

Not to be cited without permission of the authors1

Canadian Atlantic Fisheries Scientific Advisory Committee

CAFSAC Research Document 89/28

#111097

Ne pas citer sans autorisation des auteurs<sup>1</sup>

Comité scientifique consultatif des pêches canadiennes dans l'Atlantique

CSCPCA Document de recherche 89/28

Evaluation of the Gaspereau Fishery in the Miramichi River and Estuary, 1988

by

G.J. Chaput and C.H. LeBlanc Science Branch, Gulf Region Department of Fisheries and Oceans P.O. Box 5030 Moncton, NB E1C 9B6



1<sub>This</sub> documents the series scientific basis for fisheries management advice in Atlantic Canada. As such, it addresses the issues of the day in the time frames required and the Research Documents it contains are not intended as definitive statements on the subjects addressed but rather as progress reports on ongoing investigations.

Research documents are produced in the official language in which they are provided to the Secretariat by the author. 1Cette série documente les bases scientifiques conseils des de gestion des pêches sur la côte atlantique du Canada. Comme telle. elle couvre les problèmes actuels selon les échéanciers voulus et les Documents de recherche qu'elle pas contient ne doivent être considérés comme des énoncés finals sur les sujets traités mais plutôt comme des rapports d'étape sur les études en cours.

Les Documents de recherche sont publiés dans la langue officielle utilisée par les auteurs dans le manuscrit envoyé au secrétariat.

# ABSTRACT

Landings of gaspereau from districts 71 and 72 in 1988 were 1,357 tons, which 64% were Alosa aestivalis (blueback herring) and 36% Alosa of pseudoharengus (alewife). Of the alewife catch, 42% was composed of age 4 fish followed by age 5 and age 3 respectively. First time spawners comprised Blueback catch was dominated by the 1983 year 52% of the alewife catch. class (age 5) followed by the 1984 year class with first time spawners comprising only 33% of total blueback catch. Sequential population analysis was performed by species, grouped by age of recruitment under Type I fisheries assumptions. Calibration of the model was performed by comparing the relationship of population number with the abundance index from Millbank counts for various age combinations. Reliable terminal F values could not be obtained. Based on the abundance index values, the 1984 and 1985 year class recruitment was lower than the 1983 year class and reduced landings of gaspereau in 1989 are expected unless the 1986 year class recruitment is exceptionally high.

## RESUME

STON

Les débarquements de gaspareau pour les districts 71 et 72 ont atteint un niveau de 1,357 tonnes en 1988, dont 64% Alosa aestivalis (l'alose d'été) et 36% Alosa pseudoharengus (le gaspareau proprement dit). Les prises de A. pseudoharengus se composaient principalement de poissons d'âge 4 (42%) suivit de poissons âgés de 5 et 3 ans; 52% des prises était de nouvelles recrues. Par contre, les captures en 1988 de A. aestivalis étaient composeés surtout de poissons d'âge 5 (64%) suivit de ceux d'âge 4. Cependant, les nouvelles recrues ont contribué à 33% des débarquements seulement. Une analyse séquentielle de population a été éffectuée par espèce et par âge de recrutement selon les hypothèses d'un modèle de pêche de type I. L'analyse des cohortes a été étalonnée par comparaison d'effectifs de divers âges avec l'abondance correspondante dans le filet-trappe de Millbank. La régression était faible et on n'a pas pu arriver à un taux de mortalité de pêche Les effectifs d'indice d'abondance par âge indiqueraient que le fiable. recrutement des classes de 1984 et 1985 a été faible relatif à celui de 1983 et que l'on pourrait s'attendre à de plus faibles débarquements en 1989 qu'auparavant à moins que le recrutement de 1986 soit exceptionnel.

## INTRODUCTION

The 1988 CAFSAC Advisory Document for the gaspereau fishery of District 71 and 72 did not use Sequential Population Analysis (SPA) results to project yield because of two concerns: 1) the natural mortality value of 0.2 had not been validated, and 2) Millbank trap may not be representative of the fishery.

This document describes the 1988 gaspereau fishery of Districts 71 and 72 and details sampling and analysis methodology for the gaspereau fishery in the Miramichi River, particularly:

- 1) an alternate method of calculating the catch at age than that used in previous gaspereau assessments,
- 2) an abundance index based upon counts at the Millbank index trap,
- 3) a cohort analysis model based on Type I fishery assumptions,
- 4) use of the new cohort model and incorporation of estimated values of 'non-fishing' mortality rates.

## BACKGROUND

Annual assessments of the gaspereau fishery in the Miramichi River have been presented since 1983 (Alexander and Vromans 1983, 1984, 1985, 1986, 1987, 1988). The fishing season extends from May 15 to June 15 except for fishermen in the Napan Bay area whose season closes June 30. Prior to 1979, the fishery was regulated by a two-day per week closure. During 1980 through 1986, fishing was conducted seven days a week in spite of provisions in the regulations for a closed time of Saturday morning to Monday morning in the 1984, 1985 and 1986 seasons. In 1987 and 1988, a one day per week closure was enforced during the period from 12:00 hours on Saturday till 18:00 hours on Sunday (i.e. nets had to be tied up, out of the water for those time periods).

Estimated landings of gaspereau from District 71 and 72 in 1988 were 1357 metric tons, which included an over the side sale of 652 tons. This value was substantially less than 1987 landings but was close to the 5 year mean from these districts, and is within the 95% confidence intervals of the historical and 5 year estimates (Table 1). The districts 71 and 72 fisheries remain the dominant gaspereau fishery in Gulf New Brunswick (Table 2). Gulf New Brunswick landings have constituted almost 60% of the total gaspereau landings of the Gulf Region.

## STOCK DESCRIPTION PARAMETERS

#### Detailed Sampling

Random samples for detailed analysis were obtained from the index trapnet operated out of Millbank by DFO. Numbers of gaspereau per sample and estimated counts of gaspereau in the Millbank trap are presented in Table 3. Proportions of fish sampled to fish counted has been constant since 1982, ranging between 1.70 to 3.45% of catch. Samples were processed for fork length (mm), whole weight (g), species, sex, maturity (as immature, spawning, spent) and scales were collected for estimating total age and age of first spawning. The gaspereau fishery of districts 71 and 72 exploits two species, alewife (<u>Alosa pseudoharengus</u>) and blueback herring (<u>Alosa aestivalis</u>) and these were identified primarily on the basis of colouration of the peritoneum and verified against scale markings as described by MacLellan et al. (1981). Disagreement in species identification using both techniques was negligible. Ages were determined according to the criteria described by Cating (1953).

#### Logbooks

Voluntary daily catch and effort logbooks were obtained from gaspereau fishermen in districts 71 and 72. A sample form is presented in Figure 1. Data extracted from the logbook forms included date, catch and hours gear fished. Incomplete information either as missing effort or ambiguous date and catch data were eliminated prior to analysis. As in the 1987 assessment (Alexander and Vromans 1988), days with recorded effort but zero catch were not used in the calculations of catch per unit effort.

Logbooks were returned by 13 fishermen in 1988, 9 from district 71 and 4 from district 72, which necessitated the use of a conversion factor of 1.963 for estimating total effort (Table 4). This conversion factor is similar to the two previous years, 11 logbooks were returned in 1987 and 13 in 1986. The maximum daily landing of gaspereau for district 71, was recorded on June 9, whereas district 72 maximum catch was recorded on June 1. Combined district maximum catch was recorded on June 10 (11.14% of total logbook catch) which is similar to most other years, maximum catch days ranging between May 28 (1984) and June 13 (1985) (Table 5).

Proportions of species in the landings were estimated to be 36% alewife (488.6 tons) to 64% (868.5 tons) bluebacks based upon daily species composition of gaspereau at Millbank. Proportions have fluctuated back and forth in previous years and this estimated blueback contribution is the highest after 1985 (Table 5). Maximum catch of alewife was estimated to have occurred on June 1 (6.48% of alewife catch) and maximum blueback catch was estimated for June 10 (10.36% of blueback catch) (Table 5).

## Catch at Age

Catch at age matrices were recalculated for all years because the cohort analysis procedure described later in this document was performed on catch matrices by age of recruitment. Previously calculated catch matrices did not provide the flexibility to extract this information directly. All logbook and biological data for 1982 to 1987 were reanalysed using SAS procedures. Changes in catch at age, all recruitment ages combined, were noted between previously calculated matrices and those presented in this document (Table 6a and 6b). Discrepancies arise in part from the method of decomposing logbook reported landings for days which did not have detailed sample information. In previous years, age and species composition of catches for those particular days were obtained using a mean age and species composition of the sample days prior to and after the dates in question. In this document, landings from unsampled days were allocated backwards to the previous sample day.

There are several reasons why the catches on days without samples were weighted by samples in the previous sampling day. First, samples were obtained from Millbank trap which is located midway between low river and midriver fishing zones on the opposite shore to the vast majority of trapnets Second, gaspereau entering the Miramichi estuary are on a (Figure 2). spawning migration upstream and it is clear that they are not distributed randomly by species and age group within the fishing zone during the Changes in age composition of alewife over the duration of the fishery. migration have been noted (Figure 3). Previous spawner alewife enter the estuary and ascend the river in the early part of the run with virgin spawners ascending throughout the run. A similar pattern was noted for blueback herring sampled at Millbank (Figure 4). This change in age composition supports the backward allocation of logbook catches onto previous day catch.

#### 1988 Catch at Age

The 1984 year class of alewife was the major contributor to the alewife landings followed closely by the 1983 year class and the 1985 year class (Table 7a). In 1988 no single year class contributed to more than 42% by number to the alewife landings which contrasts with previous years single cohort contributions of 52.7 to 72.9% (Table 7a). Nonetheless, 52% of the alewife catch consisted of first time spawners of which almost half was of the 1985 cohort and the other half the 1984 cohort.

Blueback herring catch was dominated by the 1983 year class (64% of landings by number) followed by the 1984 year class (31%) (Table 7b). First time spawners (FSP), dominated by the 1984 year class (75% of FSP), contributed in numbers to only 33% of the blueback landings in 1988, the lowest proportion since 1982 (Table 7b).

The proportion of first time spawners by age group in the catches has been relatively constant. Alewife are fully recruited at age 5, whereas blueback full recruitment occurs one year later, at age 6 (Table 8).

## Weight at Age Matrix

The weight at age matrices for blueback herring and alewife are presented in Tables 9a and 9b. Weight at age was calculated using the measured weights of individual fish. Mean weight at age vectors were calculated using the mean of years 1982 to 1988. Alewife are heavier at age than blueback.

#### Abundance Index

In terms of overall apparent abundance of gaspereau, the catch rates calculated from logbook catch and effort data, suggest that in 1988 gaspereau were as abundant as in 1981, much less abundant than in 1987 and 1985 yet well above the remaining years (Table 4).

An alternate abundance index was calculated this year to provide an estimate of species and year class abundance independant of commercial catch rates. Species and age composition using the samples and counts of gaspereau at Millbank trapnet are provided in Tables 10a and 10b. The data collected at Millbank were considered to be more reliable than catch rate information from logbooks. The primary reason is that Millbank has been sampled directly for species and age composition and it was possible to calculate an age-structured abundance index for each species. By contrast, in previous years, commercial catch rates for both species and all age groups were inferred from Millbank samples. Secondly, the catchability of the Millbank trap is probably more constant over time than is that of the commercial gear since Millbank is an index trap. The interval comprising the 5% to 95% catch for each species was used to standardize the index between years.

Counts and sampling from the Millbank trap provide quite a different impression of the species composition in the Miramichi than would be implied from the fishery (Figure 5). The catches at Millbank have been dominated by bluebacks in all years, alewife proportion never exceeding more than 35% (Table 11). The proportion of first time spawning alewife in the Millbank counts and as estimated in the fishery are similar (Tables 7a,b and 11) although for blueback FSP proportions are higher in the Millbank counts than the fishery in all years. Millbank counts extend to June 30 whereas the fishery essentially finishes June 15.

#### NATURAL MORTALITY

The general consensus has always been that gaspereau natural mortality is higher than M = 0.2. As discussed by Chaput and Alexander (1989), natural mortality, in the classic sense, is not calculable at the present time for alewife. An alternate term, 'composite mortality' defined as the sum of the natural mortality components both at sea and during the spawning migrations in freshwater and the mortality component associated with fisheries at sea, i.e. non inriver fisheries, has been calculated as Mc = 0.44 for alewife during the first spawning migration and Mc = 1.05 for subsequent spawning years. Mortality of non-recruited alewife was assumed to be 0.2. These values have been subsequently used in the cohort model discussed below. An estimate of blueback composite mortality is not available and values equal to those for alewife were used.

## COHORT ANALYSIS

In past assessments, SPA was utilized to generate population numbers before the fishery. The most widely used cohort analysis procedure (Pope 1972) is usable at least up to M = 0.3. These simulations assume a Type II fishery, defined by Ricker as one in which the natural mortality occurs simultaneously with the fishing mortality. Under these assumptions, values of Mc utilised in this document render such models unusable.

An alternate point of view is to consider the gaspereau fishery as one which exploits fish under Type I assumptions, i.e. the natural mortality occurs at a time of year other than the fishing season and the population decreases during the fishing season as a result of catch removals only. For convenience, the biological year begins when the fishing commences and natural mortality occurs after fishing ends (Ricker 1975: p. 10-11). Gaspereau fisheries can be justifiably considered as Type I fisheries. The fishery occurs over a short time interval, in most years 80% of the catch is landed within 20 days. In addition gaspereau pass through the fishery on their upstream migration and spawning mortality occurs after they have passed through the fishing zone.

The cohort model utilized in this document uses a modification of the catch equations documented by Rivard (1982) for which:

- population numbers of the last age group are considered equal to the catch, since fishing is complete and there are no survivors beyond that age. Oldest age F's are irrelevant.
- population numbers refer to numbers just prior to the beginning of the fishery.

The equation for previous year numbers is:

 $N_1 = N_2 e^m + C_1$  (Ricker 1975: p. 198).

The composite mortality rate used in this analysis varies with spawning frequency rather than directly with age. Consequently, cohort analysis of alewife and blueback was performed by age of recruitment. This type of analysis eliminates the requirement of a partial recruitment vector since in each simulation, all the fish included are fully recruited to the fishery. Two groups were analysed for alewife, age 3 recruits and age 4 recruits, whereas groups corresponding to recruitment ages 3, 4 and 5 were used for blueback. Although in the past, alewife and blueback have been aged as 2 and 6 year old recruits, the proportions are very low, their presence in the fishery has been inconsistent and they are not considered further (Tables 7a,b). Initial values of recent year F's were input as 1.0, corresponding to values for full recruitment. The terminal F values were determined iteratively by regressing population numbers at F against indices of abundance (intercept through the origin) and selecting the F which maximized R-square and reduced the residual sum of squares of the previous three years. A final cohort analysis was performed using the selected terminal F to generate estimated population numbers at the beginning of the fishery. A summary of the regression analyses using several abundance indices is presented in Table 12.

The abundance index/cohort analysis relationship could not be calibrated appropriately as evidenced by differences in the best terminal F by recruitement age group (Table 12). The short time series and variations in timing of gaspereau abundance in the fishery relative to Millbank trap, which monitors gaspereau movements after the fishery has ended, undoubtedly contributed variance to the data set. Terminal F of alewife in 1988, age 3 recruits, was estimated at 0.60 and 0.20 for age 4 recruits. Blueback terminal F values were 0.14 for age 3 recruits, 0.13 for age 4 and 0.46 for age 5 recruits. Population numbers by recruit age and the estimated F matrices are presented in Table 13a,b. Fishing mortality was higher on alewife than blueback in all years which is a reflection of the run timing and relatively more fishing effort exerted on the alewife relative to blueback.

Estimates of F were calculated from Paloheimo 'Z' values based on the abundance index from Millbank trap (Table 14). Negative F values i.e. increases in abundance of cohort with age, were noted in all recruitment age matrices which suggested that sampling procedures should be reexamined. F values of cohort and Paloheimo methods were high on the older previous spawner groups, but low on new recruits and first time previous spawners.

# YIELD PER RECRUIT - $F_{0.1}$

A yield per recruit analysis by the method of Thompson and Bell (Rivard 1982) was performed for alewife and blueback by age of recruitment, using the Mc values mentioned previously. The results are summarized below:

Alewife					Age
Alexie -			Yield per	Avg.	Interval
Recruited	age	<sup>F</sup> 0∙1	Recruit	Weight	of Estimate
	========	======================================			
	Mc =	0.44, 1.05	(this report)		
3		1.34	0.162	0.225	3 to 7
4		1.42	0.202	0.276	4 to 8
	M =	0.2 (previous	s natural mortali	ty value)	
3		0.51	0.178	0.255	3 to 7
4		0.54	0.213	0.300	4 to 8

Blueback		Yield per	Avg.	Age Interval
Recruited age	F0.1	Recruit	Weight	of Estimate
		=============================		
Mc	= 0.44, 1.05 (	this report)		×
3	1.34	0.123	0.170	3 to 7
4	1.34	0.147	0.204	4 to 8
5	1.36	0.178	0.246	5 to 9
М =	= 0.2 (previous	s natural mortali	ty value)	
3	0.48	0.135	0.197	3 to 7
4	0.49	0.162	0.235	4 to 8
5	0.52	0.194	0.277	5 to 9

The F<sub>0.1</sub> value of F calculated using the variable composite mortality is substantially higher than that estimated with constant M = 0.2. This would indicate that for the younger age groups, both alewife and blueback, the fishing mortality has not been excessive although it has been exceeded for some repeat spawner age groups. Mean F by recruit age group indicates that F<sub>0.1</sub> was exceeded for age 4 recruited alewife in 1983 and for blueback recruitment age 5 in 1987 (Table 13a,b).

## PROJECTIONS

The difficulties encountered with the calibration of the terminal F in the cohort analysis make projections of future harvest inappropriate. However, the catch of alewife in 1988 was down from that in 1987, as a result of the reduced contribution of the 1983 year class and a 1984 year class which is much smaller than the 1983 cohort. Indications are that the 1985 year class will not be a strong contributor either, therefore catches of alewife in 1989 are anticipated to be less than in 1988, unless the 1986 cohort recruitment at age 3 is unusually strong.

Blueback catches in 1988 were down from 1987, these having been sustained in the past three years by the exceptionally strong 1983 cohort. Recruitment strengths of the 1984 and 1985 cohorts have been substantially less than the 1983 cohort. The 1985 cohort appears to be very weak, unless the estimated F for the 3 year old blueback recruits in 1988 is too high, which at F = 0.14 is doubtful. Low catches of the 1985 cohort may also be a consequence of delayed movement of these individuals into the Miramichi, although the abundance index of this recruitment group is the lowest (Table 10b). Consequently, catch of gaspereau in the Miramichi districts 71 and 72 is expected to go down in 1989. Such troughs in catches have occurred before. Presently regulated weekly closed periods should be continued.

## MILLBANK / COMMERCIAL GEAR COMPARISON

In a preliminary analysis of Millbank selectivity, a commercial trapnet downstream of the Millbank trap was sampled on 12 different days between May 24 and June 9. It should be noted that the majority of commercial trapnets are on the opposite shore and above Millbank. Therefore, this trap may not be representative of the fishery either. Length frequencies, species and sex were recorded daily from approximately 200 gaspereau from which a length stratified subsample was aged.

Estimates of catch at age, species composition and length frequencies were obtained by weighting the detailed sample by daily catch, for the commercial net, and by daily counts, for Millbank. Estimates of catch at age when no detailed sample was collected, were obtained by taking the mean of the numbers at age for the sample dates before and after the unsampled day.

The Millbank trap and the commercial trap caught nearly identical sizes of gaspereau. The commercial trap had caught one smaller length group than Millbank although this difference is probably attributable to the larger number of lengths measured from the commercial trapnet (Figure 6). In the 1987 gaspereau assessment, it had been indicated that Millbank was catching smaller gaspereau than the commercial trapnet sampled (Alexander and Vromans 1988).

Over the time period sampled, the commercial trapnet catch was estimated to be composed of 53% blueback herring in contrast to the Millbank trap which had an estimated catch proportion of 42% (Table 15). These proportions were significantly different (P<0.001; test of proportions, Sokal and Rohlf 1969: p. 607). The proportions of virgin to previous spawners by species were also significantly different (Table 15). In terms of the age composition by species, the two traps sampled different age classes (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, P<0.01) (Table 15). The dominant blueback cohort in both traps was the 1983 year class (five year old previous spawner recruited at age 4). The dominant alewife cohort was the 1984 year class although samples from Millbank estimated a larger proportion of first time spawner four year olds while the commercial trapnet had a larger proportion of repeat spawner four year olds (Table 15, Figure 7).

This analysis indicates that the Millbank trap had selected different gaspereau from the commercial trap directly downstream, although size was not a factor. Age composition and species proportions are most different between the nets, although different sampling methods would affect the results. The commercial trapnet catchability was substantially higher than the Millbank trapnet, it captured over 25 times more gaspereau (by number).

It cannot be concluded from this that Millbank was not representative of the fishery; comparisons of two commercial nets could just have easily produced similar results. It does, however, indicate that the sampling for length, species and age samples should be spread out over as many fishermen and areas as possible, rather than relying exclusively upon samples from the Millbank trap to represent the fishery.

#### DIRECTION FOR THE FUTURE

The gaspereau fishery is difficult to assess because two species contribute to the landings (these are not differentiated at points of landing) and the timing of the species migrations and age distribution varies within a particular year. Present fishing pressures on the alewife of the Miramichi are higher than on blueback, but have been below  $F_{\Pi,1}$  values. Current management strategy, which incorporates closures each week should be A fishing season which closes on June 15 does not reduce the maintained. If the pattern of age segregation of blueback exploitation on alewife. within the spawning migration that was noted in the Millbank samples holds true for the fishery, then the June 15 closure exploits the previous spawner groups more intensively, which may have contributed to the reduced numbers of these older individuals in recent years. This reduction in the number of age classes in samples collected is disconcerting and is perhaps an artifact of current sampling methods. Given the concerns which have been documented in this assessment regarding changes in species and age composition with time, and the representativeness of Millbank sampling with regards to catches in the fishery, a verification of the appropriateness of the current sampling procedures should be undertaken. This would require sampling the commercial fishery directly, preferrably by fishing zones. The absence of older, less abundant age groups in samples should be substantiated by undertaking a two-phase sampling program, large (500 +) length frequency samples and length stratified sampling for species, sex, age.

The cohort analysis model described in this document provides a better representation of the gaspereau/fishery relationship than the one previously utilised. The time series is presently too short to permit reliable tuning of the terminal F values. The Millbank abundance index is an ideal independent estimator of abundance and species composition and should be maintained. Alternate estimates could be developed from more detailed analyses of logbook data from the various river zones.

Recruitment estimation remains a problem. Reliable cohort analysis could provide some estimate of spawning escapement and an escapement/recruitment relationship explored.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The field program was initiated by D.R. Alexander. Gaspereau samples and counts from Millbank trap were graciously provided by the trap crew under direction of R. Randall. Shannon Komadina processed and aged the samples. Modifications to the APL programs for Type I cohort analysis were graciously provided by Gloria Nielsen. Mike Chadwick provided suggestions, stimulus and support during the analysis and presentation of this assessment; many thanks. Gloria Nielsen and Albert Vromans reviewed the document. Last but not least, we gratefully acknowledge the gaspereau fishermen of districts 71 and 72 who completed and returned their logbooks in 1988.

# LITERATURE CITED

- Alexander, D.R. and A.H. Vromans. 1983. Status of the Miramichi River gaspereau fishery (1982). CAFSAC Res. Doc. 83/37. 40 p.
- Alexander, D.R. and A.H. Vromans. 1984. Status of the Miramichi River gaspereau fishery (1983). CAFSAC Res. Doc. 84/23. 23 p.
- Alexander, D.R. and A.H. Vromans. 1985. Status of the Miramichi River gaspereau fishery (1984). CAFSAC Res. Doc. 85/92. 25 p.
- Alexander, D.R. and A.H. Vromans. 1986. Status of the Miramichi River gaspereau fishery (1985). CAFSAC Res. Doc. 86/36. 25 p.
- Alexander, D.R. and A.H. Vromans. 1987. Status of the Miramichi River gaspereau fishery (1986). CAFSAC Res. Doc. 87/15. 21 p.
- Alexander, D.R. and A.H. Vromans. 1988. Status of the Miramichi River fishery (1987) for alewife (Alosa pseudoharengus) and blueback herring (Alosa aestivalis). CAFSAC Res. Doc. 88/27. 30 p.
- Cating, J.P. 1953. Determining age of Altantic shad from their scales. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Ser., Fish. Bull. 54 (85): 187-199.
- Chaput, G.J. and D.R. Alexander. 1989. Mortality rates of alewife in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence. CAFSAC Res. Doc. in preparation.
- Jones, R. 1981. The use of length composition data in fish stock assessments (with notes on VPA and cohort analysis). FAO Fisheries Circular No. 734. 60 p.
- MacLellan, P., G.E. Newsome and P.A. Dill. 1981. Discrimination by external features between alewife (<u>Alosa pseudoharengus</u>) and blueback herring (<u>A. aestivalis</u>). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 38: 544-546.
- Pope, J.G. 1972. An investigation of accuracy of virtual population analysis using cohort analysis. Res. Bull. Int. Comm. Northwest Atl. Fish., 9, 65-74. (In D.H. Cushing (Ed.)> Key Papers on Fish Populations. IRL Press, Washington.
- Ricker, W.E. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics in fish populations. Bull. Fish. Res. Board Can. No. 191: 382 p.
- Rivard, D. 1982. APL programs for stock assessment. Can Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. No. 1091. 146 p.
- Scott, W.B. and E.J. Crossman. 1973. Freshwater fishes of Canada. Bull. Fish. Res. Board Can. No. 184. 966 p.
- Sokal, R.R. and F.J. Rohlf. 1969. Biometry. The principles and practice of statistics in biological research. W.H. Freeman and Co. San Francisco.

Table	1.	Annual	landings	for	the	Miramichi	River	gaspereau	fishery
(distr	icts	71 and	72).						

	YEAR	LANDINGS	(mt)		
	1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1988	$\begin{array}{c} 4,952\\ 8,014\\ 11,381\\ 8,026\\ 4,649\\ 3,413\\ 3,009\\ 884\\ 816\\ 1,596\\ 716\\ 161\\ 733\\ 543\\ 119\\ 425\\ 746\\ 532\\ 436\\ 175\\ 874\\ 469\\ 425\\ 746\\ 532\\ 436\\ 175\\ 874\\ 469\\ 468\\ 967\\ 271\\ 141\\ 406\\ 2,240\\ 1,434\\ 3,343\\ 3,767\\ 1,410\\ 1,278\\ 1,088\\ 665\\ 1,857\\ 1,154\\ 2,145\\ 1,357\end{array}$			
Means (95% C. Historical 10 Year 5 Year	I.)	1,981.7 1,814.1 1,381.8		(1,161.3 - (1,390.8 - ( 631.7 -	- 2,802.0) - 2,237.4) - 2,131.9)

-13-

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

	1	New Brun	swick St	atistica	l Distri	cts	Total Landings (metric tons)					
Year	63-65	66-70	71-72	73–75	76	77-80	NB	NS	PEI	Gulf		
1978	0.9	781.0	1433.7	200.0	566.4	102.1	3084.1	1911.0	104.2	5099.4		
1979	33.2	413.4	3343.1	343.4	212.8	62.9	4408.7	2023.4	405.3	6837.4		
1980	105.0	237.3	3767.2	218.5	237.0	111.0	4676.0	2167.4	253.2	7096.5		
1981	320.3	128.4	1410.9	143.2	564.3	140.9	2708.0	1653.5	258.8	4620.3		
1982	45.2	149.6	1277.6	193.4	314.1	13.8	1993.7	1663.6	132.9	3790.2		
1983	9.3	226.2	1087.9	123.2	392.3	61.8	1900.6	779.8	36.4	2716.9		
1984	0.0	205.2	666.1	196.5	506.5	142.5	1716.9	1052.4	87.9	2857.2		
1985	5.0	465.4	1341.9	136.5	1427.4	193.0	3569.2	3203.3	238.4	7010.9		
1986	0.0	293.6	1171.4	45.5	398.1	352.7	2261.3	974.3	463.6	3699.2		
1987	0.0	620.4	2208.7	141.0	1152.2	296.8	4419.2	2558.6	364.2	7342.0		
Mean	51.9	352.1	1770.9	174.1	577.1	147.7	3073.8	1798.7	234.5	5107.0		

Table 2. Landings of gaspereau from the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence, 1978 to 1987. Data summarized from purchase slip and Supplementary 'B' slips collated by Statistics Branch, DFO.

=

	1982		1983		1984		1985		1986		1987		1988	
	Count	Sample	Count	Sample	Count	Sample	Count	Sample	Count	Sample	Count	Sample	Count	Sample
May 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 26 27 28 30 31 12 23 24 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 21 22 23 24 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 21 22 23 24 5 26 7 7 8 9 10 11 21 22 23 24 5 26 7 7 8 9 10 11 21 22 23 24 5 26 7 7 8 9 10 11 21 22 23 24 5 26 7 7 8 9 10 11 21 22 23 24 5 26 7 7 8 9 10 11 21 22 23 24 25 26 7 7 8 9 10 11 21 22 23 24 25 26 7 7 8 9 10 11 21 22 23 24 25 26 7 7 8 9 10 11 21 22 23 24 25 26 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 21 22 23 24 25 26 7 7 8 9 10 11 21 22 23 24 25 26 7 7 8 9 10 11 21 22 23 24 25 26 7 7 8 9 10 11 21 22 23 24 25 26 7 7 8 9 10 11 21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	$\begin{array}{c} \cdot \cdot 0\\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	18 50 50 18 32 50 49 40 40 47 50 50 50 50	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 9\\ 3\\ 3\\ 4\\ 3\\ 6\\ 8\\ 3\\ 6\\ 8\\ 3\\ 6\\ 8\\ 3\\ 6\\ 8\\ 3\\ 6\\ 8\\ 3\\ 6\\ 8\\ 3\\ 6\\ 9\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 8\\ 6\\ 4\\ 6\\ 6\\ 4\\ 6\\ 6\\ 4\\ 6\\ 6\\ 4\\ 6\\ 6\\ 6\\ 6\\ 6\\ 6\\ 6\\ 6\\ 6\\ 6\\ 6\\ 6\\ 6\\$		$\begin{array}{c} & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot \\ & 0$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot $	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\begin{array}{c} & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot$		$\begin{array}{c} & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot$	······································
Total	34,164	604 1 77	52,831	1,552	37,821	1,305	59,361	1,008	39,853	1,168	38,631	1,129	50,175	1,173
• sampred		1.,/		2.54		5.45		1.70		2.35		2.32		2.34

Table 3. Counts of gaspereau and number sampled daily from Millbank trapnet, 1982 to 1988. (Dot indicates days when trap was not fished or samples not collected.)

	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
Total landings (mt) A	1410.9	1277.6	1087.9	666.1	*1857.4	1171.4	2208.7	1357.1
Logbook catches (mt) B	1322.9	1108.4	829.2	612.2	1496	609.6	1077.3	691.3
Logbook effort (hrs)	12308	13148	14894	8857	10507	7450	7572	6166
Conversion factor A/B	1.067	1.153	1.312	1.088	1.242	1.922	2.050	1.963
Total effort (hrs)	13127	15155	19541	9637	13045	14316	15524	12105
CPUE (kg/hr)	107.5	84.3	55.7	69.1	142.4	81.8	142.3	112.1

Table 4. Miramichi River catches reported through data from purchase slips and Supp 'B' slips collated by Statistics Branch DFO and through voluntary logbooks, 1981 to 1988, with resultant conversion factor and CPUE estimates.

\* 1985 landings total used was one by Science Branch since Statistics Branch estimate was lower than logbook catches reported for that year. ۳.

MM	DD	1982		1983		1984		1985	un die Die kont	1986		1987		1988	
_		Alewife H	Blueback	Alewife E	Blueback	Alewife E	lueback	Alewife E	lueback	Alewife B	lueback	Alewife E	lueback	Alewife	Blueback
Jun	15 167 189 212 223 225 2267 2289 233 11 23 45 67 89 0 11 123 45 67 89 0 11 123 45 67 89 0 11 123 45 67 89 0 11 23 222 233 45 67 89 0 11 23 222 223 223 223 225 223 223 225 223 225 223 223	Alewife I 0.46 0.38 0.35 0.18 0.21 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.14 0.22 0.02 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.14 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.14 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.14 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.14 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.14 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.14 0.22 0.25 0.36 0.22 0.22 0.25 0.26 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.25 0.22 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.14 0.51 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.000000 0.0000 0.000000	Blueback	Alewife E 0.02 0.15 0.17 0.09 1.00 2.16 5.02 3.61 2.23 1.37 1.12 1.86 1.25 1.268 1.25 1.268 1.25 1.37 1.37 1.37 1.37 1.37 1.37 1.37 1.37 1.37 1.37 1.56 1.25 0.31 1.51 0.65 0.31 1.55 0.31 0.65 0.31 1.55 0.31 1.55 0.20 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000000	Blueback	Alewife E 0.13 0.06 0.00 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.80 1.35 0.93 5.49 9.35 5.49 4.48 2.62 3.14 2.62 3.14 2.62 3.14 2.62 3.14 2.62 0.55 2.22 2.94 0.85 1.55 0.93 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.93 1.55 0.93 0.93 0.05 0.93 0.05 0.05 0.02 0.02 0.00 0	Blueback	Alewife E - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Alueback	Alewife B 0.12 0.41 0.63 0.000 1.46 0.91 2.30 4.32 2.36 1.11 0.20 0.43 4.32 7.26 6.67 7.26 6.67 7.26 6.67 5.4.62 3.31 1.56 3.05 1.12 0.58 0.48 0.48 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.46 0.37 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.06 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.05 0.06 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.04	lueback	1987           Alewife           -           0.82           1.29           0.660           0.41           0.001           0.32           1.74           3.28           5.05           2.222           0.000           3.355           5.78           4.11           2.922           1.70           0.003           3.08           2.661           1.84           0.39           0.28           0.00           0.01           0.023           0.021		1988 Alewife 0.03 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05	Blueback 0.00 0.022 0.444 0.366 6.625 0.223 0.2249 0.221 0.222 0.227
July	25 26 27 28 29 30	0.01 - - - -	0.04	0.01 0.05 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.00	0.07 0.00 0.06 0.01 0.04 0.06 0.03						0.06		0.13 0.17 0.18 - - -	0:01 - - -	0.12 0.04 - - -
TOTA	2 \L %	48.06	- 51.94	0.00 47.69	0.01 52.31	- 72.44	- 27.56	_ 26.49	- 73.51	- 63.82	- 36.18	_ 51.10	_ 48.90	 36.10	- 63.90

Table 5. Miramichi River alewife and blueback herring daily percentage of total logbook catch (1982-1988).

Numbers of a	alewife	wife Year											
Total Age	1981*	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988					
	0	99	3 372	442	0	0	0	0					
2	38 619	476 996	651 448	1 070 590	767 926	2.345.873	644.357	456.578					
3	317 258	999 915	1 016 450	702 197	1.073.946	585,936	2.849.127	766.385					
5	147 714	131 089	116.524	131,234	170.067	271,506	645.671	577.356					
6	304 056	150,259	55,690	41,649	0	16.014	194.130	42.492					
7	217,214	54,344	27,508	0	Ő	0	24,679	2,061					
Ŕ	14 696	83,846	33,957	Ő	Ō	Ō	0	0					
9	12,494	00,010	12.277	4.141	Ō	0	0	0					
10	12,151	0	744	0	0	0	0	0					
11	0	631	6,281	0	0	0	0	0					
2+	1,052,051	1,897,167	1,924,250	1,950,252	2,011,940	3,219,329	4,357,965	1,844,872					
Percent char	nge (1989-1	988 / 1988)											
2		-75.8	-9.3	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0						
3		-5.0	4.7	1.4	7.0	0.5	-0.1						
4		29.2	5.4	3.3	12.4	3.4	4.6						
5		13.8	4.1	8.6	-12.8	15.0	9.3						
6		52.9	5.9	8.0	0.0	53.6	6.1						
7		50.9	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0						
8		66.4	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
9		0.0	4.7	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
10		0.0	-1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
11		3.4	-3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	•					
% on total		19.3	5.0	2.7	7.7	2.3	4.6						

Table 6 (a). Alewife catch-at-age (numbers of fish) in the Miramichi River gaspereau fishery, 1981-1988 (percentage indicates difference with previous data).

\* 1981 historical data provided by Scotia-Fundy region

Numbers of	blueback Year												
Total Age	1981*	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988					
				•	0	9 906	0	0					
2	0	0	152	51 440	300 027	544 932	101 827	1 248					
3	10,586	24,844	56,029	51,449	309,027	345,932	4 012 852	1 195 308					
4	194,411	410,962	1,045,600	371,523	4,049,729	974,012	230 505	2 433 102					
5	476,165	592,099	625,478	176,920	1,268,529	024,050	536,903	109 019					
6	1,830,828	253,782	244,428	89,563	415,653	181,677	336,603	76 244					
7	344,686	767,332	69,196	39,630	110,747	15,8/5	205,495	5 224					
8	289,803	45,393	161,470	38,506	11,866	15,117	42,003	5,324					
9	136,676	78,880	14,971	15,723	124,287	910	0	0					
10	0	904	30,164	3,835	0	5,502	0	0					
11	19,287	0	264	0	0	0	0	0					
12	0	0	0	4,235	22,048	0	0	0					
2+	3,302,442	2,174,197	2,247,751	791,382	6,392,686	1,941,971	5,220,163	3,820,145					
Percent cha	ange (1989-1	988 / 1988)											
2		0.0	-6.5	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0						
3		-38.3	1.0	0.2	5.9	-2.2	-1.7						
4		-18.8	-0.3	14.4	7.5	7.0	-3.4						
5	•	-20.7	-0.0	20.4	5.2	0.6	-14.4						
6	•	-16.2	-1.2	17.3	2.9	-1.2	-2.3						
7	•	11.8	1.1	16.9	0.5	-0.5	0.9						
,	•	-34.3	1.2	51.1	40.9	-15.7	1.8						
0	•	-8.5	-2.0	21.6	9.3	22.5	0.0						
10	•	-41 6	0.9	5.7	0.0	2.3	0.0						
11	•	0.0	-9.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
12		0.0	0.0	104.2	-11.3	0.0	0.0	•					
% on total		-10.4	-0.2	16.8	6.5	0.5	-3.6						

Table 6 (b). Blueback catch-at-age (numbers of fish) in the Miramichi River gaspereau fishery, 1981-1988 (percentage indicates difference with previous data).

\* 1981 historical data provided by Scotia-Fundy region

Numbers of	alewife			Year			
Total Age	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
Recrui	ted at age 2						
2	88	3,372	442	0	0	0	0
3	0	2,998	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	2,914	0	0	0	1 594
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,504
7	0	0	0	ő	ő	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Recrui	ted at age 3						
3	476.996	648.450	1.070.590	767.926	2,345,873	644,357	456,578
4	512,276	234,132	146,091	386,590	286,470	1,440,508	320,843
5	609	32,675	68,132	56,831	151,799	242,523	290,290
6	6,892	0	16,625	0	0	66,394	21,811
7	3,522	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	8,203	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	1,156	4,141	0	0	0	0
10	631	191	0	0	0	0	0
11	051	Ū	Ū	Ū	· ·	· ·	Ũ
Recrui	ted at age 4						
4	487,639	782,317	553,192	687,357	299,466	1,408,619	445,542
5	130,479	62,669	63,102	113,236	118,662	391,723	221,913
6	143,367	39,749	24,958	0	16,014	122,139	15,357
7	43,161	16,464	0	0	0	24,679	2,061
8	81,564	22,757	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	11,090	0	0	0	0	0
10	ō	6,281	Ő	o	Ő	ő	0
Recrui	ted at age 5						
5	0	21,180	0	0	1,046	11,426	63,569
6	0	15,941	65	0	0	5,598	5,324
7	7,661	5,730	0	0	0	0	0
8	2,282	2,971	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	31	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	264	0	0	0	0	0
Recrui	ted at age 6						
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	5,314	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	27	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,897,166	1,924,250	1,950,252	2,011,940	3,219,329	4,357,965	1,844,872
Dominant							
Cohort	1978	1979	1981	1981	1983	1983	1984
*	52.7	52.8	54.9	53.4	72.9	65.4	41.5
% FSP	50.9	75.6	83.3	72.3	82.2	47.4	52.3

Table 7 (a). Miramichi River alewife catch at age matrix (numbers of fish) factored on total landings (1982-1988). FSP = first time spawners.

Numbers of	blueback			Year			
Total Age	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
Recruit	ted at age 2						
2	0	152	0	0	8,896	0	0
3	0	0	0	45,286	4,041	441	0
4	156	3,348	8,928	458,701	10,745	0	0
5	38,979	0	65	61,651	0	0	0
6	38,530	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	38,530	2,971	0	0	0	0	0
Recruit	ted at age 3						
3	24,844	56,029	51,449	344,541	540,890	191,386	1.248
4	331	56,345	46,033	651,074	115,960	827,750	215,652
5	104,330	24,476	19,005	238,591	112,724	30,711	343,476
6	57,735	22,581	132	83,989	7,486	26,879	0
7	245,140	0	5,692	6,269	635	0	11,064
8	295	9,110	6,437	0	4,890	0	0
9	156	0	3,573	53,698	910	0	0
10	295	0	0	0	5,502	0	0
12	0	0	0	22,048	0	0	0
Recruit	ed at age 4						
4	410,476	985,907	316,563	2,939,955	218,307	3,185,102	979,655
5	269,938	320,701	115,687	791,462	680,984	146,913	1,798,346
6	113,298	96,567	85,019	284,856	149,370	495,935	82,493
7	346,806	20,837	9,861	57,964	15,240	173,138	65,180
8	25,609	115,083	25,692	11,866	10,227	0	5,324
10	59,235	14,860	10,110	48,540	0	0	0
11	ő	25,790	3,835	0	0	0	0
12	0	0	4,235	o	0	o	0
Recruit	ed at age 5						
5	178 851	280, 301	42 162	176 825	30 342	52 881	201 281
6	44.219	113,850	4,412	46,808	24.821	13.989	26,425
7	129,543	35,305	24,077	46,514	0	32,355	0
8	19,490	34,208	6,377	0	0	42,683	0
9	19,490	111	2,040	22,048	0	0	0
10	609	6,368	0	0	0	0	0
Recruit	ed at age 6						
6	0	11,430	0	0	0	0	0
7	7,313	13,054	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	98	0	0	0	0	0
Total	2,174,197	2,247,751	791,382	6,392,686	1,941,971	5,220,163	3,820,145
Dominant							
Cohort	1975	1979	1980	1981	1981	1983	1983
(%)	35.3	46.5	46.9	63.3	42.4	76.9	63.7
<b>% F</b> SP	28.2	59.3	51.8	54.1	41.1	65.7	33.3

Table 7 (b). Miramichi River blueback herring catch at age matrix (numbers of fish) factored total landings (1982-1988). FSP = first time spawners.

. \*

Year	Age	Alewife	Blueback
1982	3	100.0	100.0
	4	48.8	99.9
	5	0.0	30.2
	6	0.0	0.0
1983	3	99.5	100.0
	4	77.0	94.3
	5	18.2	44.8
	6	0.0	4.7
1984	3	100 0	100 0
1904	4	78.8	95.0
	5	,0.0	23.9
	6	0.0	23.8
	Ū	0.0	0.0
1985	3	100.0	88.4
	4	64.0	72.6
	5	0.0	13.9
	6	0.0	0.0
1986	3	100.0	99 3
	4	51.1	63.3
	5	0.4	3.7
	6	0.0	0.0
	-		0.0
1987	3	100.0	99.8
	4	49.4	79.4
	5	1.8	22.9
	6	0.0	0.0
1988	3	100.0	100.0
	4	58.1	82.0
	5	11.0	12.0
	6	0.0	0.0
Mean	3	99 9	08.2
. 16 411	4	61 0	82 /
	5	4 5	21 6
	6	1.5	0.7
	Ū	0.0	0.7

Table 8. Percentage of first time spawners at age for alewife and blueback herring in the Miramichi River gaspereau fishery (1982-1988).

۳.

								Year								
Total Age	Recruit Age	1982		1983		1984		1985		1986		1987		1988		Mean
1	1	-		53	(5)	-		-		-		_		-		53
2	2	132	(28)	112	(101)	134	(68)	122	(116)	119	(13)	-		-		122
3	23	249	(73)	237 225	(1) (226)	213	(372)	138 211	(135)	208	(354)	218	(94)	231	(103)	171 217
4	2 3 4	331 316	(49) (82)	284 278	(72) (236)	273 282 274	(2) (46) (159)	258 264	(49) (80)	275 271	(72) (55)	241 250	(185) (183)	267 267	{77 (97)	273 268 273
5	2345	269 352 251	$\binom{1}{18}$ , $\binom{1}{1}$	350 345 275	$\binom{21}{32}{7}$	325 335	(19) (16)	274 294	(§)	311 304 327	(24) (28) (1)	294 297 291	(30) (42) (2)	294 288 280 289	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 61 \\ 42 \\ 14 \end{pmatrix}$	294 304 311 285
6	2 3 4 5 6	371 404	(5) (22)	316 304	(15) (30	344 347 265	(5) (7) (1)	-		291 	(2)	305 293 236	${12 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 11 }$	355 306 268	$\binom{3}{3}$ (1)	332 342 283 268
7	23456	413 395 419	(4) (5) (2)	- 417 344 329	(9) (1) (1)			`		-		312	(2)	542 542	(1)	413 405 394 329
8	2 3 4 5 6	457 514 413		383 382 438 426		, _				-				Ē		402 443 426 426
9	3 4 5	554	(2)	449 417 406	${1 \\ 4 \\ 1}$	525 	(1)	-		Ē		Ē		Ξ		487 462 406
10	3 4 5	Ē		361 390 293	${1 \\ 1 \\ 1}$	Ē		-		Ē		Ξ		Ξ		361 390 293
11	3 4	634	(1)	383	(1)	=		. =		Ξ		Ξ		Ξ		635 384
	Recruitmen	it ages o	ombined	1												
		1982		1983		1984		1985		1986		1987		1988		Weighted Mean
1 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		132 249 321 343 398 406 494 554 634		53 112 225 279 339 314 402 391 420 348 383	-	134 213 276 329 340 525		122 210 262 286 		119 208 273 307 291 - - - -				231 267 286 321 542 		53 122 217 306 402 432 462 348 509

Table 9 (a). Mean weight (g) at age for alewife from the Miramichi River fishery, 1982 to 1988. Numbers in parentheses indicate sample size used in estimating mean weight.

Total	Recruit	-						Year								Weighted
Age	Age	1982		1983		1984		1985		1986		1987		1988		Mean
2	2	-		107	(9)	-		124	(4)	130	(17)	-		-		122
3	2 3	176	(18)	172	(113)	155 157	(6) (122)	165 166	(26) (90)	132 167	(14) $(296)$	166 164	$\binom{1}{49}$	166	(2)	154 166
4	234	292 200 214	(110)	191 242 207	$(1) \\ (18) \\ (448)$	168 189 194	(7) (51) (402)	195 195 193	(74) (100) (482)	174 199 208	$\binom{2}{32}{61}$	208 185	(72) (406)	226 198	(38) (205)	193 204 197
5	2 3 4 5	340 218 280 233	(2) (47) (37) (51)	273 267 251	(110) (91)	290 226 232 237	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 21 \\ 87 \\ 49 \end{pmatrix}$	203 238 241 229	(8) (30) (94) (29)	229 236 227	(26) (170) (8)	230 238 201	(4) (16) (6)	239 235 226	(54) (333) (70)	236 232 242 236
6	23456	343 323 356 313	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 15 \\ 13 \\ 8 \end{pmatrix}$	289 311 296 260	(10) (26) (33) (3)	227 298 263	(3) (32) (7)	291 288 299	(8) (27) (5)	215 277 239	(4) (32) (7)	238 245 271	(4) (36) (4)	282 244	(16) (4)	343 285 287 285 260
7	2 3 4 5 6	398 349 368 406 328	$ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 17 \\ 26 \\ 12 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} $	- 372 380 447	(8) (13) (2)	337 340 313	(2) (7) (5)	324 287 328	(2) (8) (5)	279 310 -	{ <del>1</del> 5}	- 285 255	<b>{6}</b>	277 298 262		398 340 335 360 387
8	23456	303 385 439	$     \begin{cases}             1 \\             7 \\           $	409 441 371 363 345	(1) (40) (12) (2)	332 409 424 296	(5) (11) (2) (1)	299 395 287	${1 \\ 2 \\ 1}$	305 394 -	{ <u>1</u> }	334	(3)	Ē		409 367 381 365 329
9	3 4 5	206 364 416	$ $	391 356	<b>{4}}</b>	490 372 429	${1 \\ 8 \\ 1}$	376 401 414	$\binom{4}{3}{2}$	327	(1)	390	(1)	Ξ		361 378 397
10	3 4 5	318 370	(1) (2)	383 432	<b>{9}}</b>	353	(2)	Ē		356 	(1)	Ξ		Ξ		337 378 401
11	3 4	Ξ		335	(1)	Ξ		Ξ		Ξ		2		Ξ		335
12	3 4	Ξ		Ξ		485	(1)	381	(1)	Ξ		Ξ		Ξ		3 <b>81</b> 485
R	ecruitmen	nt ages c	ombined													
		1982		1983		1984		1985		1986		1987		1988		Weighted Mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		176 213 242 333 369 382 351 353 -		107 172 209 260 299 383 375 379 392 335		157 193 233 287 330 384 390 353 485		124 166 194 237 290 305 344 393 		130 166 204 235 265 305 364 327 356		164 189 228 247 275 334 390 		166 202 234 274 293 - - - -		122 165 198 239 286 345 374 378 378 378 378 378 378

Table 9 (b). Mean weight (g) at age for blueback herring in the Miramichi River fishery 1982 to 1988. Numbers in parentheses indicate sample size used in estimating mean weight.

		Year									
Age	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988				
Recruited a	t age 2										
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
4 5	0	0	· 0	0	0	0	0				
	• • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
Recruited a	tage 3	203 7	211 9	141.9	340.3	81.4	102.5				
5	77 0	67 3	23.9	73.7	32.7	164.6	68.9				
*	0 1	7 3	11.2	14.2	14.9	26.82	68.6				
6	1 4	,	1.6	0	0	10.69	4.8				
7	0.7	ő	1.0	õ	Ō	0	0				
,	0.7	23	ŏ	õ	ō	0	0				
0	ő	0.4	0.3	0	0	0	0				
10	õ	0.1	0	ō	0	0	0				
11	0.3	ō	0	0	0	0	0				
Recruited a	t age 4										
4	103.1	207.7	99.7	141.7	38.3	120.9	100.4				
5	23	22.3	8	23.5	16.5	32.9	55.2				
6	27.5	13.6	6.4	0	4.8	11.27	2.4				
7	6.9	7.1	0	0	0	1.2	0				
8	12.1	7.3	0	0	0	0	0				
9	0	3.3	0	0	0	0	0				
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
11	0	1.9	0	0	0	0	0				
Recruited a	t age 5										
5	0	3.7	0	0	0.5	2.3	12.5				
6	0	2.7	2.6	0	0	0.3	0.5				
7	4.2	0.4	0	0	0	0	0				
8	0.2	0.7	0	0	0	0	0				
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Recruited a	at age 6										
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
7	0	0.9	0	0	0	0	0				
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Table 10 (a). Abundance index (number per day) of alewife using counts at Millbank trap (5% to 95% catch interval), 1982 to 1988.

. \*

			Y	'ear			
Age	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
Pacruited	at age 2						
Recluited	ac age 2	0	0	0	23.6	0	0
2	0	ő	2.7	26.6	11.5	0.4	0
3	0 7	2.2	2.8	143	3.9	0	0
5	11	0	4.5	15.6	0	0	0
5	4 2	ő	0	0	0	0	0
7	4.2	ō	o	Ō	Ō	0	0
Recruited	at age 3						
3	11.9	67	108.4	122.4	328.6	87.9	0.94
4	0.7	28	93.3	233.6	37.7	128	94.6
5	11.8	9.1	46.9	92	35.6	7.6	165.6
6	45.2	20.3	10.99	16.3	5.6	9.2	0
7	45.8	0	5.9	3	1.4	0	1.2
8	1.2	1.4	15.3	3.1	0.5	0	0
9	0.7	0	0	13.7	2.3	0	0
10	1.2	0	0	0	2.9	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	0	0	0	3.6	0	0	0
Recruited	l at age 4						
4	305.5	756.1	567.7	1024.2	76.5	756.1	636.9
5	142.8	228.6	171.1	245.7	205.9	15	887.7
6	67.3	48.6	61.6	79.7	35	54.3	45.7
7	81.5	3.7	11.8	20.9	6.8	12.8	35
8	8.9	54.5	8.9	4.6	1.4	0	0
9	12.2	5.6	3	1.4	0	1.4	0
10	0	5.5	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	1.4	0	0	0	0	0
Recruited	i at age 5						1.0.1
5	140	181.6	117	78.4	7.6	7.3	191
6	46.1	54.7	14.5	14.5	6.1	5.3	4.4
7	48	10.4	6.1	5.5	0	4.9	1.1
8	5.5	24	1.4	3.1	0	4.7	0
9	5.5	4.7	0	5.7	0	0	0
10	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Recruited	d at age 6	_	_		•		
6	0	7.7	0	0	0	0	0
7	9.1	2.3	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	4	0.8	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	U	0

Table 10 (b). Abundance index (number per day) of blueback using counts at Millbank trap (5% to 95% catch interval), 1982 to 1988.

۳.,

	1982	1983	1984	1985		1986	1987	1988
Age	Prop. %FSP	Prop. %FSP	Prop. %FS	P Prop. S	*FSP	Prop. %FSP	Prop. %FSP	Prop. %FS
3	0.33 100	0.37 100	0.58 10	0 0.36	100	0.76 100	0.18 100	0.24 10
4	0.47 57	0.50 76	0.34 8	1 0.54	66	0.16 54	0.63 42	0.41 5
5	0.06	0.06 11	0.05	0.10		0.07 2	0.14 4	0.33
6	0.07	0.03	0.03			0.01	0.05	0.02
7	0.03	0.01					<.01	
8	0.03	0.02				•		
9		0.01	<.01					
10								
11	<.01	<.01	•	•		•	•	
* FSP	59.9	75.1	85.2	71.8		84.5	45.2	51.7
t of								
jaspereau	29.6	34.1	33.4	16.1		33.8	34.5	19.8
Blueback her	ring							
Blueback her	ring 1982	1983	1984	1985		1986	1987	1988
Blueback her Age	ring   Prop. %FSP	1983 Prop. %FSP	1984  Prop. %FS	1985 P Prop. 5	%FSP	1986 Prop. %FSP	1987  Prop. %FSP	1988  Prop. %FS
3lueback her Age	ring  Prop. %FSP	1983  Prop. %FSP	1984 Prop. %FS	1985 P Prop. 5	%FSP	1986 Prop. %FSP	1987  Prop. %FSP	1988  Prop. %FS
Blueback her Age 2 3	ring  Prop. %FSP 	1983 	1984 	1985 P Prop. 1 8 0.07	%FSP 82	1986 Prop. %FSP 0.03 100 0.43 97	1987  Prop. %FSP	1988 
Blueback her Age 2 3 4	ring  Prop. %FSP  0.01 100 0.28 99	1983 Prop. %FSP	1984 Prop. %FS	1985 P Prop. 5 8 0.07 6 0.65	%FSP 82 73	1986 Prop. %FSP 0.03 100 0.43 97 0.15 65	1987 Prop. %FSP 0.08 99 0.81 86	1988 Prop. %FS
Blueback her Age 2 3 4 5	ring  Prop. %FSP  0.01 100 0.28 99 0.36 35	1983 Prop. %FSP	1984 Prop. %FS	1985 P Prop. 5 8 0.07 6 0.65 4 0.20	&FSP 82 73 18	1986 Prop. %FSP 0.03 100 0.43 97 0.15 65 0.31 3	1987 Prop. %FSP	1988 Prop. %FS 
Blueback her Age 2 3 4 5 6	ring  Prop. %FSP  0.01 100 0.28 99 0.36 35 0.15	1983 Prop. %FSP 0.04 100 0.52 96 0.27 43 0.09 6	1984 Prop. %FS 0.09 9 0.53 8 0.27 3 0.07	1985 P Prop. 5 8 0.07 6 0.65 4 0.20 0.05	%FSP 82 73 18	1986 Prop. %FSP 0.03 100 0.43 97 0.15 65 0.31 3 0.06	1987 Prop. %FSP	1988 Prop. %FS 
Blueback her Age 2 3 4 5 6 7	ring 1982 Prop. %FSP 0.01 100 0.28 99 0.36 35 0.15 0.17	1983 Prop. %FSP 0.04 100 0.52 96 0.27 43 0.09 6 0.01	1984 Prop. %FS 0.09 9 0.53 8 0.27 3 0.07 0.02	1985 P Prop. 5 8 0.07 6 0.65 4 0.20 0.05 0.01	&FSP 82 73 18	1986 Prop. %FSP 0.03 100 0.43 97 0.15 65 0.31 3 0.06 0.01	1987 Prop. %FSP	1988 Prop. %FS
Blueback her Age 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ring <u>1982</u> Prop. %FSP 0.01 100 0.28 99 0.36 35 0.15 0.17 0.01	1983 Prop. %FSP  0.04 100 0.52 96 0.27 43 0.09 6 0.01 0.05	1984 Prop. %FS 0.09 9 0.53 8 0.27 3 0.07 0.02 0.02	1985 P Prop. 5 8 0.07 6 0.65 4 0.20 0.05 0.01 <.01	82 73 18	1986 Prop. %FSP 0.03 100 0.43 97 0.15 65 0.31 3 0.06 0.01 <.01	1987 Prop. %FSP	1988 Prop. %FS 
Blueback her Age 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ring <u>1982</u> Prop. %FSP 0.01 100 0.28 99 0.36 35 0.15 0.17 0.01 0.02	1983 Prop. %FSP 0.04 100 0.52 96 0.27 43 0.09 6 0.01 0.05 <.01	1984 Prop. %FS 0.09 9 0.53 8 0.27 3 0.07 0.02 0.02 <.01	1985 P Prop. 5 8 0.07 6 0.65 4 0.20 0.05 0.01 <.01 <.01	82 73 18	1986 Prop. %FSP 0.03 100 0.43 97 0.15 65 0.31 3 0.06 0.01 <.01 <.01	1987 Prop. %FSP 0.08 99 0.81 86 0.03 24 0.06 0.02 <.01 <.01	1988 Prop. %FS
Blueback her Age 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ring <u>1982</u> Prop. %FSP 0.01 100 0.28 99 0.36 35 0.15 0.17 0.01 0.02 <.01	1983 Prop. %FSP 0.04 100 0.52 96 0.27 43 0.09 6 0.01 0.05 <.01 <.01	1984 Prop. %FS 0.09 9 0.53 8 0.27 3 0.07 0.02 0.02 <.01	1985 P Prop. 5 8 0.07 6 0.65 4 0.20 0.05 0.01 <.01 <.01	%FSP 82 73 18	1986 Prop. %FSP 0.03 100 0.43 97 0.15 65 0.31 3 0.06 0.01 <.01 <.01	1987 Prop. %FSP 0.08 99 0.81 86 0.03 24 0.06 0.02 <.01 <.01	1988 Prop. %FS 
Blueback her Age 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ring 1982 Prop. %FSP 0.01 100 0.28 99 0.36 35 0.15 0.17 0.01 0.02 <.01	1983 Prop. %FSP 0.04 100 0.52 96 0.27 43 0.09 6 0.01 0.05 <.01 <.01 <.01	1984 Prop. %FS 0.09 9 0.53 8 0.27 3 0.07 0.02 0.02 <.01	1985 P Prop. 5 8 0.07 6 0.65 4 0.20 0.05 0.01 <.01 <.01	%FSP 82 73 18	1986 Prop. %FSP 0.03 100 0.43 97 0.15 65 0.31 3 0.06 0.01 <.01 <.01	1987 Prop. %FSP	1988 Prop. %FS (.01 10 0.35 8 0.60 1 0.02 0.02
Blueback her Age 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ring <u>1982</u> Prop. %FSP 0.01 100 0.28 99 0.36 35 0.15 0.17 0.01 0.02 <.01 41.6	1983 Prop. %FSP 0.04 100 0.52 96 0.27 43 0.09 6 0.01 0.05 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01	1984 Prop. %FS 0.09 9 0.53 8 0.27 3 0.07 0.02 <.01	1985 P Prop. 5 8 0.07 6 0.65 4 0.20 0.05 0.01 <.01 <.01 <.01	%FSP 82 73 18	1986 Prop. %FSP 0.03 100 0.43 97 0.15 65 0.31 3 0.06 0.01 <.01 <.01 55.0	1987 Prop. %FSP	1988 Prop. %FS (.01 10 0.35 8 0.60 1 0.02 0.02
Blueback her Age 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 8 5 8 9 10 11 8 8 9	ring 1982 Prop. %FSP 0.01 100 0.28 99 0.36 35 0.15 0.17 0.01 0.02 <.01 41.6	1983 Prop. %FSP  0.04 100 0.52 96 0.27 43 0.09 6 0.01 0.05 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01	1984 Prop. %FS 0.09 9 0.53 8 0.27 3 0.07 0.02 0.02 <.01	1985 P Prop. 5 8 0.07 6 0.65 4 0.20 0.05 0.01 (.01 (.01 (.01 (.01) 56.8	%FSP 82 73 18	1986 Prop. %FSP 0.03 100 0.43 97 0.15 65 0.31 3 0.06 0.01 <.01 <.01 55.0	1987 Prop. %FSP 0.08 99 0.81 86 0.03 24 0.06 0.02 <.01 <.01 77.7	1988 Prop. %FS 

Table 11. Proportion at age and % first time spawners (%FSP) of alewife and blueback herring from the Millbank trap based on the total counts of gaspereau, 1982 to 1988.

R Population Estimate Abundance Index (Variable X) (Variable Y) square F \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Alewife Recruited at age 3 Ages 3, 4, 5, 6 Ages 3, 4, 5, 6 0.457 0.60 \* Recruited at age 4 0.20 \* 0.749 Age 5 Aqe 5 Previous spawners Previous spawners 0.478 0.25 Blueback Recruited at age 3 0.848 0.14 \* Age 3 Age 3 Recruited at age 4 Age 5 0.840 0.12 Age 5 0.712 0.13 \* Previous spawners Previous spawners Recruited at age 5 0.720 0.46 \* Aqe 5 Age 5 \* denotes value selected for terminal F

Table 12. Regression variables, and best terminal F using the highest R-square and minimum residual.

Table 13a. Prefishery population numbers of alewife and values of F by recruitment age, estimated from Type 1 cohort analysis.

Age Recruited at age 3 3 1,14 4 74 5 6 7 6 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 10 10 11 3+ 10 10 11 3+ 10 10 11 3+ 10 10 11 3+ 10 10 11 3+ 10 10 11 3+ 10 10 10 10 11 3+ 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1982 3,658 2,912 1,446 7,185 0,881 3,339 558 4 632 0,615	1983 1,127,737 429,354 80,708 293 102 20,072 1,168 195 1 1,659,630	1984 3,186,352 308,677 68,315 16,808 102 35 4,153 4 1 3,584,447	1985 2,901,814 1,362,627 56,895 64 64 35 12 4 1 4,321,516	1986 7,437,355 1,374,301 341,552 22 22 22 12 4 1	1987 1,748,502 3,279,099 380,673 66,401 7 7 7 4 1	1988 1,011,948 711,109 643,392 48,343 2 2 2 2 2 2 1
Recruited at age 3 3 1,14 4 74 5 6 7 6 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 7 8 9 10 10 11 11 3+ 1,96 7 8 9 10 11 11 3+ 1,96 7 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	3,658 2,912 1,446 0,881 3,339 558 4 632 0,615	1,127,737 429,354 80,708 293 102 20,072 1,168 195 1 1,659,630	3,186,352 308,677 68,315 16,808 102 35 4,153 4 1 3,584,447	2,901,814 1,362,627 56,895 64 64 35 12 4 1 4,321,516	7,437,355 1,374,301 341,552 22 22 22 12 4 1	1,748,502 3,279,099 380,673 66,401 7 7 7 4 1	1,011,948 711,109 643,392 48,343 2 2 2 2 2 1
3 1,14 4 74 5 6 7 6 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	3,658 2,912 1,446 7,185 0,881 3,339 558 4 632 0,615	1,127,737 429,354 80,708 293 102 20,072 1,168 195 1 1,659,630	3,186,352 308,677 68,315 16,808 102 35 4,153 4 1 3,584,447	2,901,814 1,362,627 56,895 64 64 35 12 4 1 4,321,516	7,437,355 1,374,301 341,552 22 22 22 12 4 1	1,748,502 3,279,099 380,673 66,401 7 7 7 4 1	1,011,948 711,109 643,392 48,343 2 2 2 2 2 2 1
4 74 5 6 7 6 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 3+ 24 Population number rec 4 65 5 24	2,912 1,446 7,185 0,881 3,339 558 4 632 0,615	429,354 80,708 293 102 20,072 1,168 195 1 1,659,630	308,677 68,315 16,808 102 35 4,153 4 1 3,584,447	1,362,627 56,895 64 64 35 12 4 1 4,321,516	1,374,301 341,552 22 22 22 12 4 1	3,279,099 380,673 66,401 7 7 4 4	711,109 643,392 48,343 2 2 2 2 2 1
5 6 7 6 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1,446 7,185 0,881 3,339 558 4 632 0,615	80,708 293 102 20,072 1,168 195 1 1,659,630	68,315 16,808 102 35 4,153 4 1 3,584,447	56,895 64 64 35 12 4 1 4.321.516	341,552 22 22 12 4 1	380,673 66,401 7 7 4 1	643,392 48,343 2 2 2 2 1
6 7 6 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 3+ 7 8 9 10 11 3+	7,185 0,881 3,339 558 4 632 0,615	293 102 20,072 1,168 195 1 1,659,630	16,808 102 35 4,153 4 1 3,584,447	64 64 35 12 4 1 4,321,516	22 22 22 12 4 1	66,401 7 7 4 1	48,343 2 2 2 2 1
7 6 8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 65 5 24	0,881 3,339 558 4 632 0,615	102 20,072 1,168 195 1 1,659,630	102 35 4,153 4 1 3,584,447	64 35 12 4 1 4.321.516	22 22 12 4 1	7 7 4 1	2 2 2 2 1
8 9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 5 2 4	3,339 558 4 632 0,615 0.54	20,072 1,168 195 1 1,659,630	35 4,153 4 1 3,584,447	35 12 4 1 4.321,516	22 12 4 1	7 4 1	2 2 2 1
9 10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 5 2 4 5 2 4	558 4 632 0,615 0.54	1,168 195 1 1,659,630	4,153 4 1 3,584,447	12 4 1 4.321,516	12 4 1	4	2 2 1
10 11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 5 24	4 632 0,615 0.54	195 1 1,659,630	4 1 3,584,447	4 1 4,321,516	4 1	4	1
11 3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 5 24	632 0,615 0.54	1 1,659,630	1 3,584,447	1 4.321.516	1	1	1
3+ 1,96 Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 5 2 4	0,615	1,659,630	3,584,447	4,321,516			
Fishing Mortality 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 5 24	0.54				9,153,291	5,474,701	2,414,801
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 5 24	0.54						
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 5 24	0.54	0.86	0.41	0.31	0.38	0.46	0.60
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 69 5 24		0.30	0.64	0.33	0.23	0.58	0.60
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 69 5 24	1.1/	0.52	5.93	6.81	0.59	1.01	0.60
6 7 8 9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 69 5 24	2.55	0.01	4.53	0.02	0.05	9.26	0.60
7 8 9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 69 5 24	3.20	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.15	0.60
8 9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 69 5 24	0.00	0.53	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.15	0.60
9 10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 69 5 24	0.00	4.66	5 93	0.09	0.09	0.15	0.60
10 11 3+ Population number rec 4 69 5 24	0.00	4.00	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.60
11 3+ Population number red 4 69 5 24	0.30	4.22	1 00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.60
3+ Population number rec 4 69 5 24	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Population number rec 4 69 5 24	0.77	0.82	0.56	0.40	0.37	0.68	0.60
4 69 5 24	cruited	at age 4					
4 69 5 24		-				3 300 480	2 457 907
5 24	97,478	880,923	1,113,211	1,557,789	1,283,632	5,309,400	1 224 223
	14,905	135,144	63,505	360,672	560,589	154 647	1,224,22
6 19	90,708	40,042	25,361	141	80,587	134,047	11 37
7 10	08,295	16,566	102	141	49	24,090	11,57
8 11	13,291	22,792	35	35	49	17	
9	838	11,102	12	12	12	17	
10	17,953	293	4	4	4	4	
11	1	6,282	1	1	1	1	
4+ 1,3	73,469	1,113,144	1,202,231	1,918,795	1,930,923	4,122,700	3,778,249
Fishing Mortality							
Α	1,20	2.19	0.69	0.58	0.27	0.55	0.2
13 E	0.76	0.62	5.06	0.38	0.24	0.96	0.2
5	1 30	4.92	4.14	0.01	0.21	1.56	0.2
7	0 51	5.10	0.01	0.01	0.02	7.36	0.2
/	1 27	6.50	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.2
0	0.00	6.92	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.2
9	0.00	4 63	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.2
11	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.2
<b>A</b> 1	1 08	2.27	0.99	0.54	0.26	0.70	0.2

				3	lear			
A	 ge	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
	numbe	r recruited	at age 3					
Population		a lectuited	at age 5					
	3	1,287,044	1,281,924	4,972,307	660,965	13,491,943	2,754,472	9,560
	4	548,204	812,902	789,521	3,169,211	203,788	8,340,949	1,650,720
	5	280,486	191,721	264,748	260,174	881,191	30,734	2,629,152
	6	1,731,146	61,643	58,525	85,994	7,552	268,915	8
	7	300,644	585,589	13,669	20,434	701	23	84,697
	8	397	19,422	204,919	2,791	4,956	23	8
	9	514,705	35	3,608	69,456	976	23	8
	10	307	180,060	12	12	5,514	23	8
	11	4	4	63,009	4	4	4	8
	12	1	1	1	22,049	1	1	1
:	3+	4,662,938	3,133,301	6,370,319	4,291,090	14,596,626	11,395,167	4,374,170
Fishing M	ortali	ty						
	2	0.03	0.05	0 01	0.74	0.04	0.07	0.14
	3	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.23	0.84	0.11	0.14
	4	0.00	0.07	0.00	2 49	0.14	7.25	0.14
	5	0.47	0.14	0.07	3 76	4.75	0.11	0.14
	6	0.03	0.40	0.00	0.37	2 37	0.05	0.14
	/	1.69	0.00	0.34	0.00	4 33	0.05	0.14
	8	1.37	0.03	4.65	1 48	2 70	0.05	0.14
	9	0.00	0.03	4.05	0.09	6 22	0.05	0.14
	10	3.33	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.22	0.30	0.14
	11	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.14
	2.	0.16	0.06	0.02	0.54	0.06	0.12	0.14
Populatio	n numb	er recruited	at age 4					
. · p			-					
	4	2,393,975	2,623,678	6,109,561	13,506,622	3,683,380	27,878,391	8,663,413
	5	908,434	1,277,445	1,054,784	3,730,902	6,805,317	2,231,633	15,903,378
	6	1,516,210	223,433	334,800	328,625	1,028,621	2,143,135	129,522
	7	758,526	490,931	44,395	87,408	15,316	307,683	5/6,41/
	8	99,493	144,076	164,503	12,084	10,303	26	47,082
	9	127,271	25,854	10,145	48,575	76	26	9
	10	35,350	23,808	3,847	12	12	26	9
	11	4	12,370	4 4.236	4	4	4	1
	12	E 830 364	4 821 506	7 726 275	17 714 233	11.543.030	32,560,925	25.919.840
	4+	5,839,204	4,021,550	1,120,215	1,,,14,255	11,010,000		
Fishing M	fortali	ty						
	4	0.19	0.47	0.05	0.25	0.06	0.12	0.12
	5	0.35	0.29	0.12	0.24	0.11	0.07	0.12
	6	0.08	0.57	0.29	2.02	0.16	0.26	0.12
	7	0.61	0.04	0.25	1.09	5.32	0.81	0.12
	8	0.30	1.60	0.17	4.02	4.92	0.01	0.12
	9	0.63	0.86	5.69	7.25	0.01	0.04	0.12
	10	0.00	7.68	5.86	0.09	0.09	0.04	0.12
	11	0.30	0.02	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.12
	12	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.12
	4+	0.25	0.45	0.09	0.30	0.11	0.13	0.12

Table 13b. Prefishery population numbers of blueback herring and values of F by recruitment age, estimated from Type 1 cohort analysis.

-

.

Table 13b (Cont'd).

			Y	ear			
Age	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
Population num	ber recruited a	at age 5					
5	462,618	493,698	656,165	358,968	52,077	164,165	789,989
6	711,800	182,756	137,435	395,440	117,306	13,998	71,670
7	243,992	233,611	24,112	46,549	121,999	32,364	3
8	19,819	40,050	69,395	12	12	42,692	3
9	37,691	115	2,044	22,052	4	4	3
10	610	6,369	1	1	1	1	1
5+	1,476,530	956,599	889,152	823,022	291,399	253,224	861,669
Fishing Mortal	ity						
5	0.49	0.84	0.07	0.68	0.87	0.39	0.46
6	0.06	0.98	0.03	0.13	0.24	7.50	0.46
7	0.76	0.16	6.55	7.21	0.00	8.34	0.46
8	4.10	1.93	0.10	0.09	0.09	8.61	0.46
9	0.73	3.69	6.57	8.95	0.30	0.30	0.46
10	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.46
5+	0.38	0.75	0.25	1.00	0.25	3.18	0.46

Alewife				Year			
Age 	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
Recruited at	age 3						
3	0.	20 1.7	0 0.62	1.03	0.29	-0.27	
4	1.	32 0.7	4 -0.53	0.55	-0.85	-0.17	
5	3.	57 0.4	7 8.27	8.51	-0.72	0.67	
6	6.	19 .	6.33	•	-1.05	8.23	
7	-2.	24 .		•	•		
8	-7.	04 0.9	8.		•	•	
9		. 4.9	4 4.66	•	•	•	
10			•	•		•	
11		• •	•		•		
Recruited at	age 4						
4	1.	09 2.8	2 1.01	1.71	-0,29	0.34	
5	-0.	52 0.2	0 7.94	0.54	-0.67	1.57	
6	0.	30 8.4	7 7.71		0.34	8.28	
7	-1.	11 7.8	2.			6.04	
8	0.	25 7.8	5.				
9		. 7.0	5.				
10	-8.	60 .		·	•		
Blueback				Year			
Age	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
Recruited at	age 3						
3	-1.3	30 -0.7	7 –1.21	0.74	0.50	-0.51	
4	-3.0	51 -1.5	7 -1.04	0.83	0.55	-1.31	
5	-1.	59 -1.2	4 0.01	1.75	0.30	7.89	
6	9.1	57 0.1	9 0.25	1 40	7 50	0.00	
7	5.0			1.40	7.58	0.99	
	2.4	44 -10.6	9 -0.41	0.74	6.19	0.99	
8	2.4	44 -10.6 04 6.1	9 -0.41 9 -0.94	0.74	6.19 5.17	0.99	
8 9	2.4 6.0 5.1	44 -10.6 04 6.1 50 .	9 -0.41 9 -0.94	0.74 -0.75 0.50	6.19 5.17 6.69	0.99	
8 9 10	2.4 6.0 5.1	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 -0.41 9 -0.94	0.74 -0.75 0.50	6.19 5.17 6.69 6.92	0.99	
8 9 10 Recruited at	2.4 6.0 5.1 6.0	44 -10.6 04 6.1 50 . 04 .	9 -0.41 9 -0.94	0.74 -0.75 0.50	6.19 5.17 6.69 6.92	0.99 - - - - -	
8 9 10 Recruited at 4	2.4 6.0 5.1 6.0 age 4 -0.1	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 -0.41 9 -0.94	0.74 -0.75 0.50	7.58 6.19 5.17 6.69 6.92	-0.60	
8 9 10 Recruited at 4 5	2.4 6.0 5.9 6.0 age 4 -0.1 0.0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 9 & -0.41 \\ 9 & -0.94 \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ 5 & 0.40 \\ 5 & -0.29 \end{array}$	0.74 -0.75 0.50	1.19 0.28	-0.60 -2.16	
8 9 10 Recruited at 4 5 6	2. 6.( 5.! 6.( age 4 -0.1 0.( 1.8	14 -10.6 04 6.1 50 . 04 . 15 1.0 03 0.2 15 0.3	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.16 0.74 -0.75 0.50 1.16 0.90 1.41	1.36 6.19 5.17 6.69 6.92 1.19 0.28	-0.60 -2.16 -0.61	
8 9 10 Recruited at 4 5 6 7	age 4 -0.1 0.0 1.8 -0.6	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.16 0.74 -0.75 0.50 1.16 0.90 1.41 1.65	1.19 0.28 -0.04 7.77	-0.60 -2.16 -0.61 8.41	
8 9 10 Recruited at 4 5 6 7 8	age 4 -0.1 0.0 1.8 -0.6	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.16 0.74 -0.75 0.50 1.16 0.90 1.41 1.65 7.38	1.19 0.28 -0.04 7.77	-0.60 -2.16 -0.61 8.41	
8 9 10 Recruited at 4 5 6 7 8 9	age 4 -0.1 0.0 1.8 -0.6 -0.5	14         -10.6           04         6.1           50         .           15         1.0           03         0.2           15         0.3           15         -1.9           15         -1.9           15         -1.9           15         -1.9           15         -1.9           15         -7.9           16         7.5	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.16 0.74 -0.75 0.50 1.16 0.90 1.41 1.65 7.38 6.19	1.19 0.28 -0.04 7.77	-0.60 -2.16 -0.61 8.41	
8 9 10 Recruited at 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	age 4 -0.1 0.0 1.8 -0.6 -0.5 -0.2 -8.2	14     -10.6       04     6.1       50     .       15     1.0       03     0.2       15     0.3       15     -1.9       15     7.5       15     7.5       15     7.5       15     7.5	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.16 0.74 -0.75 0.50 1.16 0.90 1.41 1.65 7.38 6.19	1.19 0.28 -0.04 7.77	-0.60 -2.16 -0.61 8.41 6.19	
Recruited at 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	age 4 -0.1 0.0 1.8 -0.6 -0.5 -0.2 -8.2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.16 0.74 -0.75 0.50 1.16 0.90 1.41 1.65 7.38 6.19	1.19 0.28 -0.04 7.77	-0.60 -2.16 -0.61 8.41	
Recruited at 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Recruited at	age 4 -0.1 0.0 1.8 -0.6 -0.5 -0.2 -8.2 age 5	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.16 0.74 -0.75 0.50 1.16 0.90 1.41 1.65 7.38 6.19	1.19 0.28 -0.04 7.77	-0.60 -2.16 -0.61 8.41	
Recruited at 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Recruited at 5 6	age 4 -0.1 0.0 1.8 -0.6 -0.5 -0.2 -8.2 age 5	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.16 0.74 -0.75 0.50 1.16 0.90 1.41 1.65 7.38 6.19	-0.08	-0.60 -2.16 -0.61 8.41	
Recruited at 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Recruited at 5 7	age 4 -0.1 0.0 1.8 -0.6 -0.5 -0.2 -8.2 age 5 0.5 0.5	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.16 0.74 -0.75 0.50 1.16 0.90 1.41 1.65 7.38 6.19 2.11 8.53	-0.08 -0.83	-0.60 -2.16 -0.61 8.41 6.19	
Recruited at 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Recruited at 5 6 7	age 4 -0.1 0.0 1.8 -0.6 -0.5 -	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.16 0.74 -0.75 0.50 1.16 0.90 1.41 1.65 7.38 6.19 2.11 8.53 7.56	-0.08 -0.83 -9.51	-0.60 -2.16 -0.61 8.41 6.19	
Recruited at 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Recruited at 5 6 7 8	age 4 -0.1 0.0 1.8 -0.6 -0.5 -0.7 -8.7 age 5 0.4 -0.3 -0.8	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.16 0.74 -0.75 0.50 1.16 0.90 1.41 1.65 7.38 6.19 2.11 8.53 7.56 6.99	-0.08 -0.08 -0.08 -0.08 -0.08 -0.08	-0.60 -2.16 -0.61 8.41	
8 9 10 Recruited at 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Recruited at 5 6 7 8 9 10	age 4 -0.1 0.0 -0.2 -0.2 -0.2 -0.2 -0.2 -0.2 -0.2 -0.2 -0.2 -0.3 -0.4 -0.3 -0.8 -0.7	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.16 0.74 -0.75 0.50 1.16 0.90 1.41 1.65 7.38 6.19 2.11 8.53 7.56 6.99 7.60	-0.08 -0.08 -0.08 -0.08 -0.08	-0.60 -2.16 -0.61 8.41	

Table 14. Between year F as estimated from Paloheimo Z of Millbank catch per unit effort of alewife and blueback, 1982 to 1988. Negative F values indicate that Z was less than Mc allocated to that age group.

-

	-	-		
	Recruit	Proportio	on of Catch	
Age	Age	Millbank	Commercial	
Blueback				
3	3	0	0.003	
4	3	0.078	0.135	
_	4	0.152	0.114	
5	> 3	0.137	0.184	
	5	0.528	0.516	
6	3	0.035	Ő	
-	4	0.033	0.039	
	5	0.004	0	
7	3	0.004	0.001	
	4	0.022	0.005	
8	3	0	0.003	
0	4	0.002	Ő	
Nlovifa				
Alewile	3	0 257	0 262	
4	3	0.178	0.282	
-	4	0.222	0.247	
5	2	0.003	0	
5	3	0.161	0.053	
	4	0.117	0.092	
6	3	0.03/	0.055	
0	4	0.008	0.002	
	5	0.002	0	
Overall	Proportion	IS		
Alewife		0.584	0.472	P<0.001
BIUEDACK		0.410	0.528	
Alewife				
Virgin		0.516	0.564	P<0.001
Repeat		0.484	0.436	
Plucher				
Virgin		0 191	0 117	D ( 0 0 1
Repeat		0.809	0.883	F(0.001
			0.000	

Table 15. Comparison of estimated catch at age of blueback and alewife from Millbank trapnet and commercial trapnet for corresponding time period.

		Ú	Fisheries and Oce	s Pêches eans et Océan:	, Ù	)		Ú
Gaspereau Catch a	nd Effor	Record	Registre	e des pris	ses et de	e l'effort d	e pêche-	gaspareau
1       0m       21       eek of imaine du       1       1       1       20		27	20 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	BER OF NETS	et tet Net aillant a 33	Statistical District District statistique River System(s) Reseau fluvial Fishing Location(s) Lieu(x) de pêche	35	38
SPECIES CAUGHT			DAILY CA	TCH/PRISES QU		CATURDAY	SUNDAY	DEPARTMENT USE RÉSERVÉ AU
ESPECES DE POISSON PECHEES	LUNDI	MARDI	MERCREDI	JEUDI	VENDREDI	SAMEDI	DIMANCHE	MINISTERE
Saspereau-Kilograms (if pounds specify) Saspareau-Kilogrammes (si en livres, précisez)	43	48	53	58	63	68	73	
itriped Bass — Number					r-			A State of the second
had — Number					1			<b>64</b>
Other — Specify 88								<b>60</b>
Number of Hours Net(s) Fished	96	98	100	102	104	106	108	C. M. Service
tombre diffedred considerees dia peena	CAT				DES PRISES-P	OIDS EN KILOGR	AMMES	
Sold for or Utilized as Bait		1	1	T	T		T	
Sold to Fish Plant								
Sold to Buyer								123
Self Consumed or Sold Locally Consommation personnelle ou vendues sur place								128
COMMENTS: Note weather and gear damage preventing fishing, etc. OBSERVATIONS Indiquez les jours ou vous ne pouvez pêcher à cause du mauvais temps ou d'engins endommages, etc								

----

Figure 1. Sample logbook form used for recording gaspereau catch and effort data.

-34-



Figure 2. Miramichi River and estuary showing location of Millbank index trap relative to commercial gaspereau trapnets in districts 71 and 72.

-35-

A # 7





Figure 3. Cumulative counts of alewife, by spawning group, at the Millbank index trap, 1982 to 1988. Legend: FSP = first time spawners, PR-1 = one previous spawning, PR-2 = 2 or more previous spawnings.

.



Figure 4. Cumulative counts of blueback herring, by spawning group, at the Millbank index trap, 1982 to 1988. Legend: FSP = first time spawners, PR-1 = one previous spawning, PR-2 = 2 or more previous spawning.

-37-



Figure 5. Estimated proportion of alewife and blueback in the commercial fishery and at Millbank trapnet, 1982 to 1988.



-39-

Figure 6. Length frequency of gaspereau sampled from the Millbank trapnet and commercial trapnet, 1988.