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## ABSTRACT

Landings of cod from Division 4X averaged about 15,000 t between 1947 and 1961. Since then landings have ranged between $16,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $35,500 \mathrm{t}$. The increased landings during the late 1980 s and early 1990s was supported by recruitment of the strong 1985 and 1987 year-classes. Adjacent and subsequent year-classes have been poorer than average. With heavy exploitation of those two strong year-classes, stock biomass has declined rapidly to near historic low levels. Recent exploitation rates imply loss in yield as fish are captured before realizing their full growth potential and catch rates are significantly lower than those which could be attained at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$. The high exploitation has also resulted in low stock biomass and reliance on incoming recruitment with the consequence of greater fluctuations in landings.

## résumé

La moyenne des débarquements de morue de la division 4 X s'est chiffrée à environ 15000 t entre 1947 et 1961. Depuis, les débarquements ont varié entre 16000 t et 35500 t . Leur augmentation vers la fin des années quatre-vingt et le début des années quatre-vingt-dix a été soutenue par le recrutement des fortes classes d'âge de 1985 et de 1987. Les classes d!age adjacentes et subséquentes ont été inférieures à la moyenne. ì la suite de l'exploitation intensive de ces deux fortes classes d'âge, la biomasse du stock a diminué rapidement, jusqu'a atteindre des creux presque sans précédent. Ces récents taux d'exploitation entraînent une baisse de rendement puisque le poisson est capturé avant d'avoir réalisé sont plein potentiel de croissance; les taux de prises sont donc beaucoup plus faibles que ceux qui pourraient être atteints à $F_{0,1}$ L'intensité de l'exploitation a aussi entraîné une diminution de la biomasse, de sorte que la pêche dépend du recrutement à venir et que les débarquements fluctuent davantage.

## DESCRIPTION OF FISHERY

Landings of cod from Division 4 X averaged about 15,000 $t$ between 1947 and 1961. With increased exploitation on the offshore banks, landings increased to a maximum of about $35,500 \mathrm{t}$ in 1968. Since 1969, landings have varied between about $16,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $33,000 \mathrm{t}$ (Fig. 1). Recent landings, TACs and CAFSAC reference levels are:

|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nominal <br> Catch | 19 | 19 | 20 | 24 | 28 | 26 |  |
| TAC | 17.5 | 14 | 12.5 | 22 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| Reference <br> Level | 13 | 9 | 12.5 | 12 | 20 | 20 | 20 |

In recent years the fishery has occurred year round with highest catches dring June and July (Table 1) and is prosecuted primarily by otter trawlers less than 65 ft , tonnage classes 2 and 3 , and by long liners less than 45 ft , tonnage classes 1 and 2 (Table 2). Much of the increased landings during 1990 to 1992 came from unit area 4Xo (Fig. 2, Table 3). Early reports from the fishery in 1993 indicate that the cod are not being found on the traditional grounds in unit area 4Xo. Reported landings since 1990 are considered to be more accurate due to introduction of mandatory weigh-outs.

## DATA

The catch numbers at age for 1992 were based on 87 samples and were aggregated by gear type and quarter as has been done in recent years (Table 4). The 1987 and 1989 year-classes were prominent in both otter trawl and longline catches (Table 5). The catch at age and average weight at age for 1992 were appended to earlier data (Tables 6 and 7). The catch of 3 year olds, the 1989 year-class, was amongst the highest on record. Examination of the catch at length indicated a substantial increase in the catch of 40 to 50 cm cod between 1991 and 1992 (Fig. 3). There were no apparent trends in average weight at age in recent years.

Annual stratified random surveys (Fig. 4) have been conducted during summer since 1970 . The relationship between historical population estimates and survey results are poor for ages 1 and 2 (Fig. 5). Recent results for ages 3 and older have identified the 1985 and 1987 year-classes as relatively strong. Early indications for the 1989 year-class suggest that it is below average. From 1991 to 1992 the total biomass declined by about 15\% and the abundance for ages 3-10 declined by about $25 \%$ (Table 8). The spatial distribution of cod during the 1992 survey was similar to past
years (Fig. 6).

## ESTIMATION OF STOCK PARAMETERS

The adaptive framework (Gavaris 1988) was used to calibrate the sequential population analysis with the research survey results. Two model formulations were employed, an integrated model and the Laurec-Shepherd model. A third model formulation which used surveys only to estimate annual fully recruited fishing mortality and a partial recruitment gave an $F$ of about 0.7 for 1992 but the time series of annual values was very variable.

Both the integrated and Laurec-Shepherd formulations used the following data:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{a, y}=\text { catch } \\
& I_{a, y}=\text { Canadian summer survey }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=1 \text { to } 12, y=1970 \text { to } 1992 \\
& \text { a=3 to } 10, y=1970 \text { to } 1992 \\
& \text { excluding } 1971 \text { and } 1988
\end{aligned}
$$

The summer survey results were compared to average (mid-year) population abundance. Those for 1971 and 1988 were excluded based on preliminary analyses which showed very large residuals. Natural mortality was assumed constant and equal to 0.2. The fishing mortality rate on age 12 was calculated as the unweighted average for ages 5 to 7 in the same year. Errors in the catch at age were assumed negligible relative to those for the abundance index. The errors for the log transformed abundance index were assumed independent and identically distributed.

Integrated model
A model formulation using in population abundance at the end of the terminal year (beginning of year $y=t+1$ ) as parameters was considered. Natural log population abundance was used because this parameterization displayed a more "close to linear" behaviour improving performance of the search algorithm. Define the model parameters

$$
\phi_{a, t+1}=\ln \text { population abundance at age }
$$

for $\mathrm{a}=4$ to 12 and

$$
\kappa_{\mathrm{a}}=\text { calibration constants for Canadian summer survey }
$$

for $a=3$ to 10
ADAPT was used to solve for the parameters by minimizing the objective function

$$
Q(\phi, \kappa)=\sum_{a, y}\left(q_{a, y}(\phi, \kappa)\right)^{2}=\sum_{a, y}\left(\ln \left(I_{a, y}\right)-\ln \left(\kappa_{a} \bar{N}_{a, y}(\phi)\right)\right)^{2}
$$

To avoid confusion, the average population abundance, $\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}(\phi)$ is abbreviated by $\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}$. It is calculated as:

$$
\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}\left(1-\exp \left[-\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{M}\right)\right]\right) /\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{M}\right)
$$

For year $y=t+1$, the population abundance are the parameter estimates,

$$
N_{a, t+1}=\exp \left[\phi_{a, t+1}\right]
$$

For all other years, $y=1$ to $t$, the population abundance was computed using the virtual population analysis algorithm which incorporates the exponential decay model

$$
N_{a, y}=N_{a+1, y+1} \exp \left[F_{a, y}+M\right]
$$

where the fishing mortality for ages 1 to 11 is obtained by solving the catch equation using a Newton-Raphson algorithm,

$$
N_{a, y}=C_{a, y}\left(F_{a, y}+M\right) / F_{a, y}\left(1-\exp \left[-\left(F_{a, y}+M\right)\right]\right)
$$

The fishing mortality rate for age 12 was assumed equal to the average for ages 5 to 7,

Analytical approximations of variance and bias for parameters and functions of parameters were derived following Gavaris (1993). A common estimator of the covariance matrix of the parameters which is based on a linear approximation was employed.

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(\phi, \boldsymbol{\kappa})=\sigma^{2}\left[\boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}(\phi, \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \boldsymbol{J}(\phi, \boldsymbol{\kappa})\right]^{-1}
$$

where $\sigma^{2}$ is the mean square residual and $\boldsymbol{J}(\phi, \kappa)$ is the Jacobian matrix (first derivatives with respect to parameters) of $g(\phi, \kappa)$

$$
\mathrm{J}(\phi, \kappa)=\partial \underline{g}(\phi, \kappa) / \partial(\phi, \kappa)
$$

where $\underline{g}(\phi, \kappa)$ is the vector with elements $q_{a, y}(\phi, \kappa)$. The superscript $T$ denotes transpose.

The method of Box (1971), which is also based on a linear approximation and in addition assumes that the errors are normally distributed, was used to estimate the bias of parameters.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Bias}(\phi, \kappa)=\left(-\sigma^{2} / 2\right)\left(\sum_{a, y} \mathcal{J}_{a, y}(\phi, \kappa) \underline{J}_{a, y}{ }^{T}(\phi, \kappa)\right)^{-1} \\
& \sum_{a, \bar{y}}^{J_{a, y}}(\phi, \kappa) \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\sum_{a, \bar{Y}}^{\mathcal{J}_{a, y}}(\phi, \kappa) \underline{J}_{a, y}^{T}(\phi, \kappa)\right)^{-1} H_{a, y}(\phi, \kappa)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\underline{J}_{a, y}(\phi, \kappa)$ are vectors of the first derivatives for each $\mathrm{q}_{a, y}(\phi, \kappa)$ (these are rows of the Jacobian matrix defined above) and $H_{a, y}(\phi, \kappa)$ are the Hessian matrices (second derivatives with respect to parameters) for each $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}(\phi, \kappa)$.

$$
H_{a, y}(\phi, \kappa)=\partial^{2} q_{a, y}(\phi, \kappa) / \partial(\phi, \kappa) \partial(\phi, \kappa)
$$

The expression tr represents the trace (sum of major diagonal) operator.

To derive the projected yield for the target year, $y=t+2$, the target fishing mortality rate at age and weight at age, as identified above, were used in the following calculations.

The population abundance at the beginning of year $y=t+2$ is obtained from the exponential decay model,

$$
N_{a+1, t+2}=N_{a, t+1} \exp \left[-\left(F_{a, t+1}+M\right)\right]
$$

The catch numbers at age and projected yield in year $y=t+2$ are derived using the catch equation and then applying the weight at age,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{a, t+2}=F_{a, t+2} N_{a, t+2}\left(1-\exp \left[-\left(F_{a, t+2}+M\right)\right]\right) /\left(F_{a, t+2}+M\right) \\
& \Psi_{\mathrm{t}+2}=\sum_{\mathrm{a}} C_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{t}+2} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{a}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is seen from these calculations that the projected yield, $\psi_{y}$, is a function of the estimated parameters from the model formulation. Let $\psi_{y}=g(\phi, \kappa)$ denote that transforming function. Estimates of the variance and bias of the projected yield can be derived using the methods described in Ratkowsky (1983).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}(\psi)=\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\underline{\mathrm{GG}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \operatorname{cov}(\phi, \kappa)\right] \\
& \operatorname{Bias}(\psi)=\underline{G}^{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{Bias}(\phi, \kappa)+1 / 2 \operatorname{tr}[\mathbf{W} \operatorname{cov}(\phi, \kappa)]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G$ is the vector of first derivatives of $g$ with respect to the parameters

$$
\underline{G}=\partial g(\phi, \kappa) / \partial(\phi, \kappa)
$$

and $W$ is the matrix of second derivatives of $g$ with respect to the parameters

$$
\mathrm{w}=\partial^{2} \mathrm{~g}(\phi, \kappa) / \partial(\phi, \kappa) \partial(\phi, \kappa)
$$

This same approach for computing statistics of functions of model parameters was used to derive estimates of the precision and bias of terminal (last year and oldest age) population abundance.

Laurec-Shepherd model
Define the model parameters

$$
\kappa_{\mathrm{a}}=\text { calibration constants for Canadian summer survey }
$$

for $a=3$ to 10
ADAPT was used to solve for the parameters by minimizing the objective function

$$
Q(\kappa)=\sum_{a, y}\left(q_{a, y}(\kappa)\right)^{2}=\sum_{a, y}\left(\ln \left(I_{a, y}\right)-\ln \left(\kappa_{\mathrm{a}} \bar{N}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}(k)\right)\right)^{2}
$$

To avoid confusion, $\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}(\kappa)$ is abbreviated by $\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}$. The average population abundance $\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}$ is calculated as:

$$
\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}\left(1-\exp \left[-\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{M}\right)\right]\right) /\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{M}\right)
$$

For year $y=t+1$, the population abundance is obtained from :

$$
N_{a+1, t+1}=\left(I_{a, y}\left(F_{a, t}+M\right) \exp \left[-\left(F_{a, t}+M\right)\right]\right) / K_{a}\left(1-\exp \left[-\left(F_{a, y}+M\right)\right]\right)
$$

where $F_{a, y}=\kappa_{a} C_{a, y} / I_{a, y}$
The remaining computations were done in the same manner as for the integrated model.

The population estimates at the beginning of 1993 for the two model formulations are given in Table 9 and suggest that the results are not different for practical purposes. The relative error and bias derived for the integrated model indicate that there is substantial uncertainty in the estimates. The residual plots for the integrated model do not display any problematic trends or patterns but they corroborate the large variance (Fig. 7).

For each cohort, the terminal population abundance estimates from the integrated model were adjusted for bias and used to construct the history of stock status (Tables 10 and 11). This simple approach, in the absence of unbiased point estimators with well determined statistical properties, was considered more appropriate than using the biased point estimates. It is recommended that the statistical properties of alternative unbiased estimators be studied and compared.

This stock has not exhibited a severe retrospective pattern. Succesive population estimates for older age classes have been both higher and lower than the previous estimates while those for younger age classes have generally been decreased, thought not substantially (Fig. 8).

## ASSESSMENT RESULTS

The analysis indicates that the 1985 and 1987 year-classes were among the strongest since 1970 (Fig. 9). Excluding these, recruitment during the 1980s was generally lower than recruitment in the 1970s. The beginning of year population biomass for ages 3 and older has declined rapidly from a peak in 1990 and is approaching historically low levels (Fig. 10). It is noteworthy that the peak during the early 1980s was sustained for a longer period corresponding to the generally better recruitment, while the peak in 1990 which was due almost entirely to the 1985 and 1987 year-classes was of short duration. The total fishing mortality rate for ages 4 and older (Fig. 11), does not show any sustained trends but has fluctuated around 0.5. This exceeds twice $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ and has likely resulted in lost yield due to capture of fish before their full growth potential has been realized. This also indicates that catch rates have been substantially lower than that which could be achieved at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$.

The following table shows estimates of population abundance for the dominant age groups in the fishery at the beginning of the year in 1992 for A) last year's assessment (Campana and Hamel 1992) B) last year's assessment redone but excluding the survey estimates for 1971 and 1988 as was done in this assessment, C) this year's assessment (i.e. inclusion of the 1992 catch at age and research survey abundance estimates) and D) this year's results adjusted for bias.

| AGE | A | B | C | D |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 12,612 | 12,000 | 11,085 | 9,741 |
| 4 | 3,905 | 4,213 | 4,053 | 3,762 |
| 5 | 9,997 | 10,551 | 8,828 | 8,293 |
| 6 | 3,837 | 3,917 | 2,655 | 2,497 |
| 7 | 2,841 | 2,052 | 1,791 | 1,677 |
| 8 | 250 | 249 | 292 | 273 |
| 9 | 331 | 285 | 189 | 177 |

The modifications incorporated in the ADAPT formulation did not result in important changes except for the estimate of the 1985 year-class at age 7. The lower estimate from the modified formulation is more consistent with the observed catch in 1992 as the numbers caught of this year-class were about half of what had been forcast with the results from A. Ilcorporating the observed catch at age and survey abundance index for 1992 resulted in lower population estimates for the 1986 and 1987 year-classes. This was
because i) greater numbers of the 1987 year-class were caught in 1992 than had been forecast since the TAC was taken while there was a shortfall in the catch of the 1985 and older year-classes, and ii) the survey abundance estimates declined more than expected. The adjustment for bias predominantly affects the estimates for younger ages and has an impact on projections. This adjustment was not applied in last year's assessment. It should be noted that the 1989 year-class in last year's assessment could not be estimated and was assumed equal to the mean following common practice. This year-class is now estimated to be smaller than average. With the reduced estimates of the 1987 and 1989 year-classes, which were to have accounted for almost $50 \%$ of the projected catch in 1993, the fishing mortality rate on fully recruited ages in 1993 will have to be substantially higher if the TAC of 26,000 is to be caught.

## PROGNOSIS

Yield projections were done using the results from the integrated model. The analysis indicated that the point estimates for projected yield in 1993 and 1994 were biased upward by about 10\% and had a standard error of about $25 \%$ of the mean. As with population abundance estimates, the simple adjustment for bias was considered more appropriate than using the biased point estimate, especially in view of retrospective results and the declining population biomass. Due to a lack of good abundance indices at younger ages, the incoming year-classes were assumed to be about equal to the long term geometric mean. These year-classes account for about half of the projected yield. If the 1990-93 year-classes continue the trend of lower than average recruitment, the prognosis would be worse than that presented here.

If the TAC of $26,000 t$ is taken in 1993, the resulting fully recruited fishing mortality would be about 0.8 and the beginning of year 1994 biomass for ages 3 and older will decline further to $47,000 \mathrm{t}$. The yield for 1994 at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ would be about $7,000 \mathrm{t}$ (Fig. 12). A 1993 fishing mortality of 0.5 , about the average in recent years, would give a catch of $18,000 \mathrm{t}$ and would result in a beginning of year 1994 biomass for ages 3 and older of 55,000 t. The corresponding yield for 1994 at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ would be about 8,500 t. (Fig. 12).

Beginning of year biomass for ages 3 and older has fluctuated between about 50,000 t and 80,000 t since 1970 and it is currently at about the lowest level. Recent fishing mortality rates and those implied by the current management plan imply a loss in yield due to growth overfishing and significantly lower catch rates than would be realized at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$. With adult biomass declining and no indication of good recruitment to follow, a lower fishing mortality rate would distribute the available yield over more years.

$$
-8-
$$
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Table 1. Nominal catch ( t ) of 4 X and 5 Y cod by month.

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 1741 | 2013 | 735 | 788 | 1773 | 3453 | 3659 | 4522 | 2734 | 1656 | 1203 | 973 | 25251 |
| 1985 | 773 | 1695 | 941 | 1264 | 1982 | 2595 | 3200 | 2612 | 2720 | 1810 | 795 | 1065 | 21452 |
| 1986 | 902 | 1618 | 1756 | 1441 | 1421 | 1939 | 2737 | 1992 | 2574 | 1714 | 771 | 1107 | 19971 |
| 1987 | 1209 | 1825 | 1236 | 1050 | 1866 | 2771 | 2661 | 1821 | 1673 | 1394 | 882 | 571 | 18959 |
| 1988 | 2123 | 1345 | 521 | 963 | 1522 | 2929 | 3008 | 1942 | 2208 | 1290 | 618 | 992 | 19461 |
| 1989 | 2148 | 2346 | 1360 | 1705 | 1292 | 3535 | 1830 | 1772 | 1535 | 1278 | 637 | 411 | 19849 |
| 1990 | 2541 | 2064 | 712 | 700 | 1516 | 3080 | 3753 | 3089 | 2574 | 1698 | 1133 | 826 | 23686 |
| 1991 | 2013 | 2641 | 993 | 1663 | 2312 | 3113 | 3945 | 2880 | 2967 | 2208 | 1650 | 1241 | 27626 |
| 1992 | 2075 | 1746 | 1297 | 1497 | 1677 | 3565 | 3324 | 2752 | 2595 | 2318 | 1460 | 1474 | 25780 |

Table 2. Nominal catch of 4 X and 5 Y cod by gear type and tonnage class.


Table 3. Nominal catch ( t ) of 4 X and 5 Y cod by unit area.

| Year | 4Xm | Xn | Xo | Xp | Xq | Xr | Xs | Xu | $5 Y$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 2256 | 2251 | 6192 | 1655 | 2244 | 2959 | 1413 | 3192 | 3088 | 25250 |
| 1985 | 3006 | 1199 | 5438 | 1026 | 1999 | 2301 | 1510 | 3529 | 1443 | 21451 |
| 1986 | 2914 | 1762 | 4670 | 544 | 1753 | 1802 | 1500 | 4226 | 801 | 19972 |
| 1987 | 2675 | 1609 | 4777 | 1130 | 1240 | 858 | 1207 | 4983 | 479 | 18958 |
| 1988 | 1464 | 1086 | 5226 | 1271 | 1082 | 746 | 1109 | 7475 | - | 19459 |
| 1989 | 1370 | 1019 | 5506 | 2820 | 1360 | 1112 | 915 | 5193 | 555 | 19850 |
| 1990 | 1846 | 755 | 7915 | 1746 | 2238 | 1746 | 1722 | 5380 | 338 | 23686 |
| 1991 | 2552 | 1557 | 8963 | 2436 | 2763 | 4242 | 2559 | 2246 | 307 | 27625 |
| 1992 | 1509 | 1776 | 10296 | 1437 | 2770 | 3295 | 1489 | 2937 | 272 | 25781 |

Table 4. Input data used for the construction of the 1992 catch-at-age matrix.

| Gear | Period | a | b | No. of <br> samples | Number <br> measured | Number <br> aged | Catch <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q1 | .0000081 | 3.0503 | 14 | 3350 | 535 | 3063 |
|  | Q2 | .0000084 | 3.0410 | 13 | 3369 | 557 | 3582 |
|  | Q3 | .0000087 | 3.0233 | 9 | 2365 | 482 | 2411 |
|  | Q4 | .0000063 | 3.1152 | 5 | 1109 | 237 | 2230 |
| LL, LHP | Q1 | .0000081 | 3.0503 | 5 | 1484 | 272 | 1996 |
|  | Q2 | .0000084 | 3.0410 | 10 | 2892 | 391 | 2684 |
|  | Q3 | .0000087 | 3.0233 | 15 | 2538 | 385 | 4878 |
|  | Q4 | .0000063 | 3.1152 | 7 | 2053 | 325 | 2453 |
| GN | Q1 | .0000081 | 3.0503 | - | - | - | 28 |
|  | Q2 | .0000084 | 3.0410 | 2 | 422 | 80 | 424 |
|  | Q3 | .0000087 | 3.0233 | 6 | 267 | 36 | 1356 |
|  | Q4 | .0000063 | 3.1152 | 1 | 90 | 32 | 558 |
| Misc. | Q1 | .0000081 | 3.0503 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Q2 | .0000084 | 3.0410 | - | - | - | - |

Table 5. Numbers at age (000s) by gear type.

| Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | $11+1$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| OT | - | 377 | 1072 | 840 | 1460 | 373 | 224 | 18 | 17 | 4 | 5 |
| LI | - | 324 | 2281 | 1006 | 1470 | 423 | 253 | 45 | 30 | 9 | 9 |
| GN | - | - | 6 | 89 | 419 | 145 | 35 | 7 | 1 | - | - |

Table 6. Catch at age for cod in Division 4 X (includes Canadian catch in Division 5Y).
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { Age } & 1970 & 1971 & 1972 & 1973 & 1974 & 1975 & 1976 & 1977 & 1978 & 1979\end{array}$

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 272 | 553 | 358 | 331 | 101 | 766 | 410 | 1609 | 285 | 326 |
| 3 | 1341 | 1302 | 2446 | 1857 | 2193 | 1556 | 1693 | 3063 | 1803 | 1294 |
| 4 | 1398 | 1031 | 3071 | 2432 | 2088 | 2955 | 2476 | 1683 | 2274 | 3405 |
| 5 | 1565 | 1324 | 1903 | 1952 | 1814 | 1022 | 1401 | 1606 | 1991 | 2632 |
| 6 | 980 | 1062 | 953 | 676 | 1171 | 679 | 467 | 775 | 2188 | 1217 |
| 7 | 435 | 452 | 165 | 295 | 267 | 365 | 190 | 272 | 636 | 703 |
| 8 | 78 | 388 | 122 | 75 | 209 | 88 | 122 | 257 | 199 | 218 |
| 9 | 215 | 165 | 141 | 159 | 116 | 58 | 74 | 101 | 55 | 99 |
| 10 | 52 | 159 | 67 | 68 | 109 | 35 | 18 | 81 | 49 | 79 |
| 11 | 17 | 32 | 4 | 52 | 98 | 26 | 7 | 36 | 9 | 23 |
| 12 | 26 | 72 | 2 | 15 | 39 | 14 | 2 | 39 | 16 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1+$ | 6378 | 6538 | 9232 | 7910 | 8205 | 7562 | 6860 | 9524 | 9505 | 10010 |
| $2+$ | 6378 | 6538 | 9232 | 7910 | 8205 | 7562 | 6860 | 9522 | 9505 | 10010 |
| $3+$ | 6106 | 5986 | 8874 | 7580 | 8103 | 6797 | 6450 | 7913 | 9220 | 9684 |
| $4+$ | 4765 | 4684 | 6428 | 5723 | 5911 | 5241 | 4757 | 4850 | 7416 | 8389 |

$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Age } & 1980 & 1981 & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988\end{array}$

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 885 | 886 | 982 | 766 | 804 | 888 | 147 | 1055 | 439 |
| 3 | 4773 | 4063 | 2549 | 3896 | 2381 | 1594 | 3129 | 784 | 2996 |
| 4 | 1952 | 4424 | 4476 | 2112 | 3243 | 1488 | 2204 | 2140 | 1665 |
| 5 | 2476 | 1684 | 3332 | 2376 | 1845 | 2458 | 906 | 1016 | 1534 |
| 6 | 1288 | 1017 | 873 | 1148 | 923 | 1159 | 985 | 472 | 686 |
| 7 | 426 | 535 | 398 | 620 | 444 | 491 | 343 | 478 | 211 |
| 8 | 242 | 299 | 301 | 251 | 159 | 174 | 164 | 230 | 207 |
| 9 | 86 | 165 | 140 | 136 | 54 | 66 | 82 | 111 | 96 |
| 10 | 51 | 65 | 99 | 71 | 50 | 44 | 37 | 56 | 59 |
| 11 | 12 | 27 | 52 | 52 | 31 | 26 | 15 | 31 | 35 |
| 12 | 16 | 18 | 27 | 9 | 22 | 8 | 15 | 8 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1+$ | 12208 | 13183 | 13229 | 11440 | 9994 | 8396 | 8028 | 6383 | 7938 |
| $2+$ | 12208 | 13183 | 13229 | 11436 | 9955 | 8396 | 8027 | 6383 | 7938 |
| $3+$ | 11322 | 12297 | 12246 | 10671 | 9151 | 7508 | 7881 | 5328 | 7499 |
| $4+$ | 6549 | 8234 | 9697 | 6775 | 6771 | 5913 | 4752 | 4544 | 4503 |

Age $19891990 \quad 1991 \quad 1992$

| 1 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 519 | 101 | 480 | 705 |
| 3 | 2305 | 2195 | 1679 | 3380 |
| 4 | 3763 | 2463 | 4968 | 1947 |
| 5 | 709 | 2633 | 1878 | 3317 |
| 6 | 615 | 586 | 1417 | 947 |
| 7 | 158 | 370 | 222 | 515 |
| 8 | 83 | 76 | 168 | 71 |
| 9 | 54 | 43 | 30 | 48 |
| 10 | 17 | 35 | 16 | 13 |
| 11 | 7 | 12 | 39 | 8 |
| 12 | 6 | 12 | 15 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $1+$ | 8247 | 8525 | 10917 | 10953 |
| $2+$ | 8236 | 8525 | 10911 | 10953 |
| $3+$ | 7717 | 8424 | 10430 | 10248 |
| $4+$ | 5412 | 6229 | 8752 | 6868 |

Table 7. Average weight at age for cod caught in Division 4 X .

| Age | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.48 |
| 2 | 0.65 | 0.61 | 0.69 | 0.73 | 0.60 | 0.69 | 0.60 | 1.04 |
| 3 | 1.37 | 0.87 | 1.40 | 1.26 | 1.09 | 1.23 | 1.19 | 1.26 |
| 4 | 2.00 | 1.70 | 2.02 | 2.19 | 1.55 | 2.14 | 2.14 | 1.86 |
| 5 | 3.00 | 2.73 | 2.45 | 3.10 | 2.62 | 3.15 | 3.00 | 2.34 |
| 6 | 4.85 | 3.87 | 4.13 | 3.62 | 4.38 | 6.13 | 4.42 | 4.28 |
| 7 | 6.07 | 6.19 | 4.96 | 4.90 | 5.53 | 6.63 | 6.07 | 5.76 |
| 8 | 6.84 | 7.05 | 6.83 | 7.63 | 6.56 | 8.97 | 8.56 | 7.75 |
| 9 | 5.14 | 9.11 | 6.14 | 9.54 | 8.62 | 9.41 | 10.83 | 9.08 |
| 10 | 8.04 | 10.18 | 6.36 | 11.28 | 8.90 | 13.52 | 12.01 | 9.44 |
| 11 | 12.84 | 13.44 | 16.55 | 10.42 | 11.27 | 13.30 | 16.17 | 10.75 |
| 12 | 17.38 | 12.77 | 15.01 | 10.55 | 15.41 | 13.54 | 12.47 | 15.41 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.37 |
| 2 | 0.84 | 0.83 | 0.71 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.95 | 0.82 |
| 3 | 1.57 | 1.27 | 1.41 | 1.25 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.50 | 1.41 |
| 4 | 1.91 | 2.04 | 2.17 | 1.99 | 1.85 | 1.85 | 2.00 | 1.97 |
| 5 | 2.39 | 3.11 | 2.98 | 2.80 | 2.84 | 2.61 | 2.73 | 2.52 |
| 6 | 3.54 | 4.15 | 4.75 | 3.60 | 4.13 | 4.21 | 3.82 | 3.53 |
| 7 | 4.17 | 5.34 | 6.71 | 5.64 | 5.46 | 5.58 | 5.42 | 4.96 |
| 8 | 6.16 | 7.26 | 6.93 | 7.25 | 7.08 | 8.05 | 7.61 | 6.89 |
| 9 | 6.18 | 8.65 | 9.57 | 8.38 | 8.38 | 10.26 | 9.34 | 8.09 |
| 10 | 9.22 | 11.24 | 9.81 | 11.21 | 9.07 | 11.42 | 11.69 | 9.86 |
| 11 | 6.56 | 10.65 | 11.86 | 12.29 | 10.63 | 11.59 | 13.27 | 12.41 |
| 12 | 7.23 | 12.00 | 14.11 | 12.41 | 14.16 | 15.10 | 14.15 | 14.52 |


| Age | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| 2 | 0.80 | 0.91 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.93 |
| 3 | 1.29 | 1.46 | 1.35 | 1.57 | 1.49 | 1.36 | 1.28 |
| 4 | 1.90 | 2.16 | 1.88 | 2.28 | 2.26 | 2.03 | 1.84 |
| 5 | 2.63 | 3.17 | 2.71 | 2.76 | 3.22 | 2.74 | 2.86 |
| 6 | 3.96 | 3.89 | 4.01 | 4.02 | 3.89 | 3.86 | 3.93 |
| 7 | 5.02 | 5.55 | 5.25 | 4.98 | 5.61 | 5.47 | 4.98 |
| 8 | 7.47 | 7.89 | 8.07 | 8.45 | 7.97 | 7.58 | 6.52 |
| 9 | 9.51 | 9.13 | 10.12 | 9.97 | 10.00 | 9.77 | 9.20 |
| 10 | 9.20 | 11.90 | 10.99 | 11.89 | 12.46 | 13.25 | 12.09 |
| 11 | 11.90 | 12.95 | 12.17 | 15.24 | 14.03 | 13.63 | 14.40 |
| 12 | 14.38 | 15.53 | 16.25 | 16.38 | 16.16 | 15.66 | 16.26 |

Table 8. Research survey mean number per tow for cod in Division 4X.

| Age | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 1.19 | 1.99 | 1.62 | 0.57 | 2.29 | 0.82 | 1.43 | 2.16 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 1.43 |  |
| 4 | 2.09 | 0.32 | 1.28 | 1.13 | 0.54 | 1.50 | 1.18 | 1.32 | 1.26 | 1.01 | 0.58 |  |
| 5 | 0.92 | 0.74 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.82 | 1.27 | 1.04 | 0.40 | 0.68 | 0.91 | 0.53 |  |
| 6 | 1.22 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.65 | 0.25 | 0.51 | 0.72 |  |
| 7 | 0.53 | 0.47 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.40 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.23 |  |
| 8 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.11 |  |
| 9 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.06 |  |
| 10 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1.16 | 0.90 | 2.62 | 2.25 | 2.67 | 1.67 | 0.37 | 10.24 | 2.12 | 3.47 | 0.70 | 1.08 |
| 4 | 1.30 | 0.94 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 0.95 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 1.77 | 1.66 | 1.63 | 1.95 | 0.44 |
| 5 | 0.68 | 0.78 | 0.93 | 1.23 | 0.97 | 0.23 | 0.38 | 1.08 | 0.28 | 1.56 | 0.73 | 1.07 |
| 6 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.20 | 0.49 | 0.34 |
| 7 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.28 | 0.09 | 0.29 |
| 8 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.07 |
| 9 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| 10 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |

Table 9. Results for begining of year estimates in 1993 from calibration of the sequential population analysis with survey results for cod in Div. 4X.

Laurec-
Age Shepherd
Integrated model
Pop \#
Pop \# Rel. Err. Rel Bias
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

$$
6009
$$

1534
3603 885

| 6017 | 0.653 | 0.183 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1556 | 0.665 | 0.153 |
| 4226 | 0.546 | 0.104 |
| 1317 | 0.534 | 0.098 |
| 1000 | 0.507 | 0.093 |
| 175 | 0.487 | 0.089 |
| 112 | 0.502 | 0.092 |
| 7 | 1.870 | 0.430 |
| 21 | 0.700 | 0.123 |

mean square
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { residual } 0.27 & 0.27\end{array}$

Table 10. Bias adjusted estimates of population numbers of cod in Division 4X.

| Age | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 19383 | 15249 | 20597 | 24390 | 20329 | 25493 | 24609 | 17245 |
| 2 | 16654 | 15869 | 12485 | 16864 | 19969 | 16644 | 20872 | 20148 |
| 3 | 9182 | 13390 | 12493 | 9898 | 13508 | 16257 | 12934 | 16717 |
| 4 | 6603 | 6303 | 9785 | 8015 | 6424 | 9075 | 11903 | 9058 |
| 5 | 4623 | 4142 | 4228 | 5233 | 4361 | 3370 | 4756 | 7505 |
| 6 | 2581 | 2369 | 2193 | 1739 | 2518 | 1929 | 1835 | 2626 |
| 7 | 1726 | 1227 | 978 | 933 | 813 | 1002 | 965 | 1079 |
| 8 | 736 | 1020 | 596 | 652 | 497 | 424 | 491 | 618 |
| 9 | 533 | 532 | 484 | 378 | 466 | 217 | 267 | 292 |
| 10 | 109 | 242 | 287 | 268 | 165 | 277 | 125 | 152 |
| 11 | 247 | 43 | 54 | 174 | 158 | 37 | 196 | 87 |
| 12 | 79 | 186 | 6 | 41 | 96 | 41 | 7 | 154 |
| 13 | 0 | 41 | 88 | 3 | 20 | 43 | 21 | 4 |
| 1+ | 62456 | 60613 | 64273 | 68587 | 69323 | 74810 | 78980 | 75684 |
| $2+$ | 43073 | 45364 | 43676 | 44197 | 48994 | 49317 | 54371 | 58439 |
| $3+$ | 26419 | 29494 | 31191 | 27333 | 29025 | 32673 | 33499 | 38291 |
| 4+ | 17237 | 16105 | 18698 | 17436 | 15518 | 16416 | 20565 | 21574 |
| Age | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| 1 | 32529 | 29390 | 21060 | 26873 | 13315 | 13920 | 19319 | 10269 |
| 2 | 14116 | 26632 | 24063 | 17242 | 22002 | 10902 | 11393 | 15782 |
| 3 | 15040 | 11300 | 21510 | 18900 | 13316 | 17125 | 8233 | 8601 |
| 4 | 10915 | 10682 | 8080 | 13292 | 11797 | 8595 | 10496 | 4586 |
| 5 | 5893 | 6879 | 5665 | 4850 | 6879 | 5609 | 5126 | 5659 |
| 6 | 4691 | 3023 | 3251 | 2398 | 2447 | 2617 | 2442 | 2528 |
| 7 | 1449 | 1861 | 1374 | 1496 | 1043 | 1213 | 1104 | 1164 |
| 8 | 638 | 611 | 888 | 740 | 741 | 494 | 432 | 502 |
| 9 | 274 | 342 | 303 | 508 | 335 | 335 | 177 | 210 |
| 10 | 147 | 175 | 191 | 170 | 266 | 148 | 151 | 96 |
| 11 | 51 | 76 | 71 | 109 | 80 | 128 | 57 | 78 |
| 12 | 38 | 34 | 41 | 47 | 65 | 18 | 58 | 19 |
| 13 | 90 | 17 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 29 | 7 | 28 |
| 1+ | 85872 | 91022 | 86512 | 86644 | 72309 | 61133 | 58996 | 49521 |
| $2+$ | 53343 | 61632 | 65452 | 59771 | 58994 | 47213 | 39677 | 39253 |
| $3+$ | 39227 | 35000 | 41389 | 42528 | 36992 | 36312 | 28283 | 23471 |
| $4+$ | 24187 | 23700 | 19879 | 23629 | 23677 | 19187 | 20051 | 14870 |
| Age | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
| 1 | 30238 | 20757 | 32779 | 9771 | 15180 | 18860 | 19542 | 20000 |
| 2 | 8407 | 24757 | 16995 | 26837 | 7990 | 12429 | 15436 | 16000 |
| 3 | 12118 | 6750 | 19315 | 13517 | 21503 | 6450 | 9741 | 12000 |
| 4 | 5599 | 7090 | 4817 | 13103 | 8981 | 15619 | 3762 | 4917 |
| 5 | 2409 | 2590 | 3868 | 2437 | 7323 | 5124 | 8293 | 1318 |
| 6 | 2409 | 1153 | 1201 | 1779 | 1354 | 3614 | 2497 | 3788 |
| 7 | 1021 | 1081 | 516 | 362 | 900 | 578 | 1677 | 1187 |
| 8 | 509 | 525 | 453 | 232 | 154 | 402 | 273 | 907 |
| 9 | 254 | 268 | 222 | 183 | 114 | 57 | 177 | 159 |
| 10 | 113 | 133 | 119 | 95 | 100 | 55 | 19 | 101 |
| 11 | 39 | 58 | 58 | 44 | 62 | 51 | 31 | 4 |
| 12 | 41 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 29 | 40 | 6 | 18 |
| 13 | 8 | 20 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 20 | 3 |
| 1+ | 63164 | 65201 | 80370 | 68383 | 63699 | 63292 | 61473 | 60403 |
| $2+$ | 32925 | 44444 | 47591 | 58612 | 48519 | 44432 | 41930 | 40403 |
| $3+$ | 24518 | 19687 | 30596 | 31775 | 40529 | 32003 | 26494 | 24403 |
| 4+ | 12401 | 12937 | 11281 | 18258 | 19026 | 25553 | 16753 | 12403 |

Table 11. Fishing mortality rate for cod in Division 4X.
Age 197019711972197319741975197619771978197919801981

| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 3 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 0.27 |
| 4 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.43 | 0.31 | 0.46 |
| 5 | 0.47 | 0.44 | 0.69 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.27 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 0.66 | 0.48 |
| 6 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 0.65 | 0.56 | 0.72 | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.72 | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.63 |
| 7 | 0.33 | 0.52 | 0.21 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.66 | 0.54 | 0.42 | 0.50 |
| 8 | 0.12 | 0.55 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.63 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.61 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.36 | 0.59 |
| 9 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.63 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.45 |
| 10 | 0.74 | 1.29 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 1.30 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.88 | 0.46 | 0.70 | 0.35 | 0.55 |
| 11 | 0.08 | 1.79 | 0.09 | 0.40 | 1.15 | 1.51 | 0.04 | 0.63 | 0.21 | 0.41 | 0.21 | 0.32 |
| 12 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.48 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.54 |

Age $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 & 1992\end{array}$

| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| 3 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.39 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.34 | 0.48 |
| 4 | 0.54 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.57 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.85 |
| 5 | 0.77 | 0.63 | 0.51 | 0.65 | 0.54 | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.39 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.58 |
| 6 | 0.50 | 0.66 | 0.54 | 0.71 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 1.00 | 0.48 | 0.65 | 0.57 | 0.54 |
| 7 | 0.55 | 0.83 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.46 | 0.67 | 0.60 | 0.66 | 0.61 | 0.55 | 0.41 |
| 8 | 0.60 | 0.83 | 0.52 | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.51 | 0.80 | 0.62 | 0.34 |
| 9 | 0.62 | 0.60 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.61 | 0.65 | 0.40 | 0.53 | 0.88 | 0.36 |
| 10 | 0.53 | 0.75 | 0.46 | 0.70 | 0.46 | 0.63 | 0.80 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 0.37 | 1.38 |
| 11 | 1.27 | 0.59 | 0.90 | 0.45 | 0.56 | 0.89 | 1.08 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 1.94 | 0.34 |
| 12 | 0.61 | 0.72 | 0.55 | 0.67 | 0.54 | 0.62 | 0.73 | 0.51 | 0.58 | 0.52 | 0.46 |



Fig. 1. Landings for cod in Division 4X.


Fig. 2. Map of the southwest Scotian Shelf and the Bay of Fundy showing unit areas.


Fig. 3. Commercial catch at length of cod in Division 4X.


Fig. 4. Depth based stratification scheme used for the summer survey on the Scotian Shelf.


Fig. 5. Scatterplot of population abundance and survey abundance for cod in Division 4X.


Fig. 6. Distribution of cod in Division 4X from the summer survey on the Scotian Shelf for 1970-91 (from Campana and Hamel 1992) and for 1992


Fig. 7. Age by age plots of $A$ ) the observed and predicted $\ln$ abundance index versus $1 n$ population numbers and $B$ ) residuals plotted against year for cod in Division 4 X .


Fig. 7(cont'd). Age by age plots of A) the observed and predicted ln abundance index versus $1 n$ population numbers and B) residuals plotted against year for cod in Division $4 x$.









Fig. 8. Retrospective analysis for cod in Division 4X.


Fig. 9. Recruitment at age 1 for cod in Division 4X.


Fig. 10. Begining of year $3+$ biomass for cod in Division 4X.


Fig. 11. Fishing mortality rate for cod, ages $4+$, in Division 4X.
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Fig. 12. Yield and biomass projections of cod in Division 4X for 1994.

