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#### Abstract

In 1987 Canada took only 181 t of a reported catch of just over $11,000 \mathrm{t}$. Catches by the Soviet Union accounted for over $60 \%$ of the total, while Cuba took about $25 \%$. Catch rates continue to fluctuate from one year to the next and there are no significant trends over time. The TAC was lowered from $20,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1987 to $14,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1988 and available data from the 1987 fishery are insufficient to suggest any change in this for 1989.


## Résumé

En 1987, la part des prises du Canada n'a été que de 181 t sur des prises déclarées de plus de 11000 t. Les prises par les Russes représentaient plus de $60 \%$ des prises totales, tandis que les prises par Cuba correspondaient à environ $25 \%$. Les taux de capture continuent de fluctuer d'une année à l'autre et aucune tendance importante ne se manifeste au cours des années. Le TPA est passé de 20000 t en 1987 à 14000 t en 1988, et les données accessibles provenant de l'exploitation de 1987 ne nous permettent pas de proposer aucune modification de cette valeur pour 1989.

## Introduction

Between 1976 and 1987, nominal catches ranged from about 7000 t to 18.000 t (Table 1) and have been above $20,000 \mathrm{t}$ in only two years (Figure 1). In 1987, provisional statistics indicate a catch of about $11,000 \mathrm{t}$. The Soviet Union continues to predominate in the fishery (taking over $60 \%$ of the total in 1987), while Canada's catch remains low (only 181 t in 1987). The fishery has taken place predominantly in the second half of the year during recent times, although there is some fishing activity in most months (Table 2). The first TAC of $16,000 \mathrm{t}$ was imposed in 1974. This level was increased to $20,000 t$ in 1978 and maintained through 1986 (with the exception to 1980 when it was raised, for reasons unknown, to $21,900 \mathrm{t}$ ). During the 1987 assessment meetings, it was recommended that the TAC be lowered to $14,000 \mathrm{t}$ based on ${ }^{2 / 3}$ effort MSY (from general production analyses) (Atkinson and Power MS 1987), and this new level has been adopted for 1988. Since the imposition of TAC's in 1974, they have never been achieved.

## Methods and Results

As in the past, catch and effort data from ICNAF/NAFO Statistical Bulletins (1959-1985) were combined with preliminary NAFO data (1986) and preliminary Canadian data (1987). Only data where redfish comprised $>50 \%$ of the total catch were used. Previously (eg. Atkinson and Power MS 1987), data from side and stern trawlers were summarily combined (both for bottom trawls and midwater trawls). For this assessment, the data were re-extracted and the side and stern categories kept separate. For some of the earlier years, it was not clear from the ICNAF statistics whether catches were by side or stern trawlers. For these, classification was achieved by backchecking with the lists of fishing vessels (compiled by ICNAF) and/or Lloyd's Registry. Classification of charter vessels (not identified as side or stern in NAFO statistics) was done through examination of Foreign Observer Program (FOP) data.

The extracted catch and effort data were input into a multiplicative model (Gavaris 1980) to derive a standardized catch rate series. Those country-gear-TC and months with less than 5 data points were deleted as were all catches and effort of less than 10 units in order to eliminate potential biases. In addition, because there were only 2 data points for 1987 (Canadian), they were eliminated from the analysis. Examination of the residuals from an initial run did not indicate any bad outliers in the data (Figures 2a and b), so no points were deleted.

In the past, questions have been raised concerning the validity of grouping similar category types a posteriori, but since the data were considered "new". a comparison of categories within the country-gear-TC (CGT) and month category types was carried out. Although the relationship between categories was generally the same as that in the past (Atkinson and Power MS 1987), there were a few minor differences (Table 3). These new groupings were used in the subsequent analysis. As with the previous analysis (Atkinson and Power MS 1987), weighting of the regression was not carried out because the extent of possible pro-rating of the effort data prior to 1984 is not known. It should be noted that the standard CGT was changed from Canadian vessels (as used in the past) to the USSR-OTB2-TC7.

The analysis of variance (Tables $4 a$ and $b$ ) for the final run indicates that the model accounts for about $62 \%$ of the variation. The final residual plots are shown in Figures 3a and b. Boxplots of the residuals (Figure 4) do not reveal any trends with time. Each of the category types is significant (Table 4b) but the year category is significant only because of two years. 1979 and 1982. Thus the catch rates, although showing a high amount of inter-annual fluctuation, indicate no overall trend with time for the period 1959 to 1986 (Figure 5, Table 5). Effort has been fairly stable in the recent period (Figure 6, Table 5), although there has been a general decline since the mid- to late- 1970's. There are no effort data available for 1968. The higher standardized catch rates (and related lowering of standardized effort) above those given previously (Atkinson and Power MS 1987) is directly attributable to the change in the standard CGT noted above. The USSR standard had a higher coefficient than did the standard Canadian vessels in previous analyses.

Previous assessments have paid little to no attention to the variance surrounding the estimates of catch rate from the multiplicative analysis. This current assessment indicates that there has been considerable variation in the catch rates from year to year but no significant trend exists over the time period for which effort data are available. It is therefore not appropriate to utilize general production models for this stock at present.

Stratified random research surveys have been conducted in Division 30 from 1973 to the present. Although these surveys routinely only fish depths less than 200 fathoms ( 366 m ), there are some data available for redfish. The length frequencies (Figure 7) suggest that there may have been two pulses of recruitment during the period of the surveys; one (or two) in the early-mid 1970's, and one in the late 1970's-early 1980's. Year classes from these periods correspond approximately to those found to be relatively strong in other areas.

Some commercial frequencies are available from the 1987 fishery (Figure 8). These indicate fish of about $17-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ being taken although the modes are generally in the $20-23 \mathrm{~cm}$ range except in one case (Japan in March).

## Conclusions

Examination of the limited research data available suggests that there may have been two pulses of recruitment to the fishery in recent years. One of these may be reflected in an increase in commercial catch rates in the late 1970's. If the later pulse is real, it should be reflected in increased catch rates in the next few years. Catch rates show considerable fluctuations over the 1959-1987 time period but trends are not present. It is felt that the stock is being (and has been) haervested below the $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ level but the data are insufficient to confirm this. If this is the case, then higher catches are possible.
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Table 1: Nominal catches ( 1 ) of redfish in Division 30 by country and year.

| Country | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986* | 1987* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada (M)+ | 610 | 655 | 381 | 1.557 | 565 | 417 | 47 | 4 | 29 | 48 | 3 | 24 |
| Canada (N) | 3.054 | 2.317 | 1.460 | 4.847 | 976 | 2.160 | 444 | 3 | 138 | 56 | 134 | 159 |
| France (M) | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| France (SP) | 15 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| France | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Japan | 4 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 496 | 1 | 1.258 | 661 | 1.162 | 1,073 |
| Portugal | 1 | - | - | 134 | 59 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Romania | - | - | - | 664 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Spain | - | - | 1 | 8 | - | - | - | - | 25 | 630 | 45 | 32 |
| USSR | 11.663 | 7.376 | 4.647 | 8,008 | 14.219 | 8,659 | 8.717 | 5,670 | 7.262 | 5,905 | 6,099 | 7.089 |
| Cuba | - | 500 | 368 | 2,517 | 1.487 | 1,368 | 1.651 | 1.460 | 1.316 | 806 | 3,006 | 2.859 |
| USA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | 104 | 2 | - |
| TOTAL | 15,348 | 10.850 | 6,860 | 17.737 | 17.306 | 12,604 | 11,360 | 7.140 | 10.028 | 8.210 | 10.451 | 11.236 |

[^0]Table 2: Nominal catches ( $t$ ) of redfish in Division 30 by month and year.

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 352 | 452 | 399 | 857 | 1.477 | 1.497 | 3.119 | 1.440 | 2,221 | 2,540 | 40 | 954 | 15.348 |
| 1977 | 553 | 36 | 1,047 | 1,736 | 987 | 1.865 | 1,580 | 1.113 | 1,032 | 828 | 63 | 10 | 10,850 |
| 1978 | 48 | 102 | 1.478 | 1,326 | 1.216 | 930 | 656 | 353 | 433 | 155 | 158 | 5 | 6.860 |
| 1979 | 35 | 844 | 2,464 | 2,072 | 87 | 1,997 | 739 | 692 | 1.235 | 1,320 | 3,594 | 2,658 | 17.737 |
| 1980 | 612 | 1,250 | 856 | 3.698 | 1,145 | 858 | 143 | 2,395 | 1.860 | 149 | 986 | 3,354 | 17,306 |
| 1981 | 991 | 3.735 | 1,444 | 1.601 | 621 | 1.467 | 773 | 584 | 510 | 873 | 5 | - | 12,604 |
| 1982 | - | 1 | 1.121 | 1.258 | 545 | 652 | 4.555 | 2,245 | 661 | 233 | 89 | - | 11,360 |
| 1983 | 254 | 355 | 2,904 | 1.227 | 71 | 156 | 576 | 938 | 319 | 1 | 73 | 266 | 7.140 |
| 1984 | 219 | 155 | 2 | 32 | 85 | 257. | 446 | 3.210 | 2.799 | 1,882 | 435 | 506 | 10,028 |
| 1985 | 1,522 | - | 453 | 239 | 118 | 252 | 227 | 1.710 | 1.486 | 350 | 35 | 1.817 | 8.210 a |
| 1986* | 707 | - | 425 | 593 | 68 | 710 | 3.491 | 3.712 | 58 | 3 | 317 | 367 | 10.451 |
| 1987* | - | 5 | 760 | 6 | 763 | 14 | 910 | 259 | 347 | 234 | 1.222 | 392 | 11.236 b |

Table 3: Comparison of similarities of categories in country-gear-TC (CGT) and month category types between the 1987 assessment and that presented in this paper (number is code shown in Table 4b).

| $\begin{aligned} & 1987 \text { Assessment } \\ & \text { CGT } \end{aligned}$ | This Assessment CGT | Code | 1987 Assessment Month | This Assessment Month | Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FR(SP) OTB 4 | FR(SP) OTB 4 | 9114 | April | April | 4 |
| USSR OTB 4 | USSR OTB 4 | 20114 | January <br> February | January October | 1 |
| CAN(M) OTB 4 |  |  | October <br> November | November December |  |
| CAN(N) OTB 4 | CAN(N) OTB 4 |  |  |  |  |
| CAN(MQ) OTB 4 | CAN(MQ) OTB 4 | 2114 | March | March | 3 |
| CAN(MQ) OTB 5 | CAN(MQ) OTB 5 |  | May |  |  |
| CAN(M) OTB 5 | CAN(M) OTB 5 |  | July | May | 5 |
|  | CAN(N) OTB 5 |  | June |  |  |
| CAN(N) OTB 5 | JPN OTB 6 | 14126 | August September | June August | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JPN OTB 6 | POL OTB 7 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | July | 7 |
| POL OTB 7 |  | 20127 |  | September |  |
|  | JPN OTB 7 |  |  |  |  |
| JPN OTB $7 \quad$ USSR OTB 7CUBA OTM $7 \quad$ |  |  |  | February | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| USSR OTB 7 | CUBA OTM 7 |  | 4157 |  |  |  |
| CUBA OTB 7 | CUBA OTB 7 | 4127 |  |  |  |  |
| USSR OTM 7 | USSR OTM 7 |  |  |  |  |

Table 4a: ANOVA from final multiplicative analysis of commercial catch and effort data for redfish in NAFO Division 30.

REGRESSION OF MULTIPLICATIVE MONEL

MULTIPLE R............... 0.790
MULTIPLE R SQIARED..... 0.623

## Adalysis of variance

| SOURCE OF |  | stms or | MEASI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Varlation | DF | SOLARES | SQTARES | F-VALUE |
|  | -- | --- | ------- |  |
| InTERCEPT | 1 | $1.061 \mathrm{E1}$ | 1.06151 |  |
| REGRESSIOM | 38 | 1.18752 | 3.124 EO | 15.373 |
| TYPE 1 | 6 | 5.379 EL 1 | 8.965 E 0 | 44.116 |
| TYPE 2 | 5 | 1.081 EL | 1.302 L 0 | 8.870 |
| TYPE 4 | 26 | 1.497 EL | $5.759 \mathrm{E}^{-1}$ | 2.334 |
| RESIDUALS | 353 | 7.173E1 | 2.0325-1 |  |
| TOTAL | 392 | 2.010 E 2 |  |  |

Table 4b: Coefficients for the different categories from the final multiplicative analysis of commercial catch and effort data for redfish in NAFO Division 30.

KEGRESSION COEFTICIENTS

| CATEGORY | CODE | YARIABLE | COEFFICIENT | STD. ERSOR | MO. UBS. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20127 | IMTERCEPT | 0.693 | 0.142 | 392 |
| 2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 59 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2114 | 1 | -0.787 | 0.0154 | 155 |
|  | 4127 | 2 | 0.152 | 0.075 | 60 |
|  | 4157 | 3 | -0.174 | 0.136 | 15 |
|  | 9114 | 4 | -1.623 | 0.227 | 5 |
|  | 14126 | 5 | -0.352 | 0.123 | 18 |
|  | 20114 | 5 | -1.489 | 0.145 | 14 |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | -0.342 | 0.070 | 100 |
|  | 2 | 8 | -0.421 | 0.128 | 16 |
|  | 3 | 9 | -0.276 | 0.102 | 29 |
|  | 4 | 10 | -0.650 | 0.106 | 25 |
|  | 5 | 11 | -0.195 | 0.096 | 32 |
|  | 7 | 12 | -0.119 | 0.068 | 103 |
| 4 | 60 | 13 | 0.077 | 0.290 | 3 |
|  | 61 | 14 | 0.176 | 0.213 | 7 |
|  | 62 | 15 | 0.018 | 0.184 | 12 |
|  | 63 | 16 | 0.058 | 0.186 | 13 |
|  | 64 | 17 | -0.103 | 0.227 | 6 |
|  | 65 | 18 | -0.350 | 0.249 | 5 |
|  | 66 | 19 | -0.019 | 0.349 | 2 |
|  | 67. | 20 | 0.344 | 0.213 | 7 |
|  | 69 | 21 | -0.371 | 0.199 | 9 |
|  | 70 | 22 | -0.225 | 0.192 | 10 |
|  | 71 | 23 | 0.101 | 0.179 | 14 |
|  | 72 | 24 | -0.249 | 0.168 | 17 |
|  | 73 | 25 | 0.069 | 0.201 | 9 |
|  | 74 | 26 | -0.388 | 0.198 | 9 |
|  | 75 | 27 | -0.385 | 0.224 | $\overline{6}$ |
|  | 75 | 28 | 0.050 | 0.157 | 23 |
|  | 77 | 39 | -0.050 | 0.158 | 23 |
|  | 78 | 30 | -0.099 | 0.156 | 24 |
|  | 79 | 31 | 0.315 | 0.153 | 29 |
|  | 80 | 32 | 0.157 | 0.155 | 26 |
|  | 81 | 33 | 0.288 | 0.158 | 23 |
|  | 82 | 34 | 0.376 | 0.160 | 24 |
|  | 83 | 35 | 0.228 | 0.172 | 17 |
|  | 84 | 36 | 0.223 | 0.160 | 24 |
|  | 85 | 37 | 0.051 | 0.166 | 20 |
|  | 86 | 38 | 0.087 | 0.176 | 17 |

Table 5: Catch rate and effort derived from final multiplicative analysis of commercial catch and effort data for redfish in NAFO Division 30.
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Figure 1: Nominal Catches (t) of redfish in Nafo Division 30, 1959-1987 (1986 and 1987 are provisional)


Figure 2a: Residuals vs predicted in catch rate from initial multiplicative analysis of commercial catch and effort data for redfish in NAFO Division 30.


Figure 2b: Expected normal values vs residuals from initial multiplicative analysis of commercial catch and effort data for redfish in NAFO Division 30.


PREDICTED LN CATCH RATE

Figure 3a: Residuals vs predicted In eatch rate from final multiplicative analysis of commercial catch and effort data for redfish in NAFO Division 30.


Figure 3b: Expected normal values vs residuals from final multiplicative analysis of commercial catch and effort data for redfish in NAFO Division 30.


Figure 4: Boxplots (percentiles) of residuals derived from the final multiplicative analysis of the commercial catch and effort data for redfish in NAFO Division 30.


Figure 5: Standardized catch rates ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) derived from the final multiplicative analysis of the commercial catch and effort data for redfish in NAFO Division 30.


Figure 6: Standardized effort (hr) for redfish in NAFO Division 30, 1959-1987 (1986 is provisional).


Figure 7: Research length frequencies for redfish from Canadian stratified random surveys in NAFO Division 30.


Figure 7: Continued


Figure 7: Continued


Figure 8 : Length frequencies available from the commercial redfish fishery by yarious countries in NAFO Division 30 in 1987.


Figure 8 : Continued


[^0]:    + Maritimes and Quebec were combined prior to 1979.

