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## I NTRODUCTION

Intensive surveys of southern Gulf herring gillnetters from the three Maritime provinces were carried out in 1979 and 1982 (O'Boyle and Cleary 1981, Cleary MS 1983). A major objective of these surveys was to gather information on gears used, effort expended, use of the catch, and some characteristics of the herring population in the 4 T fishery. A less intensive survey was conducted in 1983 to gather information on mesh size distribution (Ahrens and Nielsen MS 1984). In 1985, the Gulf Region conducted a survey to collect data from a representative sample of all herring gillnetters in the southern Gulf of $S t$. Lawrence (Nielsen MS 1986). This paper reports results of a similar survey carried out in 1986. The objectives of these surveys were:

1. To determine, the distribution and intensity of fishing effort.
2. To determine the sizes and distribution of mesh used.
3. To measure the percentage of herring catch accounted for by purchase slips.

## METHODS

## Sample selection

The southern Gulf of St. Lawrence coastline was divided into 7 fishing areas (Table 1, Figure 1) and samples of gillnetters were chosen from each one to ensure complete areal coverage of all gillnetters. In 1986, the Magdalen Islands were not included in the survey because of their low herring landings in recent years.

To choose an unbiased representative sample of all gillnetters fishing for herring in the southern Gulf, we obtained a list of all licenced herring gillnetters in the Gulf portion of the three Maritime provinces and Quebec. Since many people hold herring licences but do not fish herring (Table 2), we identified active gillnetters in the Maritime Provinces by obtaining a list of Canadian Fishing Vessel Numbers (CFVN's) of all boats for which herring purchase slips had been submitted. This list, which was sorted by home port, was used to choose a random sample of 455 gillnetters. The sample size in each area was chosen proportional to the number of active gillnetters in the area. The CFV numbers were cross-referenced with the list of licenced gillnetters to obtain the telephone numbers and addresses of the selected sample. Because a purchase slip file was unavailable for Quebec, we chose a random sample from the list of licenced gillnetters.

The interviews were conducted by telephone in the official language of the gillnetters' choice, during December 1986 and January 1987. Each respondent in the Maritime provinces was given up to three telephone calls to be contacted. Quebec respondents were contacted only once due to time constraints.

## The questionnaire

The interview was divided into four sections (detailed in Appendix A):

1. The first set of questions "located" the respondents in the fishery. The status of the respondents was verified - that they were active herring gillnetters in 1986; the number of nets owned was asked (to prevent confusion over how many were used as opposed to owned); and the seasons fished were recorded.
2. The second set of questions dealt with fishing effort. For each season fished respondents were asked:

- their fishing location
- the total number of days fished and the number of days fished in the peak
- the number of nets used during the peak as well as during the rest of the season
- the number of times a day the nets were hauled.

3. For each season fished, the mesh sizes and numbers of nets for each mesh size used were determined.
4. For each season fished, the catch and percent of the catch that was kept for bait, dumped, or sold to processors were recorded.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In total, 342 herring gillnetters were interviewed. The area-byarea breakdown of the responses (Tables 3 and 4) shows that all areas and both seasons were well covered. As in 1985, it was evident that the areal division of the southern Gulf by address of gillnetter reflected the areal division by location fished. Two gillnetters from western P.E.I. fished in southeastern N.B. in the fall, otherwise gillnetters fished near their homeport.

## Effort Index Parameters

The responses to the questions concerning the intensity of effort show large differences among areas and seasons (Tables 5 and 6). Comparisons of the fishing effort for 1984-1986 (updating the results of the 1985 survey) are shown in Figures 2 to 5. Since 1984 the number of nets fished per respondent in the spring fishery has increased in two areas (Escuminac and south east New Brunswick), and decreased in two (Quebec and west P.E.I.). In the fall fishery, the number of nets fished per respondent has decreased in Nova Scotia and all of P.E.I. since 1984. Escuminac and south east New Brunswick continue to use the greatest number of nets per respondent; the Acadian Peninsula and Nova Scotia in general use the fewest.

The number of days fished per respondent, both during the peak and during the non-peak of the season, has generally decreased in the spring fishery except in south east N.B. and west P.E.I. The number of days fished in the peak of the fishery in the fall has generally decreased, but the number of days in the non-peak has not shown a trend since 1984.

Three indices of effort for each area-season combination were calculated and compared to those from the 1985 survey:

1. The average number of net-hauls per gillnetter (NHF). 2. The average number of net-hauls per trip (NHT).
2. The total number of net-hauls in each fishing area (NNH).
3. $\quad N H F_{i}=\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j}\left(d p_{j} * n p_{j}+d n p_{j} * n n p_{j}\right) * h_{j}$
where $n_{i}=$ number of responses in area-season $i$
$\mathrm{dp}_{j}=$ number of days in the peak for resp. $j$ in area-season $i$
$n \mathrm{np}_{j}=$ number of nets in the peak for resp. $j$ in area-season $i$
$\operatorname{dnp}_{j}=$ number of days in the non-peak for resp. $j$ in area-season $i$ $n^{n} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ number of nets in the non-peak for resp. $j$ in area-season $i$ $h_{j}=$ number of hauls/day for resp. $j$ in area-season $i$

4. $\mathrm{NNH}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{NHF}_{\mathrm{i}} * \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}}$
where $N_{i}=$ number of responses in area-season $i$ times the sampling proportion adjusted for the null responses (Tables 2,3, and 4)

Overall indices for 4 T were calculated by weighting the area averages by the landed catch (Table 2). They are shown in Figures 6 and 7.

In the spring fishery, the three indices are consistent for the Acadian Peninsula, south east N.B., Nova Scotia, and west P.E.I. for the three year period. In Quebec, Escuminac, and east P.E.I., the indices do not show similar trends within areas. The number of net-hauls/gillnetter, and the number of net-hauls/trip, however, show the same trends by area everywhere except Escuminac. When the area indices are weighted by landings to produce an overall Gulf index, the results for the spring fishery do not show a clear trend Quebec is not included in the overall Gulf index).

In the fall fishery, east and west P.E.I. are the only areas with internal consistency for the three effort indices. But again, the
number of net-hauls/gillnetter and the number of net-hauls/trip generally show the same trends by area. The overall Gulf indices do not show a clear trend.

The historic effort index used in the assessment of 4 herring stocks is the average number of nets fished per trip, assuming one haul of the nets per trip and one trip per day. The overall spring Gulf averages were determined by weighting the Acadian Peninsula and a combination of the Escuminac, southeastern New Brunswick, and partial western P.E.I. averages by the landings in those areas (O’Boyle and Cleary 1981, Chadwick and Nielsen 1986). The overall fall averages were set equal to the Acadian Peninsula averages. The historic abundance index shown in Figure 8 shows no change in either the spring or the fall since 1984.

## 'Gillnet Mesh Size Distribution

Table 7 summarizes the mesh size composition of the fisheries for 1985 and 1986. Most nets used in the spring are between 2.25 and 2.5 inch mesh, with perhaps a higher percentage of 2.63 and 2.75 in 1986. There is a large number of mesh sizes used in the spring fishery. In the fall, fewer mesh sizes are used, and they are generally larger than in the spring, with most of the nets being between 2.5 and 2.75 inch mesh. The mesh size distribution for both the spring and the fall fisheries appears to be slightly more dispersed in 1986 than in 1985.

## Use Of The Catch

Questions about the percent of the catch kept for personal use, sold to processors, or dumped, reveal significant differences from 1985. In the spring, a higher proportion of the catch in the New Brunswick fishing areas was sold to processors. In the fall, much more of the catch in all areas was sold, and the high "kept" percentages in Escuminac and west P.E.I. decreased from 1985 to . 1986 (Table 8).

## Concluding remarks

The questionnaire elicits detailed information about peak and non-peak gillnet fishing activity, allowing a detailed calculation of fishing effort based on the number of nets or nethauls. The calculation is, however, incompatible with the historical index based on the average number of nets per trip. Further information, such as a break-down of gillnet types (drift nets, set nets, modified drift nets), soak time for nets, and accurate information about the number of gillnetters fishing in each location may be desirable to include in effort calculations. Use of effort indices does not currently take into consideration such factors as restrictions on fishing activity imposed by processors, area quotas, or differences in the fisheries (fishing on spawning grounds or migrating stocks).
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Table 1. Statistical Districts making up the geographic divisions for the 7 fishing areas of the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence.

```
AREA Statistical Districts
Quebec 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15
Acadian Pen. 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68,70
Escuminac
71, 73, 75, 76
Southeast N.B.
Nova Scotia
77, 78, 80
45, 46, 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14
East P.E.I.
85, 86, 87, 88
West P.E.I. 82, 83, 92, 93, 95, 96
```

Table 2. Herring gillnet statistics for the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence in 1986.

| AREA | Landing | (Tonnes) | Number of Licences | Number of <br> Boats (CFVN's) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring | Fall |  |  |
| Quebec | 328 | 2112 | 1224 | - |
| Acadian Pen. | 1093 | 23909 | 623 | 301 |
| Escuminac | 2872 | 98 | 331 | 158 |
| Southeast N.B. | 1964 | 16 | 264 | 112 |
| Nova Scotia | 128 | 5560 | 436 | 116 |
| East P.E.I. | 187 | 6637 | 383 | 86 |
| West P.E.I. | 558 | 1452 | 484 | 114 |
| TOTAL | 7130 | 39789 | 3745 | 887 |

Table 3. Response to the questionnaire by major herring fishing areas.

| Area | Number <br> Sampled | Number of Reports | Number of Phone. Address Problems | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { not } \\ & \text { Contacted } \end{aligned}$ | Number <br> Unco-op- <br> erative | Number not Fishing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quebec | 51 | 21 | 6 | 16 | 1 | 7 |
| Acadian Pen. | 129 | 111 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Escuminac | 67 | 57 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Southeast N.B. | . 49 | 33 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Nova Scotia | 51 | 38 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| East P.E.I. | 37 | 28 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| West P.E.I. | 71 | 54 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 2 |
| Total | 455 | 342 | 56 | 36 | 8 | 13 |

Table 4. Number of respondents fishing
in each area in 1986 .

| Area | Fishing | Fishing |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | in the Spring | in the Fall |
| Quebec | 14 | 14 |
| Acadian Pen. | 72 | 90 |
| Escuminac | 58 | 4 |
| Southeast N.B. | 33 | 1 |
| Nova Scotia | 18 | 38 |
| East P.E.I. | 5 | 2.7 |
| West P.E.I. | 53 | 14 |
|  |  |  |
| Total | 253 | 188 |

Table 5. Effort parameters for the 1986 spring gillnet fishery ( $\pm$ S.D.)

| AREA | No. of Days Fished |  | No. of Nets Fished |  | No. of Hauls per Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | peak | non-peak | peak | non-peak |  |
| Quebec | $1.7 \pm 4.0$ | $22.2 \pm 13.6$ | $5.0 \pm 2.8$ | $4.1 \pm 2.8$ | $1.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Acadian Pen. | $6.2 \pm 5.8$ | $16.8 \pm 12.1$ | $5.7 \pm 5.0$ | $7.6 \pm 6.9$ | $1.2 \pm 3.0$ |
| Escuminac | $4.2 \pm 4.3$ | $8.9 \pm 6.7$ | $23.8 \pm 9.4$ | $28.2 \pm 11.3$ | $1.1 \pm 0.3$ |
| Southeast N.B. | $3.5 \pm 4.3$ | $16.3 \pm 8.7$ | $30.3 \pm 5.9$ | $31.7 \pm 3.39$ | $1.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Nova Scotia | $2.7 \pm 5.8$ | $18.8 \pm 13.6$ | $2.5 \pm 1.4$ | $2.9 \pm 0.8$ | $1.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| East P.E.I. | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ | $24.0 \pm 7.9$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ | $9.6 \pm 11.5$ | $1.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| West P.E.I. | $3.6 \pm 5.0$ | $24.6 \pm 14.4$ | $22.9 \pm 14.7$ | $24.8 \pm 17.3$ | $1.0 \pm 0.0$ |

Table 6. Effort parameters for the 1986 fall gillnet fishery ( $\pm$ S.D.)

| AREA | No. of Days Fished |  | No. of Nets Fished |  | No. of Hauls per Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | peak | non-peak | peak | non-peak |  |
| Quebec | $1.7 \pm 4.0$ | $29.6 \pm 19.6$ | $8.5 \pm 2.1$ | $5.5 \pm 5.1$ | $1.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Acadian Pen. | $8.3 \pm 7.1$ | $7.8 \pm 7.9$ | $4.9 \pm 3.7$ | $5.4 \pm 3.4$ | $1.7 \pm 1.1$ |
| Escuminac | $3.0 \pm 3.6$ | $5.5 \pm 7.1$ | $12.0 \pm 4.3$ | $10.5 \pm 3.9$ | $1.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Southeast N.B. | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ | $30.0 \pm 0.0$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ | $35.0 \pm 0.0$ | $1.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Nova Scotia | $4.0 \pm 4.4$ | $8.7 \pm 6.3$ | $5.5 \pm 2.2$ | $7.4 \pm 2.5$ | $1.3 \pm 0.8$ |
| East P.E.I. | $8.4 \pm 6.7$ | $13.6 \pm 7.8$ | $7.4 \pm 1.7$ | $9.6 \pm 5.0$ | $1.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| West P.E.I. | $3.8 \pm 5.9$ | $23.4 \pm 16.6$ | $5.6 \pm 4.2$ | $7.8 \pm 4.4$ | $1.0 \pm 0.0$ |

Table 7: Percentage of each mesh size used in the 4T herring gillnet fishery 1985 SPRING

Area <=2" $2 \frac{1}{8} \quad 2 \frac{3}{16} \quad 2 \frac{1}{4} \quad 2 \frac{5}{16} \quad 2 \frac{3}{8} \quad 2 \frac{1}{2} \quad 2 \frac{5}{8} \quad 2 \frac{3}{4} \quad 2 \frac{7}{8} \quad>=3$ m

| Que 7.8 | 5.8 | 48.9 |  | 6.0 | 9.5 | 11.0 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 3.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A Pen 3.3 |  | 14.7 | 40.6 | 26.5 | 13.7 | 1.2 |  |  |  |
| Esc |  | 0.6 | 72.1 |  | 17.5 | 9.8 |  |  |  |
| SNB |  | 89.3 |  | 6.9 | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |
| NS | 2.6 |  | 5.1 |  | 21.7 | 48.0 | 22.6 |  |  |
| E PEI |  | 15.2 |  | 46.2 | 21.7 | 7.1 | 4.9 | 3.9 |  |
| W PEI 0.3 |  | 55.2 | 4.2 | 23.4 | 10.1 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 1.0 |  |

1986 SPRING
Area <=2" $2 \frac{1}{8} \quad 2 \frac{3}{16} \quad 2 \frac{1}{4} \quad 2 \frac{5}{16} \quad 2 \frac{3}{8} \quad 2 \frac{1}{2} \quad 2 \frac{5}{8} \quad 2 \frac{3}{4} \quad 2 \frac{7}{8} \quad \geqslant=3 m$

| Que |  | 4.0 |  | 25.0 |  | 2.6 | 21.0 | 36.8 | 9.2 |  | 1.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A Pen |  |  |  | 10.0 | 1.8 | 33.8 | 37.0 | 12.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 |  |
| Esc | 3.0 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 77.8 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 2.0 |  |  |
| SeNB |  | 1.0 |  | 85.8 |  | 7.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  |
| NS |  |  |  | 10.0 |  | 28.0 | 32.0 | 30.0 |  |  |  |
| E PEI |  |  |  | 66.7 |  | 4.2 | 4.2 | 16.7 |  | 8.3 |  |
| W PEI 5.9 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 50.2 | 4.2 | 17.5 |  | 3.9 | 1.2 | 8.2 | 0.2 |  |

1985 FALL
Area <=2" $2 \frac{1}{8} \quad 2 \frac{3}{16} \quad 2 \frac{1}{4} \quad 2 \frac{5}{16} \quad 2 \frac{3}{8} \quad 2 \frac{1}{2} \quad 2 \frac{5}{8} \quad 2 \frac{3}{4} \quad 2 \frac{7}{8} \quad>=3 \prime \prime$

| Que 10.4 | 6.0 | 0.5 |  | 5.1 | 18.8 | 18.5 | 27.3 | 5.1 | 8.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A Pen |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 79.7 | 16.6 | 2.5 |
| Esc |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |
| SeNB |  |  |  | 2.5 | 3.7 | 93.8 |  |  |  |
| NS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E PEI |  | 3.3 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 40.1 | 30.1 | 19.2 |  |
| W PEI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1986 FALL
Area <=2" $2 \frac{1}{8} \quad 2 \frac{3}{16} \quad 2 \frac{1}{4} \quad 2 \frac{5}{16} \quad 2 \frac{3}{8} \quad 2 \frac{1}{2} \quad 2 \frac{5}{8} \quad 2 \frac{3}{4} \quad 2 \frac{7}{8} \quad>=3 \prime$

| Que | 3.6 | 3.6 | 27.3 | 65.5 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A Pen 0.4 |  | 1.0 |  | 73.4 | 13.7 | 8.2 | 3.3 |
| Esc |  |  |  | 85.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 |  |
| SeNB |  | 3.6 | 8.9 | 83.5 | 3.2 | 0.8 |  |
| NS |  |  | 1.9 | 85.1 |  |  |  |
| E PEI 13.2 |  | 4.0 | 43.0 | 40.0 |  | 13.0 |  |
| W PEI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8. Percentage use of the 1985 and 1986 herring gillnet catch.

| Area | 1985 Spring 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kept | Dumped | Sold to processors | Kept | Dumped | Sold to processors |
| Quebec | 41.6 | 6.6 | 51.7 | 30.6 | 0.9 | 68.4 |
| Acadian Pen. | 77.6 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 45.7 | 6.7 | 47.3 |
| Escuminac | 25.3 | 6.9 | 53.1 | 4.8 | 20.9 | 74.7 |
| Southeast N.B. | 20.7 | 6.1 | 68.2 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 86.1 |
| Nova Scotia | 94.0 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 83.9 | 0.0 | 16.1 |
| East P.E.I. | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| West P.E.I. | 60.8 | 10.5 | 26.6 | 57.3 | 3.8 | 38.9 |
|  | Fall |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1985 |  |  | 1986 |  |  |
| Area | Kept | Dumped | Sold to processors | Kept | Dumped | Sold to processors |
| Quebec | 19.0 | 13.3 | 67.7 | 19.5 | 4.8 | 76.0 |
| Acadian Pen. | 0.0 | 0.1 | 99.9 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 87.7 |
| Escuminac | 0.4 | 0.0 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Southeast N.B. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia | 0.5 | 1.2 | 98.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| East P.E.I. | 0.3 | 0.2 | 99.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 99.0 |
| West P.E.I. | 6.9 | 5.3 | 87.9 | 67.6 | 6.1 | 26.3 |



Figure 1. Geographic division of the southern Guif of St. Lawrence used in the 1986 herring gillnet survey


Figure 2. Average Number of Nets fished in the 41 Spring Gillnet Fishery



Figure 6. Derived effort indices for the 4 T spring gillnet fishery


Figure 7. Derived effort indices for the 4 T fall gillnet fishery

NUMBER OF NETS FISHED PER TRIP




4.Ou avez-vous peche durant le printeaps?
(Stat Oist)
$\qquad$ .--..................

13. Combian de hareng avaz-vous prise (1000 1bs)?
14. Quel pourcentege de votre prise de hareng
-avez-vous garde pour des fins parsonnels ou de la boette?
-aver-vous vendu: aux usines de transformation?

# QUESTIONAIRE - HANEMB 1986 


 (S'IL A PECHE DURANT L'AUTOMHE:)
(lecation 1)
15.0u avez-vous peche durant l'auteane?
(Stat Dist) $\qquad$ .--.-
16. Coabien de jours avez-vous peche (chaque location)? $\qquad$ -----
17. Dapres vous est-ce-qu'fly a eu une periode de OUl OUI. capture forte (ie.une periode lorsque les prises .NOH etaient bonnes)?

SI une periooe oe capture forte a ete loentlfie:
18. Coabien de jours avez-vous pecha durant la periode de capture forte?
19. Combien de filets par jour avez-vous peches durant la periode de capture forte?
20.Coabien de filets par jour avez-vous peches durant .-.... ...... le reste da la saison?

Home Stat Oist
Resp 1.0.
Record 1 $\qquad$

SI UNE PERIOOE DE CAPTURE FORTE N'A PAS ETE IDEATIFIE:
24. Combien de filets par jour avez-vous peches?

22. Conbien de fois par journee avez-vous releve vos -.... filets?

| 23.Qualla est la grandeur de asilles et la nombre pour chaque grandeur que vous avez utilise: | des filets malle : ( $\rho$ ) filets | iongueur (brasse) | profondeur (maille) | maille <br> ( $م$ ) | filets | longueur (brasse) | profondeur (nallle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . | 2 |  | ----* | 2 | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| $\cdots$ | 2 .-... | ------ | --..-- | 2 | --** | ------ | --->-- |
|  | 2 ---- | ------ | -----* | 2 | --- | ------ | ------ |
|  | 2 .-..- | ------ | ---** | 2 | ----- | ------ | ------ |
|  | 2 ....- | ------ | ------ | 2 | ----- | ------ | ----- |
|  | 2 ---- | ------ | ------ | 2 | ----- | ------ | -...-* |
|  | 2 --..- | ------ | ------ | 2 | ----- | ------ | ---- |
|  | 2 --- | --->- | ----- | 2 | ----- | ------ | $\cdots$ |
|  | 3 - - - | ------ | ------ | 3 | ---- | ------ | ----- |
| other | ----- ----* | ------ | ------ | --- | ---- | -..--- | ----- |
|  | ----- | ------ | ----- | --- | ---- | ------ | --- |

24.Cosbien de hareng avez-vous prise ( 1000 lbs )?

.........

## 25.Quel pourcentage de votre prise de hareng

> -avez-vous garde pour des fins personnals
> ou de la boette?
> -dvez-vous vendu aux usines de transfornation?
$\qquad$ --------
$\qquad$

