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#### Abstract

Population size estimates for snow crab (Chionoecetes opilio) off the east coast of Newfoundland, based on Peterson mark recapture and Lesife analyses are presented. Within given crab management areas estimates of population size range from 551.4 to $7,744.0 \mathrm{mt}$ while exploitation rates ranged from 42 to $92 \%$.


Rēsumé

Nous présentons dans l'article qui suit des estimations sur l'importance des populations de crabes des neiges (Chionoecetes opilio) au large de la côte est de Terre-Neuve fondees sur les recaptures de crabes portant des marques de Peterson et sur les analyses de Leslie. Selon la zone de gestion considērëe, ces estimations varient de 551,4 à 7744 tm , tandis que les taux d'exploitation varient de 42 à $92 \%$.

## Introduction

The snow crab (Chionoecetes opilio) fishery which began in 1968 has demonstrated a fairly steady increase in overall landings, peaking in 1981 at $13,838 \mathrm{mt}$. Landings for the 1982 commercial fishing season were slightly reduced at $13,178 \mathrm{mt}$ despite a significant increase in area available to commercial fishing and total effort throughout the Island.

Exploitation rates in all management areas have reached or exceeded levels recommended by CAFSAC 1982 (CAFSAC Advisory Document 81/1). Logbook returns from fishermen report that many areas that have supported fisheries for a number of years had a high soft-shell incidence for an extended time period.

## Materials and Methods

Analysis of logbook data was conducted in the same manner as that for previous assessments (Taylor and O'Keefe 1981, 1983). Logbook returns were compared with processors sales slips in order to ensure accuracy. Data for each management area (Fig. 1) were summarized into biweekly intervals (Tables 1-14) and where possible Leslie analyses were carried out (Fig. 2-10).

As in previous years tagging operations were conducted in Management Areas 18 and 25 with efforts extending for the first time to Notre Dame Bay (Area 32). Tagging was conducted in exactly the same manner as that described by Taylor and $0^{\prime} K e e f e(1981,1983)$.

## Results and Discussion

Areas currently fished in Newfoundland are divided into two zones at the line separating Management Areas 26 and 28 (Fig. 1). Beginning in 1983, vessels licensed to fish either zone are not permitted to fish in the other. Vessels in the southern zone (Areas 2-25) are generally larger than those in the northern zone and tend to fish crab exclusively, while many northern zone vessels harvest a number of species. Also, most southern zone vessels haul more traps per day and venture farther offshore than do those in the northern zone.

The mandatory logbook regulation again worked extremely well. Approximately $95 \%$ of the fishermen maintained good records in their logbooks. However, fluctuation in weekly effort due to weather conditions caused gaps in the data which made Leslie analysis based on weekly CPUE difficult. Therefore, effort and landings data for all areas were combined into 2 -week periods to facilitate analyses by providing estimates of representative effort data where gaps in effort had previously existed. This, hopefully, eliminated any bias which may have resulted due to inclement weather or minor processing disruptions.

The Leslie analyses for Newfoundland snow crab management areas are as follows.

## Southern Zone

Bonavista Bay - Area 25 (Areas 24 and 26 combined in 1982 to form Area 25)

Historical data on landings (mt), mean CPUE (kg/trap haul), effort (trap hauls), and exploitation rates (\%) are summarized below:

| Area 24 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | $\begin{gathered} 1982 \\ \text { (Area 25) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landings | 842 | 1254 | 741 | 905 |
| CPUE | 8.4 | 9.29 | 8.0 | 9.4 |
| Effort | 105,615 | 135,030 | 92,435 | 96,330 |
| Exploitation rate | 70 | 65 | -79 | 65 |
| Area 26 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |
| Landings | 744 | 651 | 635 |  |
| CPUE | 10.9 | 11.5 | 8.0 |  |
| Effort | 67,690 | 56,724 | 79,250 |  |
| Exploitation rate | 74 | 78 | 98 |  |

The total available biomass (B) for Area 25 as estimated by Leslie Analysis (Fig. 2) was 1391 mt with $95 \%$ confidence limits of 1054 mt and $2445 \mathrm{mt} ; \mathrm{r}^{2}=.78$. The exploitation rate for this area in 1982 was $65 \%$. Biomass estimates for each biweekly fishing period $\frac{C P U E}{t}=B_{t}$ are presented in Table 1.
q

Comparison with historical data indicates a reduction in exploitation rates over 1981 levels. It would appear that this is due to vessels from Bonavista transferring effort from the bay to Area 19. Another contributing factor would be the fact that the three vessels that normally fish the western side of the bay fished in Notre Dame Bay (Area 32) for much of the season. Effort in 1982 was roughly half what it was in 1981 despite a slight increase in CPUE. As is usual in this management area, soft-shell incidence was extremely high, according to many logbook comments exceeding $50 \%$ in mid-summer.

## Petersen Estimate

In May of 1982 tagging operations were once again carried out in Bonavista Bay. The methodology has been previously described (Taylor and 0'Keefe 1981, 1983) and this was strictly adhered to during this study. A total of 2292 commercial sized hard shelled animals were tagged at 29 randomly selected locations. A total of $690(30.1 \%)$ tags were recaptured by commercial fishermen and returned for analysis. Tag recaptures were recorded from all tagging sites indicating that all tagging was probably conducted in areas usually fished commercially.

Based on these tag returns the snow crab population is calculated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{(M)(n)}{m} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$M=$ the number of marked animals released from the first sample (number tagged);
$n=$ the numbers of animals examined for marks in the second sample (total catch);
$m=$ the number of marked animals in the second sample (number of recaptured crabs).

The approximate $95 \%$ confidence levels for this estimate are
$m+1.92 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{m+1}$, Ricker (1975). Solved for $m$, the value is re-entered into equation (1).

The snow crab population in Bonavista Bay is calculated as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\frac{(M)(n)}{m} \\
= & \frac{(2292)(905,050)}{690} \\
= & 3,006.3 \mathrm{mt}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $95 \%$ confidence 1 imits of 2790.2 mt and 3239.2 mt . In $1982341,605 \mathrm{~kg}$ were landed before tagging operations began in Area 25. Therefore, initial biomass $=3006.3+341.6=3347.9 \mathrm{mt}$. Significant changes in the fishing patterns of vessels that traditionally fish Bonavista Bay cause the authors to question the reliability of both Leslie and Petersen population estimates. Beginning in mid June most vessels on the eastern side of the bay left the area in order to prosecute the fishery in Areas 19 and 22. Similarly vessels from the western side of the bay left to fish in Area 32. Most of these vessels did not return to Bonavista Bay until mid September and then, due to inclement weather conditions, resumed fishing on a greatly reduced basis (Table 1). This behavior detrimentally affects the effort consistancy necessary in Leslie analysis. It is also probable that many tagged animals that would have been recaptured had effort remained constant probably molted and lost their tags, thus reducing the reliability of the Petersen estimate. Doubtless, this reduction in effort over 1981 levels should only serve to alleviate the serious resource overexploitation in this area, previously identified by the authors (Taylor and 0'Keefe 1983).

Trinity Bay (outer portion) - Area 22
Commercial fishing began in this area in 1979. After a very promising first year, catch rates dropped to the extent that fishing is generally only
conducted here when catch rates drop in Bonavista Bay or weather conditions are too severe to fish in Area 19.

In 1982 both landings and effort dropped dramatically with only four vessels fishing in the area. In fact, effort was reduced to such an extent that CPUE was relatively constant throughout the season making Leslie analysis impractical.

The historical data on landings (mt), mean CPUE ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{trap}$ haul), effort (trap hauls), and exploitation rates (\%) are summarized as follows:

|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Landings | 569 | 494 | 179 | 95 |
| CPUE | 10.0 | 8.5 | 7.2 | 6.9 |
| Effort | 56,887 | 58,160 | 25,007 | 13,755 |
| Exploitation rate | 39 | 54 | 55 |  |

A biweekly breakdown of the performance of this fishery is presented in Table 2.

The consistantly low CPUE in this area will probably result in continuing low effort levels as it remains an area used to supplement landings from Areas 25 and 19.

Trinity Bay (inner portion) - Area 20
This management area, similar to Area 22 remains marginally commercial. Only three vessels fished in this area during 1982 with effort being reduced from 1981 levels. Such low effort levels resulting in a fairly constant CPUE throughout the fishing season made Leslie analysis impractical (Table 3).

The historical data on landings (mt), mean CPUE ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{trap}$ haul), effort (trap hauls), and exploitation rates (\%) are summarized as follows:

19791980198
1982

| Landings | 67 | 59 | 110 | 65 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CPUE | 16.0 | 12.9 | 7.4 | 6.1 |
| Effort | 4,165 | 4,550 | 14,970 | 10,535 |
| Exploitation rate | - | - | - | - |

Unless significant increases in CPUE occur in this area it is likely to remain a marginally viable area. The fact that a simultaneous groundfish gillnet fishery occurs on the same fishing ground as those occupied by crab fishermen means a proportion of the available commercial sized snow crab is lost as gillnet by-catch. A biweekly breakdown of the performance of this fishery is presented in Table 3.

## Conception Bay - Area 16

Despite a high exploitation rate in 1981 (73\%) effort increased once again in 1982 by 17,200 trap hauls. However, even though effort increased the 1982 exploitation rate dropped to $65 \%$. Since all commercial fishing grounds in Conception Bay have been fished for at least ten years it would appear that recruitment into the fishery must have been very strong. Fishermen complained there was a high incidence of soft-shelled animals on the fishing grounds for a large part of the fishing season. A total of 13 vessels fished in this management area during the 1982 fishing season.

The historical data on landings (mt), mean CPUE (kg/trap haul), effort (trap hauls), and exploitation rates (\%) are summarized as follows:

|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Landings | 464 | 869 | 502 | 694 |
| CPUE | 16.1 | 15.4 | 11.2 | 11.4 |
| Effort | 28,845 | 56,393 | 43,546 | 60,753 |
| Exploitation rate | 34 | 55 | 73 | 65 |

The total available biomass (B) for Conception Bay in 1982 as determined by Leslie analysis was $1,073.1 \mathrm{mt}$ with $95 \%$ confidence limits of 951.2 mt and 1255.0 mt ; $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.99$ (Fig. 3).

Examination of Table 4 shows that CPUE decreased quite sharply early in the fishing season but remained relatively stable during the remainder of the season. This possibly reflects a situation where intensive effort quickly depleted the initial biomass at the beginning of the season while, low effort levels and intraseasonal recruitment kept CPUE at a relatively constant level.

Biomass estimates for each biweekly fishing period are calculated $B_{t}=\frac{C P U E}{q}$ and presented in Table 4.

Northeastern Avalon - Area 18
Until 1982 this management area had been by far the most productive on the Island. CPUE began to decline in 1981 and continued to drop during 1982. Also in 1981 commercial exploitation of stocks in Area 19 began where CPUE was quite high. Encouraged by these high catch rates many of the fishermen traditionally fishing in Area 18 shifted their effort to the more distant Area 19. This, as the accompanying text table illustrates, resulted in a dramatic decrease in effort and landings in Area 18. A total of 23 vessels fished in Area 18 during the 1982 fishing season.

The historical data on landings (mt), mean CPUE (kg/trap haul), effort (trap hauls), and exploitation rates (\%) are summarized as follows:

|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Landings | 6870 | 4944 | 6769 | 1847 |
| CPUE | 17.2 | 20.9 | 16.4 | 12.1 |
| Effort | 398,939 | 236,417 | 413,815 | 153,238 |
| Exploitation rate | 44 | 35 | 58 | 87 |

Leslie analysis of logbook data (Fig. 4) provides an estimate of usable biomass ( $B$ ) of 2131.7 mt with $95 \%$ confidence limits of $2,010.8 \mathrm{mt}$ and $2305.8 \mathrm{mt} ; \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.98$. Biomass estimates for each biweekly fishing period are calculated $B_{t}=\frac{C P U E}{q}$ and presented in Table 5.

The authors question the reliability of this Leslie estimate. With such a dramatic drop in effort over a one year period, the true picture of the scale of effort and landings for this area has been lost. The mean CPUE of 12.1 kg , although significantly reduced from 1980 levels, remained commercially attractive.

We feel that although the high level of landings since 1979 may have reduced the standing stock in Area 18, the actual commercial-sized population available to the fishery is much larger. For example, using the formula presented by Taylor et al. (1983) a mean CPUE of $12.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{trap}$ haul provides a usable biomass by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =\frac{C \times A}{a} \\
& =\frac{12.1 \times 3572.1}{.0041} \\
& =10,542.1 \mathrm{mt}
\end{aligned}
$$

where:
$C=$ mean catch of commercial sized crabs in km/trap;
$a=0.0041 \mathrm{~km} /$ trap, the effective area fished per trap (Miller 1975, 1977);
$A=$ area of fishing grounds in $\mathrm{km}^{2}$.
Where there was reasonably good correlation between the estimates derived by the above method and Leslie analysis in the past (Taylor et al. 1983), estimates for 1982 are widely divergent.

Petersen Estimate
In the spring of 1982,2660 commercial sized snow crab were tagged at 23 randomly selected depth ( $>175 \mathrm{~m}$ ) sites. A total of 1149 ( $43.2 \%$ ) tags were returned by crab fishermen during 1982.

Based on these data the snow crab population in this area can be calculated as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =\frac{(M)(n)}{m} \\
& =\frac{(2660)(1,847,050)}{1149} \\
& =4276.0 \mathrm{MT}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $95 \%$ confidence limits of 4035.8 mt and 4530.5 mt .
As with the Leslie population estimates for this area the authors feel that Petersen's estimate fails to accurately reflect the actual available biomass in this area. Beginning the period July 19-31 effort dropped dramatically as vessels left to fish Areas 19 and 15, thereby reducing potential landings and tag recaptures (Table 5). The only area where tagging was carried out and effort remained constant was the inshore area which is fished by smaller vessel ( $<15 \mathrm{~m}$ ) which lack the capability of moving offshore. Tag recaptures for sites within the inshore area often exceeded 75\%. This high tag recapture rate for the inshore sites coupled with very low recapture rates offshore and greatly reduced landings due to lack of fishing effort cause this Petersen estimate to be artificially low.

Eastern Avalon - Area 14
Effort in this area has continued to be at a low level when compared to other areas despite reasonably high CPUE levels. Although six vessels prosecuted the snow crab fishery in this area in 1982, only three fished for more than a few days.

Historical data on landings (mt), mean CPUE (kg/trap haul), effort (trap hauls), and exploitation rates (\%) are summarized as follows:

|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Landings | 762 | 121 | 434 | 465 |
| CPUE | 20.1 | 20.6 | 16.0 | 14.4 |
| Effort | 37,950 | 5,860 | 27,113 | 32,320 |
| Exploitation rate | 70 | 70 | 71 | - |

In previous assessments for this management area (Taylor and 0'Keefe 1984) the authors questioned the accuracy of the high exploitation rates, derived from Leslie analysis, of the data when effort was comparatively low. Despite the "high" exploitation rates, CPUE, although slightly reduced, has remained quite commercially acceptable. Analysis of logbook data shows that the vessels engaged in the fishery in this area restrict their activities to a relatively small proportion of the management area. This low level of effort coupled with an apparent high pulse of recruitment during the fishing season
(soft-shelled animals) kept CPUE too constant for dependable Leslie Analysis (Table 6).

Southeastern Avalon - Area 12
Five vessels fished this area in 1982, four of them on a sustained basis. Although fishing activity completely ceased in 1979 high CPUE in 1980 and 1981 encouraged fishermen to remain in this area in 1982.

Historical data on landings (mt), mean CPUE (kg/trap haul, effort (trap/hauls), and exploitation rates (\%) are summarized as follows:

|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | 732 |
| Landings | - | 292 | 854 | 14.7 |
| CPUE | - | 21.1 | 18.9 | 14 |
| Effort | - | 13,825 | 45,455 | 49,975 |
| Exploitation rates | - | 78 | 66 | 75 |

Leslie analysis of the logbook data (Fig. 5) provides usable biomass (B) of 974.0 mt , with $95 \%$ confidence limits of 937.6 mt and $1017.4 \mathrm{mt} ; \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.99$.

Due to an unexplained drop in effort during the period July 26-August 7 and an artificial increase in CPUE for this period these data were eliminated from the analysis.

Vessel logbooks report a high incidence of soft-shelled animals during the fishing season. Although exploitation rates may be exaggerated given the "healthy" CPUE for this area in 1982 the fact that both 1981 and 1982 assessments indicate excessive exploitation rates may provide justification for a reduction in effort to a level intermediate between those of 1980 and 1981.

Biomass estimates for each biweekly period of the fishing season are calculated $B_{t}=\frac{C P U E}{t}$ and are represented in Table 7.
q

St. Mary's Bay - Area 8
The commercial snow crab grounds are quite reduced in this management area being restricted to a small area near the mouth of the bay. Until 1981 effort was very nearly non-existent. However, the high CPUE experienced in 1981 encouraged two vessels to fish for virtually the entire season.

Historical data on landings (mt), mean CPUE (kg/trap haul), effort (trap hauls), and exploitation rates (\%) are summarized as follows:

|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Landings | 8 | - | 168 | 506 |
| CPUE | 6.7 | - | 15.0 | 10.5 |
| Effort | 1,260 | - | 11,150 | 48,350 |
| Exploitation rate | - | - |  | 92 |

Leslie analysis of the logbook data (Fig. 6) provides a usable biomass (B) of 551.4 mt with $95 \%$ confidence limits of 500.1 mt and $645.7 \mathrm{mt} ; \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.88$.

Biomass estimates for each biweekly period of the fishing season are calculated $B_{t}=\frac{\text { CPUE }}{q}$ and presented in Table 8.

The amount of effort expended in such a small area appears to be excessive. However, since CPUE was still commercially acceptable at the end of the season the exploitation rate of $92 \%$ generated by the Leslie analysis would appear to be exaggerated. However, logbook reports from the crab fishermen continually report soft-shell crab incidence as being high from mid July onwards.
"Danger Zone" - Area 15
The fishery first began in this area in 1981. Although the area lies between Areas 14 and 18 it had not been exploited due to the fact that fishermen (and researchers) considered the area too shallow ( $<165 \mathrm{~m}$ ) to support commercial quantities of snow crab. Attractive catch rates reported during the 1981 fishery encouraged an increase in effort in the area. In 1982 a total of eight vessels fished in this area.

Historical data on landings (mt), mean CPUE (kg/trap haul/, effort (trap hauls), and exploitation rates are summarized as follows:

|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 404 | 1056 |
| Landings | - | - | 22.3 | 15.8 |
| CPUE | - | - | 18,128 | 66,949 |
| Effort | - | - | - | 56 |

Leslie analysis of the 1982 logbook data for this management area (Fig. 7) provides a usable biomass (B) of 1861.0 mt with $95 \%$ confidence limits of 1465.0 mt and $3024.3 \mathrm{mt} ; \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.77$. Biomass estimates for biweekly fishing periods used in this analysis are calculated $B_{t}=\frac{C P U E}{t}$ and presented in
Table 9.
The authors note that CPUE during the 1983 fishing season CPUE declined steadily as time progressed and that an exploitation rate of $56 \%$ after only two
years of fishing may indicate that the standing stock is quite limited. It is advised that effort in this area be held at 1982 levels.

Northeastern Avalon (offshore) - Area 19
The existance of commercial concentrations of snow crab in this area was determined in 1979 during a Department of Fisheries and Oceans research cruise (A.T. Cameron, Trip 297). However, exploitation of this resource did not begin until 1981 when vessels from the eastern side of Bonavista Bay began to fish here following the collapse of the fishery on their traditional grounds. CPUE for some vessels was often quite high often exceeding $45 \mathrm{~kg} /$ trap haul. These high catch rates enticed many vessels to leave traditional inshore fishing areas in order to secure higher landings. In 1982, the second year in which the fishery was prosecuted, 24 vessels, over $1 / 2$ the entire Newfoundland crab fleet fished in this area.

Historical data on landings (mt), mean CPUE (kg/trap haul/, effort (trap hauls), and exploitation rates (\%) are summarized as follows:

|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 1840 | 4194 |
| Landings | - | - | 1840 | 19.2 |
| CPUE | - | - | 28,0 | 218,356 |
| Effort | - | - | 65,978 | - |
| Exploitation rate | - | - | - | 54 |

Leslie analysis of the logbook data for 1982 (Fig. 8) provides a usable biomass (B) of 7744.0 mt with $95 \%$ confidence limits of 5983.0 mt and $12,022.3 \mathrm{mt}$; $r^{2}=0.82$.

Estimates of biweekly biomass are calculated $B_{t}=\underline{C P U E}_{t}$ and presented in
Table 10. As the exploitation rate has already reached the level recommended by CAFSAC, the authors advise that effort should not exceed 1982 levels.

Downing Basin - Area 13
Commercial crab concentrations were first discovered in this area by an Industrial Development Branch exploratory survey during the fall of 1982. Catch rates encountered varied greatly within the area but generally were quite high. The lateness of the discovery and subsequent exploitation of the resource during 1982 preclude an assessment of the resource. A total of 113.5 mt was landed from this area with a mean CPUE of $15.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{trap}$ haul. Biweekly summaries catch/effort data are presented in Table 11.

Northern Zone
At present, there are three commercially fished areas in the Northern Zone which includes Management Zones 28-40. In 1982 a policy of zonal management was implemented whereby vessels were restricted to areas they had traditionally fished within their respective zones. An exception to this regulation was made for the three vessels based in Valleyfield, Bonavista Bay, allowing them to fish in the Northern Zone but restricting them to Bonavista Bay in the Southern Zone.

In general vessels in the Northern Zone are smaller and older than those in the Southern Zone. As a result effort is more restricted with regard to the distance from shore that fishing activities are conducted.

Notre Dame Bay - Area 32
During 1982 a total of ten vessels fished in this area, primarily around Green Bay on the western side of the bay. Increased processing capacity in the area as well as the exploitation of previously unfished grounds by three vessels from Bonavista Bay resulted in a great increase in landings for this area. A total of seven vessels fished the traditional fishing grounds.

|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | $1982^{*}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 491 | 374 | 650 | 939.0 | 413.1 |
| Landings | 10.6 | 9.9 | 11.9 | 10.3 | 10.6 |
| CPUE | 46,183 | 33,261 | 54,416 | 91,380 | $38,925^{*}$ |
| Effort | 56 | 43 | 35 | 42 | - |

* data from three vessels fishing virgin populations in eastern Notre Dame Bay

Leslie analysis of the logbook data exclusive of that for the vessels fishing out of Valleyfield (Fig. 9) provides a usable biomass (B) of 2212.8 mt with $95 \%$ confidence limits of 1604.7 mt and $4284 \mathrm{mt} ; \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.65$. The exploitation rate for this area was $42 \%$.

> Population size estimates for biweekly fishing periods are calculated $B_{t}=\frac{C P U E}{q}{ }_{t}$ and presented in Table 12.

Apparently this area has a high standing stock of animals which has not been adversely affected by fishing pressure since 1973. Previously, processing capacity in the area severely restricted effort, a factor which kept exploitation rates low. Due to the fact that the Bonavista Bay vessels were fishing virgin grounds, data from their logbooks were not used in Leslie analysis. However, as the text table illustrates $X$ CPUE for both components of the Notre Dame Bay fishery were almost identical.

Soft-shelled incidence in the traditionally fished area was quite high from early July-September. This factor, could not only indicate strong
recruitment but could also mean that the great increase in landings in 1981 and 1982 could be causing a phenomenon similar to that reported for overexploited Bonavista Bay.

## Petersen Estimate

In May of 1982 a tagging program, identical to those carried out in Areas 18 and 25, was initiated in the western portion of Notre Dame Bay. A total of 1529 commercial sized crab were tagged at 13 sites. Although 542 tags were returned to fishermen in 1982, 29 of these tags are deleted from calculation of Petersen's estimate because they were returned by one of the Valleyfield vessels which should not have been fishing in this area. Since landings for these vessels have been deleted from all calculations these tags must also be deleted.

Therefore, the 1982 snow crab population for Notre Dame Bay (western half) as calculated by Petersen's analysis is:
$B=\frac{(M)(n)}{m}$
$=\frac{(1529)(938,949)}{513}$
$=2798.5 \mathrm{mt}$.
95\% confidence limits are 2566.6 mt and 3051.5 mt . Early reports from fishermen in 1983 indicate greatly reduced CPUE's, therefore the authors recommended that effort not exceed 1982 levels.

Horse Islands - Area 34
As in Area 32, 1981 and 1982 were years of exceptional growth in the snow crab fishery in this area. A total of five vessels fished this area in 1982. The fishing pattern adopted by this group of fishermen makes Leslie analysis quite difficult in that they invariably begin at a point inshore and move outward as they deplete the resource in local areas. This behavior pattern ensures a relatively constant CPUE which is not suitable for Leslie analysis. It is only when weather conditions during the fall deteriorate, keeping vessels within ten miles of shore that CPUE declines, making Leslie analysis possible. This occurred in 1982, however, examination of Table 13 shows that although there is a decline in CPUE, effort has dropped dramatically causing the authors to question the validity of the Leslie analysis presented below.

Historical data on landings (mt), mean CPUE (kg/trap haul), effort (trap hauls), and exploitation rates (\%) are summarized as follows:

|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Landings | 141 | 96 | 322 | 735 |
| CPUE | 11.9 | 14.3 | 16.7 | 14.3 |
| Effort | 11,830 | 7,330 | 19,250 | 51,347 |
| Exploitation rate | - | 91 | 53 | 72 |

Leslie analysis of the 1982 logbook data (Fig. 10) provides a usable biomass (B) of 1015.7 mt with $95 \%$ confidence limits of 838.8 mt and 7423.3 mt ; $r^{2}=0.68$. The exploitation rate in this area for 1982 was $72 \%$.

## White Bay - Management Area 36

Vessels fishing in this area tend to be smaller than the average crab vessel ( $<15 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and fish solely within the bay. Vessels in this area lack the capability of high expenditures of effort and for this reason until 1982 catch/effort data are quite stable. Processing capacity had until 1981-82 been quite limited. An increase in processing capacity combined with good market conditions encouraged fishermen to maximize effort in 1982. This factor, plus a diversion of effort from Area 36 to Area 34 allowed remaining crab fishermen access to more area, fortunately stabilizing catch rates (Table 14), but unfortunately making execution of a valid Leslie analysis impossible. A total of four vessels fished in this area in 1982.

Historical data on landings (mt), mean CPUE (kg/trap haul), effort (trap hauls), and exploitation rates (\%) are summarized as follows:

|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Landings | 156 | 158 | 230 | 418 |
| CPUE | 7.3 | 8.8 | 11.6 | 12.7 |
| Effort | 21,298 | 17,864 | 19,840 | 32,917 |
| Exploitation rate | 41 | 57 | 46 | - |

It would appear that 1982 was a year of strong recruitment into the fishery. Although effort and landings almost doubled over 1981, levels of CPUE actually rose slightly. However, since the 1981 exploitation rate was near $50 \%$, the authors recommend the effort be held in 1983 to 1982 levels.

## Conclusions

A review of the last three assessments for Newfoundland snow crab stocks (Taylor and O'Keefe 1981 , 1983 , 1984) illustrates that since 1979 the snow crab fishery has become very dynamic.

The discovery of unexploited stocks in traditional areas or in new management areas causes shifts in effort (eg. Area 18, 1982) from traditional grounds which result in violations of the basic assumptions inherent in Leslie and Petersen analyses. It is quite likely that in the near future at least, these changes in catch/effort statistics are likely to continue, resulting in
further uncertainty as to the actual status of various snow crab populations. While fishing trends during one year may result in the calculation of some population estimates which can be regarded with confidence (eg. Area 16, 1982) sudden shifts in effort, especially away from an area, make comparison of yearly assessments of an area impractical. Even short term drops in effort in an area where tagging studies are ongoing can result in inaccurate Petersen estimates due to intraseasonal molting.

While the statistical exercise of reviewing fishing effort and landings on a biweekly basis may provide valuable insights into the dynamics of fishing in a management area, to use these data to estimate population size and generate management advice based on the exploitation rates (as derived from suspect catchability coefficients) calculated from these estimates could result in serious errors that would have a long term effect on the viability of an area.

In view of the difficulty the authors have in placing a high reliability "code" on most analyses present in this assessment, it is requested that the importance of these tools in annual assessments be downgraded. We feel that thoughtful and informed analysis of the biweekly catch/effort tables also included in these assessments may be far more valuable.

Table 15 presents a summary of catch/effort statistics for the 1982 Newfoundland snow crab fishing season.
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Table 1. Catch and effort statistics for the snow crab fishery In Bonavlsta Bay, Newfoundland, 1982. (Management Area 25)

| Two week perlod | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effort } \\ & \text { trap hauls } \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative effort | CPUE $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{trap}$ haul | Catch (mb) | Cumulative catch ( mt ) | Estimated blomass (m+) (CPUE/q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apr. 15-17 | 7,400 | 7,400 | 12.1 | 7.40 | 89.93* | 1282 |
| Apr. 19-May 1 | 15,286 | 22,686 | 11.2 | 22.69 | 260.58* | 1186 |
| May 3-15 | 15,360 | 38,046 | 9.8 | 38.05 | 411.37* | 1038 |
| May 17-29 | 8,805 | 46,851 | 9.9 | 46.85 | 498.72* | 1049 |
| May 31-June 12 | 15,404 | 62,255 | 10.1 | 62.26 | 653.85* | 1070 |
| June 14-26 | 4,505 | 66,760 | 6.7 | 66.76 | 684.16* | 710 |
| June 28-July 10 | 5,435 | 72,195 | 6.1 | 72.20 | 717.36* | 646 |
| July 12-24 | 2,310 | 74,505 | 4.4 | 74.51 | 727.52* | 466 |
| July 26-Aug. 7 | 630 | 75,135 | 5.4 | 75.14 | 730.95 | 572 |
| Aug. 9-21 | 1.790 | - | $-$ | - | - | - |
| Aug. 23-Sept. 4 | 1,790 | 76,925 | 5.5 | 76.93 | 740.82 | 583 |
| Sept. 6-18 | 2,365 | 79,290 | 9.0 | 79.29 | 762.07 | 953 |
| Sept. 20-0ct. 2 | 5,905 | 85,195 | 9.3 | 85.20 | 816.82 | 985 |
| 0ct. 4-16 | 1,870 | 87,065 | 7.2 | 87.07 | 830.25 | 763 |
| Oct. 18-30 | 5,720 | 92,785 | 7.8 | 92.79 | 875.07 | 826 |
| Nov. 1-13 | 2,075 | 94,860 | 5.2 | 94.86 | 885.85 | 551 |
| Nov. 15-27 | 1,470 | 96,330 | 13.1 | 96.33 | 905.05 | 1388 |

- 

$X$ CPUE $=9.4$

* cumulative catches used in Leslie analysis

Table 2. Catch and effort statistics for the snow crab ilshery in the auter portlon of Trinity Bay, Newfoundland, 1982. (Management Area 22)

| Two week period | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effort } \\ & \text { trap hauls } \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative effort | CPUE $\mathrm{kg} /$ trap haul | Catch (mt) | Cumulative catch ( $\mathrm{m}+$ ) | Estimated blomass (mt) (CPUE/q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apr. 12-24 | 1,080 | 1,080 | 7.2 | 7.76 | 7.76 | Insufficlent data |
| Apr. 26-May 8 | . 720 | 1,800 | 5.8 | 4.21 | 11.97 |  |
| May 10-22 | 1,275 | 3,075 | 7.9 | 10.03 | 22.00 |  |
| May 24-June 5 | 3,750 | 6,825 | 6.2 | 23.36 | 45.36 |  |
| June 7-19 | 520 | 7,345 | 7.4 | 3.86 | 49.22 |  |
| June 21-July 3 | - | - | - 0 | - 30 | 51 |  |
| July 7-17 | 300 | 7,645 | 8.0 | 2.39 | 51.51 |  |
| July 19-31 | 2,025 | 9,670 | 8.2 | 16.52 | 68.13 |  |
| Aug. 2-14 | 390 | 10,060 | 9.3 | 3.64 | 71.77 |  |
| Aug. 16-28 | 1.470 | 11,530 | 8.1 | 11.87 | 83.64 |  |
| Aug. 30-Sept. 11 | 1,925 | 13,455 | 4.5 | 8.71 | 92.35 |  |
| Sept. 13-25 | 300 | 13,755 | 9.3 | 2.78 | 95.12 |  |

- 

```
X CPUE = 6.9
```

Table 3. Catch and effort statistics for the snow erab ifshery in the inner portion of Trinlty Bay, New foundland, 1982. (Management Area 20)

| Two week perlod | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effort } \\ & \text { trap hauls } \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative ef fort | CPUE <br> $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{trap}$ | haul | Catch (m+) | Cumulative catch ( $m$ t) | Estimated blomass (m+) (CPUE/q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 19-31 | 1,060 | 1,060 | 3.6 |  | 3.84 | 3.84 | Insufflelent data |
| Aug. 2-14 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Aug. 16-28 | 1,070 | 2,130 | 6.4 |  | 6.85 | 10.69 |  |
| Aug. 30-Sept. 11 | 2,210 | 4,340 | 7.7 |  | 16.98 | 27.67 |  |
| Sept. 13-25 | 3,235 | 7,575 | 8.3 |  | 26.95 | 54.62 |  |
| Sept. 27-0ct. 9 | 1,310 | 8,885 | 3.6 |  | 4.74 | 59.36 |  |
| Oct. 11-23 | 1,650 | 10,535 | 3.2 |  | 5.35 | 64.71 |  |

$\bar{X}$ CPUE $=6.1$

Table 4. Catch and effort statistles for the snow crab fishery in Conception Bay, Newfoundland, 1982. (Management Area 16)

| Two week period | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effort } \\ & \text { trap hauls } \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative ef fort | cPue <br> $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{tr} \mathrm{ap}$ | haul | Catch (m+) | Cumulative catch ( $m+$ ) | Estimated blomass ( $m+$ ) , (CPUE/q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar. 15-27 | 6,850 | 6,850 | 16.4 |  | 112.60 | 112.60* | 1004 |
| Mar. 29-Apr. 10 | 20,150 | 27,000 | 13.6 |  | 273.99 | 386.59* | 832 |
| Apr. 12-24 | 21,601 | 48,501 | 9.9 |  | 214.63 | $601.22 *$ | 606 |
| Apr. 26-May 8 | 3,958 | 52,559 | 7.8 |  | 30.84 | 632.06* | 477 |
| May 10-22 | 1,984 | 54,543 | 6.4 |  | 12.71 | 644.78* | 392 |
| May 24-June 5 | 1,140 | 55,683 | 9.0 |  | 10.27 | 655.04 | 551 |
| June 7-19 | 1,470 | 57,153 | 8.7 |  | 12.78 | 667.82 | 532 |
| June 21-July 3 | 1.140 | 58,293 | 5.9 |  | 6.72 | 674.54 | 361 |
| July 5-17 | 300 | 58,593 | 5.4 |  | 1.63 | 676.17 | 330 |
| July 19-31 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Aug. 2-14 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Aug. $16-28$ | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Aug. 30-5ept. 11 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Sept. 13-25 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Sept. 27-0ct. 9 | 720 | 59, $\overline{31}$ | 1 |  | 2.95 | 679.12 | 251 |
| Oct. 11-23 | 720 | 59,313 | 4.1 |  | 2.95 | 679.12 | 251 |
| Oct. 25-Nov. 6 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Nov. 8-20 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Nov. 22-0ec. 4 | 1,440 | 60,753 | 10.0 |  | - $\square^{-1}$ | 3 | 612 |
| Dec. 6-18 | 1,440 | 60,753 | 10.0 |  | 14.43 | 693.55 | 612 |

$\bar{x}$ CPUE $=11.4$

* cumulative catches used in Lesile analysis

Table 5. Catch and effort statistics for the snow crab fishery in Northeastern Avalon, Newfoundland, 1982. (Management Area 18)

| Two week perlod | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effort } \\ & \text { trap hauls } \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative effort | CPUE <br> kg/trap | haul | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ (\mathrm{mt}) \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative catch (mt) | Estimated blomass (m+) (CPUE/q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar. 29-Apr. 10 | 7,059 | 7,059 | 12.8 |  | 90.01 | 90.01 | 795 |
| Apr. 12-24 | 12,210 | 19,269 | 14.5 |  | 176.70 | 266.71 | 900 |
| Apr. 26-May 8 | 22,150 | 41,419 | 11.3 |  | 250.48 | 517.19 | 701 |
| May 10-22 | 14,385 | 55,804 | 12.8 |  | 184.26 | 701.45 | 795 |
| May 24-June 5 | 18,535 | 74,339 | 12.7 |  | 234.77 | 936.22 | 788 |
| June 7-19 | 15,025 | 89,364 | 17.2 |  | 258.72 | 1194.94* | 1068 |
| June 21-July 3 | 8,805 | 98,169 | 14.4 |  | 126.64 | $1321.58 *$ | 894 |
| July 5-17 | 10,709 | 108,878 | 11.5 |  | 122.98 | 1444.56* | 714 |
| July 19-31 | 4,910 | 113,788 | 10.3 |  | 50.66 | $1495.22^{*}$ | 639 |
| Aug. 2-14 | 6,060 | 119,848 | 10.3 |  | 62.52 | 1557.74* | 639 |
| Aug. 16-28 | 6,430 | 126,278 | 8.8 |  | 56.58 | 1614.32* | 546 |
| Aug. 30-Sept. 11 | 6,965 | 133,243 | 12.6 |  | 87.89 | 1702.21 | 782 |
| Sept. 13-25 | 7,370 | 140,613 | 7.8 |  | 57.78 | 1759.99 | 484 |
| Sept. 27-0ct. 9 | 2,085 | 142,698 | 8.6 |  | 17.87 | 1777.86 | 534 |
| Oct. 11-23 | 780 | 143,478 | 4.1 |  | 3.20 | 1781.06 | 255 |
| Oct. 25-Nov. 6 | 3,980 | 147,458 | 7.8 |  | 30.87 | 1811.94 | 484 |
| Nov. 8-20 | 2,480 | 149,938 | 5.1 |  | 12.77 | 1824.70 | 317 |
| Nov. 22-Dec. 4 | 2,140 | 152,078 | 7.4 |  | 15.93 | 1840.64 | 459 |
| Dec. 6-18 | 1,160 | 153,238 | 5.5 |  | 6.42 | 1847.05 | 341 |

$-$
$X$ CPUE $=12.1$

* cumulative catches used in Leslie analysis

Table 6. Cateh and effort statistics for the snow crab fishery in Eastern Avalon, Newfoundland, 1982.
(Management Area 14)

| Two week perlod | Effort trap hauls | Cumulative effort | CPUE <br> kg/trap haul | Catch (m+) | Cumulative catch (mt) | Estimated blomass (m+) (CPUE/q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar. 22-Apr. 3 | 6,120 | 6,120 | 11.9 | 72.72 | 72.72 |  |
| Apr. 5-17 | 7,680 | 13,800 | 16.7 | 128.45 | 201.16 |  |
| Apr. 19-May 1 | 8,660 | 22,460 | 13.5 | 117.03 | 318.19 |  |
| May 3-15 | 3.210 | 25,670 | 12.3 | 39.44 | 357.63 |  |
| May 17-29 | 1,850 | 27,520 | 14.8 | 27.33 | 38 |  |
| May 31-June 12 | 2,040 | 29,560 | 19.3 | 39.39 | 424.35 |  |
| June 14-26 | 840 | 30,400 | 24.2 | 20.35 11.48 | 444.70 456.15 |  |
| June 28-July 10 | 720 | 31,120 | 15.9 | 11.48 4.48 | 456.15 460.67 |  |
| July 12-24 | 660 | 31,780 | 6.8 | 4.48 | 460.67 |  |
| July 26-Aug. 7 | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Aug. 9-21 | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| Aug. 23-Sept. 4 | 240 |  | 9.0 | 2.17 | 462.83 |  |
| Sept. $6-18$ Sept. $20-0 \mathrm{ct}$.2 | 240 60 | 32,020 32,080 | 9.0 7.7 | 0.47 | 463.30 |  |
| Oct. 4-16 | 240 | 32,320 | 5.1 | 1.23 | 464.52 |  |

$\bar{x}$
$\bar{x}$ CPUE $=14.4$

Table 7. Catch and effort statistics for the snow crab fishery in Southeastern Avalon, Newfoundland, 1982. (Management Area 12)

|  | Effort <br> Trap hauls | Cumulative <br> effort | Coue <br> $\mathrm{kg} /$ trap | Catch <br> (mt) | Cumulative <br> catch (mt) | Estimated blomass <br> (mt) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (CPUE/q) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\bar{X}$ CPUE $=14.7$

* cumulative catches used in Lesile analysis

Table 8. Catch and effort statistics for the snow crab flshery in St. Mary's, Newfoundland, 1982.
(Managenent Area 8)

| Two week period | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effort } \\ & \text { trap hauls } \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative of fort | CPUE <br> $\mathrm{kg} /$ trap haul | Catch (m+) | Cumulative catch (mt) | Estimated blomass ( $m+$ ) (CPUE/q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar. 22-Apr. 3 | 4,620 | 4,620 | 9.3 | 42.93 | 42.93 | 204 |
| Apr. 5-17 | 2,040 | 6,660 | 13.6 | 27.76 | 70.69 | 298 |
| Apr. 19-May 1 | 4,320 | 10,980 | 12.1 | 52.30 | 122.99 | 265 |
| May 3-15 | 5,160 | 16,140 | 12.3 | 63.26 | 186.25 | 269 |
| May 17-29 | 3,420 | 19,560 | 10.9 | 37.36 | 223.61 | 239 |
| May 31-June 12 | 1,860 | 21,420 | 14.4 | 25.82 | $250.43 *$ | 315 |
| June 14-26 | 1,560 | 22,980 | 12.6 | 19.64 | 270.07* | 276 |
| June 28-july 10 | 2,400 | 25,380 | 11.6 | 27.95 | 298.02** | 254 |
| July 12-24 | 3,120 | 28,500 | 12.7 | 39.47 | 337.49* | 278 |
| July 26-Aug. 7 | 3,540 | 32,040 | 9.9 | 35.02 | 372.50 * | 217 |
| Aug. 9-21 | 1,470 | 33,510 | 7.3 | 10.71 | $383.21^{*}$ | 160 |
| Aug. 23-Sept. 4 | 1,740 | 35,250 | 5.5 | 9.54 | 392.76* | 120 |
| Sept. 5-18 | 1,740 | 36,990 | 6.9 | 11.94 | 404.70* | 151 |
| Sept. 20-0ct . 2 | 2,400 | 39,390 | 7.7 | 18.56 | 423.26* | 169 |
| 0ct. 4-16 | 2,860 | 42,250 | 5.1 | 14.61 | 437.87* | 112 |
| Oct. 18-30 | 1,560 | 43,810 | 7.7 | 11.96 | 449.83 | 169 |
| Nov. 1-13 | 2,520 | 46,330 | 11.1 | 27.95 | 477.78 | 243 |
| Nov. 15-27 | 1,800 | 48,130 | 11.6 | 20.82 | 498.60 | 254 |
| Nov. 29-Dec. 11 | 720 | 48,350 | 10.3 | 7.43 | 506.03 | 225 |

## -

$X$ CPUE $=10.5$

* cumulative catches used in Lesile analysis

Table 9. Catch and effort statlstics for the snow crab tishery In the "Danger Zone", Newfoundiand, 1982. (Menagement Area 15)

| Two week perlod | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effort } \\ & \text { trap hauls } \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative effort | CPUE kg/trap haul | Catch $(m+)$ | Cumulative catch ( $\mathrm{m}+$ ) | Estlmated blomass ( $m+$ ) (CPUE/q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apr. 5-17 | 3,075 | 3,075 | 20.1 | 61.71 | 61.71 | 1534 |
| Apr: 19-May 1 | 4,320 | 7,395 | 13.4 | 57.89 | 119.60 134.32 | 1023 |
| May 3-15 | 1,110 | 8,505 | 13.3 | 14.72 | 134.32 | 1015 1710 |
| May 17-29 | 6,225 | 14,730 | 22.4 | 139.15 | 273.46 * | 1710 |
| May 31-June 12 | 11,885 | 26,615 | 19.0 | 225.33 | $498.80{ }^{\text {² }}$ | 1450 |
| June 14-26 | 5.914 | 32,529 | 14.4 | 85.13 | 583.93* | 1099 1328 |
| June 2B-July 10 | 3,656 | 36,185 | 17.4 | 63.72 | $647.64{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1328 |
| July 12-24 | 3,742 | 39,927 | 16.7 | . 62.60 | $710.24 *$ | 1275 1214 |
| July 26-Aug. 7 | 7,882 | 47,809 | 15.9 | 125.00 | 835.24 * | 1214 |
| Aug. 9-21 | 4,680 | 52,489 | 13.7 | 64.25 | $899.49 *$ | 1045 |
| Aug. 23-Sept. 4 | 5,340 | 57.829 | 12.9 | 68.76 | 968.25 \% | 985 |
| Sept. 6-18 | 2,160 | 59.989 | 8.5 | 18.41 | 986.66* | 649 |
| Sept. 20-Oct. 2 | 1,500 | 61,489 | 10.4 | 15.59 | 1002.24 | 794 |
| Oct. 4-16 | 360 | 61,849 | 9.8 | 3.52 26.20 | 1005.76 1031.96 | 948 |
| Oct. 18-30 | 2.220 | 64,069 | 11.8 | 26.20 | 1031.96 | 1130 |
| Nov. 1-13 | 360 | 64,429 | 14.8 | 5.31 | 1037.27 | 1130 603 |
| Nov. 15-27 | 2,160 | 66,589 | 7.9 | 16.97 | 1054.25 | 603 |
| Nov. 29-Dec. 11 | 360 | 66,949 | 3.8 | 1.38 | 1055.63 | 290 |

- 

$X$ CPUE $=15.8$

* cumulative catches used in Lesile analysis

Table 10. Catch and effort statistles for the snow crab fishery In the outer portion of Northeastern Avalon, Newfoundland, 1982. (Management Area 19)

| Two week perlod | Effort trap nouls | Cumulative ef fort | CPUE $\mathrm{kg} /$ trap | haul | Catch ( $m$ + | Cumulative catch (m+) | Estimated blomass ( mt ) ( $\mathrm{CPUE} / \mathrm{q}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apr. 26-May 8 | 6,735 | 6,735 | 19.0 |  | 128.13 | 128.13 | 5401 |
| May 10-22 | 6,010 | 12,745 | 19.2 |  | 115.54 | 243.68 | 5458 |
| May 24-June 5 | 8,110 | 20,855 | 29.8 |  | 241.86 | 485.53* | 8471 |
| June 7-19 | 15,760 | 36,615 | 23.6 |  | 572.09 | 857.62* | 6708 |
| June 21-July 3 | 16,696 | 53,311 | 22.9 |  | 382.18 | 1239.80* | 6509 |
| July 5-17 | 24.590 | 77,901 | 19.1 |  | 469.86 | 1709.66* | 5429 |
| July 19-31 | 24,230 | 102,131 | 19.1 |  | 463.36 | $2173.02 *$ | 5429 |
| Aug. 2-14 | 27,500 | 129,631 | 19.1 |  | 524.84 | 2697.86* | 5429 |
| Aug. 16-28 | 18,805 | 148,436 | 17.9 |  | 336.56 | 3034.42 * | 5088 |
| Aug. 30-Sept. 11 | 26,990 | 175,426 | 17.1 |  | 460.99 | $3495.41^{*}$ | 4861 |
| Sept. 13-25 | 16,615 | 192,041 | 14.4 |  | 239.62 | $3735.03 *$ | 4093 |
| Sept. 27-Oct. 9 | 6,000 | 198,041 | 17.9 |  | 107.67 | 3842.70 | 5088 |
| Oct. 11-23 | 5,620 | 203,661 | 14.9 |  | 83.58 | 3926.28 | 4235 |
| Oct. 25-NOV. 6 | 9,180 | 212,841 | 19.6 |  | 179.9 | 4106.19 | 5571 |
| Nov. 8-20 | 1,300 | 214,141 | 13.8 |  | 17.96 | 4124.15 | 3923 |
| Nov. 22-Dec. 4 | 3,075 | 217,216 | 15.6 |  | 47.85 | 4171.99 | 4434 |
| Dec. 6-18 | 1,140 | 218,356 | 19.6 |  | 22.35 | 4194.34 | 5571 |

- 

$X$ CPUE $=19.2$

[^0]Table 11. Catch and effort statistics for the snow crab fishery in Downing Basin, Newfoundiand, 1982. (Management Area 13)

| Two week perlod | Effort trap hauls | Cumulative effort | CPUE kg/trap haul | Catch (mt) | Cumulative catch ( $\mathrm{m}+$ ) | Estimated blomass (mt) (CPUE/q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug. 9-21 | 480 | 480 | 12.3 | 5.92 | 5.92 | Insufficlent data |
| Aug. 23-5ept. 4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Sept. 6-18 | 380 | 860 | 15.7 | 5.96 | 11.88 |  |
| Sept * 20-Oct. 2 | 2,120 | 2,980 | 12.4 | 26.33 | 38.20 |  |
| Oct. 4-16 | 450 | 3,430 | 7.3 | 3.27 | 41.47 |  |
| Oct. 18-30 | 3,075 | 6,505 | 19.0 | 58.57 | 100.04 |  |
| Nov. 1-13 | - | 7. | - | - | - 113 |  |
| Nov. 15-27 | 790 | 7,295 | 17.0 | 13.43 | 113.47 |  |

```
"
XCPUE = }15.
```

Table 12. Catch and effort statistics for the snow crab fishery in Green Bay, Newfoundland, 1982. (Management Area 32)

| Two week perlod | Effort trap hauls | Cumulative ef fort | cPuE $\mathrm{kg} /$ trap haul | Catch ( $m$ + | Cumulative catch (m+) | Estimated blamess (mt) (CPUE/q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 17-29 | 2,955 | 2,955 | 10.8 | 31.90 | 31.90 | 1800 |
| May 31-June 12 | 7,642 | 10,597 | 13.3 | 101.37 | 133.27* | 2217 |
| June 14-26 | 7,866 | 18,463 | 13.5 | 105.96 | 239.23* | 2250 |
| June 28-July 10 | 9,242 | 27,705 | 11.6 | 106.73 | $345.96 *$ | 1934 |
| July 12-24 | 9.107 | 36,812 | 10.6 | 96.94 | $442.90^{*}$ | 1767 |
| July 26-Aug. 7 | 4,502 | 41,314 | 7.3 | 32.74 | 475.64* | 1217 |
| Aug. 9-21 | 10,443 | 51,757 | 9.3 | 96.90 | 572.54 * | 1550 |
| Aug. 23-5ept. 4 | 9,100 | 60,857 | 10.3 | 93.50 | 666.04* | 1717 |
| Sept. 5-18 | 8,631 | 69,488 | 9.9 | 85.06 | $751.12^{*}$ | 1650 |
| Sept. 20-0ct. 2 | 11,092 | 80,580 | 9.2 | 101.45 | 852.55* | 1534 |
| Oct. 4-16 | 6,605 | 87,185 | 8.2 | 54.33 | 906.88* | 1367 |
| Oct. 18-30 | 4,195 | 91,380 | 7.6 | 32.10 | 938.95* | 1267 |

$\bar{X}$ CPUE $=10.3$

* cumulative catches used in Leslle analysis

Table 13. Catch and effort statistlcs for the snow crab flshery off the Horse islands, Newfoundland, 1982. (Management Area 34)

| Two week period | Effort trap hauls | Cumulative ef fort | CPUE <br> kg/trap haul | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ (\mathrm{m}+) \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative catch ( mt ) | Estimated blomass (mt) (CPUE/q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 29-June 5 | 2,225 | 2,225 | 17.6 | 39.12 | 39.12 | 407 |
| June 7-19 | 2,330 | 4,555 | 11.1 | 25.76 | 64.88 | 257 |
| June 21-July 3 | 6,188 | 10,743 | 9.9 | 61.31 | 126.19 | 229 |
| July 5-17 | 6,541 | 17,284 | 12.9 | 84.68 | 210.87 | 298 |
| July 19-31 | 4,720 | 22,004 | 11.9 | 55.99 | 266.86 | 275 |
| Aug. 2-14 | 6,576 | 28,580 | 15.2 | 99.77 | 366.63 | 352 |
| Aug. 16-28 | 5,524 | 34,104 | 15.0 | 83.14 | 449.76 | 347 |
| Aug. 30-Sept. 11 | 2,885 | 36,989 | 14.0 | 40.29 | 490.05 | 324 |
| Sept. 13-25 | 5,705 | 42,694 | 19.6 | 111.62 | $601.67 *$ | 453 |
| Sept. 27-0ct. 9 | 2.133 | 44,827 | 17.4 | 37.05 | 638.72* | 403 |
| Oct. 11-23 | 2,740 | 47,567 | 15.5 | 42.39 | 681.10** | 359 |
| Oct. 25-Nov. 6 | 2,540 | 50,107 | 16.3 | 41.29 | 722.39** | 377 |
| Nov. 8-20 | 370 | 50,477 | 13.7 | 5.08 | $727.48{ }^{\text {* }}$ | 317 |
| Nov. 22-Dec. 4 | 870 | 51,347 | 8.7 | 7.56 | 735.04* | 201 |

$x$ CPUE $=14.3$

* cumulative catches used in Lesile analysls

Table 14. Catch and effort statistles for the snow crab fishery In White Bay, Newfoundland, 1982. (Management Area 36 )

| Two week perlod | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effort } \\ & \text { trap hauls } \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative ef fort | cpue <br> kg/trap haul | Catch (mt) | Cumulative catch ( $\mathrm{m} t$ ) | Estimated Diomass ( $\mathrm{m}+$ ) (CPUE/a) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 24-June 5 | 2,850 | 2,850 | 12.0 | 34.11 | 34.10 |  |
| June 7-19 | 1.750 | 4,600 | 12.9 | 22.62 | 56.73 |  |
| June 21-July 3 | 2,040 | 6,640 | 13.5 | 27.48 | 84.21 |  |
| July 5-17 | 2,924 | 9,564 | 15.3 | 44.65 | 128.86 |  |
| July 19-31 | 1,951 | 11,515 | 11.8 | 22.94 | 151.80 |  |
| Aug. 2-14 | 2,608 | 14,123 | 11.2 | 29.12 | 180.91 |  |
| Aug. 16-28 | 2,966 | 17,089 | 12.4 | 36.81 | 217.72 |  |
| Aug. 30-Sept. 11 | 2,263 | 19,352 | 13.3 | 30.17 | 247.87 |  |
| Sept. 13-25 | 2,174 | 21,526 | 12.2 | 26.59 | 274.48 |  |
| Sept. 27-0ct. 9 | 2,246 | 23,772 | 13.8 | 31.06 | 305.54 |  |
| Oct. 11-23 | 3,460 | 27,232 | 11.3 | 38.97 | 344.50 |  |
| Oct. 25-Nov. 6 | 3,800 | 31,032 | 12.5 | 47.64 | 392.12 |  |
| Nov. 8-20 | 1,630 | 32,662 | 12.7 | 20.74 | 412.86 |  |
| Nov. 22-Dec. 4 | 255 | 32,917 | 18.9 | 4.81 | 417.67 |  |

```
X CPUE = 12.7
```

Table 15. Summary of catch/effort data for the Newfoundland snow crab fishery, 1982.

| Management area | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effort } \\ \text { (trap hauls) } \end{gathered}$ | Landing (mt) | CPUE X (kg/trap haul) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Biomass } \\ & (m t) \end{aligned}$ | Exploitation rate (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | 48,350 | 506.0 | 10.5 | 551.4 | 92 |
| 10 | 3,360 | 51.6 | 15.4 | - | - |
| 12 | 49,975 | 732.1 | 14.7 | 974.0 | 75 |
| 13 | 7,295 | 113.5 | 15.6 | - | - |
| 14 | 32,320 | 464.5 | 14.4 | - | - |
| 15 | 66,949 | 1,055.6 | 15.8 | 1,870.0 | 56 |
| 16 | 60,753 | 693.6 | 11.4 | 1,073.1 | 65 |
| 18 | 153,238 | 1,847.1 | 12.1 | 2,131.7 | $87^{\text {a }}$ |
| 19 | 218,356 | 4,194.3 | 19.2 | 7,744.0 | 54 |
| 20 | 10,535 | 64.7 | 6.1 | , | - |
| 22 | 13,755 | 95.1 | 6.9 | - | - |
| 25 | 96,330 | 905.1 | 9.4 | 1,390.8 | 65 |
| 32 | 91,380 | 939.0 | 10.3 | 2,212.8 | $42^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | 38,925 | 413.1 | 10.6 | -212.8 | - |
| 34 | 51,347 | 735.0 | 14.3 | 1,015.7 | 72 |
| 36 | 32,917 | 417.7 | 12.7 | - | - |

[^1]

Figure 1. Snow crab management areas.


FIg. 2. Leslle graph of blweekly catches of snow crab from Bonavista Bay (Area 25 ), Newfoundland, 1982 .

fig. 3. lesile graph of Diweekly catches of snow crab from Conception Bay (Area 16), Newfoundland. i982.


Fig. 4. Jeslie graph of biweekly catches of snow crab from Northeastern Avalon (Area lat Newfoundland, 1982.


Fig. 5. Leslie graph of Diweekly catches of snow crab from Southeastern Avalon (Area 12), Newfoundland, 1982.


Fig. 6. Leslie graph of biweekly catches of snow crab from St. Mary's Bay (Area 8), Newfoundland, 1982.


Fig. 7. Leslie graph of Diweekly catches of snow crab from the "Danger Zone" (Area 15), Newfoundland, 1982.


Fig. 8. Leslie graph of biweekly catches of snow crab from the outer portion of Northeastern Avalon (Area 19) Newfoundland, 1982.


Fig. 9. Leslie graph of biweekly catches of snow crab from Notre Dame Bay (Area 32) Newfoundland, 1982.


Fig. 10. Leslie graph of biweekly catches of snow crab from Horse Islands (Area 34) Newfoundland, 1982.


[^0]:    * cumulative catches used in Leslie analysis

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to fluctuating effort patterns during the season, Leslie estimates generated for these areas are highly suspect.

