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#### Abstract

A telephone survey was conducted to collect information from herring gillnetters about their 1988 fishery in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence (NAFO Division 4T). The survey gathered data on the fishing effort for abundance estimates, on mesh size distribution for determination of partial recruitment, and on disposition of the catch for validation of purchase slip information. In addition, the gillnetters were asked their opinion on the abundance of herring in 1988. The average number of nets fished per trip (used in the historical effort index) dropped slightly in the spring from 1987, but did not change in the fall. The pattern of mesh size distribution has not changed since 1984. In the spring fishery, most areas sold more of their catch to processors than in 1987. The fall catch is generally all sold to processors. Gillnetters in both the spring and the fall felt that herring abundance was about the same as it was in 1987. The fall abundance was seen to be about average while the spring abundance was seen to be a little lower than average.


## RÉSUMÉ

On a effectué un sondage téléphonique auprès des pêcheurs de hareng au filet maillant afin de recueillir des données sur la pêche de 1988 dans le sud du golfe du Saint-Laurent (Division 4T de l'OPANO). On a ainsi obtenu des donnés sur l'effort de pêche, permettant d'établir des estimations d'abondance, des données sur la répartition des maillages, servant à déterminer le recrutement partiel et des données sur le sort des prises, qui permettent de confirmer les renseignements contenus sur les bordereaux d'achat. De plus, on a sollicité l'opinion de ces pêcheurs au sujet de l'abondance du hareng en 1988. Le nombre moyen de.filets utilisés par voyage (chiffre servant a établir l'indice d'effort temporel) a légèrement diminué au printemps par rapport à l'année précédente, mais est resté le même à l'automne. La répartition des maillages n'a pas changé depuis 1984. Dans la plupart des secteurs, les participants à la pêche de printemps ont vendu plus de prises aux transformateurs qu'ils ne l'avaient fait en 1987. Les prises d'automne sont en général entièrement vendues aux transformateurs. Les participants à la pêche du hareng au filet maillant ont estimé que l'abondance était à peu près la même qu'en 1987, que ce soit au printemps ou à l'automne, celle du hareng d'automne étant à peu près égale à la moyenne et celle du hareng de printemps légèrement inférieure à la moyenne.

## INTRODUCTION

Since 1985, herring gillnetters in the Gulf of St.Lawrence have been interviewed annually to obtain information about the distribution and intensity of fishing effort, the sizes and distribution of meshes fished, and the disposition of the catch.

## METHODS AND ANALYSIS

## Sample Selection

The southern Gulf of St. Lawrence coastline was divided into eight areas of major herring gillnet fishing activity (Table 1, Figure 1). For the Maritime Provinces, lists of licenced gillnetters were compared to purchase slip records to obtain a list of active gillnetters for 1988. A systematic random sample was drawn from this list to obtain a sample with numbers in each area proportional to the number of active gillnetters. As in previous years, purchase slips were not available for Quebec and the Magdalen Islands, so random samples were chosen from the lists of licenced gillnetters. Table 2 summarizes gillnet statistics for 1988.

The interviews were conducted by telephone in the official language of the gillnetters' choice, during January and February 1989. Each respondent was given up to three telephone calls to be contacted.

The Questionnaire
The interview was divided into five sections (detailed in appendix A):

1. The first set of questions 'located' the respondents in the fishery. The status of the respondents was verified - that they were active herring gillnetters in 1988; the number of nets owned was asked; and the seasons fished were recorded.
2. The second set of questions dealt with fishing effort. For each season fished respondents were asked:

- their fishing location
- the total number of days fished and the number of days fished in the peak of the season
- the number of nets used during the peak as well as during the non-peak of the season
- the length of time the nets rested in the water before being hauled (soak time) during the peak as well as during the non-peak
- the number of times a day the nets were hauled.

Two indices of effort for each area-season combination were calculated and compared to those from previous surveys:
i. The average number of net-hauls per gillnetter (NHF).
ii. The average number of net-hauls per trip (NHT).
i) $N H F_{i}=\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j}\left(d p_{j} * n p_{j}+d n p_{j} * n n p_{j}\right) * h_{j}$
where $n_{i}=$ number of responses in area-season $i$ $\mathrm{d} \mathrm{p}_{j}=$ number of days in the peak for resp. $j$ in area-season $i$ $n p_{j}=$ number of nets in the peak for resp. $j$ in area-season $i$ dnp ${ }_{j}=$ number of days in the non-peak for resp. $j$ in area-season $i$ nnp ${ }_{j}=$ number of nets in the non-peak for resp. $j$ in area-season $i$ $h_{j}=$ number of hauls/day for resp. $j$ in area-season $i$
ii) $\mathrm{NHT}_{i}=\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j} \frac{\left(d p_{j} * n p_{j}+d n p_{j} * n n p_{j}\right) * h_{j}}{\left(d p_{j}+d n p_{j}\right)}$

Overall indices for 4 T were calculated by weighting the area averages by the landed catch (Table 2).
3. For each season fished, the types of gillnets fished, average length of a net, and mesh sizes and numbers of nets for each mesh size fished were determined.
4. For each season fished, the catch and percent of the catch that was kept for bait, dumped, and sold to processors were recorded.
5. The respondents were asked the number of years they had fished with gillnets in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. In addition, they were asked two questions about how they felt about the abundance of herring. The first question asked gillnetters to rate the abundance of herring in 1988 on a scale of 1 to 10, assuming that 5 is average abundance. The second question asked respondents to compare herring abundance in 1988 versus 1987. On a scale of 1 to 10, compared with 1987, the responses mean:
$0=$ abundance was much less in 1988 than 1987
$2 \frac{1}{2}=$ abundance was somewhat less in 1988 than in 1987
5 = abundance was the same in 1988 as in 1987
$7 \frac{1}{2}=$ abundance was somewhat more in 1988 than in 1987
$10=$ abundance was much more in 1988 than in 1987

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In total, 349 herring gillnetters were interviewed. The area-byarea breakdown of the responses (Tables 3 and 4) shows that all areas and both seasons were well covered. In general, the area of fishing is the same as the area of home port. However, there was some mixing in the fall fisheries between gillnetters from the Acadian Peninsula and Quebec, east P.E.I. and Nova Scotia, and south east N.B. and west P.E.I.

## Effort Parameters

The responses to the questions concerning the intensity of effort show large differences among areas and seasons (Tables 5 and 6). Comparisons of the fishing effort for 1984-1988 are shown in Figures 2 and 3.

## Spring

The number of days fished during the peak season in the spring fishery increased noticeably from 1987 in Quebec, the Acadian Peninsula, Escuminac, and west P.E.I., but decreased in southeast N.B. During the non-peak there were large increases in Quebec and southeast N.B. and moderate increases in the Magdalen Islands, Nova Scotia, and west P.E.I.

From 1987, there was an increase for Quebec and decrease for P.E.I. in the number of nets fished in the peak of the spring season. During the non-peak, the only large changes were increases for the Acadian Peninsula and Escuminac. Escuminac and southeast New Brunswick continued to use the greatest number of nets per respondent in the spring.

## Fall

During the peak fall fishery, the number of days fishing increased from 1987 in Quebec and west P.E.I. but decreased in the Acadian Peninsula and east P.E.I. Gillnetters from Quebec and southeast N.B. spent more time fishing in the non-peak than they did in 1987.

For most areas, the number of nets fished in the fall season (both during the peak and during the non-peak) did not greatly change from 1987. Quebec and west P.E.I. gillnetters used. a few more while Escuminac gillnetters used somewhat fewer.

Net soak and net haul parameters
Information relating to the number of hours that the nets are left in the water (soak time) and the number of times each day that the nets are emptied (hauled) are shown in Figure 4 and summarized in Table 7.

In the 1988 spring fishery the net soak time, with few exceptions, was very close to 24 hours (both peak and non-peak). But in the fall, except for the 2 responses each from the Magdalen Islands and southeast N.B., the net soak time was less than 4 hours during the peak and less than 16 hours during the non-peak. For the spring, changes from 1987 brought the soak time closer to 24 hours except for Quebec, Nova Scotia and east P.E.I. in the peak, and the Magdalen Islands in the non-peak. For the fall, the soak time was generally longer in 1988 than in 1987.

The average number of hauls of the nets per day per respondent is more variable in the fall fishery than in the spring fishery. The number of hauls/day in the spring increased from 1987 for Nova Scotia and east P.E.I. gillnetters, but decreased for west P.E.I. gillnetters. In the fall, there was a large increase from 1987 in the number of hauls/day in Escuminac and west P.E.I.

## Effort Indices

The effort indices for 1984 to 1988 calculated from survey results are shown in Figures 5 and 6. In the spring fishery, the two indices are consistent from 1987 to 1988 for every fishing area except southeast N.B. However, the overall gulf nethauls/gillnetter increased but net-hauls/day decreased from 1987. Comparisons between the 1984 and 1988 levels show consistency in the indices, with the overall gulf index 1988 level approximately the same as the 1984 level. In the fall, east P.E.I. is the only area showing an inconsistency between the two indices from 1987 to 1988. But, as in the spring, the overall gulf indices show inconsistent trends. The comparison with 1984 levels is not as clear as for the spring.

The effort index used in the assessment of 4 T herring stocks is the average number of nets fished per trip, assuming one haul of the nets per trip and one trip per day. The spring Gulf index is determined by weighting the Acadian Peninsula and a combination of the Escuminac, southeast New Brunswick, and partial west P.E.I. averages by the landings in those areas (0'Boyle and Cleary 1981, Cleary 1983, and Chadwick and Cairns 1988). The fall index is set equal to the Acadian Peninsula value. The historic effort index shown in Figure 7 shows a slight decrease for the spring from 1987, but no change for the fall.

## Abundance Indices

The responses to the questions about relative abundance of herring in 1988 are shown in Figures 8 and 9. All areas rated the 1988 spring fishery about the same as or slightly worse than 1987 (ratings between 4.1 and 5.6), except for the Magdalen Islands which felt that the fishery was worse in 1988 than 1987 (a rating of 2.7 ). On a scale of 1 to 10 , the spring values ranged from 3.0 to 5.8 and were less than the 1987 values. In the fall, all areas rated 1988 about the same as 1987 except west P.E.I., which rated 1988 somewhat better (6.9). On a scale of 1 to 10, the fall values were about average (4.5 to 6.2) and less than the 1987 values, except for west P.E.I. (7.0).

Gillnet Mesh Size Distribution
Figures 10 and 11 illustrate the changes in gillnet mesh sizes used from 1985 to 1988. Most nets used in the spring were between 2.25 and 2.5 inch mesh, but there was a fairly wide distribution of net sizes used. In the fall, fewer mesh sizes were used, and they were generally larger than in the spring, with most of the
nets being between 2.5 and 2.75 inch mesh.There has not been a great deal of change over time - particularly in the areas with the largest catches (the Acadian Peninsula, Escuminac, and southeast N.B. in the spring, and the Acadian Peninsula, Nova Scotia, and east P.E.I. in the fall).

The average length of net fished varied from area to area and between seasons within area (Table 8). The length of nets used in the spring tended to be shorter than those used in the fall, except for Quebec gillnetters (and those from the Magdalen Islands and southeast N.B.).

Almost all of the gillnets fished in the spring were set nets (both ends anchored to the ground) but a large percentage of those used in the fall, except in the Magdalen Islands, Nova Scotia, and east P.E.I. were modified nets (one end attached to the boat) (Table 9).

## Use Of The Catch

Questions about the percent of the catch kept for personal use, sold to processors, or dumped, revealed some differences from 1987. In the spring, higher proportions of the catch in all areas except Quebec and Nova Scotia were sold to processors. There was almost no dumping in 1988. In the fall, the catch continued to be primarily sold to processors. Figure 12 shows the trends in percent sold to processors from 1986 to 1988. The 1985 percentages were not included because they cannot be calculated in a comparable manner. Data from 1986 and 1987.were recalculated to correct for an inconsistency and do not appear exactly as they did in previous reports. In general, in the spring, the trend is increasing for each area. In the fall, most of the catch has, since 1986, been sold to processors.

## Concluding Remarks

The questionnaire elicits information about peak and non-peak gillnet fishing activity on an area-by-area basis, allowing detailed calculations of fishing effort based on the number of nets or net-hauls. Indices of effort based on these calculations are, however, not comparable with the historical index based on the average number of nets per trip with its assumptions of one trip/day and one net-haul/trip and exclusion of some areas from the index. Calculation of effort does not currently take into consideration such factors as restrictions on fishing activity imposed by processors, area quotas, or differences in the fisheries (fishing on spawning grounds or migrating stocks).
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Table 1. Statistical Districts making up the geographic divisions for the 8 herring fishing areas of the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence.

| Area | Statistical Districts |
| :--- | :--- |
| Magdalen Islands | $26,27,28$ |
| Quebec | $3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15$ |
| Acadian Pen. | $63,64,65,66,67,68,70$ |
| Escuminac | $71,73,75,76$ |
| Southeast N.B. | $77,78,80$ |
| Nova Scotia | $45,46,1,2,3,10,11,12,13,14$ |
| East P.E.I. | $85,86,87,88$ |
| West P.E.I. | $82,83,92,93,95,96$ |

Table 2. Herring gillnet statistics for the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence in 1988.

| Area | Landings(Tonnes) |  | Number of <br> Licences | Number of <br> Boats <br> (CFVN's) |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring | Fall |  | - |  |
| Magdalen Is. | 116 | 1 | 310 | - |  |
| Quebec | 794 | 2628 | 543 | 345 |  |
| Acadian Pen. | 5471 | 19664 | 624 | 170 |  |
| Escuminac | 3542 | 1263 | 326 | 124 |  |
| Southeast N.B. | 1322 | 8 | 264 | 246 |  |
| Nova Scotia | 186 | 8454 | 432 | 151 |  |
| East P.E.I. | 104 | 6499 | 379 | 207 |  |
| West P.E.I. | 515 | 2541 | 478 | 1243 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Response to the questionnaire by home area of gillnetter.

| Area | Number <br> Sampled | Number of Reports | Number of Phone, Address Problems | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { not } \\ & \text { Contacted } \end{aligned}$ | Number <br> Unavailable <br> or Uncooperative | Number not Fishing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magdalen Is | . 31 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Quebec | 54 | 34 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 12 |
| Acadian Pen | . 94 | 82 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| Escuminac | 50 | 45 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Southeast N | N.B. 32 | 29 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Nova Scotia | 72 | 55 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 1 |
| East P.E.I. | 40 | 36 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| West P.E.I. | 51 | 40 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| Total | 424 | 349 | 13 | 37 | 4 | 21 |

Table 4. Number of respondents fishing in each area in 1988.

| Area | Fishing <br> in the Spring | Fishing <br> in the Fall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Magdalen Is. | 28 | 2 |
| Quebec | 31 | 22 |
| Acadian Pen. | 66 | 62 |
| Escuminac | 45 | 10 |
| Southeast N.B. | 28 | 2 |
| Nova Scotia | 18 | 53 |
| East P.E.I. | 11 | 35 |
| West P.E.I. | 35 | 20 |
|  |  | 206 |
| Total | 262 |  |

Table 5. Effort parameters for the 1988 spring gillnet fishery (Mean $\pm 1$ standard deviation of the mean)

| Area | No. of Days Fished |  |  | No. of Nets Fished |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | total | peak | non-peak | peak | non-peak |
| Magdalen Is. | $21.9 \pm 2.9$ | $1.8 \pm 1.0$ | $20.1 \pm 3.2$ | $5.3 \pm 0.3$ | $6.1 \pm 0.7$ |
| Quebec | $52.5 \pm 4.6$ | $17.6 \pm 5.1$ | $34.9 \pm 6.1$ | $11.5 \pm 2.3$ | $5.9 \pm 1.0$ |
| Acadian Pen. | $26.5 \pm 1.8$ | $21.5 \pm 2.1$ | $4.7 \pm 1.2$ | $8.9 \pm 1.0$ | $13.6 \pm 2.4$ |
| Escuminac | $23.3 \pm 1.8$ | $20.5 \pm 2.2$ | $2.8 \pm 1.0$ | $19.5 \pm 1.9$ | $27.1 \pm 2.5$ |
| Southeast N.B. | $23.3 \pm 2.6$ | $6.6 \pm 2.0$ | $16.7 \pm 3.3$ | $29.2 \pm 2.0$ | $29.8 \pm 2.0$ |
| Nova Scotia | $29.4 \pm 1.4$ | $11.4 \pm 4.9$ | $17.9 \pm 4.7$ | $3.0 \pm 0.8$ | $3.7 \pm 0.7$ |
| East P.E.I. | $15.0 \pm 4.7$ | $6.0 \pm 2.1$ | $9.0 \pm 5.3$ | $3.3 \pm 0.8$ | $4.2 \pm 1.5$ |
| West P.E.I. | $28.7 \pm 2.8$ | $15.7 \pm 3.7$ | $13.0 \pm 2.5$ | $15.0 \pm 3.0$ | $19.2 \pm 2.8$ |

Table 6. Effort parameters for the 1988 fall gillnet fishery (Mean $\pm 1$ standard deviation of the mean)

| Area | No. of Days Fished |  |  | No. of Nets Fished |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | total | peak | non-peak | peak | non-peak |
| Magdalen Is | $4.0 \pm 3$. 0 | $1.0 \pm 1.0$ | $3.0 \pm 3.0$ | $2.0 \pm 0.0$ | $6.0 \pm 5.0$ |
| Quebec | $33.0 \pm 8.7$ | $10.1 \pm 5.4$ | $22.9 \pm 8.2$ | $5.0 \pm 0.7$ | $6.5 \pm 1.7$ |
| Acadian Pen. | $15.6 \pm 1.3$ | $10.1 \pm 1.2$ | $5.5 \pm 1.3$ | $4.9 \pm 0.2$ | $5.7 \pm 0.7$ |
| Escuminac | $11.6 \pm 2.3$ | $9.7 \pm 2.7$ | $1.9 \pm 1.4$ | $7.9 \pm 1.4$ | $5.5 \pm 3.5$ |
| Southeast N.B. | $18.8 \pm 11.3$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ | $18.8 \pm 11.3$ | - | $7.0 \pm 5.0$ |
| Nova Scotia | $14.1 \pm 1.1$ | $10.9 \pm 1.1$ | $3.2 \pm 1.0$ | $6.3 \pm 0.3$ | $6.8 \pm 0.8$ |
| East P.E.I. | $9.9 \pm 0.8$ | $9.0 \pm 0.9$ | $0.9 \pm 0.5$ | $7.3 \pm 0.2$ | $7.5 \pm 0.9$ |
| West P.E.I. | $20.8 \pm 4.7$ | $20.8 \pm 4.7$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ | $8.2 \pm 0.9$ | - |

Table 7. Soak time (hours) in the 1988 gillnet fishery (Mean $\pm 1$ standard deviation of the mean)

| Area | Spring |  | Fall |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | peak | non-peak | peak | non-peak |
| Magdalen Is. | $24.0 \pm 0.0$ | $23.4 \pm 0.6$ | $12.0 \pm 0.0$ | $12.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Quebec | $22.4 \pm 1.6$ | $24.0 \pm 0.0$ | $4.2 \pm 2.0$ | $15.8 \pm 3.0$ |
| Acadian Pen. | $23.5 \pm 0.4$ | $24.0 \pm 0.0$ | $4.2 \pm 1.3$ | $10.2 \pm 2.8$ |
| Escuminac | $24.0 \pm 0.0$ | $24.0 \pm 0.0$ | $1.4 \pm 0.5$ | $10.3 \pm 4.8$ |
| Southeast N.B. | $24.0 \pm 0.0$ | $24.0 \pm 0.0$ | - | $24.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Nova Scotia | $18.0 \pm 4.0$ | $24.0 \pm 0.0$ | $2.5 \pm 0.7$ | $5.5 \pm 2.7$ |
| East P.E.I. | $22.1 \pm 1.9$ | $24.0 \pm 0.0$ | $1.6 \pm 0.2$ | $1.4 \pm 0.4$ |
| West P.E.I. | $24.0 \pm 0.0$ | $24.0 \pm 0.0$ | $2.8 \pm 1.2$ | - |

Table 8. Length of gillnets used in the 1988 herring fishery (Fathoms).

| Area | Spring | Fall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Magdalen Is. | 16.9 | 15.8 |
| Quebec | 23.8 | 20.5 |
| Acadian Pen. | 16.1 | 17.7 |
| Escuminac | 16.2 | 20.8 |
| Southeast N.B. | 15.4 | 12.8 |
| Nova Scotia | 18.6 | 22.7 |
| East P.E.I. | 19.4 | 19.9 |
| West P.E.I. | 16.3 | 20.3 |

Table 9. Percent distribution of gillnet types used in the 1988 herring fishery.

| Area | Spring |  |  | Fall |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Set | Modified |  | Set | Modified |
| Magdalen Is. | 95 | 5 | 87 | 13 |  |
| Quebec | 75 | 25 | 56 | 44 |  |
| Acadian Pen. | 100 | 0 | 27 | 73 |  |
| Escuminac | 100 | 0 | 8 | 92 |  |
| Southeast N.B. | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  |
| Nova Scotia | 100 | 0 | 97 | 3 |  |
| East P.E.I. | 80 | 20 | 97 | 3 |  |
| West P.E.I. | 100 | 0 | 68 | 32 |  |



Figure 1. Geographic division of the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence used in the 1988 herring gillnet survey
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Figure 2. Effort parameters for the 4T spring fishery


Figure 3. Effort parameters for the 4T fall fishery
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Figure 4. Net soak parameters for the 4T fishery
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Figure 5. Effort expended in the spring fishery
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Figure 6. Effort expended in the fall fishery


Figure 7. Historical effort index - number of nets fished per trip
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Figure 8. Spring indices of abundance
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Figure 9. Fall indices of abundance


Figure 10. Change in gillnet meshsizes used in the spring fishery


Figure 11. Change in gillnet meshsizes used in the fall fishery


Figure 12. Percent of $4 T$ catch sold to processors

## HERRING GILLNET CIESTIONNAIRE 1988

 hauled them?
15. What is the average length of a single gillnet that you used? $\qquad$ fathons
fathoms
16. What are the numbers and sizes of mesh
nets that you used in the spring? (in)
(A set net is one that is
anchored to the ground
at both ends)
(A modified net is one that
is anchored to the boat
at ane end)
(set/modified)
(set/modified)
17. How many barrels of herring did you catch during the spring season? $\qquad$ barrels $=$ $\qquad$ lbs $\qquad$ lbs
18.Approximately how much of your herring catch -did you keep for personal use or bait? $\qquad$ tbs = $\qquad$ \% $\qquad$ lbs = $\qquad$ \% -did you sell to processors? $\qquad$ lbs = $\qquad$ \% $\qquad$ Ibs = $\qquad$ \% -were you forced to dimp? $\qquad$ lbs $=$ $\qquad$ \% $\qquad$ lbs = $\qquad$ \%
19. The Department of Fisheries and oceans is interested in whether gillnetters think that herring are becoming more or less alundant.
First of all, how long have you been fishing herring in your area in the spring? $\qquad$ yrs.

IF FISHING FOR TWO OR MCRE YEARS:
20. We would like you to compare the abundance of herring in this year's fall fishery with abundance in last year's fall fishery.
Hould you say that herring this year are: more abundant $\qquad$ $\rightarrow$ much more
little more
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
about the same $\qquad$
less aburdant $\qquad$ -> much less $\qquad$ little less ( )

[^0] this year's abundance of herring?

HERRING GILLNET CLESTIONNAIRE 1988

| Interviever____ | Home Stat Dist <br> Date <br> Resp I.D. <br> Record \# |
| :--- | :--- |

$\qquad$ (F)
$\qquad$ (If NO, then end of the questiornaire) (location 1) (location 2)
23. Where did you fish herring in the fall? ( ) ( )
24. How many days did you fish in (each location)?
25. Would you say there was a 'peak' in the season

YES
No
YES $\qquad$
(ie.a time when the catches were really good)?
NO $\qquad$

## IF A 'PEAK' WAS IDENTIFID:

26.About how mery days did you fish diring the peak?
27. How many nets did you fish per day dring the peak? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
28.On average, how many hours did your nets stay in the water dring the peak period before you hauled them?
29. How many nets did you fish per day in the non-peak? $\qquad$
$30.0 n$ average, how many hours did your nets stay in the water dring the nor-peak period before you hauled them?

IF A 'PEAK' WAS NOT IDENTIFID:
31. How mary nets did you fish per day?
32.On average, how many hours did your nets stay in the water dring the nor-peak period before you hauled them?
=-1
34. What is the average length of a single gillnet that you used? $\qquad$ fathoms $\qquad$ fathoms
35. What are the numbers and sizes of mesh
nets that you used in the fall?
(in).
36. How many barrels of herring did you catch dering the fall season? $\qquad$ barrels $=$ $\qquad$ lbs $\qquad$ barrels $=$ $\qquad$ lbs
37.Approximately how much of your herring catch -did you keep for personal use or bait? $\qquad$ lbs = $\qquad$ \% $\qquad$ lbs $=$ $\qquad$ \% -did you sell to processors? $\qquad$ lbs $\qquad$ \% $\qquad$ lbs = $\qquad$ \% -were you forced to dmp? $\qquad$ lbs = $\qquad$ \% $\qquad$ $\mathrm{lbs}=$ $\qquad$ \%
38. The Department of Fisheries and Oceans is interested in whether gillnetters think that herring are becoming more abundant or less abundant. First of all, how long have you been fishing herring in your area in the fall? $\qquad$ yrs.

IF FISHING FOR TWO OR MORE YEARS:
39. He would like you to compare the abundance of herring in this year's fall fishery with abundance in last year's fall fishery.
would you say that herring this year are: more abundant $\qquad$ -> much more $\qquad$ little more ___
about the same $\qquad$ less abundant -> much less $\qquad$ little less ___ (
40.0n a scale of 1 to 10, considering 5 as an average year, how would you rate this year's abundence of herring?

14. Conbien de fois par journee avez-vas releve vos filets?
15. avelle etait la longer moyerne $d^{\prime}$ in filet maillant que vaus utilisiez? ___ brasses $\qquad$ brasses

| 16. Quelle est la grandeur de mailles des filets et le norbre de filets ce chaque grander que vas avez utilisee? | maille <br> (po) | \# filets | type (ancre/modifie) | maille <br> (po) | \# <br> filets | type (ancre/modifie) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Un filet ancre en est un qui est ancre au fond a chaque bout). |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| CUn filet modifie est un qui est attache au bateau a un bout) |  |  |  |  |  | [ |
| 17.Conbien de hareng avez-vous pris? |  |  | barits $=$ |  |  | barils $=$ |

18.Quel pourcentage de wotre prise de hareng -avez-vous garde pour des fins persornels $\qquad$ poids $=$ $\qquad$ \% $\qquad$ poids $=$ $\qquad$ ou de la boette?
-avez-vous vendu aux usines de transformation? $\qquad$ poids $=$ $\qquad$ $\%$
poids $=$ $\qquad$ \%
$\qquad$
poids $=$
-avez-vous du jeter? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
19.Le Ministere de Peches et Oceans veut savoir si les pecheurs a filet maillant considerent que le hareng devient plus abondant ou moins abondant. Tout d'abord, depuis conbien de temps avez-vous peche du hareng dans votre region durant l'autame? $\qquad$ ans.

SI LE REPONDANT A PECHE LE HARENG DEPUIS DEXX ANS OU PLUS:
20.Pourriez-vaus faire une comparaison de l'abondance du hareng dars la peche de ce printerips avec l'abandance du hareng dars la peche du printerps dernier. Est-ce que vaus diriez que l'hareng ce printemps est plus abundant $\qquad$ -> beavoap plus abondant $\qquad$ un peu plus abandant $\qquad$
a peu pres la meme $\qquad$ moins abondant $\qquad$ $\rightarrow$ beaucap moins abondant $\qquad$ un peu moins abondant ___ ()
21. Sur une echelle de 1 a dix, avec 5 camme amee moyerne, sur quel point de l'echelle est-ce que vaus placeriez l'abondance du hareng cette amee? $\qquad$

Interviewer_______

Date $\qquad$

Hore Stat Dist $\qquad$
Resp I.D.
Record \# 2
22.Avez-vas peche le hareng dirant l'autame en 1988? OI $\qquad$
NON (Si NON, c'est la fin de la questiomaire)
$\qquad$
24.Combien de jours avez-vaus peche (chaque endroit)?
2.D'apres vous est-ce-q'il y a eu une periode de oul_
capture forte (ie.une periode lorsque les prises NON _
$\qquad$
NON etaient bornes)?

## SI LNE PERICDE DE CAPTURE FORTE A ETE IDENTIFIE:

26.Combien de jours avez-vaus peche drant la periode de capture forte?
27. Carbien de filets par jour avez-vaus peches durant $\qquad$ la periode de capture forte?
28.Durent la periode de capture forte, pendant conbien d'heares par moyerne est-ce qe vos filets ont reste dars l'eau avant $q^{\prime}$ 'ils soient retires?
29. Combien de filets par jour avez-vous peches durant $\qquad$
le reste de la saison?
30.Durant le reste de la saison, pendant combien $d^{\prime}$ heures par moyerne est-ce que vos filets ant reste dars l'eau avant qu'ils soient retires?

SI LNE PERICDE DE CAPTURE FORTE N'A PAS ETE IDENTIFIE:
31.Canbien de filets par jour avez-vous peches?
32.Par moyerme, pendant cunbien d'heures est-ce que vos filets ont reste dans l'eau avant $q^{\prime}$ ils soient retires?
33.Conbien de fois par journee avez-vous releve vos filets?

34 quelle etait la longer moyerne $d^{\prime}$ un filet maillant que vas utilisiez? ___ brasses

| 35. Quelle est la grandeur de mailles des fitets et le nambre de filets ce chaque grandeur que vals avez utilisee? | maille <br> ( p ) | \# filets | type (ancre/modifie) | maille <br> (po) | \# filets | type (ancre/modifie) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Un filet ancre en est un qii est ancre au fond a chaque bout) |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| (Un filet modifie est un qui est attache au bateau a un bout) |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| 36.Combien de hareng avez-vous pris? |  |  | barils = |  |  | barils $=$ |
| 37. Quel pourcentage de votre prise de -avez-vous garde pour des f a de la boette? | areng <br> spersor | ls | _ poids = | \% |  | _ poids = |
| -avez-vous vend aux usines de transformation? |  |  | _ poids = |  |  | _ poids = |
| -avez-vous du jeter? |  |  | _ poids = | \% |  | _ poids = |

38.Le Ministere de Peches et Ocesns vaut savoir si les pecheurs a filet maillant considerent que le hareng devient plus abondant ou moins abondant. Tout d'abord, depuis combien de temps avez-vals peche du harerg dans votre region drant l'autame? $\qquad$ ans.

SI le repandant a peche le hareng deruis delx ans $\alpha$ dus:
39.Pourriez-vaus faire une comparaison de l'abondance du hareng dans la peche de cet autanne avec l'abondance du hareng dans la peche de l'autarne dernier.
Est-ce que vaus diriez que l'hareng cet autame est plus abundant $\qquad$ -> beaucap plus abondant $\qquad$
un peu plus abondant $\qquad$
a pau pres la mene $\qquad$
moins abondant $\qquad$ -> beaucap moins abondant $\qquad$ un peu moins abondant __ ( )
40.Sur une echelle de 1 a dix, avec 5 comme amee moyerne, sur quel point de l'echelle est-ce que vous placeriez l'abondance du hareng cette amee? $\qquad$


[^0]:    21.On a scale of 1 to 10 , considering 5 as an average year, how would you rate

