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#### Abstract

The 1994 TAC of 5,000 tons was less than 1993 ( 6,200 tons). Industry advised a cautious approach since the incoming year class (1990) was weaker than the previous 2 year classes (1988 and 1989). A declining biomass could not sustain high catch-rates in 1994. From June to September the catch-rate experienced a $45 \%$ drop and did not recover. Survey results for 1994 indicate that the abundance of recruited age groups has been decreasing and that they have been replaced by weak age classes. Biomass estimates, which had been rising since the mid 1980's, have been decreasing from the recent peak in 1992. The overall biomass has decreased $19 \%$ from 1993 to 1994; the target biomass (ages 4 to 6 ), by $32 \%$.

Different fishing scenarios are presented for 1995 . Even with a good 1992 year class, it will take a few years and improving recruitment to replenish stock biomass. High effort levels in 1995 could lead to a situation where the fishery is recruitment driven.


RESUME

Le TPA de 5,000 tonnes pour 1994 était inférieur à celui de 1993 ( 6,200 tonnes). L'industrie avait recommandé une approche conservatrice vu que la classe d'age recrutant à la pêche (1990) était plus faible que les 2 classes d'age qui l'avait précédée (1988 et 1989). Une biomasse en voie de réduction ne put soutenir des taux de capture élevés en 1994. De Juin à Septembre, les taux de capture ont subi une baisse de $45 \%$ et sont restés à ce niveau. Les résultats d'inventaire de recherche indiquent que l'abondance des groupes d'ages recrutés a diminué et que ceux qui les remplacent sont faibles. Les estimés de biomasse, qui avaient augmenté depuis le milieu des années 1980, sont descendus du pic de 1992. La biomasse totale a diminué de $19 \%$ de 1993 à 1994 alors que la biomasse cible (ages $4-6$ ) a plongé à $32 \%$.

On présente différents scénarios de pêche pour 1995. On aura besoin de quelques années et d'un meilleur recrutement pour remonter la biomasse du stock même si la classe de 1992 est une bonne année. Un taux d'effort élevé en 1995 pourrait conduire à une situation où la pêche dépend exclusivement sur le recrutement.

## INTRODUCTION

After the jurisdiction for fisheries on Georges Bank (Fig. 1) had been settled by the World Court (October 1984), the Canadian scallop industry focused on stock rehabilitation through better harvesting of the resource. An Enterprise Allocation (EA) regime was implemented in 1986 partly to reduce fishing effort. There were 77 active license holders in 1984. Today, about half the initial number of license holders are actively involved in the Georges Bank fishery. The meat count (size limit) was also lowered to 33 meats per 500 g in January 1986 to direct exploitation toward slightly larger scallops.

Since 1986, catches have fluctuated between 4,300 and 6,800 tons, a narrower range than the pre-1986 period when the fishery was competitive (Table 1). Catches follow TAC levels in most years. In 1992 and 1993 catches were over 6,000 tons as the fishery was exploiting 2 strong year classes, the 1988 and 1989 year classes. In 1994, industry recommended a TAC of 5,000 tons although TAC levels could have been higher according to the scenarios proposed (Robert et al MS 1994). A cautious approach was justified since the 2 strong year classes were contributing less to the fishery and the 1990 year class is considerably weaker. Catches exceeded the TAC slightly.

Almost $30 \%$ of the annual catches came from the first quarter of the year both in 1993 and 1994. Such a high ratio for winter catches is triple the traditional levels for the first quarter in previous years. Annual catch-rates since 1990 are in the medium to high range, peaking in 1993 (Table 2). There has been a $20 \%$ drop in the annual rate for 1994 from the year previous. Although catch-rates experienced a small decline due to spawning in 1992 and 1993 (Fig. 2), the available biomass allowed monthly variations to be minor and catch-rates to rebound. In 1994 however, a declining biomass could not sustain CPUE in the high range. From June to September, rates experienced a $45 \%$ drop and did not recover from these low values. A detailed geographical distribution of CPUE's for 1994 (Fig. 3) shows a fragmented distribution of areas with CPUE's over $1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{crhm}$ in contrast with the last 2 years when fragmentation was much less pronounced (Robert et al MS 1994). Fishing also took place over a greater area of the Northeast Peak, near longitude 66 degrees west.

The average monthly meat weight in the catch increased from 1993 (Table 3) reflecting the weakness of the 1990 year class recruiting to the fishery. The quarterly distribution of meat weights for 1994 (Table 4) shows the sizable contribution of meats over 30 g to the catch. This pattern had not been observed in the recent past. Concurrently, numbers of meats belonging to the 1990 year class in the $11-15 \mathrm{~g}$ weight interval are relatively low. The availability of big meats also allowed for blending of very small meats ( $5-9 \mathrm{~g}$ interval) in the catch.

## METHODS

Fishery data

Catch and effort data were compiled from commercial logbooks. Logs with complete effort
data are called Class 1 and were used to estimate catch-rates. The Class 1 data represent more than $90 \%$ of the total logs available (Table 2). Effort is measured in towed hours (h) and towed hours times the width of the 2 drags used times the number of crew (crhm). Catch-rate is presented as catch (kg) per hour and per crew-hour-meter. Catch per h considers only the period that gear was actively fishing. It does not consider how wide the gear is to estimate how much ground is covered by the tow. Gear width may vary from 8.5 to 15.5 m . Scallop meats caught have to be shucked at sea; the smaller the meats, the more crew needed to shuck. Common fishing practices will first change the number of crew if effort has to be modified. CPUE in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}$ is used in the cohort tuning analysis. Over the period 1981-1994, catch-rates determined as $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hour}$ were highly correlated to catch-rates in kg /crewhourmeter ( $\mathrm{r}=0.987$ ). High catch-rates encountered recently are not necessarily suitable for comparison with high values of the late 1970's. Technological changes in the localisation of scallop beds and operational procedures at sea coupled with quite different management regimes, especially meat count and limit on removals, influence the conduct of the fishery compared to 15 years ago.

Size distributions of meats from the commercial fleet were derived from port samples. Only one or two company fleet(s) have regularly contributed the information. The 8 companies fishing Georges Bank under EA's use different harvesting strategies that are reflected in the profile of meat weight distribution of their catch. Data is being collected to incorporate in the database to give a better representation of the patterns in the overall catch. Canadian port sampling data were applied to the Canadian and U.S. total catch east of the ICJ line prior to 1985 . This assumes similar fishing practices for both fleets. Table 5 lists the frequency distribution of meats on an annual basis. There has been a gradual shift toward larger meats in the catch over the last 10 years, reflecting the implementation of a lower meat count in 1986. For the first time in the last 10 years, the 1994 catch consists of about $10 \%$ in numbers of age $7+(29+\mathrm{g})$ scallops. While ages 4 and $5(9-24 \mathrm{~g}$ range) made up about $90 \%$ of the catch in 1993, the figure dropped to $75 \%$ in 1994. The incoming 1990 year class at age 4 is weak and not contributing much to the catch.

Catch in numbers-at-age (Table 6) for the cohort analysis are derived from the port sampling data and the sum of U.S. and Canadian catches in NAFO SA 5Zc. The total catch (U.S. prior to 1985 and Canadian) from the Canadian zone is decomposed into $2-\mathrm{g}$ weight frequencies. The weights were converted to shell heights using the allometric relationship derived from 1982 1985 research and commercial data (Robert and Lundy MS 1987). The values expressing meat weight as a function of shell height use the parameters 9.102-6 for the regression coefficient and 3.097 for the exponent of height. These values agree closely with those of Serchuck et al. (1982) for the same stock. Shell heights were clustered into age groups according to a Von Bertalanffy growth equation (Brown et al. 1972, cf. Table 7).

Traditionally, catch statistics are compiled on an annual basis and recruitment to a fishery is discussed in terms of year class strength. It is generally accepted that, in any given year the majority of Georges Bank scallops are born in October and the first annual ring is laid down the following spring. This is typically less than 10 mm and becomes difficult to discern as the animal grows. For this reason the ring, which is approximately 25 mm from the umbo is often referred to as the first annulus (Naidu 1970). The convention which we shall adopt is that animals born in the fall of a year will be of that year class and it will be further assumed that they were born on January 1 of that year (cohort ages). The deposition of the ring less than 10 mm will take place during the first year of life. The date of the deposition will be assumed to take place on April 1. A back calculation is then made to estimate the shell height for January 1 (eg. cohort age 3 has a shell height of 61 mm on January 1st, while its biological age is 2.25 years). The annual growth rates for weights, given in Table 7, are converted into rates for heights and this results in a $16 \%$ reduction of the ring size being used for the January 1 size. For example, an animal born in the fall of 1988 is of the 1988 year class and will be approximately 25 mm on its second birthday (January

1, 1990) although the ring would not be deposited for a few months. Table 7, as well as all other age data, uses this convention, with correction of ring sizes back to January 1. The actual weights used are mid-quarter values in age - weight analyses and projections.

## Research survey data

The annual research survey was carried out on Georges Bank during August 1994. 1994 marks the beginning of a new survey data series. This is reported elsewhere (Rodgers, MS). The design of the survey was based on a stratification by commercial effort (Robert and Jamieson 1986). The logbooks of the commercial fleet in the preceding 9 months were analyzed to determine areas of very high, high, medium, low, and very-low catch-rates. The areas of very high and high catch-rates were sampled more heavily as they represent the area most important to the fleet (and presumably the areas of greatest abundance). A very high stratum was added in 1991 to reduce the variability of the high stratum. The range of catch-rate values encountered has increased markedly. Since $199240 \%$ of the total catch-rate points used were over $1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{crhm}$, the minimum benchmark of the high stratum. The maximum value in the data set peaked at $10 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{crhm}$ in 1993 ; it is down to 7.3 in 1994. The steady rise in catch-rates has turned the opposite way. The average number of scallops at age per tow is given in Table 8. The details of the survey results on a per stratum basis are given in Table 9.

In addition to establishing a stratified mean number per tow, the data are contoured to represent the spatial distribution of the scallop aggregations (Fig. 4). Data points describe a three dimensional surface with latitude, longitude, and density to be plotted. A surface is formed by defining Delaunay triangles where the data points form the vertices of triangles connecting neighbouring points. The algorithm used to define the triangles is found in Watson (1982). Collectively, the triangles form a surface. The surface between adjacent contour levels (density of scallops) is illustrated by varying shades of grey. Smoothing of the contours may be performed by interpolating over the surface using inverse weighting of gradients (perpendicular to the planes of the triangles). The interpolation points are found by dividing the sides of the triangle into equal segments. Dividing the sides into 4 segments produces 16 subtriangles. Interpolation is performed on all the new vertices. This method assumes that the data points near the point in question contribute more than distant points (Watson and Philip 1985). The summation of the volumes of all triangles (integration) under the contoured surface approximates the total volume, here the relative abundance estimate for the area covered by the survey. These estimates are presented in table 10a for ages 3 to 6 . The degree of interpolation will affect the volume estimates. For the Georges Bank survey data, the estimates stabilize using 16 or more subtriangles when they vary less than $5 \%$. A more complete description of the contouring method and volume estimation may be found in Black (MS 1993).

Biomass indices (Table 10b) from aerial expansion of numbers of scallops per standard tow have been computed using weights at age for the middle of the third quarter (August) found in table 7. These estimates correspond to a minimum dredgeable biomass as they are not adjusted for the survey gear efficiency. Data prior to the establishment of the ICJ line, from 1981 to 1985 inclusive, have been recomputed (Tables 8 and 10) to provide density and biomass estimates for the Canadian side of Georges Bank only.

## Stock analysis

In the first year of recruitment the animals experience approximately a $300 \%$ increase in weight. To reduce the magnitude of the errors caused by ignoring growth effects, the cohort
analysis was carried out on a quarterly basis. This required that catch-at-age, effort distribution, and partial recruitment be determined on a quarterly basis. This was done by adjusting recent year's selectivity pattern to reflect the port sampling data for the last quarter of 1994. This pattern, multiplied by the F determined from tuning for the last quarter year ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Q} 4}$ 1994), was used as a starting vector for the quarterly cohort analysis.

A natural mortality rate of 0.025 per quarter or 0.1 per year is used in the analytical assessment. No variation is provided for seasonal, age, or time dependent effects. Estimates of natural mortality rate for Georges Bank scallops were reviewed in Robert et al (MS 1994). Basically, it would appear that $M$ levels off at 0.1 for recruited age groups of the deep sea scallop and rises slowly beyond age 10 . Considering that very few scallops of the Georges Bank. stock reach old age, it is not felt necessary to vary M for recruited ages.

The SPA is tuned against a number of independent, and sometimes contradictory, sets of observations. The most important are the commercial CPUE and research survey estimates. F versus effort is used in the tuning process but of lesser importance.Tuning selectivity is more difficult in scallop data than for most fisheries. This is because the SPA is done on a quarterly basis and the F's on the most recent year affect only the last quarter. Thus one cannot 'dial up' in an iterative fashion the exact numbers of F's one might want for the most recent year as can be done with annually collated data. $F$ on the oldest animals was found by multiplying the effort pattern by the mean terminal F from the older ages. Because the selectivity is highly domed toward ages 4 and 5, these values are not critical and the normal iterative determination was not undertaken. (At the 1989 CAFSAC retrospective analysis workshop it was shown that iteratively estimating the terminal $F$ from younger ages diverged rather than converged.) In addition to tuning for cohort biomass as a whole, over ages 3 to 11, iterations were carried out on cohort biomass for certain age groups. Relative indices of abundance in the research surveys best represent ages 3 to 6 ; indices for ages 3-6 was used to calibrate a cohort biomass for these ages. Since 1986, ages 4 to 6 represent $50 \%$ or more of the biomass in the stock compared to the other ages. Fishable biomass was also tuned against CPUE. A better fit was achieved when tuning fishable biomass for ages 4 to 6 , likely due to the importance of this age group.

Tuning iterations estimated a quarterly rate for terminal F in a narrow range (0.10-0.13). A few more F values and estimated variables are presented in table 11 to give a better perspective. The tuning of cohort biomass on CPUE in terms of hours gave a slightly stronger multiple correlation coefficient than CPUE expressed in crewhourmeter for the same terminal F . The tuning of cohort biomass on research biomass relative index established via area expansion (the one shown here) had a slightly stronger multiple correlation coefficient than research biomass index derived from volume estimates; both tune for the same value of terminal $F$.
Regression of cohort biomass on CPUE: the maximum multiple correlation coefficient, 0.699 , for the regression of cohort biomass on CPUE corresponded to an $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{O} 4}$ of 0.10 . That terminal F provides for both the 1993 and 1994 residual points to be close to the regression line.
Cohort ages 3-6 on research biomass ages 3-6: A maximum multiple correlation coefficient of 0.742 occurs at $F_{Q 4}$ equal to 0.13 . A slightly lower $F_{Q_{4}}$ of 0.10 provides a very small residual for 1994 with a weaker coefficient at 0.708 . The 1993 residual point is away from the line; it crosses at a much higher $F$. Ages 3 to 6 are well represented in the survey data; they offer a better match to corresponding ages in the stock biomass compared to matching the stock biomass for all ages to the ages represented (ages 3 to 6 mainly) in the research data.
F versus effort: The multiple correlation coefficient does not vary much over the range of terminal F's considered. Although not necessarily maximum, the coefficient is still high, 0.750, at $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Q}_{4}}$ equal to 0.10 when the 1994 residual point is on the regression line with the 1993 point close behind.

Fishable biomass on CPUE: The relationship is much weaker and a poor fit for maximum correlation under the range of F selected. But the 1994 residual point is almost on the regression line at $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{O}}$ equal to 0.11 with the 1993 point below the line; correlation is then 0.320 .

The residual points of the last two year's data and the multiple correlation coefficient were used as tuning criteria. The positive residual values in table 11 denote that the residual points are below the regression line and the negative ones, above. It should be noted that the annual CPUE values are compared to the second quarter biomasses. Q2 corresponds to the quarter where the largest catches are encountered, from 40 to $50 \%$ of the total annual catch. This also holds true for regression of fishable biomass for ages 4 to 6 . The research survey biomass estimates are derived from the average weights at the third quarter. These are compared to third quarter biomasses from the cohort analysis.

Strong correlations of cohort biomass on CPUE and $F$ versus effort occurred at an $F_{Q 4}$ of 0.10 .70 to $75 \%$ of the variability could be explained when the cohort biomass was tuned against CPUE or $F$ versus effort with the last 2 years residual points in close proximity to the regression line (Fig. 5). A similar degree of variability ( $71 \%$ ) was explained when a particular age group, ages 3 to 6 , was compared between cohort biomass and research survey biomass index. In this instance, the 1994 point was almost on the regression line (Fig. 5). But the maximum coefficient explaining $74 \%$ of the variability over the time period considered is at an $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Q} 4}$ of 0.13 ; the most recent residual point is slightly below the line. The regression of fishable biomass of the age group 4 to 6 which is the main target of the fishery, on CPUE gave a best fit for the last year residual at a terminal F of 0.11 but the relationship is rather weak (only $32 \%$ of variability explained).

A sequential population analysis using Non Linear Least Square Regression (NLLS) (ADAPT, Gavaris 1988) was also carried out. Data used are identical to data used in the linear regressions. Residuals were $\log$ transformed. The independent estimates selected to carry out NLLS were annual and quarterly values of CPUE and research surveys indices. At times NLLS would not find a solution to minimise residual(s), especially when estimating multiples parameters ( F for different ages for example). A Partitioned Search was then used. NLLS would be computed for value(s) incremented over small intervals within a preset narrow range of values for the parameters to be estimated. This was not necessarily helpful in all situations. A presentation of NLLS on offshore scallop data may be found in Robert et al (MS 1994). This analysis was performed to carry out retrospective analysis of the recent years' estimation of F and biomass.

A Thompson-Bell type yield per recruit analysis with quarterly time steps is used to take into account the dynamic growth of the younger age groups of scallops. However, this method does not include the effects of blending. A change in fishing strategy to adapt to the 33 meat count regulation required a re-calculation of the yield per recruit in the 1988 stock evaluation (Mohn et al MS 1989) and redefinition of the partial recruitment pattern. Subsequently, the yield per recruit was re-examined but there was no need for a re-evaluation as the fishing strategy, hence partial recruitment remained practically the same. Improvements in the fishery in 1991-1992 required a re-evaluation as older age groups (>age 7) became more represented in the catch (Table 4). The 1994 fishing strategy remained practically unchanged from previous years. The yield per recruit was examined for change in partial recruitment. A difference of $10 \%$ did not warrant any changes being made to the model.

The regulations in effect on the offshore fleet are that the catch should average no more than 33 meats per 500 grams which corresponds to an average weight of 15 grams per meat. Placing a limitation on the average instead of stipulating a minimum means that the fishermen may take small animals and then balance them with larger ones. Such a practice, called blending, renders the use of most yield models and stock projections inappropriate. If there are not enough larger animals to blend in, then the mortality on the small ones will have to be reduced. Thus, the partial recruitment
is a function of abundance-at-age. In order to take this practice into account, a stock projection program was written (Mohn et al. MS 1984) in which the mortality on the animals beneath the stipulated average meat weight is adjusted until the mean weight of the catch is within $1 \%$ of the required average. The only other way in which this program differs from the normal stock projection is that the variables are updated quarterly. The annual growth is divided into quarterly components of $10,35,35$, and $20 \%$ and annual effort is partitioned into quarters by the rates of $20,35,30$, and $15 \%$, which reflects the fishery in the last four years but with a slower start for 1995. Although the first half of the year has traditionally experienced $50 \%$ or more of the annual effort, the effort in the first quarter used to be in the order of $10 \%$. In recent years the effort figure for the first quarter has been twice to three times the historical value. With the implementation of EA's in 1986, the annual distribution of effort has shifted markedly not only toward the beginning of the year but also in-between quarters. Companies are aligning fishing plans more closely to particular market demands. Selectivity for the stock projections follows the pattern of the fishery as revealed from the cohort analysis rather than that of the gear (Caddy 1972). Starting numbers-atage for the projections are derived by projecting ahead the fourth quarter cohort estimates of the present year to January of the next year. Recruitment is estimated according to the relative densities of prerecruits observed in the stock survey.

Catch projections and fishing scenarios under the current meat count were carried out for different rates of $F$ including $F_{0.1}$ and $F_{\text {max }}$, to present TAC options and their respective implications on the stock biomass.

## RESULTS

Research surveys
Sampling locations of the 1994 research survey are plotted in Figure 4. Station locations are indicated in the plot for age 6 . A few survey stations were allocated to waters over 100 m on the Northeast Peak. In early summer of 1994, the fleet ventured to marginal areas that had not been exploited to date to maintain high catch-rates despite the anticipated decline in fishery performance. Abundance of young recruited age groups (ages 4 and 5) has been declining (Table 8) even though numbers of older recruits (age 6+) have been quite stable so far. For the first time in the last 15 years, age 8 scallops are recorded in the survey; they were present in all strata except the very high stratum (Table 9). Ages 6 and 7 scallops were also present in each stratum. Numbers of age 5, the 1989 year class, are much lower than the 1988 year class had been last year. There were some indications that the 1989 year class might have been important (surveys from previous years and VPA results of the 1993 analysis; Robert et al MS 1994); but it was smaller than the 1988 year class. Observations that the 1990 year class is weak, first reported in previous surveys, are certainly confirmed by the very low abundance of age 4 scallops throughout the surveyed area. Maximum isopleth contoured is only 25 animals per tow (Fig. 4).

Abundance of prerecruits offers a mixed picture. The 1991 year class (age 3) is one of the weakest recruitment pulse according to survey results. It is almost completely absent from the southern part of Georges Bank (Fig. 4). The 1992 year class (age 2), observed for the first time in the 1994 survey appears to have set in very good quantities on the Northern Edge (Fig. 6) but with extremely low occurrences in the southern Bank. Successive low recruitment inputs will not allow
replenishment of the fishable biomass in the southern half of the Bank. The mean number per tow of age 2 scallops in the 1994 survey (Table 8) was less than the long term average ( 127 for age 2) estimated for the whole survey area. However, when survey data for the southern half of the Bank were excluded, abundance of age 2 's increased by at least a factor of 2 and may be qualified as a good year class, but only on the Northern Edge.

The relative indices of biomass (Tables 10) have experienced important declines especially for the targeted age groups, 4-6, given the reduced 1988 and 1989 year classes due to fishing and the incoming but weak 1990 year class.

Cohort analysis
The SPA results are given in terms of numbers-at-age, biomass-at-age, and F-at-age (Tables 12 to 14); they have been combined into annual values from quarterly analysis for the terminal $F_{0_{4}}$ level of 0.13. In terms of numbers at age, the early 1990's have seen the passage of 2 good year classes in the stock with over 500 million scallops at age 3 each (Table 12 and Fig. 7). Numbers at age 3 in the research surveys coincide well with the strength of these same year classes in the population estimates. Survey numbers at age 2 may only be used as a trend indicator; they do not reflect the actual strength of every year class (Fig. 7). Overall numbers in the 1994 population estimate are considerably lower than previous years(Table 12); old (age 7+) scallops are present in fair numbers but the age 3 and age 4 densities are very low, somewhat comparable to the early 1980's especially for age 3 (see Fig. 7 also). Similarly, biomass estimates (Table 13, Fig. 8) have been decreasing substantially from the recent peak in 1992. Annual fishing mortality rates are presented in table 14. Overall F on ages 3-11 has varied litte since 1988 and is much lower than before the implementation of EA's (pre-1986) (Fig. 10 bottom). There has been a slight increase from 1993 to 1994. The fishing mortality at age 3 (F3) had peaked in 1981 when the meat count regulation had been relaxed and the strong 1978 year class was recruiting to the fishery. It has become minimal with the meat count reduction to 33 per 500 g in 1986. Average F on the targeted ages 4 to 6 has been quite stable at 0.68 since 1989 and increased slightly from 1993 to 1994; F at age 4 increased and F at age 5 decreased in 1994 in a repositioning of individual F 's.

The ADAPT model estimated F at age 5 for the last quarter of 1994 calibrating with CPUE in the second quarter and the research survey data as in the first tuning procedure. Ages included in the tuning are from 3 to 6 . All other variables are identical to the first tuning. The statistical diagnostics are as follows:
relative change in phi parameter $=<0.0001$
mean square of the residual $=0.0666$
$\mathrm{F}_{5}=0.1349 \quad$ s.e. $=0.0285 \quad \mathrm{CV}=0.2113$
A partitioned search (Robert et al MS 1994) estimated the minimum residual for $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ to be at a terminal $F$ value equal to 0.1325 . The search improved the estimate slightly. $F_{5}$ estimate fits well with the value established with the first tuning procedure. The coefficient of variation is equal to $21 \%$. A retrospective analysis of the average fishing mortality rate and biomass for ages 3 to 6 was carried out on the last 5 year's data. Figure 9 shows quarterly patterns for selected F and biomass. There is no apparent trend of persistent under or over estimation of the 2 variables. They oscillate in a narrow range of values. Table 15 details the biomass estimates adding one set of data per year for the last 5 years (peels). Looking down each column shows the generally small fluctuations but no specific trends. No constraint was put on the terminal population to initiate the stock projection given the lack of pattern shown in the retrospective analysis.

The quarterly based yield per recruit analysis uses mid-quarter meat weights and the
quarterly expanded selectivity derived from the cohort analysis (See Mohn et al. MS 1987). The 1988 and 1989 assessments had an $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ which was estimated to be at an F of 0.97 and $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ at 0.59 . The 1992 estimate was 1.10 for $\mathrm{F}_{\max }^{\max }$ and 0.70 for $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$. The difference between the newly calculated values and the ones used max previously justified a recalculation of the yield per recruit model. No change were necessary in the 1993 assessment. The yield per recruit model was reevaluated with the 1994 data. A change of $10 \%$ or less in the values for $F_{\max }$ and $F_{0.1}$ did not justify modification of the estimates for $\mathrm{F}_{\max }$ and $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$. The same selectivity was used in the cohort analysis, yield per recruit, and the catch projections. The projections are carried out at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {max }}$ and other selected F values using the numbers-at-age of the last quarter from the 1994 cohort analysis aged forward to the first quarter of 1995. The projections for a one year period assume a recruitment level of 300 million scallops to reflect the improvement in recruitment with the, 1.992 year class. It is estimated to be a bit below average (geometric mean around 450 million, see figure 7) since its presence was not noticed in the southern half of the Bank. The partial recruitment vector used : $0.04,0.53,1.00,0.35,0.20,0.13,0.11,0.18,0.10$; last year was: $0.02,0.41$, $1.00,0.49,0.32,0.22,0.19,0.15$, and 0.07 . The selectivity is greater on age 4 but smaller on ages 6 and 7 than last year. Age 5 selectivity still acts as the inflexion point for the selectivity curve; the slope changes on each side of age 5.

Given the monthly profile of CPUE's in 1994 when they dropped significantly by mid-year to remain low afterward and the poor recruitment patterns found in survey results, catch projections were carried out for 1995 with a terminal $F_{Q 4}$ at 0.13 . The quarterly catch pattern for 1995 was set with a decreased level of activity during the winter fishery to reflect that the offshore fleet has somewhat reduced the recent levels of effort put on Georges Bank stock during the first quarter. Biomass projections for selected fishing scenarios are lower than for recent years. Highest biomass (all ages) levels, around $11,250 \mathrm{~L}$, are obtained under the $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ scenario for 1995 (Table 16). However, the biomass figure for ages 4 to 6 is more important since these particular ages are the target of the fishery. Under this scenario, the TAC (or a catch of $1,900 \mathrm{t}$ for 1995) represents $41 \%$ of the target biomass. These biomass figures are for the end of 1995; therefore, after a new year class, assumed at long term average strength would have recruited to the fishery. If recruitment is below par, as indicated before, the 1992 year class is good but absent for the southern part of the Bank, the biomass would likely be smaller. Catches at the level of the interim TAC of $2,000 \mathrm{t}$ would represent $44 \%$ of the target biomass (Table 17). Given the relatively large presence of old scallops in the stock, the meat count regulation is not an issue as very small scallops may be blended in. $\mathrm{F}_{\text {monual }}$ at age 5 in 1994 was equal to 1.04. Keeping the same effort levels from 1994 to 1995 would predict catches around $2,600 \mathrm{t}$ (Table17); that would represent $60 \%$ of the target biomass. Under an $F_{\text {max }}$ scenario, the total biomass drops to $10,400 \mathrm{t}$ during 1995 (Table16) but catches would account for $65 \%$ of the target biomass. Total $F_{\text {max }}$ catches are projected at $2,700 \mathrm{t}$. Other fishing scenarios such as keeping the TAC constant, could put $F$ values to very high levels, 2.9 and seriously deplete stock biomass, especially the target age groups. There is a $30 \%$ change in total biomass between fishing at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ (conservative) and fishing at the 1994 TAC level (aggressive) strategy. Catches have a more important effect on the target biomass.

## CONCLUSIONS

In the early 1980's, Georges Bank scallop stock experienced a gradual and important reduction in biomass due to very high fishing mortality rates targeting young scallops in a highly competitive fishery (Fig. 8). Very low recruitment made for a slow recovery. With the
implementation of EA's in 1986, F decreased markedly. Age 3 scallops were no longer targeted by the fleet to any great extent. Since 1989, F on the target age group, ages 4 to 6 , has been quite stable. With good to excellent recruitment pulses provided by the 1986, 1988, and 1989 year classes, biomass had steadily increased to peak in 1992. There has been only a small increase in overall F (ages 3 to 11) from 1993 to 1994 but the biomass has dropped. The main age groups in the biomass (ages 4 to 7) for 1995 are the remnants of the strong 1988, 1989 year classes but mostly the 1990 and 1991 year classes which belong to the weakest category of recruiting year classes, being less than 200 million scallops (at age 3 ).

With the prospects of a 1992 year class at average levels at best overall, it will take a few years and improving recruitment patterns to replenish stock biomass. High catch levels in 1995 would only aggravate the low biomass levels; especially, when the target biomass of ages 4 to 6 is considered. During the latter part of 1995 , the young 1992 year class will recruit to the fishery. There will be no difficulty whatsoever in blending these small ( 5 to $10 \cdot \mathrm{~g}$ or 100 to 50 count) scallops with available larger (older) scallops. They will be targeted because the high densities of these unfished aggregations bring high catch-rates and because of the low densities of the 1990 and 1991 year classes. Through the process, significant yield from the 1992 year class will be lost. High effort levels during the 1995 fishery could lead to a stock status where future catch levels would depend very heavily on the incoming year class. Simply put, this is the recruitment driven fishery as experienced before 1986 when high levels of effort were operating on a competitive basis.
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Table 1.- Estimated (pre-1985) catches (t of meats) from Georges Bank, NAFO subarea 5Zc. Since October 1984 the ICJ line separates fishing areas for both countries.

| Year | U.S.A. | Canada | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1957 | 3562 | 732 | 4294 |
| 1958 | 3024 | 1167 | 4191 |
| 1959 | 2601 | 2235 | 4836 |
| 1960 | 2008 | 2568 | 4576 |
| 1961 | 4472 | 4382 | 8854 |
| 1962 | 3200 | 5315 | 8515 |
| 1963 | 1953 | 5270 | 7223 |
| 1964 | 462 | 5034 | 5496 |
| 1965 | 24 | 3059 | 3083 |
| 1966 | 25 | 2537 | 2562 |
| 1967 | 34 | 3212 | 3246 |
| 1968 | 41 | 3904 | 3945 |
| 1969 | 97 | 3368 | 3465 |
| 1970 | 51 | 2868 | 2919 |
| 1971 | 3 | 2345 | 2348 |
| 1972 | 26 | 2746 | 2772 |
| 1973 | 5 | 1975 | 1980 |
| 1974 | 0 | 4541 | 4541 |
| 1975 | 0 | 6524 | 6524 |
| 1976 | 0 | 7809 | 7809 |
| 1977 | 77 | 11126 | 11203 |
| 1978 | 212 | 10970 | 11182 |
| 1979 | 314 | 7642 | 7956 |
| 1980 | 761 | 4751 | 5512 |
| 1981 | 2000 | 7612 | 9612 |
| 1982 | 1054 | 3918 | 4972 |
| 1983 | 714 | 2418 | 3132 |
| 1984 | 889 | 1945 | 2834 |
| 1985 | 0 | 3812 | 3812 |
| 1986 | 0 | 4900 | 4900 |
| 1987 | 0 | 6793 | 6793 |
| 1988 | 0 | 4336 | 4336 |
| 1989 | 0 | 4676 | 4676 |
| 1990 | 0 | 5218 | 5218 |
| 1991 | 0 | 5805 | 5805 |
| 1992 | 0 | 6151 | 6151 |
| 1993 | 0 | 6183 | 6183 |
| 1994 | 0 | 5003 | 5003 |
| Year | Recommended TAC | Set TAC | Catch |
| 1986 | - | 4300 | 4900 |
| 1987 | 6500 | 6850 | 6793 |
| 1988 | 4800 | 5400 | 4336 |
| 1989 | 4700 | 4700 | 4676 |
| 1990 | 4800 | 5200 | 5218 |
| 1991 | 5200 | 5800 | 5805 |
| 1992 | 5800 | 6200 | 6151 |
| 1993 | 6200 | 6200 | 6183 |
| 1994 | 5000 | 5000 | 5003 |

Table 2.- Catch and effort data. Canadian catches (tons of meats) in NAFO subarea 5 Zc . Canadian total effort is derived from effort from Class 1 data.

| Year | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Catch } \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | Effort |  | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { hours } \\ 10^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{crrhm}^{*} \\ 10^{3} \end{gathered}$ | kg/h* | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{crhm}$ |
| 1972 | 2746 | 75 | 9220 | 36.61 | 0.298 |
| 1973 | 1975 | 54 | 6333 | 36.67 | 0.312 |
| 1974 | 4541 | 90 | 10810 | 50.46 | 0.420 |
| 1975 | 6524 | 105 | 13389 | 62.13 | 0.487 |
| 1976 | 7809 | 90 | 12222 | 86.77 | 0.639 |
| 1977 | 11126 | 82 | 11051 | 135.68 | 1.007 |
| 1978 | 10970 | 100 | 13686 | 109.70 | 0.802 |
| 1979 | 7642 | 105 | 14372 | 72.78 | 0.532 |
| 1980 | 4751 | 86 | 11785 | 55.24 | 0.403 |
| 1981 | 7612 | 100 | 14484 | 76.12 | 0.526 |
| 1982 | 3918 | 73 | 9977 | 53.67 | 0.393 |
| 1983 | 2418 | 67 | 8690 | 36.09 | 0.278 |
| 1984 | 1945 | 70 | 8598 | 27.79 | 0.226 |
| 1985 | 3812 | 105 | 12644 | 36.31 | 0.301 |
| 1986 | 4900 | 52 | 6957 | 94.23 | 0.704 |
| 1987 | 6793 | 78 | 10808 | 87.09 | 0.629 |
| 1988 | 4336 | 85 | 11283 | 51.01 | 0.385 |
| 1989 | 4676 | 78 | 10774 | 59.96 | 0.434 |
| 1990 | 5218 | 72 | 10570 | 72.09 | 0.494 |
| 1991 | 5805 | 66 | 9687 | 88.40 | 0.599 |
| 1992 | 6151 | 73 | 10957 | 84.10 | 0.561 |
| 1993 | 6183 | 64 | 9874 | 96.76 | 0.627 |
| 1994 | 5003 | 64 | 9566 | 78.12 | 0.523 |

[^0]Table 3.- Port sampling data. Monthly profile of the catch from NAFO Subarea 5 Zc from the frequency distribution of scallop meat weights for selected years from port sampling data.

|  | catch examined | meat weight (g) |  |  |  | n <br> meats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | catch landed | mean | min | max | s.e. |  |
| 1981 | 0.01306 |  |  |  |  |  |
| January |  | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| February |  | 8.96 | 3.26 | 53.21 | 0.06 | 1386 |
| March |  | 11.00 | 2.58 | 65.10 | 0.05 | 3673 |
| April |  | 10.19 | 4.70 | 54.38 | 0.08 | 402 |
| May |  | 11.56 | 3.37 | 76.60 | 0.02 | 19036 |
| June |  | 12.15 | 2.26 | 79.87 | 0.02 | 24514 |
| July |  | 11.44 | 2.55 | 73.25 | 0.02 | 16301 |
| August |  | 10.50 | 2.37 | 74.49 | 0.02 | 15204 |
| September |  | 9.90 | 2.23 | 59.09 | 0.03 | 4321 |
| October |  | 7.28 | 2.37 | 56.52 | 0.03 | 3165 |
| November |  | 8.13 | 2.10 | 54.47 | 0.03 | 4146 |
| December |  | 8.56 | 2.30 | 53.68 | 0.04 | 3004 |
| 1993 | 0.00485 |  |  |  |  |  |
| January |  | 16.40 | 7.64 | 44.20 | 0.04 | 1740 |
| February |  | - | 5. | - | - | 0 |
| March |  | 16.84 | 5.43 | 51.04 | 0.05 | 1204 |
| April |  | 16.35 | 6.44 | 58.27 | 0.04 | 2158 |
| May |  | 17.07 | 6.51 | 55.99 | 0.04 | 2353 |
| June |  | 15.90 | 7.00 | 37.00 | 0.05 | 943 |
| July |  | 16.74 | 7.51 | 36.10 | 0.06 | 898 |
| August |  | 16.69 | 7.21 | 44.49 | 0.05 | 1807 |
| September |  | 16.26 | 5.67 | 39.13 | 0.04 | 2163 |
| October |  | 16.28 | 5.36 | 46.89 | 0.04 | 2166 |
| November |  | 15.97 | 5.88 | 47.33 | 0.04 | 2201 |
| December |  | 16.54 | 6.85 | 37.66 | 0.08 | 585 |
| 1994 | 0.00605 |  |  |  |  |  |
| January |  | 17.39 | 6.70 | 43.55 | 0.05 | 1444 |
| February |  | 17.36 | 5.85 | 49.13 | 0.05 | 2028 |
| March |  | 17.67 | 5.09 | 47.15 | 0.07 | 1422 |
| April |  | 18.86 | 5.25 | 62.26 | 0.09 | 1068 |
| May |  | 18.39 | 5.42 | 64.86 | 0.06 | 2195 |
| June |  | 16.17 | 4.47 | 42.92 | 0.11 | 622 |
| July |  | 19.35 | 5.30 | 47.46 | 0.14 | 520 |
| August |  | 17.91 | 5.93 | 57.03 | 0.08 | 1126 |
| September |  | 18.46 | 5.15 | 53.40 | 0.07 | 1635 |
| October |  | 18.39 | 4.07 | 53.31 | 0.06 | 1932 |
| November |  | 20.99 | 4.63 | 59.09 | 0.09 | 1686 |
| December |  | 18.50 | 4.77 | 55.43 | 0.12 | 827 |

Table 4.- Port sampling data. Frequencies of numbers of meats at weight in $2-\mathrm{g}$ intervals (normalized to 1000 ) by quarter for recent years from port sampling data.

| Grams | 1991 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | 1992 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 |  | 11 | 6 | 8 | 12 |  | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 9 |  | 73 | 45 | 58 | 70 |  | 41 | 60 | 43 | 59 |
| 11 |  | 147 | 140 | 150 | 121 |  | 112 | 151 | 121 | 160 |
| 13 |  | 170 | 210 | 184 | 147 |  | 188 | 201 | 176 | 218 |
| 15 |  | 148 | 177 | 167 | 139 |  | 191 | 168 | 182 | 186 |
| 17 |  | 131 | 117 | 132 | 133 |  | 158 | 106 | 147 | 128 |
| 19 |  | 105 | 76 | 95 | 114 |  | 107 | 83 | 103 | 89 |
| 21 |  | 68 | 53 | 66 | 76 |  | 68 | 47 | 80 | 49 |
| 23 |  | 41 | 39 | 50 | 53 |  | 49 | 42 | 46 | 33 |
| 25 |  | 30 | 29 | 22 | 35 |  | 26 | 34 | 33 | 18 |
| 27 |  | 19 | 20 | 16 | 20 |  | 17 | 29 | 18 | 15 |
| 29 |  | 17 | 22 | 14 | 16 |  | 13 | 18 | 15 | 8 |
| 31 |  | 12 | 13 | 6 | 12 |  | 8 | 13 | 9 | 7 |
| 33 |  | 8 | 12 | 8 | 11 |  | 6 | 8 | 6 | 6 |
| 35 |  | 5 | 10 | 4 | 6 |  | 3 | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| 37 |  | 5 | 7 | 6 | 9 |  | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| 39 |  | 3 | 5 | 1 | 6 |  | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| 41 |  | 2 | 5 | 4 | 6 |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 43 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| 45 |  | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 47 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 49 |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Grams | 1993 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | 1994 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 7 |  | 2 | 4 | 4 | 11 |  | 6 | 17 | 22 | 34 |
| 9 |  | 19 | 23 | 45 | 57 |  | 45 | 65 | 68 | 76 |
| 11 |  | 81 | 108 | 125 | 137 |  | 124 | 109 | 112 | 100 |
| 13 |  | 192 | 200 | 186 | 176 |  | 157 | 132 | 119 | 91 |
| 15 |  | 220 | 219 | 173 | 175 |  | 144 | 134 | 110 | 88 |
| 17 |  | 192 | 156 | 149 | 137 |  | 134 | 117 | 95 | 81 |
| 19 |  | 120 | 96 | 115 | 115 |  | 108 | 92 | 99 | 94 |
| 21 |  | 77 | 63 | 67 | 76 |  | 84 | 86 | 93 | 87 |
| 23 |  | 39 | 41 | 46 | 44 |  | 62 | 64 | 79 | 78 |
| 25 |  | 23 | 37 | 34 | 29 |  | 39 | 43 | 59 | 59 |
| 27 |  | 14 | 19 | 20 | 17 |  | 30 | 35 | 43 | 49 |
| 29 |  | 5 | 11 | 13 | 8 |  | 19 | 27 | 33 | 39 |
| 31 |  | 6 | 10 | 10 | 7 |  | 16 | 21 | 21 | 29 |
| 33 |  | 4 | 5 | 7 | 3 |  | 11 | 14 | 9 | 26 |
| 35 |  | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 |  | 9 | 12 | 12 | 17 |
| 37 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 5 | 11 | 8 | 15 |
| 39 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 7 | 5 | 9 |
| 41 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 4 | 4 | 7 |
| 43 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| 45 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 47 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 49 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Table 5.- Port sampling data. Frequencies of numbers of meats at weight in $2-\mathrm{g}$ intervals (normalized to 1000 ) by year from port sampling data.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.- Catch-at-age in numbers ( $10^{6}$ ) east of the ICJ line.

| Ages | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 289 | 45 | 33 | 65 | 65 | 2 | 21 | 21 | 16 |
| 4 | 492 | 170 | 90 | 68 | 144 | 185 | 186 | 119 | 159 |
| 5 | 75 | 93 | 65 | 33 | 37 | 108 | 188 | 96 | 103 |
| 6 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 20 | 11 | 10 | 16 | 22 | 19 |
| 7 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| 8 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 9 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 10 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 894 | 338 | 215 | 202 | 275 | 311 | 420 | 268 | 308 |
| Ages | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 5 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 173 | 151 | 180 | 177 | 64 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 124 | 140 | 133 | 162 | 165 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 13 | 19 | 26 | 19 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | 7 | 3 | , | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 335 | 344 | 371 | 375 | 275 |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.- Shell height (mm), meat weight (g) and meat count per 500 grams at age, biological and cohort. Height and weight as of first day of quarter.

| Biological age | Cohort age | Shell height | Meat weight | Count 1500 g |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.25 | 3.00 | 61.23 | 3.11 | 161 |
| 2.50 | 3.25 | 63.22 | 3.44 | 145 |
| 2.75 | 3.50 | 74.57 | 5.73 | 87 |
| 3.00 | 3.75 | 83.13 | 8.03 | 62 |
| 3.25 | 4.00 | 87.30 | 9.34 | 54 |
| 3.50 | 4.25 | 89.23 | 10.00 | 50 |
| 3.75 | 4.50 | 96.26 | 12.64 | 40 |
| 4.00 | 4.75 | 102.35 | 15.29 | 33 |
| 4.25 | 5.00 | 105.51 | 16.80 | 30 |
| 4.50 | 5.25 | 107.02 | 17.55 | 28 |
| 4.75 | 5.50 | 111.60 | 19.99 | 25 |
| 5.00 | 5.75 | 115.81 | 22.42 | 22 |
| 5.25 | 6.00 | 118.08 | 23.81 | 21 |
| 5.50 | 6.25 | 119.18 | 24.50 | 20 |
| 5.75 | 6.50 | 122.23 | 26.49 | 19 |
| 6.00 | 6.75 | 125.13 | 28.49 | 18 |
| 6.25 | 7.00 | 126.72 | 29.63 | 17 |
| 6.50 | 7.25 | 127.50 | 30.20 | 17 |
| 6.75 | 7.50 | 129.55 | 31.73 | 16 |
| 7.00 | 7.75 | 131.54 | 33.26 | 15 |
| 7.25 | 8.00 | 132.65 | 34.13 | 15 |
| 7.50 | 8.25 | 133.19 | 34.57 | 14 |
| 7.75 | 8.50 | 134.58 | 35.69 | 14 |
| 8.00 | 8.75 | 135.94 | 36.82 | 14 |
| 8.25 | 9.00 | 136.70 | 37.47 | 13 |
| 8.50 | 9.25 | 137.08 | 37.79 | 13 |
| 8.75 | 9.50 | 138.03 | 38.60 | 13 |
| 9.00 | 9.75 | 138.96 | 39.41 | 13 |
| 9.25 | 10.00 | 139.48 | 39.88 | 13 |
| 9.50 | 10.25 | 139.74 | 40.11 | 12 |
| 9.75 | 10.50 | 140.39 | 40.68 | 12 |
| 10.00 | 10.75 | 141.02 | 41.26 | 12 |
| 10.25 | 11.00 | 141.38 | 41.58 | 12 |
| 10.50 | 11.25 | 141.56 | 41.75 | 12 |
| 10.75 | 11.50 | 142.00 | 42.15 | 12 |
| 11.00 | 11.75 | 142.44 | 42.55 | 12 |

Table 8.- Total weighted average (by stratum) number of scallops at age per tow.

| Sampling dates |  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10^{+}$ |
| 1981 | 177 | 191 | 24 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 26 | 49 | 23 | 6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1983 | 44 | 31 | 18 | 5 | 1 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1984 | 271 | 35 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 104 | 206 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 198 | 136 | 145 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 94 | 98 | 63 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 98 | 110 | 52 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 117 | 131 | 71 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 105 | 89 | 39 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 359 | 103 | 49 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 83 | 195 | 108 | 23 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 10 | 42 | 46 | 24 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994* | 90 | 24 | 24 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

* new survey series

Table 9.- Stratified average number of scallops at age per tow and stratified total number of scallops per tow, N. A new survey series starts in 1994.

| Stratum | Sampling dates | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N | s.e. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ |  |  |
| Very low | 1991 | 132 | 15 | 21 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 121 |
|  | 1992 | 22 | 105 | 86 | 28 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 143 |
|  | 1993 | 4 | 197 | 90 | 18 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 321 | 174 |
|  | 1994* | 49 | 6 | 21 | 23 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 53 |
| Low | 1991 | 411 | 49 | 40 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 532 | 165 |
|  | 1992 | 32 | 86 | 72 | 28 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 74 |
|  | 1993 | 3 | 26 | 30 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 22 |
|  | 1994* | 111 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 111 |
| Medium | 1991 | 378 | 95 | 53 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 555 | 166 |
|  | 1992 | 56 | 167 | 92 | 44 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 372 | 67 |
|  | 1993 | 7 | 59 | 38 | 21 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 40 |
|  | 1994* | 25 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 15 |
| High | 1991 | 305 | 68 | 43 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 476 | 153 |
|  | 1992 | 85 | 171 | 104 | 19 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 387 | 47 |
|  | 1993 | 11 | 23 | 36 | 20 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 14 |
|  | 1994* | 82 | 19 | 24 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 33 |
| Very high | 1991 | 408 | 157 | 58 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 672 | 142 |
|  | 1992 | 111 | 263 | 127 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 521 | 74 |
|  | 1993 | 11 | 29 | 48 | 28 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 8 |
|  | 1994* | 132 | 43 | 35 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 234 | 40 |

* new survey series

Table 10a.- Indices of abundance of scallop age-classes by volume estimates: numbers-at-age ( $10^{6}$ ), minimum dredgeable biomass at survey time ( $t$ of meats).

| Sampling <br> dates |  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Biomass |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 279.47 | 53.60 | 9.34 | 3.48 | 2965 |  |
| 1982 | 121.76 | 56.95 | 15.47 | 3.43 | 2056 |  |
| 1983 | 99.32 | 50.76 | 14.31 | 5.28 | 1841 |  |
| 1984 | 85.74 | 30.32 | 8.08 | 2.21 | 1245 |  |
| 1985 | 557.64 | 45.29 | 5.88 | 1.26 | 4628 |  |
| 1986 | 309.16 | 225.53 | 26.46 | 3.81 | 5942 |  |
| 1987 | 214.58 | 145.50 | 41.78 | 11.27 | 4704 |  |
| 1988 | 238.53 | 105.06 | 23.45 | 5.05 | 3744 |  |
| 1989 | 266.38 | 161.01 | 31.79 | 5.24 | 4899 |  |
| 1990 | 188.70 | 72.16 | 31.18 | 8.72 | 3207 |  |
| 1991 | 158.67 | 89.56 | 29.10 | 7.79 | 3174 |  |
| 1992 | 347.56 | 188.88 | 40.19 | 11.89 | 6209 |  |
| 1993 | 94.79 | 72.29 | 37.79 | 12.77 | 2814 |  |
| $1994^{*}$ | 32.87 | 34.86 | 23.69 | 10.80 | 1512 |  |

* new survey series

Table 10b.- Minimum dredgeable biomass at age (t of meats) using aerial expansion as per number of scallops per standard tow. Weights at age for the middle of the third quarter (August) are used.

| Sampling dates | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total biomass |
| 1981 | 3,435.56 | 876.56 | 277.26 | 143.74 | 4,733.12 |
| 1982 | 881.37 | 840.04 | 332.71 | 71.87 | 2,126.00 |
| 1983 | 557.60 | 657.42 | 277.26 | 71.87 | 1,564.15 |
| 1984 | 629.55 | 511.33 | 166.36 | 71.87 | 1,379.11 |
| 1985 | 3,705.36 | 657.42 | 110.90 | 35.94 | 4,509.63 |
| 1986 | 2,446.25 | 5,295.89 | 665.36 | 71.75 | 8,479.25 |
| 1987 | 1,762.72 | 2,301.00 | 942.78 | 359.29 | 5,365.79 |
| 1988 | 1,978.62 | 1,899.22 | 554.43 | 143.77 | 4,576.04 |
| 1989 | 2,356.33 | 2,593.11 | 720.93 | 143.77 | 5,814.14 |
| 1990 | 1,600.84 | 1,424.38 | 831.86 | 287.55 | 4,144.63 |
| 1991 | 1,852.68 | 1,789.70 | 720.93 | 215.52 | 4,578.83 |
| 1992 | 3,507.50 | 3,944.53 | 1,275.39 | 431.22 | 9,158.65 |
| 1993 | 755.46 | 1,680.08 | 1,330.84 | 503.09 | 4,269.47 |
| 1994* | 431.69 | 876.56 | 776.33 | 359.35 | 2,443.93 |

[^1]Table 11. - Tuning criteria for the regressions of cohort biomass on CPUE in kg/hour, cohort biomass ages 3-6 on research survey biomass estimates ages 3-6, of fishing mortality ( F ) on effort (hours), and fishable biomass ages 4-6 on CPUE in kg/hour for selected $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Q} 4}$.

|  | Coh Biom vs CPUE |  |  | Coh Biom ages 3-6 vs Res Biom ages 3-6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Q} 4}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 1993* | 1994* | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 1993* | 1994* |
| 0.08 | 0.672 | -931 | -1815 | 0.630 | -2381 | -1183 |
| 0.09 | 0.692 | -472 | -800 | 0.677 | -1912 | -502 |
| 0.10 | 0.699 | -106 | 11 | 0.708 | -1537 | 43 |
| 0.11 | 0.695 | 194 | 675 | 0.728 | -1230 | 489 |
| 0.12 | 0.681 | 444 | 1229 | 0.738 | -974 | 860 |
| 0.13 | 0.659 | 656 | 1697 | 0.742 | . 757 | 1175 |
| 0.14 | 0.632 | 837 | 2098 | 0.741 | -572 | 1444 |
| 0.15 | 0.602 | 994 | 2446 | 0.737 | -411 | 1678 |
| F vs effort |  |  |  | Fish Biom ages 4-6 vs CPUE |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Q4}}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 1993* | 1994* | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 1993* | 1994* |
| 0.08 | 0.755 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.393 | 442 | -693 |
| 0.09 | 0.754 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.370 | 536 | -391 |
| 0.10 | 0.750 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.345 | 611 | -149 |
| 0.11 | 0.742 | 0.01 | -0.02 | 0.320 | 673 | 49 |
| 0.12 | 0.731 | 0.00 | -0.03 | 0.296 | 724 | 213 |
| 0.13 | 0.717 | 0.00 | -0.04 | 0.275 | 768 | 353 |
| 0.14 | 0.699 | 0.00 | -0.06 | 0.255 | 806 | 472 |
| 0.14 | 0.679 | -0.01 | -0.07 | 0.238 | 838 | 575 |

[^2]Table 12.- Population numbers (at beginning of the first quarter) ( $10^{6}$ ) east of the ICJ line from cohort analysis using a terminal $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Q} 4}$ of 0.13 .

| Ages | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 652 | 232 | 211 | 448 | 615 | 458 | 351 | 438 | 500 |
| 4 | 672 | 309 | 167 | 160 | 343 | 494 | 412 | 297 | 376 |
| 5 | 112 | 145 | 118 | 66 | 80 | 173 | 271 | 194 | 154 |
| 6 | 33 | 30 | 43 | 46 | 28 | 37 | 54 | 69 | 85 |
| 7 | 25 | 15 | 15 | 26 | 22 | 15 | 23 | 34 | 41 |
| 8 | 43 | 15 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 11 | 11 | 18 | 26 |
| 9 | 16 | 34 | 11 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
| 10 | 6 | 11 | 28 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 6 |
| 11 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 22 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 3 |
| Total | 1563 | 794 | 607 | 790 | 1120 | 1204 | 1143 | 1069 | 1207 |


| Ages | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 449 | 515 | 545 | 154 | 135 |
| 4 | 437 | 396 | 455 | 479 | 134 |
| 5 | 189 | 232 | 214 | 240 | 265 |
| 6 | 43 | 55 | 79 | 69 | 66 |
| 7 | 59 | 26 | 32 | 47 | 44 |
| 8 | 29 | 46 | 18 | 22 | 36 |
| 9 | 22 | 22 | 36 | 14 | 18 |
| 10 | 14 | 19 | 13 | 30 | 12 |
| 11 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 9 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13.- Biomass Q 2 (t of meats) east of the ICJ line from cohort analysis using a terminal $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Q} 4}$ of 0.13 .

| Ages | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 2891 | 1038 | 909 | 2002 | 2750 | 2047 | 1569 | 1960 | 2236 |
| 4 | 6637 | 3224 | 1534 | 1718 | 3755 | 5412 | 4359 | 3191 | 3921 |
| 5 | 1854 | 2345 | 1852 | 1125 | 1433 | 3072 | 3970 | 3326 | 2283 |
| 6 | 768 | 707 | 1048 | 1068 | 689 | 893 | 1218 | 1623 | 1979 |
| 7 | 723 | 422 | 450 | 737 | 660 | 433 | 679 | 1011 | 1170 |
| 8 | 1447 | 518 | 255 | 345 | 525 | 361 | 343 | 624 | 884 |
| 9 | 574 | 1259 | 397 | 168 | 282 | 378 | 277 | 278 | 577 |
| 10 | 425 | 1089 | 291 | 113 | 224 | 326 | 171 | 227 |  |
| 11 | 126 | 109 | 299 | 892 | 224 | 64 | 202 | 253 | 92 |
| Biom3-11 | 15220 | 10048 | 7834 | 8348 | 10432 | 12884 | 12941 | 12437 | 13370 |
| Biom4-6 | 9259 | 6277 | 4434 | 3911 | 5878 | 9377 | 9547 | 8140 | 8184 |


| Ages | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 2010 | 2304 | 2437 | 688 | 602 |
| 4 | 4122 | 4148 | 4793 | 4990 | 1355 |
| 5 | 2455 | 3441 | 3016 | 3122 | 3711 |
| 6 | 977 | 1249 | 1788 | 1567 | 1513 |
| 7 | 1744 | 742 | 906 | 1353 | 1277 |
| 8 | 969 | 1533 | 602 | 732 | 1172 |
| 9 | 824 | 762 | 1330 | 511 | 637 |
| 10 | 541 | 730 | 502 | 1170 | 460 |
| 11 | 202 | 491 | 590 | 344 | 1046 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biom3-11 | 13843 | 15402 | 15964 | 14487 | 11773 |
| Biom4-6 | 7554 | 8839 | 9597 | 9678 | 6578 |

Table 14.- Annual fishing mortality east of the ICJ line from cohort analysis using a terminal $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Q} 4}$ of 0.13 .

| Ages | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Ages | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 15.- Retrospective analysis of biomass ( $10^{2}$ tons) for the first quarter of the year, ages 3 to 6 . The analysis was carried out for the last 5 years as per row label.

| 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1990 | 11.0 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 9.7 | 11.2 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 11.0 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 10.4 | 11.8 | 10.1 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 9.8 |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 11.0 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 6.9 | 9.7 | 11.0 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 11.6 | 12.6 |  |  |
| 1993 | 11.0 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 10.1 | 11.3 | 11.0 |  |
| 1994 | 11.0 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 9.1 | 10.3 | 8.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 10.7 | 11.6 | 10.7 | 7.7 |

Table 16 .-Stock projections at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}(0.70)$ and at $\mathrm{F}_{\max }(1.10)$ using starting numbers from cohor analysis with a terminal $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Q} 4}$ of 0.13 .

| $\mathrm{F}=0.70$ | ${ }^{1995}{ }_{\text {Q }}$ | ${ }^{1995}{ }_{\text {Q2 }}$ | $1995{ }_{\text {Q }}$ | $1995^{\text {Q } 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate on smalls | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Mean Wgt Catch | 20.31 | 19.49 | 21.07 | 25.60 |
| Catch (Mill.) | 16.79 | 33.01 | 25.97 | 13.90 |
| Catch (t) | 341 | 643 | 547 | 356 |
| Cum. Catch (t) | 341 | 984 | 1,531 | 1,887 |
| Biomass | 9,782 | 10,170 | 10,303 | 11,245 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}=1.10$ | $1995{ }_{\text {Q }}$ | $1995{ }_{\text {Q2 }}$ | $1995{ }_{\text {Q }}$ | $1995{ }_{\text {Q4 }}$ |
| Rate on smalls | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Mean Wgt. Catch | 20.35 | 19.54 | 21.10 | 25.33 |
| Catch (Mill.) | 25.78 | 48.14 | 35.72 | 18.56 |
| Catch (t) | 525 | 940 | 754 | 470 |
| Cum. Catch (t) | 525 | 1,465 | 2,219 | 2,689 |
| Biomass | 9,588 | 9,636 | 9,522 | 10,379 |

Table 17.- Fishing scenarios established for 1995 given different options of fishing mortality rate. Biomass figures are for the end of 1995 . Under the biomass for ages 4 to 6 , the percentage that the catch represents from that biomass is in parenthesis. Catch figures are rounded off to the nearest 50 t .

| No. | Options | Fvalues | Biomass (t) | Biomass 4-6 | Catch (t) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{~F}_{0.1}$ | 0.70 | 11,245 | $4,610(41 \%)$ | 1,900 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{~F}_{\text {interim }}$ | 0.75 | 11,128 | $4,562(44 \%)$ | 2,000 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~F}_{1994 \text { effort }}$ | 1.04 | 10,491 | $4,301(60 \%)$ | 2,600 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~F}_{\max }$ | 1.10 | 10,379 | $4,152(65 \%)$ | 2,700 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{~F}_{\text {replacement yield }}$ | 1.58 | 9,523 | $3,809(91 \%)$ | 3,500 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{~F}_{1994 \text { TAC }}$ | 2.90 | 7,839 | $3,214(156 \%)$ | 5,000 |



Figure 1.- Georges Bank and surrounding areas. The dashed line represents the international boundary between Canada and USA waters as determined by the International Court of Justice. Inset: see figures 3 to 6 .


Figure 2.- Monthly CPUE (filled square) and catch in tons of meats (open square) for the deep-sea fleet fishing Georges Bank.


Figure 3.- Catch-rates encountered on Georges Bank in 1994. The scale of grey shades represents an ascending catch-rate up to $2+\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{crhm}$.
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Figure 4.- Scallop distribution according to age from the research survey of August 1994. Location of sampling stations is indicated on the graph for age 6 scallops. The shading scale represents number of scallops per standard tow.
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Figure 5.- Cohort biomass ( $t$ of meats) versus CPUE ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}$ ) , cohort biomass for ages 3-6 versus research survey biomass for ages 3-6 ( $t$ of meats), F versus effort, and fishable biomass for ages 4 to 6 versus CPUE ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}$ ) using a terminal $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Q} 4}$ as shown.


Figure 6.- Distribution of the 1992 year class at age 2 in the 1994 stock survey. Dots represent sampling locations. The $100-\mathrm{m}$ isobath (smooth line) and the ICJ line (dashed) are indicated.
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Figure 7.- Time series of normalised abundance indices from research surveys for ages 2 and 3 (top and middle graph) and estimated population numbers at age 3 in million (bottom graph). The dashed line shows the geometric mean for recruitment. Year classes are labeled on the X -axis.


Figure 8.- Trends in biomass and fishing mortality rates. Fishing mortality rates are the mean for the ages indicated in the F label.


Figure 9.- Retrospective analysis patterns for fishing mortality rates and biomass for ages 3 to 6 peeling by sequences of 4 quarters ( 1 year) over the last 5 years.


[^0]:    * crew-hour-meter; hour

[^1]:    * new survey series

[^2]:    * Residual value with respect to regression line

