Not to be cited without permission of the authors ${ }^{1}$

Canadian Atlantic Fisheries Scientific Advisory Committee

CAFSAC Research Document 85/103

Ne pas citer sans autorisation des auteurs ${ }^{1}$

Comité scientifique consultatif des pêches canadiennes dans l'Atlantique

CSCPCA Document .de recherche 85/103

# Assessment of Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar, in the Margaree River, Nova Scotia, 1985 

by
R.R. CLAYTOR AND E.M.P. CHADWICK

Fisheries Research Branch, Gulf Region
Department of Fisheries and Oceans
P.O. Box 5030

Moncton, New Brunswick
E1C 9B6

1 This series documents the scientific basis for fisheries management advice in Atlantic Canada. As such, it addresses the issues of the day in the time frames required and the Research Documents it contains are not intended as definitive statements on the subjects addressed but rather as progress reports on ongoing investigations.

Research Documents are produced in the official language in which they are provided to the Secretariat by the author.
${ }^{1}$ Cette série documente les bases scientifiques des conseils de gestion des pêches sur la côte atlantique du Canada. Comme telle, elle couvre les problèmes actuels selon les échéanciers voulus et les Documents de recherche qu'elle contient ne doivent pas être considérés comme des énoncés finals sur les sujets traités mais plutôt comme des rapports d'étape sur les études en cours.

Les Documents de recherche sont publiés dans la langue officielle utilisée par les auteurs dans le manuscrit envoyé au secrétariat.


#### Abstract

The 1985 1SW and MSW salmon angling catch surpassed 1984 values and the MSW salmon angling catch was the greatest recorded since 1962. The proportion of MSW salmon caught in the summer portion of the angling season exceeded 1979-1984 values but was still below long term trends (19471978) .

Spawning requirements with all egg deposition coming from MSW salmon was estimated to be 1036 MSW salmon and 579 1SW salmon. Angling catch remains the best index of spawning escapement available for the Margaree River. Significant correlations between the MSW salmon angling catch and $1+$ parr densities support the above conclusion. 1 SW salmon appear to make a negligible contribution to recruitment which is supported by the lack of a significant correlation between 1 SW salmon angling catch and $1+\mathrm{par}$ densities. The exploitation rate derived from the relationship between $1+$ parr and MSW salmon angling catch is $12.9 \%$. 12.9\% is lower than has been used in past assessments and further research is required to evaluate this rate, as exploitation rate is crucial in estimating egg deposition on the Margaree River.


MSW salmon returns were predicted from the regression between MSW salmon angling catch (year i) with MSW salmon (year $i+5$ ). A return similar to 1985 can be expected in 1986. The above prediction is based on a poor relationship, low $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ and wide confidence limits and will require further evaluation.

## RESUGE

Le nombre de saumons d'une et de plusieurs hivers en mer lHM et PHM) pêchés à la ligne en 1985, a dépassé celui de 1984 et a été le plus élevé depuis 1962. La proportion de saumons PHM pêchés pendant la partie estivale de la saison de pêche à la ligne dépassait celle de 1979-1984 mais restait néanmoins inférieure aux tendances à long terme (1947-1978).

Pour les besoins du frai, en supposant que tous les oeufs proviennent du saumon PHM, on a estimé qu'il fallait 1036 saumons PHM et 579 saumons 1HM. Les prises à la ligne restent le meilleur indice d'échappement du frai pour la rivière Margaree. Des corrélations significatives entre les densités de saumons PHM puis à la ligne et celle des tacons l+ le confirment. Le saumon 1 HM semble contribuer peu au recrutement, comme le confirme l'absence d'une corrélation significative entre les densités de saumons liM pris à la ligne et celle des tacons $1+$. Le taux d'exploitation dérivé de la relation entre les tacons $1+$ et les saumons PHM pris à la ligne est de $12,9 \%$. Ce pourcentage est inférieur à celui utilisé dans les évaluations passées et il faudra faire d'autres recherches pour évaluer ce paramètre qui est crucial pour estimer la quantité d'oeufs pondus dans la rivière Margaree.

Les retours de saumons PHM ont été prévus par régression entre le nombre de saumons PHM pêchés à la ligne (année i) et le nombre de saumons PHM (année i+5). On peut s'attendre en 1986 à un retour semblable à celui de 1985. Cette prévision est basée sur une relation ténue, un $R^{2}$ faible et un grand intervalle de confiance et nécessitera une évaluation plus poussée.

## INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to provide an assessment of the Margaree River Atlantic salmon stock in 1985. As such, this assessment determines the number of spawners required to meet egg deposition requirements of the Margaree River with all egg deposition coming from MSW (two-sea-winter and older) salmon. Spawning escapement is estimated using sport catch as an index of abundance. The appropriateness of using sport catch to estimate spawning escapement is examined. Forecasts concerning 1986 returns are reported. The relationship between commercial landings and sport catch is evaluated. An assessment of the hatchery contribution to river returns is attempted.

The Margaree River is located on Cape Breton Island, Inverness County, Nova Scotia. Two principal branches; the Northeast Margaree and Southwest Margaree meet at Margaree Forks to form the Main Margaree which flows into the Gulf of St. Lawrence. Most of the Atlantic salmon angling occurs in the Main Margaree and Northeast Margaree rivers. Margaree River salmon stocks are composed of two runs: the summer run enters the river up to the end of August; and the fall run, after September 1.

Since 1979, efforts to increase the summer run component of the Atlantic salmon stock has consisted of regulatory restrictions and hatchery-reared introduction of progeny from early-run fish. Anglers have been required to release MSW salmon during early portions of the run (before September 1) since 1979. 1985 was the first year in which all MSW salmon were to be released regardless of date caught. In 1984 there was a reduction in the commercial fishery from eight to three weeks. There was no commercial fishery in 1985.

## METHODS

## Landings

1984 Commercial salmon landings from Statistical Districts $11,12,13,2$, and 3 were obtained from departmental records (0'Neil et al. 1985). These 1984 landings have been added to the $1967-83$ records summarized by Gray and Chadwick (1984).

Angling records from 1947-1984 were provided by Ralph Watts, Fishery Officer, Margaree Forks, Nova Scotia.

The relationship between commercial catch in Zone 6 and $15 W$, MSW and 1 SW + MSW salmon sport catch was also examined using correlation coefficients. The correlation procedure of SPSS/PC was used to derive the correlation coefficients of the above comparisons.

## Spawing requirements

The required number of spawners was calculated using the method (Method 2) recommended by Randall (1985) for the Miramichi River. The number of spawners required to meet egg deposition requirements was calculated presuming that all egg deposition came from MSW salmon. The numbers of $1 S W$ salmon required were calculated assuming that at least one male spawner was needed for each female MSW salmon.

The characteristics used to determine the spawning requirements were essentially those given by Gray and Chadwick (1984) and are repeated below:

| Egg deposition rate | $=2.4$ eggs $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (Elson 1975) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rearing area | $=2,797,600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (Marshall 1982) |
| Fecundity MSW | 1,764 eggs/kg (Elson 1975) |
| 1SW | 1,764 eggs/kg (Elson 1975) |
| Mean weight MSW | $=4.9 \mathrm{~kg}$ (Marshall 1982) |
| 1 SW | $=1.7 \mathrm{~kg}$ (Marshall 1982) |
| Eggs per MSW | $6,482 \mathrm{eggs}=1,764 \times 4.9 \times .75$ |
| 1 SW | 330 eggs $=1,764 \times 1.7 \times .11$ |
| Eggs per fish | $\begin{aligned} & =(6,482 \times .75)+(330 \times .25) \\ & =4,945 \end{aligned}$ |
| Sex ratio |  |
| male/female MSW | $=25: 75$ (Marshall 1982) |
| 1SW | 89:11 (Marshall 1982) |

1SW/MSW salmon ratio $=25: 75$ (described below)

The 1SW:MSW ratio in the sport catch from 19471978 was derived using 6.0 pounds as the division between 1SW and MSW salmon (Fig. 1). All fish in the angling catch less than or equal to 6.0 pounds were considered 1 SW salmon; those over 6.0 pounds, MSW salmon.

From 1979-85, the 15 W salmon component of the sport catch has increased dramatically (1SW:MSW = 71:29). This increase has probably been as a result of an increasing influence of hatchery stocking (Gray and Chadwick 1984). It is felt that the sport catch composition from 1947-78 is a better representation of the wild stock.

## Spawning escapements

The suitability of using sport catch as an index of spawning escapement was tested by examining the correlation coefficients between sport catch, discharge, temperature and juvenile densities. Sport catch data, from 1947-84, recorded by date, pool and weight were provided by Ralph Watts, Fishery Officer, Margaree Forks. Larry Marshall provided juvenile densities collected during his investigations of the Margaree River from 1975-79 and those originating from Paul Elson's studies from 1957-69.

Three sets of correlation coefficients were examined: (1) 1SW, MSW, 1 SW + MSW sport catch, mean daily temperature and total discharge (cfs) (Water Resources Branch* for each two-week period of the angling season; (2) the combined values of $15 W$, MSW, $1 S W+M S W$ sport catch, mean daily temperature and total discharge (dam ${ }^{3}$ ) for June, July and August; (3) 1SW, MSW, 1 SW + MSW sport catch (year i), fry (year $i+1$ ) and $1+\operatorname{par}($ year $i+2)$.

An exploitation rate based on the relationship between $1+$ parr (year $i+2$ ) and MSW salmon (year i) was calculated as follows:

```
total number of parr = mean 1+ parr densities X rearing
area;
total number of eggs = total number of parr + 10%
survival rate from egg to 1+ parr;
total number of spawners = total number of eggs + eggs
per fish;
total number of MSW spawners = total number of spawners X proportion MSW salmon in population;
```

exploitation rate = sport catch + (sport catch + MSW
salmon spawners).

```

\section*{Egg deposition}

Total egg deposition from \(15 W\) and MSW salmon was calculated as described below:

Sport Catch (SC)
\(\overline{\text { Sport Catch (SC) + Spawners }}=\) Exploitation Rate (ER)
\(\frac{S C(1-E R)}{E R}=\) Spawners

For years in which there were hook and release regulations 1979-1985 the MSW salmon caught and released were added to the number of spawners calculated as above.

Three exploitation rates were used; the one calculated above (12.9\%) and two used in past assessments ( \(20.6 \%\) and 37.9\%) (Hayes 1949; Marshall 1982; Gray and Chadwick 1984).

For all years egg deposition was calculated as the number of 1 SW or MSW spawners times the eggs per 1 SW or MSW fish (see above). The eggs obtained from broodstock collections were subtracted from the above egg deposition values.

\section*{Forecast}

Three correlations were examined to predict return: 1SW salmon (year i) to predict MSW salmon (year \(i+1)\) sport catch, hatchery released smolts (year i) to predict \(15 W\) salmon (year \(i+1\) ) sport catch and \(1 S W\) salmon (year i+1) commercial catch, and, MSW salmon (year i) to predict MSW salmon (year \(i+5\) ). The SPSS/PC correlation procedure as described above was used.

\section*{RESULTS}

\section*{Landings}

Historical, recreational, and commercial landings are presented in Table 1 and the long term trend in total ( \(15 W+M S W\) ) angling catch is illustrated in Figure 2. . Angling catch declined from 1947 to 1961 and again from 1976 to 1979 (Fig. 2). The increase in catch since 1979 has largely been the result of high \(1 S W\) salmon catches recorded in 1979, 1981. and 1982.

The 1985 MSW salmon angling catch was the greatest recorded since 1962 (Table 1).

There has been a gradual decline in the proportion of MSW salmon in the angling catch since 1947. This trend was most pronounced in 1979, 1981, and 1982 when large 1SW salmon catches were recorded in summer and fall portions of the angling season. Even if these years are excluded; the proportion of MSW salmon comprising the summer angling catch during 1980, 1983 and 1984 was still well below historical values (1947-1978). In 1985 the proportion of MSW salmon taken in the summer season exceeded 1979-1984 values but was still below long term trends (1947-1978) (Table 2).

Angling catch of MSW salmon and commercial catch were significantly positively correlated. Correlations between sport catch of 15 W salmon and commercial catch were significantly negatively correlated for Districts 2,3 and the total catch of zone 6 (Table 3).

\section*{Spawning requirements}

The spawning requirements of the Margaree River were found to be \(1,036 \mathrm{MSW}\) and 5791 SW salmon. These figures were derived as given below:
(1) egg requirements \(=2.4\) eggs \(\mathrm{m}^{-2} \times 2,797,600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\) \(=6,714,600\) eggs
(2) eggs/MSW salmon \(=8,643\) eggs/MSW X. 75 (females) \(=6,482\)
(3) required number of \(\mathrm{MSW}=6,714,600+6,482\)
\[
=1,036
\]
number of female MSW \(=1,036 \times .75\)
\(=776\)
number of male MSW \(\quad=260=1,036-776\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
number of male 1 SW & \(=516=776-260\) \\
number of 1 SW & \(=579=516+.89\)
\end{tabular}

Using the above requirements, MSW salmon account for \(100 \%\) of the egg deposition requirements and \(97 \%\) of the total egg deposition.

\section*{Spawning escapements}

The MSW salmon sport catch appears to be an adequate index of spawning escapement for the Margaree River. Correlations between sport catch, discharge and temperature were generally not significant (Table 4).

Significant correlations between MSW salmon (year i) with \(1+\) parr (year \(i+2\) ) were found for overall average juvenile densities, as well as those at individual sites at Lake 0'Law, McLeod's, and Below Cranton Bridge (Table 5; Fig. 3). Correlations between 1+ parr densities and 1 SW salmon sport catch were not significant (Table 5). The average exploitation rate derived from the MSW and \(1+\) parr densities was 12.9\% (Table 6).

\section*{Egg deposition}

1SW salmon have made a relatively small contribution to egg deposition in the Margaree River (Table 7). Using an exploitation rate of \(12.9 \%\) to estimate potential MSW salmon spawners; egg deposition requirements have been met in most years (1947-1985). If the exploitation rate used to estimate potential MSW salmon spawners is only \(8 \%\) higher, \(20.6 \%\), egg deposition requirements have rarely been met from 1947-1985. At an, exploitation rate of 37.9\%, egg deposition requirements have not been met from 1947-1985 (Table 7; Fig. 4).

\section*{Forecast}

MSW salmon returns were predicted from the regression between MSW salmon angling catch (year i) with MSW salmon angling catch (year i+5) (Fig. 5). The 1985 angling catch (314) was outside the confidence limits of the value predicted from 1980 angling catch (145 \(\pm 82\) ). Based on the level of sport catch in 1981 (139), a return similar to 1985 can be expected in 1986. Correlations between hatchery smolts (year i) and 1 SW angling catch (year i+1) were not significant (Table 8). The high \(15 W\) salmon angling catches in 1979, 1981, and 1982 (Table 1) are coincident with the release of smolts of Rocky Brook parentage in 1978, 1980, and 1981 (Table 9).

\section*{DISCUSSION}

\section*{Landings}

The significant negative correlation between commercial catch in Zone 6 and \(15 W\) salmon sport catch (Table 3) suggests that declines in \(15 W\) salmon returns to the Margaree River are directly attributable to commercial fishery interception. The significant positive correlation between MSW salmon and commercial catch in Zone 6 suggests that relative abundance of MSW salmon has a greater influence on sport catchability than interception.

\section*{Spawning requirements, escapement and egg deposition}

Sport catch remains the best index of spawning escapement available for Margaree River MSW salmon. The insignificant correlations between MSW salmon sport catch, discharge and temperature indicates that abundance of fish, not environmental conditions, has the greatest influence on catchability (Table 4). That MSW salmon sport catch is indicative of spawning escapement is further supported by significant correlations between MSW salmon sport catch and \(1+\) parr densities (Table 5). 1 SW salmon appear to make a negligible contribution to recruitment on the Margaree Rliver (Table 7). The lack of a significant correlation between the angling catch of 1 SW salmon and \(1+\) parr densities also suggests that the 1 SW salmon contribution to egg deposition is negligible.

More research is required to evaluate the 12.9\% exploitation rate used in this assessment to estimate potential MSW salmon spawners. 12.9\% is a low exploitation rate compared to past assessments (Marshall 1982; Gray and Chadwick 1984). A small shift in exploitation rate may have a relatively large effect on the spawning escapement and egg deposition estimates (Table 7, Fig. 4). Annual changes in egg to \(1+\) parr survival and exploitation rates during the season are two factors which have to be evaluated. A means of determining the number of salmon entering the river after the close of the angling season is also required.

\section*{Forecast}

The increase in \(15 W\) salmon sport catches of the 1979, 1981 and 1982 seasons (Table 1) may be attributable to the release of smolts of Rocky Brook parentage in the year previous to each of these seasons (Table 9). The failure of 1SW salmon abundance to be maintained in several years since 1979 (Table 1) and the lack of a significant correlation between 1 SW sport catch (year \(i+1\) ) and hatchery smolt releases (year i) (Table 8) emphasizes the need for an
objective means of assessing the hatchery contribution to the sport catch and spawning escapement of Margaree River salmon.

A return of \(M S W\) salmon similar to 1985 is forecast for 1986. In the absence of a commercial fishery this would represent an egg deposition above requirements (Table 7; Fig. 4). The above forecast is based on a poor relationship, low \(R^{2}\) and wide confidence limits (Fig. 5), and will require further evaluation.
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Table 1. Commercial landings for Zone 6 (1967-1984) and angling catch on the Margaree River (1947-1985)*.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Recreational catch} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Commercial catch (kg)} \\
\hline & 15W & MSW & Total & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { District } \\
& 11,12,13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { District } \\
2,3
\end{gathered}
\] & Zone 6 \\
\hline 1947 & 37 & 363 & 400 & & & \\
\hline 1948 & 106 & 704 & 810 & & & \\
\hline 1949 & 50 & 332 & 382 & & . & \\
\hline 1950 & 119 & 320 & 439 & & & \\
\hline 1951 & 46 & 424 & 470 & & & \\
\hline 1952 & 87 & 204 & 291 & & & \\
\hline 1953 & 57 & 291 & 348 & & & \\
\hline 1954 & 78 & 298 & 376 & & & \\
\hline 1955 & 53 & 258 & 311 & & & \\
\hline 1956 & 29 & 90 & 119 & & & \\
\hline 1957 & 36 & 136 & 172 & & & \\
\hline 1958 & N/A & N/A & 334 & & & \\
\hline 1959 & N/A & N/A & 235 & & & \\
\hline 1960 & N/A & N/A & 140 & & & \\
\hline 1961 & 40 & 49 & 89 & & & \\
\hline 1962 & 46 & 410 & 456 & & & \\
\hline 1963 & 87 & 212 & 299 & & & \\
\hline 1964 & 120 & 289 & 409 & & & \\
\hline 1965 & 86 & 254 & 340 & & & \\
\hline 1966 & 92 & 165 & 257 & & & \\
\hline 1967 & 92 & 210 & 302 & 40,388 & 12,852 & 53,240 \\
\hline 1968 & 63 & 197 & 260 & 25,619 & 12,537 & 38,156 \\
\hline 1969 & 206 & 136 & 342 & 21,018 & 9,429 & 30,447 \\
\hline 1970 & 85 & 214 & 299 & 17,620 & 12,874 & 30,494 \\
\hline 1971 & 21 & 92 & 113 & 7,286 & 4,740 & 12,026 \\
\hline 1972 & 41 & 106 & 147 & 23,869 & 8,022 & 31,891 \\
\hline 1973 & 165 & 116 & 281 & 18,350 & 9,340 & 27,690 \\
\hline 1974 & 59 & 107 & 166 & 23,179 & 14,258 & 37,437 \\
\hline 1975 & 36 & 64 & 100 & 11,904 & 11,727 & 23,631 \\
\hline 1976 & 95 & 82 & 177 & 7,451 & 10,910 & 18,361 \\
\hline 1977 & 68 & 140 & 208 & 13,308 & 12,913 & 26,221 \\
\hline 1978 & 25 & 158 & 183 & 18,847 & 11,369 & 30,216 \\
\hline 1979 & 605 & 81 ( 19) & 686 & 4,718 & 3,199 & 7,917 \\
\hline 1980 & 169 & 140 ( 2) & 309 & 14,466 & 9,946 & 24,412 \\
\hline 1981 & 899 & 139 ( 34) & 1,038 & 10,105 & 5,457 & 15,562 \\
\hline 1982 & 692 & 179 ( 76) & 871 & 16,485 & 10,179 & 26,664 \\
\hline 1983 & 72 & 149 ( 43) & 221 & 11,556 & 10,226 & 21,782 \\
\hline 1984 & 148 & 121 (109) & 269 & 8,947 & 6,192 & 15,139 \\
\hline 1985 & 222 & 314 (314) & 536 & N/F & N/F & N/F \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
* The statistics for commercial fisheries from 1947-1966 are not available. Information regarding 1 SW and MSW salmon for 1958-1960 are not available. Numbers in parentheses for recreational catch from 1979-1985 indicate fish released.
}

Table 2. The number and percentage of \(1 S W\) and MSW salmon taken in the summer (June August), fall (September - October), and complete portions of the sport fishery season on the Margaree River from 1947-1985. The 1947-1961 seasons were from June 1 - September 30, the 1962-1978 seasons were from June 14 - October 15, and the 1979-1985 seasons were from June 1-October 15. 1979, 1981 and 1982 were the years in which there was a large increase in the \(1 S W\) salmon contribution to the summer catch. Numbers in parentheses indicate percentage of MSW or \(15 W\) salmon taken in the various portions of the angling season. (i.e. For 1947-1961; 78.9\% of the salmon caught in the summer were MSW, but 39.4\% of the MSW salmon caught during the 1947-1961 seasons were taken in the summer portion of the season.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{RUN TIMING}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{1947-1961}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{1962-1978}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{1979-1984}} & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1979,81,82} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1980,83,84} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1985} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{No. \%} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{No. \%} & No. & \(\%\) & No. & \(\%\) & No. & \% & No. & \% \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{MSW SALMON} \\
\hline & SUMMER & 1367 & 78.9(39.4) & 1132 & 61.4(38.4) & 189 & 8.3(23.4) & 115 & 5.8(28.8) & 74 & 24.9(18.1) & 146 & 52.6(46.5) \\
\hline & FALL & 2102 & 84.9(60.6) & 1815 & 72.9(61.1) & 620 & 55.7(76.6) & 284 & 46.5(71.1) & 336 & 66.9(82.0) & 168 & 60.9(53.5) \\
\hline & COMPLETE & 3469 & 82.5 & 2947 & 68.0 & 809 & 23.8 & 399 & 15.5 & 410 & 51.3 & 314 & 58.6 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{15W} & SALMON & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & SUMMER & 365 & \(21.1(49.5)\) & 711 & 38.6(51.3) & 2091 & 91.7(80.9) & 1868 & 94.2(85.1) & 223 & 75.1 (57.3) & 114 & 43.9(51.4) \\
\hline & FALL & 373 & 15.1(10.5) & 676 & 27.1(48.7) & 493 & 44.3(19.1) & 327 & 53.5(14.9) & 166 & \(33.1(42.7)\) & 1018 & \(39.1(48.7)\) \\
\hline & COMPLETE & 738 & 17.5 & 1387 & 32.0 & 2584 & 76.2 & 2195 & 84.6 & 389 & 48.7 & 222 & 41.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3. Correlations between sport catch and commercial catch of the districts comprising Zone 6 from 1967-1984. * indicates significant correlations.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Sport catch} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{COMMERCIAL CATCH} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Districts 11, 12, 13} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Districts 2, 3} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Zone 6} \\
\hline & No. & R & P & No. & R & P & No. & R & P \\
\hline 1SW & 18 & -. 30 & . 11 & 18 & -. 51 * & . 02 & 18 & -.39* & . 05 \\
\hline MSW & 18 & .77* & . 00 & 18 & . \(47 *\) & . 03 & 18 & .75* & . 00 \\
\hline 1SW + MSW & 18 & -. 14 & . 30 & 18 & -. 42 & . 04 & 18 & -. 23 & . 18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4. Correlations between sport catch, discharge and temperature. A) Sum of 1 SW , MSW, \(15 W+\) MSW sport catch, discharge (cfs) and daily mean temperature by two-week period during angling season from 1947-84. B) Total 1SW, MSW, 1SW + MSW sport catch, discharge (dam \({ }^{3}\) ) and daily mean catch for July, August and September.

A) By two-week period
\begin{tabular}{llrllll} 
1SW & 284 & -.04 & .26 & 90 & -.01 & .47 \\
MSW & 284 & .11 & .03 & 90 & -.14 & .09 \\
1SW + MSW & 284 & .05 & .20 & 90 & -.07 & .26
\end{tabular}
B) Totals for July, August and September
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr} 
1SW & 35 & .04 & .41 & 11 & -.18 & .29 \\
MSW & 35 & -.07 & .36 & 11 & .29 & .19 \\
1SW MSW & 35 & -.03 & .44 & 11 & -.01 & .49
\end{tabular}

Table 5. Correlations between 1+ parr (year i+2) densities and sport catch (year i). Tributary densities are based on the means of the site numbers indicated. * indicates significant correlations.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Site} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{1SW} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{MSW} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\(1 \mathrm{SW}+\mathrm{MSW}\)} \\
\hline & No. & R & P & No. & \(R\) & P & No. & R & P \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TRIBUTARY}
\begin{tabular}{lllllllllll}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Forest Glen 1, 2, \\
3,4
\end{tabular} & 3 & .24 & .42 & 3 & .22 & .43 & 4 & .54 & .23 \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Forest Glen 1, 2, \\
4
\end{tabular} & 5 & .27 & .33 & 5 & -.38 & .26 & 6 & .48 & .27 \\
Forest Glen 1, 2 & 8 & .09 & .41 & 8 & -.53 & .09 & 9 & .25 & .26 \\
Lake 0'Law 1, 2 & 5 & .68 & .10 & 5 & \(.91^{*}\) & .02 & 5 & \(.92^{*}\) & .01 \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Northeast Margaree
\end{tabular} & & & & & & & & & \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
McLeod's
\end{tabular} & 7 & -.33 & .23 & 7 & \(.85^{*}\) & .01 & 8 & \(.73^{*}\) & .02 \\
Below Old Bridge & 8 & .13 & .38 & 8 & .42 & .15 & 9 & .56 & .06 \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Below Cranton \\
Bridge
\end{tabular} & 8 & -.12 & .38 & 8 & \(.86^{*}\) & .00 & 9 & \(.67^{*}\) & .03 \\
\hline OVERALL AVERAGE & 8 & -.14 & .37 & 8 & \(.66^{*}\) & .04 & 9 & \(.70^{*}\) & .02 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6. The exploitation rate based on the relationship between MSW salmon sport catch (year i) and average \(1+\) parr (year \(i+2\) ) density on the Margaree River.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year (i+2) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Density } \\
1+\text { parr } \\
\text { No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total } \\
& \text { parr } \\
& \times 10^{4}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Eggs } \\
& \times 10^{4}
\end{aligned}
\] & Spawners & \begin{tabular}{l}
MSW \\
Spawners
\end{tabular} & MSW sport catch & Exploitation rate \\
\hline 1957 & 29.1 & 81.4 & 814 & 1,646 & 1,234 & 258 & 17.3 \\
\hline 1958 & 20.2 & 56.5 & 565 & 1,143 & 857 & 90 & 9.5 \\
\hline 1959 & 26.1 & 73.0 & 730 & 1,476 & 1,107 & 136 & 10.9 \\
\hline 1975 & 18.5 & 51.8 & 518 & 1,047 & 785 & 116 & 15.1 \\
\hline 1976 & 18.3 & 51.2 & 512 & 1,035 & 776 & 107 & 12.1 \\
\hline 1977 & 14.2 & 39.7 & 397 & 802 & 602 & 64 & 9.6 \\
\hline 1978 & 21.4 & 59.9 & 599 & 1,211 & 908 & 82 & 8.3 \\
\hline 1979 & 13.1 & 36.6 & 366 & 740 & 555 & 140 & 20.1 \\
\hline AVERAGE & E 20.1 & 56.3 & 563 & 1,138 & 853 & 124 & 12.9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 7. Estimated Atlantic salmon egg deposition in the Margaree River from 1947-1985. Angling catch, 12.9\% exploitation rate and the exploitation rates used in past assessments have been used to estimate potential MSW and 1SW salmon spawners. Fecundity rates used to calculate egg deposition were 6482 eggs/MSW and 330 eggs/1SW. Egg deposition requirements are 6,710,000 eggs. \(A^{*}\) indicates years in which spawning requirements have been met. For 1957, 58, 59, 75-79 egg depositions calculated from 1+ parr densities have been used.
\(N / C\); no collection made.
\(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}\); data not available.
MILLIONS OF EGGS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline YEAR & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { EGGS } \\
\text { COLLECTED } \\
\text { FOR HATCHERY }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { MSW } \\
(12.9) \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
15 W \\
(20.6) \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & TOTAL & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { MSW } \\
(20.6) \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
15 \mathrm{~W} \\
(20.6) \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & TOTAL & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { MSW } \\
(37.9) \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
15 W \\
(32.9) \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & TOTAL \\
\hline 1947 & 5.00 & 15.88 & 0.05 & 10.93* & 9.07 & 0.05 & 4.12 & 3.86 & 0.02 & \\
\hline 1948 & 4.50 & 30.81 & 0.13 & 26.44* & 17.58 & 0.13 & 13.21* & 7.48 & 0.06 & 3.04 \\
\hline 1949 & 2.80 & 14.53 & 0.64 & 12.37* & 8.30 & 0.64 & 6.14 & 3.58 & 0.03 & 0.76 \\
\hline 1950 & N/C & 14.01 & 0.15 & 14.16* & 7.99 & 0.15 & 8.14* & 3.40 & 0.06 & 3.46 \\
\hline 1951 & N/C & 18.56 & 0.58 & 19.14* & 10.59 & 0.58 & 11.17* & 4.50 & 0.02 & 4.52 \\
\hline 1952 & N/C & 8.93 & 0.11 & 9.04* & 5.09 & 0.11 & 5.20 & 2.16 & 0.05 & 2.21 \\
\hline 1953 & N/C & 12.74 & 0.73 & 13.47* & 7.27 & 0.73 & 8.00* & 3.09 & 0.03 & 3.12 \\
\hline 1954 & N/C & 13.04 & 0.99 & 14.03* & 7.45 & 0.99 & 8.44* & 3.16 & 0.04 & 3.20 \\
\hline 1955 & 0.50 & 11.29 & 0.67 & 11.46* & 6.44 & 0.67 & 6.61 & 2.74 & 0.03 & 2.27 \\
\hline 1956 & 3.50 & 3.94 & 0.76 & 1.20 & 2.25 & 0.76 & -. & 0.95 & 0.02 & - \\
\hline 1957 & 0.90 & - & - & 8.14* & 3.40 & 0.46 & 2.96 & 1.45 & 0.02 & 0.5 \\
\hline 1958 & 1.00 & - & - & 5.65 & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A \\
\hline 1959 & 0.50 & , & , & 7.30* & \(N / A\) & N/A & N/A & \(N / A\) & \(N / A\) & N/A \\
\hline 1960 & 1.50 & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A \\
\hline 1961 & 2.00 & 2.15 & 0.51 & 0.66 & 1.23 & 0.51 & - & 0.52 & 0.02 & - \\
\hline 1962 & 0.30 & 17.94 & 0.51 & 18.15* & 10.24 & 0.51 & 10.45* & 4.36 & 0.02 & 4.08 \\
\hline 1963 & 1.10 & 9.28 & 0.11 & 8.29* & 5.30 & 0.11 & 4.31 & 2.25 & 0.05 & 1.20 \\
\hline 1964 & 0.40 & 12.65 & 0.15 & 12.40* & 7.22 & 0.15 & 6.97* & 3.07 & 0.07 & 2.74 \\
\hline 1965 & 0.60 & 11.12 & 0.11 & 10.63* & 6.35 & 0.11 & 5.86 & 2.70 & 0.05 & 2.15 \\
\hline 1966 & 0.40 & 7.22 & 0.12 & 6.95* & 4.12 & 0.12 & 3.84 & 1.75 & 0.05 & 1.40 \\
\hline 1967 & 0.20 & 9.19 & 0.12 & 9.11*. & 5.24 & 0.12 & 5.16 & 2.23 & 0.05 & 2.08 \\
\hline 1968 & 0.40 & 8.62 & 0.08 & 8.30* & 4.92 & 0.08 & 4.60 & 2.09 & 0.03 & 1.72 \\
\hline 1969 & 0.35 & 5.95 & 0.26 & 5.86 & 3.40 & 0.26 & 3.31 & 1.45 & 0.11 & 1.21 \\
\hline 1970 & 0.20 & 9.37 & 0.11 & 9.28* & 5.35 & 0.11 & 5.26 & 2.28 & 0.05 & 2.13 \\
\hline 1971 & 0.05 & 4.03 & 0.03 & 4.01 & 2.30 & 0.03 & 2.28 & 0.98 & 0.01 & 0.94 \\
\hline 1972 & 0.10 & 4.64 & 0.05 & 4.59 & 2.65 & 0.05 & 2.60 & 1.13 & 0.02 & 1.05 \\
\hline 1973 & 0.10 & 5.08 & 0.21 & 5.29 & 2.90 & 0.21 & 3.01. & 1.23 & 0.09 & 1.22 \\
\hline 1974 & N/C & 4.68 & 0.07 & 4.75 & 2.67 & 0.07 & 2.74 & 1.13 & 0.03 & 1.16 \\
\hline 1975 & 0.05 & - & - & 5.18 & 1.60 & 0.05 & 1.60 & 0.68 & 0.02 & 0.65 \\
\hline 1976 & N/C & - & - & 5.12 & 2.05 & 0.12 & 2.17 & 0.87 & 0.05 & 0.92 \\
\hline 1977 & N/C & - & - & 3.97 & 3.50 & 0.09 & 3.59 & 1.48 & 0.04 & 1.52 \\
\hline 1978 & 0.10 & - & - & 5.99 & 3.95 & 0.03 & 3.88 & 1.68 & 0.01 & 1.59 \\
\hline 1979 & N/C & - & - & 3.66 & 2.15 & 0.77 & 2.92 & 0.99 & 0.33 & 1.32 \\
\hline 1980 & 0.10 & 6.14 & 0.12 & 6.25 & 3.51 & 0.21 & 3.62 & 1.50 & 0.09 & 1.49 \\
\hline 1981 & 0.05 & 6.31 & 1.14 & 7.40* & 3.69 & 1.14 & 4.78 & 1.70 & 0.50 & 2.15 \\
\hline 1982 & 0.20 & 8.33 & 0.88 & 9.01* & 4.97 & 0.88 & 5.65 & 2.39 & 0.37 & 2.56 \\
\hline 1983 & 0.10 & 6.80 & 0.09 & 6.79* & 4.00 & 0.09 & 3.99 & 1.86 & 0.04 & 1.80 \\
\hline 1984 & 0.10 & 6.00 & 0.19 & 6.09 & 3.73 & 0.19 & 3.82 & 1.99 & 0.08 & 1.97 \\
\hline 1985 & 0.15 & 15.78 & 0.28 & 15.91* & 9.88 & 0.28 & 10.01* & 5.37 & 0.12 & 5.34 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Table 9. Smolts released to Margaree River since 1976.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Smolts & Genetic stock \\
\hline 1976 & 8,971 & Margaree \\
\hline 1978 & 16,053 & \begin{tabular}{l}
5\% Margaree \\
95\% Rocky Brook
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1979 & 15,927 & Millbank \\
\hline 1980 & 14,960 & Rocky Brook \\
\hline 1981 & 15,950 & Rocky Brook \\
\hline 1982 & 8,481 & Margaree \\
\hline 1983 & 18,396 & Margaree \\
\hline 1984 & 35,888 & Margaree \\
\hline 1985 & 19,900 & 95\% Margaree 5\% Rocky Brook \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Fig. 1 Weight distribution of Margaree River angling catch 1947-1984. All fish in the sport catch less than or equal to 6.0 pounds were considered 1SW salmon, those over 6.0 pounds, MSW salmon.


Fig. 2 Scatter plot of total angling catch
(1SW + MSW salmon) against year (1947-1985)


Fig. 3 Scatter plot of MSW salmon angling catch (year i) and average \(1+\) parr densities (year i + 2) for eight years of electrofishing sampling (1957-1959, 1975-1979). Year in figure corresponds to parr sampling year.




Fig. 4. The percentage of required Atlantic salmon egg deposition achieved on the Margaree River 1947-1985. A) Using a \(12.9 \%\) exploitation rate for MSW salmon and \(20.6 \%\) exploitation rate for 1 SW salmon. 1957-59 and 1975-79 egg depositions are based on back calculations of \(1+\) parr densities. No data is available for 1960. B) Using a \(20.6 \%\) exploitation rate for both MSW and 1SW salmon. No data is available for 1958-1960. C) Using a \(37.9 \%\) exploitation rate for both MSW and 1SW salmon. No data is available for 1958-1960. Horizontal line in A and B denotes when \(100 \%\) of required egg deposition has been achieved.


Fig. 5 Scatter plot of MSW salmon angling catch (year i) and MSW salmon angling catch (year \(\mathfrak{i}+5\) ). Regression equation used to predict 1986 angling catch was (year \(\mathfrak{j}+5\) ) angling catch \(=112.49+0.23 \times\) (year \(\mathfrak{i}\) angling catch). Standard error slope \(=0.10\); standard error intercept \(=25.95\); \(R^{2}=0.17 ; P\) value \(=0.03\).```

