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The annual catches of haddock from NAFO Subdivision 5Ze have declined since 1965, when peak landings of $150,362 \mathrm{t}$ were recorded. During the period 1935-1960, the average annual landings of haddock was $46,000 \mathrm{t}$. The declining trend since 1965 is attributed to either poor year-classes or prerecruitment overexploitation of strong year-classes. After a moderate increase in landings between 1976 and 1980, catches have once more shown a decline with 11,876 t taken in 1983. Landings during the $1976-79$ period were probably underestimated due to discarding and misreporting in the US fishery. Since 1963, Canadian landings have comprised about $23 \%$ of the total.

Indicators of stock strength (numbers caught, biomass) calculated from US surveys continue to indicate declining abundance since 1979. Catch-perunit effort data for tonnage class 4 stern trawlers has also been declining since the late 1970's although this trend is less apparent in TC 5 stern trawlers. Recruitment indices calculated from the survey catch-at-age data indicate that the 1983 year-class may be relatively strong.

A sequential population analysis was conducted for the period 19641983, assuming $M=0.20$ and with fully-recruited $F$ 's ( 0.40 ) determined by examination of the relationship between $\ln$ age $3+$ population numbers in year ${ }_{t+1}$ (from cohort analysis) vs in age $2+$ in year (from the research surveys). Fishing mortality for the fully recruited ages was greater than the $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ of 0.26 in recent years and the $2+$ population numbers suggest the lowest population level since 1972. However, since there are uncertainties associated with both the catch-at-age matrix and the cohort analysis, interpretation of these results should be made with caution.

## RE SUME

Dans la subdivision 5 Ze de $l^{\prime} O P A N O$, les prises annuelles d'aiglefin ont baissé depuis 1965, année où les débarquements ont atteint 150362 t . De 1935 à 1960, les débarquements d'aiglefin représentaient en moyenne 46000 t annuellement. La tendance à la baisse qui se manifeste depuis 1965 serait due à la médiocrité des classes d'âges ou à la surexploitation en prérecrutement des classes d'âges fortes. Après une augmentation modérée de 1976 à 1980, les prises ont de nouveau baissé et en 1983 elles étaient de 11876 t . Il est probable que les débarquements de 1976 à 1979 ont été sous-estimés en raison des rejets et des déclarations erronées du côté américain. Depuis 1963, les débarquements au Canada représentent $23 \%$ du total.

Les indices de l'importance des stocks (nombre de poissons capturés, biomasse) établis d'après les relevés américains dénotent une baisse depuis 1979. La prise par unite d'effort de pêche des chalutiers arrières de catégorie de tonnage $\mathrm{n}_{4}$ a également baissé depuis la fin des années soixante-dix, mais 1a tendance est moins apparente qu'avec les chalutiers de catégorie no5. Les indices de recrutement déterminés d'après l'âge à la capture montrent que la classe d'âge de 1983 pourrait être relativement importante.

On a fait l'analyse de population séquentielle de 1964 à 1983 en supposant un $M$ de 0,20 et en appliquant les valeurs de $F$ au recrutement ( 0,40 ) déterminées en étudiant la relation entre le 1 n des populations d'âge $3+$ de l'année $t+1$ (d'après l'analyse de cohorte) par comparaison au $\ln$ des populations d'âge $2+$ de l'année $t$ (d'après les relevés). La mortalité par pêche pour les âges pleinement recruités était supérieure à 0,26 valeur du $F_{0,1}$ de ces dernières années et, si l'on en juge par le nombre de poissons dans l'âge $2+$, la population serait au plus bas depuis 1972. Il est cependant recommandé d'user de circonspection dans l'interprétation de ces résultats, car la matrice de l'âge à la capture et l'analyse de cohorte comportent un certain degré d'incertitude.

## INTRODUCTION

Evaluations of the Georges Bank haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus) stock size were conducted in 1969 (Hennemuth 1969), 1975 (Clark 1975) and 1976 (Clark 1976) under the auspices of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries (ICNAF). Since 1977, stock assessments have been carried out every year by the U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) in Woods Hole (Clark and Palmer 1978; Clark and Overholtz 1979; Clark and Essig 1980; Clark et al. 1981, 1982a; Overholtz 1982; Overholtz et al. 1983). In addition, Canada has conducted stock assessments since 1983 (0'Boyle MS 1983).

As noted by O'Boyle, a variety of methods have been used to establish stock size. In 1978 and 1979, Virtual Population Analysis (VPA) was employed. In 1980, it was felt that the unreliability of catch-at-age data in recent years precluded the use of VPA (Clark and Essig 1980). Historical relationships between survey indices and VPA results were applied to current survey results to predict stock size in 1981 and 1982. The exact form of the relationship used varied from one assessment to another. The 1982 assessment also employed a modification of the Delury Method (Collie and Sissenwine MS 1982).

The assessment documented here uses data from NMFS sources to determine stock size using cohort analyses.

## STOCK STRUCTURE

The Georges Bank stock of haddock is generally considered a separate stock, distinct from those adjacent on Browns Bank and the Gulf of Maine. In the case of Browns Bank, early workers have postulated the existence of a separate stock there based on growth rate examination (Needler 1930) or vertebrae counts (Vladykov 1935). However, in both cases only average values were reported with no estimates of variance which would permit statistical corroboration. A more convincing study was completed by Schuck and Arnold (1951) who compared data obtained from scale examination and concluded that the growth rate of Georges Bank haddock was significantly greater than that of Browns Bank fish. They further stated that the growth rate differences were due to ecological or hereditary factors and that significant intermigrations during bottom-dwelling life-history stages did not occur.

Possible interchanges of earlier life history stages of haddock were examined and rejected by Walford (1938) who concluded that in 1931 and 1932, the Georges Bank stock did not receive any recruits from other areas. Grosslein and Hennemuth (1973) noted that surface circulation patterns in Georges and Browns Banks tended to result in the retention of planktonic offspring in the regions in which they were spawned, a view also held by Bolz and Lough (1983). However, Grosslein and Hennemuth (1973) also noted generally good correlations between catches of pelagic 0 -group haddock on Georges and Browns Banks. Some authors have considered Grosslein and Hennemuth's observation as evidence that mixing of larvae may occur between the two spawning centres. However, as noted by Bourne and Clark (1983),
synchrony of juvenile haddock abundance may simply reflect favourable environmental conditions affecting recruitment uniformly among adjacent stocks.

Tagging studies have indicated that the deep water of the Fundian Channel is an obstacle to the passage of demersal haddock between Browns and Georges Banks (Needler 1930; Schroeder 1942; Grosslein 1962). Similar tagging efforts have indicated little interchange between Georges Bank and the Gulf of Maine stocks (Schroeder 1942; McCracken 1960; Grosslein 1962). However, of the two stocks postulated to occur in the Gulf of Maine (Grosslein 1962), members of one stock sometimes are caught on Georges Bank. However, Georges Bank fish were not caught in the Gulf of Maine (Bourne and Clark 1983).

In summary, the available data suggest that the Georges Bank stock (5Ze) is a discrete stock and is treated as such in this assessment.

## HISTORY OF THE FISHERY

The history of the Georges Bank fishery can be partitioned into five more or less distinct periods: a) partial exploitation (up to 1905); b) rapid growth and initial over exploitation (1906-1930); c) recovery, stabilization and full exploitation (1931-1960); d) development of the Soviet and European fishery, over exploitation and collapse (1961-1976) and e) partial recovery (1977-present).

## Early History (1880-1960's)

During the period 1880-1903, haddock landings averaged about 24,500 t. Virtually all the catch was taken by New England schooners. Hand lining from deck and later from dories preceded the development of line trawling which remained viable well into the 1920's. Haddock was not as commercially important as cod due to its inferior salting properties and hence was not usually the object of a directed fishery.

The period 1905-1929 was one of rapid growth for the haddock fishery. By the end of the $1920^{\prime}$ s, haddock had replaced cod as the major New England fishery. The increase in landings was mainly due to advances in gear technology and processing techniques. Otter trawls were first introduced into New England from the European North Sea fishery in 1905. In 1915, less than $25 \%$ of the haddock catch was landed by steam otter trawlers. By 1929, over $83 \%$ of the total catch was taken by this gear (Herrington 1936). Whereas the development of the otter trawl fishery increased the capacity for exploitation, the introduction of processing techniques in 1924 for the packaging of fresh and frozen haddock fillets provided the industry with new and seemingly inexhaustable markets. Accordingly, haddock catches almost trebeled to a record $115,500 \mathrm{t}$ between 1924 and 1929.

In the early thirties, haddock catches declined markedly to $26,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1934. At least part of the decline was attributed to the removal of tremendous numbers of small haddock. Investigations indicated that up to
$80 \%$ of the total number of haddock caught by otter trawlers were below market size and presumably discarded (Herrington 1936). However, catches slowly recovered and remained relatively stable at the estimated long term sustainable catch ( $52,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) until the beginning of the 1960 's. In 1951, by international agreement, management of fish resources in the area came under the jurisdiction of ICNAF. After thorough study, a minimum mesh size of 114 mm in the body and codend was adopted. This measure undoubtedly reduced wastage of undersized haddock and other groundfish (Graham and Premetz 1955; Clark 1956).

The total annual catches for Georges Bank haddock are presented in Fig. 1. A high rate of exploitation of Georges Bank haddock by European and Soviet fleets began in the early $1960^{\prime} s$. Canadian and US effort also increased and an all time record of $150,362 \mathrm{t}$ was landed in 1965 (Fig. 2, Table 1). The USSR alone accounted for over $54 \%$ of the total landings ( $81,882 \mathrm{t}$ ). These catches were not sustained for long and within 5 years, the landings had fallen to less than one-tenth the 1965 level. The problem was compounded by poor recruitment in the mid to late 1960's. For the first time in the history of the fishery, a total allowable catch (TAC) was imposed in 1970 under ICNAF. Initially TAC's were set at $12,000 \mathrm{t}$ (1970, 1971) but this was later halved in 1972, 1973, 1975 and 1976. In 1974, it was set at zero with provision for a $6,000 \mathrm{t}$ by-catch. The spawning grounds (Fig. 3) were also closed to all trawlers during the months of March to May and the minimum codend mesh size was increased to 130 mm . Catches declined to a record low in 1974 with 4,290 t being landing. The Canadian catch was 462 t , the lowest since that fishery was established in the early 1960's.

## Recent History (1970 - present)

The declaration of a 200 mile economic zone by the US in 1976 and Canada in 1977 marked a major turning point in the participation and management of the fishery. Although much of Georges Bank fell within the Canada/US "disputed zone", management and exploitation of the haddock fishery was completely under the control of the two countries. In the US, management fell under the auspices of the New England Regional Fishery Management Council (NERFMC) and a Fisheries Management Plan (FMP) was implemented in 1977. Initially a TAC system not unlike that adopted by ICNAF was followed. The TAC's were set at levels which would cause speedy recovery of the stock. Optimism in a strong 1975 year-class resulted in the raising of TAC's in 1977 and 1978 but this did not keep pace with the increased catch rates. Since mandatory reporting was in effect, massive discarding and misreporting was practiced during this period. Overholtz et al. 1983 estimated that actual catches in 1977 and 1980 were about twice the reported landings ( $20,531 \mathrm{vs} 10,843 \mathrm{t}$; $51,084 \mathrm{vs} 27,571 \mathrm{t}$, respectively).

On March 31, 1981, the Interim Plan for Atlantic Groundfish (IPAG) was implemented. Catch quotas were abandoned. Spawning area closures, increased minimum mesh size regulation (to 140 mm ), minimum landed size ( 43 cm ) and voluntary reporting were adopted as management strategies.

Total US landings continued to decline from 1981 to 1983 reaching a low of $8,669 \mathrm{t}$. This was due mainly to poor recruitment in recent years. A breakdown of the US landings by month is given in Table 2.

Since 1963 Canadian landings have averaged about $23 \%$ of the total landings. The highest level (\% of total) was observed in 1978 (46\%). This has stabilized at about $26 \%$ in the last 3 years. Trends in Canadian catches follow those of total US landings (Fig. 2). The 1983 Canadian landings ( $3,208 \mathrm{t}$ ) are the lowest since 1977 .

The majority of the Canadian catch has been taken by Nova Scotian trawlers, mainly tonnage class (TC) 4 , side and TC 4 and 5 stern trawlers (Tables 3 and 4; Fig. 4, 5). Since 1978, the relative contribution of the TC 4 side trawlers has steadily declined and in 1983, no landings were reported. Tonnage class 5 stern trawlers continued to take the majority of the catch although the portion of the landings taken by TC 2 and 3 has increased dramatically since 1977. In 1983 , TC 2 and 3 vessels landed $32 \%$ of the catch vs $35 \%$ for TC 5. Landings by longliners have been relatively low but have increased since 1980 to 815 t in 1983 ( $25 \%$ of the total reported Canadian catch).

## AGE COMPOSITION OF LANDINGS

## Sampling Frequency of Canadian Landings

Sampling of Canadian landings (1968-1983) has been confined almost exclusively to TC 4 and 5 otter trawlers (Table 3). Sampling intensity in 1983 was relatively poor, consisting of 8 samples from stern trawlers ( 6 for TC 5, 2 for TC 3).

## Construction of the Catch-at-Age Matrix

For the present assessment, the US catch-at-age matrix reported in Overholtz et al. 1983 was taken to represent the total landed catch. As noted by O'Boyle (MS 1983) different procedures were used to estimate age composition depending on whether catches were made using regulation-mesh trawl gear (Canada, Spain, US and Ireland) or small-mesh trawl gear (USSR, Romania and Poland). In the former case, US sampling information was used exclusively, except in 1975 and 1976 when Canadian sampling data from the first half of the year were used. Monthly length frequencies were used to provide length compositions of the corresponding nominal catch. These were then combined for 3 -month periods or quarters and the appropriate age-1ength keys applied to give quarterly catch-at-age compositions which were further combined for yearly estimates (Clark et al. 1982b).

The small-mesh catches were handled differently due to a lack of sampling information. Using 1973 USSR sampling and US survey data, a retention curve for USSR trawls relative to the survey gear was calculated (Pope et al. 1975). This curve was then applied to US spring, summer, and fall survey length frequencies for the $1963-76$ period to estimate length frequency composition of small-mesh gear catches. Then, as described above, the catch-at-age matrix was estimated by applying these length frequencies to the appropriate monthly catch, combining over each quarter and applying the appropriate age-length key derived from groundfish survey data. Only in 1966 and 1973 were USSR length frequencies used to determine age-size composition.

Additional attempts were made (Overholtz et al. 1983) to estimate the discarding of the 1975 year-class in 1977 and 1978, the 1972 year-class in 1974 and the 1978 year-class in 1980. Discarding of the 1977 and 1972 yearclasses was estimated using data from vessel captain interviews. Two indices were developed and their average taken to estimate the discarding. The first was the ratio of the total trips with haddock landings to trips with discard information, times the reported discarding from the latter. The second was the ratio of discarded to landed fish (from interviewed trips) applied to the total landings. Although discarding of the 1978 yearclass in 1980 and 1981 was known from industry reports and sampling trips to be substantial, no interview data were available to estimate the extent of the problem. Hence, an average $Z$ was calculated from NEFC spring and autumn RV survey catch-at-age ( $Z=0.98$ ) and this was used with VPA calculated age 3 numbers to estimate total catch ( 31 million fish) at age 2. Discards were then estimated by subtraction.

## The Catch-at-Age Matrix

Historically, the 5Ze haddock fishery has been dominated by 1 or 2 yearclasses (Fig. 6). The 1962 and 1963 year-classes largely supported the fishery from 1965 to 1972 while the large 1975 year-class recruited to the fishery with combined landings and discards greater than 19 million fish (Table 5; Fig. 6). More recently, the 1978 year-class has sustained the fishery since 1980. Unfortunately, these strong year-classes have not resulted in a recovery of the stock, as over-exploitation of younger fish has greatly reduced the potential reproduction and yield of the stock. The age composition of total landings (percentage numbers and weight) are shown in Tables 6 and 7, respectively. The mean weight at age of Georges Bank haddock is shown in Table 8 and Fig. 7.

The Canadian catch at age for otter trawls is shown in Table 9 and Fig. 6. Due to the relatively poor sampling intensity, these values should be viewed with caution. Since 1977, the major difference between the Canadian and US catches-at-age has been the relative importance of the 1975 year class. From 1977 to 1982, the year-class has accounted for 91, 86, 69, 15,15 , and $17 \%$ (by numbers) of the total US catch. The corresponding values from the Canadian sampling are $24,40,62,5,11$, and $3 \%$. The greatest difference was in 1978 when the Canadian catch accounted for $46 \%$ of the total and only 40 vs $91 \%$ (US) was attributed to the 1975 year-class. It would appear that only part of this discrepancy can be explained by the lack of adjustment for discarding in the Canadian landings.

In 1983, the 1978 and 1977 year-classes accounted for 43 and $24 \%$ (by numbers), respectively, of the Canadian otter trawl landings.

## STOCK ABUNDANCE TRENDS

## U. S. Groundfish Survey Abundance Indices

Trawl surveys have been conducted by NMFS in the autumn of each year since 1963. In addition to the autumn surveys, summer surveys have been run
sporadically since 1963 and regular spring surveys conducted since 1968. All surveys were of the stratified random design. The survey gear employed was the 'Yankee 36', except in the spring surveys when a modified 'Yankee 41 ' was used from 1973 to the present.

The trends in the survey data (numbers caught, biomass) continue to indicate declining abundance. As noted by O'Boyle (MS 1983), the relatively high stock size in 1963 declined to low values during the 1968-1974 period (Table 10, Fig. 8). Numbers caught declined before biomass due to the size of the 1963 year-class. Both biomass and numbers caught increased from 1975-1978. Biomass again started to decline in 1978 and according to the 1983 survey results, continues to decline. Numbers caught started to decline in 1980 (Fig. 8) and from the 1983 survey, appeared to be at the 1968-1974 level. The numbers caught-at-age and total mortality ( $Z$ ) are shown in Table 11.

## Canadian Groundfish Survey Abundance Indices

The results of a Canadian groundfish survey on Georges Bank in 1984 indicated higher abundance indices than those recorded from US surveys in 1983 (Table 10). However, the Canadian survey employed different gear (Western IIA) and covered different strata than those of the US surveys (Fig. 9).

## Recruitment Indices

0'Boyle (MS 1983) calculated a recruitment index based on U.S. bottom trawl survey catches in year 0 and 1 . The index was calculated by normalizing age 0 and 1 survey catches relative to the 1963-82 mean to make catch rates relative and adjust for partial recruitment to the gear. The adjusted catches at age were then averaged across the cohort (i.e. age $0+1$ catch-at-age for a given year-class/2) and used as the recruitment index (Table 12).

In order of decreasing rank, the top five year-classes from 1963-1982 were $1963,1975,1978,1980$ and 1972. The 1983 year-class also appeared relatively strong. However, anecdotal reports of discarding of that year-class have been noted (Overholtz, pers. comm.).

## Commercial Catch Rates

Since no 1983 landings were reported for TC 4 side trawlers, only CPUE data for TC 5 stern trawlers are presented (Table 13; Fig. 10). The series indicated relatively low catch rates in the late 1960's to early l970's, increasing to a peak rate in 1980 and then declining somewhat to present values. Catch-per-unit effort in 1983 was down slightly from 1982 ( 0.476 vs 0.572 t per hour).

## Sequential Population Analysis

Cohort analysis was conducted for the period 1964-83 using m = 0.2 and catch-at-age values from Overholtz et al. 1983 plus 1983 catch-at-age from Overholtz (pers. comm.). The relationship of the 1 ln age $3+$ population numbers in year $t_{+1}$ (from cohort analysis) vs $1 n$ age $2+$ survey numbers per tow in year $t$ was used to tune the cohort analysis for fully recruited $F^{\prime}$ s. Mortalities for ages 8 and $9+$ were recalculated as the arithmetic average of the age 4 to 6 values when the 1983 F's were changed. The final point ( $1982 \mathrm{RV} / 1983$ cohort) was used in the analysis. A summary of the cohort analysis for different levels of starting $F$ is given in Table 14. The fit ( $r^{2}$ ) of the above relationships was relatively insensitive to changes in input $F^{\prime} s$ and hence this criterion was not used to establish a terminal $F$ on fully recruited years. Rather, the pattern in residuals for the final 5 years was used as the determining criterion. Terminal input F's of $0.38-0.42$ gave low absolute residuals and a terminal $F$ of 0.40 was chosen. The relationship is presented in Fig. 11.

The relationship between effective effort (total annual catch divided by the catch rate) for Canadian TC 5 stern trawlers and average $F$ for ages 4-6 was initially considered for the determination of terminal $F^{\prime}$ s on fully recruited ages but this was later rejected since this fleet fishes in a relatively restricted area in $5 Z$.

The terminal $F$ for age 2 fish was determined by multiplying a partial recruitment value obtained from averaging the corresponding values for 1975-79 (the method of $0^{\prime}$ Boyle, 1981 was used) by the terminal $\mathrm{F}^{\text {'s }}$ for fully recruited ages ( 0.4 ). The average partial recruitment values for ages 1 and 2 are indicated in Table 15. The estimated terminal $F$ for age 2 was 0.18 . The relationships of $1 n$ age 1 research survey numbers per tow vs $1 n$ age 2 cohort numbers could not be used to tune the cohort analyses for age 2 because of a zero value for 1983 in the research survey index.

The terminal $F$ for age 1 was determined by minimizing the absolute residuals in the relationships of 1 n age 2 population numbers vs $\ln$ age 1 research survey numbers per tow. Results of this analysis including the pattern of residuals for a range of $\mathrm{F}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ are given in Table 16 . The final cohort run is shown in Table 17.

In summary, average $\mathrm{F}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ for fully recruited ages have been substantially higher than $F 0.1$ ( $=0.26$; Overholtz et. al. 1983) in recent years, recruitment has been generally poor and the calculated $2+$ numbers (January) for 1984 suggest the lowest population level since 1972. However, due to uncertainties in the catch-at-age matrix (Overholtz et al. 1983) and the reliance on a single index of abundance to tune the cohort analyses, conclusions from the above analysis should be made with caution.
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Table 1. Nominal catches ( $t$ ) of haddock from Georges Bank ${ }^{1}$ for 1956-19832. (--- no fishing activity, - no TAC).

| Year | USA (\%) | Canada ${ }^{6}$ (\%) | USSR (\%) | Spain (\%) | Others ${ }^{3}$ (\%) | Total | TAC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956 | 51144 (100) | - | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | 51144 | - |
| 1957 | 48561 (100) | -- | --- | -- | --- | 48561 | - |
| 1958 | 37322 (100) | -- | --- | -- | --- | 37322 | - |
| 1959 | 36051 (100) | - | -- | --- | -- | 36051 | - |
| 1960 | 40800 (100) | 77 ( 11 ) | -- | -- | --- | 40877 | - |
| 1961 | 46384 (99) | 266 (1) | --- | -- | -- | 46650 | - |
| 1962 | 49409 (91) | 3461 (6) | 1134 (2) | - | -- | 54004 | - |
| 1963 | 44150 (80) | 8379 (15) | 2317 (4) | - | --- | 54846 | - |
| 1964 | 4651.2 (73) | 11625 (18) | 5483 (9) | 2 (<1) | 464 (1) | 64086 | - |
| 1965 | 52823 (35) | 14889 (10) | 81882 (54) | 10 (<1) | 758 (<1) | 150362 | - |
| 1966 | 52918 (44) | 18292 (15) | 48409 (40) | 1111 (1) | 544 (<1) | 121274 | - |
| 1967 | 34728 (67) | 13040 (25) | 2316 (5) | 1355 (3) | 30 (<1) | 51469 | - |
| 1968 | 25469 (62) | 9323 (23) | 1397 (3) | 3014 (7) | 1720 (4) | 40923 | - |
| 1969 | 16456 (74) | 3990 (18) | 65 (<1) | 1201 (5) | 540 (2) | 22252 | - |
| 1970 | 8415 (74) | 1978 (18) | 103 (1) | 782 (7) | 22 (<1) | 11300 | 12000 |
| 1971 | 7306 (67) | 1630 (15) | 374 (3) | 1310 (12) | 242 (2) | 10862 | 12000 |
| 1972 | 3869 (67) | 609 (11) | 137 (2) | 1098 (19) | 20 (<1) | 5733 | 6000 |
| 1973 | 2777 (52) | 1563 (29) | 602 (11) | 386 (7) | 3 (<1) | 53317 | 6000 |
| 1974 | 2396 (56) | 462 (11) | 109 (3) | 764 (18) | 559 (13) | 4290 | - |
| 1975 | 3989 (74) | 1358 (25) | 8 (く1) | 61 (1) | 4 (<1) | 5420 | 6000 |
| 1976 | 2904 (67) | 1361 (31) | 4(41) | 46 (1) | 9 (<1) | 43248 | 6000 |
| 1977 | 7934 (73) | 2909 (27) | ( | -- | -...- | $10843_{9}^{8}$ | 10500 |
| 1978 | 12160 (54) | 10179 (46) | -- | -- | --- | $22339{ }^{9}$ | 20000 |
| 1979 | 14279 (73) | 5182 (27) | -- | --- | --- | $19461 ~_{10}$ | 32000 |
| 1980 | 17470 (63) | 10101 (37) | -- | --- | -- | $27571{ }_{4}^{10}$ | - |
| 1981 | 19245 ( 77 ) | 5659 (23) | --- | -- | 3 ( 1 ) | 249075 | - |
| 1982 1983 | 12649 (72) | 4931 32084 | -- | -- | --- | $17580{ }^{5}$ | - |

[^0]Table 2. Month1y breakdown of the 1983 Georges Bank haddock landings by U.S. vessels.

| Month | Landings ( $t$ ) |
| :--- | ---: |
| January | 884.7 |
| February | 1072.2 |
| March | 389.9 |
| April | 758.7 |
| May | 857.8 |
| June | 1675.6 |
| July | 736.0 |
| August | 592.5 |
| September | 513.0 |
| October | 341.3 |
| November | 485.5 |
| December | 361.7 |
|  |  |
| ToTAL | 8668.9 |

Table 3. Nominal catches ( $t)^{a}$ of haddock caught by Canadian (Maritimes and Quebec) fishing vessels on Georges Bank (NAFO Subdivision 5Ze) during 1968-1983. Numbers in parentheses indicate number of samples taken by DFO personnel.

| Year | Gear |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Otter trawlers | Long1iners | Other |  |
| 1968 | 9169 (8) | 112 | 11 | 9292 |
| 1969 | 3952 (2) | 23 | 15 | 3989 |
| 1970 | 1897 (5) | 78 | 2 | 1978 |
| 1971 | 1477 (1) | 151 | 1 | 1630 |
| 1972 | 410 | 195(1) | 3 | 609 |
| 1973 | 1459 (3) | 103 | 0 | 1563 |
| 1974 | 373 (5) | 88 | 1 | 462 |
| 1975 | 1246(5) | 107 | 0 | 1353 |
| 1976 | 1186(8) | 156 | 15 | 1357 |
| 1977 | 2787 (10) | 94 | 28 | 2909 |
| 1978 | 9494 (26) | 169 | 305 | 9968 |
| 1979 | 4807 (14) | 271 | 2 | 5080 |
| 1980 | 9367 (25) | 180 | 69 | 9616 |
| 1981 | $4639(16)_{b}$ | $430(2)$ | 2 | 5070 |
| 1982 | $4150(17){ }^{\text {b }}$ | $685{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0 b, | 4835 |
| 1983 | 2386(8) ${ }^{\text {, }}$ c | $815^{\text {b, }}$ | $7^{\text {b, }}$ | 3208 |

[^1]14 .

Table 4. Nominal catches ( $t$ ) of haddock caught by Canadian (Maritimes) otter trawlers on Georges Bank (NAFO Subdivision 5Ze) during 1968-1983. (See Fig. 5).

| Year | ```Side Otter Trawlers T.C.``` |  |  |  | ```Stern Otter Trawlers T.C.``` |  |  |  | Total <br> Otter Trawlers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 1968 | 0 | 173 | 3463 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 579 | 4836 | 9168 |
| 1969 | 1 | 7 | 791 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 225 | 2927 | 3952 |
| 1970 | 0 | 24 | 551 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 133 | 1187 | 1897 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 495 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 960 | 1477 |
| 1972 | 0 | 2 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 260 | 410 |
| 1973 | 0 | 25 | 608 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 766 | 1459 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 332 | 373 |
| 1975 | 0 | 1 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 963 | 1246 |
| 1976 | 0 | 2 | 193 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 59 | 907 | 1186 |
| 1977 | 5 | 46 | 357 | 0 | 92 | 243 | 18 | 2025 | 2787 |
| 1978 | 70 | 17 | 2369 | 0 | 237 | 812 | 351 | 5639 | 9494 |
| 1979 | 13 | 116 | 1493 | 0 | 136 | 858 | 627 | 1564 | 4807 |
| 1980 | 9 | 15 | 1419 | 1 | 354 | 365 | 950 | 6254 | 9367 |
| 1981 | 4 | 87 | 387 | 0 | 448 | 483 | 883 | 2345 | 4639 |
| 1982 | 1 | 25 | 89 | 0 | 189 | 297 | 208 | 3341 | 4150 |
| 1983 | 16 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 614 | 430 | 107 | 1129 | 2386 |

Table 5. Estimated age composition (numbers in 000 's) of haddock in landings (all countries) from Georges Bank (NAFO Division 5Z) during 1963-1983. (see also Fig. 6).

| Age | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2910 | 10101 | 9601 | 114 | 1150 | 8 | 2 | 46 | 1 | 156 | 2560 | 46 | 192 | 144 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 78 | 1 |
| 2 | 4047 | 15935 | 125818 | 6843 | 168 | 2994 | 11 | 158 | 1375 | 2 | 2057 | (4320) | 1034 | 473 | (19585) | 761 | 26 | (31000) | 1743 | 1356 | 87 |
| 3 | 7418 | 4554 | 44496 | 100810 | 2891 | 709 | 1698 | 16 | 223 | 450 | 3 | 657 | 1864 | 550 | 187 | (14395) | 1726 | 347 | 10998 | 1762 | 393 |
| 4 | 11152 | 4776 | 5356 | 19167 | 20667 | 1921 | 448 | 570 | 40 | 81 | 386 | 2 | 375 | 880 | 680 | 305 | 7169 | 975 | 831 | 3472 | 708 |
| 5 | 8198 | 8722 | 4391 | 2768 | 10338 | 14519 | 654 | 186 | 289 | 32 | 53 | 70 | 4 | 216 | 515 | 567 | 525 | 6054 | 937 | 350 | 1902 |
| 6 | 2205 | 5794 | 6690 | 2591 | 1209 | 3499 | 5954 | 214 | 246 | 120 | 30 | 2 | 42 | 1 | 357. | 517 | 410 | 594 | 2572 | 785 | 267 |
| 7 | 1405 | 2082 | 3772 | 2332 | 993 | 677 | 1574 | 2308 | 285 | 78 | 77 | 2 | 4 | 23 | 4 | 139 | 315 | 546 | 331 | 1709 | 222 |
| 8 | 721 | 1028 | 1094 | 1268 | 917 | 453 | 225 | 746 | 1469 | 66 | 15 | 53 | 4 | 4 | 39 | 14 | 96 | 153 | 158 | 156 | 874 |
| $9+$ | 1096 | 1332 | 1366 | 867 | 698 | 842 | 570 | 464 | 928 | 1236 | 447 | 249 | 88 | 112 | $111$ | 67 | 46 | $81$ | 94 | 185 | 95 |
| TOTAL | 39152 | 54324 | 202584 | 136760 | 39031 | 25622 | 11136 | 4708 | 4855 | 2221 | 5628 | (5401) | 3607 | 2403 | (21479) | (16766) | 10314 | (39758) | 17665 | 9853 | 4549 |
| Nominal Catch ( t ) | 54846 | 64086 | 150362 | 121274 | 51469 | 40923 | 22252 | 11300 | 10862 | 5733 | 5331 | (6190) | 5420 | 4324 | (20531) | (26281) | 19461 | (51084) | 24907 | 17580 | 11876 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch }^{1} \\ \text { wt. } \\ (t) \end{gathered}$ | 57731 | 67823 | 181774 | 140715 | 52065 | 41018 | 22336 | 12376 | 11998 | 6464 | 6790 | 7295 | 5545 | 4304 | 25980 | 27023 | 21100 | 43424 | 22126 | 16761 | $10352^{2}$ |
| Ratio | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.83 | 0.86 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.89 | 0.79 | 0.85 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 0.79 | 0.97 | 0.92 | 1.18 | 1.13 | 1.04 | 1.15 |

[^2]Table 6. Estimated age composition (percent numbers) of haddock in total landings from Georges Bank (NAFO Division 5Ze) during 1963-1982. Values have been adjusted to reflect estimates of discards.

| AGE | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7.43 | 18.59 | 4.74 | 0.08 | 2.95 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 7.02 | 45.49 | 0.85 | 5.32 | 5.99 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.79 | 0.02 |
| 2 | 10.34 | 29.33 | 62.11 | 5.00 | 0.43 | 11.69 | 0.10 | 3.36 | 28.32 | 0.09 | 36-55 | 79.99 | 28.67 | 19.68 | 91.18 | 4.54 | 0.25 | 77.97 | 9.87 | 13.76 | 1.91 |
| 3 | 18.95 | 8.38 | 21.96 | 73.71 | 7.41 | 2.77 | 15.25 | 0.34 | 4.59 | 20.26 | 0.05 | 12.16 | 51.68 | 22.89 | 0.87 | 85.86 | 16.73 | 0.87 | 62.26 | 17.88 | 8.64 |
| 4 | 28.48 | 8.79 | 2.64 | 14.02 | 52.95 | 7.50 | 4.02 | 12.11 | 0.82 | 3.65 | 6.86 | 0.04 | 10.40 | 36.62 | 3.17 | 1.82 | 69.51 | 2.45 | 4.70 | 35.24 | 15.56 |
| 5 | 20.94 | 16.06 | 2.17 | 2.02 | 26.49 | 56.67 | 5.87 | 3.95 | 5.95 | 1.44 | 0.94 | 1.30 | 0.11 | 8.99 | 2.40 | 3.38 | 5.09 | 15.23 | 5.30 | 3.55 | 41.81 |
| 6 | 5.63 | 10.67 | 3.30 | 1.89 | 3.10 | 13.66 | 53.47 | 4.55 | 5.07 | 5.40 | 0.53 | 0.04 | 1.16 | 0.04 | 1.66 | 3.08 | 3.98 | 1.49 | 14.56 | 7.97 | 5.87 |
| 7 | 3.59 | 3.83 | 1.86 | 1.71 | 2.54 | 2.64 | 14.13 | 49.02 | 5.87 | 3.51 | 1.37 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.96 | 0.02 | 0.83 | 3.05 | 1.37 | 1.87 | 17.34 | 4.88 |
| 8 | 1.84 | 1.89 | 0.54 | 0.93 | 2.35 | 1.77 | 2.02 | 15.85 | 30.25 | 2.97 | 0.27 | 0.98 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.93 | 0.38 | 0.89 | 1.58 | 21.41 |
| 9+ | 2.80 | 2.45 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 1.79 | 3.29 | 5.12 | 9.86 | 19.11 | 55.65 | 7.94 | 4.61 | 2.44 | 4.66 | 0.52 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.20 | 0.53 | 1.88 | 2.09 |

Table 7. Estimated age composition (percent weight) of haddock in total landings from Georges Bank (Nafo Division 5Ze) during 1963-1982. Values have been adjusted to reflect estimates of discards.

| AGE | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.87 | 7.45 | 3.06 | 0.05 | 1.46 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 1.50 | 22.62 | 0.45 | 2.15 | 2.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 6.10 | 19.50 | 47.76 | 3.55 | 0.23 | 5.91 | 0.04 | 1.62 | 11.80 | 0.03 | 31.20 | 62.77 | 18.27 | 10.88 | 80.66 | 2.65 | 0.12 | 51.40 | 5.20 | 4.29 | 0.45 |
| 3 | 15.16 | 7.52 | 25.21 | 63.76 | 5.28 | 1.81 | 8.36 | 0.16 | 2.43 | 12.11 | 0.07 | 16.39 | 54.79 | 17.76 | 1.04 | 79.90 | 10.47 | 0.96 | 47.72 | 11.25 | 4.10 |
| 4 | 28.40 | 10.07 | 3.98 | 17.16 | 46.84 | 6.18 | 3.39 | 8.89 | 0.58 | 2.56 | 12.11 | 0.06 | 14.95 | 40.68 | 5.68 | 2.30 | 68.63 | 4.33 | 4.62 | 32.52 | 10.83 |
| 5 | 23.86 | 21.09 | 4.03 | 3.34 | 28.20 | 55.57 | 5.12 | 3.29 | 5.76 | 1.20 | 1.88 | 2.72 | 0.16 | 13.35 | 5.41 | 5.85 | 6.24 | 32.07 | 6.61 | 4.78 | 42.43 |
| 6 | 8.21 | 17.17 | 7.32 | 3.81 | 4.76 | 17.91 | 53.05 | 4.13 | 5.76 | 5.42 | 1.45 | 0.10 | 2.23 | 0.06 | 4.41 | 6.10 | 6.10 | 4.02 | 27.32 | 10.49 | 5.83 |
| 7 | 5.72 | 7.37 | 4.69 | 3.78 | 4.41 | 3.83 | 17.76 | 48.11 | 6.94 | 3.69 | 3.88 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 1.97 | 0.06 | 1.73 | 5.64 | 4.85 | 4.23 | 28.96 | 6.14 |
| 8 | 3.80 | 4.00 | 1.60 | 2.59 | 4.68 | 2.89 | 3.01 | 19.47 | 37.95 | 3.51 | 0.85 | 2.85 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.60 | 0.19 | 1.72 | 1.46 | 2.70 | 2.98 | 26.48 |
| 9+ | 5.89 | 5.83 | 2.34 | 1.96 | 4.16 | 5.87 | 9.26 | 14.06 | 28.77 | 69.98 | 25.94 | 14.54 | 6.87 | 12.85 | 2.13 | 1.27 | 1.06 | 0.90 | 1.61 | 4.46 | 3.74 |

Table 8. Mean weights (kg, whole) of haddock by age-group, as observed from samples of USA commercial landings from Georges Bank (NAFO Division 5Z) during 1963-1982. Values in parentheses are estimates based on the mean of the weights for 1963-1979 for that particular age group.

| AGE | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | $1980^{\text {a }}$ | $1981{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $1982^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.66 | 0.59 | 0.52 | 0.71 | (0.6) | 0.62 | 0.60 | 0.72 | 0.62 | 0.50 | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) |
| 2 | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.69 | 0.73 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 0.78 | 1.27 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.06 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1.07 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 0.72 | 0.67 | (0.53) |
| 3 | 1.18 | 1.12 | 1.03 | 0.89 | 0.95 | 1.05 | 1.10 | 1.22 | 1.31 | 1.74 | 1.58 | 1.82 | 1.63 | 1.39 | 1.44 | 1.5 | 1.28 | 1.2 | 0.96 | (1.07) |
| 4 | 1.47 | 1.43 | 1.35 | 1.26 | 1.18 | 1.32 | 1.69 | 1.93 | 1.74 | 2.04 | 2.13 | 2.32 | 2.21 | 1.99 | 2.17 | 2.04 | 2.02 | 1.93 | 1.23 | (1.57) |
| 5 | 1.68 | 1.64 | 1.67 | 1.70 | 1.42 | 1.57 | 1.75 | 2.19 | 2.39 | 2.42 | 2.41 | 2.83 | 2.20 | 2.66 | 2.73 | 2.79 | 2.51 | 2.3 | 1.56 | (2.29) |
| 6 | 2.15 | 2.01 | 1.99 | 2.07 | 2.05 | 2.10 | 1.99 | 2.39 | 2.81 | 2.92 | 3.29 | 3.76 | 2.94 | (2.63) | 3.21 | 3.19 | 3.14 | 2.94 | 2.35 | (2.24) |
| 7 | 2.35 | 2.40 | 2.26 | 2.28 | 2.31 | 2.32 | 2.52 | 2.58 | 2.92 | 3.06 | 3.42 | 4.05 | 4.00 | 3.69 | 4.15 | 3.37 | 3.78 | 3.86 | 2.83 | (2.84) |
| 8 | 3.04 | 2.64 | 2.66 | 2.87 | 2.66 | 2.62 | 2.99 | 3.23 | 3.10 | 3.44 | 3.86 | 3.92 | 4.05 | 4.67 | 4.00 | 3.61 | 3.79 | 4.13 | 3.78 | (3.21) |
| $9+$ | 3.10 | 2.97 | 3.11 | 3.18 | 3.10 | 2.86 | 3.63 | 3.75 | 3.72 | 3.66 | 3.94 | 4.26 | 4.33 | 4.94 | 4.99 | 5.11 | 4.87 | 4.83 | 3.79 | (4.04) |

[^3]Table 9. Estimated age composition (percent numbers) of haddock in landings by Canada from Georges Bank (NAFO Subdivision 5 Ze ) during 1963-1983. (--- no data). All values based on otter trawl samples.

| AGE | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.07 | 0.93 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -- | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.04 | 0.0 | 0.06 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0.28 | 14.72 | 58.66 | 1.79 | 0.00 | 21.60 | 0.26 | 8.21 | 6.05 | -- | 51.94 | 25.62 | 10.33 | 11.73 | 23.88 | 0.36 | 0.03 | 47.38 | 4.84 | 13.09 | 0.39 |
| 3 | 11.51 | 9.20 | 26.88 | 63.35 | 2.86 | 5.39 | 6.64 | 10.17 | 25.15 | --- | 24.72 | 62.37 | 35.12 | 19.88 | 58.12 | 40.19 | 3.31 | 43.68 | 60.40 | 21.76 | 17.46 |
| 4 | 39.02 | 16.45 | 4.09 | 21.57 | 63.87 | 7.11 | 13.09 | 16.67 | 3.46 | -- | 15.58 | 6.72 | 50.98 | 28.03 | 9.18 | 49.18 | 61.59 | 2.18 | 15.19 | 50.34 | 23.92 |
| 5 | 20.92 | 29.68 | 1.45 | 4.07 | 19.24 | 39.37 | 13.44 | 11.98 | 1.60 | - | 3.42 | 3.89 | 1.80 | 34.68 | 5.08 | 4.66 | 23.24 | 5.55 | 6.71 | 6.39 | 43.11 |
| 6 | 12.10 | 12.40 | 3.28 | 3.63 | 8.33 | 22.30 | 54.03 | 6.41 | 12.80 | -- | 2.00 | 0.40 | 1.10 | 2.26 | 3.10 | 3.98 | 5.57 | 0.70 | 11.44 | 4.25 | 6.82 |
| 7 | 10.71 | 8.65 | 2.88 | 3.49 | 2.64 | 2.28 | 7.95 | 37.34 | 5.96 | - | 1.05 | 0.0 | 0.44 | 2.67 | 0.27 | 1.24 | 5.26 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 3.46 | 4.84 |
| 8 | 3.76 | 5.25 | 0.73 | 1.58 | 2.18 | 0.82 | 2.45 | 6.61 | 40.48 | - | 0.48 | 0.09 | 0.0 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.74 | 0.21 | 0.62 | 0.19 | 1.99 |
| $9+$ | 1.68 | 3.57 | 1.08 | 0.43 | 0.88 | 1.14 | 2.13 | 2.60 | 4.50 | --- | 0.80 | 0.91 | 0.19 | 0.59 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.27 | 0.08 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 1.34 |

Table 10. Stratified mean catch per tow in numbers and weight (kg) for haddock in offshore spring, summer, and autumn ${ }^{1}$ US bottom trawl surveys on Georges Bank, 1963-1984. (From Clark et al. 1982a; Overholtz 1982 and Overholtz et al. 1983, --- denotes no data available).
${ }^{1}$ Spring and autumn surveys covered strata $13-25,29$, and 30 . The summer survey covered strata 13, 16, and 19-25.

2Data for the spring, 1968-1972 and 1982 surveys were adjusted by a factor of 1.7 to account for differences in surface area between the " 36 Yankee" and the modified " 41 Yankee" trawls (the " 41 Yankee" was used in spring during 1973-1981).
${ }^{3}$ Sampling incomplete in 1978 and 1981 ( 7 strata sampled).
${ }^{4}$ Preliminary data from U.S. Surveys.
${ }^{5}$ Preliminary data from Canadian groundfish survey in March, 1984. The survey employed a Western IIA trawl and strata $16-22$ were completed.

Table 11. Stratified mean catch per tow at age (numbers) for haddock in NEFC offshore spring, summer, and autumn bottom trawl surveys on Georges Bank 1963-1982 (frou Clark et al. 1982 and Overholtz et al. 1983).

${ }^{1}$ Spring and autumn, strata $13-25,29$, and 30 ; summer, strata 13,16 , and 19-25 (see Fig. 4).
2 May not agree exactly with data in Table 2 due to rounding errors.
${ }^{3}$ Data for $1968-1972$ adjusted by a factor of 1.7 to account for differences in surface area between the " 36 Yankee" and the modified " 41 Yankee" trawls (the modified " 41 Yankee" has been used in spring since 1973).
${ }^{4}$ Sampling incomplete in 1978 and 1981 ( 7 strata sampled).
${ }^{5}$ preliminary.
${ }^{6}$ Calculated by pooling over age groups, e.g. the spring $1973-1976$ value for age 2 and older
fish was calculated as $\ln$ age 2 and older for 1973-1976 and the corresponding autumn value was age 3 and older for 1974-1977
In age 1 and older for 1972-1975. The spring value for age 3 and older fish was calculated as age 2 and older for 1973-1976
In age 3 and older for 1973-1976 and the corresponding autumn value was calculated as age 4 and older for 1974-1977
In age 2 and older for 1972-1975.

Table 12. Recruitment indices of Georges Bank (NAFO Division 5Ze) haddock for the 1963-1983 year-classes.

|  | Age 0+1 <br> Index | Rank |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | 8.975 | 1 |
| 1964 | 0.500 |  |
| 1965 | 0.053 |  |
| 1966 | 0.602 |  |
| 1967 | 0.004 |  |
| 1968 | 0.006 |  |
| 1969 | 0.169 |  |
| 1970 | 0.003 |  |
| 1971 | 0.233 |  |
| 1972 | 0.719 |  |
| 1973 | 0.255 |  |
| 1974 | 0.066 | 3 |
| 1975 | 3.662 |  |
| 1976 | 0.349 |  |
| 1977 | 0.046 |  |
| 1978 | 2.921 |  |
| 1979 | 0.212 |  |
| 1980 | 1.111 |  |
| 1981 | 0.027 | 0.086 |
| 1982 | 0.661 |  |
| $1983 *$ |  |  |

*Based on 0 age-group estimate only.

Table 13. Effective effort and catch per unit effort for Canadian otter trawls TC 5.

| Year | Tota1 <br> Landings | CPUE <br> $(\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr})$ | $(\%)^{2}$ | Effective <br> Effort $(\mathrm{hr})$ | Average $\mathrm{F}^{4}$ <br> for Ages 4 to 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1968 | 40923 | 0.378 | $(9.9)$ | 108262 | 0.541 |
| 1969 | 22252 | 0.347 | $(17.2)$ | 64127 | 0.462 |
| 1970 | 11300 | 0.267 | $(24.3)$ | 42322 | 0.262 |
| 1971 | 10862 | 0.219 | $(11.4)$ | 49598 | 0.447 |
| 1972 | 5733 | 0.169 | $(4.2)$ | 33928 | 0.266 |
| 1973 | 5331 | 0.229 | $(47.5)$ | 23279 | 0.491 |
| 1974 | 4290 | 0.319 | $(10.8)$ | 13448 | 0.072 |
| 1975 | 5420 | 0.265 | $(16.7)$ | 20453 | 0.115 |
| 1976 | 4324 | 0.348 | $(34.7)$ | 12425 | 0.136 |
| 1977 | 10843 | 0.511 | $(28.1)$ | 21219 | 0.263 |
| 1978 | 22339 | 0.402 | $(2.5)$ | 55570 | 0.235 |
| 1979 | 19461 | 0.638 | $(19.4)$ | 30503 | 0.263 |
| 1980 | 27571 | 0.680 | $(58.8)$ | 40546 | 0.380 |
| 1981 | 24907 | 0.487 | $(25.3)$ | 51144 | 0.378 |
| 1982 | 17580 | 0.572 | $(22.0)$ | 30734 | 0.446 |
| 1983 | 11876 | 0.476 | $(26.0)$ | 24952 | 0.380 |

${ }^{1}$ Values for years $1974,1977,1978$ and 1980 were not adjusted for discarding (see Tab1e 5).
${ }^{2}$ Percent of landings with effort data.
${ }^{3}$ Effective effort $=\frac{\text { Total landings }}{\text { TC } 5 \text { otter trawl catch/hr }}$
${ }^{4}$ Average $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ 's for ages $4-6$ based on cohort present in Table 16 .

Table 14. 1975-1984 age 3+ numbers from cohort analyses conducted at different levels of terminal $F$ vs the corresponding $2+$ numbers per tow from the previous fall NEFC groundfish survey; ln transformed regression results and in residuals are also indicated; $3+$ numbers for 1984 were calculated using $N_{t}=N_{o} e^{-(F+M)}$ where $M=0.2$, and the partial $F$ for age 2 was 0.16 .


Table 15. Partial recruitment vectors developed in this and other studes.

| Oge | Overholtz et al. <br> 1983 | Boyle <br> 1983 | This study** |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $*$ | 0.12 | 0.219 |
| 2 | 0.59 | 0.78 | 0.460 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $9+$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |

*Not established.
**Averaging of historical partial recruitment values for 1975-1979 (after 0'Boyle 1983).
 from the previous fall NEFC bottom survey. Regression was performed on in transformed values.

| Survey year | Cohort year | 0 no/tow survey | 0.00001 |  | 0.00005 |  | 0.00008 |  | 0.0001 |  | 0.0002 |  | 0.0004 |  | 0.0008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Age 1 cohort no. | $\stackrel{\text { In }}{\text { residuals }}$ | Age 1 cohort no. | $\stackrel{\text { In }}{\text { residuals }}$ | Age 1 cohort no. | $\stackrel{\text { In }}{\text { residuals }}$ | Age 1 cohort no. | $\stackrel{\text { In }}{\text { residuals }}$ | Age 1 cohort no. | In <br> residuals | Age 1 cohort no. | $\stackrel{\ln }{\text { residuals }}$ | Age 1 cohort no. | $\stackrel{\ln }{\text { residuals }}$ |
| 1974 | 1975 | 0.50 | 7939 | 0.36 | 7939 | 0.44 | 7939 | 0.46 | 7939 | 0.48 | 7939 | 0.51 | 7939 | 0.55 | 7939 | 0.58 |
| 1975 | 1976 | 15.76 | 105437 | 0.42 | 105437 | 0.50 | 105437 | 0.52 | 105437 | 0.53 | 105437 | 0.56 | 105437 | 0.60 | 105437 | 0.63 |
| 1976 | 1977 | 2.90 | 12951 | -0.44 | 12951 | -0.36 | 12951 | -0.34 | 12951 | -0.32 | 12951 | -0.29 | 12951 | -0.26 | 12951 | -0.22 |
| 1977 | 1978 | 0.11 | 5555 | 1.11 | 5555 | 1.19 . | 5555 | 1.22 | 5555 | 1.23 | 5555 | 1.27 | 5555 | 1.30 | 5555 | 1.34 |
| 1978 | 1979 | 10.82 | 81044 | 0.43 | 81044 | 0.51 | 81044 | 0.53 | 81044 | 0.54 | 81044 | 0.58 | 81044 | 0.61 | 81044 | 0.64 |
| 1979 | 1980 | 1.08 | 9556 | -0.02 | 9556 | 0.06 | 9556 | 0.09 | 9556 | 0.10 | 9556 | 0.13 | 9556 | 0.17 | 9556 | 0.20 |
| 1980 | 1981 | 9.56 | 3781 | -2.54 | 3781 | -2.46 | 3781 | -2.44 | 3981 | -2.43 | 3981 | -2.40 | 3981 | -2.36 | 3981 | -2.33 |
| 1981 | 1982 | 0.31 | 796 | -1.59 | 796 | -1.51 | 796 | -1.49 | 796 | -1.47 | 796 | -1.44 | 796 | -1.40 | 796 | -1.37 |
| 1982 | 1983 | 0.91 | 110334 | 2.55 | 22068 | 1.02 | 13792 | 0.58 | 11034 | 0.37 | 5517 | -0.29 | 2759 | -0.95 | 1380 | -1.61 |
| Intercept |  |  | 9.1274 |  | 9.047 |  | 9.023 |  | 9.012 |  | 8.978 |  | 8.942 |  | 8.9083 |  |
| $\mathrm{Sl}^{2} \mathrm{pe}$ |  |  | 0.7309 |  | 0.7326 |  | 0.7331 |  | 0.7334 |  | 0.7341 |  | 0.7348 |  | 0.7356 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.6913 |  | 0.7564 |  | 0.7659 |  | 0.7687 |  | 0.7697 |  | 0.7594 |  | 0.7387 |  |

Table 17. Results of cohort analysis based on a terminal $F$ of 0.40 for fully recruited age, 0.18 for age 2 and 0.0001 for age 1.



Figure 1. Annual landings ( $t$ ) by all countries fishing the Georges Bank haddock stock, 1956-1983.


Figure 2. Nominal catches ( $t$ ) of Georges Bank haddock from 1956-1983.


Figure 3. Location of areas seasonally closed to fishing since 1974 to protect haddock spawning on Georges Bank.


Figure 4. Canadian landings of Georges Bank haddock, by gear type (1968-1983).


Figure 5. Landings of Georges Bank haddock by Canadian side and stern trawlers, 1968-1983.


Figure 6. Histograms of perfentage age composition of Georges Bank haddock catches, 1965-1983. Canadian data are shown on the left, U.S. on the right.


Figure 7. Trends in mean weight-at-age for ages 2-5 Georges Bank haddock, 1974-1982. Regression equations are:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lll}
y=121.54-0.061 & (x) & (2-y e a r-01 d s, \\
y=184.33-0.093 & (x) & (3-y e a r-o l d s,
\end{array} r^{2}=0.743\right)
$$



Figure 8. Trends in stratified mean catch (number and weight) per tow of U.S. autumn surveys of Georges Bank during 1963-83. (+ - original data; 0 - smoothed data, using running medians of $3^{\prime} s$ )


Figure 9. Stratification scheme used for bottom-trawl surveys of Georges Bank. The area outlined includes strata covered by the U.S. groundfish surveys and the area with no shading (strata 16-22) indicates the region covered by the Canadian survey initiated in March 1984.


Figure 10. The trend in catch-per-unit effort of Georges Bank haddock by Tonnage Class 4 and 5 stern trawlers, 1968-1983.


Figure 11. Relationship between $\ell$ n numbers for ages $3+$ from cohort analysis ( $Y$ axis) vs. en numbers for ages $2+$ from the fall U.S. groundfish survey ( $X$ axis). Summary table indicates untransformed, transformed, predicted and residual values (observed-predicted). Cohort analysis was conducted with terminal F's on fully recruited ages of $0.40, \mathrm{~m}=0.2$ and catch-at-age values from Overholtz et al. (1983).


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes NAFO Areas $5 Z \mathrm{Ze}, 5 \mathrm{Fw}$, and 5 Nk .
    2Data Sources ICNAF (1956-1978, NAFO (1979-1983).
    ${ }^{3}$ Bulgaria, Cuba, Federal Republic of Germany, France, German Democratic Republic, Ireland, Japan, Poland, Romania, and United Kingdom.
    ${ }^{4}$ Provisional (incomplete).
    
    ${ }^{6}$ Landings in the Maritimes, Quebec and Newfoundland.
    $7-10_{\text {Corresponding }}$ values corrected for discards are: $76190,820531,926281,1051084$.

[^1]:    aExcludes catches by tonnage class one vessels except for 1983 longliners (27 tons).
    bMaritimes only.
    CProvisional.

[^2]:    $l_{\text {lob }}$ obta by multiplying numbers caught at age by corresponding mean weight-at-age data in Table 8 .
    ${ }^{2} 1982$ weights at age (Overholtz pers. comm.) were used.

[^3]:    a Overholtz (pers. comm.).

