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## ABSTRACT

Spawning escapement for large salmon in 1994 was 2759, exceeding the spawning requirement of 1036 large salmon. Small salmon spawning escapement was 390 which did not exceed the spawning target of 582 for small salmon. The Margaree salmon stock is currently considered to be in a healthy condition because large salmon spawning requirements have been met in each of the last 10 years and small salmon spawning requirements have been met in 5 of the last 10 years. In general, $80 \%$ to $90 \%$ of the eggs deposited in the system come from large salmon.

Forecasts for 1995, using stock-recruitment relationships are between 2700 and 4600 large salmon. These forecasts are well above spawning targets. Allocations based on these forecasted surpluses should take into account average run-timing as they have in the past.

Total harvests in First Nation food fisheries were 14 small salmon and 50 large salmon in 1994. Recreational catches of small salmon were 434 , about $40 \%$ less than in 1993. Hook and release of large salmon was 1466 , about $30 \%$ above 1993 values.

Early run spawning requirements could be based on the rearing area above the sanctuary headwaters and measured against returns to the estuary by July 15.

## RÉSUMÉ

L'échappée de frai de gros saumons a atteint 2759 individus en 1994, soit 1036 gros saumons de plus que l'objectif fixé. L'échappée de petits saumons, de 390, a été inférieur à l'objectif, de 582 poissons. Le stock de saumon de la Margaree semble actuellement en bon état car les besoins en gros géniteurs ont été comblés au cours de chacune des 10 demières années et ceux en petits saumons l'ont été au cours de 5 de ces années. De façon générale, de $80 \%$ à $90 \%$ des oeufs du bassin provenaient de gros saumons.

Les relations stock-recrutement permettent de prévoir une échappée se situant entre 2700 et 4600 gros saumons pour 1995. Cette valeur est de beaucoup supérieure à l'objectif de frai. Les allocations reposant sur cet excédent prévu devraient, comme par le passé, tenir compte du moment moyen de la remontée.

La récolte totale de la pêche d'alimentation des Premières nations a été de 14 petits saumons et de 50 gros saumons en 1994. Les prises de petits saumons de la pêche récréative ont atteint 434 poissons, soit $40 \%$ environ de moins qu'en 1993. En tout, l 466 gros saumons ont été capturés et remis à l'eau, soit $30 \%$ environ de plus qu'en 1993.

Les besoins de géniteurs de la remontée hâtive pourraient être déterminés en fonction de la zone de croissance située en amont des eaux d'amont protégées et être évalués d'après la remontée dans l'estuaire au 15 juillet.

## SUMMARY SHEET

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { STOCR: } & \text { Margaree River (SFA 18) } \\ \text { TARGET: } & 6.7 \text { million eggs }(1,036 \text { large, } 582 \text { small salmon) }\end{array}$

| Year | 1989 | $1990^{\prime}$ | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | MIN ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | MAX ${ }^{2}$ | MEAN ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angling harvest ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large | 1570 | 1507 | 1757 | 1938 | 1102 | 1466 | 1102 | 2636 | 1575 |
| Small | 561 | 649 | 752 | 678 | 777 | 434 | 434 | 977 | 683 |
| Native harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large |  | - | 1 | - | 58 | 50 |  |  | - |
| Small |  | - | 2 | - | 8 | 14 |  | $\cdots$ | - |
| Total returns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large | 2289 | 5156 | 3484 | 6375 | 3358 | 2900 | 1462 | 6375 | 4132 |
| small | 768 | 1977 | 1909 | 1645 | 2087 | 708 | 708 | 2209 | 1677 |
| spawning escapement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large | 2164 | 5022 | 3323 | 6222 | 3224 | 2759 | 1378 | 6222 | 3991 |
| Small ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 328 | 1471 | 1340 | 1088 | 1504 | 390 | 328 | 1504 | 1146 |
| \% of Egg target met (Large) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 209 | 485 | 321 | 601 | 311 | 266 | 133 | 601 | 385 |

${ }^{1}$ Total returns and spawning escapement estimates for 1990 have been revised using average trapnet efficiencies.
${ }^{2}$ Min, Max are for 1985 to 1994. Mean for 1989 to 1993.
${ }^{3}$ All angling catches are NS license stub estimates. Angling catches for large salmon are hook and release estimates.

4 Small spawning escapement has been updated, as previous removal estimates included both retained and released small salmon. Updated estimate removes retained and applies a $5 \%$ hook and release mortality rate to the released fish.

Description of fisheries: Harvests occurred in recreational and First Nation fisheries. Recreational season was from June 1 to oct. 15 with an extension in the lower part of the river to oct. 30 . Food fishery agreements were signed with Wagmatcook, Membertou, Chapel Island, Eskasoni, and Waycobah First Nations. Wagmatcook and Membertou were the only First Nations to fish and all their harvest occurred in the fall.

Target: Based on 2.4 eggs $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$.
Fishery Data: Depends on voluntary sampling in First Nation fisheries and angler logbooks. Abundance indices from angling are more similar to population estimates for small than for large salmon.

Research Data: Tagging at estuarial trap and recaptures at Lake o'Law counting fence and logbook anglers provide raw data for population estimates by mark-recapture methods. Electrofishing surveys provide information on juvenile populations. Most small salmon enter the river in the summer, most large salmon in the fall. Juvenile densities are consistent with spawning requirements being met in recent years.

Bstimation of stock parameters; Population is estimated using mark-recapture techniques. The assumption of equal mixing and vilnerability is tested by comparing tagged to total catch ratios from Lake o'Law fence and angler logbooks, tag loss is estimated by experiments at the hatchery, and sampling intensity is tested by simulations. These requirements were found to be satisfied

Assessment results: Large salmon spawning escapement exceeded requirements but small salmon did not. Large salmon have exceeded requirements each year since 1985 , small salmon requirements have been met in 5 of the last 10 years.

Ecological considerationst Temperatures in all parts of the river were higher in 1994 than 1993 . Stream discharge was among the lowest and summer returns were the latest on record.

Future prospects: Forecasts for 1995 are 2731 large salmon using a Ricker stock-recruitment model, 4236 large salmon using a Beverton-Holt model, and 4687 using a Tabular model.

Management considerations: Forecasts are well above spawning targets. Allocations based on these forecasted surpluses should take into account average run-timing as they have in the past.

## INTRODUCTION

The objective of this Margaree River Atlantic salmon stock assessment is to estimate spawning escapement, compare it to the target spawning escapement of the river, and provide a forecast for large salmon returns in 1995.

The principle issue investigated in the assessment is the status of early returning salmon to the Margaree River and an evaluation of the spawning escapement target based on $2.4 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Although numbers of salmon returning early, before August 31, and those returning late, after September 1 have been reported in past assessments, it has only been possible to compare spawning escapements against a target for complete returns (Gray and Chadwick 1984; Claytor and Chadwick 1985; Claytor and Léger 1986; Claytor et al. 1987; Claytor and Chaput 1988; Claytor and Jones 1990; Chaput and Jones 1991; and Chaput et al. 1992, 1993, 1994). These estimates of spawning escapement indicate that requirements have been exceeded for the entire population each year since 1985. Salmon returning early in the year are of greatest interest to user groups and a separate assessment of this group of fish has been requested. Establishing a target spawning escapement for seasonally returning fish requires that fish returning in each season spawn at separate locations or times. Stock recruitment relationships are examined to determine if target spawning escapements other than those based on 2.4 eggs $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ are appropriate.

New analysis in this assessment concentrates on establishing an initial target for early returning salmon. Tag return data are summarized by date of tagging and location and date of recapture in order to determine if there is spatial or temporal segregation of early and late returning salmon that would help establish definitions and targets for these groups.

## DESCRIPTION OF FISHERIES

Salmon in the Margaree River were harvested in a recreational fishery and First Nation food fishery.

## Regulations

Recreational fishery regulations were the same in 1994 as 1993. There was a daily limit of 2 small salmon that could be retained and a maximum of 8 small salmon that could be retained in a year. All large salmon must be released in the recreational fishery. The season remained the same and opened June' 1 and closed Oct. 31. The recreational fishery is not limited by total quota or effort limits. In 1994, the season was extended to October 31 as in 1993 but the river was open up to Ross Bridge. In previous years, it was only open up to Cranton Bridge during extensions (Table 1, Fig. 1).

Food fishery agreements were signed with all five Cape Breton First Nations; Wagmatcook, Membertou, Chapel Island, Eskasoni, and Waycobah. Allocations for the Margaree were 130 small and 650 large salmon and gear was restricted to trapnets, angling, and seining (Table 2 ).

## Harvests

Wagmatcook and Membertou were the only First Nations to harvest salmon from the Margaree in 1994 (Table 3). Total harvests by First Nations were 14 small salmon and 50 large salmon in 1994. In 1993, 8 small salmon and 58 large salmon were harvested by First Nations.

Recreational fishery catches are available from two sources: DFO Conservation and Protection Officers (DFO) and Nova Scotia License stub returns (STUBS). DFO under-estimates catch because officers have other duties which interfere with an unbiased collection of total catch. DFO statistics do, however, provide a relative index of annual trends and provide in-season information on angling activity by day and pool location of catch (Chaput and Claytor 1988). In recent years this information is available only for small salmon. In 1994, DFO estimates of small salmon caught were 175, compared to mean catches from 1989 to 1993 of 228 (Table 4).

STUB estimates can provide seasonal information for small salmon kept and released but large salmon hook and release estimates are available only as annual totals (O'Neil et al. 1991). In 1994, catches of small salmon were half those in 1993 and the 1989 to 1993 mean (Table 5). Catches of large salmon were above 1993 catches and similar to the 1989 to 1993 mean (Table 5). Effort and numbers of anglers, were both less than 1993 and 1989 to 1993 mean levels (Table 5).

## TARGET

The conservation spawning escapement target for the entire Margaree River system is based on a target egg deposition of 2.4 eggs $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and historical biological characteristics. The rearing area for the system is 27,976 units of habitat with each unit equal to $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (Table 6). This egg deposition rate and number of rearing units converts to a target spawning escapement requirement for the Margaree River of 582 small salmon and 1036 large salmon (Table 7).

## FISHERY DATA

Data on the biological characteristics of First Nation and recreational catches and abundance indices in the recreational fishery come from volunteer programs.

First Nation catch is voluntarily brought to the DFO lab trailer in Margaree Forks where DFO personnel process the fish for length, weight, sex, wild or hatchery origin, scale samples, and fecundity (Table 3).

Two voluntary programs associated with the recreational fishery provide abundance indices and scale samples that can be used for aging.

A volunteer salmon check-in program (SCIP) has been conducted on the Margaree since 1991 at five sites on the Margaree River. Scale samples are collected from these fish and number of fish registered may serve as an additional index of in-season angling success along with DFO angling stats. Small salmon reported to SCIP stations in 1994 were $70 \%$ lower than 1993 and $60 \%$ lower than 1991 to 1993 mean values (Table 8).

Voluntary angling logbooks provide an abundance index, tag returns for population estimation, and scale samples for aging. In 1994, the catch rate (CPUE) for anglers keeping logbooks was 0.040 small salmon per rod-day, considerably lower than 1991 to 1993 small salmon CPUEs ( 0.071 to 0.085) (Table 9). Large salmon CPUE by logbook anglers was 0.216 large salmon per rod-day, this is similar to the highest CPUEs from 1991 to 1993 ( 0.090 to 0.258 ) and much higher than the CPUE in 1993 of 0.090 (Table 9).

Logbooks also provide information on the percentage of wild and hatchery fish caught in the angling fishery from 1989 to 1994 . During the summer, wild small salmon have made up 43\% to 81\% of the catch, while during the fall, wild small salmon have made up $75 \%$ to $89 \%$ of the catch (Table 10). During the summer,
wild large salmon have made up $63 \%$ to $100 \%$ of the catch and $90 \%$ to $94 \%$ of the fall catch (Table 10).

## RESEARCH DATA

Research data from trapnets, Lake o'Law counting fence, and snorkel counts provide information on adult biological characteristics. Tagging and recapture of tags from logbook anglers and Lake O'Law counting fence provide the raw data for population estimates. Electrofishing surveys provide information on the juvenile salmon populations in the Margaree River.

There have been four trapnets operated in the Margaree River for assessment purposes since 1988 (Fig. 2). Levi's trap has been used as the principle index trap for tagging fish and providing in-season information on returns to the river (Table 11). The percentage of small salmon which return to the river by August 31 has ranged from 32\% to 65\% from 1992 to 1994 (Table 12). In 1993 and 1994, $35 \%$ to $41 \%$ of the small salmon returned in the fall but in 1992 , 68\% of the small salmon returned in the fall (Table 12). For large salmon, $21 \%$ to $48 \%$ had returned to the river by August 31 from. 1992 to 1994 and $52 \%$ to $79 \%$ returned to the river during the fall (Table 12).

With the reduction in distant fisheries no tag returns have been returned from these areas since 1993 (Table 13). This lack of tag returns suggests that reductions in these fisheries have reduced at sea fishing mortality for the Margaree River Atlantic salmon stock. This effect is expected because of additional closures in the Newfoundland salmon fisheries.

Sampling at the trapnets has provided scale samples for determining ages of the Margaree salmon population. Smolts in the Margaree River are predominantly age 2. Age 2 smolts have ranged from $52 \%$ to $65 \%$ of the one-seawinter (small) salmon sampled from 1990 to 1993 and from $56 \%$ to $70 \%$ of the two-sea-winter (large) salmon sampled from 1990 to 1993 (Table 14). Previous spawners have averaged about $74 \%$ age 2 smolts (Table 14). The percentage of age 4 smolts has gradually increased to $8 \%$ since 1990 in the one-sea-winter salmon samples (Table 14). Hatchery salmon tend to return as one-sea-winter fish with the percentages ranging between $36 \%$ and $77 \%$ while averaging $65 \%$ (Table 15). The opposite is true for wild salmon with an average of $60 \%$ returning as maiden two-sea-winter fish (Table 15). Previous spawners have been between 6-8\% of those returning adults in any given year between 1990-93 (Table 15).

Length frequency data from the trapnet shows that hatchery small salmon were slightly larger than wild small salmon but hatchery large salmon were smaller than wild large salmon (Fig. 3).

Wild and hatchery smolts and adults are also counted at a fence in Lake O'Law. Counts of large salmon in 1994 were 86 compared to those of 1993 which were 58 (Table 16).

Sampling from all programs provides information on the proportion of wild and hatchery fish in the population. During the summer, $65 \%$ of all small salmon sampled were of wild origin and this percentage increased to $83 \%$ during the fall. Wild large salmon were $88 \%$ of the fish sampled during the summer but $95 \%$ during the fall (Table 17).

Snorkel counts have also been made on the river since 1990, except 1993. Counts were highest in 1990 (Table 18).

Juvenile surveys indicate that parr densities are at levels that correspond to spawning escapement having been met in recent years. Parr densities per. 100
$m^{2}$ range from 33 to 96 at the five sites in 1994 (Table 19). Parr densities at all sites are much higher than they were in the mid-1970s (Chaput and Claytor 1989) when stock size was low (Fig. 4).

## ESTIMATION OF STOCK PARAMETERS

Total returns were estimated using mark-recapture techniques as described by Chaput et al. (1994) using the Bayesian estimation procedure derived by Gazey and Staley (1986). All tagging occurred at Levi's trap (Fig. 2). Recaptures came from the Lake $0^{\prime}$ Law fence and logbook anglers (Table 20).

Large and small salmon returns were estimated using mark-recapture. Small salmon, however, are a low percentage of the population and few tag recoveries are obtained from these salmon. As a result, numbers of small salmon are also estimated using the ratio of small:large salmon at Levi's trap and the population estimate of large salmon. This ratio estimate is the preferred method for small salmon and the one used to determine if small salmon spawning escapement has been met.

In any mark-recapture experiment it is necessary to consider whether or not the assumption of equal mixing and vulnerability of tagged and untagged fish is met, that tag loss and non-reported tags are accounted for, and that the tagging sample and recoveries are in sufficient numbers for the expected size of the population.

The mixing assumption can be tested by examining the percentage of tagged and untagged fish at the two recovery sites. Logbook anglers fish the entire river system while Lake o'Law fence recovers tags from a small portion of the river. The percentage of tagged and untagged fish at these two recovery sites is similar indicating that the mixing assumption is satisfied (Table 20).

Tag loss to the pool of tags in the population may occur because of tag mortality or tags falling off the fish. Experiments at the Margaree hatchery indicate that tag mortality is zero but that tag loss rate is on average 0.01 tags/per day at large. The number of tags available is reduced using the median days to recapture and this tag loss rate.

Simulation experiments examined the percentage of the population that needs to be tagged and recaptured to provide adequate population estimates for past conditions on the Margaree River. These experiments indicate that for the Margaree River there is a high ( $>90 \%$ probability) of being within $25 \%$ of the true population value at current levels of tagging and recapture (Table 21). These experiments were done with 100 simulations at two population sizes, 2000 and 4000 fish. The probability of capture for tagging was set and a random selection from a uniform distribution from 0 to 1 was made. If the selection was lower than the probability set the fish was considered tagged or recaptured (Appendix 1).

## Revision of 1990 return estimate

The return estimate of large salmon for 1990 was 11,144 (Chaput and Jones 1991). This estimate is almost twice as high the next highest estimate and was based on a small number of tags observed during a creel survey. Trapnets have been in operation on the Margaree for eight years and a greater understanding of the range of efficiencies expected from year to year has been achieved. Accepting the 1990 return estimate requires that the trapnet efficiencies in that year were three to four times less than all other years of operation (Table 22).

This seems unlikely because all other years including the three most recent years when the Levi's trap has been operated for the full year vary only by a factor of two among years (Table 22). Thus, it seems reasonable to re-evaluate this estimate using trapnet efficiencies from the Upperl fall trapnet catches of 1988, 1989, and 1992 to obtain an estimate of fall returns in 1990.

The efficiencies of the Upperl trapnet have been used for this reevaluation because it was operated for one more year than the Lower trapnet (Table 22). Efficiencies for this trap have ranged from 0.04 to 0.06 (Table 22). Using the mid-point between these values provides an estimate of 3300 large salmon returning to the Margaree River in the fall of 1990. The mean percentage of large salmon returning to the river in the fall from 1992 to 1994, the years when a trapnet (Levi's) have been operated for the full year, was 64\%. This percentage produces an estimate of 5156 large salmon returning to the Margaree River in 1990. The range on this estimate using the upper and lower limits for trapnet efficiencies and the maximum and minimum percentages of large salmon observed in fall is 3481 to 7933 large salmon (Table 22).

An alternative approach was to estimate large salmon returns using the Nova Scotia license stub return data. This method involved determining the STUB/RETURN ratio using all years except 1990 and using the average STUB/RETURN ratio to estimate 1990 returns (Table 23). This method estimated returns of 2988 large salmon returns to the Margaree River in 1990 (Table 23). This method, however, under-estimates returns (Claytor et al. 1995). The method using the trapnet efficiencies is most similar to current methods estimating returns to the Margaree River and was the estimate adopted for final revisions of the 1990 estimate.

Estimating small salmon returns by the method used in the current assessment, large:small ratio, estimates 1977 small salmon returning using the average trapnet ratio from 1992 to 1994. Using the extreme ratios to provide the widest possible estimate provides ranges of small salmon returns in 1990 of 940 to 5077 (Table 22).

## ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Estimated returns to the Margaree River were 2900 large salmon with a $90 \%$ confidence interval of 2350 to 4500 and 708 small salmon with a $90 \%$ confidence interval of 573 to 1101 (Fig. 5, Table 24). Spawning escapement estimates for large salmon were 2759 with a $90 \%$ confidence interval of 2209 to 4359 and 390 small salmon with a $90 \%$ confidence interval of 255 to 783 (Table 24). Small salmon returns are the lowest since 1985 (Table 24).

Large salmon exceeded the target spawning escapement of 1036 even at the minimum estimate but small salmon were below the target spawning escapement of 582 (Table 24). Large salmon spawning escapements have ranged from 1378 to 6222 from 1985 to 1994 , while small salmon spawning escapements have ranged from 328 to 1504 from 1985 to 1994 (Table 24). Large salmon spawning escapements have been met in each of the last 10 years and small salmon spawning escapements have been met in 5 of the last 10 years (Table 24).

Wild large salmon made up 93\% of large salmon total returns in 1994 and have contributed $93 \%$ to $97 \%$ of the total eggs from 1992 to 1994 (Table 25). Hatchery large salmon made up $7 \%$ of large salmon total returns in 1994 and have contributed 3\% to 7\% of the total eggs from 1992 to 1994 (Table 25).

Trapnet efficiency during the operation of the trap have been either $8 \%$ or 16\% (Table 26). Population estimates based only on the time Levi's trap was operating have been used to determine trap efficiencies. For this reason,
population estimates in Table 24 differ from those in Table 26 because fish entering the river after trapnet operation had finished were estimated by the mark-recapture procedure.

## ECOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Temperature in all parts of the river were higher in 1994 than 1993 (Figs. $6,7,8)$. Discharge in June was below historical means in 1994 and 17 of the last 19 years were below the long-term mean (Figs. 9, 10). Returns of small and large salmon during the summer were the latest on record (Fig. 11). The relationship between small salmon and discharge is more predictable than large salmon. At low water, small salmon are more likely to be late than early and the opposite is true at high water (Fig. 11). High water in the summer is associated with earlier than average returns and angling catches of large salmon but low water in the summer is as likely to produce early as late returns (Fig. 11). In the fall, low water delays large salmon but high water has no effect on runtiming (Fig. 11).

## FORECAST / PROSPECTS

Forecasts for the Margaree River are based on stock-recruitment relationships and range from 2700 to 4600 large salmon returning in 1995 (Table 27). The reason for these differences and the management implications are described below.

Stock recruitment relationships provide the basis for pre-season forecasts on the Margaree River. The stock recruitment relationship is formed by assuming a five year lag between spawning and subsequent return of large salmon recruits to the river. This lag is based on the predominance of 2 year old smolts in the Margaree River. Spawners and recruits have been estimated for the years used in this analysis by Chaput and Jones (1992), and this document (Table 28).

Stock recruitment relationships were examined using four models, Tabular, Ricker, Beverton-Holt, and the Mean. For the Tabular approach the spawning stock was divided into four intervals of 600 spawners and recruits into 11 intervals of 1200 recruits (Table 29). The number of times each level of recruitment occurred at each spawning level was entered into the table. The average number of spawners and recruits at each spawning stock level is calculated and the average yield (recruits minus spawners) and recruit per spawner (recruits divided by spawners) is estimated for each level (Table 29).

The Ricker curve was developed using the relationship:

$$
R \quad S \times e^{a(1 S / b)}
$$

where $R$ is the number of recruits, $S$ is the number of spawners, $e^{a}$ is the initial slope of the curve, and $b$ is the value at which spawners equal recruits or the value at which the stock will just replace itself (Hilborn and Walters 1992). The a and b parameters were estimated using the EXCEL (1993) solver function (Table 27).

The Beverton-Holt model was developed using the relationship:

$$
R \quad \frac{a S}{b \quad S}
$$

where $R$ and $S$ are as in the Ricker model, a is the maximum number of recruits produced, and $b$ is the recruitment (on average) equal to $a / 2$ (Hilborn and Walters 1992). The $a$ and $b$ parameters were estimated using the EXCEL (1993) solver function (Table 27).

The mean forecasts a return of 3249 (Table 27).
An additonal approach using wild small salmon (year i) to forecast wild large salmon (year i+1) was also tried. This relationship was not significant (Fig. 12) and cannot be used to provide a forecast.

The Tabular approach provides the best forecast in terms of lowest residual sum of squares, followed by the Beverton-Holt model, the mean, and the Ricker which performs the poorest of the four models (Table 27). Over the range of the data observed, however, each of these models provides a similar description of the stock-recruitment relationship, which is that numbers of recruits per spawner is high at low numbers of spawners and declines to replacement or one recruit per one spawner at about 4000 spawners or the maximum observed up to 1989 (Table 30; Fig. 12). This decline in recruits/spawner is important because the spawning stock that will produce returns in 1995 was the largest number of spawners estimated in the time-series and is beyond the replacement line (Table 27).

## MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

## Forecast

Each forecast model predicts that large salmon returns will exceed the spawning target for 1995. Allocations of this surplus should take into account average run-timing characteristics of the river as they have in the past.

## Target:

The stock-recruitment relationships developed for forecasting are also important for evaluating current target levels of 1036 large salmon and 582 small salmon. Although the three models provide similar forecasts over the range of data, management targets based on these models would differ depending on whether the objective is to manage for maximum recruitment or maximum yield.

Managing for maximum recruitment under the assumption that the Ricker model is correct indicates a target of 2100 large salmon. Maximum recruitment under the Tabular model occurs at 2900 (Table 30) large salmon and for the BevertonHolt model at about 4500 large salmon spawners (Table 27, parameter a $x$ 1000). These targets, however, would be an inefficient management objective because the recruits per spawner are very low at these levels (Table 30). One way to manage for maximizing recruitment efficiency under the Beverton-Holt model might be to pick the point at which the change in recruit/spawner curve starts to change very little. For the Beverton-Holt curve this point occurs at about 1000 spawners (Fig. 13).

Target spawners would be less if the objective was to maximize yield. The Tabular model predicts maximum yield at 1500 spawners, the Ricker at 1400 spawners, and the Beverton-Holt curve at 1000 spawners (Table 30 , Fig. 12). The differences in expected yields between these three models are 500 large salmon.

Simulations examining the consequences of managing under each of these assumptions as if they were correct, but in fact one of the other models is correct would clarify the importance of distinguishing between these models and
guide management in choosing a management objective and deciding if the target for Margaree Atlantic salmon needs to be changed.

## Target Early Run:

The first step in setting an early run target for the Margaree River would be to examine other rivers to see if run-timing can be expected to be a stock characteristic of Southern Gulf of St. Lawrence Rivers. Examination of eight index sites throughout the Southern Gulf of St. Lawrence indicates that two peaks are often seen in rivers where fish enter from June to October (Fig. 14). A decline in returns about the end of August occurs in estuary, mid-river and headwater sites (Fig. 14) and indicates that run-timing is an important stock characteristic of these rivers. It would not be surprising to observe this trend on the Margaree River where fish also return from June to October and an initial definition of early run fish would be those returning before the end of August.

A second indication of whether or not run-timing may be a stock characteristic comes from informal experiments in which early-run fish (those returning before the end of August) have been collected as broodstock and returns have been monitored. If early run-timing is a stock characteristic then most of these fish should return in the summer. On the Nepisiguit River early-run small and large salmon return in the summer about $60 \%$ of the time (Table 31). On the Morell River small salmon return early about $80 \%$ of the time and large salmon about $60 \%$ of the time (Table 32).

A similar experiment has taken place on the Margaree River and returns have been monitored by logbook anglers and at the estuary trapnets. These data are similar to the Morell River in that about $80 \%$ of the small salmon return early and $60 \%$ of the large salmon (Table 33). An additional experiment on the Margaree River involved the introduction of early run Rocky Brook, Miramichi River stocks in the late 70 s (Table 34). These fish returned as small salmon from 1979 to 1982 and large salmon from 1980 to 1983. These fish were the major contributors to small salmon returns being 4 weeks earlier than other years and large salmon being 2 weeks earlier than other years (Fig. 15). These results indicate that run-timing is a stock characteristic of many river populations and wherever possible should be considered in setting targets.

Although fish enter the Margaree River from June to October it does not have two strong peaks in timing as other rivers with these characteristics (compare Figs. 14 and 16). Historical angling data and 1992 and 1993 Levi's trap data indicate that about $30 \%$ of the large salmon come in by the end of August and about $40 \%$ of the small salmon (Fig. 16).

Because the Margaree River does not show two strong peaks with a decline at the end of August as other rivers, fish returning by the end of August may not define the early run on the Margaree River.

Defining and setting a target ofor early run fish will depend on determining if there are sections of the river where fish entering the river at different times are segregated for spawning. The hypothesis is that early returning fish predominantly utilize the upper sections of the river and late returning fish the lower sections. During the stock status workshop it was noted that this segregation may occur with changes in gradient. The Margaree has three sections of differing gradient. The highest gradient occurs above the sanctuary, a medium gradient from the sanctuary to Lake O'Law brook, and a low gradient below Lake O'Law (Fig. 17). This hypothesis is investigated using tagging and tag recapture data from the estuary trapnets (1987 to 1994) and recapture data from broodstock collections, Lake O'Law counting fence, and the recreational fishery. The date of fish entering the river is the date they were first tagged in the estuary.

The hypothesis is first tested by examining tag returns at the Lake o'Law fence, angling above and below Lake O'Law, and in broodstock collections that are primarily made between Lake O'Law and the sanctuary.

Two to four times as many of the fish tagged in June are recovered in upper sections of the river, either in broodstock collections or by angling than those tagged after July 1 (Table 35). This comparison is based on tag recoveries in broodstock collections made in sections $G, H, I$, and the sanctuary (Fig. 1), at the Lake O'Law counting fence in section $F$ (Fig. 1), and by anglers in the section of the river below (Lower Section) and above (Upper Section) Lake O'Law and in the Southwest Margaree. Fish tagged from July 1 to July 15 also have a greater tendency to be recovered in the upper sections of the river by angling than fish tagged after July 15 (Table 35). Fish tagged from June to July 15 have never been observed at the Lake O'Law fence (Table 35). These data indicate that fish returning before July 15 may be using a different part of the river than fish arriving later in the year. The spatial division between these early fish and fish entering later in the year is above Lake O'Law Brook.

The distance above Lake o'Law before spawning segregation occurs is examined by comparing the date of estuary tagging with section of the river in which the fish were re-captured by angling (Table 36). The highest percentage of recoveries of a tagging group in the uppermost section (Section I, Fig. 1) occurs from the fish tagged in June. There were, however, September and October tagged fish caught in this section and about the same percentage of fish tagged in July are caught in this section as those tagged in September and October (Table 35).

The in-river tagging indicates that the sanctuary area (Table 1, Fig. 1) is the largest area that could be considered where separation of early and late fish occurs. Fish returning to the river before July 15 would seem to be the most likely to be segregating in this area.

If fish entering the estuary at certain times of the year consistently fall back or remain in the estuary it would indicate they are not to be included in early returns. This can be determined by comparing the date of tagging in the estuary with subsequent recapture in the estuary (Table 37). Fish tagged in June have a greater tendency to be recaptured in June, July, or August compared to September and October indicating they are probably moving into the system relatively close to time of tagging (Table 37). Fish tagged in June also have a strong tendency to be caught in the angling fishery during June and July (Table 38). These trends indicate that June fish are for the most part moving rapidly from the estuary to freshwater.

A higher percentage of fish tagged after July 1, are recaptured during the fall than in the month of tagging (Table 37), yet most of them are recaptured in the angling fishery during the summer (Table 38). Some fish entering the river after July, do delay entry into freshwater compared to June fish. Nevertheless, the angling recapture date indicates that the majority of fish move into freshwater soon after tagging (Table 38).

Thus, an initial early run target could be based on the rearing area in the sanctuary and compared to fish entering the river at the estuary by July 15.

Rearing areas have been summarized for the upper section of the Margaree River by tributary, the main river from Ross Bridge to Calumruadh Brook, and the upper stretches of the sanctuary area (Table 6). An initial estimate of rearing area above the sanctuary can be made by using the proportion of the length of the main river that is above the sanctuary to the survey limit and adding up the tributary rearing areas. The key landmarks are Ross Bridge at kilometre 28 , the
sanctuary at kilometre 36 , and Calumruadh Brook at kilometre 50 (Fig. 17). Adding the tributary areas and upper reaches of the sanctuary area to the proportion of the survey area above the sanctuary from Ross Bridge provides an estimate of 6,523 rearing units or about $23 \%$ of the rearing area of the entire Margaree River system (Table 6). This rearing area would correspond to an early requirement of fish in the estuary by July 15 of 242 large salmon and 136 small salmon.

These trapnet efficiencies can be used to estimate numbers of fish returning by time period and to determine if early targets (242 large and 136 small) as defined above have been met in recent years. Using this method, spawning requirements of small salmon and large salmon in the estuary by July 15 would have been met in 1992 and 1993 but not 1994 (Table 39) and there could have been some harvest of salmon from this portion of the run. In 1994, early small and large salmon were below proposed target requirements but large numbers came into the river at the end of August.

## RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Can logbooks provide an independent abundance estimate?
2. Investigate the year-class effect on small salmon returns.
3. Compare sea-survival from Lake O'Law smolts to other rivers.
4. What is the effect of including years prior to the closure of the commercial fishery in the stock recruitment curve.
5. A counting fence in the sanctuary area would help in setting and managing early run targets.
6. The management objective needs to be set and targets re-evaluated.

## ACRNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank John Peppar and an anonymous reviewer for helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

Chaput, G.J. and R.R. Claytor. 1988. Sport catch of Atlantic salmon from Margaree River, Nova Scotia, 1947 to 1987. Can. Data Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. No. 678. iv+50p.

Chaput, G.J. and R.R. Claytor. 1989. Electrofishing surveys for Atlantic salmon from Margaree River, Nova Scotia, 1957 to 1987. Can. Data Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. No. 736. iv+76p.

Chaput, G. and R. Jones. 1991. Assessment of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) in the Margaree River, Nova Scotia 1990. CAFSAC Res. Doc. 91/3. 31p.

Chaput, G.J. and R. Jones. 1992. A stock-recruit relationship for MSW salmon from the Margaree River. CAFSAC Res. Doc. 92/124. 17p.

Chaput, G., R. Jones, and L. Forsyth. 1992. Assessment of Atlantic salmon in the Margaree River, Nova Scotia 1991. CAFSAC Res. Doc. 92/26. 40p.

Chaput, G., R. Jones, L. Forsyth, and P. LeBlanc. 1993. Assessment of Atlantic salmon in the Margaree River, Nova Scotia 1992. DFO Atlantic Fisheries Res. Doc. 93/14. 39p.

Chaput, G., R. Jones, L. Forsyth, and P. LeBlanc. 1994. Assessment of the Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) stock of the Margaree River, Nova Scotia, 1993. DFO Atlantic Fisheries Research Document 94/6.

Claytor, R.R. and E.M.P. Chadwick, 1985. Assessment of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar), in the Margaree River, Nova Scotia, 1985. CAFSAC Res. Doc. 85/103. 25p.

Claytor, R.R., G.J. Chaput, and T.G. Lutzac. 1987. Assessment of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar), in the Margaree River, 1987. CAFSAC Res. Doc. 87/105. 36p.

Claytor, R.R. and G.J. Chaput. 1988. Assessment of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar), in the Margaree River, 1988. CAFSAC Res. Doc. 88/75. 43p.

Claytor, R.R. and R. Jones. 1990. Assessment of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar), in the Margaree River, 1989. CAFSAC Res. Doc. 90/27. 22p.

Claytor, R.R. and C. Léger. 1986. Assessment of Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar, in the Margaree River, Nova Scotia, 1986. CAFSAC Res. Doc. 86/93. 21p.

Claytor, R.R., R. Jones, P. LeBlanc, and G. Chaput. 1995. Mainland Gulf Nova Scotia Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) stock status, 1994. DFO Atlantic Fisheries Research Document. (in press).

Elson, P.F. 1975. Atlantic salmon rivers. Smolt production and optimal spawning - an overview of natural production. Int. Atlantic Sal. Found. Spec. Public. Ser. 6:96. 119.

Gazey, W.J. and M.J. Staley. 1986. Population estimation from mark-recapture experiments using a sequential bayes algorithm. Ecology 67:941-951.

Gray, R.W. and E.M.P. Chadwick. 1984. Assessment of Margaree River salmon stocks in 1983. CAFSAC Res. Doc. 84/36. 11p.

Hilborn, R. and C.J. Walters. 1992. Quantative fisheries stock assessment: choice, dynamics, and uncertainty. Chapman-Hall.

Marshall, T.L. 1982. Background and management alternatives for salmon of the Margaree River: a working document for the selection of stock enhancement strategies. Fisheries and Oceans, Halifax, NS. Mimeo. 117pp.

O'Neil, S.F., D.A. Stewart, K.A. Newbould, and R. Pickard. 1991. 1988 Atlantic salmon sport catch statistics - Maritime provinces. Can. Data Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. No. 852: 79p.

MARGAREE SALMON STOCK STATUS WORKSHOP

December 12, 1994
Visitor Interpretation Centre

## Participants:

| Blair Bernard | Aboriginal Fisheries Service |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fabien Francis | Aboriginal Fisheries Service |
| Peter Marshall | Aboriginal Fisheries Service |
| Anthony Pierro | Aboriginal Fisheries Service |
| Ray Prosper | Aboriginal Fisheries Service |
| Lewis Hinks | Atlantic Salmon Federation |
| Lynda Calvert | Cape Breton SFAC |
| Harry Vickers | Cape Breton Anglers Assoc. |
| Allister Marshall | Chapel Island Fishery |
| Wes Barrington | DFO - C and P |
| Leonard Forsyth | DFO - Science |
| John Hart | Margaree Salmon Assoc. |
| Carl Ross | Margaree Salmon Assoc. |
| Darryl Muuant | Nova Scotia Dept. of Fisheries |
| Tim Lutzac | DFO - Science |
| Paul LeBlanc | DFO - Science |
| Ross Claytor | DFO - Science |
| Ross Jones | DFO - Science |

## Landings:

- Angling exploitation rates on large salmon are high (30 to 60\%) when compared to mark-recapture techniques. This is likely the result of multiple recaptures.


## Target:

- How to determine a target for summer fish was discussed. A preliminary look at location of summer and fall recaptures indicated a great deal of overlap in location of capture for fish tagged from June to August compared to those from September and October up to the sanctuary area. It was suggested that stream gradient may provide an initial indication of where spatial separation among summer and fall fish may occur.
- A fence in the sanctuary area would be useful for determining the relative use of this area by summer and fall salmon. Different coloured carlin tags would be useful for sorting this out during snorkel counts
- Estimating smolts produced in the sanctuary area by mark-recapture would assist in defining the summer run target
- A habitat survey done from Ingram Bridge to the Forks may be useful in adjusting estimates of rearing area
- Discussion concerning the overall target for the river centred around how natural mortality of adults and smolts was affected by density. Spawning escapements were high in the last four years and will help define the shape of the stock recruitment curve at high densities and how high densities affect adult and smolt survival. These points will be critical for determining the carrying capacity of the river under present conditions.


## Data:

- Tag loss is estimated from an experiment in which fish collected for broodstock were tagged and held in the hatchery. There was concern that tag loss may be higher in the wild than in a controlled environment like hatchery tanks
- Fish with tagging scars are noted at the Lake o'Law fence and are counted as tagged fish. These could be used to estimate tag loss. There was concern that fish tagged early in the season could lose their tags and the scar would heal by the time these fish passed through the counting fence
- Electrofishing above the Lake o'Law fence would provide information on juvenile status related to spawning escapement
- Additional electrofishing sites could help to define spawning distribution and serve as a check on the population estimate trends
- Lake o'Law fence would also be a good place to count redds above the fence to verify this technique


## Stock Status:

- An attempt was made to define the summer run. The committee felt that salmon entering in June and July should be considered summer run fish. It was suggested that a breakdown of when salmon were first tagged and later recaptured in the trapnet could indicate where a definition of summer fish could be made.
- Variability in summer discharge was felt to make August fish a mixture of summer and fall salmon
- There was interest in learning more about the stock status of the Southwest branch
- Kelt survival seems to be lower on the Margaree River than other systems, a sampling program looking at condition of kelts may help explain this, as well as a comparison of other river systems where kelt survival is high such as the Miramichi River.


## Additional Issues:

- ZMAC meetings are necessary to provide a forum for discussing management issues.


## Minutes of Peer Review Diadromous Stocks February 7-10, 1995

Review Committee:
Chadwick, Mike (Chair), Chief, Marine and Anadromous Fish Division, Gulf Region

1. Caron, François, Biologiste, Ministère de l'Environnement et de la faune, Direction de la faune et des habitats, 150, boul. René-Lévesque Est, Québec, Québec
2. Chiasson, Alyre, doyen, Faculté des sciences, Université de Moncton, Moncton, NB
3. Clay, Doug, Canadian Heritage, Fundy National Park, Alma, NB
4. Cunjak, Rick, Research Scientist, Habitat Ecology Section, Environmental Studies, DFO, Gulf Region
5. Davis, Anthony, Professor, Department of Sociology and Anthropology, St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish, NS
6. Dempson, Brian, Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Centre, DFO, Newfoundland Region, St. John's, Nfld
7. Hutchings, Jeff, Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Centre, DFO, Newfoundland Region, St. John's Nfld
8. Myles, Wes, NB Sportfishery Board, Doaktown, NB
9. O'Neil, Shane, Biologist, Freshwater and Anadromous Division, DFO, S-F Region
10. Simon, Vincent, Chief, Big Cove First Nation, Big Cove, NB
11. Wheaton, Fred, New Brunswick Wildlife Federation, Moncton, NB
12. Whoriskey, Fred, Atlantic Salmon Federation, St. Andrews, NB

## A. Margaree salmon

1. Description of fishery
(a) Assessment was very well written
(b) A brief history of the fishery could be included in the assessment.
2. Target
(a) Perhaps the estimated target should be used instead of 2.4 .
3. Inputs
(a) Should the minimum estimate for the 1990 spawning be used in the forecast model? How else can uncertainty in point estimates be incorporated into the forecast model?
(b) Years should be identified on the stock-recruit plots.
(c) State the proportion of hatchery parr in electrofishing densities.
(d) The 1994 returns of grilse were the lowest in the past 10 years. This should be stated.
(e) Provide overview of uncertainty around the 1990 estimate of returns. Examine percent of hatchery returns in 1990. Look at CAFSAC subcommittee report.
4. Model
(a) When parr densities in previous years are linked to egg depositions, there is some support for the Beverton Holt stock-recruit curve. This could be investigated further.
(b) Is it appropriate to include data prior to closure of commercial fisheries in 1984 because recruit to spawner ratios may have been lower during years of the commercial fisheries.
5. Synopsis
(a) Mention that the recovery of tags in the upper river is unlikely to be biased by higher angling effort.
(b) Could the logbook anglers be better interpreted as an independent index of abundance?
(c) June discharge, 17 of the past 19 years have been below the mean. This may be due to clearcutting 20 years ago.
(d) State clearly that this stock appears healthy.
(e) Include the role of hatchery in the stock meeting spawning requirements was $90 \%$.
(f) What is proportion wild grilse in 1994?
(g) Forecast for 1995 needs to be explained in terms of caution.
6. Research recommendations
(a) Sea survival of hatchery smolts should be tabulated and compared to other watersheds.
(b) The logbook program on Margaree River should be expanded to other watersheds.
(c) Proportion repeat spawners should be summarized in future assessments.
(d) There appears to be a strong year-class effect on the return of small salmon. This could be explored further for the entier data set.
7. Other issues
(a) What is the reason for the success on Margaree River?
(b) Should there be any concern about the status of the early run? Have there been changes in the early part of the season? The proposed early-run target needs to be discussed with clients; it may take $3-4$ years to refine.

## Participants

1. Atkinson, Gary, Technician, Anadromous Fishes, Southern NB, Gulf Region
2. Amiro, Peter, Biologist, Salmon Assessment and Enhancement Research, S-F Region
3. Biron, Michel, Technician, Anadromous Fishes, Miramichi River, Gulf Region 4. Chadwick, Mike, Chief, Marine and Anadromous Fish Division, Gulf Region
4. Chaput, Gérald, Biologist, Anadromous Fishes, Miramichi River, Gulf Region
5. Claytor, Ross, Section Head, Pelagics, Gulf Region
6. Cutting, Dick, Section Head, Stock Assessment and.Enhancement, S-F Region
7. Harvie, Carolyn, Computer Services Coordinator, S-F Region
8. Jessop, Brian, Biologist, Non-Salmon Assessment
9. Jones, Ross, Technician, Anadromous Fishes, Gulf NS, Gulf Region
10. LeBlanc, Paul, Technician, Anadromous Fishes, Gulf NS, Gulf Region
11. Locke, Andrea, Scientist, Anadromous Fishes, Chaleur Bay \& Southern NB, Gulf R.
12. Lutzac, Tim, Biologist, Aboriginal Fisheries, Gulf Region
13. Marshall, Dr. Larry, Biologist, Salmon Assessment, S-F Region
14. Moore, Dave, Technician, Anadromous Fishes, Miramichi River, Gulf Region
15. Mowbray, Fran, Technician, Anadromous Fishes, Chaleur Bay, Gulf Region
16. Pickard, Russell, Technician, Anadromous Fishes, Chaleur Bay, Gulf Region
17. Ritter, John, Division Chief, Freshwater and Anadromous Division, S-F Region

Appendix 1. Simulation program to investigate sampling strategy and level or mark-recapture experiments.

```
' markrec6.bas
- produces population estimates based on mark-recaptures
' assuming given percentage of being captured
' and recaptured.
1 no breakdown according to run-timing
CLS
    pop = 4000
    TrapProb = . 2
    RecProb = . 2
    nosim = 100
    bpop = 10 , number of intervals in histogram
    DIM PopDat (pop, 3)
    DIM n(nosim), pertag(nosim), perrec(nosim)
    DIM bin(bpop + 2), nbin(bpop + 1)
    DIM x (bpop + 2), y(bpop + 1)
    DIM mbin(bpop + 1)
' OPEN "c:\markrec\popchk.prn" FOR OUTPUT AS #1
    OPEN "c:\markrec\exp2000.prn" FOR APPEND AS #2
    OPEN "c:\markrec\exp2000h.prn" FOR APPEND AS #3
    OPEN "c:\markrec\plot2000.prn" FOR APPEND AS #4
FOR tp = 1 TO 7
    IF tp = 1 THEN TrapProb = . 01
    IF tp = 2 THEN TrapProb = . 02
    IF tp = 3 THEN TrapProb = .04
    IF tp = 4 THEN TrapProb = .08
    IF tp = 5 THEN TrapProb = . 16
    IF tp = 6 THEN TrapProb = . 32
    IF tp = 7 THEN TrapProb = . 64
FOR rp = 1 TO 7
    IF rp = 1 THEN RecProb = . 01
    IF rp = 2 THEN RecProb = .02
    IF rp = 3 THEN RecProb = . 04
    IF rp = 4 THEN RecProb = . 08
    IF rp = 5 THEN RecProb = . 16
    IF rp = 6 THEN RecProb = . 32
    IF rp = 7 THEN RecProb = . 64
FOR sim = 1 TO nosim
' initialize population
FOR p = 1 TO pop
    FOR r = 1 TO 
        IF r = 1 THEN
            PopDat(p,r) = p
        END IF
        IF r >= 2 THEN
            PopDat(p, r) = 0
        END IF
'PRINT PopDat(p, r),
    NEXT r
' PRINT
NEXT p
'INPUT Y$
1 check trap and see if recaptured
FOR i = 1 TO pop
```

```
    IF RND <= TrapProb THEN
    PopDat(i, 2) = 1.
    END IF
    IF RND <= RecProb THEN
        PopDat(i, 3) = 1
    END IF
NEXT i
' print trap record
FOR p = 1 TO pop
    FOR r = 1 TO 3
        PRINT PopDat(p, r),
    NEXT r
' PRINT
'WRITE #1, PopDat(p, 1), PopDat(p, 2), PopDat(p, 3)
NEXT P
! sum tags
tags = 0: recap = 0: tagrec = 0
FOR p = 1 TO pop
    tags = tags + PopDat(p, 2)
    recap = recap + PopDat(p, 3)
    IF PopDat (p, 2) = 1 AND PopDat (p, 3) = 1 THEN
        tagrec = tagrec + 1
    END IF
NEXT p
- pop estimate
n(sim) = (((tags + 1)*(recap + 1)) / (tagrec + 1)) - 1
1 check perc tags and recap
pertag(sim) = tags / pop
perrec(sim) = recap / pop
' residual from pop
res = n(sim) - pop
perres = (n(sim) - pop) / pop
'PRINT sim; pop; tags; tagrec; recap; n(sim); pertag(sim); perrec(sim); res; perres
WRITE #2, sim, pop, TrapProb, RecProb, tags, tagrec, recap, n(sim), pertag(sim), perrec(sim), res,
perres
LOCATE 23, 1
PRINT "simulation", sim
NEXT sim
CLS
- calculate mean n, mean per tagged, mean per recap
SUMN = 0: sumT = 0: sumR = 0
FOR sim = 1 TO nosim
    sumN = sumN + n(sim)
    sumT = sumT + pertag(sim)
    sumR = sumR + perrec(sim)
NEXT sim
meanN = sumN / nosim
meanT = sumT / nosim
meanR = sumR / nosim
'PRINT "meanN", meanN; "meanT", meanT; "meanR", meanR
WRITE #4, pop, meanN, resN, TrapProb, RecProb
```

```
'INPUT Y$
' set up lower level of each bin for histogram
- calc bin size based on number of intervals
binc = pop * 2 / bpop
bin(1) = 0
bin(2) = binc / 2
bin(bpop + 2) = 1000000
FOR k = 3 TO bpop + 1
    bin(k) = bin(k - 1) + binc
NEXT k
'print bins
PRINT "bing"
FOR k = 1 TO bpop + 2
' PRINT k, bin(k)
NEXT k
'INPUT Y$
'Initialize bins to zero
FOR k = 1 TO bpop + 1
    nbin(k) = 0
NEXT k
' fill bins
FOR sim = 1 TO nosim
    FOR k = 1 TO bpop + 1
        IF n(sim) >= bin(k) AND n(sim) < bin(k + 1) THEN
            nbin(k) = nbin(k) + 1
            EXIT FOR
        END IF
    NEXT k
'PRINT sim, n(sim)
'INPUT Y$
NEXT sim
1 check counts in each bin and write to file
PRINT "bin", " nbin", " sumbin", " maxbin"
sumbin = 0: maxbin = -1
FOR k=1 TO bpop + 1
    sumbin = sumbin + nbin(k)
    IF nbin(k) > maxbin THEN maxbin = nbin(k)
    PRINT bin(k), nbin(k), sumbin, maxbin
    WRITE #3, pop, meanN, TrapProb, RecProb, bin(k), nbin(k), sumbin
NEXT k
'INPUT Y$
CLS
SCREEN }
xs = 40: xm = 640: ys = 290: ym = 50
x(1) = xs
FOR k = 2 TO bpop + 2
    x(k) = x(k - 1) + xm / (bpop + 2)
NEXT k
FOR k = 1 TO bpop + 1
```

```
    y(k) = ys - (ys - ym) * nbin(k) / maxbin
'PRINT y(k)
NEXT k
FOR k = 1 TO bpop + 1
- PRINT k, x(k), y(k)
    LINE (x(k), ys)-(x(k + 1),y(k)), 2, BF
NEXT k
- calc midpoints
mbin(1) = 0
FOR k = 2 TO bpop + 1
    mbin(k) = mbin(k - 1) + binc
NEXT k
LOCATE 2, 10
PRINT "True Population = ", pop
LOCATE 3, 10
PRINT "Mean Population = ", meanN
LOCATE 2, 45
PRINT "Trap Prob = "; TrapProb
LOCATE 3, 45
PRINT "Recap Prob = "; RecProb
LOCATE 5, 1
PRINT "Max Count = "
LOCATE 6, 1
PRINT maxbin
LOCATE 22, 6
PRINT mbin(1)
LOCATE 22, 41
PRINT pop
LOCATE 22, 75
PRINT pop * 2
LOCATE 24, 35
PRINT "Midpoint"
'INPUT Y$
NEXT rp
NEXT tp
'CLOSE #1
CLOSE #2
CLOSE #3
CLOSE #4
```

Table 1. River sections of the Margaree River, Inverness County, Nova Scotia. Updated to include new pools since (Chaput 1988).

| River Soction | km from Breakwater | Length of Section (km) | Angling Pools Within Section | Distinguishing features |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 6.50 | 1.50 | Chapel, Barracks, Ram Island, Long Marsh, Tidal | Upper limit of average tidal influence. |
| B | 8.00 | 5.25 | Tippy Toes, Lower Thompkins, Seal, Gillis Island, Big McDaniel, Rift, Snag, Long, Short, Dollar, Hut | Lower pools above head of tide and below confluence of southwest and northeast Margaree branches. |
| C | 13.25 | 0.50 | Thornbush, Forks | Confluence of southwest and northeast Margaree. |
| Z | 13.75 | 21.00 | Noon, Red Bank, Martin Camerons, Peter McFarlanes, Carrols, Camerons, Collins, Peter Gillis', McDonnell, Gillis, Black Angus | Above the confluence of southwest Margaree branch up to Scotsville bridge. |
| D | 13.75 | 4.75 | Barrack, Libbus, Doyles Bridge, Point, Upper Thompkins, Tanner, Wash, Etheridge, Garden, Brook | Upstream of Margaree Forks to the mouth of Big Brook. |
| $E$ | 18.50 | 1.25 | Brush, Corner, Shepard's Rock, Little McDaniel, Swimming Hole | Between Big Brook and Lake O'Law Brook . |
| F | 19.75 | 4.25 | Plaster Rock, Lairds, Sheardam, Swallow Bank, Rock Pile, Cranton Bridge, Faheys, Crowdis | Between Lake O'Law Brook and Nile Brook. |
| G | 24.00 | 3.00 | Redbank, Sweetharts, Harts, Ingram Bridge, Rock, Whitley, Hatchery, Ledges, Cliff | Between Nile Brook and Ingram Brook. |
| H | 27.00 | 6.00 | Morrison, Slide, Marsh Brook, Jim Easter, Boars Back, Maple, Tingleys Rock, Coady Brook, Ross Bridge, Chance, Tent, Black Rock | Upper valley pools accessible from main paved road, above Ingraham Bridge. |
| 1 | 33.00 | 6.00 | Old Bridge, Wards Rock, Skye Lodge, Cemetery | Pools accessible from Big Intervale road, below Big Intervale Bridge. |
| Sanc. | 39.00 | 15.50 | McKenzie, Big Intervale, First Brook, McLeods, Marsh, Second Brook, Rocky, McKay, Blue, Reed, Third Brook | Headwaters of northeast Margaree, above Big Intervale Bridge. |

Table 2. Summary of the First Nation salmon allocations, gear type, and seasons for the Margaree River, 1994.

| First Nation | Allocation |  | Gear Type | Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large |  |  |
| Eskasoni | 6 | 30 | Trapnet, Angling, and Seining * | June 1 - Aug 31 |
|  | 20 | 100 | Trapnet, Angling, and Seining * | Sept 1 - Oct 31 |
| Chapel Island | 6 | 30 | Trapnet, Angling, and Seining * | June 1 - Aug 31 |
|  | 20 | 100 | Trapnet, Angling, and Seining * | Sept 1-Oct 31 |
| Membertou | 6 | 30 | Trapnet, Angling, and Seining * | June 1 - Aug 31 |
|  | 20 | 100 | Trapnet, Angling, and Seining * | Sept 1 - Nov 30 |
| Wagmatcook | 6 | 30 | Trapnet, Angling, and Seining * | June 1 - Aug 31 |
|  | 20 | 100 | Trapnet, Angling, and Seining * | Sept 1 - Oct 31 |
| Waycobah | 6 | 30 | Trapnet, Angling, and Seining * | June 1 - Aug 31 |
|  | 20 | 100 | Trapnet, Angling, and Seining * | Sept 1 - Oct 31 |
| Summer | 30 | 150 | Trapnet, Angling, and Seining * | June 1 - Aug 31 |
| Fall | 100 | 500 | Trapnet, Angling, and Seining * | Sept 1 - Oct 31 |
| Total Season: | 130 | 650 | Trapnet, Angling, and Seining * | June 1-Oct 31 |

* Seining would take place only if sufficient numbers could not be obtained using the other two methods.

Table 3. First Nation harvests by the Wagmatcook and Membertou First Nations on the Margaree River for 1993 and 1994. Welght is in kllograms.

| Year | First Nation | Small |  |  | Large |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sex | No. | Wt. | Sex | No. | Wt. |
| 1993 | Wagmatcook | Female | 0 | 0 | Female | 39 | 208.7 |
|  |  | Male | 8 | 12.7 | Male | 17 | 78.4 |
|  |  | Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | Unknown | 2 | 10.0 |
|  |  | Total | 8 | 12.7 | Total | 58 | 297.1 |
| 1994 | Wagmatcook | Female | 0 | 0 | Female | 28 | 141.5 |
|  |  | Male | 12 | 19.8 | Male | 16 | 71.2 |
|  |  | Total | 12 | 19.8 | Total | 44 | 212.7 |
|  | Membertou | Female | 0 | 0 | Female | 5 | 24.7 |
|  |  | Male | 2 | 4.3 | Male | 1 | 4.7 |
|  |  | Total | 2 | 4.3 | Total | 6 | 29.4 |
|  | Total Harvests | Female | 0 | 0 | Female | 33 | 166.2 |
|  |  | Male | 14 | 24.1 | Male | 17 | 75.9 |
|  |  | Total | 14 | 24.1 | Total | 50 | 242.1 |

Note - The 1994 harvests occurred from Sept. 17 until Oct. 25.

Table 4. Salmon angling catch on Margaree River (1947-1994) as complled by Department of Fisheries and Oceans fisheries officers (DFO statistics).

| Year | Small | Large |  |  | Unsized | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Retained | Released | Total |  |  |
| 1947 | 36 | 363 |  |  | 1 | 400 |
| 1948 | 106 | 704 |  |  | . | 810 |
| 1949 | 41 | 332 |  |  | 9 | 382 |
| 1950 | 111 | 320 |  |  | 8 | 439 |
| 1951 | 21 | 424 |  |  | 25 | 470 |
| 1952 | 83 | 204 |  |  | 4 | 291 |
| 1953 | 49 | 291 |  |  | 8 | 348 |
| 1954 | 68 | 298 |  |  | 10 | 376 |
| 1955 | 53 | 258 |  |  | . | 311 |
| 1956 | 28 | 90 |  |  | 1 | 119 |
| 1957 | 36 | 136 |  |  |  | 172 |
| 1958 * | N/A | N/A |  |  | . | 334 |
| 1959 * | N/A | N/A |  |  |  | 235 |
| 1960 * | N/A | N/A |  |  |  | 140 |
| 1961 | 29 | 49 |  |  | 11 | 89 |
| 1962 | 46 | 410 |  |  | . | 456 |
| 1963 | 87 | 212 |  |  |  | 299 |
| 1964 | 120 | 289 |  |  | . | 409 |
| 1965 | 86 | 254 |  |  | . | 340 |
| 1966 | 92 | 165 |  |  |  | 257 |
| 1967 | 98 | 265 |  |  | 8 | 371 |
| 1968 | 64 | 198 |  |  | 6 | 268 |
| 1969 | 214 | 139 |  |  | 6 | 359 |
| 1970 | 85 | 215 |  |  | 3 | 303 |
| 1971 | 21 | 94 |  |  | . | 115 |
| 1972 | 42 | 105 |  |  | - | 147 |
| 1973 | 166 | 117 |  |  | . | 283 |
| 1974 | 60 | 107 |  |  | . | 167 |
| 1975 | 36 | 64 |  |  | . | 100 |
| 1976 | 96 | 82 |  |  | - | 178 |
| 1977 | 69 | 140 |  |  | 1 | 210 |
| 1978 | 25 | 158 |  |  |  | 183 |
| 1979 | 597 | 62 | 19 | 81 | 8 | 686 |
| 1980 | 167 | 138 | 2 | 140 | 11 | 318 |
| 1981 | 899 | 105 | 34 | 139 | 11 | 1049 |
| 1982 | 691 | 103 | 76 | 179 | 1 | 871 |
| 1983 | 68 | 107 | 42 | 149 | 4 | 221 |
| 1984 | 148 | 12 | 109 | 121 |  | 269 |
| 1985 | 223 | 0 | 312 | 312 | 1 | 536 |
| 1986 | 295 | 0 | 754 | 754 | . | 1049 |
| 1987 | 353 | 0 | 408 | 408 | . | 761 |
| 1988 | 435 | 0 | 580 | 580 | . | 1015 |
| 1989 | 179 | 0 | 244 | 244 | . | 423 |
| 1990 @ | 208 | 0 | 314 | 314 | . | 522 |
| 1991 @ | 246 | 0 | - | - | . | 246 |
| 1992 @ | 236 | 0 | - | - | . | 236 |
| 1993 @ | 272 | 0 | - | - | . | 272 |
| 1994 @ | 175 | 0 | - | - | - | 175 |
| Mean (89-93) +/- Mean | $\begin{array}{r} 228 \\ -23.31 \% \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

*     - Information regarding small and large salmon for 1958-1960 are not available.
@ - Note: Season was extended from October 15 to October 31.

Table 5. Annual summaries of catch and effort for Gulf Shore Cape Breton rivers from 1984-94 using license stub returns.
Aean $=(1989$ to 1993). The 1994 data is prellminary.

| Year | River | No. Angler | Small |  | Large |  | Unk. Obs. | Total |  | Rods |  | CPUE | \% Large |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Obs. | Est. | Obs. | Est. |  | Obs. | Est. | Obs. | Est. |  |  |
| Cheticamp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  | 35 | 2 | 2 | 36 | 37 | 0 | 38 | 39 | 132 | 148 | 0.288 | 94.7 |
| 1985 |  | 24 | 15 | 15 | 52 | 53 | 0 | 67 | 68 | 170 | 182 | 0.394 | 77.6 |
| 1986 |  | 34 | 4 | 4 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 54 | 54 | 108 | 114 | 0.500 | 92.6 |
| 1987 |  | 37 | 7 | 7 | 59 | 60 | 0 | 66 | 67 | 124 | 131 | 0.532 | 89.4 |
| 1988 |  | 28 | 1 | 1 | 37 | 43 | 0 | 38 | 45 | 105 | 127 | 0.362 | 97.4 |
| 1989 |  | 33 | 6 | 7 | 116 | 140 | 0 | 122 | 148 | 237 | 296 | 0.515 | 95.1 |
| 1990 |  | 23 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 56 | 0 | 44 | 56 | 107 | 140 | 0.411 | 100.0 |
| 1991 |  | 29 | 6 | 8 | 81 | 104 | 0 | 87 | 112 | 212 | 281 | 0.410 | 93.1 |
| 1992 |  | 30 | 15 | 19 | 55 | 69 | 0 | 70 | 88 | 132 | 171 | 0.530 | 78.6 |
| 1993 |  | 47 | 15 | 21 | 27 | 37 | 0 | 42 | 58 | 149 | 170 | 0.282 | 64.3 |
| 1994 |  | 21 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 18 | 65 | 88 | 0.215 | 57.1 |
|  | +/-1993 | -55\% | -60\% | -62\% | -70\% | .73\% | . | -67\% | -69\% | -56\% | -48\% | -24\% | -11\% |
|  | +/-Mean | -35\% | -29\% | -27\% | -88\% | -88\% | . | -81\% | -81\% | -61\% | -58\% | -50\% | -34\% |
| Mabou |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.000 |  |
| 1985 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . | . |
| 1986 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 18 | 19 | 0 | 21 | 22 | 12 | 13 | 1.750 | 85.7 |
| 1987 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.000 | . |
| 1988 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0.000 | . |
| 1989 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 1990 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 16 | 0.000 | . |
| 1991 |  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 0.571 | 50.0 |
| 1992 |  | 5 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 18 | 0 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 26 | 1.000 | 70.0 |
| 1993 |  | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 13 | 0.455 | 60.0 |
| 1994 |  | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 20 | 27 | 0.150 | 33.3 |
|  | +/-1993 | 0\% | 0\% | 50\% | -67\% | -75\% | . | -40\% | -33\% | 82\% | 108\% | -67\% | -45\% |
|  | +/. Mean | 43\% | 0\% | 15\% | -74\% | .80\% | . | -48\% | -44\% | 100\% | 111\% | -63\% | -8\% |
| Margaree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  | 678 | 233 | 242 | 293 | 305 | 4 | 530 | 551 | 5952 | 6665 | 0.089 | 55.7 |
| 1985 |  | 793 | 473 | 509 | 1130 | 1215 | 3 | 1606 | 1724 | 7324 | 7824 | 0.219 | 70.5 |
| 1986 |  | 1131 | 748 | 782 | 2522 | 2636 | 2 | 3272 | 3420 | 9724 | 10232 | 0.336 | 77.1 |
| 1987 |  | 1441 | 925 | 977 | 1757 | 1857 | 0 | 2682 | 2834 | 12165 | 12887 | 0.220 | 65.5 |
| 1988 |  | 1455 | 749 | 879 | 1647 | 1932 | 0 | 2396 | 2810 | 11582 | 14042 | 0.207 | 68.7 |
| 1989 |  | 1486 | 464 | 561 | 1298 | 1570 | 0 | 1762 | 2132 | 10594 | 13234 | 0.166 | 73.7 |
| 1990 |  | 1382 | 514 | 649 | 1193 | 1507 | 0 | 1707 | 2156 | 10789 | 14072 | 0.158 | 69.9 |
| 1991 |  | 1236 | 586 | 752 | 1370 | 1757 | 0 | 1956 | 2509 | 10142 | 13432 | 0.193 | 70.0 |
| 1992 |  | 1426 | 539 | 678 | 1541 | 1938 | 0 | 2080 | 2616 | 11483 | 14909 | 0.181 | 74.1 |
| 1993 |  | 1885 | 696 | 777 | 987 | 1102 | 0 | 1683 | 1879 | 13920 | 15863 | 0.121 | 58.6 |
| 1994 |  | 1273 | 331 | 434 | 1118 | 1466 | 0 | 1449 | 1900 | 9802 | 13270 | 0.148 | 77.2 |
|  | +/-1993 | -32\% | -52\% | -44\% | 13\% | 33\% | . | -14\% | 1\% | -30\% | -16\% | 22\% | 32\% |
|  | +/-Mean | -14\% | -41\% | -36\% | -13\% | .7\% | , | -21\% | -16\% | -14\% | -7\% | -10\% | 11\% |
| Guif Shore Cape Breton Island: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  | 714 | 235 | 244 | 329 | 342 | 4 | 568 | 590 | 6085 | 6814 | 0.093 | 58.3 |
| 1985 |  | 817 | 488 | 524 | 1182 | 1268 | 3 | 1673 | 1792 | 7494 | 8006 | 0.223 | 70.8 |
| 1986 |  | 1168 | 755 | 789 | 2590 | 2705 | 2 | 3347 | 3496 | 9844 | 10359 | 0.340 | 77.4 |
| 1987 |  | 1479 | 932 | 984 | 1816 | 1917 | 0 | 2748 | 2901 | 12290 | 13019 | 0.224 | 66.1 |
| 1988 |  | 1484 | 750 | 880 | 1684 | 1975 | 0 | 2434 | 2855 | 11691 | 14174 | 0.208 | 69.2 |
| 1989 |  | 1519 | 470 | 568 | 1414 | 1710 | 0 | 1884 | 2280 | 10831 | 13530 | 0.174 | 75.1 |
| 1990 |  | 1407 | 514 | 649 | 1237 | 1563 | 0 | 1751 | 2212 | 10908 | 14228 | 0.161 | 70.6 |
| 1991 |  | 1268 | 594 | 763 | 1453 | 1864 | 0 | 2047 | 2626 | 10361 | 13722 | 0.198 | 71.0 |
| 1992 |  | 1461 | 560 | 705 | 1610 | 2025 | 0 | 2170 | 2729 | 11635 | 15106 | 0.187 | 74.2 |
| 1993 |  | 1936 | 713 | 800 | 1017 | 1143 | 0 | 1730 | 1943 | 14080 | 16046 | -0.123 | 58.8 |
| 1994 |  | 1298 | 339 | 445 | 1127 | 1477 | 0 | 1466 | 1922 | 9887 | 13385 | 0.148 | 76.9 |
|  | +/-1993 | -33\% | -52\% | -44\% | 11\% | 29\% | . | -15\% | -1\% | -30\% | -17\% | 20\% | 31\% |
|  | +/-Mean | -15\% | -41\% | -36\% | -16\% | -11\% | . | -24\% | -18\% | -14\% | -8\% | -12\% | 10\% |

## Table 6. Bottom area of the Margaree River and tributaries utilizable by salmon

 (Marshall 1982).
## Main Margaree:

Gallant River to Margaree Forks ..... 3,433 1
Gallant River ..... 1,492
Sub-Total: ..... 4,925
Southwest Margaree:
Main Southwest ..... 4,463 1
Mount Pleasant Brook ..... 549 3
Matheson Glen Brook ..... 2452
Scotsville Brook ..... 133 2
Sub-Total: ..... 5,390
Northeast Margaree
Below Sanctuary:
Margaree Forks to Ross Bridge ..... 6,462 1,2
Ross Bridge to Big Intervale Bridge ..... 1,955 2
Big Brook ..... 612 3
Lake O'Law Brook ..... 972 з
Nile Brook ..... 225 3
Ingram Brook ..... 9123
Sub-Total: ..... 11,138
Above Sanctuary:
Big Intervale Bridge to Calumruadh Brook ..... 3,422 2
Calumruadh Brook to Second Forks Brook ..... 907 2
Main Branch ..... 754 2
North Branch ..... 321 2
Stewart Brook ..... 63 2
Forest Glen Brook ..... 511 2
Second Forks Brook ..... 95 з
Rocky Brook ..... 914
Coinneach Brook ..... 1452
Calumruadh Brook ..... 1792
Campbell Brook ..... 35 2
Sub-Total: ..... 6,523
Grand Total: ..... 27,976
Below Sanctuary ..... 21,453 ..... 0.77
Above Sanctuary ..... 6,523 ..... 0.23
MacEachern, 1955.
Surveyed 1976, 1977, 1978Spot surveys and profile maps.4 Profile maps.

Table 7. Estimation of spawner requirements for conservation target for the Margaree River.


Table 8. Weekly small salmon counts from Salmon Check In Program (SCIP) on the Margaree River for 1991-1994.

| Week | Year |  |  |  | +/-1993 | +/-Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |  |  |
| Jun 11 - Jun 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  | 200\% |
| Jun 18 - Jun 24 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 50\% | 13\% |
| Jun 25 - July 1 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 0 | -100\% | -100\% |
| July 2 - July 8 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 5 | -38\% | -12\% |
| July 9 - July 15 | 5 | 16 | 7 | 1 | -86\% | -89\% |
| July 16 - July 22 | 3 | 13 | 13 | 2 | -85\% | -79\% |
| July 23 - July 29 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 3 | -57\% | -40\% |
| July 30 - Aug 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | -67\% | -67\% |
| Aug 6-Aug 12 | 11 | 1 | 17 | 2 | -88\% | -79\% |
| Aug 13 - Aug 19 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 4 | -64\% | -40\% |
| Aug 20-Aug 26 | 12 | 4 | 18 | 2 | -89\% | -82\% |
| Aug 27-Sept 2 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 6 | -14\% | -10\% |
| Sept 3 - Sept 9 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | -33\% | -45\% |
| Sept 10 - Sept 16 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 200\% | 13\% |
| Sept 17 - Sept 23 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0\% | -53\% |
| Sept 24 - Sept 30 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | -100\% | -100\% |
| Oct 1 - Oct 7 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 0 | -100\% | -100\% |
| Oct 8 - Oct 14 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 0 | -100\% | -100\% |
| Oct 15 - Oct 21 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 3 | -40\% | -31\% |
| Oct 22 - Oct 28 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 0 | -100\% | -100\% |
| Oct 29 - Oct 31 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -100\% | -100\% |
| Total | 103 | 101 | 128 | 42 | -67\% | -62\% |

Table 9. Summary of effort, catch and CPUE from logbook anglers on Margaree River, 1991 to 1994.

| Year | Season | Month | Rods | Small |  | Large |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Catch | CPUE | Catch | CPUE | Catch | CPUE |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Summer | June | 80 | 3 | 0.038 | 13 | 0.163 | 16 | 0.200 |
|  |  | July | 71 | 1 | 0.014 | 3 | 0.042 | 4 | 0.056 |
|  |  | August | 98 | 9 | 0.092 | 4 | 0.041 | 13 | 0.133 |
|  | Sub-Total |  | 249 | 13 | 0.052 | 20 | 0.080 | 33 | 0.133 |
|  | Fall | September | 141 | 4 | 0.028 | 32 | 0.227 | 36 | 0.255 |
|  |  | Oct. 1-15 | 132 | 6 | 0.045 | 53 | 0.402 | 59 | 0.447 |
|  |  | Oct. 16-31 | 79 | 1 | 0.013 | 25 | 0.316 | 26 | 0.329 |
|  |  | Oct. 1-31 | 211 | 7 | 0.033 | 78 | 0.370 | 85 | 0.403 |
|  | Sub-Total |  | 352 | 11 | 0.031 | 110 | 0.313 | 121 | 0.344 |
|  | Total Season |  | 601 | 24 | 0.040 | 130 | 0.216 | 154 | 0.256 |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Summer | June | 134 | 2 | 0.015 | 2 | 0.015 | 4 | 0.030 |
|  |  | July | 204 | 16 | 0.078 | 12 | 0.059 | 28 | 0.137 |
|  |  | August | 157 | 29 | 0.185 | 16 | 0.102 | 45 | 0.287 |
|  | Sub-Total |  | 495 | 47 | 0.095 | 30 | 0.061 | 77 | 0.156 |
|  | Fall | September | 193 | 6 | 0.031 | 18 | 0.093 | 24 | 0.124 |
|  |  | Oct. 1-15 | 154 | 6 | 0.039 | 26 | 0.169 | 32 | 0.208 |
|  |  | Oct. 16-31 | 40 | 4 | 0.100 | 5 | 0.125 | 9 | 0.225 |
|  |  | Oct. 1-31 | 194 | 10 | 0.052 | 31 | 0.160 | 41 | 0.211 |
|  | Sub-Total |  | 387 | 16 | 0.041 | 49 | 0.127 | 65 | 0.168 |
|  | Total Season |  | 882 | 63 | 0.071 | 79 | 0.090 | 142 | 0.161 |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Summer | June | 117 | 6 | 0.051 | 3 | 0.026 | 9 | 0.077 |
|  |  | July | 185 | 28 | 0.151 | 40 | 0.216 | 68 | 0.368 |
|  |  | August | 162 | 10 | 0.062 | 20 | 0.123 | 30 | 0.185 |
|  | Sub-Total |  | 464 | 44 | 0.095 | 63 | 0.136 | 107 | 0.231 |
|  | Fall | September | 176 | 12 | 0.068 | 26 | 0.148 | 38 | 0.216 |
|  |  | Oct. 1-15 | 211 | 18 | 0.085 | 66 | 0.313 | 84 | 0.398 |
|  |  | Oct. 16-31 | 74 | 5 | 0.068 | 49 | 0.662 | 54 | 0.730 |
|  |  | Oct. 1-31 | 285 | 23 | 0.081 | 115 | 0.404 | 138 | 0.484 |
|  | Sub-Total |  | 461 | 35 | 0.076 | 141 | 0.306 | 176 | 0.382 |
|  | Total Season |  | 925 | 79 | 0.085 | 204 | 0.221 | 283 | 0.306 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Summer | June | 60 | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.050 | 3 | 0.050 |
|  |  | July | 101 | 9 | 0.089 | 10 | 0.099 | 19 | 0.188 |
|  |  | August | 186 | 16 | 0.086 | 32 | 0.172 | 48 | 0.258 |
|  | Sub-Total |  | 347 | 25 | 0.072 | 45 | 0.130 | 70 | 0.202 |
|  | Fall | September | 222 | 24 | 0.108 | 76 | 0.342 | 100 | 0.450 |
|  |  | Oct. 1-15 | 176 | 7 | 0.040 | 63 | 0.358 | 70 | 0.398 |
|  |  | Oct. 16-31 | 43 | 4 | 0.093 | 19 | 0.442 | 23 | 0.535 |
|  |  | Oct. 1-31 | 219 | 11 | 0.050 | 82 | 0.374 | 93 | 0.425 |
|  | Sub-Total |  | 441 | 35 | 0.079 | 158 | 0.358 | 193 | 0.438 |
|  | Total Season |  | 788 | 60 | 0.076 | 203 | 0.258 | 263 | 0.334 |

Table 10. Proportion wild and proportion hatchery of small and large salmon in the angling catches based on logbook reports.

| Year | Summer |  | Fall |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch | \% Wild | Catch | \% Wild | Catch | \% Wild |
| Small Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 37 | 43\% | 8 | 75\% | 45 | 49\% |
| 1990 | 37 | 81\% | 32 | 88\% | 69 | 84\% |
| 1991 | 26 | 54\% | 27 | 89\% | 53 | 72\% |
| 1992 | 42 | 55\% | 35 | 83\% | 77 | 68\% |
| 1993 | 43 | 56\% | 15 | 87\% | 58 | 64\% |
| 1994 | 13 | 69\% | 11 | 82\% | 24 | 75\% |
| Large Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 48 | 63\% | 41 | 90\% | 89 | 75\% |
| 1990 | 41 | 85\% | 42 | 90\% | 83 | 88\% |
| 1991 | 40 | 73\% | 107 | 93\% | 147 | 87\% |
| 1992 | 50 | 78\% | 120 | 92\% | 170 | 88\% |
| 1993 | 26 | 85\% | 46 | 91\% | 72 | 89\% |
| 1994 | 20 | 100\% | 96 | 94\% | 116 | 95\% |

Table 11. Historical monthly estuarian trapnet catches and fishing periods on the Margaree River 1988-1994. Refer to Figure 2 for trapnet locations.

| Trap | Small Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  | Large Salmon |  |  |  |  |  | Fishing Periods |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Tot | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Tot | Summer | Fall |
| Lower1 | 1988 | . | . |  | 68 | 31 | 99 |  |  |  | 41 | 74 | 115 |  | Sep 2 - Oct 23 |
|  | 1989 |  | . | 4 | 29 | 10 | 43 |  |  | 7 | 96 | 84 | 187 |  | Aug 28 - Oct 16 (1) |
|  | 1990 | 2 | . |  | 29 | 42 | 73 | 15 | 2 | . | 50 | 69 | 136 | Jun 5 - Jul 20 | Sep 4 - Oct 16 |
| Upper1 | 1988 | . |  | 18 | 64 | 16 | 98 | . | . | 3 | 30 | 49 | 82 |  | Aug 29 - Oct 22 (2) |
|  | 1989 | . |  |  | 31 | 10 | 41 |  |  |  | 98 | 71 | 169 |  | Aug 29 - Oct 16 (3) |
|  | 1990 |  | 5 |  | 40 | 45 | 90 |  | 1 |  | 89 | 76 | 166 | Jun 28 - Jul 26 | Sep 5-Oct 17 |
|  | 1991 | 1 | 8 | 30 |  |  | 39 | 5 | 6 | 32 |  |  | 43 | Jun 11 - Aug 28 (4) |  |
|  | 1992 | . | 3 |  | 19 | 46 | 68 |  | 9 |  | 68 | 201 | 278 | Jul 7 - Jul 26 | Aug 31-Oct 20 |
| Levis 1 | 1991 |  | 33 | 102 |  |  | 135 |  | 33 | 129 |  |  | 162 | Jul 6 - Aug 30 |  |
|  | 1992 | 10 | 23 | 18 | 37 | 73 | 161 | 17 | 48 | 60 | 149 | 329 | 603 | Jun 15 - Aug 31 | Sep 1 - Oct 14 |
|  | 1993 | 25 | 52 | 28 | 18 | 38 | 161 | 13 | 77 | 30 | 29 | 103 | 252 | Jun 14 - Aug 31 (5) | Sep 1 - Oct 18 |
|  | 1994 | 4 | 4 | 58 | 31 | 15 | 112 | 9 | 5 | 167 | 197 | 86 | 464 | Jun 13 - Aug 31 | Sep 1-Oct 22 (6) |
| Lower2 | 1993 | 10 | 34 | 26 | 7 | 11 | 88 | 9 | 43 | 31 | 8 | 31 | 122 | Jun 22 - Aug 31 | Sep 1 - Oct 18 (7) |

## Washouts or Non Fishing Periods:

-1- Sep 27 trapnet completely underwater.
-2- Sep 30 not set to try and correct seal problem.
-3- Sep 27 trap underwater, Oct 11 not able to reset because strong current.
-4- Jul 17 - Aug 2 trap was not set because of jellyfish and green algae.
-5- Aug 5-Aug 17 washout.
-6- Oct 2- Oct 6 washout.
-7. Aug 5 - Aug 9 washout.

Table 12. Counts at Levis trapnet and percentages of small \& large salmon returning during the summer, fall and entire season for each year the trap operated.

SMALL SALMON

| Year | Summer |  |  |  |  | Fall |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jun | Jul15 | Jul31 | Aug | Total | Sep | Oct | Total |  |
| Total catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | 10 | 15 | 8 | 18 | 51 | 37 | 73 | 110 | 161 |
| 93 | 25 | 14 | 38 | 28 | 105 | 18 | 38 | 56 | 161 |
| 94 | 4 | , | 3 | 58 | 66 | 31 | 15 | 46 | 112 |
| Percent of total run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 32 | 23 | 45 | 68 | 100 |
| 93 | 16 | 9 | 24 | 17 | 65 | 11 | 24 | 35 | 100 |
| 94 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 52 | 59 | 28 | 13 | 41 | 100 |
| Percent of season run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | 20 | 29 | 16 | 35 | 100 | 34 | 66 | 100 |  |
| 93 | 24 | 13 | 36 | 27 | . 100 | 32 | 68 | 100 |  |
| 94 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 88 | 100 | 67 | 33 | 100 |  |

LARGE SALMON


Table 13. Summary of tag recaptures from smolt and adult releases in the Margaree River 1986 to 1994.

| Release <br> Year | Stock | Stage | No. Tags Applled | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tag } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Series | Greenland |  |  |  |  |  | Newfoundland |  |  |  |  |  |  | Quebec Q9 | Total Returns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 18 | 1 C | 1D | $1 E$ | $1 F$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 14 |  |  |
| 1986 | Rocky Brook | $2+$ smolt | 7311 | CWT | $550 / 0$ |  | 2 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 1986 | Rocky Brook | $2+$ smolt | 3376 | CWT | 62 2/23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1986 | Rocky Brook | $2+$ smolt | 1992 | CWT | 62 /25 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 1987 | Lake O'Law | 1+ smolt | 995 | CWT | 55167 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1987 | Lake O'Law | $1+$ smolt | 1107 | CWT | $5516 / 8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1987 | Margaree River | $2+$ smolt | 10000 | CWT | 55 16/16 | a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1987 | Margaree River | $1+$ smolt | 8599 | CWT | $5516 / 16$ | a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1987 | Lake O'Law | 1+ smolt | 3080 | CWT | 55 16/17 | a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 1987 | Margaree River | $2+$ smolt | 933 | Carlin | P22200-P22299 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | P22500-P23199 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | P23300-P23499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1987 | Margaree River | smal//arge | 138 | Carlin | zz23000-zz23137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 |
| 1988 | Margaree River | $2+$ smolt | 4116 | CWT | $5516 / 12$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | small/arge | 340 | Carlin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zz23138-zz23299 } \\ & \text { zz23401-zz23581 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 5 |
| 1989 | Margaree River | small/arge | 425 | Carlin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zz23583-zz239999 } \\ & \text { zz23300-zz23309 } \end{aligned}$ | b |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 6 |
| 1990 | Margaree River | small/arge | 576 | Carlin | $\begin{aligned} & z z 23310-z z 23399 \\ & z z 24000-z z 24489 \end{aligned}$ | c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 1991 | Margaree River | small/arge | 494 | Carlin | zz24490-zz24799 zz24900-zz24999 zz35000-zz35087 | d |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| 1992 | Margaree River | small/arge | 1175 | Carlin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zz35088-zz35991 } \\ & \text { zz36000-zz36280 } \end{aligned}$ | e |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| 1993 | Margaree River | small/arge | 661 | Carlin | zz36281-2z36943 | f |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1994 | Margaree River | small/arge | 564 | Carlin | zz60000-zz60563 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

a - May also be Neisiguit River origin as same series used for those released.
b- Excluding tags 23950 and 23951.
c - Excluding tags 24287, 24443, and 24482
d - Excluding tags 24510, 24713, 24719, and 24763.
e - Excluding tags $35124,35213,35563,35569,35576,35787,36018,36019,36079$, and 36213.
f - Excluding tags 36727 and 36871.

Table 14. Summary of smolt ages of adult salmon sampled at trapnets on the Margaree River, 1990-93.

| Sea Age | Year | Number by Sampling Month |  |  |  |  |  | Smolt Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Tot | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 1990 | 0 | 3 | . | 57 | 67 | 127 | 64.6 | 35.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1991 | 0 | 14 | 94 |  |  | 108 | 52.8 | 45.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
|  | 1992 | 2 | 12. | 12 | 38 | 96 | 160 | 62.5 | 31.3 | 6.3 | 0.0 |
|  | 1993 | 6 | 36 | 38 | 18 | 42 | 140 | 52.1 | 39.3 | 7.9 | 0.7 |
|  | Total | 8 | 65 | 144 | 113 | 205 | 535 | 58.3 | 37.2 | 4.3 | 0.2 |
| 2 | 1990 | 5 | 1 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 80 | 85 | 171 | 69.6 | 28.1 | 2.3 | 0.0 |
|  | 1991 | 3 | 22 | 106 |  |  | 131 | 62.6 | 36.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
|  | 1992 | 10 | 31 | 41 | 156 | 320 | 558 | 55.7 | 43.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
|  | 1993 | 13 | 83 | 46 | 22 | 83 | 247 | 57.9 | 40.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
|  | Total | 31 | 137 | 193 | 258 | 488 | 1107 | 59.2 | 39.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 1990 | 0 | 0 |  | 6 | 21 | 27 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1991 | 0 | 1 | 2 | . |  | 3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1992 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 28 | 41 | 90.2 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1993 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 56 | 82 | 78.0 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Previous | 1990 | 1 | 0 |  | 14 | 14 | 29 | 86.2 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Spawners | 1991 | 2 | 1 | 12 |  |  | 15 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1992 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 39 | 57 | 75.4 | 22.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
|  | 1993 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 18 | 32 | 65.6 | 34.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Total | 5 | 13 | 17 | 27 | 71 | 133 | 74.4 | 24.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| All | 1990 | 6 | 4 |  | 157 | 187 | 354 | 69.8 | 29.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 1991 | 5 | 38 | 214 |  |  | 257 | 59.1 | 39.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
|  | 1992 | 13 | 49 | 59 | 212 | 483 | 816 | 60.2 | 37.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1993 | 20 | 128 | 88 | 44 | 150 | 430 | 55.8 | 40.7 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
|  | Total | 44 | 219 | 361 | 413 | 820 | 1857 | 60.9 | 37.1 | 2.0 | 0.1 |

Table 15. Summary of sea ages of wild and hatchery adult salmon sampled at trapnets on the Margaree River, 1990-93.

| Origin | Year | Number by Sampling Month |  |  |  |  |  | Sea Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Tot | 1 | 2 | 3 | PrevSp |
| Hatchery | 1990 | 7 | 3 |  | 13 | 5 | 28 | 35.7 | 53.6 | 7.1 | 3.6 |
|  | 1991 | 1 | 32 | 18 |  |  | 51 | 62.7 | 33.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 1992 | 13 | 17 | 7 | 11 | 25 | 73 | 60.3 | 34.2 | 0.0 | 5.5 |
|  | 1993 | 35 | 50 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 108 | 76.9 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 3.7 |
|  | Total | 56 | 102 | 39 | 29 | 34 | 260 | 65.0 | 30.0 | 1.2 | 3.8 |
| Wild | 1990 | 6 | 4 |  | 192 | 226 | 428 | 35.7 | 47.7 | 8.4 | 8.2 |
|  | 1991 | 5 | 47 | 266 |  |  | 318 | 42.8 | 50.3 | 0.9 | 6.0 |
|  | 1992 | 14 | 63 | 67 | 248 | 602 | 994 | 18.3 | 70.7 | 4.6 | 6.3 |
|  | 1993 | 22 | 152 | 101 | 57 | 179 | 511 | 32.5 | 57.1 | 2.9 | 7.4 |
|  | Total | 47 | 266 | 434 | 497 | 1007 | 2251 | 28.3 | 60.4 | 4.4 | 6.9 |
| All | 1990 | 13 | 7 |  | 205 | 231 | 456 | 35.7 | 48.0 | 8.3 | 7.9 |
|  | 1991 | 6 | 79 | 284 |  |  | 369 | 45.5 | 48.0 | 1.1 | 5.4 |
|  | 1992 | 27 | 80 | 74 | 259 | 627 | 1067 | 21.2 | 68.2 | 4.3 | 6.3 |
|  | 1993 | 57 | 202 | 115 | 62 | 183 | 619 | 40.2 | 50.6 | 2.4 | 6.8 |
|  | Total | 103 | 368 | 473 | 526 | 1041 | 2511 | 32.1 | 57.2 | 4.1 | 6.6 |

PrevSp = Previous Spawners.

Table 16. Movements of Atlantic salmon at Lake O'Law Brook, Margaree River for 1991-1994.

| Year | Small Salmon |  |  | Larga Salmon |  |  | Smolt |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wlld | Hatc | Total | Wild | Hatc | Total | Wild | Hatc | Total |
| 1991 | 28 | 6 | 34 | 72 | 4 | 76 | 2541 | 1845 | 4386 |
| 1992 | 14 | 1 | 15 | 48 | 10 | 58 | 2416 | 1900 | 4316 |
| 1993 | 25 | 5 | 30 | 54 | 4 | 58 | 1513 | 3522 | 5035 |
| 1994 | 21 | 9 | 30 | 79 | 7 | 86 | 631 | 8 | 639 |

- In 1991 fence was operated from May 2 until Nov. 18.
- In 1992 fence was operated from May 21 until Dec. 1.
- In 1993 fence was operated from May 9 until June 19 and from Sept. 29 until Nov. 15.
- In 1994 fence was operated from May 5 until June 30 and from Sept. 15 until Dec. 1.

Table 17. Numbers of wild and hatchery salmon from summer and fall sampling on Margaree River in 1994.

|  | Small Salmon |  | Large Salmon | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEASON: $\quad$ Wild Hatchery \% Wild | Wild Hatchery \% Wild | Large |  |  |

Summer
(June 1 - Aug. 31)

| Trapnets <br> Index | 49 | 17 | $74 \%$ | 157 | 24 | $87 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Angling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Logbooks | 9 | 4 | $69 \%$ | 20 | 0 | $100 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| SCIP | 13 | 17 | $43 \%$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | . |
| Sub-Total: | 71 | 38 | $65 \%$ | 177 | 24 | $88 \%$ | $65 \%$ |

FALL
(Sept. 1 - Oct. 31)

| Trapnets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Index | 42 | 4 | $91 \%$ | 273 | 10 | $96 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| Native | 12 | 2 | $86 \%$ | 48 | 2 | $96 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Lake O' Law Fence | 21 | 9 | $70 \%$ | 79 | 7 | $92 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Angling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Logbooks | 9 | 2 | $82 \%$ | 90 | 6 | $94 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| SCIP | 8 | 2 | $80 \%$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |
| Sub-Total: | 92 | 19 | $83 \%$ | 490 | 25 | $95 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Total Season: | 163 | 57 | $74 \%$ | 667 | 49 | $93 \%$ | $76 \%$ |

Table 18. Summary of snorkel counts done on the Margaree River 1990-1992 and 1994.

| Section | Year | Month | Day | Large |  |  | Small |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Unk | Hatc | Wild | Unk | Hatc | Wild |  |
| Upper | 90 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 83 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 104 |
| Middle | 90 | 8 | 9 | 57 | 24 | 34 | 21 | 3 | 14 | 153 |
| Lower | 90 | 8 | 9 | 115 | 28 | 53 | 10 | 7 | 18 | 231 |
| All | 90 | 8 | 9 | 172 | 58 | 170 | 31 | 11 | 46 | 488 |
| Upper | 91 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |  | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Middle | 91 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 10 |
| Lower | 91 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 24 |
| All | 91 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 43 |
| Upper | 92 | 7 | 29 | 0 | 4 | 59 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 79 |
| Middle | 92 | 7 | 29 | 0 | 12 | 31 | 0 | 13 | 14 | 70 |
| Lower | 92 | 7 | 29 | 0 | 41 | 85 | 0 | 42 | 18 | 186 |
| All | 92 | 7 | 29 | 0 | 57 | 175 | 0 | 61 | 42 | 335 |
| Upper | 94 | 8 | 1 | . | . | . | . | . | . | 3 |
| Middle | 94 | 8 | 1 | . |  | . | . | . | - | 26 |
| Lower | 94 | 8 | 1 | . |  |  | . |  |  | 67 |
| All | 94 | 8 | 1 | . | . |  |  | . | . | 96 |

Upper Section - Headwaters to breakwater in sanctuary.
Middle Section - Breakwater to Hatchery Pool.
Lower Section - Hatchery Pool to Forks Pool.

- Only a few individual pools were done in 1993 so this data was not tabled.

Table 19. Results of electrofishing surveys at barrier net sites in the Margaree River, July, 1994.

| Tributary | Year | Site\# | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \left(m^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | No. of Sweeps | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Life } \\ \text { Stage } \end{array}$ | Sweep Catch | Total <br> Estimate | Variance | Density ( $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Length } \end{array}$ | PHS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Big Brook | 1994 | 15 | 148 | 4 | Fry | 155 | 189 | 219.6 | 128 | 4.9 | 14.3\% |
| Forest Glen Brook |  | 40 | 116 | 3 | Fry | 111 | 116 | 14.6 | 100 | 4.0 | 6.6\% |
| Forest Glen Brook |  | 45 | 193 | 4 | Fry | 161 | 210 | 468.5 | 109 | 4.2 | 8.1\% |
| MacFarlanes Brook |  | 96 | 160 | 4 | Fry | 172 | 183 | 31.5 | 115 | 5.0 | 13.5\% |
| Trout Brook |  | 98 | 174 | 4 | Fry | 50 | 61 | 98.6 | 35 | 4.4 | 3.0\% |
| Big Brook | 1994 | 15 | 148 | 4 | Parr | 45 | 49 | 18.5 | 33 | 9.4 | 20.3\% |
| Forest Glen Brook |  | 40 | 116 | 3 | Parr | 88 | 107 | 142.5 | 92 | 7.9 | 35.6\% |
| Forest Glen Brook |  | 45 | 193 | 4 | Parr | 167 | 185 | 68.1 | 96 | 7.5 | 32.5\% |
| MacFarlanes Brook |  | 96 | 160 | 4 | Parr | 115 | 123 | 22.0 | 77 | 9.1 | 42.9\% |
| Trout Brook |  | 98 | 174 | 4 | Parr | 87 | 95 | 27.6 | 55 | 7.2 | 16.6\% |

Table 20. Raw data for mark-recapture population estimates of large salmon, 1992-94.

|  |  | Logbook |  |  | Fence |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Tags Applied | Recaps | No. Fish | Percent |  | Recaps |  |  |
| No. Fish | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 456 | 15 | 120 | $13 \%$ | 14 | 86 | $16 \%$ |  |
| 1993 | 242 | 5 | 71 | $7 \%$ | 4 | 58 | $7 \%$ |  |
| 1992 | 577 | 16 | 189 | $8 \%$ | 5 | 58 | $9 \%$ |  |

Table 21. Percentage of time Peterson estimate is within 25\% of the true population at varying trap and recapture efficencies.

| Population = 2000 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $25 \%$ | Recapture <br> Efficiency |  |  |
| Trap <br> Efficiency | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.16 |
| 0.08 | 50 | 66 | 90 |
| 0.16 | 67 | 88 | 97 |


| Population $=4000$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $25 \%$ | Recapture <br> Efficiency |  |  |
| Trap <br> Efficiency | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.16 |
| 0.08 | 59 | 88 | 96 |
| 0.16 | 83 | 96 | 98 |

Table 22. Data used to revise 1990 large and small salmon estimates based on trapnet efficiencies.

| Trapnet Catches |  |  |  | Fall Population Estimates | rapnet Efficiencies |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Lower1 | Upper1 | Levis |  | Lower1 | Upper1 | Levis |
| 88 | 115 | 79 |  | 1314 | 0.088 | 0.060 |  |
| 89 | 180 | 169 |  | 3973 | 0.045 | 0.043 |  |
| 90 | 119 | 165 |  | 9752 | 0.012 | 0.017 |  |
| 91 |  |  |  | 3013 |  |  |  |
| 92 |  | 167 * | 478 | 2747 |  | 0.061 | 0.174 |
| 93 |  |  | 132 | 1651 |  |  | 0.080 |
| 94 |  |  | 283 | 1762 |  |  | 0.161 |

* Catch up to Oct. 12 because the fall estimate is up until that date as well (Table 38).

|  | Proportion Large Salmon Fall | Ratio Small:Large Total Year | Trap Efficiency | FALL ESTIMATE |  | TOTAL ESTIMATE |  | SMALL ESTIMATE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  | Method | Estimate | Method | Estimate | Method | Estimate |
| 92 | 0.79 | 0.27 | 0.04 | Min Eff | 4125 | Min Prop | 7933 | Max Ratio | 5077 |
| 93 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 0.05 | Ave Eff | 3300 | Ave Prop | 5156 | Ave Ratio | 1977 |
| 94 | 0.61 | 0.24 | 0.06 | Max Eff | 2750 | Max Prop | 3481 | Min Ratio | 940 |
| Mean | 0.64 | 0.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 23. Alternative method for revising 1990 large and small salmon estimate using Nova Scotia License Stub (STUB) returns. Total retum estimate for 1990 is not included in Mean calculation of total retums. STUB/RETURN ratio for 1988 is not included in the Mean calculatlon of STUB/RETURN.

| Total | License <br> Stub | Stub <br> Return | Jackknife <br> Predicted <br> Return | Pred - Obs/ <br> Obs |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 87 | 4015 | 1857 | 0.463 | 3678 | -8.39 |
| 88 | 1688 | 1932 | 1.145 | 3997 | 136.79 |
| 89 | 2289 | 1570 | 0.686 | 3248 | 41.90 |
| 90 | 11144 | 1507 |  | 2988 | Using median of ratios |
| 91 | 3484 | 1757 | 0.504 | 3630 | 4.19 |
| 92 | 6375 | 1938 | 0.304 | 3838 | -39.80 |
| 93 | 3358 | 1102 | 0.328 | 2183 | -34.99 |
| 94 | 2900 | 1466 | 0.506 | 3033 | 4.59 |
| Mean | 3444 | 1641 | 0.465 | 3529 | 2.48 |

Table 24. Estimates of returns, escapements, and percent of conservation target met for Atlantic salmon from the Margaree Rlver, 1984 to 1994. Mean = (1989 to 1993).

| Year | Large Returns |  |  | Large Escapement |  |  | Conservation Target Mot by Large Percentiles |  |  | Collected for Hatchery (eggs)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentiles |  | Median | Percentlles |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Modian | 5\% | 95\% |  | 5\% | 95\% | Medlan | 5\% | 95\% |  |
| 1984 | 412 | 327 | 563 | 381 | 296 | 532 | 37\% | 29\% | 51\% | 0.100 |
| 1985 | 1462 | 1109 | 2217 | 1378 | 1025 | 2133 | 133\% | 99\% | 206\% | 0.150 |
| 1986 | 3616 | 2738 | 5680 | 3461 | 2583 | 5525 | 334\% | 249\% | 533\% | 0.150 |
| 1987 | 4015 | 2976 | 6540 | 3899 | 2860 | 6424 | 376\% | 276\% | 620\% | 0.150 |
| 1988 | 1688 | 1286 | 2494 | 1545 | 1143 | 2351 | 149\% | 110\% | 227\% | 0.300 |
| 1989 | 2289 | 1708 | 3693 | 2164 | 1583 | 3568 | 209\% | 153\% | 344\% | 0.300 |
| 1990 | 5156 | 3481 | 7933 | 5022 | 3347 | 7799 | 485\% | 323\% | 753\% | 0.380 |
| 1991 | 3484 | 1853 | 5785 | 3323 | 1692 | 5624 | 321\% | 163\% | 543\% | 0.473 |
| 1992 | 6375 | 4875 | 9375 | 6222 | 4722 | 9222 | 601\% | 456\% | 890\% | 0.300 |
| 1993 | 3358 | 2408 | 6158 | 3224 | 2274 | 6024 | 311\% | 219\% | 581\% | 0.009 |
| 1994 | 2900 | 2350 | 4500 | 2759 | 2209 | 4359 | 266\% | 213\% | 421\% |  |
| +/-1993 | -14\% |  |  | -14\% |  |  | -14\% |  |  | -100\% |
| +/- Mean | -30\% |  |  | -31\% |  |  | -31\% |  |  | -100\% |
|  | Small Returns |  |  | Small Escapement |  |  | Conservation Target Met by Small |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 504 | 400 | 688 | 311 | 158 | 446 | 53\% | 27\% | 77\% |  |
| 1985 | 838 | 634 | 1167 | 433 | 125 | 658 | 74\% | 21\% | 113\% |  |
| 1986 | 1096 | 838 | 1420 | 439 | 56 | 638 | 75\% | 10\% | 110\% |  |
| 1987 | 1478 | 1143 | 1865 | 644 | 166 | 888 | 111\% | 29\% | 153\% |  |
| 1988 | 2209 | 1674 | 2911 | 1451 | 795 | 2032 | 249\% | 137\% | 349\% |  |
| 1989 | 768 | 591 | 977 | 328 | 30 | 416 | 56\% | 5\% | 71\% |  |
| 1990 | 1977 | 940 | 5077 | 1471 | 291 | 4428 | 253\% | 50\% | 761\% |  |
| 1991 | 1909 | 794 | 3891 | 1340 | 42 | 3139 | 230\% | 7\% | 539\% |  |
| 1992 | 1645 | 1258 | 2419 | 1088 | 701 | 1862 | 187\% | 120\% | 320\% |  |
| 1993 | 2087 | 1489 | 3851 | 1504 | 906 | 3268 | 258\% | 156\% | 562\% |  |
| 1994 | 708 | 573 | 1101 | 390 | 255 | 783 | 67\% | 44\% | 135\% |  |
| +/-1993 | -66\% |  |  | -74\% |  |  | -74\% |  |  |  |
| +/- Mean | -58\% |  |  | -66\% |  |  | -66\% |  |  |  |

* Eggs are in millions.

Table 25. Breakdown of the wild and hatchery large salmon contributions to the egg depositions to the Margaree River for 1994.

| Description: | Year | Wild | Hatchery | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent Female | 1994 | 80\% | 76\% | 80\% |
| Avg Wt. of Large Salmon |  | 4.72 | 4.45 | 4.70 |
| Fecundity (eggs/kg) |  | 1,764 | 1,764 | 1,764 |
| Eggs per Spawner |  | 6,661 | 5,966 | 6,633 |
| Total Returns |  | 2,690 | 210 | 2,900 |
| Estimated Returns |  | 2,642 | 208 | 2,850 |
| Native Harvests |  | 48 | 2 | 50 |
| Total Removals |  | 133 | 8 | 141 |
| Angling Mortality |  | 68 | 5 | 73 |
| Poaching |  | 17 | 1 | 18 |
| Native Harvests |  | 48 | 2 | 50 |
| Total Escapement |  | 2,557 | 202 | 2,759 |
| Percent of Total Returns |  | 93\% | 7\% | 100\% |
| Total Eggs |  | 17,031,844 | 1,205,430 | 18,237,274 |
| Percent of Total Eggs |  | 93\% | 7\% | 100\% |
|  | 1993 |  |  |  |
| Percent of Total Eggs |  | 95\% | 5\% | 100\% |
|  | 1992 |  |  |  |
| Percent of Total Eggs |  | 97\% | 3\% | 100\% |

Angling Mortality $=$ large catch estimate * \% origin (logs) * 0.05 .

Table 26. Population estimates based on large salmon catches at Levis trapnet during 1992, 1993 and 1994.

| Large Salmon <br> Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trapnet <br> Catch | Population <br> Estimate | Trapnet <br> Efficiency |  |
| 92 | 603 | 3472 | $17.4 \%$ |
| 93 | 252 | 3150 | $8.0 \%$ |
| 94 | 464 | 2900 | $16.0 \%$ |

Table 27. Parameter estimates, forcasts and residuals for stock recruitment models.

|  | Model |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Ricker | Beverton-Holt | Mean | Tabular |
| a | 1.75 | 4.56 | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |
| b | 3.74 | 0.38 | $\cdot$ | . |
| Res SS | 1.61 | 0.90 | 1.22 | 0.83 |
| Forcast | 2731 | 4236 | 3249 | 4687 |

Table 28. Raw data for the stock recruitment relationships.

## Spawning <br> Year

Spawners
Recruits
194716854582
$1948 \quad 3358 \quad 7204$
$1949 \quad 1839 \quad 5716$
$1950 \quad 1744 \quad 4000$
$1951 \quad 20932440$
19529692833
$1956 \quad 486 \quad 2616$
$1957 \quad 822 \quad 4534$
19613443620
$1962 \quad 1306 \quad 3850$
1963 . 8873538
$19641053 \quad 2515$
$1965 \quad 993 \quad 3694$
$1966 \quad 727 \quad 1393$
$1967 \quad 10092083$
$1968 \quad 828 \quad 2378$
$1969 \quad 488$ 3394
1970 . $901 \quad 2702$
$1971 \quad 351 \quad 2630$
1972373 3261
1973 393 3131
1974 436 1066
$1975 \quad 293 \quad 2813$
$1976 \quad 366 \quad 1819$
$1977 \quad 538 \quad 2909$
$1978 \quad 6993292$
$1979 \quad 3631868$
$1980 \quad 681 \quad 1462$
$1981 \quad 618 \quad 3616$
$1982 \quad 760 \quad 4015$
$1983 \quad 657 \quad 1688$
$1984 \quad 381 \quad 2289$
$1985 \quad 1378$ 5156
1986 . 34613484
$1987 \quad 3899 \quad 6375$
$1988 \quad 1545 \quad 3358$
$1989 \quad 2164$

2900
19905022

Table 29. Tabular stock recruitment model for Margaree River Atlantlc Salmon.

| Recrultment | Spawning Stock |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 600- \\ & 1200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1200- \\ 1800 \end{array}$ | $>1800$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $>7800$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7200-7800 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 6600-7200 |  |  |  |  |
| 6000-6600 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 5400-6000 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 4200-4800 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 3600-4200 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  |
| 3000-3600 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2400-3000 | 4 | 3 |  | 2 |
| 1200-2400 | 3 | 5 |  |  |
| 0-1200 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Number of Points | 12 | 14 | 5 | 6 |
| Average Spawners | 401 | 829 | 1532 | 2802 |
| Average Recrults | 2618 | 2839 | 4189 | 4687 |
| Recrults minus Spawners | 2217 | 2010 | 2657 | 1884 |
| Recrults / Spawners | 6.53 | 3.42 | 2.74 | 1.67 |

Table 30. Expected recrults, yleld, and recruits/spawner for Rlcker, Beverton-Holt and Tabular models. Numbers are X1000, bold numbers indicate maximum values.

|  | Recruits |  |  | Yield |  |  | Recrults/Spawner |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spawners | R/cker | Beverton | Tabular | Ricker | erton | Tabular | Ricker | erton | Tabular |
| 0.1 | 0.57 | 0.94 |  | 0.47 | 0.84 |  | 5.68 | 9.45 |  |
| 0.2 | 1.08 | 1.57 |  | 0.88 | 1.37 |  | 5.41 | 7.83 |  |
| 0.3 | 1.55 | 2.00 | 2.62 | 1.25 | 1.70 | 2.21 | 5.16 | 6.68 | 6.53 |
| 0.4 | 1.97 | 2.33 |  | 1.57 | 1.93 |  | 4.92 | 5.83 |  |
| 0.5 | 2.35 | 2.58 |  | 1.85 | 2.08 |  | 4.69 | 5.17 |  |
| 0.6 | 2.68 | 2.78 |  | 2.08 | 2.18 |  | 4.47 | 4.64 |  |
| 0.7 | 2.99 | 2.95 |  | 2.29 | 2.25 |  | 4.27 | 4.21 |  |
| 0.8 | 3.25 | 3.08 |  | 2.45 | 2.28 |  | 4.07 | 3.86 |  |
| 0.9 | 3.49 | 3.20 | 2.84 | 2.59 | 2.30 | 2.01 | 3.88 | 3.55 | 3.42 |
| 1.0 | 3.70 | 3.30 |  | 2.70 | 2.30 |  | 3.70 | 3.30 |  |
| 1.1 | 3.88 | 3.38 |  | 2.78 | 2.28 |  | 3.53 | 3.08 |  |
| 1.2 | 4.03 | 3.46 |  | 2.83 | 2.26 |  | 3.36 | 2.88 |  |
| 1.3 | 4.17 | 3.52 |  | 2.87 | 2.22 |  | 3.20 | 2.71 |  |
| 1.4 | 4.28 | 3.58 |  | 2.88 | 2.18 |  | 3.06 | 2.56 |  |
| 1.5 | 4.37 | 3.63 | 4.19 | 2.87 | 2.13 | 2.66 | 2.91 | 2.42 | 2.74 |
| 1.6 | 4.44 | 3.68 |  | 2.84 | 2.08 |  | 2.78 | 2.30 |  |
| 1.7 | 4.50 | 3.72 |  | 2.80 | 2.02 |  | 2.65 | 2.19 |  |
| 1.8 | 4.55 | 3.76 |  | 2.75 | 1.96 |  | 2.53 | 2.09 |  |
| 1.9 | 4.57 | 3.80 |  | 2.67 | 1.90 |  | 2.41 | 2.00 |  |
| 2.0 | 4.59 | 3.83 |  | 2.59 | 1.83 |  | 2.30 | 1.91 |  |
| 2.1 | 4.60 | 3.86 |  | 2.50 | 1.76 |  | 2.19 | 1.84 |  |
| 2.2 | 4.59 | 3.88 |  | 2.39 | 1.68 |  | 2.09 | 1.77 |  |
| 2.3 | 4.58 | 3.91 |  | 2.28 | 1.61 |  | 1.99 | 1.70 |  |
| 2.4 | 4.55 | 3.93 |  | 2.15 | 1.53 |  | 1.90 | 1.64 |  |
| 2.5 | 4.52 | 3.95 |  | 2.02 | 1.45 |  | 1.81 | 1.58 |  |
| 2.6 | 4.48 | 3.97 |  | 1.88 | 1.37 |  | 1.72 | 1.53 |  |
| 2.7 | 4.44 | 3.99 |  | 1.74 | 1.29 |  | 1.64 | 1.48 |  |
| 2.8 | 4.39 | 4.01 |  | 1.59 | 1.21 |  | 1.57 | 1.43 |  |
| 2.9 | 4.34 | 4.03 | 4.69 | 1.44 | 1.13 | 1.88 | 1.49 | 1.39 | 1.67 |
| 3.0 | 4.28 | 4.04 |  | 1.28 | 1.04 |  | 1.43 | 1.35 |  |
| 3.5 | 3.93 | 4.11 |  | 0.43 | 0.61 |  | 1.12 | 1.17 |  |
| 4.0 | 3.54 | 4.16 |  | -0.46 | 0.16 |  | 0.89 | 1.04 |  |

Table 31. Percent of hatchery flsh returning early to the Nepisiguit River counting fence. Fish returning before August 31 are defined as early.

| Year | Small Salmon |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Total | Percent Hatchery Early |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Early |  |  | Late |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hatc | Wild | Total | Hatc | Wild | Total |  |  |
| 82 | 100 | 354 | 454 | 111 | 430 | 541 | 995 | 47.39 |
| 83 | 49 | 102 | 151 | 21 | 134 | 155 | 306 | 70.00 |
| 84 | 111 | 557 | 668 | 14 | 274 | 288 | 956 | 88.80 |
| 85 | 150 | 199 | 349 | 10 | 150 | 160 | 509 | 93.75 |
| 86 | 211 | 351 | 562 | 285 | 561 | 846 | 1408 | 42.54 |
| 87 | 487 | 270 | 757 | 247 | 748 | 995 | 1752 | 66.35 |
| 88 | 161 | 251 | 412 | 393 | 1609 | 2002 | 2414 | 29.06 |
| 89 | 59 | 164 | 223 | 31 | 222 | 253 | 476 | 65.56 |
| 90 | 62 | 78 | 140 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 150 | 98.41 |
| 91 | 2 | 24 | 26 | 13 | 80 | 93 | 119 | 13.33 |
| 92 | 176 | 601 | 777 | 6 | 329 | 335 | 1112 | 96.70 |
| 93 | 14 | 71 | 85 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 118 | 100.00 |
| 94 | 22 | 119 | 141 | 4 | 121 | 125 | 266 | 84.62 |
| Total | 1604 | 3141 | 4745 | 1136 | 4700 | 5836 | 10581 | 58.54 |


| Year | Large Salmon |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Total | Percent Hatchery Early |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Early |  |  | Late |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hatc | Wild | Total | Hatc | Wild | Total |  |  |
| 82 | 93 | 76 | 169 | 48 | 160 | 208 | 377 | 65.96 |
| 83 | 20 | 181 | 201 | 9 | 83 | 92 | 293 | 68.97 |
| 84 | 58 | 130 | 188 | 43 | 180 | 223 | 411 | 57.43 |
| 85 | 156 | 327 | 483 | 38 | 300 | 338 | 821 | 80.41 |
| 86 | 271 | 181 | 452 | 92 | 400 | 492 | 944 | 74.66 |
| 87 | 231 | 183 | 414 | 247 | 721 | 968 | 1382 | 48.33 |
| 88 | 194 | 159 | 353 | 267 | 1229 | 1496 | 1849 | 42.08 |
| 89 | 185 | 214 | 399 | 138 | 543 | 681 | 1080 | 57.28 |
| 90 | 58 | 115 | 173 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 184 | 98.31 |
| 91 | 11 | 32 | 43 | 11 | 56 | 67 | 110 | 50.00 |
| 92 | 11 | 299 | 310 | 2 | 130 | 132 | 442 | 84.62 |
| 93 | 17 | 106 | 123 | 3 | 70 | 73 | 196 | 85.00 |
| 94 | 1 | 48 | 49 | 3 | 181 | 184 | 233 | 25.00 |
| Total | 1306 | 2051 | 3357 | 902 | 4063 | 4965 | 8322 | 59.15 |

Table 32. Percent of hatchery fish returning early to the Morell River, Leards Pond Fishway. Fish returning before August 31 are defined as early returns.

| Year | Small Salmon |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Total | Percont Hatchery Early |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Early |  |  | Late |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hatc | Wild | Total | Hatc | Wild | Total |  |  |
| 85 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 77.78 |
| 86 | 126 | 1 | 127 | 491 | 0 | 491 | 618 | 20.42 |
| 87 | 1029 | 0 | 1029 | 246 | 2 | 248 | 1277 | 80.71 |
| 88 | 1225 | 0 | 1225 | 161 | 9 | 170 | 1395 | 88.38 |
| 89 | 106 | 3 | 109 | 217 | 9 | 226 | 335 | 32.82 |
| 90 | 212 | 11 | 223 | 153 | 33 | 186 | 409 | 58.08 |
| 91 | 178 | 16 | 194 | 116 | 17 | 133 | 327 | 60.54 |
| 92 | 805 | 48 | 853 | 38 | 16 | 54 | 907 | 95.49 |
| 93 | 526 | 11 | 537 | 58 | 33 | 91 | 628 | 90.07 |
| 94 | 23 | 3 | 26 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 36 | 82.14 |
| 87-94 | 4104 | 92 | 4196 | 994 | 124 | 1118 | 5314 | 80.50 |
|  |  |  | ge Sal |  |  |  |  | Percent |
|  |  | arly |  |  | ate |  | Annual | Hatchery |
| Year | Hatc | Wild | Total | Hatc | Wild | Total | Total | Early |
| 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 0.00 |
| 87 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 61 | 2 | 63 | 68 | 7.58 |
| 88 | 74 | 0 | 74 | 11 | 2 | 13 | 87 | 87.06 |
| 89 | 82 | 0 | 82 | 43 | 0 | 43 | 125 | 65.60 |
| 90 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 38 | 4 | 42 | 63 | 35.59 |
| 91 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 20 | 10 | 30 | 39 | 28.57 |
| 92 | 21 | 4 | 25 | 17 | 4 | 21 | 46 | 55.26 |
| 93 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 81.82 |
| 94 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 29 | 66.67 |
| 88-94 | 233 | 5 | 238 | 140 | 22 | 162 | 400 | 62.47 |

Table 33. Percent of hatchery returns monitored at trapnets and by logbook anglers on the Margaree River.

| Year | Small Salmon |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Total | Percent Hatchery Early |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Early |  |  | Late |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hatc | Wild | Total | Hatc | Wild | Total |  |  |
| Margaree Logbook Angling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89 | 21 | 16 | 37 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 45 | 91.30 |
| 90 | 7 | 30 | 37 | 4 | 28 | 32 | 69 | 64.67 |
| 91 | 12 | 14 | 26 | 3 | 24 | 27 | 53 | 80.11 |
| 92 | 19 | 23 | 42 | 6 | 29 | 35 | 77 | 76.06 |
| 93 | 19 | 24 | 43 | 2 | 13 | 15 | 58 | 90.66 |
| 94 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 24 | 66.67 |
| Total | 82 | 116 | 198 | 19 | 109 | 128 | 326 | 81.39 |
| 92-94 | 42 | 56 | 98 | 10 | 51 | 61 | 159 | 80.86 |
| Margaree Trapnet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 18 | 92 | 110 | 160 | 52.63 |
| 93 | 54 | 54 | 108 | 1 | 56 | 57 | 165 | 98.18 |
| 94 | 17 | 49 | 66 | 4 | 42 | 46 | 112 | 80.95 |
| Total | 91 | 133 | 224 | 23 | 190 | 213 | 437 | 79.82 |
|  | Early Large Salmon |  |  | Late |  |  |  | Percent |
|  |  |  |  | Annual | Hatchery |  |  |
| Year | Hatc | Wild | Total |  |  |  | Hatc | Wild | Total | Total | Early |
| Margaree Logbook Angling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89 | 18 | 30 | 48 | 4 | 37 | 41 | 89 | 81.82 |
| 90 | 8 | 33 | 41 | 5 | 37 | 42 | 83 | 60.72 |
| 91 | 18 | 22 | 40 | 12 | 95 | 107 | 147 | 60.99 |
| 92 | 22 | 28 | 50 | 20 | 100 | 120 | 170 | 52.45 |
| 93 | 11 | 15 | 26 | 6 | 38 | 44 | 70 | 66.67 |
| 94 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 90 | 96 | 116 | 0.00 |
| Total | 78 | 147 | 225 | 53 | 397 | 450 | 675 | 59.61 |
| 92-94 | 34 | 62 | 96 | 32 | 228 | 260 | 356 | 51.38 |
| Margaree Trapnet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | 16 | 104 | 120 | 21 | 455 | 476 | 596 | 43.24 |
| 93 | 11 | 110 | 121 | 4 | 137 | 141 | 262 | 73.33 |
| 94 | 24 | 157 | 181 | 10 | 273 | 283 | 464 | 70.59 |
| Total | 51 | 371 | 422 | 35 | 865 | 900 | 1322 | 59.30 |

Table 34. Numbers of salmon smolt and parr released to Margaree River since 1976 by parent stock origin (MAR = Margaree River , RB = Rocky Brook or Miramichl River). Rearing locatlons are: MAR, Margaree; COB, Cobequid; MER, Mersey.

|  | Rearing Locatlon | Smolt |  |  |  | Parr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | MAR | RB | MAR | RB | MAR | RB | MAR | RB |



[^0]Table 35. Total number of salmon tagged by month and the percent of recaptures from broodstock collections, the counting fence on Lake O'Law Brook and the recreational fishery from 1987-94.

| Month | Year | Total No. Tagged | Percentage of recaptures at the various recapture methods |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Angling Reca |  |
|  |  |  | Broodstock | LOL Fence | Lower Soct. | Upper Soct. | SW Marg. |
| Summarized for 1987 to 1994: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June |  | 118 | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 14.4\% | 13.6\% | 0.8\% |
| July 1-15 |  | 125 | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 9.6\% | 7.2\% | 1.6\% |
| July 16-31 |  | 244 | 2.9\% | 1.2\% | 11.5\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% |
| August |  | 742 |  | 1.9\% | 10.1\% | 3.9\% | 0.4\% |
| September |  | 1213 |  | 0.7\% | 6.3\% | 4.3\% | 0.3\% |
| October |  | 1517 | . | 1.1\% | 5.1\% | 3.0\% | 0.4\% |
| Annual variation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 87 | 7 | 42.9\% | . | 0.0\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 88 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 89 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 90 | 11 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 91 | 6 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 92 | 27 | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 25.9\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 93 | 54 | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 25.9\% | 9.3\% | 1.9\% |
|  | 94 | 13 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% |
| July 1-15 | 87 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 88 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 89 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 90 | 6 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 91 | 22 | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.2\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 92 | 57 | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |
|  | 93 | 38 | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 21.1\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 94 | 2 |  | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| July 16-31 | 87 | 0 | . | . | . | . |  |
|  | 88 | 0 | . | . | . |  |  |
|  | 89 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 90 | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 91 | 57 | 5.3\% | 1.8\% | 12.3\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 92 | 22 | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 27.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 93 | 158 | 1.9\% | 0.6\% | 9.5\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 94 | 6 | . | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| August | 87 | 22 | . | . | 4.5\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 88 | 21 | . |  | 23.8\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 89 | 11 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 90 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 91 | 282 |  | 1.1\% | 8.5\% | 5.3\% | 0.4\% |
|  | 92 | 74 |  | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 93 | 110 |  | 1.8\% | 17.3\% | 2.7\% | 1.8\% |
|  | 94 | 222 | . | 4.1\% | 8.6\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% |
| September | 87 | 37 | . |  | 5.4\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 88 | 171 | . |  | 5.3\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 89 | 247 |  | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 90 | 209 |  | 1.0\% | 7.2\% | 2.4\% | 1.0\% |
|  | 91 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 92 | 266 |  | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 4.9\% | 0.8\% |
|  | 93 | 60 |  | 1.7\% | 8.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 94 | 223 | . | 2.2\% | 6.7\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% |
| October | 87 | 72 |  |  | 6.9\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 88 | 148 |  |  | 1.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 89 | 167 |  | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 90 | 230 |  | 0.9\% | 7.8\% | 1.7\% | 0.4\% |
|  | 91 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 92 | 621 |  | 1.6\% | 4.8\% | 4.2\% | 0.6\% |
|  | 93 | 181 |  | 2.2\% | 8.3\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 94 | 98 | . | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 3.1\% | 1.0\% |

Notes: - Lower Section includes all pools below Lake O'Law brook.

- Upper Section includes all pools above Lake O'Law brook.
- SW Margaree is the South West Branch of the Margaree River.
- Broodstock collections occurred at Hart's, Hatchery, Tingley Rock, Ross Bridge and MacKenzie Pools.

Table 36. Distribution of tag recaptures from the recreational fishery for each tagging month and the section of the river the recapture occurred for 1987-94.

| Section | Summer |  |  |  |  | Fall |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jun | Jul15 | Jul31 | Aug | all | Sep | Oct | all |
| Numbers: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| B | 5 | 4 | 12 | 38 | 59 | 28 | 14 | 42 |
| C | 1 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 20 | 9 | 13 | 22 |
| D | 7 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 29 | 24 | 33 | 57 |
| E | 4 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 17 | 12 | 17 | 29 |
| F | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 10 | 18 | 28 |
| G | 7 | 3 | 3 | 16 | 29 | 22 | 21 | 43 |
| H | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 3 | 17 |
| 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| Z | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| Total | 34 | 23 | 37 | 107 | 201 | 133 | 129 | 262 |
| Percent: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 0 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| B | 15 | 17 | 32 | 36 | 29 | 21 | 11 | 16 |
| C | 3 | 9 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 8 |
| D | 21 | 9 | 19 | 12 | 14 | 18 | 26 | 22 |
| E | 12 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 11 |
| F | 6 | 17 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 14 | 11 |
| G | 21 | 13 | 8 | 15 | 14 | 17 | 16 | 16 |
| H | 9 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 7 |
| 1 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| Z | 3 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 |

Table 37. Total number of salmon tagged by month and the percent of monthly recaptures at an esturian trapnet within the same year from 1992 to 1994.

| Month Year | Total No. Tagged | Recapture Month - Esturlan Trapnets |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | $\overline{T o t}$ |
| Summarized for 1992 to 1994: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 94 | 4.3\% | 2.1\% | 3.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 11.7\% |
| July 1-15 | 97 |  | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 7.2\% | 13.4\% |
| July 16-31 | 186 |  | 0.5\% | 1.6\% | 0.5\% | 4.8\% | 7.5\% |
| August | 406 |  |  | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 3.7\% | 9.1\% |
| September | 549 |  |  |  | 8.0\% | 4.9\% | 12.9\% |
| October | 900 |  |  |  |  | 6.4\% | 6.4\% |

## Annual Variation:

Numbers:

| June | 92 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 93 | 54 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
|  | 94 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  | 94 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| July 1-15 | 92 | 57 | . | 1 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 |
|  | 93 | 38 | . | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 94 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 97 | . | 2 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 13 |
| July 16-31 | 92 | 22 | . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 93 | 158 | . | 1 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 13 |
|  | 94 | 6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 186 | . | 1 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 14 |
| August | 92 | 74 |  | . | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
|  | 93 | 110 |  |  | 4 | 1 | 7 | 12 |
|  | 94 | 222 |  | . | 6 | 7 | 3 | 16 |
|  |  | 406 | . | - | 11 | 11 | 15 | 37 |
| September | 92 | 266 | . | . | . | 28 | 16 | 44 |
|  | 93 | 60 |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 9 |
|  | 94 | 223 |  |  |  | 12 | 6 | 18 |
|  |  | 549 | . | - | . | 44 | 27 | 71 |
| October | 92 | 621 | . |  |  |  | 46 | 46 |
|  | 93 | 181 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 7 |
|  | 94. | 98 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
|  |  | 900 |  |  |  |  | 58 | 58 |


| Percentages: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June | 92 | 27 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 93 | 54 | 7.4\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 13.0\% |
|  | 94 | 13 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23.1\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 30.8\% |
| July 1-15 | 92 | 57 |  | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 10.5\% | 17.5\% |
|  | 93 | 38 |  | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 7.9\% |
|  | 94 | 2 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| July 16-31 | 92 | 22 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 4.5\% |
|  | 93 | 158 |  | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | 0.6\% | 5.1\% | 8.2\% |
|  | 94 | 6 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| August | 92 | 74 |  |  | 1.4\% | 4.1\% | 6.8\% | 12.2\% |
|  | 93 | 110 |  |  | 3.6\% | 0.9\% | 6.4\% | 10.9\% |
|  | 94 | 222 | . | . | 2.7\% | 3.2\% | 1.4\% | 7.2\% |
| September | 92 | 266 | . | . | . | 10.5\% | 6.0\% | 16.5\% |
|  | 93 | 60 |  |  |  | 6.7\% | 8.3\% | 15.0\% |
|  | 94 | 223 | . | . | . | 5.4\% | 2.7\% | 8.1\% |
| October | 92 | 621 |  | . | . |  | 7.4\% | 7.4\% |
|  | 93 | 181 | . |  |  |  | 3.9\% | 3.9\% |
|  | 94 | 98 | . | . | . |  | 5.1\% | 5.1\% |

Table 38. Total number of salmon tagged by month and the percent of monthly recaptures in the recreational within the same year from 1992 to 1994.

| Month | Total No. Year Tagged |  | Recapture Month - Recreational Fishery |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Tot |
| Summarized for 1992 to 1994: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June |  | 94 | 4.3\% | 19.1\% | 3.2\% | 1.1\% | 4.3\% | 31.9\% |
| July 1-15 |  | 97 |  | 7.2\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 18.6\% |
| July 16-31 |  | 186 |  | 1.6\% | 5.9\% | 4.3\% | 2.7\% | 14.5\% |
| August |  | 406 |  |  | 3.7\% | 6.4\% | 3.4\% | 13.5\% |
| September |  | 549 | . |  |  | 4.4\% | 8.9\% | 13.3\% |
| October |  | 900 | . |  |  |  | 9.4\% | 9.4\% |

Annual Variation:
Numbers:
6

Table 39. Returns by time period based on trapnet efficiencies in Table 26.

| Seasonal returns |  |  |  | Cumulative Returns |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Year |  | 94 |  | Year |  |  |
| Period | 92 | 93 |  |  | 92 | 93 | 94 |
| Small Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 57 | 313 | 25 | June | 57 | 313 | 25 |
| Jul -15 | 86 | 175 | 6 | Jul -15 | 144 | 488 | 31 |
| Jul - 31 | 46 | 475 | 19 | Jul - 31 | 190 | 963 | 50 |
| Aug | 103 | 350 | 363 | Aug | 293 | 1313 | 413 |
| Sep | 213 | 225 | 194 | Sep | 506 | 1538 | 606 |
| Oct | 420 | 475 | 94 | Oct | 925 | 2013 | 700 |
| Total | 925 | 2013 | 700 |  |  |  |  |
| Large Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 98 | 163 | 56 | June | 98 | 163 | 56 |
| Jul -15 | 195 | 100 | 13 | Jul -15 | 293 | 263 | 69 |
| Jul - 31 | 80 | 863 | 19 | Jul - 31 | 374 | 1125 | 88 |
| Aug | 345 | 375 | 1056 | Aug | 718 | 1500 | 1144 |
| Sep | 856 | 363 | 1231 | Sep | 1575 | 1863 | 2375 |
| Oct | 1891 | 1288 | 531 | Oct | 3466 | 3150 | 2906 |
| Total | 3466 | 3150 | 2906 |  |  |  |  |

Returns during trapnet operation.

Figure i. Margaree River dralnage, Inverness County, Nova Scotia (Chaput 1988) 。



Figure 2. Margaree River trapnet locations from 1988 until 1994.



Flgure 3. Length frequency distribution of wild and hatchery salmon from Levis trapnet on the Margaree RIVer, 1994.


Fig. 4. Parr densities at four index sites on the Margaree River from 1957 to 1994.



Figure 5. Estimated returns of large and small salmon to the Margaree Rlver in 1994 based on mark/recapture techniques.



Figure 6. Mean daily water temperatures for the Southwest Margaree River recorded at the gauging station (Ballay Bridge) during 1993 and 1994.



Figure 7. Mean dally water temperatures for the Northeast Margaree River recorded just above Doyles Bridge during 1993 and 1994.



Figure 8. Mean daily water temperatures for the Margaree estuary recorded at Levis trapnet during 1993 and 1994.




Fig. 9. Historical summer discharges on NE Margaree. Horizontal line is long term mean.



Fig. 10. Historical fall discharges on NE Margaree. Horizontal line is long term mean.


Fig. 11. Relationship between NE Margaree discharge and run-timing as measured by date when $50 \%$ of the small or large salmon have returned during the summer or fall. DFO angling data is used from 1962 to 1991 and Levi's trap data from 1992 to 1994. Horizontal lines are mean run-timing and discharge levels. 1994 is indicated by open squares.




Fig. 12. Stock recruitment relationships with replacement line for Ricker and Beverton-Holt models and small salmon to large salmon relationships. Open circles indicate spawning escapement years since the commercial fishery has been closed.



Fig. 13. Recruits/Spawner and change in recruits/spawner (recruits/spawner (year i+1) recruits/spawner(year i) for Ricker and Beverton-Holt models.


Fig. 14. Percent of large salmon (dotted line) and small salmon (solid line) returning to index sites for the entire season.


Fig. 15. Run-timing, based on DFO angling statistics from 1947 to 1990, of small and large salmon on the Margaree River for four years of Rocky Brook stocking and all other years without Rocky Brook. Arrows indicate date when $50 \%$ of fish have returned for Rocky Brook (RB) and Margaree (MARG). Hatchery and wild fish are combined.


Fig. 16. Percent of run returning to the Margaree River by week using DFO Angling statistics, 1962-1990 and Levi's trap from 1992 to 1994. These data are used because they include years with consistent closing times, October 15, for the angling fishery and the years when Levi's trapnet was operated for the full, June to October season.


[^0]:    * Reared at the Lake O'Law cages.
    @ MSW hatchery return broodstock collected from Margaree River and crossed with wild Margaree River salmon. The hatchery return broodstock would have been 2SW fish originating from Rocky Brook 2+ smolts released in 1981. ? Millbank broodstock.

