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#### Abstract

It is proposed to enforce, on 1 January 1982, a regulation introduced on July 1, 1981, which states that no codend mesh size used in otter trawls should be smaller than 130 mm irrespective of material. In the fishery on 4 X haddock, inshore otter trawlers (those of Tonnage class 1,2 , and 3) are likely the only group whose catch rates will be affected immediately by this change. The rest of the otter trawler fleet is believed to use 130 mm mesh.

In 1980, the total nominal catch of haddock from Division 4X was $29,006 t, 13,018 t(45 \%)$ of which was reported by inshore otter trawlers. Of the latter, $3,349 \mathrm{t}$ ( $26 \%$ of inshore, $12 \%$ of total) was caught in 4 Xr . The age composition of landings by the 4 Xr component has, in many years, been dominated by 2 and 3 year old fish. This has not occurred in comparable vessels operating elsewhere in 4X.

While there is some evidence for a small resident haddock population in the Bay of Fundy, there is sufficient mixing that it is appropriate to treat the $4 X$ stock as a single unit for yield calculations. Because the total catches affected by the changed mesh size are small, the yield increases for the 4 X stock as a whole will be slight. If the overall fishing mortality rate is at the appropriate $F_{0,1}$ level, each 100 t caught at age 2 using 120 mm mesh gear would, if fished with 130 mm mesh gear, have generated an additional catch of $48 t$ over the next 11 years. Similarly, for three year old fish, projections showed a calculated gain of 22 t per 100 t taken at age 3. The majority of this increase occurs at ages 5 and 6 .


These yield gains would, to some degree, be available to the fishery in 4 Xr although they would be shared throughout the fishery.

A change from the use of 120 mm to 130 mm mesh will reduce catch rates of otter trawlers both in the short-term and in the long-term, primarily be reducing the catches of 2 and 3 year old fish. Catch rates have been and will remain highly variable. When the fishery concentrates on 2 and 3 year olds the reduction in catch rates may range as high as $50 \%$, but would be much smaller when the fishery concentrates on older fish. Since there are currently several relatively strong year-classes in the fishery, these losses represent a missed opportunity to catch these younger age groups in future and not a direct reduction of 1982 rates relative to 1981. Prediction of 1982 losses is not feasible due to the absence of reliable abundance indices for pre-recruit haddock.

Discarding is known to have occurred during the 1970's. Discards of small fish will be reduced in future if the 130 mm mesh size is enforced. If this regulation is not implemented those boats presently using 130 mm mesh codends may revert to the use of the smaller mesh. This would cause substantial losses in yield.

## RESUME

On se propose de mettre en vigueur le $1^{\text {er }}$ janvier 1982 un règlement introduit le $1^{\text {er }}$ juillet 1981 à l'effet qu'aucune maille de cul-de-chalut ne doit être inférieure à 130 mm , quel que soit le matériel. Dans la pêche à l'églefin de la division 4 X , les chalutiers côtiers (classes de tonnage 1, 2, et 3 ) seront probablement les seuls dont les taux de capture seront affectés par ce changement. On croit que le reste de la flottille de chalutiers utilise la maile de 130 mm .

En 1980, les prises nominales totales d'églefin de la division 4 X étaient de 29006 t dont 13018 t ( $45 \%$ ) avaient été rapportées par les chalutiers côtiers. De cette dernière quantité, 3349 t ( $26 \%$ des côtiers, $12 \%$ du total) avaient été capturées dans 4 Xr . Depuis plusieurs années, la composition des débarquements par âge en provenance de 4 Xr est dominée par des poissons âgés de 2 et 3 ans. Ceci ne s'est pas produit avec des bateaux de taille comparable pêchant ailleurs dans 4 X .

On a certaines indications qu'il existe dans la baie de Fundy une petite population résident d'églefins. Il y a cependant suffisamment de mélange pour justifier, dans les calculs de rendements, le traitement du stock de 4 X comme une unité. Comme les prises totales touchées par le changement de grandeur de maille sont faibles, les augmentations de rendement du stock de 4 X seront généralement peu importantes. Si le taux de mortalité par pêche est dans l'ensemble maintenu au niveau de $\mathrm{F}_{0}$, approprié, chaque tonne capturée à l'âge 2 avec maille de 120 mm èngendrerait, avec maille de 130 mm , des prises supplémentaires de 48 t dans les 11 prochaines années. De même, dans le cas des poissons de 3 ans, les projections donnent un gain de 22 t par 100 t capturées. Cette augmentation se produirait en grande partie aux ages 5 et 6 .

Les bateaux pêchant dans $4 X r$ pourraient, jusqu'à un certain point, profiter de ces rendements améliorés, bien que ces derniers seraient répartis dans toute la division.

Le remplacement de la maille de 120 mm par une de 130 mm réduira les taux de capture, tant à court qu'à long terme, surtout par une réduction des prises de poissons de 2 et 3 ans. Comme par le passé, les taux de capture demeureront hautement variables. Quand la pêche se concentre sur les poissons de 2 et 3 ans, la diminution des taux de capture peut atteindre $50 \%$. Elle serait toutefois beaucoup plus faible si la pêche se concentrait sur des poissons plus âgés. Comme il y a présentement dans cette pêche plusieurs classes d'âge relativement abondantes, ces pertes représentent une occasion manquée de capturer ces jeunes groupes d'âge plus tard et non une réduction directe des taux de 1982 comparativement à ceux de 1981. En l'absence d'indices d'abondance faibles des prérecrues, on ne peut prédire les pertes en 1982.

On sait qu'il y eut, dans les années 1970, rejet de jeunes poissons à la mer. L'adoption d'une maille de 130 mm réduira ce rejet. Par ailleurs, si le règlement n'est pas appliqué, il est possible que les bateaux utilisant présentement des culs-de-chalut de maille de 130 mm reviennent à la maille plus petite. Il y aurait alors substantielles pertes de rendement.

## INTRODUCTION

The mesh regulation for otter trawlers operating in NAFO subareas 4 and 5 presently states that the codend mesh size (inside dimensions wet) should be no smaller than 120 mm for polyamide and polyester material and no smaller than 130 mm for polyethylene, polypropylene and manila fibres. It is proposed to change this regulation on 1 January 1982 to state that no codend mesh size should be smaller than 130 mm irrespective of material. Thus the specification for the differing materials is being dropped.

An ICNAF working group on mesh regulations (Beverton and Hodder, 1962) concluded that there would be a small decrease in the long-term yield of the 4 X haddock stock when moving from 114 mm to 130 mm (manila) codend mesh. It is therefore advisable to reevaluate the 4 X haddock situation in light of the new regulations.

The purpose of this document, then, is to present an analysis of (A) the short and long-term changes in the sustainable yield of the 4 X haddock stock and (B) the short and long-term changes in the catch rates of the various fleet components.

## STRUCTURE OF THE FISHERY

A. Catch Trends by Fleet Sector

Although there is a substantial longliner fleet sector, the change in mesh regulation does not involve them. Thus discussion here will be restricted to aspects of the otter trawler fleet.

The otter trawler fleet can be roughly divided into two components - those greater than 90 ft in overall length (referred to as offshore vessels) and those under 90 ft (referred to as inshore vessels). The former group is composed of tonnage classes 4 and 5 and due to size these vessels can operate all year round. Presently, however, their activity in 4X is restricted by fleet allocations to the early spring and late fall (0'Boyle, 1981). The inshore fleet is composed of tonnage class 1, 2, and 3 vessels which can only operate during the more clement weather of the late spring to late autumn (Figure 1).

Total reported nominal catches for all vessels fishing haddock in NAFO Division 4X are presented in Table 1 for the $1970-80$ period. The landings for the inshore and offshore otter trawl fleets split by year and unit area, are presented in Tables 2 and 3.

In 1980, the total nominal catch of 4 X haddock was $29,006 \mathrm{t}, 13,018$ ( $45 \%$ ) of which was reported by inshore otter trawlers. Of the latter, $3,349 \mathrm{t}$ ( $26 \%$ of inshore, $12 \%$ of total) was caught in unit areas 4 Xr and 4 Xs , Fig. 7. Summed over the 1970 - 80 period, nominal catches of haddock reported by otter trawlers fishing in $4 \mathrm{Xr}-\mathrm{s}$ made up just under $12 \%$ of the total landings. Virtually all of this was by inshore vessels, particularly of tonnage classes 2 and 3. Almost half of the stock's yield during this period went to the offshore otter trawler fleet operating in $4 \mathrm{Xm}-\mathrm{q}$.

## B. The Composition of the Fleet

Since 1967, : average of 134 vessels of tonnage class 2 and above have reported to operate fishing haddock in 4 X each year (Table 4). Of these, about 62 ( $46 \%$ ) have reported as fishing in 4 Xr . However, the proportion of the fleet that fishes solely in the Bay of Fundy is quite small. This indicates that the various fleet components are quite mobile and fish wherever they can. This point has to be taken into consideration if one contemplates enforcement of the mesh regulation on a unit area basis.

## C. The Codend Mesh Sizes in Use During the 1957 - 80 Period

Prior to 1957, most otter trawlers on the Scotian Shelf were using nets with codend mesh sizes lower than 76 mm inside dimension. This resulted in much potential yield being lost from the fishery. As a first step to the long-term management of the region's fish stocks, an overall increase in codend mesh size to $114 \mathrm{~mm}\left(4 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for all otter trawlers fishing in Subarea 4 came into effect in 1957. At this time most nets were made of manila twine. However, increasing numbers of nets made of synthetics such as polyamide, polypropylene and polyethylene had already begun to appear. Consequently, the advice was stated in terms of manila twine, with selectivity equivalents for synthetic nets being available from the commission. These are presented in ICNAF Special Publication No. 5 in which are summarized reports discussed at the 1957 joint ICNAF/ ICES/FAO Scientific meeting.

During 1959-61, an ICNAF working group carried out an evaluation of the mesh regulations in the Convention Area. These studies indicated that an overall increase in mesh size form 114 mm to 130 mm (manila) was desirable (Beverton and Hodder, 1962). After much discussion, a new set of regulations was enacted in 1973. These stated that the codend mesh for trawl nets used in subareas 4 and 5 should be no smaller than 120 mm for polyamide and polyester fibres and no smaller than 130 m for polyethylene, polypropylene and manila fibres.

During the 1960's mesh measurement of the trawl codends were made by Fishery Officers at the posts of landings. The first sea boardings of domestic vessels did not occur until approximately 1975 (C. Jones, C. \& P., Halifax, pers. comm.). Available mesh measurement reports for 1973-1974 indicate a variety of mesh sizes were in use, with an average size of 117 mm .

The practice of collecting these mesh measurement records subsequently fell into disuse. According to recent conversations with Yarmouth fisheries personnel, this occurred around 1976 due to the lack of convictions for violations by the courts. The records were not considered sufficient evidence for a prosecution. Therefore, little data are available for mesh sizes in use subsequent to 1976. A wide variety of materials was also in use. McCracken (1977) feels that the most common material in 1974 and 1976 was polypropylene of mesh sizes in excess of 130 mm .

However, the use of various synthetic fibres complicated enforcement in that it is virtually impossible to distinguish between the materials and thus effectively employ the equivalency regulation. McCracken (1977) reexamined the basis for the use of material equivalents and concluded that their use was illusionary on account of variability in experimental results, use of the nets, and measurements of the codend meshes. Certainly the dropping of the selectivity equivalents would make the enforcement of the regulation much more practical.

According to C. Jones (pers. comm.), around 1978-79, the offshore fleet (T.C. 4 \& 5) began to go over to 130 mm mesh en masse. By this time, polyamide had virtually disappeared from use. No explanation for this disappearance of polyamide was available.

No such trend was reported to have occurred in the inshore fleet (T.C. 1-3). From discussion held with Yarmouth-Digby fisheries personnel, it appears that the two classes of inshore vessels presently operating in that area ( $30-45 \mathrm{ft}$ and $60-65 \mathrm{ft}$ ) are using about 120 m mesh mostly of polyproplyene and polyethylene material.
D. Age Composition of the Catch by Fleet Component

Sampling of the tonnage class 1,2 , and 3 vesse1s in 4 X was low compared to the offshore vessels, during the 1970-80 period (Table 5). Averaged over the entire period, about one sample was taken for every 1218 t haddock landed by T.C. 1, 2, and 3 vessels operating in unit areas $4 \mathrm{XM}-\mathrm{Q}$. This compares to 256 t for the T.C. $4 \& 5$ vessels operating in the same area and 804 t for T.C. l-3 vessels operating in the Bay of Fundy. However, sampling was non-existent for these vessels during 1977-79.

The percentage age composition of these samples is illustrated by year and unit area in Figure 2. All tonnage classes are considered together.

During the 1970 - 76 period, the peak age for Bay of Fundy catch was 2 - 3 compared to greater than age 3 for the $4 \mathrm{XM}-\mathrm{P}$ samples. It is interesting to note that distribution of the 4 Xq samples was often somewhere between those of $4 \mathrm{Xr}-\mathrm{p}$ and 4 Xr . This is understandable considering that vessels from many parts of 4 X fish in this area. As well, components of both the Bay of Fundy and offshore haddock populations are probably resident in this area.

The composition of the two 1980 samples from the Bay of Fundy more closely resembles the composition observed in the offshore component of 4X.

These results were compared to the age composition of haddock observed in strata $90-95$ of the July groundfish surveys (Table 7). During

1970-72, the research age composition more closely resembled that for the landings from $4 \mathrm{Xm}-\mathrm{p}$ than from $4 \mathrm{Xr}-\mathrm{s}$. For 1973 - 76, the research vessel age composition follows the pattern observed in the 4 Xr -s commercial fishery.

## IMPACT OF MESH REGULATION ON YIELD

The analysis of the impact of a change in the mesh regulations is relatively straight-forward if one assumes homogeneity of the population within the management unit. There is however, some evidence to suggest that all haddock in 4 X are not members of the same stock (Halliday and McCracken, 1970). In particular, the haddock observed in the Bay of Fundy have been suspected to be an inshore stock discreet from the population which spawns on Brown's Bank in late winter-early spring. Furthermore, the size and age composition of this Bay of Fundy population may be different from that observed for the Brown's Bank population.

Hennemuth et al., (1964) present a description of the 4X haddock population during 1956 - 61. In it, they consider the Bay of Fundy area (unit areas $4 \mathrm{Xr}-\mathrm{s}$ ) separate from the rest of 4 X (unit areas $4 \mathrm{Xm}-\mathrm{q}$ ). Based on an analysis of the commercial sampling data, they found that haddock in the Bay of Fundy exhibited faster growth and a younger age composition than those found in the rest of 4 X .

It is certainly evident from the commercial sample data presented above that the fishery operating in $4 \mathrm{Xr}-\mathrm{s}$ is landing significantly smaller fish than is being landed from other areas in 4 X .

Nevertheless, observations based on the commercial sampling data are too compounded by problems such as gear use, area of fishing, and mesh size to make definitive statements on possible stock structures.

Available groundfish research survey data for both the Scotian Shelf (Table 6) and Bay of Fundy (Table 7) areas do not indicate the same overall dominance of younger ages groups in the Bay of Fundy population that was suggested by Hennemuth et al., (1964). However, they do indicate that, both in the mid-late 1960's and also recently, there has been a relative abundance of individuals younger than four years of age (Figure 3) in the Bay of Fundy.

Hennemuth et al. (1964) also observed that the Bay of Fundy haddock were considerably larger at age than their brothers on Brown's Bank. Although the same trend was observed in the research survey data set (Figure 4), the magnitude of the difference is quite small. Indeed, the age-size relationships found there are comparable with those that Hennemuth et al. (1964) found appropriate for the $5 Y$ haddock populations. The earlier study may have suffered more problems due to gear selectivity than the authors thought.

Thus although there appear to be differences between haddock populations found on Brown's Bank and in the Bay of Fundy, these differences cannot be said to be consistent and significant. The analysis presented below assumes that there is sufficient mixing to allow treatment of the populations in 4 X as a single stock for yield calculation purposes.

## A. Choice of Selectivity Ogives

There has been an enormous number of studies on the selectivity characteristics of trawl gear for haddock. Holden (1971) presents the most comprehensive review for all studies prior to 1971. In the early studies, there was much emphasis on selectivity changes due to fibre type (Brandt, 1963; Clark, 1963; Clark et al., 1958; McCracken, 1963; Templeman, 1963). However, as McCracken (1977) points out, if one steps back and overviews the entire situation, it becomes clear that there are no definitive, quantifiable, trends in the selection factor by codend fibre type.

Clay (1979) provides a relationship between the $50 \%$ retention length ( mm ) and the codend mesh size (mm) which essentially ignores systematic affects due to codend mesh material. This formula generates $50 \%$ retention lengths of 40.7 and 44.034 cm for 120 and 130 mm mesh codends respectively. Selection at length ( $\ell$ ) for these two mesh sizes was obtained by first describing an appropriate pattern of selection change over length and then moving this ogive so that the $50 \%$ retention lengths agreed with those provided by the Clay (1979) equation.

The shape of the selection ogive was obtained by fitting a logistic curve to the data on selection at length for haddock caught in a $5^{\prime \prime}$ codend mesh net provided by Clark et al., (1958). The resulting formula was:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\ell)=\frac{1}{1+e^{a+\beta(\ell / L 50)}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(\ell)=$ Fraction selected at length
$\mathrm{a}=12.194$
$\beta=-12.0777$
\& $=$ length
L50 $=50 \%$ retention length (in the units of $\ell$ )
The $50 \%$ retention lengths were then determined by the equation of Clay (1979) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{50}=3.63 \mathrm{M}-28.49 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\begin{aligned} & L_{50}=50 \% \text { retention length in mm } \\ & M=\text { codend mesh size in mm }\end{aligned}$

The resulting selection ogives at length are provided in Table 8 and plotted on Figure 5.

These ogives were converted to selection at age by applying them to an age-length key available from the commercial sample data. The age-length key chosen was one consisting of all samples for T.C. 1, 2, and 3 vessels operating in $4 \mathrm{Xm}-\mathrm{s}$ (i.e. all of 4 X ) during 1980. This key was chosen over others as it was felt that this component of the fleet will be the one most likely to be influenced by the change in regulation.
B. Calculation of Partial Recruitment Pattern by Fleet Component

The catch from a fishery during any given year can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dc}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{FN} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present situation, two fleet components are being considered those vessels using 120 mm mesh gear (i.e. a subset of otter trawlers of T.C. 1, 2, and 3) and those using 130 mm codend mesh gear or equivalent (i.e. all other vessels operating in the fishery). Note that no difference in population age structure by area is being assumed. Therefore equation (3) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1},+\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{dt}}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{1}+\mathrm{F}_{2}\right) \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{TOT}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each component,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{C}_{1}(\mathrm{t}) & =\int_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{t}+1} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{TOT}}  \tag{5}\\
& =\mathrm{F}_{1} \int \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{TOT}} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

which assumes that the level of exploitation is constant over the year.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { But } \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{TOT}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{TOT}} \int \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{TOT}} \text { and } \frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{TOT}}}{\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{TOT}}}=\int \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{TOT}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=F_{1} \times \frac{C_{T O T}}{F_{T O T}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{1}}{F_{1}}=\frac{C_{T O T}}{F_{T O T}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (9) assumes that same population structure is being exploited by all components of the fishery.

The fishing mortalities at age for 1980 were obtained from O'Boyle (1981). The contribution to it by the two fleet components was calculated by application of equation (9). The input parameters were:

```
    \(\mathrm{C}_{\text {TOT }}=\) total catch at age for 1980
    \(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{TOT}}=\) total F at age for 1980
    \(C_{1}=\) catch at age for otter trawlers, of T.C. 1,2 , and 3 fishing
        in 4X
```

F. was thus calculated and represented the fishing mortality at age for inshore otter trawlers fishing in 4X.
$\mathrm{F}_{2}$, the fishing mortality due to the second component of the fishery was
calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}=F_{T O T} \times \frac{\left(C_{T O T}-C_{1}\right)}{C_{T O T}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 9 contains a summary of all the relevant data.

The next step is to make changes to $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ that would reflect changes due to the mesh regulation. As it is assumed that the offshore fleet is presently using 130 mm , no change in $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ was expected. Therefore, only a change in $F_{1}$ is considered.

Ideally one would estimate the effect of changing only that portion of $F$ which currently fishes 120 mesh gear to 130 mesh. Since the available data do not permit a finer resolution, it was decided to overestimate the change by applying the selection ratio to the entire $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ component. The resulting yield changes will consequently be exaggerated by an unknown amount.

The change was effected by use of the following relationship on an age by age basis.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{130}}{S_{120}} \times F_{1}=F_{1,130} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{1,130}+\mathrm{F}_{2}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{TOT}, 130} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 10 presents the results.
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{TOT}, 130}$ was then converted to a partial recruitment vector by dividing each element by the age 12 value.
C. Impact of New Regulation on Yield

1) Long-term effects on yield

The long-term impact of the mesh regulation change was evaluated through use of the Thompson and Bell yield per recruit model. Two predictions were made, one using the partial recruitment before the change, and the other using the derived partial recruitment after the change. In both cases, the mean weight at age (kg) for the 1962-80 period was employed. The results are presented in Table 11.

The change, to the regulation causes a slight increase in the $F_{0}$. ( 0.302 vs 0.310 ) possible. There is a corresponding increase in the 1
 so slight that they must be considered insignificant. Certainly, they are well within the error bounds of the models and data employed.

Beverton and Hodder (1962) report a long-term decrease in yield of $3 \%$ and $10 \%$ for a change in mesh size from $4 \frac{1}{2}$ in. (114 mm) to 5 in. (127 mm ) and $5 \frac{1}{2}$ in. ( 140 mm ), respectively, for the entire fleet. This calculation assumed knife-edge recruitment, which may have shifted the location of optimum yield slightly (Gulland, 1963). Growth parameters in 1962 would be expected to be different, but as they are not reported by Beverton and Hodder (1962) it is not possible to determine the effect of changes in growth between 1962 and the 1970's.

Another way to look at the long-term yield effects is to consider how much more yield can be gained from 100 t of age 2 and 3 fish in moving from 120 to 130 mm codend mesh. These age groups were chosen as they are the two to be most affected by the regulation. The details
of the calculations are given in Table 12. Basically, population sizes at ages 2 and $3, N\left(a_{0}\right)$, were calculated which generated a catch of 100 t using the 120 mm mesh size. These populations were then fished, at the new 130 mm mesh size to discern the increase in yield. If the overall fishing mortality is at the appropriate $F_{0,1}$ level, each 100 t caught at age 2 using 120 mm gear would, if fished with 130 mm mesh gear, generate an additional catch of 48 t over the next 11 years. Similarly, for 3 year old fish, projections showed a calculated gain of 22 t per 100 t taken at age 3. The majority of this increase occurs at ages 5 and 6 .

## 2) Short-term effects on yield

Short-term effects were evaluated through use of catch projections using the 1982 population structure as defined during the 1981 assessment (0'Boyle, 1981) and mean weights at age for the 1962 - 80 period. This population structure was "fished" at $F_{0}$. assuming (i) no change in partial recruitment and (ii) a change $\left\{\dot{o}^{1} 130 \mathrm{~mm}\right.$ mesh nets for the inshore fleet. The input data are given in Table 11 and the results presented in Table 13.

For the fishery as a whole, there would be a loss of 764 t in yield, or about $3 \%$ of the possible catch, during the first year. The loss would diminish to less than $1 \%$ during the second and subsequent years. By 1986, yield would have recovered to the level expected before any change in the regulation occurred.

Thus, as with the yield per recruit calculations, yery little change is expected to occur in yield, on a total 4 X areal basis. Again, it should be emphasized that these calculations exaggerate the magnitude of the expected changes.

## D. Impact of New Regulation on Catch Rates

If it is assumed that the offshore vessels (tonnage class 4 and 5) presently use 130 mm codend mesh, then the effect on this segment of the fishery will be negligible or non-existent, both in the short and longterm.

The component of the fishery to be most seriously affected are the T.C. 1, 2, and 3 vessels, particularily those operating in the Bay of Fundy as this fleet concentrates on age 2 and 3 fish. In the long-term, the catch rates would not be expected to change significantly from their present levels. This is apparent from the short and long-term yield projections. Most of the effect on these vessels will be immediate within the next two years. The extent of this effect depends to a large extent on the population age structure over this period. Needless to say, the change would be dramatic if a large sector of the population suddenly became unavailable to the gear.

These immediate effects were examined by perturbing the lengthfrequency distributions of individual historical commercial samples.

This perturbation was done on length-frequencies of commercial samples (representing individual trips) by multiplying each element of the distribution by the ratio of the selectivities at length (i.e. selectivity at length for ( 130 mm ) $\div$ (selectivity at length for 120 mm ), converting the lengths to weights and summing over all weights. In this manner, a before and after catch weight for the vessel and trip in question could be obtained. The ratio of the two catch weights is an indication of the change in catch rates (calculated as catch per trip) and thus reflects the impact on the catch rate.

This exercise was carried out on all samples taken for 4 Xr landings during 1948 - 80 as well as for all T.C. 1, 2, and 3 vessel landings during 1980. The results are sumarized in Tables 14 and 15 and illustrated in Figure 6.

In 4 Xr , catch rate ratios ranged from 0.50 to 0.98 for the $1948-80$ period. The range for all vessels operating in 4 X during 1980 was only 0.8 to 0.99 . The lower ratios observed in the 4 XR samples is due to the fact that, as was discussed earlier, vessels operating there concentrate on age 2 and 3 fish. From the selectivity results (Table 10) it is evident that mortality of these 2 age groups will be the most dramatic to change in the fishery.

Thus, whereas vessels operating in other areas of 4 X would be expected to be effected only slightly by the regulation, those operating in 4 Xr may experience immediate declines in the catch rates in the order of 2 to $52 \%$. The exact figure depends on a combination of the mesh size in use and the population size composition at the time of imposition of the regulation. In this analysis, it was assumed that all the fishing vessels are presently using 120 mm mesh. Obviously the effect on catch rates will be more dramatic if smaller mesh sizes are actually in use. Regarding the 1982 age composition, there is some indication (O'Boyle, 1981) that the size of the 1979 year class could be substantial, perhaps equaling the size of the 1962 year class, the second largest to occur in the fishery since 1981. If this is confirmed, then vessels restricted to fishing in 4 Xr could experience declines in catch rates similar to those calculated for the mid 1960's in Table 14 (20-50\%).

A key consideration here is that only those vessels restricted to 4 Xr will be the most seriously affected. If vessels fishing there now can move out further onto the shelf, this will have a moderating effect on the catch rate declines. The vessels which must fish in 4 Xr would have to gear up to increase their mobility if they wish to maintain present catch ratios. The precise number of vessels in this situation is presently unknown.

Whatever the case, yield gains resulting from the regulation would, to some degree, be available to the fishery in 4 Xr although they would be shared throughout 4X.

One aspect of the regulation that sould not be overlooked is that future discards will be reduced at 130 mm mesh. Substantial discarding is known to have occurred in the past. If the regulation is not implemented, those boats presently using 130 mm mesh codends may revert to the use of smaller mesh and cause substantial losses in yield.

## SUMMARY

Long-term and short-term yield and catch rate trends were examined in relation to application of a new mesh size regulation as of 1 January 1982. The regulation will stipulate that no codend mesh size should be less than 130 mm irrespective of the material used.

Of the two components exploiting the fishery, the T.C. 1, 2, and 3 otter trawlers, particularly those operating in 4 Xr , are the most likely to be affected.

It was determined that very little change in yield will occur in both the long and short-term. Any differences observed in this study are well within the bounds of error present in the data and the models employed.

The findings imply little long-term change in catch rates. The same is not true for the short-term. The latter could decrease anywhere from 10 to $50 \%$ as a result of the mesh regulation. Timing of the regulation could have a major effect, as the largest decrease in catch rates would occur when the population is dominated by fish of ages 2 and 3 . This, compounded with the other problems in the economy, makes a decision on a change in the mesh regulation a very hard one indeed.
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Table 1. Reported nominal catch ( $t$ ) of haddock by year and country for all vessels operating in NAFO Division 4X.


Table 2. Reported nominal catch ( $t$ ) of haddock by year and tonnage class for side and stern otter trawlers operating in unit areas $4 X_{m-q}$.

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total <br> Inshore | Total <br> Offshore | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1970 | 90 | 576 | 2132 | 3664 | 2834 | 2798 | 6498 | 9296 |
| 1971 | 73 | 530 | 1808 | 4731 | 2960 | 2411 | 7691 | 10102 |
| 1972 | 63 | 201 | 874 | 2797 | 1929 | 1138 | 4726 | 5864 |
| 1973 | 47 | 226 | 346 | 2565 | 1663 | 619 | 4228 | 4847 |
| 1974 | 109 | 333 | 378 | 1069 | 554 | 820 | 1623 | 2443 |
| 1975 | 316 | 1289 | 2163 | 2414 | 1995 | 3768 | 4409 | 8177 |
| 1976 | 180 | 774 | 1290 | 3044 | 3096 | 2244 | 6140 | 8384 |
| 1977 | 456 | 1582 | 2725 | 3689 | 4656 | 4763 | 8345 | 13108 |
| 1978 | 713 | 2595 | 3625 | 3720 | 3836 | 6933 | 7556 | 14489 |
| 1979 | 747 | 2956 | 4216 | 4315 | 4319 | 7919 | 8634 | 16553 |
| 1980 | 1496 | 3542 | 4631 | 3660 | 3780 | 9669 | 7440 | 17109 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 4290 | 14604 | 24188 | 35668 | 31622 | 43082 | 67290 | 110372 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Reported nominal catch $(t)$ of haddock by year and tonnage class for side and stern otter trawlers in unit areas $4 \mathrm{X}_{r}$-s.

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total <br> Inshore | Total <br> Offshore | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1970 | 116 | 1279 | 1040 | 2 | 0 | 2435 | 2 | 2437 |
| 1971 | 102 | 1079 | 913 | 21 | 0 | 2094 | 21 | 2115 |
| 1972 | 83 | 824 | 800 | 10 | 14 | 1707 | 24 | 1731 |
| 1973 | 59 | 590 | 621 | 0 | 0 | 1270 | 0 | 1270 |
| 1974 | 99 | 1626 | 1521 | 0 | 0 | 3246 | 0 | 3246 |
| 1975 | 0 | 1140 | 1252 | 0 | 0 | 2392 | 0 | 2392 |
| 1976 | 130 | 693 | 1309 | 0 | 3 | 2132 | 3 | 2135 |
| 1977 | 0 | 635 | 818 | 0 | 0 | 1453 | 0 | 1453 |
| 1978 | 217 | 742 | 1102 | 0 | 0 | 2061 | 0 | 2061 |
| 1979 | 137 | 844 | 1244 | 6 | 0 | 2225 | 6 | 2231 |
| 1980 | 642 | 974 | 1733 | 0 | 0 | 3349 | 0 | 3349 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 1585 | 10425 | 12353 | 39 | 17 | 24364 | 56 | 24420 |

Table 4. Number of otter trawlers fishing for haddock in NAFO Div. $4 X$ by year, unit area and tonnage class.

| YEAR | UNIT AREA | TONNAGE CLASS |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 1967 | 4XMNOP | 9 | 11 | 15 | 9 | 44 |
|  | Q | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 20 |
|  | R | 24 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 41 |
|  | S | 8 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 19 |
|  | 4XM-S | 26 | 15 | 16 | 9 | 66 |
| 1968 | 4XMNOP | 11 | 35 | 51 | 18 | 115 |
|  | Q | 10 | 26 | 14 | 3 | 53 |
|  | R | 35 | 42 | 9 | 3 | 89 |
|  | S | 14 | 26 | 3 | - | 43 |
|  | 4 XM -S | 43 | 53 | 52 | 19 | 167 |
| 1969 | 4XMNOP | 13 | 29 | 47 | 19 | 108 |
|  | Q | 8 | 16 | 17 | 7 | 48 |
|  | R | 33 | 34 | - | 2 | 69 |
|  | S | 11 | 24 | - | - | 35 |
|  | $4 \times M-5$ | 37 | 51 | 48 | 19 | 155 |
| 1970 | 4XMNOP | 14 | 38 | 32 | 17 | 101 |
|  | Q | 17 | 24 | 11 | 8 | 60 |
|  | R | 29 | 32 | 2 | - | 63 |
|  | S | 8 | 16 | - | - | 24 |
|  | 4XM-S | 38 | 53 | 32 | 17 | 140 |
| 1971 | 4XMNOP | 13 | 35 | 38 | 19 | 105 |
|  | Q | 18 | 28 | 10 | 5 | 61 |
|  | R | 30 | 32 | 4 | - | 66 |
|  | S | 11 | 12 | - | - | 23 |
|  | $4 \mathrm{XM}-\mathrm{S}$ | 41 | 48 | 39 | 19 | 147 |
| 1972 | 4XMNOP | 9 | 28 | 27 | 17 | 81 |
|  | Q | 13 | 25 | 19 | 12 | 69 |
|  | R | 23 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 55 |
|  | S | 9 | 7 | - | - | 16 |
|  | 4XM-S | 32 | 44 | 27 | 17 | 120 |
| 1973 | 4XMNOP | 6 | 10 | 26 | 14 | 56 |
|  | Q | 16 | 26 | 16 | 7 | 65 |
|  | R | 32 | 28 | - | - | 60 |
|  | S | 7 | 9 | - | - | 16 |
|  | 4XM-S | 41 | 37 | 26 | 14 | 118 |
| 1974 | 4XMNOP | 5 | 5 | 21 | 17 | 48 |
|  | Q | 14 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 62 |
|  | R | 38 | 30 | - | - | 68 |
|  | S | 7 | 10 | - | - | 17 |
|  | 4XM-S | 45 | 36 | 22 | 18 | 121 |

Table 4 (Cont'd). Number of otter trawlers fishing for haddock in NAFO Div. $4 X$ by year, unit area and tonnage class.

| YEAR | UNIT AREA | TONNAGE CLASS |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 1975 | 4XMNOP | 12 | 33 | 33 | 21 | 99 |
|  | Q | 16 | 25 | 3 | 7 | 51 |
|  | R | 30 | 30 | - | 1 | 61 |
|  | S | 9 | 7 | - | - | 16 |
|  | 4XM-S | 44 | 40 | 33 | 21 | 138 |
| 1976 | 4XMNOP | 16 | 21 | 28 | 27 | 92 |
|  | Q | 21 | 21 | 4 | 10 | 56 |
|  | R | 26 | 31 | - | 1 | 58 |
|  | S | 7 | 6 | - | 7 | 13 |
|  | 4XM-S | 42 | 40 | 28 | 27 | 137 |
| 1977 | 4XMNOP | 20 | 32 | 26 | 30 | 108 |
|  | Q | 19 | 17 | 3 | 3 | 42 |
|  | R | 24 | 26 | - | - | 50 |
|  | S | 5 | 3 | - | - | 8 |
|  | $4 \mathrm{XM}-\mathrm{S}$ | 38 | 39 | 26 | 30 | 133 |
| 1978 | 4XMNOP | 29 | 34 | 28 | 35 | 126 |
|  | Q | 21 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 48 |
|  | R | 19 | 25 | - | - | 44 |
|  | S | 5 | 5 | - | - | 10 |
|  | $4 \times \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}$ | 40 | 36 | 29 | 35 | 140 |
| 1979 | 4XMNOP | 41 |  | 32 | 29 | 145 |
|  | Q | 28 | 33 | 5 | 4 | 70 |
|  | R | 20 | 34 | - | - | 54 |
|  | S | 7 | 18 | 2 | - | 27 |
|  | 4XM-S | 51 | 48 | 32 | 29 | 160 |
| 1980 | 4XMNOP | 43 | 49 | 26 | 33 | 151 |
|  | Q | 39 | 30 | 6 | 2 | 77 |
|  | R | 26 | 40 | - | - | 66 |
|  | S | 10 | 17 | - | - | 27 |
|  | $4 \mathrm{XM}-\mathrm{S}$ | 68 | 56 | 26 | 36 | 186 |

Table 5. Amount of tonnage per sample of haddock caught by otter trawlers in 4X during 1970-80. The number of samples is indicated in parenthesis.

| Year | 4XM-Q |  | 4XR-S |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { T.C. } \\ 1,2,3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T.C. } \\ & 4,5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T.C. } \\ 1,2,3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} . C . \\ & 4,5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1970 | 2798 (1) | 295 (22) | 406 (6) | - |
| 1971 | 1206 (2) | 452 (17) | 698 (3) | 11 (2) |
| 1972 | 569 (2) | 236 (20) | 854 (2) | - |
| 1973 | 310 (2) | 302 (14) | 318 (4) | - |
| 1974 | 205 (4) | 325 (5) | 812 (4) | - |
| 1975 | 251 (15) | 157 (28) | 598 (4) | - |
| 1976 | 1122 (2) | 186 (33) | 1066 (2) | - |
| 1977 | 794 (6) | 130 (64) | - | - |
| 1978 | - | 157 (48) | - | - |
| 1979 | 3960 (2) | 262 (33) | - | - |
| 1980 | 967 (10) | 310 (24) | 1675 (2) | $\sim$ |
| $\bar{x}$ | 1218 | 256 | 804 | 11 |

Table 6. Numbers ( $000^{\prime} 0$ ) of haddock in strata $70-85$ (Scotian Shelf) as determined by July groundfish survey.

|  | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 578 | 40 |
| 1 | 7871 | 161 | 7183 | 8195 | 13351 | 9135 | 6469 | 8071 | 8804 | 2293 | 29360 |
| 2 | 5967 | 14800 | 319 | 27832 | 29098 | 4076 | 6241 | 40824 | 6708 | 10183 | 4964 |
| 3 | 1936 | 4943 | 4299 | 960 | 32895 | 6426 | 4749 | 50550 | 12624 | 4754 | 13684 |
| 4 | 3426 | 2452 | 1777 | 3673 | 1238 | 9665 | 5024 | 14241 | 3413 | 9607 | 9796 |
| 5 | 1454 | 3757 | 1204 | 1737 | 5790 | 539 | 9501 | 14158 | 1959 | 6039 | 16182 |
| 6 | 3168 | 1742 | 1233 | 713 | 1174 | 2371 | 747 | 8270 | 4073 | 2315 | 5489 |
| 7 | 6640 | 2719 | 839 | 882 | 655 | 669 | 898 | 957 | 1474 | 3998 | 2129 |
| 8 | 1234 | 6417 | 1185 | 634 | 813 | 514 | 140 | 1310 | 0 | 1454 | 1687 |
| 9 | 412 | 999 | 1411 | 392 | 488 | 212 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 339 | 765 |
| 10 | 303 | 102 | 38 | 557 | 323 | 143 | 55 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 330 |
| 11 | 49 | 62 | 9 | 33 | 261 | 329 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 51 |
| $12+$ | 45 | 84 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 275 | 425 | 315 | 115 | 164 | 0 |
| $N K$ | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 144 | 199 | 68 |

Table 7. Numbers ( $000^{\prime} 0$ ) of haddock in strata 90-95 (Bay of Fundy) as determined by July groundfish survey.

|  | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 |
| 1 | 123 | 0 | 65 | 35 | 2430 | 267 | 1129 | 622 | 37 | 478 | 1015 |
| 2 | 184 | 376 | 0 | 3297 | 3397 | 978 | 3093 | 5112 | 961 | 8892 | 4921 |
| 3 | 123 | 1503 | 249 | 52 | 13706 | 797 | 1197 | 2505 | 3526 | 6661 | 7991 |
| 4 | 350 | 478 | 283 | 428 | 209 | 1390 | 749 | 1299 | 1619 | 4570 | 1584 |
| 5 | 55 | 408 | 65 | 235 | 249 | 40 | 1067 | 1884 | 436 | 1090 | 1268 |
| 6 | 183 | 267 | 154 | 22 | 184 | 136 | 26 | 943 | 330 | 484 | 379 |
| 7 | 1576 | 351 | 67 | 154 | 90 | 0 | 51 | 173 | 227 | 576 | 0 |
| 8 | 120 | 1822 | 245 | 156 | 54 | 0 | 19 | 149 | 111 | 455 | 48 |
| 9 | 31 | 175 | 808 | 167 | 26 | 0 | 62 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| 10 | 0 | 26 | 42 | 257 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 152 | 0 |
| 11 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 152 | 13 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $12+$ | 0 | 52 | 19 | 20 | 0 | 65 | 90 | 294 | 206 | 29 | 21 |
| NK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 8. Selection at length (mm) for haddock caught by 120 and 130 mm codend mesh trawl nets.

| Length (mm) | Selection for 120 mm mesh net | Selection for 130 mm mesh net |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 18.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 20.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 22.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 24.50 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 26.50 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 28.50 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 30.50 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| 32.50 | 0.07 | 0.03 |
| 34.50 | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| 36.50 | 0.20 | 0.10 |
| 38.50 | 0.32 | 0.15 |
| 40.50 | 0.46 | 0.24 |
| 42.50 | 0.60 | 0.35 |
| 44.50 | 0.73 | 0.48 |
| 46.50 | 0.83 | 0.62 |
| 48.50 | 0.90 | 0.74 |
| 50.50 | 0.94 | 0.83 |
| 52.50 | 0.97 | 0.89 |
| 54.50 | 0.98 | 0.93 |
| 56.50 | 0.99 | 0.96 |
| 58.50 | 0.99 | 0.98 |
| 60.50 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| 62.50 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| 64.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 66.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 68.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 70.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 72.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 74.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 76.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 78.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 80.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 82.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 84.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Table 9. Summary of data used and generated in breakdown of 1980 fishing mortalities at age into $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ s at age by fleet component.

| Age | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {TOT }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {TOT }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $F_{1}$ | $F_{2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.000 | 12 | 55 | -43 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2 | 0.005 | 168 | 233 | -65 | 0.007 | -0.002 |
| 3 | 0.060 | 2692 | 1966 | 726 | 0.044 | 0.016 |
| 4 | 0.180 | 3283 | 2329 | 954 | 0.128 | 0.052 |
| 5 | 0.240 | 5321 | 1783 | 3538 | 0.080 | 0.160 |
| 6 | 0.720 | 3457 | 1251 | 2206 | 0.261 | 0.459 |
| 7 | 0.300 | 578 | 199 | 379 | 0.103 | 0.197 |
| 8 | 0.300 | 526 | 181 | 345 | 0.103 | 0.197 |
| 9 | 0.300 | 173 | 76 | 97 | 0.132 | 0.168 |
| 10 | 0.300 | 36 | 12 | 24 | 0.100 | 0.200 |
| 11 | 0.300 | 24 | 7 | 14 | 0.087 | 0.212 |
| 12 | 0.300 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0.000 | 0.300 |

Table 10. Fishing mortalities at age by fleet component both before and after a change in selectivity.

| Age | $S_{130}$ | $S_{120}$ | $F_{1}$ | $F_{2}$ | $F_{1,130}$ | $F_{\text {TOT, } 130}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.007 | -0.002 | 0.003 | 0.001 |
| 3 | 0.47 | 0.68 | 0.044 | 0.016 | 0.030 | 0.047 |
| 4 | 0.76 | 0.90 | 0.128 | 0.052 | 0.108 | 0.161 |
| 5 | 0.91 | 0.97 | 0.080 | 0.160 | 0.075 | 0.235 |
| 6 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.261 | 0.459 | 0.256 | 0.715 |
| 7 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.103 | 0.197 | 0.102 | 0.299 |
| 8 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.103 | 0.197 | 0.100 | 0.297 |
| 9 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.132 | 0.168 | 0.132 | 0.300 |
| 10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.300 |
| 11 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.087 | 0.212 | 0.087 | 0.299 |
| 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.000 | 0.300 | 0.000 | 0.300 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{50}$ | 40.7 | 44.34 |  |  |  |  |
| $(\mathrm{~cm})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 11. Yield per recruitment calculations and 1982 population parameters used in the catch projection.

| Age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean (1962-80) } \\ & \text { weight at age kg } \end{aligned}$ | 1982 <br> Catch at age number $\times 10^{-3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982 \\ & \text { Population at age } \\ & \text { number } \times 10^{-3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 01d } \\ \text { partial } \\ \text { Recruitment } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { partial } \\ \text { Recruitment } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.287 | 10 | 40000 | 0.0003 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.472 | 140 | 32740 | 0.0167 | 0.005 |
| 3 | 0.784 | 3341 | 66706 | 0.2 | 0.155 |
| 4 | 1.119 | 3328 | 23369 | 0.6 | 0.536 |
| 5 | 1.466 | 5015 | 27120 | 0.8 | 0.782 |
| 6 | 1.828 | 2201 | 9778 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 2.209 | 2448 | 10874 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 2.510 | 406 | 1803 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 2.769 | 206 | 915 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 3.013 | 182 | 833 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 3.244 | 62 | 274 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 3.478 | 13 | 57 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ |  |  |  | 0.302 | 0.310 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ |  |  |  | 0.733 | 0.793 |
| Yield | at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1} ; \mathrm{kg}$. |  |  | 0.513 | 0.517 |
| M |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |

Table 12. Yield to the fishery from 100 t not captured at ages 2 or 3.

Age at which fish are not
captured $a_{0}$
mean wt. (kg)
$\frac{2}{0.472} \quad \frac{3}{0.784}$
$F_{0.1}$ (1980 PR)
0.302
0.302

PR ( $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ )
0.0167
0.2
$N\left(a_{0}\right) \times 10^{-6}$
46882
2686
YPR (kg)
0.631
0.772

YIELD ( t) at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}(130 \mathrm{~mm}$ PR) $147.5 \quad 121.5$

Method of calculation:

$$
\text { YIELD }=\left(1-e^{M}\right) N\left(a_{0}\right) \times \operatorname{YPR}\left(a_{0}\right)
$$

where $N\left(a_{0}\right)$ is the population size required to produce a catch of 100 t between ages $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ and $a_{0}+1$ under the current partial recruitment and YPR is the yield-per-recruit at $F_{0.1}$ using the partial recruitment appropriate when all trawlers ${ }^{\text {use }} 130 \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh.

Table 13. Catch projections at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ to 1986 under the 1982 conditions as outlined in Table 14. A value of $40 \times 10^{6}$ was taken for age one recruitment for 1983-86.
(A) No change in partial recruitment

| Year | T+ population <br> number $\times 10^{-3}$ | Mean 1+ Fopulation <br> biomass | T+ catch <br> biomass <br> $T$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 214469 | 166377 | 25580 |
| 1983 | 199935 | 164483 | 31190 |
| 1984 | 185232 | 156299 | 30841 |
| 1985 | 174560 | 146705 | 29449 |
| 1986 | 167376 | 138628 | 26994 |

(B) Change to 130 mm mesh

| Year | 1+ population <br> number $\times 10^{-3}$ | Mean 1+ population <br> biomass | T+ catch <br> biomass <br> $T$ | $\Delta$ catch <br> biomass <br> $T$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 214469 | 166377 | 25580 | 0 |
| 1983 | 199935 | 164862 | 30426 | -764 |
| 1984 | 186080 | 157283 | 30635 | -206 |
| 1985 | 175656 | 147951 | 29442 | -7 |
| 1986 | 168571 | 140049 | 26992 | -2 |

Table 14. Perturbation results of commercial samples taken for 4XR landings ( $0 T 1,2$ and 3) during 1948-60 period.

| DATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RECORD } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | CATCH WE ACTUAL | FOR TRIP <br> PERTURBED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RATIO } \\ & \text { PERTURBED } \div \\ & \text { ACTUAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/48 | 1109 | 5851 | 5211 | . 89 |
| 12/49 | 1381 | 24366 | 20844 | . 86 |
| 6/55 | 1983 | 2994 | 1973 | . 66 |
| 7/58 | 2347 | 2948 | 2264 | . 77 |
| 7/58 | 2348 | 2177 | 2003 | . 92 |
| 7/58 | 2349 | 3402 | 2097 | . 62 |
| 7/58 | 2350 | 970 | 731 | . 75 |
| 7/58 | 2351 | 2953 | 1915 | . 65 |
| 9/58 | 2371 | 3039 | 2074 | . 68 |
| 9/58 | 2372 | 3765 | 3229 | . 86 |
| 9/58 | 2374 | 3266 | 2615 | . 80 |
| 6/59 | 2444 | 23067 | 16942 | . 73 |
| 6/59 | 2445 | 2041 | 1459 | . 71 |
| 9/59 | 2487 | 907 | 654 | . 72 |
| 9/59 | 2488 | 1134 | 836 | . 74 |
| 10/59 | 2504 | 816 | 720 | . 88 |
| 10/59 | 2504 | 1361 | 1023 | . 75 |
| 10/59 | 2506 | 1179 | 838 | . 71 |
| 6/60 | 2555 | 465 | 362 | . 78 |
| 6/60 | 2556 | 275 | 237 | . 86 |
| 6/60 | 2557 | 1742 | 1530 | . 88 |
| 6/60 | 2558 | 9797 | 9209 | . 94 |
| 6/60 | 2559 | 363 | 304 | . 84 |
| 12/60 | 2596 | 363 | 259 | . 71 |
| 12/60 | 2597 | 1009 | 648 | . 64 |
| 12/60 | 2598 | 1191 | 829 | . 70 |
| 12/60 | 2599 | 4309 | 3241 | . 75 |
| 12/60 | 2605 | 794 | 722 | . 91 |

Table 14. (Cont'd)

| DATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RECORD } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | CATCH WE ACTUAL | FOR TRIP PERTURBED | $\begin{gathered} \text { RATIO } \\ \text { PERTURBED } \div \\ \text { ACTUAL } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/61 | 2654 | 635 | 440 | . 69 |
| 7/61 | 2655 | 2041 | 1401 | . 69 |
| 7/61 | 2656 | 3266 | 2433 | . 75 |
| 7/61 | 2657 | 1377 | 913 | . 66 |
| 7/61 | 2658 | 907 | 707 | . 78 |
| 8/61 | 2668 | 937 | 599 | . 69 |
| 6/62 | 2751 | 1157 | 960 | . 83 |
| 6/62 | 2752 | 1873 | 978 | . 53 |
| 6/62 | 2753 | 2420 | 1325 | . 55 |
| 6/62 | 2754 | 2722 | 2055 | . 76 |
| 6/62 | 2755 | 1866 | 1344 | . 72 |
| 10/62 | 2830 | 284 | 197 | . 70 |
| 10/62 | 2831 | 1388 | 948 | . 68 |
| 10/62 | 2832 | 3533 | 2688 | . 76 |
| 10/62 | 2833 | 2150 | 1397 | . 65 |
| 10/62 | 2834 | 1653 | 1103 | . 67 |
| 7/63 | 2929 | 408 | 254 | . 62 |
| 7/63 | 2930 | 363 | 247 | . 68 |
| 7/63 | 2931 | 408 | 247 | . 61 |
| 7/63 | 2932 | 363 | 241 | . 67 |
| 7/63 | 2934 | 408 | 251 | . 62 |
| 8/63 | 2950 | 11782 | 9691 | . 82 |
| 11/63 | 2996 | 1885 | 1679 | . 89 |
| 11/63 | 2997 | 5398 | 4147 | . 77 |
| 11/63 | 2998 | 3166 | 2733 | . 86 |
| 5/64 | 3063 | 3660 | 3261 | . 89 |
| 6/65 | 3212 | 4581 | 2948 | . 64 |
| 6/65 | 3214 | 2690 | 1795 | . 67 |
| 6/65 | 3222 | 4867 | 3461 | . 71 |
| 7/65 | 3235 | 1362 | 1266 | . 93 |
| 7/65 | 3236 | 1905 | 1493 | . 78 |
| 7/65 | 3237 | 762 | 570 | . 75 |
| 4/66 | 3340 | 6069 | 3149 | . 52 |
| 5/66 | 3349 | 447 | 272 | . 61 |
| 5/66 | 3350 | 2722 | 1359 | . 50 |
| 6/66 | 3363 | 1601 | 1103 | . 69 |
| 6/66 | 3366 | 10795 | 6845 | . 63 |
| 7/66 | 3384 | 14923 | 10675 | . 72 |
| 8/66 | 3397 | 21602 | 11825 | . 55 |
| 9/66 | 3411 | 18597 | 13446 | . 72 |
| 9/66 | 3412 | 27927 | 17232 | . 62 |
| 10/66 | 3431 | 4309 | 2801 | . 65 |
| 12/66 | 3456 | 11560 | 8098 | . 70 |

Table 14. (Continued)

| DATE | $\begin{gathered} \text { RECORD } \\ \text { NO. } \end{gathered}$ | CATCH WE ACTUAL | FOR TRIP PERTURBED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RATIO } \\ & \text { PERTURBED } \div \\ & \text { ACTUAL } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/67 | 3518 | 453 | 280 | . 62 |
| 6/67 | 3523 | 10802 | 6499 | . 60 |
| 6/67 | 3524 | 7682 | 4801 | . 62 |
| 6/67 | 3527 | 4082 | 2903 | . 71 |
| 7/67 | 3534 | 10886 | 7330 | . 67 |
| 5/68 | 3694 | 3497 | 2509 | . 72 |
| 6/68 | 3714 | 5443 | 4143 | . 76 |
| 8/68 | 3745 | 680 | 516 | . 76 |
| 8/68 | 3746 | 27215 | 20058 | . 74 |
| 10/68 | 3775 | 1815 | 1247 | . 69 |
| 11/68 | 3792 | 4536 | 3603 | . 79 |
| 9/69 | 3919 | 2722 | 2223 | . 82 |
| 9/69 | 3920 | 9072 | 7461 | . 82 |
| 9/69 | 3921 | 6350 | 4874 | . 77 |
| 7/70 | 4048 | 408 | 250 | . 61 |
| 7/70 | 4049 | 2120 | 2073 | . 98 |
| 9/70 | 4070 | 3375 | 2293 | . 68 |


| Table 14. (continued) |  | -31- |  | Ratio <br> Perturbed/Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Record No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch weight (kg) } \\ & \text { for trip } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  | Actual | Perturbed |  |
| 8/71 | 4222 | 163 | 147 | 0.90 |
| 8/71 | 4223 | 185 | 179 | 0.97 |
| 9/71 | 4238 | 7257 | 4060 | 0.56 |
| 12/71 | 4267 | 11738 | 11383 | 0.97 |
| 12/71 | 4268 | 10402 | 10183 | 0.98 |
| 7/72 | 4355 | 2549 | 1752 | 0.69 |
| 10/72 | 4425 | 4572 | 4190 | 0.92 |
| 8/73 | 4563 | 150 | 84 | 0.56 |
| 9/73 | 4573 | 1089 | 520 | 0.48 |
| 10/73 | 4592 | 1388 | 664 | 0.48 |
| 11/73 | 4621 | 2041 | 1186 | 0.58 |
| 5/74 | 4728 | 2495 | 2304 | 0.92 |
| 5/74 | 4729 | 1633 | 1040 | 0.64 |
| 8/74 | 4769 | 1173 | 705 | 0.63 |
| 10/74 | 4825 | 1814 | 1463 | 0.81 |
| 6/75 | 4994 | 2086 | 1510 | 0.72 |
| 6/75 | 4997 | 1542 | 876 | 0.57 |
| 7/75 | 5026 | 4536 | 2865 | 0.63 |
| 8/75 | 5058 | 2177 | 1243 | 0.57 |
| 8/76 | 858 | 460 | 354 | 0.77 |
| 8/76 | 859 | 2293 | 1933 | 0.84 |
| 4/80 | 6487 | 8165 | 7418 | 0.91 |

Table 15. Perturbation results of commercial samples taken for OT 1, 2, and 3 vessels fishing in 4 X M-S during 1980.

| Area | Record No. | Catch weight (kg) for trip |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\text { Perturbed/Actual }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Perturbed |  |
| M-Q | 6354 | 2969 | 2829 | 0.95 |
| R | 6487 | 8165 | 7418 | 0.91 |
| S | 6534 | 1742 | 1719 | 0.99 |
| M-Q | 6555 | 20693 | 19369 | 0.94 |
| M-Q | 6594 | 15333 | 14262 | 0.93 |
| M-Q | 6658 | 572 | 476 | 0.83 |
| M-Q | 6660 | 7397 | 6709 | 0.91 |
| M-Q | 6689 | 725 | 656 | 0.91 |
| M-Q | 6818 | 2631 | 2377 | 0.90 |
| M-Q | 6819 | 4728 | 4019 | 0.85 |
| M-Q | 6820 | 18973 | 15268 | 0.80 |
| M-Q | 6830 | 1625 | 1506 | 0.93 |



Figure 1. Distribution of Tandings by Canadian otter trawlers by month for the years 1977-1980


Fig. 2. Percentage age composition for $4 X$ haddock fishery (all tonnage classes combined) during 1970-1980; by year and unit area. Age composition of July groundfish survey catch for strata 90-95 indicated by bold line.
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Fig. 3. Percent of haddock age four and older in strata 70-85 (-) and 90-95 (---), as determined by July groundfish survey.


Fig. 4. Average (for 1970-80) total length at age (cm) for haddock in strata 70-85 (-) and 90-95 (---) as determined by July groundfish survey.


Fig. 5. Selection at length ogives for haddock caught in 120 (circies) and 130 mm (.plus signs) codend mesh trawl nets.

Figure 6. Ratio of perturbed to unperturbed catches for otter trawlers (TC 1, 2 and 3) fishing in $4 \times R$. Effect of changing from an assumed mesh size of 120 mm to 130 mm on catch rates (kg/trip) for otter trawlers in tonnage classes 1,2 , and 3 fishing in 4 XR during 1970-1980. Ratio plotted is changed to original catch rate (last column of Table 14). For comparison, 1980 ratios for vessel.s fishing in $4 \mathrm{X} \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{s}$ are also shown.



Figure 7. NAFO Division $4 X$ unit areas.

