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#### Abstract

In 1991, total returns of large salmon (MSW virgin salmon+previous spawners) were similar to returns in 1990 and $25 \%$ greater than average returns over the last five years. Returns of small salmon (1SW virgin salmon) were $37 \%$ less than average returns during the last five years. Estimated returns from Millbank trap efficiency (29,949 large and 60,869 small) were close to mark-recapture (tagging at Millbank and recapture at traps and fences upstream) estimates (33,830 large and 61,964 small salmon). Target egg deposition requirements were exceeded in 1991 (158\%). Large salmon contributed $90 \%$ of the egg production in 1991. Target egg deposition levels have been achieved or nearly achieved in each of the past 7 years in the Miramichi River. Previous spawners continued to increase as a proportion of the large salmon (39\%) and total adult salmon (14.4\%) returns. Angling catches, native harvests, and headwater barrier counts of large and small salmon were less in 1991 than average.


## RESUME

Le nombre de gros saumons venant frayer (pluribermarins vierges et ceux ayant frayé auparavant) était semblable à celui enregistré en 1990, et surpassait de 25 pour cent la moyenne quinquennale. Le nombre de petits saumons revenus (unibermarins vierges) était inférieur à la moyenne quinquennale de 37 pour cent. Les retours estimés par la trappe de Millbank (se portant à 29,949 gros et 60,849 petits saumons) se rapprochaient des estimations basées sur la reprise des individus marqués à Millbank et captés par les trappes et clôtures en amont (qui, elles, se chiffraient à 33,830 gros et 61,964 petits saumons). Le nombre d'oeufs déposés en 1991 excédait les objectifs de 158 pour cent. Les gros saumons ont contribué à 90 pour cent de la production d'oeufs de 1991. Les objectifs de déposition d'oeufs pour la rivière Miramichi ont été atteints, ou presque, lors de chacune des 7 dernières années. Les pluribermarins ayant déja frayé représentent une proportion des gros saumons ( 39,5 pour cent) et de tous les adultes (14,4 pour cent) toujours grandissante. Les prises des pêcheurs sportifs, des autochtones, et des barrières d'énumération en amont de la rivière ont toutes chuté en 1991.

## INTRODUCTION

The objective of this document is to evaluate the status of Atlantic salmon in the Miramichi River in 1991. This paper is the 12 th annual assessment of salmon stocks in the Miramichi River. Harvests from the angling and native fisheries are summarized and spawning escapement in 1991 is estimated using Millbank trap data and mark-and-recapture data.

A five year conservation program was implemented for Atlantic salmon in 1984 to increase spawning levels in rivers of the Maritime Provinces. Under this program commercial fishing for Atlantic salmon in the Maritime Provinces has been prohibited as has the possession or sale of salmon caught in non-salmon gear (bycatch). Anglers have been allowed to keep only small [one-seawinter (1SW)] salmon ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ in fork length), with season, possession, and daily bag limits of 10 , 6, and 2 fish, respectively. Angling season for various sections of the Miramichi River System are summarized in Appendix 1. Native food fisheries at Burnt Church on Miramichi Bay and the Eel Ground and Red Bank Reserves on tidal waters of the Northwest Miramichi have not been regulated by season or quota.

This document uses the following terminology for different life stages of salmon. Kelts are spent salmon which are also referred to as black salmon or slinks. Bright salmon are ripe adult salmon in the river or estuary prior to spawning. Small salmon are adults less than 63 cm in fork length also referred to as 1SW salmon. Large salmon are adults greater than or equal to 63 cm in fork length. Large salmon contain components of previous spawners and virgin 2SW fish whereas small salmon are comprised of 1 SW virgin salmon only.

## METHODS

## Landings

## a. Sport

The Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) provides monthly estimates of angling catches and effort. DFO conservation and protection officers make these estimates based on angling camp log records, Crown Reserve records, and from personal observations and interviews of anglers fishing in public waters. Estimates of catch and effort from public waters (Crown open waters) are less accurate than estimates from private camps and Crown Reserve waters. Angling data for the Southwest Miramichi River above Boiestown (York and Carleton Counties) were not available. Angling catches for these two counties were estimated from the average proportion of the total angling catch from these two counties from 1969 to 1983. Angling seasons in 1991 were similar to those in 1990 for most Miramichi tributaries (Appendix I).

The New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources and Energy (DNRE) estimates angling catches and total effort in the Miramichi each year. DNRE estimates were based on a licence stub reporting system, whereby a random sample of anglers was selected and asked to return records of their angling catch and the number of days spent fishing. Total angling catches were then estimated from the returns submitted. For the Miramichi River System, DNRE estimates are judged to be more accurate than DFO estimates (Randall and Chadwick 1983).

Angling for kelts in the Miramichi River occurred from 15 April to 15 May (Appendix I). The angling season for bright salmon was from June 8 to October 7 with variations for many tributaries and river sections (Appendix I).

The numbers of large salmon caught and released by anglers were not used as an index of abundance in this assessment; they were used to estimate the numbers of salmon lost to catch and release mortality only.

## b. Native

A new interpretation of aboriginal fishing rights resulted in unrestricted fishing during 1991. Approximately 4000 net days of effort were observed in the river predominantly during the early run. There are no reliable estimates of the catches from this portion of the native fishery.

Numbers of salmon landed in the Indian food fishery at Burnt Church, Red Bank, and Eel Ground in 1991 were recorded by native fishery guardians on a daily basis and Band Councils reported these catches to the DFO Industrial and Native Fisheries Branch weekly. Independent estimates of salmon landings at Burnt Church were provided by DFO officers.

## c. Other

Other removals of salmon include research samples, broodstock, and trap mortalities at DFO traps.

## 2. Abundance 1991

a. Counts

Adult salmon entering the Miramichi River during 1991 were monitored at the Millbank trap site from May 15 to October 25. Adult salmon returns to the Miramichi have been monitored at the Millbank trap since 1954. The trap was operated 5 days per week whereas in previous years the trap was checked every day.

Adult salmon were enumerated at five counting fences within the Miramichi watershed during 1991: Bartholomew River, Catamaran

Brook, and at headwaters of three tributaries, Dungarvon River, North Branch of the Main Southwest Miramichi, and the Northwest Miramichi (Figure 1). Counts of salmon have been available for the Dungarvon and Southwest (SW) Miramichi barriers since 1981, and at the Bartholomew River since 1977 (Bartholomew has been a major enhancement project on the Miramichi since 1977; Chadwick et al. 1985). Counts of salmon at the Northwest (NW) Miramichi barrier have been made since 1988, and salmon have been counted at Catamaran Brook in 1990 and 1991.

## b. Sampling

All large and approximately 1 in 5 small salmon captured at the Millbank trap were sampled and scales were removed for aging. Fork length of all salmon was measured to the nearest millimetre. One in ten small salmon was sacrificed for internal sexing and weight determination (nearest 0.1 kg ). In addition, sex of salmon tagged after 1 September were identified on the basis of external characteristics. External sexing has been has been verified and found to be accurate $97 \%$ of the time $(n=37)$ after 1 September (Moore et al. 1991). Prior to September external sexing has not been reliable. All salmon released at the Millbank trap were tagged with Carlin tags using stainless steel wire.

## C. Salmon traps at the Enclosure and Red Bank

Adult salmon were enumerated, tagged, measured. (FL), and scale sampled at two traps situated at the Enclosure Provincial Park (Figure 1) during September and October 1991. The objective of this project was to evaluate the feasibility of estimating salmon returns to the Northwest and Southwest Miramichi Rivers separately. The Southwest Miramichi trap was operated by DFO in cooperation with the New Brunswick Salmon Council and the Northwest. Miramichi trap was operated as a co-management initiative between DFO and the Eel Ground Indian Band. The Enclosure traps were operated in 1985-87 and recaptures of Millbank tags caught and released during these years were examined to aid in describing movements of fish captured in these traps.

Adult salmon were enumerated at two traps on the Northwest Miramichi River at Red Bank from 27 August to 5 November as a comanagement initiative between DFO and the Red Bank Indian Band. The objectives of these traps were to train members of the Red Bank Indian Band in the operation of trap nets and provide DFO and the Band with data on salmon returns to the Northwest Miramichi River.

## d. Electrofishing Surveys

Electrofishing surveys were conducted at 3 of the 15 standard headwater sites within the Miramichi watershed in July 1991. Densities of juvenile Atlantic salmon in the Miramichi have been determined by the removal method (Zippin 1956) at these sites since
1970.

## 3. Spawning Escapement

For both Methods 1 and 2, spawning escapement was estimated as returns to Millbank minus known removals of salmon at and above Millbank (harvests by native fishermen, broodstock removals, trap mortalities, and sampling mortalities).

Estimates of salmon mortalities from poaching and disease (PAD) (previously assumed to be 1,000 large and 4,000 small salmon annually) were not subtracted from returns in the calculation of spawning escapement. The CAFSAC subcommittee has agreed that the 2.4 eggs per square meter target includes an allowance for PAD. The mortality rate attributed to the stress of catch and release of large salmon by anglers was assumed to be 0.03 (Currie 1985).

## a. Method 1. Millbank trap efficiency.

For 1991, a trap efficiency of 0.015 ( $95 \%$ confidence limits 0.0120.020 ) was used. This trap catch efficiency was determined by markrecapture data from small salmon for the period 1985 to 1987 (Randall et al. 1989). The trap count for Millbank includes mortalities. In 1991, the Millbank trap was operated during weekdays only and counts for. 7 day per week operation were estimated by multiplying weekday catches by 1.3 , the ratio of 7 day per week catches to weekday catches during 1986-90 (Appendix II). Returns to Millbank were estimated as the 7 day per week trap counts divided by the trap efficiency.
b. Method 2. Measurement of tagged to untagged ratios upstream.

Counts of tagged and untagged small and large salmon were recorded at four counting fences and four salmon traps during 1991 (Figure 1). The proportion of the population tagged was calculated by dividing the total tagged fish recaptured by total catch at the eight sites for small and large salmon, respectively. Returns of small and large salmon to Millbank were calculated by the Adjusted Petersen Method (Ricker 1975).

$$
N=(M+1)(C+1) /(R+1)
$$

where: $M=$ number of fish tagged
$\mathrm{C}=$ sample examined for tags upstream
$\mathrm{R}=$ recaptures
$\mathrm{N}=$ returns to Millbank
Confidence limits for the estimate were calculated by treating the number of recaptures (R) as poisson variable, obtaining 95\% confidence limits for it from a table of the Poisson distribution, and substituting these upper and lower limits for $R$ in the equation above (Ricker 1975). Tag loss was assumed to be negligible based on
tag retention experiments conducted during 1991 (Appendix III).
Catches of tagged and untagged small and large salmon were also collected from twelve angling camps in 1991. The proportions of the small and large salmon populations tagged were determined from these data using the method described above for fence and trap data. Returns to Millbank were not calculated from these data. We regard the fence and trap data as more reliable because the reporting rate is $100 \%$ and the location of the fences and traps provides data for all tributaries of the Miramichi River System while camp data provides coverage of only a limited part of the system.

## c. Egg deposition levels

Total egg deposition requirements for the Miramichi River are 132 million eggs (Randall 1985). Based on the average reproductive potential of Miramichi salmon (number of eggs/fish), 23,600 large are required to produce these egg requirements. An additional 22,600 small salmon are needed to ensure a 1:1 sex ratio at spawning. For 1991, the reproductive potential was estimated from a length-fecundity relationship for Miramichi salmon (Randall 1989) and the average fork lengths and sex ratios as determined from samples collected at Millbank. Total egg deposition in 1991 was calculated as the product of reproductive potential (eggs per spawner) and the estimated numbers of small and large spawners. Egg deposition rates (eggs per square meter) were calculated as the egg deposition divided by the rearing area of the Miramichi River (55 million square meters).

## 4. Forecast

Returns of large salmon to the Miramichi in 1992 were forecasted using a probability distribution model. A detailed description of the model and analysis have been presented in a separate document (Claytor et al. 1992).

## RESULTS

## 1. Landings

a. Sport

Small Salmon
During the 1991 kelt season the catch of small salmon was $38 \%$ lower than average catches from 1986-90 (Table 1). Effort was decreased from 1990 but $26 \%$ higher than the mean effort from 1986-90 (Table 1).

Angling effort during the bright salmon season was $34 \%$ greater than the 1986-90 mean. However, catch and CPUE for small bright salmon were decreased by 54 to $67 \%$ from the 1986 to 1990 mean values
(Table 1). Both early (prior to 1 September) and late (after 30 August) catches were decreased by similar amounts (53 to 55\% Table 1). Small salmon catches as estimated by DNRE and DFO were similar for the first time since 1986 (Table 2).

Large Salmon
The number of large salmon caught and released during the 1991 "bright" season are estimated by DNRE (Table 2). The total catch decreased by $47 \%$ from the average 1986-90 catch.

## b. Native harvests of salmon in 1991

Harvests in native food fisheries totalled 1,111 small and 544 large salmon in 1991 (Table 3), as reported by the Band councils for Red Bank, Eel Ground, and Burnt Church Indian Bands. Estimates of the harvest from native nets in Miramichi Bay off Burnt Church from monitoring by DFO Conservation and Protection staff are provided (Table 3).

Native harvests of small and large salmon, as reported by Band Councils, are $27 \%$ and $17 \%$ lower, respectively, than average harvests during the past five years.

During 1991, all of the native food fisheries harvested 91 to 100\% of their total catch prior to 1 September (Table 4).

## c. Other removals

In addition to the recorded harvests of salmon (Table 5), known salmon mortalities subtracted from the total returns are shown in Table 3.
2. Abundance 1991
a. Counts

Millbank Trap Counts
The estimated trap counts for the Millbank trap in 1991 were 913 small and 448 large salmon (Table 6). Counts of salmon at the Millbank salmon trap from 1970 to 1991 are shown in Figure 2. The efficiency of the Millbank trap (=the trap efficiency) has changed since 1954 (Randall et al. 1990). Comparison of 1991 counts with previous Millbank counts has been limited to the previous five years. Counts of early run small salmon were $43 \%$ lower than average counts from 1986 to 1990, while counts of large salmon were unchanged (Table 6). Counts of late run small salmon decreased $20 \%$ while late run large salmon counts increased by $81 \%$ compared to 1986 to 1990 averages (Table 6).

Bimonthly catches of small salmon for 1991 indicate that both early and 'late runs arrived at Millbánk later than the 1986-90 average
and the peak bimonthly catch during the early run was much lower than average (Figure 3).

Mean Julian dates of arrival for small and large salmon at Millbank calculated from the bimonthly catches indicated that, in 1991, small salmon arrived 16 days later than average (average= 1986-90) and large salmon arrived 15.5 days later than average.

Headwater Barrier Counts
In general counts at barrier pools showed that runs were late and counts of small salmon were below average. Counts of large and small salmon at the barrier on the North Branch of the Southwest Miramichi River at Juniper (Figure 1) were $53 \%$ and $66 \%$ below average counts from 1986 to 1990, (Table 7). However the Juniper barrier washed out and high water prevented operation of the counting trap for seven days (Sept 25-Oct 2) during peak returns (35 to 203 salmon per day). Therefore Juniper counts for 1991 are not comparable to counts from other years.
Counts of large and small salmon at the Dungarvon River headwater barrier were $21 \%$ and 54\%, respectively, below average counts from 1986 to 1990 (Table 7). The Dungarvon barrier was breached by the same storm that removed the $S W$ barrier, however salmon movements before and after the washout were low and few fish would have migrated past the counting trap during the washout. Most ( $95 \% \mathrm{n}=37$ ) salmon recaptured at this barrier had been tagged during the early run at Millbank.

Counts of large and small salmon at the Northwest Miramichi River headwater barrier were $16 \%$ and $40 \%$, respectively, below average counts from 1988 to 1990 (Table 7). The NW barrier washed out for one day during the storm that removed the other barriers but few fish were counted through the trap before or after the washout and counts are comparable to other years. All recaptures of salmon ( $\mathrm{n}=46$ from 1988-91) at this barrier were fish tagged during June and July at Millbank (early run).

Decreases in counts of small salmon at the Dungarvon (-54\%) and Northwest Miramichi barriers ( $-40 \%$ ) were similar to the decrease in early run counts at the Millbank trap in 1991 (-43\%). Barrier counts of large salmon decreased more ( $-21 \%$ and $-16 \%$ respectively) at these barriers than early run large counts at Millbank ( $-4 \%$ ) (Table 7).

## b. Sampling

During 1991, a total of 463 salmon (128 small salmon and 335 large salmon) were sampled for age composition and fork lengths, and subsamples of these were sexed (Table 8). The sex composition of large salmon was $84.1 \%$ female and $14.4 \%$ of small salmon were female. Based on the length-fecundity relationship for Miramichi
salmon (Randall 1989), the average fork lengths, and sex ratios of salmon in 1990, reproductive potential (average eggs per spawner) was estimated to be 6,394 eggs for large salmon and 461 eggs for small salmon (Table 8).

Smolt ages for 1SW, 2SW, and PS (previous spawner) salmon are given in Table 8. The proportion of previous spawners increased to $39 \%$ of all large salmon ( $>63 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL) scale sampled in 1991. Previous spawners have made up higher proportions of total Millbank salmon counts in 1990 (10\%) and 1991 (14\%) than in any other years since collection of age data began in 1966 (Figure 4).

## C. Salmon traps at the Enclosure and Red Bank

The salmon trap on the Southwest (SW) Miramichi at the Enclosure operated weekdays from 22 August to 27 September when it washed out during a severe fall storm. During this time 193 small and 84 large salmon were captured (Table 9). Tags were applied to 178 small and 77 large salmon. Thirteen small salmon tags were recaptured, seven by anglers in the SW Miramichi, three in the trap where they were released, one in the Northwest (NW) Miramichi trap at the Enclosure, one in the Red Bank traps, and one in the Red Bank native food fishery. One large salmon tag was recaptured by an angler in the SW Miramichi.

The NW Miramichi salmon trap at the Enclosure operated from 27 August to 29 October for seven days each week. During this time 220 small and 83 large salmon were captured (Table 9). Tags were applied to 113 small and 62 large salmon. Eight small salmon tags were recaptured, five by anglers in the SW Miramichi, two by anglers in the NW Miramichi, and one by DFO staff in the Northwest Miramichi at Stewart Brook. Three large salmon with NW tags were recaptured, two by anglers in the $S W$ Miramichi and one was recaptured at Millbank.

The observation that a high proportion of salmon tagged at the NW Enclosure trap were subsequently caught by anglers in the $S W$ Miramichi prompted a review of records from the traps placed in the SW and NW Miramichi at the Enclosure in 1985, 1986, and 1987. Several salmon tagged at Millbank and recaptured at these traps were subsequently recaptured a second time by anglers upstream. In total three small and one large salmon recaptured at the NW Enclosure trap were subsequently recaptured by anglers, all in the SW Miramichi. Two small salmon recaptured at the SW Enclosure trap were subsequently recaptured by anglers, both in the SW Miramichi.

The salmon traps on the Northwest Miramichi at Red Bank operated from 26 August to 4 November. During this time 217 small and 182 large salmon were captured (Table 9). Two small and one large salmon with Millbank tags were recaptured at the Red Bank traps (Table 9).

## d. Electrofishing

Mean densities of age $0+$ fry averaged 0.45 fish per square meter and $1+$ parr averaged 0.14 fish per square meter. Juvenile densities were correlated with egg deposition rates and indices of spawning escapement.

## 3. Spawning escapement in 1991

Both methods were in close agreement.
a. Method 1. Millbank trap efficiency

Adjusted counts at the Millbank trap of 913 small and 448 large salmon in 1991 resulted in estimates of 60,867 small and 29,867 large salmon returns to the Miramichi River at Millbank. Spawning escapement was estimated at 48,259 small and 29,089 large salmon (Table 10).
b. Method 2. Tag-Recapture estimate

During 1991, a total of 559 small and 317 large salmon were tagged at Millbank during 5 days per week operations.

The proportion of the small and large salmon populations marked with carlin tags at Millbank as measured at the counting fences and recapture traps are summarized in Table 9. Estimated returns of 61,962 small and 33,748 large salmon were calculated using the Adjusted Petersen Method (Table 10).

The proportion of the small and large salmon populations marked as estimated from data collected from 12 angling camps were $22 \%$ and 24\% lower than proportions tagged at traps and fences (Table 9).

Numbers of spawners as estimated by Methods 1 and 2 were similar (Table 10). Total returns were estimated to be 29,949 to 33,830 large and 60,869 to 61,964 small salmon. Spawning escapements were estimated at 29,089 or 32,970 large and 48,259 or 49,354 small salmon. Assuming a reproductive potential of 6394 eggs per large spawner and 471 eggs per small spawner (Table 8), the above spawning escapements indicate total egg depositions of $158 \%$ (Method 1) or $177 \%$ (Method 2) of the target egg deposition for the Miramichi River.

## c. Egg deposition levels, 1970 to 1991

Returns and spawning escapements of small and large salmon in the Miramichi River System from 1970 to 1991, as estimated from Millbank trap data (Method 1), are summarized in Table 11.

The egg deposition rate for 1991 was estimated to be 3.8 eggs per
square meter; large salmon contributed 89\% of the total eggs (Fig. 5). Linear correlations between the egg deposition rates and indices of spawning escapement in the Miramichi River, including angling catches of large salmon (bright fish), angling catches of large salmon kelts, mean $0+$ fry densities, and mean $1+$ parr densities in the from 1969 to 1991 were all positive and significant (Table 12).

Correlations of egg deposition per square meter and mean juvenile densities ( $0+$ fry and $1+$ parr) using a log-log model were significant ( $r$ squared $=0.52 \quad p=0.0005 n=19$ for $1+$ parr; $r$ squared $=0.50 \mathrm{p}=0.0005 \mathrm{n}=20$ for $0+\mathrm{fry}$ ) (Fig. 6) .

## 4. Forecast

The forecast model estimated that the probability of the returns being less than the spawning requirements for large salmon was $26 \%$ (Fig. 7). The probability of returns exceeding spawning requirements by 0 to 10,000 large salmon was $44 \%$ and the probability of returns exceeding the spawning requirements by more than 10,000 large salmon was $30 \%$.

## DISCUSSION

Returns of large salmon were above average yet harvests and counts at barrier pools were below average. The total harvest of bright small salmon in 1991 ( 11,250 fish) was $58 \%$ less than the average harvest over the previous 5 years $(26,460$ fish). An angling exploitation rate of 0.19 was estimated by dividing DNRE angling harvests by the number of salmon available to be harvested by anglers (small salmon spawning escapement + small salmon angling catch). Previous estimates (1966-88) from tag-recapture ranged between 0.17 and 0.46, and averaged 0.28 (Randall et al. 1990). Angling effort, although lower than the 1990 level, was $20 \%$ greater than the mean effort over the last five years. A 16 day delay in the arrival of salmon at Millbank would have contributed to a low angling exploitation and harvest in 1991.

Heavy rain in the fall resulted in washouts at all barrier pools. These washouts could explain the lower counts in 1991.

Counts of salmon at the Millbank trap and mark-recapture data from counting fences and traps indicated that total returns of large salmon were greater in 1991 than in 1990. Total returns of small salmon were lower than in 1990. Total returns in 1991 were estimated as 29,949 large salmon and 60,869 small salmon (Method 1), compared to 28,574 large salmon and 83,448 small salmon in 1990 .

Estimates of total returns as calculated from Millbank trap data and from mark-recapture data were similar in 1991. As in previous
assessments, mark-recapture data were useful in providing an estimate of returns which was independent of the Millbank trap counts.

Management measures restricting the harvest of large salmon succeeded in allowing a high percentage (97\%) of total large returns to survive and spawn. Repeat spawners increased to $39 \%$ of large returns and $14 \%$ of total adult salmon returns to Millbank. Repeat spawners have not comprised such a large proportion of returns in any year since 1966. Samples collected in 1931 indicated that at that time $12.8 \%$ of large salmon ( $>63 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{F.L}. \mathrm{)} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{the}$ Miramichi were previous spawners (Blair 1935). Returns of large numbers of previous spawners will decrease the annual variability in the number of large salmon returning to spawn by making the population less dependent on the at-sea-survival of one or two smolt classes of 2SW salmon (Chadwick 1988).

Egg deposition requirements were exceeded in 1991 ( $152 \%$ Method 1; Fig. 5) and nearly all (90\%) of the total egg deposition came from large salmon. Repeat spawners accounted for a significant part of egg deposition by large salmon (40\%) in 1991. Target egg deposition rates have been achieved or nearly achieved in the last seven years in the Miramichi River (Fig. 5).

Tag-recapture data from the NW Enclosure trap indicate that the movements of fish caught in this trap are primarily into the $S W$ Miramichi ( 7 of 10 tags recovered upstream of Enclosure Park). Movements of fish from the SW Enclosure trap were predominantly into the SW Miramichi ( 8 of 10 tags recovered upstream of Enclosure Park) .

In order to assess numbers of salmon returning to the NW Miramichi River we recommend that the monitoring trap be placed upstream of the Enclosure on the NW Miramichi in waters adjacent to the Eel Ground Indian Reserve. It is also recommended that DFo continue to operate the Millbank salmon trap until data sufficient for assessment of returns to the NW and SW Miramichi River Systems can be gathered at other locations.
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Summary Sheet
Stock: Miramichi River, SFA 16
Life Stage: juveniles ( $0+, 1+, 2+$ ), small and large salmon
Target: 132 million eggs ( 23,600 large, 22,600 small salmon)

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 86-90 | 91/86-90 | MIN ${ }^{1}$ | MAX ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River harvest ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large <br> Small | $\begin{aligned} & 1051 \\ & 28125 \end{aligned}$ | $1344$ | $\begin{gathered} 687 \\ 31589 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1593 \\ & 26815 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 879 \\ 23609 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 744 \\ 11248 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1111 \\ & 26434 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -33 \% \\ & -57 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 449 \\ & 8700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12060 \\ & 31589 \end{aligned}$ |
| Small | $28135$ | $22023$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estuary harvest ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lerge | 18 | 21 | 78 | 78 | 107 | 82 | 60 | +37\% | 1 | 18268 |
| Small | 16 | 16 | 52 | 31 | 15 | 2 | 26 | -92\% | 0 | 5512 |
| Spaming Escapement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large (X 1000) | 30 | 18 | 21 | 16 | 28 | 29 | 22 | +27\% | 4 | 33 |
| Small ( X 1000) | 89 | 63 | 90 | 48 | 60 | 48 | 70 | -31\% | 13 | 89 |
| Total Returns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large (X 1000) | 31 | 19 | 22 | 17 | 29 | 30 | 24 | +25\% | 9 | 52 |
| Small (X 1000) | 118 | 85 | 122 | 75 | 83 | 61 | 96 | -36\% | 24 | 122 |
| $\underline{\chi}$ egg target met | 178 | 142 | 150 | 97 | 151 | 158 | 144 | +10\% | 23 | 192 |
| Juvenile Densities ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0+ | 23.9 | 74.5 | 95.1 | 72.2 | 94.6 | 44.6 | 72.1 | -38\% | 9.4 | 95.1 |
| 1+ | 12.2 | 13.1 | 13.9 | 18.4 | 12.4 | 14.3 | 14.0 | +2\% | 3.0 | 18.4 |
| 2+ | 3.9 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 10.4 | 2.7 | +285\% | 0.8 | 10.4 |

1 MIN MAX over the period 1971 to present unless stated otherwise.
2 River harvest includes mortalities associated with catch and release, broodstock removals, Millbank trap 3 mortalities, and samples.
4 Estuary harvest is native catch from 1986-91.
4 Number per square meter, from electrofishing surveys at 15 standard sites ( 3 in 1991).

Recreational catches: Have ranged from 2240-14266 large and $8390-30620$ small salmon during the past 10 years. Effort (rod-days) has increased over recent years while catches were highest from 1985-90. 1991 catches of large and small salmon were the lowest since 1984 and 1983, respectively.

Data and assessment: An index trap has been operated on the Miramichi River since 1954. The trap efficiency, estimated in 1972-73, changed in the early 1980s when the river channel was altered and the trap was recalibrated in 1985-87. Estimated returns from the trap efficiency and markrecapture are have been similar in recent years.

State of the Stock: Target egg deposition rates have been almost met or exceeded in each of the last seven years. Previous spawners have increased in number and proportion of the total adult catch in recent years.

Forecast for 1992: Forecasts for large salmon returns in 1992 estimated that the probability of the returns being less than the spawning requirements for large salmon was 26\% (Fig. 12). The probability of returns exceeding spawning requirements by 0 to 10,000 large salmon was $44 \%$ and the probability of. returns exceeding the spawning requirements by more than 10,000 large salmon was $30 \%$.

Recommendations: DFO should continue to operate the Millbank salmon trap until data sufficient for assessments of returns to the NW and SW Miramichi Rivers can be collected at upstream sites. The NW Miramichi trap situated at the Enclosure should be moved upriver to a site adjacent to the Eel Ground Indian Reserve.

Table 1. Angling catch and effort data for kelt and bright $\mathbf{1 S U}$ salmon in the Miramichi River as estimated by DNRE, 1969 to 1991.

| Year | Kelts |  | CPUE | Bright Salmon |  |  |  | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch | Rod Days |  | Early Catch | Late Catch | rotal Catch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rod } \\ & \text { Days } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1969 | 2547 | 21646 | 0.12 | 17823 | 6461 | 24284 | 48525 | 0.50 |
| 1970 | 3719 | 5746 | 0.65 | 13880 | 5730 | 19610 | 56994 | 0.34 |
| 1971 | 2380 | 6447 | 0.37 | 11276 | 2451 | 13727 | 43074 | 0.32 |
| 1972 | 1500 | 3808 | 0.39 | 16053 | 3048 | 19101 | 50604 | 0.38 |
| 1973 | 1538 | 7997 | 0.19 | 12038 | 1819 | 13857 | 59620 | 0.23 |
| 1974 | 1512 | 7013 | 0.22 | 15542 | 2690 | 18232 | 59843 | 0.30 |
| 1975 | 1760 | 7616 | 0.23 | 13314 | 2284 | 15598 | 59746 | 0.26 |
| 1976 | 2316 | 6197 | 0.37 | 23384 | 3798 | 27182 | 66157 | 0.41 |
| 1977 | 2380 | 8082 | 0.29 | 12546 | 1044 | 13590 | 65266 | 0.21 |
| 1978 | 1401 | 7083 | 0.20 | 7357 | 908 | 8265 | 68635 | 0.12 |
| 1979 | 1476 | 6244 | 0.24 | 12654 | 1854 | 14508 | 67599 | 0.21 |
| 1980 | 2242 | 7064 | 0.32 | 9674 | 2323 | 11997 | 58074 | 0.21 |
| 1981 | 1732 | 6373 | 0.27 | 19205 | 3511 | 22716 | 72868 | 0.31 |
| 1982 | 2691 | 8910 | 0.30 | 19233 | 2169 | 21402 | 76041 | 0.28 |
| 1983 | 2060 | 6690 | 0.31 | 7310 | 1080 | 8390 | 87620 | 0.10 |
| $1984{ }^{1}$ | 862 | 1403 | 0.61 | 8472 | 1925 | 10397 | - | - |
| 1985 | 2385 | 4196 | 0.57 | 17119 | 1328 | 18439 | 61693 | 0.30 |
| 1986 | 2473 | 6394 | 0.39 | 20611 | 5552 | 26163 | 67801 | 0.39 |
| 1987 | 2748 | 11180 | 0.25 | 14824 | 5941 | 20765 | 64453 | 0.32 |
| 1988 | 4216 | 4455 | 0.95 | 17971 | 12649 | 30620 | 82103 | 0.37 |
| 1989 | 5361 | 6124 | 0.88 | 17321 | 7105 | 24426 | 72892 | 0.34 |
| 1990 | 4134 | 15454 | 0.27 | 15256 | 6116 | 21372 | 122470 | 0.17 |
| 1991 | 2356 | 11028 | 0.21 | 7769 | 3531 | 11300 | 109597 | 0.10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & (86-90) \end{aligned}$ | 3786 | 8721 | 0.43 | 17197 | 7472 | 24669 | 81944 | 0.30 |
| Change( | $\begin{gathered} \text { mean)/m } \\ -38 \% \end{gathered}$ | an $+26 \%$ | -51\% | -55\% | -53\% | -54\% | +34\% | -67\% |

Footnote: ${ }^{1} 1984$ Catches are from DFO

Jable 2. Angling statistics for bright large and small salmon in the Miramichi as reported by N.B. DNRE and DFO.

| Year | Large Salmon |  | Small Salmon |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DNRE | DFO | DNRE | DFO |
| 1969 | 3,804 | 2,827 | 24,284 | 26,715 |
| 1970 | 3,268 | 2,057 | 19,610 | 19,662 |
| 1971 | 1,792 | 1,247 | 13,727 | 8,464 |
| 1972 | 8,933 | 5,456 | 19,101 | 15,472 |
| 1973 | 5,977 | 4,881 | 13,857 | 9,033 |
| 1974 | 7,184 | 5,895 | 18,232 | 17,957 |
| 1975 | 6,288 | 3,756 | 15,598 | 9,730 |
| . 1976 | 7,374 | 5,319 | 27,182 | 14,749 |
| 1977 | 11,617 | 14,344 | 13,590 | 8,244 |
| 1978 | 4,893 | 4,196 | 8,265 | 5,353 |
| 1979 | 2,656 | 2,422 | 14,508 | 7,625 |
| 1980 | 6,546 | 5,422 | 11,997 | 7.533 |
| 1981 | 3,238 | 1,602 | 22,716 | 7,031 |
| 1982 | 4,608 | 2,642 | 21,406 | 9.217 |
| 1983 | 2,240 | 1,646 | 8,390 | 3,897 |
| 1984 | 4,692 | - | 10,397 | 9,892 |
| 1985 | 9,622 | - | 18,439 | 11,926 |
| 1986 | 14,266 | - | 26,163 | 28,299 |
| 1987 | 11,932 | - | 20,765 | 11,363 |
| 1988 | 10,095 | - | 30,620 | 13,732 |
| 1989 | 11,933 | - | 24,426 | 12,665 |
| 1990 | 9,258 | - | 21,372 | 11,584 |
| 1991 | 6,147. | - | 11,300 | 9,826 |
| Mean 1986-90 | 11,497 | - | 24,669 | 15,529 |
| Change (90-Mean)/Mean | -47\% | - | -54\% | -37\% |

Note: 1984-91 Multi-sea - winter salmon statistics represent numbers of fish hooked and released. 1984 DNRE catches are from DFO

Table 3. Preliminary salmon harvest in the Niramichi River. above Millbank (HR) and estuary below Millbank (HE1), 1991. Harvests in 1990 are given for comparison.

|  | 1990 |  | 1991 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Small | Large |
| 1. Miramichi River above Millbank |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Red Bank | $900$ | 300 | 899 | 350 |
| Eel Ground | $1195$ | 202 | 210 | 112 |
| Angling | 21372 | 278 | 11300 | 184 |
| Total | 23467 | 780 | 12409 | 646 |
| 2. Miramichi estuary below Millbank |  |  |  |  |
| Native |  |  |  |  |
| Burnt Church reported estimated by DFO | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 315 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107 \\ 1307 \end{array}$ | 2 70 | 82 130 |
| Angling | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 15 | 107 | 2 | 82 |
| 3. Other Removals (Millbank and above) |  |  |  |  |
| Brood stock | 0 | 85 | 97 | 99 |
| Trap mortalities | 37 | 14 | 29 | 32 |
| Samples | 105 | 0 | 63 | 0 |
| Total | 142 | 99 | 189 | 131 |
| 4. Total Removals | 23924 | 2186 | 12600 | 859 |

Note: Large salmon angling kills are calculated assuming a catch-and-release mortality rate of 0.03.

Table 4. Catch and effort for native food fisheries on the Miramichi in 1991 for early and late runs by week, as reported by band councils.

| Week | Nets | Burnt Church Smal l | Large | Nets | Eel Ground Small | Large | Nets | Red Bank Small | Large |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 4 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22 | 5 | 0 | 2 | - | - | : | - | - | $\cdots$ |
| 23 | 7 | 0 | 18 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 0 | 20 |
| 24 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 14 | 6 | 22 | $\bullet$ | 6 | 25 |
| 25 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 15 | 8 | 20 | - | 98 | 47 |
| 26 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 13 | 8 | - | 108 | 40 |
| 27 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 27 | 13 | - | 120 | 60 |
| 28 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 60 | 23 | - | 140 | 56 |
| 29 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 32 | 3 | - | 160 | 30 |
| 30 | n.a. | 0 | 2 | 8 | 29 | 6 | - | 120 | 20 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 5 | - | 90 | 20 |
| 32 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 4 | $\bullet$ | 28 | 16 |
| 33 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 15 | 8 |
| 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 8 | 3 |
| 35 | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 4 | 3 |
| Subtotal | 100 | 2 | 70 | 102 | 195 | 104 | n.a. | 897 | 348 |
| Late run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | - | - | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 2 |
| 37 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| 41 | . | - | . - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Subtotal | 10 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 15 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Season | 110 | 2 | 82 | 113 | 210 | 112 | n.a. | 899 | 350 |
| Proportion early run | 91\% | 100\% | 85\% | 90\% | 93\% | 93\% | n.a. | 100\% | 99\% |

Table 5. Recorded catches of salmon in all fisheries, Miramichi River and Bay, 1951-91 (includes commercial, by-catch, recreational, and native). Kelts angled in year $i$ are added to landings in year $i-1.1991$ data are prel iminary. All data are numbers $\times 1000$.

| Year | Commercial |  | Fishery | Angling Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |  | Native Fishery |  |  | All <br> Fisheries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large | Total |  | lts (yr Large | $\begin{aligned} & i+1) \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Small | rights Large | $\begin{aligned} & (y r i) \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | All | Small | Large | Total |  |
| 1951 |  | 27.6 | 27.6 |  | . | 12.0 |  |  | 9.6 | 21.6 |  |  |  | 49.2 |
| 1952 |  | 27.3 | 27.3 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  | 15.9 | 27.2 |  |  |  | 54.5 |
| 1953 |  | 24.4 | 24.4 |  |  | 10.1 |  |  | 18.2 | 28.3 |  |  |  | 52.7 |
| 1954 |  | 50.6 | 50.6 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 23.5 | 34.7 |  |  |  | 85.3 |
| 1955 |  | 15.3 | 15.3 |  |  | 8.9 |  |  | 14.7 | 23.6 |  |  |  | 38.9 |
| 1956 |  | 24.7 | 24.7 |  |  | 9.3 |  |  | 28.9 | 38.2 |  |  |  | 62.9 |
| 1957 |  | 29.9 | 29.9 |  |  | 8.4 |  |  | 19.5 | 27.9 |  |  |  | 57.8 |
| 1958 |  | 25.2 | 25.2 |  |  | 10.2 |  |  | 36.7 | 46.9 |  |  |  | 72.1 |
| 1959 |  | 37.3 | 37.3 |  |  | 9.5 |  |  | 10.3 | 19.8 |  |  |  | 57.1 |
| 1960 |  | 30.8 | 30.8 |  |  | 5.6 |  |  | 4.5 | 10.1 |  |  |  | 40.9 |
| 1961 |  | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |  | 9.5 |  |  | 11.0 | $20.5{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  | 50.5 |
| 1962 |  | 41.6 | 41.6 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  | 10.3 | 17.6 |  |  |  | 59.2 |
| 1963 |  | 40.7 | 40.7 |  |  | 5.2 |  |  | 50.9 | 56.1 |  |  | . | 96.8 |
| 1964 |  | 69.8 | 69.8 |  |  | 9.0 |  |  | 35.1 | 44.1 |  |  |  | 113.9 |
| 1965 |  | 69.5 | 69.5 |  |  | 16.0 | 38.7 | 3.9 | 42.6 | 58.6 |  |  |  | 128.1 |
| 1966 |  | 72.9 | 72.9 |  |  | 20.0 | 51.7 | 5.9 | 57.6 | 77.6 |  |  |  | 150.5 |
| 1967 |  | 102.2 | 102.2 |  |  | 14.1 | 41.8 | 4.1 | 45.9 | 60.0 |  |  |  | 162.2 |
| 1968 |  | 48.5 | 48.5 |  |  | 6.9 | 7.0 | 1.5 | 8.5 | 15.4 |  |  |  | 63.9 |
| 1969 |  | 41.3 | 41.3 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 24.3 | 3.8 | 28.1 | 33.4 |  |  |  | 74.7 |
| 1970 |  | 39.7 | 39.7 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 19.6 | 3.3 | 22.9 | 26.7 |  |  |  | 66.4 |
| 1971 |  | 18.3 | 18.3 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 13.7 | 1.8 | 15.5 | 17.5 |  |  |  | 35.8 |
| 1972 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 19.1 | 8.9 | 28.0 | 32.5 |  |  |  | 35.0 |
| 1973 |  | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 13.9 | 6.0 | 19.9 | 24.4 |  |  |  | 25.3 |
| 1974 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 18.2 | 7.2 | 25.4 | 30.3 |  |  |  | 31.3 |
| 1975 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 15.6 | 6.3 | 21.9 | 25.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 27.3 |
| 1976 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 4.6 | 27.2 | 7.4 | 34.6 | 39.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 42.3 |
| 1977 | 0.4 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 13.6 | 11.6 | 25.2 | 28.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 36.9 |
| 1978 | 1.2 | 8.4 | 9.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 8.3 | 4.9 | 13.2 | 16.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 26.8 |
| 1979 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 7.2 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 14.5 | 2.7 | 17.2 | 20.9 | 0.1 | $0: 2$ | 0.3 | 28.4 |
| 1980 | 2.7 | 10.9 | 13.6 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 12.0 | 6.5 | 18.5 | 22.3 |  |  |  | 35.9 |
| 1981 | 1.6 | 7.8 | 9.4 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 22.7 | 3.2 | 25.9 | 30.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 40.9 |
| 1982 | 2.3 | 12.5 | 14.8 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 21.4 | 4.6 | 26.0 | 29.1 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 45.0 |
| 1983 | 1.6 | 17.1 | 18.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 8.4 | 2.2 | 10.6 | 12.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 32.5 |
| 1984 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 12.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 13.5 |
| 1985 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 20.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 21.7 |
| 1986 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 26.2 | 0.0 | 26.2 | 28.9 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 31.5 |
| 1987 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 25.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 27.2 |
| 1988 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 30.6 | 0.0 | 30.6 | 36.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 37.2 |
| 1989 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 28.3 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 29.9 |
| 1990 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 24.1 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 26.8 |
| 1991 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2. | 0.0 | - | 11.3 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 12.9 |
| 1986-90 Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | 1.5 | 0.6 | 2.1 | - |
| change $=$ (91-mean)/mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -27\% | -17\% | -24\% |  |

Note: Angling catches from 1951-68 are from DFO Angling catches from 1969-91 are from DNRE FISHSYS

Table 6. Counts of small and large salmon at Millbank, 1954 to 1991 . Counts are divided into early (May to August 31) and late periods.

| YEAR | SmallEarly <br> Large |  | time <br> Late |  | Total |  | Proportion early small | Proportion early large |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Small | Large | Small | Large |  |  |
| 1954 | 904 | 347 | 925 | 1783 | 1829 | 2130 | 0.49 | 0.16 |
| 1955 | 646 | 99 | 1161 | 2747 | 1807 | 2846 | 0.36 | 0.03 |
| 1956 | 1145 | 216 | 2289 | 3142 | 3434 | 3358 | 0.33 | 0.06 |
| 1957 | 1322 | 516 | 2696 | 3410 | 4018 | 3926 | 0.33 | 0.13 |
| 1958 | 2152 | 549 | 6250 | 3823 | 8402 | 4372 | 0.26 | 0.13 |
| 1959 | 760 | 209 | 1400 | 4094 | 2160 | 4303 | 0.35 | 0.05 |
| 1960 | 1079 | 216 | 3424 | 4458 | 4503 | 4674 | 0.24 | 0.05 |
| 1961 | 2213 | 358 | 4639 | 2634 | 6852 | 2992 | 0.32 | 0.12 |
| 1962 | 1576 | 254 | 1387 | 1661 | 2963 | 1915 | 0.53 | 0.13 |
| 1963 | 2765 | 184 | 11343 | 1455 | 14108 | 1639 | 0.20 | 0.11 |
| 1964 | 4674 | 210 | 4269 | 798 | 8943 | 1008 | 0.52 | 0.21 |
| 1965 | 5023 | 399 | 10762 | 1418 | 15785 | 1817 | 0.32 | 0.22 |
| 1966 | 4564 | 310 | 5426 | 1323 | 9989 | 1632 | 0.46 | 0.19 |
| 1967 | 1480 | 73 | 6216 | 924 | 7723 | 997 | 0.19 | 0.07 |
| 1968 | 2492 | 292 | 726 | 1127 | 3239 | 1414 | 0.77 | 0.21 |
| 1969 | 3224 | 333 | 1116 | 328 | 4350 | 667 | 0.74 | 0.50 |
| 1970 | 1826 | 125 | 658 | 120 | 2484 | 245 | 0.74 | 0.51 |
| 1971 | 1849 | 370 | 113 | 24 | 1962 | 394 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| 1972 | 2378 | 948 | 164 | 219 | 2542 | 1167 | 0.94 | 0.81 |
| 1973 | 1490 | 478 | 960 | 655 | 2450 | 1133 | 0.61 | 0.42 |
| 1974 | 2948 | 864 | 1090 | 927 | 4038 | 1791 | 0.73 | 0.48 |
| 1975 | 2954 | 629 | 594 | 580 | 3548 | 1209 | 0.83 | 0.52 |
| 1976 | 4072 | 641 | 867 | 302 | 4939 | 943 | 0.82 | 0.68 |
| 1977 | 1249 | 1189 | 256 | 745 | 1505 | 1934 | 0.83 | 0.61 |
| 1978 | 1150 | 535 | 115 | 58 | 1265 | 593 | 0.91 | 0.90 |
| 1979 | 2157 | 257 | 343 | 61 | 2500 | 318 | 0.86 | 0.81 |
| 1980 | 1802 | 837 | 337 | 256 | 2139 | 1093 | 0.84 | 0.77 |
| 1981 | 2020 | 173 | 154 | 26 | 2174 | 199 | 0.93 | 0.87 |
| 1982 | 2593 | 392 | 72 | 16 | 2665 | 408 | 0.97 | 0.96 |
| 1983 | 770 | 226 | 40 | 19 | 810 | 245 | 0.95 | 0.92 |
| 1984 | 966 | 294 | 44 | 39 | 1010 | 333 | 0.96 | 0.88 |
| 1985 | 901 | 287 | 11 | 24 | 912 | 311 | 0.99 | 0.92 |
| 1986 | 1324 | 345 | 439 | 124 | 1763 | 469 | 0.75 | 0.74 |
| 1987 | 1146 | 223 | 126 | 68 | 1272 | 291 | 0.90 | 0.77 |
| 1988 | 884 | 173 | 944 | 152 | 1828 | 325 | 0.48 | 0.53 |
| 1989 | 1062 | 211 | 66 | 46 | 1128 | 257 | 0.94 | 0.82 |
| 1990 | 858 | 189 | 389 | 238 | 1247 | 427 | 0.69 | 0.44 |
| 1991 | 597 | 220 | 316 | 228 | 913 | 448 | 0.65 | 0.49 |
| 86-90 avg | 1055 | 228 | 393 | 126 | 1448 | 354 | 0.75 | 0.64 |
| Change (91-avg)/avg | -43\% | -4\% | -20\% | +81\% | -37\% | +27\% | -13\% | -23\% |

Table 7. Wumbers of large and small salmon counted at barriers in three tributaries of the Miramichi River, 1981 to 1991.


Table 8. Biological characteristics of adult salmon sampled at the Millbank trap, 1971-91.

1. Fork length, sex ratio, and reproductive potential.

| Sea age | $n$ | $F L$ | $S D$ |  | $n$ | $\%$ female | eggs/spawner |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSW | 335 | 79.3 | 9.18 |  | 182 | 84.1 | 6394 |
| ISW | 128 | 53.7 | 3.25 |  | 90 | 14.4 | 461 |



| Previous | Spawners |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1991 | 127 | 64.3 | 34.1 | 1.6 |
| 1990 | 149 | 68.5 | 31.5 | 0.0 |
| 1989 | 71 | 53.5 | 46.5 | 0.0 |
| 1988 | 45 | 57.8 | 42.2 | 0.0 |
| 1987 | 11 | 63.6 | 36.4 | 0.0 |
| 1986 | 23 | 34.8 | 65.2 | 0.0 |
| 1985 | 13 | 53.8 | 46.2 | 0.0 |
| 1984 | 3 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 |
| 1983 | 8 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| 1982 | 9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 1981 | 13 | 15.4 | 84.6 | 0.0 |
| 1980 | 11 | 18.2 | 72.7 | 9.1 |
| 1979 | 19 | 52.6 | 42.1 | 5.3 |
| 1978 | 21 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.0 |
| 1977 | 20 | 35.0 | 60.0 | 5.0 |
| 1976 | 14 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.0 |
| 1975 | 35 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.0 |
| 1974 | 43 | 39.5 | 58.1 | 2.3 |
| 1973 | 26 | 15.4 | 76.9 | 7.7 |
| 1972 | 15 | 6.7 | 86.6 | 6.7 |
| 1971 | 26 | 15.4 | 76.9 | 7.7 |

Note: Eggs/spawner are calculated for 1SW and MSW salmon as follows (Randall 1989):
Eggs/spawner $(1 S W)=\%$ Female $X e^{〔 3.1718 X \operatorname{Ln}(F L)-4.5636]}$
Eggs/spawner $(M S W)=\%$ Female $\left.X e^{[1.4132 \times \operatorname{Ln}(F L)}+2.7560\right]$

Table 9. Estimated tagged to untagged ratios, and tag return rates for 1991.

| Location | Count | Small Salmon Recaptures | Proportion | Count | Large Salmon Recaptures | Proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Counting Fences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Bartholomew Fence | 113 | 1 | 0.0088 | 20 | 1 | 0.0500 |
| 2. Dungarvon Barrier | 296 | 1 | 0.0034 | 204 | 3 | 0.1470 |
| 3. SW Enclosure Trap | 193 | 2 | 0.0104 | 84 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| 4. NW Enclosure Trap | 220 | 2 | 0.0091 | 83 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| 5. Red Bank Traps | 217 | 2 | 0.0092 | 182 | 1 | 0.0055 |
| 6. Catamaran Fence | 76 | 2 | 0.0263 | 51 | 1 | 0.0196 |
| 7. NW Miramichi Barrier | 765 | 6 | 0.0078 | 224 | 1 | 0.0045 |
| Total | 1880 | $16$ |  | 848 | 7 |  |
| 1990 | 2399 | 28 | $0.0117$ | 739 | 3 | $0.0041$ |
| 1989 | 2260 | 23 | 0.0102 | 683 | 7 | 0.0103 |
| B. Index Angling Camps |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Rocky Brook | 224 | 0 | 0.0000 | 130 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| 2. Miramichi Salmon Club | 114 | 1 | 0.0088 | 26 | 1 | 0.0385 |
| 3. Wades | 144 | 3 | 0.0208 | 70 | 0 |  |
| 4. Halfway Bar |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Black Brook | 157 | 2 | 0.0127 | 106 | 1 | 0.0094 |
| 6. Salmon Brook | 133 | 1 | 0.0075 | 72 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| 7. Deadman | 56 | 0 | 0.0000 | 13 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| 8. Rocky 8end | 29 | 1 | 0.0345 | 32 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| 9. Burnt Hill | 96 | 0 | 0.0000 | 56 | 1 | 0.0179 |
| 10. Sevogle Salmon Club | 61 | 0 | 0.0000 | 15 | 1 | 0.0667 |
| 11. Miramichi Inn | 135 | 1 | 0.0074 | 71 | 1 | $0.0141$ |
| 12. Miramichi Fish \& Game | 208 | 0 | 0.0000 | 208 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| Total | $1357$ | $9$ | $0.0066$ | $799$ | $5$ | $0.0063$ |
| $1990$ | $2397$ | $14$ | $0.0058$ | $699$ | $4$ | $0.0057$ |

Table 10. Spaming escapement as estimated by Methods 1 (Millbank trap efficiency) and 2 (tag-recapture). $95 \%$ confidence limits for estimates of returns to Millbank, spawning escapement, $X$ of required spauners, and \% required egg deposition are shown in brackets.

## Large salmon

1. Total returns
2. Harvest below Millbank
3. Returns to Millbank
4. Harvest above Millbank
5. Broodstock/trap mortalities
6. Spawners
7. Required spawners
\% achieved

| 29949 |  | 33830 |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 82 |  | 82 |  |
| 29867 | $(22400-37333)$ | 33748 | $(17531-71048)$ |
| 646 |  | 646 |  |
| 132 |  | 132 |  |
| 29089 | $(21622-36555)$ | 32970 | $(16753-70270)$ |
| 23600 |  | 23600 |  |
| $123 \%$ | $(92-155 \%)$ | $140 \%$ | $(71-298 \%)$ |

Small salmon

| 1. Total returns 2. Harvest below Millbank | 60869 |  | 61964 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. Returns to Millbank | 60867 | (45650-76083) | 61962 | (39013-103271) |
| 4. Harvest above Millbank | 12409 |  | 12409 |  |
| 5. Broodstock/trap mortalities | 189 |  | 189 |  |
| 6. Spawners | 48259 | (33042-63475) | 49354 | (26385-90663) |
| 7. Required spawners | 22600 |  | 22600 |  |
| \% achieved | 214\% | (146-281\%) | 224\% | (117-401\%) |
| \% egg deposition | 158\% | (116-199\%) | 177\% | (90-372\%) |

Table 11. Estimates of spanning escapement ( $S$ ) and total returns ( $R$ ) of large and small salmon (from Method 1) in the Miramichi River, 1971 to 1991.

| Year | HE1 | HE2 | HR | MIL | E1 | MILR | S | R | S/R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Large Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 15,128 | 3,140 | 1,792 | 399 | 0.043 | 9,279 | 4,347 | 24,407 | 0.18 |
| 1972 | 2,282 | 163 | 8,933 | 1,151 | 0.043 | 26,767 | 17,671 | 29,049 | 0.61 |
| 1973 | 866 | 0 | 5,977 | 1,13 | 0.043 | 26,326 | 20,349 | 27,192 | 0.75 |
| 1974 | 941 | 22 | 7,184 | 1,791 | 0.043 | 41,651 | 34,445. | 42,592 | 0.81 |
| 1975 | 724 | 19 | 6,626 | 1,208 | 0.043 | 28,093 | 21,448 | 28,817 | 0.74 |
| 1976 | 871 | 7 | 7,591 | 943 | 0.043 | 21,930 | 14,332 | 22,801 | 0.63 |
| 1977 | 6,865 | 0 | 12,060 | 1,934 | 0.043 | 44,977 | 32,917 | 51,842 | 0.63 |
| 1978 | 8,377 | 0 | 5,287 | 693 | 0.043 | 16,116 | 10,829 | 24,493 | 0.44 |
| 1979 | 1,659 | 0 | 2,854 | 318 | 0.043 | 7,395 | 4,541 | 9,054 | 0.50 |
| 1980 | 10,899 | 0 | 6,546 | 1,093 | 0.043 | 25,419 | 18,873 | 36,318 | 0.52 |
| 1981 | 7,137 | 699 | 3,738 | 199 | 0.022 | 9,045 | 4.608 | 16,182 | 0.28 |
| 1982 | 12,213 | 298 | 4,989 | 408 | 0.022 | 18,545 | 13,258 | 30,758 | 0.43 |
| 1983 | 16,788 | 269 | 2,409 | 245 | 0.022 | 11,136 | 8,458 | 27,924 | 0.30 |
| 1984 | 1 | 0 | 449 | 333 | 0.022 | 15,136 | 14,687 | 15,137 | 0.97 |
| 1985 | 5 | 0 | 611 | 311 | 0.015 | 20,733 | 20,122 | 20,738 | 0.97 |
| 1986 | 18 | 0 | 1,051 | 469 | 0.015 | 31,267 | 30,216 | 31,285 | 0.97 |
| 1987 | 21 | 0 | 1,344 | 291 | 0.015 | 19,400 | 18,056 | 19,421 | 0.93 |
| 1988 | 78 | 0 | 687 | 325 | 0.015 | 21,667 | 20,980 | 21,745 | 0.96 |
| 1989 | 78 | 0 | 1,593 | 257 | 0.015 | 17,133 | 15,540 | 17,211 | 0.90 |
| 1990 | 107 | 0 | 879 | 427 | 0.015 | 28,467 | 27,588 | 28,574 | 0.97 |
| 1991 | 82 | 0 | 778 | 448 | 0.015 | 29,867 | 29,089 | 29,949 | 0.97 |
| Mean | 86-90 |  | 1,111 |  |  | 23,587 | 22,476 | 23,887 |  |
| Chang | (90-mean | ean | -30\% |  |  | +27\% | +29\% | +25\% |  |
| Small Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 13,727 | 1,962 | 0.055 | 35,673 | 21,946 | 35,673 | 0.62 |
| 1972 | 39 | 0 | 19,101 | 2,543 | 0.055 | 46,236 | 27,135 | 46,275 | 0.59 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 13,857 | 2,540 | 0.055 | 44,545 | 30,688 | 44,545 | 0.69 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 18,232 | 4,038 | 0.055 | 73,418 | 55,186 | 73,418 | 0.75 |
| 1975 | 393 | 0 | 16,040 | 3,548 | 0.055 | 64,509 | 48,469 | 64,902 | 0.75 |
| 1976 | 1,780 | 39 | 27,381 | 4,939 | 0.055 | 89,800 | 62,380 | 91,580 | 0.68 |
| 1977 | 379 | 28 | 14,089 | 1,505 | 0.055 | 27,364 | 13,247 | 27,743 | 0.48 |
| 1978 | 1,232 | 2 | 8,700 | 1,268 | 0.055 | 23,055 | 14,353 | 24,287 | 0.59 |
| 1979 | 5,510 | 2 | -14,605 | 2,500 | 0.055 | 45,455 | 30,848 | 50,965 | 0.61 |
| 1980 | 2,697 | 0 | 11,997 | 2,139 | 0.055 | 38,891 | 26,894 | 41,588 | 0.65 |
| 1981 | 1,332 | 296 | 23,716 | 2,174 | 0.034 . | 63,941 | 39,929 | 65,273 | 0.61 |
| 1982 | 1,997 | 314 | 22,068 | 2,665 | 0.034 | 78,382 | 56,000 | 80,379 | 0.70 |
| 1983 | 1,360 | 229 | 8,746 | 810 | 0.034 | 23,824 | 14,849 | 25,184 | 0.59 |
| 1984 | 1 | 0 | 10,777 | 1,010 | 0.034 | 29,706 | 18,929 | 29,707 | 0.64 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 18,985 | 912 | 0.015 | 60,800 | 41,815 | 60,800 | 0.69 |
| 1986 | 16 | 0 | 28,135 | 1,763 | 0.015 | 171,533 | 89,398 | 117,549 | 0.76 |
| 1987 | 16 | 0 | 22,023 | 1,272 | 0.015 | 84,800 | 62,777 | 84,816 | 0.74 |
| 1988 | 52 | 0 | 31,589 | 1,828 | 0.015 | 121,867 | 90,278 | 121,919 | 0.74 |
| 1989 | 31 | 0 | 26,815 | 1,128 | 0.015 | 75,200 | 48,385 | 73,231 | 0.66 |
| 1990 | 15 | 0 | 23,609 | 1,247 | 0.015 | 83,133 | 59,724. | 83,448 | 0.72 |
| 1991 | 2 | 0 | 12,409 | 913 | 0.015 | 60,867 | 48,259 | 60,869 | 0.79 |
| 1986-90 Mean |  |  | 26,434 |  |  | 96,507 | 70,112 | 96,593 | 0.69 |
| Change $=(90-$ Mean $) /$ Mean |  |  | -53\% |  |  | -37\% | -31\% | -37\% |  |

[^0]Table 12. Indices of spawning escapement in the Miramichi River, 1970 to 1990.

| Year (i) 1 | Angled Large Kelt (i) 2 | Angled Large Bright (i-1) 3 | $0+$ fry <br> (i) <br> 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1+ parr } \\ (i+1) \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | Eggs/sq meter (i-1) <br> 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1969 | 1,828 | 1,512 | - | 6.1 | - |
| 1970 | 1,647 | 3,804 | 35.3 | 7.9 | - |
| 1971 | 1,352 | 3,268 | 20.1 | 8.3 | 0. |
| 1972 | 547 | 1,792 | 9.8 | 3.0 | 0.56 |
| 1973 | 2,970 | 8,933 | 24.9 | 11.0 | 1.85 |
| 1974 | 3,037 | 5,977 | 34.2 | 12.8 | 2.39 |
| 1975 | 3,111 | 7,184 | 40.0 | 11.7 | 4.61 |
| 1976 | 1,446 | 6,288 | 25.1 | 8.4 | 3.06 |
| 1977 | 2,156 | 7,374 | 51.8 | 10.7 | 2.38 |
| 1978 | 2,126 | 11,617 | 36.4 | 9.0 | 3.88 |
| 1979 | 1,668 | 4,893 | 19.7 | 8.3 | 1.45 |
| 1980 | 1,504 | 2,656 | 34.5 | 7.0 | 0.95 |
| 1981 | 2,118 | 6,546 | 53.6 | 9.8 | 2.44 |
| 1982 | 1,368 | 3,238 | 15.0 | 6.7 | 0.86 |
| 1983 | 960 | 4,608 | 44.5 | 6.5 | 2.16 |
| 1984 | 666 | 2,240 | 19.1 | 8.9 | 1.03 |
| 1985 | 3,771 | 4,692 | 56.4 | 12.2 | 1.81 |
| 1986 | 6,856 | 9,622 | 55.4 | 13.1 | 2.49 |
| 1987 | 5,099 | 14,266 | 74.5 | 13.9 | 4.27 |
| 1988 | 5,700 | 11,932 | 95.1 | 18.4 | 3.40 |
| 1989 | 7,382 | 10,095 | 72.2 | 12.4 | 3.61 2.33 |
| 1990 | 5,641 | 11,933 | 94.6 | 14.3 | 2.33 |
| 1991 | 2,997 | 9.258 | 44.6 | - | 3.63 |
| 1992 | , | 6,147 | - | - | 3.79 |

Correlations:

|  | $n$ | $r$ | $p$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 with 3 | 23 | 0.76 | 0.0001 |
| 2 with 4 | 22 | 0.83 | 0.0001 |
| 2 with 5 | 22 | 0.84 | 0.0001 |
| 2 with 6 | 20 | 0.52 | 0.0201 |
| 3 with 4 | 22 | 0.76 | 0.0001 |
| 3 with 5 | 22 | 0.79 | 0.0001 |
| 3 with 6 | 21 | 0.73 | 0.0002 |
| 4 with 5 | 21 | 0.82 | 0.0001 |
| 4 with 6 | 20 | 0.52 | 0.0177 |
| 5 with 6 | 19 | 0.60 | 0.0072 |

Notes: a. Eggs per sq meter are estimated from Method 1. b. Angling catches are DNRE Fishsys values.

## Legend

## 1. Millbank Trap

2. Southwest Enclosure Trap
3. Northwest Enclosure Trap
4. Red Bank Indian Reserve
5. Northwest Miramichi headwater barrier
6. Southwest Miramichi headwater barrier
7. Bartholomew River counting fence
8. Dungarvon headwater barrier
9. Catamaran Brook counting fence 10. Burnt Church Indian Reserve
10. Eel Ground Indian Reserve

- Native Food Fishery
- Salmon counting facility

A Index angling camp


Figure 1 The Miramichi River system and locations referred to in the text.


Figure 2. Annual counts of MSW (solid line) and 1SW (dashed line) at Millbank from 1970 to 1991.

1SW Salmon



Figure 3. Bimonthly counts of 1SW (upper) and MSW (lower) salmon at Millbank. Counts in 1991 (solid lines) are shown relative to mean counts from 1986-90 (dashed lines).

Repeat spawners in adult salmon returns to Millbank


Figure 4. Percentages of previous spawners in adult (MSW+1SW) salmon at Millbank from 1966-91.

## Miramichi



Figure 5. Estimated egg deposition rates (number of eggs per square meter) in the Miramichi River, 1971 to 1991. Egg depositions from 1SW salmon (dots), MSW salmon (stars), and total egg deposition (circles) are shown separately in relation to the target of 2.4 eggs per square meter.

Age 0 fry; $r$ sq $=0.50 \mathrm{P}=0.0005 \mathrm{n}=20$
fry density=21.93*eggs/sq meter**0.7421


Age $1+$ parr; $\mathbf{r} \mathbf{s q}=0.52 \mathrm{P}=0.0005 \mathrm{n}=19$
Parr densily=6.84*egqs/sq meter**0.4956


Figure 6. Relationships between egg deposition rates and resulting age $0+$ (upper) and age $1+$ (lower) parr densities in the Miramichi River from 1970 to 1991.


Figure 7. The probability distribution of forecasted large salmon (MSW salmon + previous spawners) in 1992 (from Claytor et al 1992).

APPENDIX I Angling seasons on Miramichi tributaries, 1990 and 1991.

| Season |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tributary | 1990 | 1991 |
| General | June 8 to October 15 | June 8-October 7 |
| Exceptions |  |  |
| Bartholomew |  |  |
| - above Ledbetters Bk | closed | closed |
| -below Ledbetters Bk | June 8 to September 25- Saturdays only September 28 to October 14- All week | June 8 to Sept 28-Saturdays only Sept 29 to Oct 15 All week |
| Bartibog | June 1 to October 29 | June 1 to October 29 |
| Cains | June 8 to October 15 | June 8 to October 15 |
| Dungarvon |  |  |
| -above Underwood Bk | June 8 to September 15 | June 8 to September 15 |
| Little Southwest |  |  |
| Main Southwest |  |  |
| -above McKeil Bk | June 8 to September 15 | N.A. |
| -MacKeil Bk to Burntland Bk | June 8 to October 7 | N.A. |
| -North and South Branches | N.A. | June 8 to September 15 |
| -above Burntland Bk to fork of North and South Branch | N.A. | June 8 to September 30 |
| -head of tide to Cains R. | N.A. | June 8 to October 15 |
| Northwest |  | June 8 to August 31 |
| Renous |  |  |
| -above Forks | June 8 to September 15 | June 8 to September 15 |
| Rocky Brook | June 1 to August 31 | June 1 to August 31 |
| Sevogle <br> -above Square Forks | June 8 to September 15 | June 8 to September 15 |
| Other tributaries of the |  |  |
| Main Southwest Miramichi -above Cains except Rocky Bk | June 8 to September 15. | June 8 to September 15 |

## Appendix II Millbank counts fishing 5 days vs. 7 days per week

## INTRODUCTION

In order to operate salmon traps at Millbank and on the NW and SW Miramichi at the Enclosure in 1991 it was necessary to operate the Millbank trap 5 days per week rather than 7 days per week as in the past.

Two methods have been used to estimate returns to the Miramichi River at Millbank. Method 1, dividing the Millbank trap counts by the trap efficiency, would need a conversion factor to estimate returns from weekday catches only. Method 2 , dividing the number of fish tagged at Millbank by the proportion tagged as measured by recapture facilities upstream, would not need to be adjusted for weekday tagging only.

## METHODS

The Millbank trap efficiency, calculated from tag-recapture data collected in 1985 to 1987, has not changed from 1985 to the present. During these years the Millbank trap has been fished at each slack water between 0800 hrs . and 1600 hrs on weekdays and once per day, at slack water between the hours of 0800 and 1600 on weekends. Counts of small and large salmon for 1985 to 1990 were compared to counts from weekdays only during those years. Conversion factors for calculating returns to Millbank from weekday counts were calculated first as the ratios of total counts to the weekday counts from 1985 to 1990 for small and large salmon, respectively. Secondly, conversion factors were calculated as the mean ratios of total counts to weekday counts from 1985 to 1990 for large and small salmon, respectively. Standard deviations and coefficients of variation were calculated for conversion factors calculated by this second method.

## RESULTS

Large salmon
Year. Total(A) Wkdays(B) A/B

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1985 | 311 | 237 | 1.31 | 912 | 735 | 1.24 |
| 1986 | 469 | 381 | 1.23 | 1763 | 1333 | 1.32 |
| 1987 | 291 | 223 | 1.30 | 1272 | 848 | 1.50 |
| 1988 | 325 | 238 | 1.37 | 1828 | 1301 | 1.41 |
| 1989 | 257 | 189 | 1.36 | 1128 | 924 | 1.22 |
| 1990 | 427 | 364 | 1.17 | 1247 | 1016 | 1.23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2080 | 1632 | 1.27 | 8150 | 6157 | 1.32 |
| Mean |  |  | 1.29 |  |  | 1.32 |
| Sd |  |  | 0.08 |  | 0.11 |  |
| Coeff Var |  |  | $6 \%$ |  |  | $8 \%$ |

Mean conversion factors for large ( $A / B$ ) and small salmon (C/D) were similar and both had low coefficient of variation values (6\% and $8 \%$ ).

A factor of 1.3 was selected to estimate 1991 total counts from weekday operations.

## APPENDIX III. RETENTION OF CARLIN TAGS, T-BAR TAGS, AND OPERCULAR TAGS BY GRILSE

## INTRODUCTION

One of the assumptions made in the mark-recapture program used to estimate the number of grilse and MSW salmon in the Miramichi River, is that fish tagged at Millbank with Carlin tags do not shed these tags. It is important to test this assumption, because to the extent that it is untrue, the reported population size is an overestimate.

There is reason to believe that tag loss is a problem. Chaput and Jones (1991) used a Carlin tag mark-recapture program to estimate MSW returns to the Margaree River in 1990, and performed an experiment on retention of tags. Five of 18 MSW fish held in broodstock tanks, shed their Carlin tags within 21 days. On this basis, Chaput and Jones (1991) applied a tag loss rate of 0.013 tags per day.

In addition to examining retention of carlin tags, we were interested in the retention of other types of tags that could in future be used in our mark-recapture program. The two tags of interest -plastic T-bar tags and aluminum opercular tags - have the advantage over Carlin tags of being easier and faster to apply.

The following experiment was conducted to examine the retention of all three types of tag. This work was conducted by William Villet, an undergraduate student from Plymouth Polytechnic Institute (Plymouth England,) as a B.Sc. thesis project under the supervision of Simon Courtenay and Kevin Davidson (DFO), and with the assistance of the staffs of the Millbank station, and Miramichi hatchery. A full description of the experiment will be published as a technical report.

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

Between July 4 and July 15, 1991, 60 grilse, caught in the Millbank trap, were transported to the Miramichi hatchery, where they were held in an indoor Swede pond measuring 7.6 X 7.6 m . On July 16, the fish were tagged as follows.

Fish were anaesthetized with MS222, weighed, measured (forklength), examined for kype, and tagged. Carlin tags were those used in the Miramichi mark-recapture program: a blue plastic disc measuring $8 \times 4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ suspended on a stainless steel wire. One end of the wire is passed through a hypodermic needle placed through the dorsal musculature of the fish, just anterior to the dorsal fin. The other end of the wire is passed over the back of the fish, anterior to the dorsal fin, and the two ends of the wire are intertwined and folded back against the fish. T-bar tags, manufactured by Floy Tag and Manufacturing Inc., Seattle Washington, were 100 mm long, with a 10 mm bar, and a 76 mm long
fluorescent orange sleeve. A tagging gun with a long needle was used eto insert tags into the dorsal musculature on the left side of the fish, beneath the middle of the dorsal fin. opercular tags were 50 X 5 mm strips of aluminum, bent double, with one end sharpened to fit through a slot in the other end. These tags were manufactured by Ketchum MFG. Co. Ltd., Ottawa, Ontario for the marking of day-old chickens. They were applied to the left operculum of fish with pliers, with the insertion in the middle of the operculum and the bent edge bordering the posterior edge of the operculum. The first half of the fish tagged were tagged with labelled side of the tag on the outside of the operculum, and the second half of the fish were tagged with the labelled side inside the operculum. The differential application was done in order to determine which method resulted in less damage to the fish and better retention. Carlin tags were applied by Michel Biron, a regular DFO technician at the Millbank trap. T-bar tags were applied by Perry Swan (DFO), and opercular tags were applied by Kevin Davidson (DFO).

After recovery from the anaesthetic, fish were held for 1 hour in a $4 \%$ salt bath to discourage bacterial growth around tagging wounds. Every second fish tagged was released into a penned section of Stewart Brook which runs through the hatchery grounds. Remaining fish were placed back into the indoor Swede pond. Dividing the fish between the natural and artificial settings was done in order to compare tag retention in the natural setting with the more commonly reported retention in artificial conditions.

On September 17 and 18, the experiment was ended. The interval between tagging and recovery was set at 63 days because 95\% of tags put on at the Millbank trap are recovered within this period (19861990 data, mean=20, $S D=19$, range:0-92 d). Fish in Stewart Brook were recovered on September 17 by electrofishing, and were anaesthetized, weighed, measured, examined for kype, photographed, and had tags removed. Fish in the indoor Swede pond were similarly handled on September 18. Following the experiment, all fish were released into Stewart Brook, downstream of the penned section.

Temperatures in both Stewart Brook and the Swede Pond were monitored daily and never differed by more than 3 degrees $C$, being usually within 2 degrees (temperature range: 11 - 20 degrees $C$ ). The waters were otherwise similar, as the Swede pond received water from Stewart Brook, upstream of the penned section.

## RESULTS

In the two week period following tagging (July 17-30), 9 fish died: 7 in Stewart Brook and 2 in the Swede pond. Four mortalities were sent to the Fish Health Service Unit (DFO Halifax lab) for examination. J. W. Cornick returned a diagnosis of "No bacterial or viral pathogens or parasites. Some secondary fungus infection. Probable cause of death due to excessive handling." No mortalities occurred after this initial period.

On August 11, 6 fish escaped from the penned section of stewart Brook during a period of high water. One fish bearing all three tags was recovered in the Eel Ground Native fishery on August 16. A second fish bearing the opercular tag and carlin tag was recovered by an angler on September 2.

Retention of tags by the remaining 17 fish in Stewart Brook and 28 fish in the Swede pond was as follows:

|  | CARLIN | T-BAR | OPERCULAR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| BROOR | 17 | 13 | 6 |
| $(\%)$ | $(100)$ | $(76)$ | $(35)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| POND | 28 | 26 | 9 |
| $(\%)$ | $(100)$ | $(93)$ | $(32)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 45 | 39 | 15 |
| $(\%)$ | $(100)$ | $(87)$ | $(33)$ |

Carlin tags were retained by all fish, and in all cases we observed very little damage around the insertion of the stainless steel wire. None of the tags was loose or appeared in danger of being shed.

T-bar tags were less well retained than Carlin tags. The rate of tag loss was greater for the fish held in Stewart Brook, than for the fish held in the Swede pond. Wounds around the insertion were variable in size - ranging between 2 and 6 mm , and in several cases were surrounded by an area of lifted scales. Three of the tags on fish in the Swede pond, and 1 of the tags on fish in the Brook were loose and appeared in danger of being shed.

Opercular tags were least well retained of the tag types examined, and were retained at a similar rate in the Brook and Pond. Typically, the insertion of these tags had eroded a large hole through the operculum, leaving the tag hanging loosely from the hole. Tags were shed when the erosion opened the hole to the posterior edge of the operculum, leaving a $V$-shaped indentation in the operculum which in some cases exposed the gills. Of the 6 tags retained by fish in the Brook, 4 had eroded holes in the operculum and were loose. Of the 9 tags retained by fish in the Pond, 7 had eroded holes in the operculum and were loose.

Length, weight, and sex (based on presence or absence of kype) characteristics of the fish that completed the experiment were as
follows:

|  |  | LENGTH <br> (CM) |  | WEIGHT <br> (KG) |  | SEX |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | JULY | SEPT | JULY | SEPT | M | F | ? |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BROOK | MEAN | 53.1 | 53.4 | 1.52 | 1.42 | 8 | 6 | 3 |
|  | SD | 3.0 | 4.2 | 0.24 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
|  | N | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| POND | MEAN | 51.7 | 53.1 | 1.42 | 1.32 | 14 | 8 | 6 |
|  | SD | 2.1 | 2.3 | 0.19 | 0.19 |  |  |  |
|  | N | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |  |  |  |

## DISCUSSION

The retention of Carlin tags by grilse, as they are installed for the mark-recapture program for the Miramichi salmon assessment, appears to be $100 \%$ over the typical period between tagging and recovery. The poorer retention of $T$-bar tags and opercular tags is doubtless to some degree a function of the relative unfamiliarity of the taggers with these tags. Nevertheless, it seems unlikely that even experienced taggers could entirely prevent tag loss. Furthermore, the expected advantage of opercular and. T-bar tags over Carlin tags - ease of application and removal - was not realized. Opercular tags proved very difficult to apply and remove. T-bar tags were easy to remove, but difficult to apply in a consistent fashion. Therefore, the conclusion of this study is clear: our present tagging program is serving us well and is superior to at least some alternatives.

The difference in tag retention observed in this experiment and in that. reported by Chaput and Jones (1991) may be a function of application, or of the size of fish tagged. It remains to be determined whether MSW fish tagged on the Miramichi retain Carlin tags as well as grilse appear to. It is hoped that this question can be addressed in a study similar to the present study. The mortality that reduced sample sizes in the present study can probably be reduced by reducing handling stress on the fish. Stress associated with transportation to the hatchery can be reduced by obtaining fish from the nearby Enclosure traps rather than the Millbank trap. Tagging stress can be reduced by applying only Carlin and T-bar tags.
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[^0]:    HE1 = Harvest in estuary below Millbank
    HE2 $=$ Harvest in estuary above Millbank
    HR = Harvest in river (includes angling, native fishery above Millbank, broodstock, millbank trap mortalities, and samples)
    MIL $=$ Millbank trap count
    $\mathrm{S}=$ Spawners
    $E 1=$ Millbank catch efficiencies $\quad R=$ Total returns
    MILR $=$ Returns to Millbank

