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## Abstract

The status of Atlantic salmon in 1993 was determined for Middle Brook and Terra Nova River located in Salmon Fishing Area (SFA) 5, Biscay Bay River in SFA 9, and Northeast River in SFA 10. Assessments were conducted in relation to the five-year moratorium on the commercial Atlantic salmon fishery, which entered its second year in 1993. Target spawning requirement was exceeded in Middle Brook and Northeast River in 1993 but was not met in Terra Nova River and Biscay Bay River.

## Résumé

On a établi l'état des stocks de saumon de l'Atlantique dans le ruisseau Middle et la rivière Terra Nova, qui font partie de la zone de pêche du saumon (ZPS) 5, dans la rivière Biscay (ZPS 9) et dans la rivière Northeast (ZPS 10) en 1993. Ces évaluations faisaient suite à l'adoption du moratoire quinquennal sur la pêche commerciale du saumon de l'Atlantique, en vigueur depuis 1992. Les besoins-cibles de reproducteurs ont été comblés, largement, dans le ruisseau Middle et dans la rivière Northeast, mais non dans la Terra Nova et la Biscay.

## Introduction

In 1992, a major change was introduced in the management of Atlantic salmon in the Newfoundland Region. A five-year moratorium was placed on the commercial fishery in insular Newfoundland while in Labrador fishing continued under quota. In addition, a commercial license retirement program went into effect in both insular Newfoundland and Labrador. In the recreational fishery, a quota on the number of fish that could be retained was introduced in each Salmon Fishing Area (SFA) for the first time. The quota was assigned for each SFA as a whole and not administered on an individual river basis. The quota was in effect in each SFA from the beginning of the season until it was caught, following which, only hook-and-release fishing was permitted. In 1993, the quota was split to cover two time periods during the angling season in insular Newfoundland. The first time period, which accounted for most of the quota, was from the opening of the season until July 31; the remainder of the quota was taken between August 1 and the end of the season. Only hook-and-release fishing was permitted after each portion of the quota was caught. As in past years, the retention of large salmon was not permitted in insular Newfoundland.

In this paper, we examine the status of Atlantic salmon in Middle Brook and Terra Nova River, Bonavista Bay (SFA 5) ; Biscay Bay River, St. Mary,s Bay (SFA 9); and Northeast River, Placentia Bay (SFA 10) in 1993, the second year of the moratorium. The location of the SFA in which each river is found is shown in Fig. 1. Counts of small and large salmon are used in conjunction with recreational fishery data and biological characteristic data to calculate total river returns and spawning escapements. Stock status is evaluated relative to target spawning requirements developed for all rivers by o'Connell and Dempson (1991a,b).

## Methods

## RECREATIONAL FISHERY DATA

Catch and effort data for each river were collected by Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) Officers and processed by DFO Science Branch staff. For Terra Nova River, data for Maccles Brook are included in the totals. Procedures for the collection and compilation of recreational fishery data are described by Ash and O'Connell (1987).

## BIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTIC DATA

Biological characteristic information (obtained by sampling recreational catches) used to calculate egg depositions for adults $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length (small salmon) for years prior to 1992 is presented in Tables 1 (Middle Brook and Terra Nova River) and 2
(Biscay Bay River and Northeast River). In 1992 and 1993, for Middle Brook, the following values for female mean weight and proportion of female were used:

| Year | Weight (kg) |  |  | Proportion <br> Female (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | SD | $\mathbf{N}$ |  |
| 1992 | 1.70 | 0.37 | 46 | $0.76(79)$ |
| 1993 | 1.62 | 0.39 | 61 | 0 |

For fish $\geq 63 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length (large salmon), mean values of all available data for Gander River (SFA 4) and Terra Nova River (SFA 5) combined were used for Middle Brook and Terra Nova River (Table 1). For Biscay Bay River and Northeast River, data for Biscay Bay River, Colinet River, and Little Salmonier River combined (the latter two rivers are located in SFA 9) were used (female mean weight $=2.94 \mathrm{~kg}, \mathrm{SD}=0.61, \mathrm{~N}=17$; proportion female $=0.74, \mathrm{~N}=$ 17).

Fecundity was determined from ovaries collected in the recreational fishery. Ovaries were stored in Gilson's fluid until ovarian tissue had broken down after which time eggs were transferred to $10 \%$ formalin. Eggs, which for the most part were in early stages of development, were counted directly. The same relative fecundity values were used to calculate egg depositions for both small and large salmon for each river and are shown in Table 3. For Terra Nova River, the average for that river was used in 1985 and 1986.
total river returns, spawning escapement, and egg deposition
Calculations were performed for small and large salmon separately. Total egg deposition was obtained by summing depositions for grilse and large salmon.

## Total River Returns

Total river returns (TRR) was calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T R R=R C_{b}+C \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{RC}_{\mathrm{b}}=\text { recreational catch below fishway } \\
& \mathrm{C}=\text { count of fish at counting facility }
\end{aligned}
$$

For Terra Nova River, recreational catch below the fishway did not include that of Maccles Brook.

## Spawning Escapement

Spawning escapement (SE) was calculated according to the formula:
where,
$\mathrm{FR}=\mathrm{fish}$ released at counting facility
$\mathrm{RC}_{2}=$ recreational catch above counting facility
$\mathrm{BR}=$ broodstock removal (Biscay Bay River only)

## Egg Deposition

Egg deposition (ED) was calculated as follows:
(3) ED $=S E \times P F \times R F \times M W$
where,
SE = number of spawners
$\mathrm{PF}=$ proportion of females
$\mathrm{RF}=$ relative fecundity (no. of eggs/kg)
MW = mean weight of females
For Terra Nova River, spawning escapement and egg deposition were calculated for the area above the lower fishway, including the area above Mollyguajeck Falls.

The phenomenon of atresia has been reported to occur in Atlantic salmon in the Soviet Union (Melnikova 1964) and in France (Prouzet et al. 1984). Recently there is evidence to show that it can occur to varying degrees in insular Newfoundland ( $O^{\prime}$ Connell and Dempson, unpublished data). Since egg deposition calculations above were based on eggs in early stages of development, they should be regarded as potential egg depositions.

## TARGET SPAWNING REQUIREMENTS

The target spawning requirement for each river (Table 4) was developed by O'Connell and Dempson (1991a,b). The egg deposition requirement for classical fluvial parr rearing habitat (Elson 1957) was 240 eggs/unit (a unit $=100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) (Elson 1975); the requirement for lacustrine habitat was 368 eggs/ha (0'Connell et al. 1991). Spawning requirements were calculated in terms of small salmon only. Egg deposition from large salmon was considered as a buffer to the estimate of spawning requirement.

## Results

## Recreational Fishery

Catch and effort data for each river are presented in Appendices 1-4. Catches for all years prior to 1992 represent retained catch for the entire angling season, when there was no mandatory release of small salmon. Total catch for 1993 (retained plus released fish), associated effort, and catch per unit of effort (CPUE) are compared to years prior to 1992. In 1992, there was no estimate of released fish during the period of retention of catch and hence comparisons with 1993 are not appropriate. The total number of fish retained (both quota periods combined) in 1993 for each river is also shown; direct comparison with 1992 is not valid since the closure date for retention of fish in that year was different from 1993. Calculation of CPUE in terms of retained fish only was not possible since effort figures apply to both retained and released fish collectively.

Total catch of small salmon (retained plus released fish) and effort expenditure for Terra Nova River in 1993 was the highest on record while CPUE (which included some large salmon) remained below the 1984-89 and 1986-91 means; total catch for Middle Brook was the highest since 1986 and CPUE the highest during the period since 1974. For Biscay Bay River, the catch of small salmon and effort expenditure in 1993 were above the means while CPUE was below the 1984-89 mean and increased slightly over the 1986-91 mean. The 1993 catch for Northeast River decreased from 1992 but was higher than both means, effort was below the means, while CPUE was the second highest since 1974 (that of 1992 being the highest).

The quota for retained catch of small salmon during the first quota period was reached on July 8 for SFA 5 and on July 9 for SFA 10; closure dates for retention of fish during the second quota period for these SFAs were August 8 and August 2, respectively. In SFA 9 , the period of retention lasted the entire angling season (closed on September 6) without the quota being caught. Quotas in both 1992 and 1993 constrained retained catches at levels below or near the means for Middle Brook, Terra Nova River, and Northeast River. The number of fish retained in Biscay Bay River in 1992 was well below the means, the result of the SFA quota being taken early in the angling season; in 1993 however, with the SFA retention period spanning the entire angling season, the number of fish retained was similar to the 1984-89 mean and above the 1986-91 mean.

The percentage of SFA retained catch of small salmon and effort expenditure up to the time of closure of the first quota period attained by various rivers in SFAs 5, 9, and 10 are shown in

Figs. 2-4, respectively. Terra Nova River accounted for the second highest proportion of catch and the highest proportion of effort expenditure in SFA 5 while Middle Brook had the fourth highest proportion of catch and effort. Biscay Bay River attained the second highest proportion of retained catch in SFA 9 and the third highest proportion of expenditure of effort. Northeast River achieved the third highest proportion of catch and effort in SFA 10.

## Counts at counting Facilities

Counts of small and large salmon at the Middle Brook and lower Terra Nova River fishways for the period 1974-93 are shown in Table 5 and Fig. 5. The 1993 count of small salmon in Middle Brook increased over 1992 (66\%) and the 1984-89 (114\%) and 1986-91 (160\%) means. For Terra Nova River, the count of small salmon in 1993 also increased over 1992 ( $88 \%$ ) and each mean ( $112 \%$ and $135 \%$, respectively). The count of large salmon in Middle Brook increased by 102\% over 1992 and by $245 \%$ and $454 \%$ over the 1984-89 and 1986-91 means, respectively. For Terra Nova River, the large salmon count increased by $74 \%$ over 1992, 269\% over the 1984-89 mean, and 252\% over the 1986-91 mean. Small and large salmon counts for Terra Nova River were partial. This resulted from a combination of the loss of the flow control dam above the fishway and exceptionally high water levels in 1993 which allowed some fish to bypass the fishway.

Counts of small and large salmon for the Northeast River fishway and the Biscay Bay River counting fence are presented in Table 6 and Fig. 6. In Biscay Bay River, the count of small salmon decreased from 1992 (19\%) and the means (53\% and 33\%, respectively). The count of small salmon in Northeast River in 1993 decreased slightly from 1992 (8\%) but remained above the 198489 (53\%) and 1986-91 (47\%) means. The count of large salmon in Biscay Bay River in 1993 increased over 1992 and the means (137, 42, and $73 \%$, respectively) ; in Northeast River, there was also an increase over 1992 and the means ( 41,198 , and $232 \%$, respectively). It should be noted that counts of small and large salmon for Biscay Bay River in both 1992 and 1993 were partial due to water flowing over the counting fence in early July for a period of approximately 24 hours each year. It is estimated that $100-200$ fish could have escaped upstream undetected during these high-water periods in both years.
Total River Returns, Spawning Escapement, and Percentage of Target Achieved

Total river returns and spawning escapements of small and large salmon, potential egg depositions, and percentages of target spawning requirement achieved for Middle Brook and Terra Nova River for 1984-91 are shown in Table 7. For Middle Brook, the percentage of target achieved in 1993 was in excess of requirement (218\%)
while for Terra Nova River it was $53 \%$ of target (based on a partial counts of small and large salmon). Percentage of target achieved for Biscay Bay River (Table 8) based on the partial count was $84 \%$. Even if the number of fish estimated to have escaped detection were added to spawning escapement, the target for Biscay Bay River would not have been met in 1993. Target requirement for Northeast River was exceeded (418\%) in 1993 (Table 8).

## Discussion

The 1984-89 mean used above for comparisons corresponds to years under major management changes in the commercial fishery in the Newfoundland Region (see o'Connell et al. 1992a). In 1990 and 1991, the commercial fishery in all SFAs of the Newfoundland Region was controlled by quota (O'Connell et al. 1992b). The mix of management measures in effect during 1984-89 on the one hand and the imposition of commercial quotas in 1990 and 1991 on the other, should be kept in mind when making evaluations based on the more recent 1986-91 mean. The complete closure of the commercial fishery in insular Newfoundland was the most significant management change to date. All of these management measures were aimed at increasing river escapements. Also, a moratorium on the Northern Cod Fishery was implemented in early July of 1992 which should have resulted in the elimination of by-catch in cod fishing gear. The cod fishery moratorium was continued in 1993.

Judging from counts at the fishway and calculations of total river returns, escapements of small salmon to Northeast River, Placentia in both 1992 and 1993 were the highest on record, which is consistent with expectations resulting from the closure of the commercial fishery. At Terra Nova River, returns of small salmon in 1993 were the highest on record while returns for 1992 were lower than in certain years prior to the moratorium (based on counts at the fishway). For both Middle Brook and Biscay Bay River, returns of small salmon in some pre-moratorium years were higher than observed collectively for 1992 and 1993. Returns of large salmon were the highest recorded for Terra Nova River, Biscay Bay River, and Northeast River, although with the exception of Terra Nova River, the improvement was not that pronounced compared to some pre-moratorium years. For Middle Brook, returns of large salmon of a magnitude similar to or greater than observed collectively for 1992 and 1993 occurred in pre-moratorium years.

Cautions associated with the parameter values used to calculate target spawning requirements have been discussed previously by $0^{\prime}$ Connell et al. (1991) and $0^{\prime}$ Connell and Dempson (1991a,b) and will not be dealt with here in detail. Recent research findings pertaining to the egg-to-smolt survival parameter however warrant mention. This parameter is very sensitive to change in terms of impact on calculations of egg deposition requirements using the model presented in o'Connell and Dempson (1991a,b). There is evidence that egg-to-smolt survival could be
substantially lower than used in the model (o'connell et al. 1992c). However, further substantiation is required. The use of a lower value would increase target spawning requirements accordingly.
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Table 1. Biological characteristic data for female small salmon for Middle Brook and Terra Nova River, Bonavista Bay (SFA 5) and for female large salmon for Gander River (SFA 4) and Terra Nova River, Newfoundland.

| River | Fork length of females (cm) |  |  |  | Weight of females ( Kg ) |  |  |  | River age (yr) |  |  |  | Sex ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\bar{x}$ | SD | Range | $N$ | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | SD | Range | $N$ | $\bar{x}$ | SD | Range | $N$ | \% Female |
| Small salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle Brook |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 19 | 50.8 | 4.5 | 35.0-56.0 | 17 | 1.66 | 0.32 | 1.00-2.27 | 19 | 3.58 | 0.51 | 3.00-4.00 | 24 | 79 |
| 1984 | 121 | 49.8 | 4.4 | 38.5-62.0 | 121 | 1.48 | 0.40 | 0.60-2.80 | 121 | 3.51 | 0.59 | 3.00-6.00 | 154 | 79 |
| 1985 | 88 | 50.1 | 4.2 | 33.9-57.1 | 88 | 1.51 | 0.34 | 0.70-2.30 | 88 | 3.43 | 0.56 | 2.00-5.00 | 107 | 82 |
| 1986 | 42 | 52.0 | 4.8 | 45.0-61.4 | 41 | 1.58 | 0.47 | 0.90-2.70 | 42 | 3.74 | 0.59 | $3.00-5.00$ | 49 | 86 |
| 1987 | 7 | 49.5 | 3.4 | 44.0-55.0 | 7 | 1.30 | 0.33 | 1.00-2.00 | 7 | 3.71 | 0.49 | $3.00-4.00$ | 17 | 41 |
| Total | 277 | 50.3 | 4.4 | 33.9-62.0 | 274 | 1.51 | 0.39 | 0.60-2.80 | 277 | 3.53 | 0.58 | 2.00-6.00 | 351 | 79 |
| Terra Nova River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1983$ | 81 | 51.8 | 3.8 | 38.5-61.5 | 83 | 1.66 | 0.35 | 0.91-2.70 | 83 | 3.64 | 0.67 | 3.00-5.00 | 105 | 79 |
| 1984 | 73 | 50.2 | 3.7 | 43.0-61.0 | 73 | 1.57 | 0.36 | 0.96-2.70 | 73 | 3.55 | 0.62 | $3.00-5.00$ | 99 | 74 |
| 1985 | 29 | 51.8 | 4.4 | 44.0-60.5 | 18 | 1.45 | 0.49 | 0.80-2.60 | 29 | 3.62 | 0.72 | 3.00-6.00 | 41 | 71 |
| 1986 | 35 | 52.6 | 3.7 | 46.0-59.0 | 35 | 1.61 | 0.36 | 0.90-2.40 | 35 | 3.45 | 0.66 | 3.00-6.00 | 53 | 66 |
| 1987 | 35 | 51.5 | 3.5 | 42.0-61.0 | 36 | 1.52 | 0.32 | 0.80-2.40 | 36 | 3.50 | 0.70 | 2.00-5.00 | 50 | 72 |
| Total | 253 | 51.4 | 3.9 | 38.5-61.5 | 245 | 1.59 | 0.36 | 0.80-2.70 | 256 | 3.57 | 0.66 | 2.00-6.00 | 348 | 74 |
| Large salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gander River | 8 | 69.2 | 80.6 | 63.0-82.6 | 8 | 3.66 | 1.81 | 2.38-7.71 | 8 | 3.50 | 0.53 | 3.00-4.00 | 10 | 80 |
| Terra Nova River | 6 | 68.3 | 38.4 | 63.0-73.5 | 6 | 3.08 | 0.60 | 2.27-3.70 | 6 | 4.00 | 0.63 | 3.00-5.00 | 6 | 100 |
| Gander and Terra Nova rivers combined | 14 | 68.8 | 63.9 | 63.0-82.6 | 14 | 3.41 | 1.41 | 2.27-7.71 | 14 | 3.71 | 0.61 | 3.00-5.00 | 16 | 88 |

Table 2. Biological characteristic data for female small salmon for Biscay Bay River, St. Mary's Bay (SFA 9) and Northeast River, Placentia Bay (SFA 10), Newfoundland

| River | Fork length of females (cm) |  |  |  | Weight of females ( Kg ) |  |  |  | River age (yr) |  |  |  | Sex ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\bar{x}$ | SD | Range | N | $\bar{x}$ | SD | Range | N | $\bar{\chi}$ | SD | Range | $N$ | \% Female |
| SFA 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biscay Bay River | 505 | 52.6 | 3.5 | 41.5-62.4 | 326 | 1.68 | 0.36 | 0.81-3.50 | 519 | 3.1 | 0.59 | 2.00-5.00 | 698 | 75 |
| SFA 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 1 | 55.9 | - | - | 1 | 1.81 | - | - | 1 | 3.00 | - | - | 1 | 100 |
| 1975 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1.59 | - | - | 1 | 3.00 | - | - | 1 | 100 |
| 1978 | 59 | 53.7 | 2.7 | 45.7-59.0 | 59 | 1.52 | 0.19 | 1.10-2.00 | 59 | 2.93 | 0.36 | 2.00-4.00 | 63 | 94 |
| 1979 | - | - | - |  | 12 | 1.43 | 0.24 | 0.91-1.82 | 12 | 2.58 | 0.51 | 2.00-3.00 | 14 | 86 |
| 1980 | 38 | 53.4 | 2.2 | 46.0-57.2 | 38 | 1.58 | 0.23 | 1.10-2.10 | 38 | 2.68 | 0.47 | 2.00-3.00 | 42 | 90 |
| 1981 | 91 | 52.6 | 2.6 | 43.0-58.0 | 86 | 1.54 | 0.24 | 0.91-2.04 | 93 | 2.91 | 0.43 | 2.00-4.00 | 103 | 90 |
| 1982 | 16 | 54.3 | 2.5 | 51.0-58.5 | 22 | 1.55 | 0.28 | 1.00-2.00 | 22 | 2.77 | 0.53 | 2.00-4.00 | 24 | 92 |
| 1983 | 19 | 51.9 | 1.9 | 49.0-56.0 | 26 | 1.50 | 0.20 | 1.15-1.90 | 26 | 2.46 | 0.51 | 2.00-3.00 | 29 | 90 |
| 1984 | 24 | 52.2 | 2.3 | 46.0-58.0 | 22 | 1.51 | 0.19 | 1.10-1.90 | 24 | 2.92 | 0.50 | 2.00-4.00 | 27 | 89 |
| 1985 | 47 | 51.8 | 3.2 | 41.7-57.8 | 47 | 1.56 | 0.24 | 1.00-2.16 | 47 | 2.91 | 0.35 | 2.00-4.00 | 51 | 92 |
| 1986 | 63 | 53.2 | 2.3 | 46.8-60.0 | 63 | 1.69 | 0.25 | 0.90-2.40 | 63 | 3.14 | 0.43 | 2.00-4.00 | 68 | 93 |
| 1987 | 1 | 49.0 | - | - | 1 | 1.40 | . | 0.90-2.40 | 1 | 3.00 | 0.43 | 2.00-4.00 | 1 | 100 |
| Total | 359 | 52.9 | 2.7 | 41.7-60.0 | 378 | 1.56 | 0.24 | 0.90-2.40 | 387 | 2.88 | 0.47 | 2.00-4.00 | 424 | 91 |

Table 3. Relative fecundity values used to calculate egg depositions for each river.

| River | Year | Relative fecundity <br> (No. eggs/kg) | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SFA 5 |  |  |  |
| Middle Brook | 1984 | 1896 | 102 |
|  | 1985 | 1988 | 83 |
|  | 1986 | 1955 | 36 |
|  | Total | 1941 | 211 |
| Terra Nova River | 1984 | 1909 | 46 |
|  | 1986 | 2372 | 6 |
|  |  | 1364 | 14 |
| SFA 9 |  | 2066 | 66 |
| Biscay Bay River |  | 2267 |  |
| SFA 10 |  |  | 106 |
| Northeast River, Plac. |  |  |  |

Table 4. Atlantic salmon target spawning requirement for each river in terms of eggs and small salmon.

| River | Target spawning requirement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eggs (No. x 10') | Small salmon <br> (No.) |
| SFA 5 |  |  |
| Middle Brook | 2.342 | 1012 |
| Terra Nova River | 14.303 | 7094 |
| SFA 9 |  | 1134 |
| Biscay Bay River | 2.951 |  |
| SFA 10 |  | 224 |
| Northeast River, Plac. | 0.719 |  |

Table 5. Counts of Atlantic salmon at Middle Brook (1974-93) and lower Terra Nova River (1978-93) fishways, Bonavista Bay (SFA 5). Partial counts are in parentheses and are not included in means.

|  | Middle Brook |  | Terra Nova River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Small salmon | Large salmon | Small salmon | Large salmon |
| 1974 | (770) | (77) |  |  |
| 1975 | (1119) | (9) |  |  |
| 1976 |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 1403 | 16 | 810 | 20 |
| 1979 | (1350) | (54) | 569 | 170 |
| 1980 | 1712 | 91 | 843 | 39 |
| 1981 | 2414 | 39 | 1115 | 90 |
| 1982 | 1281 | 20 | 963 | 19 |
| 1983 | 1195 | 75 | 1210 | 57 |
| 1984 | 1379 | 57 | 1233 | 107 |
| 1985 | 904 | 27 | 1557 | 112 |
| 1986 | 1036 | 15 | 1051 | 140 |
| 1987 | 914 | 19 | 974 | 56 |
| 1988 | 772 | 14 | 1737 | 206 |
| 1989 | 496 | 19 | 1138 | 142 |
| 1990 | 745 | 13 | 1149 | 144 |
| 1991 | 562 | 14 | 873 | 114 |
| 1992 | 1182 | 43 | 1443 | 270 |
| 1993 | 1959 | 87 | (2713) | (470) |
| 1984-89 |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 916.8 | 25.2 | 1281.7 | 127.2 |
| 95\% LCL | 610.4 | 8.1 | 965.4 | 75.1 |
| UCL | 1223.2 | 42.2 | 1597.9 | 179.2 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 1986-91 |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 754.2 | 15.7 | 1153.7 | 133.7 |
| 95\% LCL | 539.6 | 12.9 | 834.6 | 82.5 |
| UCL | 968.7 | 18.5 | 1472.8 | 184.8 |
| N | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

Table 6. Counts of Atlantic salmon at the Biscay Bay River counting fence, St. Mary's Bay (SFA 9), 1983-93, and the Northeast River fishway, Placentia Bay SFA (10), 1974-93. Partial counts are in parentheses and are not included in means.

|  | Biscay Bay River |  | Northeast River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Small salmon | Large salmon | Small salmon | Large salmon |
| 1974 |  |  | 223 | 9 |
| 1975 |  |  | (186) | (36) |
| 1976 |  |  | 294 | 56 |
| 1977 |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  |  | 390 | 32 |
| 1979 |  |  | 454 | 37 |
| 1980 |  |  | 433 | 34 |
| 1981 |  |  | (334) | (62) |
| 1982 |  |  | (86) | (36) |
| 1983 | 2330 | 88 | 233 | 22 |
| 1984 | 2430 | 83 | 419 | 44 |
| 1985 | (1377) | (21) | 384 | 0 |
| 1986 | 2516 | 101 | 725 | 39 |
| 1987 | (1302) | (106) | (325) | (16) |
| 1988 | 1695 | 61 | 543 | 11 |
| 1989 | (889) | (104) | 706 | 15 |
| 1990 | 1657 | 71 | 551 | 25 |
| 1991 | 394 | 35 | 353 | 8 |
| 1992 | (1298) | (49) | 921 | 46 |
| 1993 | (1045) | (116) | 847 | 65 |
| 1984-89 |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 2213.7 | 81.7 | 555.4 | 21.8 |
| 95\% LCL | 1092.7 | 31.9 | 359.5 | -1.6 |
| UCL | 3334.7 | 131.4 | 751.3 | 45.2 |
| N | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| 1986-91 |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 1565.5 | 67.0 | 575.6 | 19.6 |
| 95\% LCL | 172.1 | 23.6 | 388.8 | 4.0 |
| UCL | 2958.9 | 110.4 | 762.4 | 35.2 |
| N | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |

Table 7. Total river returns, spawning escapement, and percentage of target spawning requirement achieved in Middle Brook and Terra Nova River, Bonavista Bay (SFA 5), 1984-93.

| Year | Total returns |  | Spawning escapement |  | Egg deposition <br> (No. $x$ 10') |  | \% of target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large |  |
| Middle Brook |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 1675 | 57 | 1265 | 57 | 2.804 | 0.332 | 134 |
| 1985 | 1283 | 27 | 745 | 27 | 1.834 | 0.157 | 85 |
| 1986 | 1547 | 15 | 758 | 15 | 2.014 | 0.087 | 90 |
| 1987 | 1053 | 19 | 866 | 19 | 2.005 | 1.107 | 90 |
| 1988 | 1337 | 14 | 629 | 14 | 1.456 | 0.081 | 66 |
| 1989 | 626 | 19 | 461 | 19 | 1.067 | 1.107 | 50 |
| 1990 | 1070 | 13 | 721 | 13 | 1.669 | 0.076 | 75 |
| 1991 | 763 | 14 | 485 | 14 | 1.123 | 0.081 | 51 |
| 1992 | 1563 | 43 | 1140 | 43 | 3.085 | 0.251 | 142 |
| 1993 | 2226 | 87 | 1927 | 87 | 4.606 | 0.508 | 218 |

Terra Nova River

| 1984 | 1534 | 107 | 1100 | 107 | 2.185 | 0.550 | 19 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1985 | 2012 | 112 | 1431 | 112 | 2.885 | 0.576 | 24 |
| 1986 | 1459 | 140 | 974 | 140 | 1.964 | 0.720 | 19 |
| 1987 | 1404 | 56 | 940 | 56 | 1.895 | 0.288 | 15 |
| 1988 | 2114 | 206 | 1617 | 206 | 3.260 | 1.059 | 30 |
| 1989 | 1377 | 142 | 1085 | 142 | 2.187 | 0.730 | 20 |
| 1990 | 1518 | 144 | 1052 | 144 | 2.121 | 0.740 | 20 |
| 1991 | 1127 | 114 | 815 | 114 | 1.643 | 0.586 | 16 |
| 1992 | 1780 | 270 | 1371 | 270 | 2.764 | 1.388 | 29 |
| $1993^{1}$ | 3017 | 470 | 2533 | 470 | 5.107 | 2.416 | 53 |

${ }^{1}$ Based on incomplete count.

Table 8. Total river returns, spawning escapement, and percentage of target spawning requirement achieved in Biscay Bay River, St. Mary's Bay (SFA 9) and Northeast River, Placentia Bay (SFA 10), 1984-93.

| Year | Total returns |  | Spawning escapement |  | Egg deposition (No. $x 10^{6}$ ) |  | \% of target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Small | Large | Small | Large |  |
| Biscay Bay River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 2430 | 83 | 2108 | 83 | 5.487 | 0.373 | 199 |
| $1985{ }^{1}$ | 1638 | 21 | 1109 | 21 | 2.887 | 0.094 | 101 |
| 1986 | 2688 | 101 | 2184 | 101 | 5.685 | 0.454 | 208 |
| $1987^{1}$ | 1393 | 106 | 1171 | 106 | 3.048 | 0.476 | 119 |
| 1988 | 1802 | 61 | 1333 | 61 | 3.470 | 0.274 | 127 |
| $1989^{1}$ | 981 | 104 | 805 | 104 | 2.095 | 0.467 | 87 |
| 1990 | 1670 | 73 | 1328 | 73 | 3.457 | 0.328 | 128 |
| 1991 | 394 | 35 | 384 | 35 | 0.999 | 0.157 | 39 |
| $1992^{1}$ | 1323 | 49 | 1249 | 49 | 3.251 | 0.220 | 118 |
| 1993 ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 1055 | 116 | 756 | 116 | 1.968 | 0.521 | 84 |
| Northeast River, Placentia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 459 | 44 | 389 | 44 | 1.219 | 0.198 | 197 |
| 1985 | 519 | 0 | 346 | 0 | 1.095 | 0.000 | 152 |
| 1986 | 879 | 39 | 645 | 39 | 2.314 | 0.175 | 346 |
| $1987^{1}$ | 350 | 16 | 317 | 16 | 1.020 | 0.072 | 152 |
| 1988 | 637 | 11 | 451 | 11 | 1.451 | 0.049 | 209 |
| 1989 | 809 | 15 | 599 | 15 | 1.928 | 0.067 | 277 |
| 1990 | 699 | 25 | 526 | 25 | 1.693 | 0.112 | 251 |
| 1991 | 368 | 8 | 349 | 8 | 1.123 | 0.036 | 161 |
| 1992 | 956 | 46 | 919 | 46 | 2.957 | 0.207 | 440 |
| 1993 | 976 | 65 | 843 | 65 | 2.713 | 0.292 | 418 |

${ }^{1}$ Based on incomplete count.


Fig. 1. Map showing the 14 Salmon Fishing Areas of the Newfoundland Region.




Fig. 4. Percentage of retained SFA catch of small salmon and effort expenditure up to the time of closure of the first quota period attained by various rivers in SFA 10 in 1993.


Fig. 5. Counts of small and large salmon at the lower Terra Nova River fishway and Middle Brook fishway, 1974-93. The solid horizontal line represents the 1984-89 mean and the broken line the 1986-91 mean. $P=$ partial count, not included in means.


Fig. 6. Counts of small and large salmon at the Northeast River fishway and the Biscay Bay River counting fence, 1974-93. The solid horizontal line represents the 1984-89 mean and the broken line the 1986-91 mean. $\mathrm{P}=$ partial count, not included in means.

Appendix 1．Atlantic salmon recreational fishery catch and effort data for Middle Brook， Bonavista Bay（SFA 5），1974－93．Ret．＝retained fish；Rel．＝released fish．

| YEAR | EFFORT | －SMALL（＜63CM） |  |  | LARGE $1 \geq 63 \mathrm{CM})$ |  |  | TOTAL（SMALL＋LARGE） |  |  | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | REL． | тот． | RET． |  | тот | RET． | REL． | тот． |  |
|  | ROD DAYS | RET． | REL． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 288 | － | 288 | 0.16 |
| 1974 | 1823 | 277 | － | 277 | 11 | － | 11 | 423 | － | 423 | 0.26 |
| 1975 | 1635 | 415 | ． | 415 | 8 | － | 2 | 282 | － | 282 | 0.21 |
| 1976 | 1339 | 280 | － | 280 | 3 | $\cdot$ | 3 | 770 | ． | 770 | 0.51 |
| 1977 | 1511 | 767 | － | 767 | 1 | $\cdot$ | 1 | 392 | ． | 392 | 0.30 |
| 1978 | 1322 | 391 | － | 391 28 | 0 | － | 0 | 28 | － | 28 | 0.13 |
| 1979 | 211 | 28 | － | 28 542 | 2 | － | 2 | 544 | ． | 544 | 0.40 |
| 1980 | 1358 | 542 | － | 542 587 | 0 | － | 0 | 587 | ． | 587 | 0.37 |
| 1981 | 1574 | 587 | － | 587 504 | 8 | － | 8 | 512 | － | 512 | 0.21 |
| 1982 | 2481 | 504 | － | 504 372 | 20 | － | 20 | 392 | － | ． 392 | 0.26 |
| 1983 | 1505 | 372 | － | 372 410 | 0 | ． | 0 | 410 | － | 410 | 0.15 |
| 1984 | 2712 | 410 | － | 410 | 0 | ； | 0 | 538 | ． | 538 | 0.23 |
| 1985 | 2319 | 538 | － | 538 | ＊ | ＊ | ＊ | 789 | － | 789 | 0.34 |
| 1986 | 2307 | 789 | － | 789 | ＊ | ＊ | ＊ | 187 | ． | 187 | 0.22 |
| 1987 | 840 | 187 | － | 187 | ＊ | ＊ | ＊ | 708 | ． | 708 | 0.46 |
| 1988 | 1545 | 708 | － | 708 | ＊ | ＊ | ＊ | 165 |  | 165 | 0.23 |
| （1）B＇） | 712 | 165 | － | 165 |  | ＊ | ＊ | 349 | ． | 349 | 0.37 |
| しリリ0 | 949 | 349 | ． | 349 | ＊ | ＊ | ＊ | 278 | ． | 278 | 0.31 |
| 1991 | 903 | 278 | 17 | 278 |  | 0 | 0 | 423 | 17 | 440 | 0.28 |
| じリ） | 1584 | 423 | 17 | 440 | 0 | 37 | 37 | 299 | 424 | 723 | 0.54 |
| しいり3 | 1327 | 299 | 387 | 686 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MLANS．95\％Confidence himits，N＇S： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 522.0 | － | 522.0 | 0.27 |
| 84－89 | 1919.0 | 522.0 | $\bullet$ | 522.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 308.0 |  | 308.0 | 0.15 |
| 9 5\％CL | 988．7 | 308.0 | 0 | 308.0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| N | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 457.8 |  | 457.8 | 0.36 |
| B6－91 | 1283.2 | 457.8 | － | 457．8 | － |  |  | 341.3 |  | 341.3 | 0.09 |
| 95\％．CL | 809.3 | 341.3 | 0 | 341.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| N | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1987 DATA NOT INCLUDED IN MEAN．
in tIIE ABOVE TABLE A PERIOD INDICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR．
CPUE IS BASED ON RETAINED＋RELEASED FISII FOR 1992 AND 1993 AND
＊NOT allowed to retain large salmon in insular newfoundiand

Appendix 2．Atlantic salmon recreational fishery catch and effort data for Terra Nova River （Maccles Brook included），Bonavista Bay（SFA 5），1974－93．Ret．＝retained fish；Rel．＝ released fish．

| SMALL（ 63 CM ） |  |  |  |  | LARGE（ ${ }^{\text {c }} 63 \mathrm{CM}$ ） |  |  | TOTAL（SMALL＋LARGE） |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year r | EFFORT | RET． | REL． | тот | RET． | REL． | TOT． | RET． | REL． | TOT． | CPUE |
|  | ROD DAYS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 248 | － | 248 | 0.12 |
| 1974 | 2098 | 243 | － | 243 | 5 |  | 2 | 508 | － | 508 | 0.29 |
| 1975 | 1723 | 506 | － | 506 | 7 |  | 7 | 431 | ． | 431 | 0.35 |
| 1976 | 1236 | 424 | － | 424 | 13 |  | 13 | 863 | ． | 863 | 0.44 |
| 1977 | 1956 | 850 | － | 850 | 13 | － | 6 | 634 | － | 634 | 0.39 |
| 1978 | 1608 | 628 | － | 628 537 | 6 15 | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | 15 | 552 | － | 552 | 0.61 |
| 1979 | 910 | 537 |  | 5512 | 22 | ． | 22 | 534. | － | 534 | 0.61 0.59 |
| 1980 | 872 | 512 | － | 5739 | 33 | ． | 33 | 772 | － | 772 489 | 0.59 0.42 |
| 1901 | 1303 | 739 |  | 465 | 24 | ． | 24 | 489 | － | 489 529 | 0.42 |
| 1982 | 1174 | 465 | － | 465 | 43 | ． | 43 | 529 | － | 529 636 | 0.25 0.31 |
| 1983 | 2157 | 486 | － | 486 636 | 0 | － | 0 | 636 | － | 636 751 | 0.11 0.41 |
| 1984 | 2042 | 636 | － | 636 751 |  | ＊ | ＊ | 751 | － | 751 620 | 0.41 0.42 |
| 1985 | 1810 | 751 | － | 620 | ＊ | ＊ | ＊ | 620 | － | 620 | 0.42 0.31 |
| 1986 | 1485 | 620 | － | 546 | ＊ | ＊ | ＊ | 546 | － | 546 | 0.31 0.42 |
| 1987 | 1764 | 546 | － | 546 682 | ＊ | ＊ | ＊ | 682 | － | 682 | 0.42 0.18 |
| 1988 | 1613 | 682 | － | 357 | ＊ | ＊ | ＊ | 357 | － | 357 | 0.18 0.29 |
| （！） 89 | 1946 | 357 | － | 624 | ＊ | ＊ | ＊ | 624 | － | 624 | 0.29 0.26 |
| 1990 | 2165 | 624 | － | 424 | ＊ | ＊ | ＊ | 448 | － | 448 | 0．26 |
| 1リリ1 | 1701 | 448 | 14 | 448 550 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 409 | 141 | 550 |  |
| 1リ）2 | 2488 | 409 | 141 | 550 1053 | 0 | 62 | 62 | 484 | 631 | 1115 | 0.28 |
| （リ） 3 | 3925 | 484 | 569 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MLINS， $95 \%$ CONFIDENCE LIMITS，N＇S： 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 609.2 | － | 609.2 |  |
| 8：1－8） | 1779.2 | 609.2 | － | 609.2 |  | ． |  | 186.1 | ， | 186.1 | 0.13 |
| 35\％CL | 285.9 | 186.1 | 0 |  | i | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| N | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  | 546.2 |  | 546.2 | 0.31 |
|  | 1782.0 | 546.2 | － | 546.2 | － | － | － | 546.2 170.5 | － | 170.5 | 0.12 |
| $95 \%$ CL | 338.3 | 170.5 | 0 | 170.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| N | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 1987 data not Included IN MEAN

IN TIE ABOVE TABLE A PERIOD INDICATES NO DATA GOR THAT YEAR．ON RETAINED FISH ONLY，PRIOR TO 1992.
CPUE IS BASED ON RETAINED＋RELEASED FISH FOR 1992 AND 1993 AND
NOT ALLOWED TO RETAIN LARGE SALMON IN INSULAR NEHFOUNDLAND

Appendix 3. Atlantic salmon recreational fishery catch and effort data. for Bisćay Bay River, St. Mary's Bay (SFA 9), 1974-93. Ret. = retained fish; Rel. = released fish.


## 1987 DATA NOT INCLUDED IN MEAN.

N TUE ABOVE TABLE A PERIOD INDICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR
(
PUE LS

Appendix 4. Atlantic salmon recreational fishery catch and effort data for Northeast River, Placentia Bay (SFA 9), 1974-93. Ret. = retained fish; Rel. = released fish.


1987 data not included in mean.
IN tHE ABOVE TABLE A PERIOD INDICATES NO DATA FOR THAT YEAR. ON RETAINED FISH ONLY gRIOR TO 1992.
CPUE IS BASED ON RETAINED+RELEASED FISII FOR 1992 AND 1993 AND
not allowed to retain large salmon in insular newfoundland.

