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## ABSITRACT

The recreational catch of Atlantic salmon from the Margaree River between June 1 and Oct. 15, 1990 was estimated by creel survey at 252 grilse ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ fork length) and 1,693 MSW released. Exploitation rate estimates for the fall recreational fishery, based on recaptures of salmon tagged and released at the estuary, were $25 \%$ and $17 \%$ for grilse and MSW respectively. These rates are almost double the previous estimates for 1988 and 1989. Escapement in 1990 was 4 to 15 times requirements.

The exploitation rates derived are kill (single capture) estimates. When these are applied to released fish, which probably include multiple captures, estimated returns are inflated. Thus, escapements for 1990 are considered to be maximum estimates.

RÉSUMÉ

Depuis 1 juin au 15 octobre 1990, les prises récréatives de saumon atlantique de la rivière Margaree s'élevaient à 252 unibermarins ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ de longueur à la fourche) et 1,693 rédibermarins. Les taux d'exploitations durant l'autonne, estimés par l'entremise de captures de saumons étiquetés dans l'estuaire, se situaient à $25 \%$ et $17 \%$ sur les unibermarins et rédibermarins respectivement. Ces taux sont supérieurs aux estimés de 1988 et 1989. Le retour de saumons en 1990 était de 4 à 15 fois supérieure aux besoins de géniteurs pour la rivière Margaree.

Ces taux d'exploitation ont tendance à exagérer le niveau de la remontée, étant des estimés de taux de captures pour des poissons retenus. puisque les rédibermarins doivent être remis à l'eau et que les saumons pourraient être pris plus qu'une fois dans la pêche récréative, la remontée en 1990 est un estimé maximum.

## INIRODUCITCN

This is the seventh assessment of the Margaree River Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) resource. Previous assessments have been presented for the 1983, 1985.to 1989 salmon returns (Gray and Chadwick 1984; Claytor and Chadwick 1985; Claytor and Leger 1986; Claytor et al. 1987; Claytor and Chaput 1988; Claytor and Jones 1990).

The Margaree River is situated on Cape Breton Island, Inverness County, Nova Scotia (Fig. 1). The two principal branches, the Southwest and the Northeast Margaree meet at Margaree Forks to form the Margaree River which flows into the Gulf of St. Lawrence. Most of the recreational fishery takes place in the Northeast Margaree and Margaree River proper although the Southwest Mangaree is fished in the fall. The Margaree River salmon has traditionally been considered as having two run components, the summer run which for statistical purposes ends on August 31, and the fall run cocurring from September 1 onwards.

Since 1979, numerous regulatory restrictions have been imposed in order to increase the summer component (Chaput and Claytor 1988). Mandatory release of MSW salmon ( $\geq 63 \mathrm{~cm}$ fork length) angled before Sept. 1 has been in place since 1979. Since 1985, all MSW salmon have been released regardless of date. There was no change in the recreational fishery regulations in 1990; season June 1 to Oct. 15, maximm of 10 grilse kept ( $<63 \mathrm{~cm}$ fork length), all kept grilse to be tagged, all MSW released. Restrictions on the commercial fishery were introduced in 1984 through a season reduction from 8 to 3 weeks followed by closure of the fishery in 1985. The commercial fishery of Salmon Fishing Area 18 (Gulf Nova Scotia) has remained closed since 1985. Commercial landings prior to 1985 are summarized in Claytor and Jones (1990).

On Oct. 5, 1990, a two week extension to the angling season to Oct. 31 was announced. The extension had been requested by the Margaree Salmon Association and was announced at the annual meeting of the association by the Regional Director General, Dept. of Fisheries and Oceans, Gulf Region.

## MATERTALS AND MEIHODS

## Estimation of Sport Catch

Sport catch estimates were obtained from three sources. Fisheries officers from the Dept. of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) at Margaree Forks, Nova Scotia have provided angling estimates from 1947 to 1990. Sport catches for 1984 to 1989 were obtained from Nova Scotia license stub returns (IIC) (O'Neil et al. 1985, 1986, 1987, S. O'Neil, DFO Halifax, Nova Scotia). Preliminary 1990 estimates were obtained from S. O'Neil, DFO Halifax, Nova Sootia. The estimation procedures for the LIC returns are outlined in Claytor and O'Neil (1990b). Angling catch as estimated from creel surveys for 1987 to 1989 are also presented (Claytor and Jones 1990).

## 1990 Creel Method

The creel census conducted in 1990 differs from previous creels of 1987 to 1989. An access site survey analogous to a bus route was conducted. The theoretical basis for such a survey has been described by Robson and Jones (1989) and behaviour of the statistical estimators has been reported by Jones et al. (1990). A total of 10 index pools were selected alang the main Margaree and the Northeast Margaree River (Fig. 1). The creel clerk travelled sequentially in an upstream direction from one pool to the next monitoring the catch and effort at each pool. Observed effort (total minutes fished by all anglers at each pool) and observed catch was recorded by the clerk. Observed effort represents time when anglers were actually fishing. Observed catch categories were similar to previous creel surveys, kept for grilse, release methods for grilse and MSW salmon (removed hook by hand, cut line, other intentional release) and lost category for grilse and salmon (Claytor and Chaput 1988). The period of observation was one hour at each pool. The starting pool on any given day was selected randomly.

Sampling during the entire season was stratified into 8 strata and selection of days and periods to be sampled was made independently in each stratum. The 8 strata were:

1) summer, weekday, June
2) July
3) Aug.
4) summer, weekend, June
5) July
6) Aug.
7) fall, weekday, Sept. 1 to Oct. 15
8) weekend, sept. 1 to Oct. 15.

Proportion of weekdays covered was $2 / 3$ whereas weekend coverage was $3 / 4$. Days were divided into AM and PM periods. Replication within the AM/PM cells was also included in the design such that within day variation could be considered. The randomization procedure is more fully described in Appendix 1. The variance estimate calculated (Yates/Grundy estimate, Robson 1990) represents the variance of the total for the stratum rather than the variance associated with the mean of the stratum.

Estimates of total effort and catch by season (summer, fall) and for the entire year were obtained by:

Total (effort or catch) = sum of stratum effort or catch for all strata
Variance (effort or catch) = sum of stratum variance for all strata.
Std Error = square root of variance.
95\% confidence intervals for total effort or catch were calculated using the approximation to the normal distribution, i.e. plus or minus two standard errors.

In order to estimate the effort for the entire river, angler counts at each of 32 pools, including the 10 index pools, were obtained using selected sampling periods during weekday and weekend strata and AM / PM categories. A total of 13 river counts were obtained, 9 for the summer and 4 from the fall. Estimates of effort during the river counts are based on roddays, one angler observed equals 1 rodday.

## Logbook Reports

Volunteer angler logbook reports detailing daily catch by size, effort in hours and pools fished were received and processed as in previous assessments.

## Estimation of Exploitation Rates

Exploitation rate estimates on grilse and MSW salmon during the fall are available for 1988 and 1989 (Claytor and O'Neil 1990a). An exploitation rate estimate for the fall fishery was also calculated in 1990 through tagging of salmon and recaptures in the angling fishery.

## Tagging Procedures

Trapnets identical in construction and installation to previous studies (Claytor and Chaput 1988) were fished in the Margaree River tidal waters between Sept. 6 and Oct. 16. All salmon captured in the trapnets were marked using carlin tags with a single steel wire attachment. Recoveries of tags from the angling fishery were obtained from logbook anglers and returns by mail.

## Tag Loss Estimate

All salmon collected for broodstock on Aug. 27, 1990 were marked with Carlin tags prior to confinement in the holding tanks at Margaree Fish Culture Station. Tagging method was similar to that used at the estuarine trapnets. The ratio of tags lost to tags initially placed divided by the number of days since marking provided the estimate of tag loss.

## Nonreporting Rate Estimate

A nonreporting rate estimate was calculated using the ratio of tags returned to total catch reported from the logbook anglers and the tags returned from index pools to estimated catch at index pools from the creel survey.

## Spawning Requirements and Spawning Escapement

Spawning requirements for the Margaree have been calculated (Claytor and Jones 1990). Spawning escapement to Margaree River was calculated as follows (Claytor and Jones 1990):
$\frac{\text { Sport Catch (SC) }}{\text { Sport Catch (SC) + Spawners }}=$ Exploitation Rate (ER)
Spawners $=\frac{S C(1-E R)}{E R}$

For the years 1947 to 1986, DFO estimates of catch were used. For 1987 to 1990, creel survey estimates of sport catch are used. Hook and release regulations for MSW have been in effect since 1979 and consequently, hook and release fish are added to the spawner calculation above. Egg deposition and spawner requirements for the Margaree River were calculated as in Table 12. Broodstock collections were subtracted from egg deposition estimates resulting in net egg deposition in the river.

## Hatchery Releases and Returns

Releases of hatchery fish by life stage were obtained from L. Forsythe, DFO, Margaree Fish Culture Station, Nova Scotia. The proportion of hatchery and wild salmon returning to Margaree river were determined from angler logbook, creel survey, broodstock collections and trapnet catches. Identification of hatchery released fish was based upon the absence of the adipose fin.

## RESUTIS

Angling catch estimates from DFO for 1947 to 1990 are presented in Table 1. Nova Scotia license stub (LIC) catch estimates for 1984 to 1989 and preliminary estimates for 1990 are presented in Table 2.

The creel catch for 1990 from the 10 index pools was estimated at 168 grilse ( $95 \%$ C.I. 58 to 278) and 725 MSW released (95\% C.I. 390 to 1060) (Table 3). Catch of grilse from the summer was estimated at 135 whereas fall catch was 33 (Table 3). The precision of the estimated grilse catch by season was $\pm 75 \%$ for the summer season and $\pm 128 \%$ for the fall on acoount of the small numbers of grilse observed (Table 3). MSW estimated catch in the summer was 192 and 533 for the fall with a precision of $\pm 65 \%$ for summer and $58 \%$ for fall (Table 3). Precision of the estimated catch for the entire angling season is better, $\pm 66 \%$ for grilse and $\pm 46 \%$ for MSW (Table 3). Estimates of effort expended are almost 5 times more precise at $\pm 10 \%$ for the whole season (Table 3).

Estimates of effort for the entire river were obtained by weighting the estimated effort at the 10 index pools by the proportion of effort at the ten pools relative to effort on the entire river. Catch from the entire river for the summer was estimated using the proportion of effort expended at the index pools. This estimate assumes that catch is directly related to effort regardless of location on the river which may not be true. However, a majority ( $71 \%$ by rodday) of the effort in the summer was recorded from the index pools therefore, the expansion to entire river catch using effort should be reasonable. The proportion of the catch at index pools for the fall was directly estimated from tag returns. The proportion of tags returned from index pool angling to total tags returned from known pools was used to expand the creel estimates of grilse and MSW to catch from the entire river for the fall (Table 3). This expansion for the fall resulted in an estimated hook and release estimate of 1,421 MSW and 61 grilse kept(Table 3). Total catch for 1990 was estimated at 252 grilse and 1693 MSW released (Table 3). Overall effort per day expended in the fall was twice the summer effort; summer effort was expended over 3 months while fall effort occurred over 1.5 months (Table 3).

The relative catches of grilse and MSW since 1985 by collection method are distributed as follows:

```
Grilse
DFO Statistics 1989 < 1990 < 1985 < 1986 < 1987 < 1988
LIC Statistics }\quad1985<1990<1989< 1986 < 1988 < 1987
creel
MSW
DFO Statistics 1989 < 1985 < 1990 < 1987 < 1988 < 1986
LIC Statistics }\quad1990<1985<1989< 1987< 1988< 1986
Creel 1988 < 1989 < 1987 < 1990
```

DFO and creel estimates follow a similar progression of catches of grilse, 1988 catch being largest and 1989 catch being least. LIC statistics were somewhat different with 1988 and 1987 inverted from Creel and DFO. MSW catch progression corresponds most closely between DFO and LIC. Creel estimates indicated that 1988 was lower than other years, IIC and DFO indicated 1988 as second largest only to 1986.

The catch proportions by season were different among DFO and creel statistics. In 1990, creel estimates indicated that the grilse catch was 24\% fall angling which contrasts with DFO values of $59 \%$ fall angling (Table 4). MSW releases were less different between DFO and creel, 1990 values were $61 \%$ fall release by DFO versus 84\% fall releases from creel. Estimates from previous years were closer (Table 4).

Logbook returns indicated that catch per unit effort (CPUE = fish per hour) for MSW was highest in August and overall summer CPUE as high as fall values (Tables 5 \& 6). Similarly, CPUE for grilse was highest in August with summer CPUE as high as fall. This differs from the creel data which suggested that catches for grilse were best in July but low in June and fall (Table 6). MSW CPUE was highest in fall followed by August and June but summer CPUE was half
that of the fall (Table 6).
Proportions MSW in the creel estimates were $59 \%$ for summer and $94 \%$ for fall (Table 7). Proportions from logbook returns were 55\% for summer and 58\% for fall (Table 7). The estimated proportion from the trapnet catches in the fall was 64\% MSW, which was most different from the proportions in the creel survey.

Extension of the Margaree Angling season to Oct.31, 1990
On October 5, 1990, a two week extension to the angling season for the Margaree River was announced. Conditions applied to the extension were:

1) all waters upstream of Cranton Bridge were closed to angling after october 15,
2) all waters of the Southwest Margaree would remain open,
3) that the angling group which requested the extension would provide monitoring of the fishery to allow immediate closure if fish in spawning condition were being angled.

The fishery remained open to October 31 and the catch estimated by the volunteers undertaking a creel on the river was 29 grilse kept or released, 118 MSW released (W. Barrington, DFO Conservation and Protection Branch, Margaree Forks, NS).

## Distribution of Tagging and Recaptures

Tags were recovered in the angling fishery for all tag groups released from the estuary trapnets between weeks 36 and 40 except for grilse released in week 38 and MSW released in week 36 (Table 8). With only one exception, salmon tagged in weeks 41 and 42 (Oct. 8 to 16) were not recovered in the fishery. Because the fishery ended Oct. 15 and mean time to recapture was 7.7 and 10.9 days for MSW and grilse respectively, salmon tagged during weeks 41 and 42 were considered unavailable to the fishery and omitted from subsequent analyses.

## Estimation of Tag Loss

Of eighteen tagged fish examined from the broodstock tanks 21 days after tagging, 5 MSW did not have tags and tagging scars were observed. on that basis, a tag loss rate of 0.013 tags per day was estimated (Table 9). The mean times between tagging at the trapnets and recoveries in the recreational fishery were 10.9 days for grilse and 7.7 days for MSW. The proportions of tags available to the recreational fishery from the trapnet tagging were calculated to be 0.86 for grilse and 0.90 for MSW (Tables $9 \& 10$ ).

## Estimation of Nonreporting Rate

The total estimated catch and releases from logbook anglers for the fall were 28 grilse and 39 MSW released (Table 5). These values are based on 24 logbook reports for September and 18 for october. Total tag returns from the logbook anglers were 3, 2 grilse and 1 MSW. An estimate of the nonreporting rate from the angling fishery was calculated using total tags to harvest from the logbook anglers versus total tags returned from index pools to estimated harvest from the index pools (Table 9). Assuming that $100 \%$ of observed tags are returned by logbook anglers, a nonreporting rate of 0.49 was estimated for the fall 1990 (Table 9). A range of nonreporting rates using the $95 \%$ confidence interval for index pool catch resulted in nonreporting rates of $0 \%$ (actually -31\%) to 68\% (Table 9). The value is just within the range of values estimated from other angling fisheries and is substantially higher than the $33 \%$ nonreporting rate value estimated by Claytor and $O^{\prime} N e i l ~(1990 a)$.

## Exploitation Rate (ER)

The exploitation rate (ER) on grilse in the fall for Margaree River was estimated at 0.25 whereas that for MSW was 0.17 after adjusting for tag loss and nonreporting rate (Table 10). These exploitation rates are twice previously estimated fall exploitation rates for the Margaree from 1988 and 1989. Grilse exploitation rates have consistently been estimated to be greater than the MSW exploitation rates, as shown below:

|  | Exploitation Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| Year | Grilse | MSW | Reference |
| 1988 | $16 \%$ | $8 \%$ | Claytor and O'Neil (1990a) |
| 1989 | $10 \%$ | $7 \%$ | Claytor and O'Neil (1990a) |

## Estimates of Returns in 1990

Returns to the Margaree River in the fall 1990 based on creel catch estimates and estimated exploitation rates were 244 grilse and 8,359 MSW (Table 11). Over the range of exploitation rates estimated for fall 1990, the returns of grilse were 156 to 469 and 5,263 to 15,789 for MSW (Table 11). The returns estimate for grilse (156) at the higher exploitation rate is not reasonable given that a total of 154 grilse were marked and released in the estuary between Sept. 4 and Oct. 15.

## Egg Deposition

Spawning requirements for Margaree River are estimated to be 1036 MSW and 582 grilse (Table 12). Egg depositions using angling catches and exploitation rates of 20.6 and $37.9 \%$ for 1947 to 1989 have been provided by Claytor and Jones (1990). At the exploitation rates ( $25 \%$ for grilse, $17 \%$ for MSW) estimated in 1990, egg depositions from fall MSW were almost 9 times requirement and the lower estimate was 3 times requirement (Table 11). At the exploitation rates used in
previous assessments, summer returns of MSW would have provided all the required egg deposition at the $20.6 \%$ ER but not at the $37.9 \%$ ER (Table 11). Egg depositions for the total returns were between 59 and 63 million eggs, 10 times requirements within a range of 24 to 100 million ( 3 to 15 times requirements) (Table 11). Using the historical exploitation rates of 20.6 and 37.9\%, estimated egg depositions for 1990 were 53 and 29 million eggs respectively, ranging between 22 and 85 million at $20.6 \% \mathrm{ER}$ and 12 to 46 million at $37.9 \%$ ER. These values are twice the previously estimated high depositions of 1987.

## Hatchery Contributions

Hatchery releases by life stage into Margaree River are summarized for 1976 to 1990 (Table 13). Hatchery returns in summer 1990 made up $28 \%$ of the grilse samples and $35 \%$ of the MSW samples. Fall proportions for hatchery fish were substantially less at $4.3 \%$ for grilse and $6.2 \%$ for MSW (Table 14).

Estimated contributions of hatchery fish to total returns based on a fixed exploitation rate for summer and fall have ranged between 17 and 55\% for grilse and 11 to $17 \%$ for MSW since 1987 and were $22 \%$ and $11 \%$ respectively in 1990 (Table 15).

In 1990, approx. 380,000 eggs were removed during the broodstock collection.

## Sea Migrations

Margaree River salmon have been intercepted in fisheries throughout the northwest Atlantic including Greenland, Newfoundland, Labrador and Quebec (Table 16).

## DISCussion

Estimates of tag loss rate to the angling fishery from the tagging trapnets for 1990 ( 0.14 for grilse and 0.10 for MSW) are lower than values estimated previously ( 0.26 ) (Claytor and O'Neil 1990b). The nonreporting rate value for 1990 was higher than the 338 value used previously and almost outside the range of values ( 20 to $50 \%$ ) included by Claytor and O'Neil (1990b). This difference in the nonreporting rate accounted in large part for the higher exploitation rate values estimated for grilse and MSW in 1990 compared to those estimated previously (14 to 20\% for grilse, 6 to 10\% for MSW) (Claytor and O'Neil 1990b).

The exploitation rate was higher in 1990 than in 1989 based on the number of returns to tags placed; 31 tags out of 439 salmon marked in 1990 (7.1\%) compared to 19 returns out of 425 salmon tagged in 1989 (4.5\%). The returns in 1988 were similar to 1990, 25 out of 328 tags applied (7.6\%). These suggest that
the exploitation rates are probably at the upper end of the range estimated for grilse and MSW and that the mean estimates for 1990 are reasonable ( $25 \%$ for grilse and $17 \%$ for MSW). Although higher than recent estimates for the fall, these values are closer to the 20.68 value obtained by Marshall (1982) using data from Hayes (1949). Exploitation has consistently been higher on grilse than on MSW.

Creel surveys have been previously described as a cost-effective method of obtaining unbiased harvest statistics from small angling fisheries (Claytor and O'Neil 1990b). The creel survey conducted in 1990 was based upon a sampling design which allowed the quantification of the variance and an estimation of confidence intervals. Since estimates of catch were obtained from observed catch and effort rather than interviews and collation of unobserved catches and effort, these values are unbiased and provide the best indication of trends in the fishery. The precision of the grilse estimate for the fall is poor ( $\pm 128 \%$ ) and is a reflection of the low observed catch. Precision for MSW was substantially better at $\pm 58 \%$ and overall estimates of harvest are within 66 and $46 \%$ for grilse and MSW respectively. This is the first estimate of harvest statistic precision for the Margaree recreational fishery and improvements in precision would be possible only through increased creel effort or increased fishing success on the part of the anglers. Precision of the estimates is improved as more data values other than 0 are recorded, as reflected in the effort estimate for 1990.

Previously, Claytor and O'Neil (1990a) had used proportion MSW in the trapnet catches and population estimates of returns to validate the creel estimation method over IIC and DFO statistics. In 1990, a population estimate was not calculated because of insufficient number of recaptures at the upper net from lower net tagging. In contrast to previous years, the proportion of MSW in the trapnet catches was greatly different from the proportion in the creel estimated catch for the fall. This discrepancy could have arisen from two sources:

1) the trapnets were catching proportionally more grilse than MSW,
2) grilse were being harvested disproportionately from pools other than the index pools,

Hypothesis 1 is possible. Of the five recaptures in the upper net of salmon tagged at the lower net, 4 were grilse. The ratio of grilse recaptured to grilse marked from the lower net was 0.058 (4 of 69). In contrast, 121 MSW were marked and released giving a ratio of recaptured to marked of 0.008 , one salmon for every seven grilse recaptured. In 1988, the ratios of recaptured to marked were 0.03 for grilse and 0.05 for MSW or almost one to one, while in 1989, ratios were 0.075 for grilse and 0.020 for MSW or almost 4 grilse for every salmon. These data suggest that in 1989 and 1990, the trapnets were capturing a greater proportion of grilse than MSW entering the river.

Hypothesis 2 does not appear plausible. The estimated proportional effort for the river was 51\% at index pools and 54\% of the grilse recaptures were reported from the index pools. The similarity of these proportions does not support the second hypothesis.

The proportion of MSW in the logbook reported catch was closer to trapnet proportion than the creel proportion and this would suggest that the creel estimates were inaccurate. Creel and logbook proportions were similar during the summer but differed dramatically in the fall. Since the creel methodology was consistent during the entire season, it can only be concluded that the logbooks are biased. Individuals may have been targeting certain pools of the river where they would likely capture grilse rather than MSW fish, which would account for the differences between creel and logbook proportions.

The application of the exploitation rates determined in 1990 to estimates of hook and release MSW from the creel suggest that spawning escapements for Margaree were 4 to 15 times required.

One of the difficulties in applying the exploitation rates derived in 1990 and in previous assessments to the creel estimates of MSW releases is that the exploitation rate estimates are kill estimates whereas MSW release probably include multiple releases. The exploitation rates are kill exploitation rate values because tags are removed from grilse and MSW when they are captured, even if released. There is aneodotal evidence from anglers of fish being caught with previous angling scars (for ex. flies still in the jaw). In addition, one tagged MSW in 1987 was angled twice on the Margaree, it was released with the tag still in place one day and angled the next day from a pool farther up river.

If kill exploitation rates are applied to hook and release fish, the estimated returns would be inflated. The inflation factor can only be quantified through tagging and releasing of angled fish. The estimate of returns of MSW in the fall of 1990 should be considered as maximm estimates of returns given the likelihood of multiple releases.

A creel survey as undertaken in 1990 provides the only reliable source of catch and effort data. Although Jones et al. (1990) provided an indication of the characteristics of the creel estimator for effort, (it was very close to the $t$-distribution), the behaviour of the estimator relative to infrequently observed events such as observed catches in Atlantic salmon recreational fisheries remains to be documented.
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Appadix 1. Steps in the creel survey undertaken in $19 \%$.

1) Index pools selected (see Figre 1 for lecations).

| Lower Thampkins | LTHOM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Seal | SEAL |
| Forks | FCORS |
| Doyles Bride | DOYLE |
| Little Mchaniel | UMOD |
| Cranton Bridge | Cras |
| Rechark | REDB |
| Herts | HART |
| Hatchery | HaTC |
| Ross Bridee | Ross |
| Tent | tent |

for sune 1 to No. 31 for Sept. 1 to Oct. 15
2) Selection of sampling periods and dates. (shuffling down rous)

EX. June weekend days
Design Matrix

1.01 is assigned to AM or PM renctomly

Selection of starting pool is done randomily Direction of travel mas upstream AN period $=600$ to 1330 (dune 1 to ALO. 31) surrise + 7.5 hours (Sept. 1 to Oct 15)
PM period = 1330 to 2100 (June 1 to Ale. 31)
-7.5 hours to surset (Sept. 1 to 0ct. 15)
3) Estimation of catch or effort for the index pools by sampling period.


Effort $(603, A H)=\frac{12.33}{1.0}(0+50+101+0+25+52)$
$=2811$ (mins) or 46.9 hrs .
which is the total estimated effort at the 10 index pools for the AM period, June 3, 1990.
4) Estimation for a stretin, for exisple dre, sumer, meekard.

|  | Design Matrix |  | Meight Matrix Horvitz-Thampson |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 603 | 1 | 0 | 2.57 | 0 |
| 609 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2.57 |
| 610 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 616 | 1 | 0 | 2.57 | 0 |
| 617 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2.57 |
| 62 | 1 | 1 | 2.57 | 2.57 |
| 624 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 630 | 1 | 0 | 2.57 | 0 |
| Total Periods | 9 | 9 | 10.28 | 7.71 |
|  | 18 |  | 18 |  |
|  | Observed Matrix |  | Estimated Matrix |  |
|  | A | PM | AM | PM |
| 602 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 603 | 47 | 0 | 121 | 0 |
| 609 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 87 |
| 610 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 616 | 115 | 0 | 29 | 0 |
| 617 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 87 |
| 623 | 110 | 103 | 283 | 265 |
| 624 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 630 | 65 | 0 | 167 | 0 |

Estimated
Total Effort
for June, weekends . 1306 hrs

Variance of the total estimate was calculated using the Yates-Grundy variance estimator (Robson, D. 1990, manuscript).


Table 2. Salmon agling catch from the Margaree River, $1904-490$, based an Mova Scotia licerse stubs. WA not available.

|  | No. of Anglers | Grilse |  |  | NSW |  |  | Unknown | Rod-days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Retain | Release | Total | Retain | Release | Total |  |  |
| 1984 | 678 | 190 | 50 | 241 | 9 | 294 | 303 | 4 | 6,669 |
| 1985 | 793 | 399 | 110 | 509 | 0 | 1,215 | 1,215 | 3 | 7,824 |
| 1986 | 1,131 | 650 | 132 | 782 | 0 | 2,636 | 2,636 | 2 | 10,232 |
| 1987 | 1,441 | 88 | 151 | 971 | 0 | 1,887 | 1,867 | 0 | 12,887 |
| 1988 | N/ | 784 | N/ | N/A | 0 | 2,017 | 2,017 | N/A | 15,080 |
| 1989 | N/A | 428 | 16 | 553 | 0 | 1,549 | 1,549 | N/A | 13,234 |
| 1990 | 597 | 420 | 91 | 511 | 0 | 1,213 | 1,213 | N/A | 12,977 |

Rod-days are defined as ane angler fishing for any portion of ane day. 1990 values are preliminary.

Table 3. Estimation of total catch and effort (hars) fro the Nargaree River, dune 1 to Oct. 15, 1990. Adjusted catch for the fall based an recaptures of sailon marked prior to mack 41 (Table 8).


Adjusted Catch (Summer uses proportion effort, fall uses proportion catch) and Effort (uses proportion effort) for 32 pools.

| ( |  |  | Range |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Estimate | Lower | Upper |
| SMAER | GRILSE | 191 | 47 | 35 |
|  | MSW | 272 | 9 | 447 |
|  | EFFCRT | $14 \% 41$ | 13085 | 16787 |
| FALL | CRILSE | 61 | 0 | 140 |
|  | MSH | 1421 | 591 | 255 |
|  | EFFORT | 23427 | 19699 | 2755 |
| TOTAL | GRILSE | 252 | 47 | 45 |
|  | MSH | 1693 | 687 | 2699 |
|  | EFFORT | 38368 | 32794 | 43942 |

Table 4. Seascrat grilse and MAN catches accordire to DPO sport catch and creel estimates, 1984 to 1980.

| Type | Year | Grilse |  |  | MSW |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sumer | Fall | x Fall | Sumer | Fall | \% Fall |
| DFO | 1990 | 85 | 123 | $59 \%$ | 124 | 190 | 61\% |
|  | 1989 | 130 | 49 | 27\% | 80 | 164 | 67\% |
|  | 1988 | 288 | 148 | 34\% | 293 | 287 | 49\% |
|  | 1987 | 288 | 85 | 24\% | 123 | 285 | 70\% |
|  | 1986 | 19 | 99 | 34\% | 297 | 457 | 61\% |
|  | 1986 | 116 | 107 | 48\% | 144 | 168 | 54\% |
|  | 1984 | 81 | 67 | 45\% | 27 | 94 | 78\% |
| Creel | 1980 | 191 | 61 | 24\% | 272 | 1421 | 84\% |
|  | 1989 | 151 | 57 | $27 \%$ | 152 | 311 | $67 \%$ |
|  | 1988 | 367 | 27 | $38 \%$ | 190 | 178 | 48\% |
|  | 1987 | 306 | 97 | 24\% | 242 | 561 | 70\% |

Table 5. Sumary of monthly effort, catch and catch per unit effort (CPIE) from lasbock arglers an Margaree River, 1950.

| SEASON | MONTH | MMBER LOcB0KK | EFFORT |  | CRILSE |  |  | $\frac{\text { SAUMON }}{\text { RELEASED }}$ | TOTAL | CATCH/NNIT EFFORT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RCD DAYS | HORS | KEPT | released | total |  |  | ROD DAYS | haray |
| SUMER | JuE | 15 | 84 | 346 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0.083 | 0.020 |
|  | Nur | 19 | 128 | 653 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 0.086 | 0.017 |
|  | auast | 15 | 147 | 714 | 3 | 2 | 27 | 29 | 56 | 0.381 | 0.078 |
|  | SUB-TOTAL |  | 359 | 1713 | 31 | 2 | 33 | 41 | 74 | 0.206 | 0.043 |
| FALL | SEPTIPBER | 24 | 189 | 1069 | 15 | 4 | 19 | 19 | 38 | 0.201 | 0.036 |
|  | OCTOBER | 18 | 117 | 672 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 20 | 29 | 0.248 | 0.043 |
|  | sub-total |  | 306 | 1741 | 22 | 6 | 28 | 39 | 67 | 0.219 | 0.038 |
| TOTAL SEASON |  |  | 665 | 3454 | 53 | 8 | 61 | 80 | 141 | 0.212 | 0.041 |

Table 6. catch per unit effort (fish per hour) from locbook repports and creel survey.

| Period | Grilse |  | MGW |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Creel | Logbook | creel | Logbook |
| June | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.021 | 0.000 |
| July | 0.022 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.008 |
| August | 0.015 | . 0.038 | 0.021 | 0.041 |
| Summer | 0.013 | 0.019 | 0.018 | 0.024 |
| fall | 0.003 | 0.016 | 0.044 | 0.022 |
| Total | 0.007 | 0.018 | 0.032 | 0.083 |

Table 7. Percant MSW in dbserved catches from creel survey, loghook reports and trapret catches fram Margeree River, 1990.

| - | Creel |  |  | Logbooks |  |  | Trapnets pre week 41 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1SW | MSW | \% MSW | 15 | MSW | \% MSW | 1SW | MSW | \% MSW |
| June | 0 | 63 | 100 | 0 | 7 | 100 |  |  |  |
| July | 66 | 30 | 31 | 6 | 5 | 45 |  | N/A |  |
| Aloust | 69 | 99 | 59 | 27 | 29 | 52 |  |  |  |
| summer | 135 | 192 | 59 | 33 | 41 | 55 |  |  |  |
| Fall | 3 | 533 | 9 | 28 | 39 | 58 | 120 | 217 | 64 |

Table 8. Distribution of recaptires in the angling fishery by standardized wak traging group for grilse and fil, fatl 1990.

| Dates |  | Standardized lleek | Total Tageed | Recaptures in the angling fishery |  |  |  | Prop. Returned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Index Pools | Other Pools | Unknown Pools | Total Returns |  |
| Grilse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 3-9 |  | 36 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.10 |
| Sept. 10-16 |  | 37 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.25 |
| Sept. 17-23 |  | 38 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Sept. 24-30 |  | 39 | 35 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0.09 |
| Oct. 1-7 |  | 40 | 51 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0.14 |
| Oct. 8-14 |  | 41 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Oct. 15-21 |  | 42 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | Total | 154 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0.08 |
| MSW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 3-9 |  | 36 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Sept. 10-16 |  | 37 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0.20 |
| Sept. 17-23 |  | 38 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.04 |
| Sept. 24-30 |  | 39 | 88 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0.10 |
| Oct. 1-7 |  | 40 | 77 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0.06 |
| Oct. 8-14 |  | 41 | 65 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.02 |
| Oct. 15-21 |  | 42 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | Total | 285 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 18 | 0.06 |

Table 9. Estiwates of tag loss/tagsing mortality and norreportive rate from the recreational fishary, Margaree River, 1990.

Tag Loss Estimate


Nonreporting Rate Estimete
A - Uses the ratio of reported tags to anglers as rate of returns for $100 \%$ reporting.
B - Uses ratio of returned tags from index pools and estimated catch from index pools fram creel survey as indication of partial returns.

Norreporting rate $=(1-B / A)$

|  | Fall | Fall | Marked/ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sarce | Harvest | Recaptures | Total |

All Salmon

| Logbooks | 67 | 3 | 0.0448 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Index Pools

| Creel Estimate | 566 | 13 | 0.0230 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

c.1. (222-919)

Norreporting Rate Estimate $=1 \cdot(0.02 / 0.045)$
$=0.487$
Range $=(-0.31$ to 0.68$)$

Table 10. Estimation of exploitation rates in the recreational fishery, Nargaree River, fall $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$. Worreporting rate estimates fran table 9.

Tag loss to the angling fishery

| Meen days to recapture in arel ing fishery |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grilse | = | 10.9 |
|  | HSW | = | 7.7 |
| Proportion of grilse tags lost |  | $=$ | 0.14 |
| Proportion of MSW tags lost $=0.10$ |  |  |  |
| Tags placed from both traps prior to week 41 | - grilse |  | 120 |
|  | - MSW |  | 217 |
| Met tags available to angling | - grilse | = | 103 |
|  |  | $=$ | 15 |

$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Reported tags from fishery from pre week } 41 \text { tagging graps } \\ \text { Returns - grilse } & = & 13 \\ \text { MSW } & = & 17\end{array}$

| Returns adjusted for nonreporting rates of: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 0 | 0.49 | 0.68 |
| Grilse | $=$ | 13 | 25 | 41 |
| MSW | $=$ | 17 | 33 | 53 |

Exploitation Rate Estimates

| Grilse | $=$ | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.39 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MSW | $=$ | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.27 |

Table 11. Estimation of returns and ess deposition of Atlantic salmon using creel catch estimates and ramge of exploitation rates (ER), Margaree River, $19 \% 0$.

| RETURNS | Angling Catch |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Returns at } E R= \\ \mathbf{Z 0 . 6 \%} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Returns at ER }= \\ 37.9 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19W | MSW | 1SW | MS | 154 | MSW |  |  |
| SUMER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimate | 191 | 272 | 927 | 1320 | 504 | 718 |  |  |
| Range Lower | 47 | 98 | 227 | 467 | 123 | 254 |  |  |
| Upper | 335 | 447 | 1627 | 2170 | 884 | 1179 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13\% | 9\% | 8\% | 177 | 39\% | 27\% |
|  |  |  | 1sw | HSW | 1SW | MSW | 1sw | MSW |
| FALL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimate Range Lower | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Range Lower Upper | 0 | $592$ | 0 1069 | $\begin{array}{r} 6578 \\ \times \times 014 \end{array}$ | 0 556 | $\begin{array}{r} 3482 \\ 13241 \end{array}$ | 0 356 | 2193 837 |
| upper | 139 | 251 | 1069 |  | 556 | 13241 |  | 83 |

EGG DEPOSITIONS
SUMER

| MER | $\begin{gathered} \text { at } E R= \\ 20.6 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { at } E R= \\ 37.9 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9SW | MSW | 1SW | MSW |
| Estimate | 0.243 | 8.559 | 0.103 | 4.652 |
| Range Lower | 0.059 | 3.086 | 0.08 | 1.645 |
| Upper | 0.426 | 14.064 | 0.181 | 7.644 |


| FALL | at $E R=$ |  | at $E R=$ |  | at $\mathrm{ER}=$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13\% | 9\% | 2\% | $17 \%$ | 39\% | $27 \%$ |
|  | 1SW | MSW | 19w | MSW | 1SW | HSW |
| Estimate | 0.135 | 102.344 | 0.060 | 54.182 | 0.031 | 34.115 |
| Range Lower | 0 | 42.637 | 0 | 22.573 | 0 | 14.212 |
| Upper | 0.307 | 162.12 | 0.138 | 85.829 | 0.072 | 54.041 |

TOTAL for river at best fall estimate of $E R=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{~ a n}$ grilse and $17 \%$ an HSW

|  | at $20.6 \%$ er for summer |  | at 37.9\% ER for summer |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1sw | MSW | 1sw | MSW |
| Estimated | 0.303 | 62.741 | 0.164 | 58.834 |
| Range Lower | 0.059 | 25.599 | 0.05 | 24.218 * |
| Upper | 0.564 | 99.893 | 0.319 | 93.474 * |

[^0]Table 12. Estimation of aparer requirasats for the Margaree River.
MARGAREE RIVER
Rearing Units
Optimal Egg Deposition
Total Egg Requirements =
Biological characteristics
Fecundity
Grilse $\quad$ \% female

MSW $\quad$ mean wt

## 27,976 (100 8q. m) (Elson 1975)

240 per rearing unit (Marshall 1982)
$6,714,240$

1764 egos/kg
11
1.7

75
4.9 kg
(Elsan 1975)
(Marshall 1982)
(Marshall 1982)
(Marshall 1982)
(Marshall 1982)

Egss per spewner Grilse = eggs/kg * mean wt(kg) * Xfemale $=1764 * 1.7 * 11 \%$
$=330$
MSH = egos/kg * mean wt(kg) * Xferale $=1764 * 4.9 * 75 \%$
$=6483$

Required number of MSW = egg requirements / eggs per MSH $=6,714,240 / 6483$
$=1036--\gg \quad 77$ females 259 males

Deficit males $=777-259=518$
$\begin{aligned} \text { Grilse spawners to obtain } 518 \text { males } & =518 / 89 \% \\ & =582\end{aligned}$
MSW spewners to abtain 518 males $=518 / 25 \%$
$=2072$
Spawning Requirements Minimum MSW $=\begin{array}{r}1036 \\ \text { Grilse }=582\end{array}$
Maximun MEM $=3108$
All grilse surplus

Table 13. Mubers of salman smit and parr releasad to Margarse River since M9\%. MRR, Margaree stock; RB, Rocky Brock Stock. Rearing locations are: WR, Margaree; CB, Cobeqiid MR, Mersey.


* Millbark broodstock
a Rocky Brook X Margaree broodstock

Table K. Mubers of wild and hatchery salmon from sumer and fall sampling on Marganee River in 1990.

| SEASON | CRILSE |  |  | MSW |  |  | PERCENTMSW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HIL | hatcrery | (\% MID) | WIL | MATCHERY | (\% WIL) |  |
| SUMER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JuNE 1- auc. 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANGLING | 31 | 7 | 81.6\% | 34 | 5 | 87.2\% | 50.1\% |
| BRCODSTOXX | 17 | 12 | 58.6\% | 40 | 48 | 45.5\% | 74.8\% |
| SUB-TOTAL | 48 | 19 | 71.6\% | 74 | 53 | 58.3\% | 65.2\% |
| FALL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEPT. 1-OCT. 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ancling | 26 | 3 | $89.7 \%$ | 43 | 8 | 84.3\% | 63.0\% |
| trapnets | 150 | 5 | 96.8\% | 273 | 13 | 95.5\% | 64.7\% |
| SUB-TOTAL | 176 | 8 | \$.7\% | 316 | 21 | 93.8\% | 64.6\% |
| TOTAL SEASON | 224 | 27 | 89.2\% | 390 | 74 | 84.1\% | 64.8\% |

Table 15. Estimatad contribution of hatchery released fish to total rehurns (calculatad using creel angling catch at 200 ER) to the Hargaree River, 1987 to 1990.

|  | Grilse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Summer |  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  | Total Returns of 1SW | \% Hatchery |
|  | Angling Catch | X Hatchery | Returns at at $20 \%$ ER |  | Angling Catch | \% Katchery | Returns at at $20 \%$ ER |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Hatchery | Wild |  |  | Hatchery | Wild |  |  |
| 1987 | 306 | 63 | 964 | 566 | 97 | 31 | 150 | 35 | 2015 | 55 |
| 1988 | 367 | 26 | 477 | 1358 | 22 | 3 | 33 | 1077 | 2945 | 17 |
| 1989 | 151 | 58 | 438 | 317 | 57 | 6 | 17 | 268 | 1040 | 44 |
| 1990 | 191 | 28 | 267 | 688 | 61 | 4 | 12 | 293 | 1260 | 22 |
|  | MSW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Summer |  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  | Return $\text { at } 20$ |  |  |  | Return |  | Total |  |
|  | Catch | \% Hatchery | Hatchery | Wild | Catch | \% Hatchery | Hatchery | Wild | of 1SW | \% Hatchery |
| 1987 | 242 | 40 | 484 | 766 | 561 | 4 | 112 | 2693 | 4015 | 15 |
| 1988 | 190 | 31 | 295 | 656 | 178 | 2 | 18 | 872 | 1840 | 17 |
| 1989 | 152 | 37 | 281 | 479 | 311 | 6 | 93 | 1462 | 2315 | 16 |
| 1990 | 272 | 42 | 571 | 789 | 1732 | 6 | 520 | 8140 | 10020 | 11 |

Table 16. Sumary of maiden tas recaptures from smolt and adilt releases in the Margarse River, 1993 to 1990 .

a May also be Neisiguit River origin as same series used for those released.
b Excluding tags 2723850 and 2723551 .
c Excluding tags 2224287,2z24443, and 2224482.


Fig. 1. Margaree River showing index pools for 1990 creel survey and trapnet location.


[^0]:    * indicates minimun egg daposition requirements ( 6.714 million) have been met.

