1637870 | 11078265 | 0 | 11078265 | 72.01 |
| 1987 | 2215653 | 14895245 | 0 | 14895245 | 96.82 |
| 1988 | 2979049 | 19275305 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 19275305 | 125.29 |
| 1989 | 3855061 | 18345255 | u | 18345255 | 119.24 |
| 1990 | 3669051 | 13471645 | 0 | 13471645 | 87.57 |
| 1991 | 2694329 | 0 | 28 | 47389 | 0.31 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 238637 | 1.6 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 585 | 990089 | 6.4 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 633 | 1071327 | 7.0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 1102 | 1865091 | 12.1 |

Table 5. Angling statistics for Exploits River


Table 6. Biological characteristics of Exploits River smolt 1984 -1995.

| YEAR | Life Stage | FORK LENGTH |  |  | WEIGHT |  |  | RIVER |  | AGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MEAN (NO.) | S.D | RANGE | MEAN (NO.) | S.D | RANGE | MEAN (NO.) | S.D | RANGE |
| 1984 | smolt | 16.41954) | 2.3 | 12.0-26.8 | 57.6139) | 9.4 | 38.2-76.8 | 3.5(938) | 0.6 | 2.0-6.0 |
| 1985 | smolt | 16.6(280) | 1.9 | 10.6-26.7 | $42.7(252)$ | 15.8 | 12.4-169.0 | $3.2(276)$ | 0.5 | 2.0-5.0 |
| 1986 | smolt | 15.4(1378) | 2.3 | $6.70-26.7$ | $34.1(1212)$ | 14.8 | $7.8-207.0$ | $3.611299)$ | 0.7 | 2.0-7.0 |
| 1987 | smolt | 17.3(779) | 2.3 | 10.8-28.4 | $51.3(776)$ | 22.4 | 15.6-228.1 | $3.4(780)$ | 0.7 | 2.0-6.0 |
| 1988 | smolt | 16.3(823) | 3.1 | 10.3-26.7 | $46.4(823)$ | 29.7 | 12.8-333.8 | 3.7 (805) | 0.8 | 2.0-7.0 |
| 1989 | smolt | 15.7(600) | 2.8 | 10.1-26.3 | $43.6(593)$ | 23.2 | 13.7-176.8 | 3.4(613) | 0.7 | 2.0-5.0 |
| 1990 | smolt | 16.2(557) | 3.0 | 8.8-33.9 | $46.7(555)$ | 27.8 | 8.1-246.0 | 3.4(552) | 0.7 | 2.0-5.0 |
| 1991 | smolt | 17.5(100) | 2.8 | 12.3-28.4 | $52.21200 \%$ | 27.3 | 21.6-190.7 | 3.3(98) | 0.7 | 2.0-5.0 |
| 1992 | smolt | 16.5(173) | 1.5 | 12.9-21.6 | 42.3(170) | 11.7 | 18.2-104.6 | 3.4(173) | 0.6 | 2.0-5.0 |
| 1993 | smolt | 16.6(201) | 1.9 | 12.8-23.0 | 46.4(201) | 16.0 | 20.6-119.0 | 3.3(197) | 0.6 | 2.0-5.0 |
| 1994 | smolt | 15.9(215) | 1.8 | $9.2-21.0$ | 38.3(215) | 12.4 | 10.7-79.0 | 3.5(214) | 0.5 | 1.0-5.0 |
| 1995 | smolt | 15.7(189) | 1.9 | 11.2-23.7 | 34.6(199) | 14.5 | 13.2-124.4 | 3.2 (199) | 0.7 | 1.0-5.0 |

```
Sample Locations
1984 - Bishops Falls forebay, Lake Ambrose, Lloyd's River
1985 - Bishops Ealls forebay
1986 - Bishops Ealls forebay, Badger Brook, Great Rattling Brook, Stoney Brook, Little Red Indian
Brook, Red Indian Lake, Noel Paul's Brook
1987 - 1990 Bishops Falls forebay, Badger Brook, Great Rattling Brook, Stoney Brook, Little Red
Indian Brook, Red Indian Lake, Noel Paul's Brook, Three Brooks, Little Rattling Brook, Greenwoods
Brook
1991 - 1993 & 1995 Bishops Falls forebay
1994 - Bishops Ealls forebay, Stoney Brook
```

Table 7. Biological characteristics Exploits River adults 1984 1994.

| YEAR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LIEE } \\ & \text { STAGE } \end{aligned}$ | EORK LENGTH |  |  |  | WEIGHT |  |  |  | RIVER AG |  |  | AGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MEAN | (NO.) | S.D | RANGE | MEAN | (NO.) | S.D | RANGE | MEAN | (NO.) | S.D | RANGE |
| 1984 | 15W | $\begin{aligned} & 49.63 \\ & (1722) \end{aligned}$ |  | 2.76 | 39.00-60.00 | 1.18 | (1723) | 0.21 | . $50-2.40$ | 3.22 | (1487) | 0.45 | 2-5 |
|  | 2SW | 65.00 |  |  |  | 2.20 | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Repeat | 56.17 | (65) | 4.99 | 46.50-76.00 | 1.83 | (65) | 0.60 | . $80-4.80$ | 3.32 | (53) | 0.55 | 2-5 |
| 1985 | 1SW | $\begin{aligned} & 50.96 \\ & (3604) \end{aligned}$ |  | 2.75 | 37.00-67.00 | 1.34 | (3604) | 0.21 | . 55-2.96 | 3.46 | (3111) | 0.56 | 2-7 |
|  | 2SW | 53.50 |  |  |  | 1.40 | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Repeat | 54.11 | (102) | 3.38 | 48.00-63.00 | 1.56 | (101) | 0.30 | . $98-2.64$ | 3.25 | (80) | 0.52 | 2-4 |
| 1986 | 1SW | 52.23 | (243) | 5.17 | 41.10-66.50 | 1.42 | (238) | 0.44 | .65-2.90 | 3.56 | (242) | 0.60 | 2-5 |
|  | 2SW | 68.10 | (21) | 2.48 | 64.50-73.80 | 3.13 | (21) | 0.42 | 2.60-3.99 | 3.14 | (21) | 0.57 | 2-5 |
|  | Repeat | 66.74 | (69) | 6.43 | 44.30-81.00 | 2.99 | (68) | 0.74 | 1. 00-4. 30 | 3.19 | (67) | 0.47 | 2-4 |
| 1987 | ISW | 50.13 | (456) | 6.42 | 27.70-74.00 | 1.22 | (413) | 0.54 | . $40-3.85$ | 3.47 | (394) | 0.61 | 2-6 |
|  | 2SW | 68.90 |  | 4.55 | 64.00-73.00 | 2.80 | (1) |  |  | 2.50 | (2) | 0.71 | 2-3 |
|  | Repeat | 63.40 | (124) | 6.81 | 38.30-77.00 | 2.50 | (96) | 0.84 | . 50-4.60 | 3.31 | (97) | 0.57 | $2-5$ |
| 1988 | 1SW | 48.58 | (475) | 5.66 | 34.69-67.10 | 1.12 | (426) | 0.38 | .45-2.60 | 3.50 | (448) | 0.65 | 2-6 |
|  | 2SW | 66.20 |  | 6.13 | 60.50-72.80 | 2.87 | (4) | 0.90 | 2.10-3.99 | 3.25 | (4) | 0.50 | 3-4 |
|  | Repeat | 58.09 | (35) | 7.24 | 39.00-74.00 | 2.02 | (31) | 0.86 | . $65-4.50$ | 3.61 | (28) | 0.79 | $2-6$ |
| 1989 | 1SW | 51.97 | (387) | 5.68 | 37.60-68.80 | 1.37 | (376) | 0.42 | . 55-3.00 | 3.53 | (323) | 0.63 | 2-7 |
|  | 2SW | 67.17 | (3) | 3.41 | 65.00-71.10 | 2.73 | (3) | 0.53 | 2.25-3.30 | 3.00 | (3) | 0.00 | 3-3 |
|  | Repeat | 56.73 | (37) | 8.08 | 41.00-75.00 | 1.87 | (36) | 0.75 | . $70-4.20$ | 3.33 | (30) | 0.55 | 3-5 |
| 1990 | 15W | 53.02 | (339) | 5.56 | 40.50-67.00 | 1.38 | (337) | 0.41 | . $58-2.66$ | 3.48 | (319) | 0.61 | 2-6 |
|  | 2SW | 66.50 |  | 2.60 | 63.50-68.00 | 2.85 | (3) | 0.45 | 2.34-3.12 | 3.67 | (3) | 0.58 | 3-4 |
|  | Repeat | 61.95 | (52) | 6.75 | 44.10-80.20 | 2.300 | (52) | 0.87 | . $62-5.20$ | 3.36 | (44) | 0.49 | 3-4 |
| 1991 | 1SW | 52.58 | (218) | 5.50 | 35.00-64.10 | 1.43 | (218) | 0.40 | . $50-2.40$ | 3.60 | (203) | 0.66 | 2-6 |
|  | 2SW | 66.70 |  |  |  | 2.65 | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Repeat | 56.57 | (20) | 3.20 | 47.40-61.50 | 1.82 | (20) | 0.27 | 1.10-2.30 | 3.72 | (18) | 0.75 | 3-5 |
| 1992 | 1SW | 54.08 | (243) | 4.86 | 38.70-65.70 | 1.58 | (243) | 0.38 | . $65-2.90$ | 3.55 | (228) | 0.73 | 2-6 |
|  | 2SW | 58.57 | (3) | 3.86 | 64.20-71.50 | 2.50 | (3) | 1.95 | .25-3.80 | 3.33 | (3) | 0.58 | 3-4 |
|  | Repeat | 59.59 | (40) | 4.63 | 54.00-74.80 | 2.00 | (40) | 0.53 | . $43-4.10$ | 3.56 | (36) | 0.56 | 3-5 |
| 1993 | 1SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.40 | [94] | 0.54 | 3-5 |
|  | Repeat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.40 | (10) | 0.70 | 2-4 |


| 1994 | 1SW | 54.43 | (774) | 2.99 | 46.00-63.00 | 1.68 | (414) | 0.35 | . 907-2.90 | 3.38 | (786) | 0.62 | 2-5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Repeat | 58.75 | (40) | 3.23 | $51.00-63.00$ | 2.19 | (24) | 0.61 | 1.35-2.99 | 3.20 | (40) | 0.61 | $2-5$ |
|  | -samples from 1984-1992 were Noel Paul's broudstuck <br> -samples were collected from Grand Ealls each year and from Great Rattiing Brook from 1986-1990 <br> -1993 and 1994 angling samples from Lower Exploits (nain stem of river and Great Rattling Brook) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8 . Counts at various counting facilities on the Exploits River.

| Year | Count at Bishops |  |  | Count at Camp 1 |  |  | Count at Grand Falls |  |  | Count at Red Indian Lake |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | small | large | total | small | large | total | small | larg | total | small | large | total |
| 1959 | 886 | 119 | *1005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 1013 | 157 | 1170 | 94 | 9 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 839 | 118 | 957 | 319 | 53 | 372 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1962 |  |  |  | 1037 | 31 | 1068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1963 | 1202 | 65 | 1267 | 491 | 37 | 528 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1964 |  |  |  | 1752 | 116 | 1868 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1965 | 1228 | 203 | 1431 | 587 | 190 | 777 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1966 | 829 | 506 | *1335 | 942 | 470 | 1412 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 1372 | 710 | 2082 | 822 | 382 | 1204 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1968 |  |  |  | 1334 | 687 | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 979 | 498 | 1477 | 892 | 290 | 1182 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 |  |  |  | 1023 | 199 | 1222 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 961 | 300 | 1261 | 902 | 261 | 1163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 794 | 113 | 907 | 495 | 234 | *729 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 205 | 89 | 294 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 2538 | 411 | 2949 |  |  |  | 64 | 0 | *64 |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 9218 | 1439 | 10657 | 5531 | 505 | 6036 | 319 | 21 | 340 |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 3991 | 460 | 4451 | 2935 | 117 | 3052 | 128 | 5 | 133 |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 6148 | 581 | 6729 | 4300 | 271 | 4571 | 244 | 9 | 253 |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 3790 | 303 | 4093 | 2704 | 81 | 2785 | 132 | 6 | 138 |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 6715 | 277 | 6992 | 3925 | 124 | 4049 | 501 | 8 | 509 |  |  |  |
| 1980 |  |  |  | 4597 | 426 | 5023 | 3062 | 23 | 3085 |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 8114 | 1695 | *9809 | 4264 | 514 | 4778 | 3809 | 227 | 4036 |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 7605 | 181 | 7786 | 2796 | 122 | 2918 | 2321 | 67 | 2388 |  |  |  |
| 1983 |  |  |  | 2952 | 302 | *325 | 2182 | 37 | 2219 |  |  |  |


| 1984 | 17219 | 529 | 17748 | 6300 | 111 | $* 641$ | 4993 | 50 | 5043 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1985 | 16652 | 183 | 16835 | 5985 | 38 | 6023 | 4992 | 11 | 5003 |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 9697 | 355 | 10052 | 3072 | 174 | 3246 | 2243 | 67 | 2310 |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 9014 | 310 | 9324 | 2327 | 41 | 2368 | 2211 | 41 | 2252 |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 8974 | 147 | 9121 | 3433 | 10 | 3443 | 2535 | 34 | 2569 |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 7192 | 89 | 7281 | 1694 | 14 | 1708 | 2737 | 70 | 2807 |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 6629 | 122 | 6751 | 1057 | 15 | 1072 | 2697 | 118 | 2815 |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 5245 | 99 | 5344 | 1060 | 40 | 1100 |  |  | 1614 | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| 1992 | 12538 | 314 | 12852 | 3520 | 242 | 3762 | 2609 | 64 | 2673 | 138 | 3 | 141 |
| 1993 | 21319 | 627 | 21946 | 5615 | 312 | $* 592$ | 5658 | 101 | 5759 | 571 | 14 | 585 |
| 1994 | 16168 | 916 | 17084 | 2488 | 333 | $* 282$ | 6430 | 196 | 6626 | 611 | 25 | 636 |
| 1995 | 15714 | 941 | 16655 | 2719 | 394 | $* 311$ | N/A | N/A | 6523 | 774 | 44 | 818 |

Table 9 . Cumulative percent of run to date for Bishops Falls fishway 1986-1995.


Table 10. Cumulative percent run to date Camp 1 Fishway 1986-1995

| Date | Julian Day | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| June 23 | 174 | 0.00\% | 0.13\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | 181 | 0.46\% | 0.30\% | 0.12\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | 188 | 1.60\% | 3.29\% | 0.55\% | 3.04\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.07\% | 0.50\% | 0.00\% |
|  | 195 | 3.33\% | 10.47\% | 8.07\% | 22.31\% | 12.03\% | 1.27\% | 0.88\% | 3.63\% | 8.47\% | 9.22\% |
|  | 202 | 12.05\% | 17.99\% | 29.71\% | 44.96\% | 34.33\% | 4.45\% | 8.03\% | 14.39\% | 30.70\% | 31.74\% |
| July 28 | 209 | 43.75\% | 17.99\% | 56.61\% | 67.10\% | 79.20\% | 25.82\% | 37.13\% | 29.14\% | 44.31\% | 64.41\% |
|  | 216 | 64.08\% | 17.99\% | 71.74\% | 76.23\% | 92.91\% | 50.1\% | 72.43\% | 47.63\% | 64.76\% | 80.95\% |
|  | 223 | 82.13\% | 17.99\% | 84.05\% | 90.93\% | 97.11\% | 71.45\% | 81.77\% | 75.05\% | 77.03\% | 89.82\% |
|  | 230 | 87.31\% | 17.99\% | 91.20\% | 94.50\% | 98.23\% | 84.73\% | 93.04\% | 91.99\% | 87.13\% | 94.76\% |
| August 25 | 237 | 91.93\% | 42.27\% | 96.20\% | 98.54\% | 99.25\% | 91.18\% | 98.43\% | 97.64\% | 91.24\% | 97.88\% |
|  | 244 | 95.07\% | 52.53\% | 98.61\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 99.65\% | 99.33\% | 96.49\% | 98.84\% |
|  | 251 | 98.18\% | 57.52\% | 99.07\% |  |  |  | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 98.05\% | 99.26\% |
|  | 258 | 99.20\% | 81.80\% | 99.91\% |  |  |  |  |  | 98.90\% | 100.00\% |
|  | 265 | 99.82\% | 95.52\% | 100.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | 99.57\% |  |
|  | 272 | 100.00\% | 96.03\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.00\% |  |
|  | 279 |  | 99.54\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October9 | 282 |  | 100.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date of $100 \%$ of count does not represent closure of fishway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 11. Cumulative percent of run to date for Grand Falls Fishway 1986-1995.

| ate | Julian Day | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| July 3 | 184 |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.93\% |  |  | 0.00\% |  |  |
|  | 190 |  | 1.15\% |  | 1.89\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | 197 | 0.00\% | 6.44\% | 0.00\% | 14.64\% | 3.56\% | 0.00\% | 0.85\% | 2.16\% | 5.35\% |
|  | 204 | 6.28\% | 20.47\% | 9.77\% | 33.99\% | 16.44\% | 2.92\% | 0.89\% | 14.69\% | 17.55\% |
|  | 211 | 20.09\% | 40.90\% | 26.59\% | 55.04\% | 32.85\% | 24.46\% | 15.18\% | 31.98\% | 53.07\% |
| Aug. 6 | 218 | 30.13\% | 61.86\% | 60.18\% | 66.62\% | 61.98\% | 41.74\% | 32.58\% | 60.94\% | 73.10\% |
|  | 225 | 49.05\% | 80.02\% | 76.02\% | 84.93\% | 78.58\% | 59.54\% | 66.16\% | 70.43\% | 82.94\% |
|  | 232 | 80.69\% | 83.93\% | 83.85\% | 91.52\% | 86.68\% | 79.88\% | 82.43\% | 82.13\% | 86.02\% |
|  | 239 | 85.45\% | 91.25\% | 90.23\% | 94.87\% | 97.51\% | 87.25\% | 90.42\% | 89.34\% | 90.10\% |
| Sept. 3 | 246 | 89.74\% | 95.83\% | 98.05\% | 96.54\% | 100.00\% | 91.06\% | 92.12\% | 92.74\% | 91.89\% |
|  | 253 | 92.86\% | 96.58\% | 98.05\% | 100.00\% |  | 91.06\% | 98.16\% | 93.34\% | 94.91\% |
|  | 260 | 93.55\% | 97.11\% | 99.88\% |  |  | 96.22\% | 98.84\% | 94.13\% | 97.32\% |
|  | 267 | 93.64\% | 99.64\% | 99.96\% |  |  | 98.28\% | 99.01\% | 94.94\% | 98.85\% |
| Oct. 1 | 274 | 94.03\% | 99.69\% | 100.00\% |  |  | 99.40\% | 99.57\% | 99.43\% | 100.00\% |
|  | 281 | 97.01\% | 100.00\% |  |  |  | 99.85\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |  |
|  | 288 | 98.36\% |  |  |  |  | 99.85\% |  |  |  |
| Oct. 22 | 294 | 100.00\% |  |  |  |  | 100.00\% |  |  |  |

Table 12. Details of spawners to lower Exploits.

| Year | Released at Bishop Falls |  | Spawners to Other Tributaries | Spawners to Tribuaties as \% of Bishops | No. of fish to other Tributaries based on spawning survey | Fence Count Stony Brook | No. of fish unaccounte d for |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 10657 | 6036 | 4225 | 39.65\% |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 4451 | 3052 | 983 | 22.08\% |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 6729 | 4571 | 1395 | 20.73\% |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 4084 | 2785 | 671 | 16.43\% |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 6992 | 4049 | 2434 | 34.81\% |  |  |  |
| 1980 | N/A | 5023 | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |
| 1981 + | 9777 | 4778 | 660 | 6.75\% |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 7778 | 2918 | 2258 | 29.03\% |  |  |  |
| 1983 | N/A | 3254+ | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 17748 | $6411+$ | 5679 | 32.00\% |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 16833 | 6023 | 3712 | 22.05\% |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 10002 | 3246 | 3035 | 30.34\% |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 9324 | 2368 | 3236 | 34.71\% |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 9121 | 3443 | 1900 | 20.83\% |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 7281 | 1708 | 2574 | 35.35\% |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 6751 | 1072 | 2313 | 34.26\% |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 5338 | 1100 | 1993 | 37.34\% |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 12852 | 3762 | 5975 | 46.49\% | 3666 |  | 2309 |
| 1993 | 21946 | 5927+ | 9436 | 43.00\% | 4237 |  | 5199 |
| 1994 | 17044 | 2821+ | 6023 | 35.34\% | 2542** | 2886 |  |
| 1995 | 16655 | $3113+$ | 6104 | 36.58\% |  |  |  |

[^0]

Fig. 1. Map showing the 14 Salmon Fishing Areas of the Newfoundland Region.


Fig. 2. Detailed map of the Exploits River system.


[^0]:    *Tributaries = Three Brooks, LittleRattling, Stoney and Greenwoods Brooks

    + indicates a partial count
    ** Stoney Brook

