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## INTRODUCTION

A small commercial fishery was opened to local Treaty Indians at Lake Claire, Wood Buffalo Park, Alberta in the spring of 1948. A license was issued to McInnes Products Corporation Limited by the Minister of Mines and Resources, permitting the removal of 250,000 pounds (round weight) of goldeye, Amphiodon alosoides, and "such other numbers and species of fish as may be taken during these fishing operations", from Lake Claire and its adjacent waters during the 1948 season. The terms of the license were based on recommendations embodied in a report of a fishery investigation of the area which was conducted during the summer of 1947 (Sprules, W. M., Manuscript Research Report, December 1947).

Fishing was carried on from June 5 to 29 in 1948 with an average of 12.5 skiffs each operating an average of 566 yards of gill net per night. A total of 119,000 pounds of fish was removed from the area including 76,900 pounds of goldeye (Sprules, W. M., Manuscript Research Report, January 1949). Although the goldeye production realized, constituted only 31 per cent of the quota set for the lake, the fishery showed considerable promise and all parties concerned expressed interest in its continuance.

A license, similar to that granted in 1948, was drawn up with a few minor revisions based on experience gained during the first season and 1ssued to the same Licensee for the 1949 season.

## METHODS /LND EQUIPMENT

A floating camp, consisting of two barges, was established on June 9 by McInnes Products Corporation Limited on the east side of Willow Island which is situated about midway along the north shore of Lake Claire. This site proved unsatisfactory early in the operation because of poor harborage, and the camp was moved on June 21 to the mouth of the Prairie River, et the eastern entrance to Lake Claire, where suitable shelter could be obtained. One barge contained a small commissary, quarters for the Company personnel and dock space where the catch was received and handled. The second barge was refrigerated and was used to quick-freeze and store the catch. Mr. G. McInnes represented the Licensee and was in charge of the operation at the lake.

The fishermen, many of whom had participated in the 1948 fishory, were Treaty Indians selected by the Indian Agent at Fort Chipewyan, Alberta. They established shore camps in the vicinity of the barges and fished from Chipewyan skiffs powered by an assortment of outboard motors. Mr. R. Fraser represented the Indian Agent at the lake and acted as mediator and interpreter.

The nets were run each day when weather permitted and the catch was returned to the barges as rapidly as possible. The fish were cleaned on the lake for the most part, lightly iced and protected from the direct rays of the sun during transit to the barges. The individual catches were weighed on arrival at the dock, rinsed, and the fish placed on flat, metal trays which held
an average of 19 pounds of goldeye. These trays were placed in the freezer compartment immediately and exposed to a temperature of -10 degrees Fahrenheit for approximately 12 hours. The trays were removed from the freezer and the frozen block of fish tapped from the trays and glazed as a unit. The contents of 4 or 5 trays were packed in wax-lined, cardboard cartons and stored in a second compartment at 8 degrees Fahrenheit. The complete goldeye catch was transported by barge from Lake Claire to the town of Waterways, Alberta, which is located on the Athabasca River at the termination of the Northern Alberta Rallway system. From here the catch was sent by rail to Winnipeg, Manitoba where the final product was propared for marketing.

Goldeye and yellow pike-perch, Stizostedion vitreum of first quality were bought from the fishermen and the remainder of the catch, consisting of soft or drowned specimens of the marketable species, northern pike, Esox lucius, whitefish, Coregonus clupeaformis, suckers, Catostomus catostomus and C. commersonii, burbot, Lota maculose, yellow perch, Perce flevescens, flathead chub, Platygobio gracilis and grayling, Thymallus signifer was used by the fishermen for food and dog feed or discarded. A few hundred pounds of waste fish were supplied to the Government dog camp at Quatre Fourche during the season. The entrails only were removed from the marketable goldeye while the pike-perch were headed in addition. An allowance of 5 per cent of the dressed weight was claimed by the buyer to compensate for anticipated shrinkage during the storage period.

A daily record of the catch of each skiff was maintained throughout the fishing season by representatives of the Central Fisheries Research Station. The landed dressed weight of the marketable catch and the number of discarded specimens of each species obtained, were recorded. Factors for the conversion of numbers into round weight were obtained for each species through analyses of random samples of the catch taken at intervals during the fishery. Information relative to the locality fished, number of nets set, type of set used and general remark. was obtained from the fishermen through the interpreter. In addition, a random sample of 100 pounds (round weight) of goldeye was taken from the commercial catch at approximately two-week intervals and the avorage fork length, average weight, state of maturity, sex and age of the specimens determined in order to follow the effect of the fishery on the population.

## CATCH STATISTICS

Fishing began in the evening of June 9 when a few nets were set after the arrival of the barges at Willow Island. The first lifts were made on June 10 and the last on July 31 when all nets were removed from the area. Fifteen skiffs participated in the fishery for periods ranging from 6 to 52 days. From 1 to 14 skiffs were in operation on any one day with an average of 10.8 operating each day throughout the 52-day season. A skiff is considered to be operating provided nets fished from the skiff are in the water regardless of whether or not the nets are visited that day. Nets were set for 560 skiff-days where a skiff-day re-
presents the operation of one skiff for a 24 -hour period. This total includes 516 separate skiff-lifts comprised of 473 one-day lifts where the nets were in the water for approximately 24 hours prior to being lifted, 42 two-day lifts where the nets were set for 72 hours prior to a lift being made. The discrepancy between the number of skiff-days for which nets were set and the number of lifts made is accounted for by the multiple-day lifts where nets were in the water for more than 24 hours before a lift was made. Thus the 42 two-day lifts represent 84 skiff-days of fishing and the 1 three-day lift represents 3 skiff-days.

A total of 408,360 yard-net-days, composed of 408,060 yard-net-days of $3 \frac{3}{4}$ - inch mesh and 300 yard-net-days of 4 - inch mesh, was fished during the season where a yard-net-day represents 1 yard of net set for a 24 -hour interval. Thus an average of 7,853 yards was fished each day or 729 yards per skiff per day. A total of 350,260 yards was lifted after having been set for approximately 24 hours, 28,300 yards were set for 48 hours and 500 yards were set for 72 hours prior to being cleared of the catch.

The fishery produced a marketable catch of 118,535 pounds (dressed weight with no shrinkage allowance deducted) which was composed of 115,599 pounds of goldeye and 2,936 pounds of pike-perch. It was found that the dressing operation accounted for 9.7 per cent of the round weight of goldeye and approximately 30 per cent of the pike-perch. Thus the dressed weights listed above represont a round weight of 128,017 pounds of goldeye and 4,194 pounds of pike-perch. In addition 18,063 pounds of goldeye and 3,664 pounds of pike-perch
were culled and discarded during the fishery so that a total of approximately 146,100 pounds of goldeye and 7,900 pounds of pikeperch were removed from the lake during the 1949 fishing season.

The estimated poundage of unmarketable species caught, based on the number of specimens and the average weight of individuals belonging to each species, amounted to 50,400 pounds of pike, 16,400 pounds of whitefish, 19,400 pounds of suckers and less than 100 pounds of all other species combined.

The production of all species amounted to 240,231 pounds round weight in 1949 and the average availability was 58.8 pounds per 100 yards of net per day. Goldeye comprised 60.8 per cent by weight of the production, pike-perch 3.3 per cent, pike 21.0 per cent, whitefish 6.8 per cent, suckers 8.1 per cent and the total of the remaining species caught, less than 0.1 per cent.

The availability of goldeye, based on the marketable dressed weight prior to shrinkage allowance, ranged from 13.2 to 49.9 pounds per 100 yards per day and averaged 28.3 pounds for the season. The best catch was made by Mr. A. Benoit on June 15 when 800 yards of net set in the northwest corner of the lako produced 937 pounds or 117 pounds per 100 yards per day. He also obtained the largest total catch of goldeye for the season, a total of 13,668 pounds, and had the best sustained yield amounting to 45.1 pounds per 100 yards per day for 43 skiff-days.

A random sample of 100 pounds, round weight, of goldeye was analyzed from one fisherman's catch on June 11 and 25, and July 9,23 , and 31 to determine if there was a significant dif-
ference in the size or age conposition of the catch at different times during the fishery. The respective samples contained 111, 119, 111,99 and 103 specimens with an average fork length of $12.8,12.6,12.9,13.3$ and 13.2 inches and an average weight of $14.5,13.4,14.6,16.1$, and 15.3 ounces. The lack of regular decline in the average length and weight of the specimens throughout the fishing season indicates that the lake was not being overexploited. The general increase in size observed from the data obtained on July 23 and 31 may be accounted for by sensonal growth.

## DISCUSSION

The total production of 146,100 pounds of goldeye taken during the 1949 fishery represented 58.4 per cent of the quota set for Lake Claire. The catch in 1949 was alnost double that obtained in 1948 when 76,900 pounds were obtained or 31.0 per cont of the quota. This difference may be accounted for by the increased fishing effort applied in 1949 when the season comprised more than twice as many fishing days as in 1948 and more than twice as many yard-net-days were realized.

The availability of goldeye was greater by 7.7 pounds per 100 yards of net per day in 1948 than in 1949. It is not folt that this truly represents a decrease in the availability of goldeye in the lake in 1949 but rather a decreased efficiency of capture which may be accounted for in several ways. The longer period fished in 1949 contributed to greater deterioration of the nets and thus these fished at reduced efficiencies for a longer tine. This suggestion
was substantiated by the daily availability records since the catch per unit effort increased considerably near the end of July when several new nets were put into operation by a few of the fishermen. In addition the removal of the barges from Willow Island to the mouth of the Prairie River was followed by a reduction in the average daily catch and the uncertainty and concomitant lack of interest which prevailed around the middle of July, when a reply to a request for a two-week extension of the fishing season was awaited, was accompanied by a period of low availability.

The failure to produce the quota seemed to be directly related to the small number of skiffs employed in the fishery. A minimum of 15 skiffs had been expected but even this number was not reached on any day of the fishing season. A successful trapping season preceded the fishing season and the consequent feeling of security seemed to be responsible for the general lack of interest displayed by many of the Fort Chipewyan residents who had previously expressed their intention to fish. If the fishery is to be manned by Treaty Indians in the future, the Indian Agent at Fort Chipewyan should be respondible for supplying an adequate number of personnel to assure the success of the enterprise. Fishermen from other areas should be permitted to participate in the fishery if sufficient crews can not be mustered from local Indians. It is possible that the presence of several, experienced white fishermen on the lake would incite the native crews and prove an asset further, since the accepted commercial fishing methods could be observed by the inexperienced fishermen.

The Prairie River camp site is not satisfactory since the distances to many of the best fishing grounds make these relatively inaccessible to the fishermen who are dependent on rather unreliable means of transportation. An effort should be made to discover a suitable harbour in a central location in order to overcome this difficulty and thus increase the average catch of the skiffs.

Once the catch was landed at the barges it was handled rapidly and the marketable product produced in 1949 was of fine quality. The presence of the freezing equipment on the lake and the availability of chopped ice for use in the skiffs were improvements in the handling facilities of 1948. A much higher percentage of the goldeye catch was rejected at the barge in 1949 than in 1948. This resulted from a more rigorous inspection of the landed catch. A reduction in the number of culled fish could be brought about by establishment of a more central base which would shorten the haul from the fishing grounds to the freezer and thus decrease the time the catch is exposed. Further, the fishermen should visit the nets early in the morning so that the catch is not transported on the lake during the heat of the afternoon.

There has been no indication obtained throughout the period of commercial fishing at Lake Claire that the area is being overexploited. In fact a comparison of statistics of a random sample of goldeye taken from $3 \frac{3}{4}$-inch mesh nets on June 8, 1948 and July 31, 1949 shows a remarkable similarity in the catch composition of the commercial nets on these dates. The sample taken on June 8, 1948 consisted of 101 specimens which averaged 13.2 inches in fork length,
15.9 ounces in weight and ranged from 7 to 13 years in age. The dominant year class was 9 and 40.6 per cent of the catch was composed of the dominant year class. The 8.9 and the 10 year old f1sh made up 81.2 per cent of the catch. A total of 103 fish was sampled on July 31, $19+9$ and the specimens averaged 13.2 inches in fork length, 15.3 ounces in weight and ranged from 7 to 12 years in age. The dominant year class was 9 and 39.2 per cent of the catch was composed of this year class. The 8,9 and 10 year old fish contributed 84.3 per cent of the catch. When seasonal growth in any year is considered along with the above data it is apparent that there is a slight decrease in the average size of the catch taken in 1949 in comparison with that recorded for 1948 since the 1948 data represent fish taken before new growth for the year had begun. This minor change would be expected following exploitation of a virgin population and is not considered to be indicative of over-exploitation.

The species-composotion of the catch at Lake Claire, based on percentage of the round weight caught, showed only minor differences in the three years for which data are avallable. The results can not be compared directly since 4 -inch mesh was used during the commercial fishery of 1948 and $3 \frac{3}{4}$-inch mesh was used almost exclusively in the 1949 fishery. In 1947 goldeye comprised 55.7 per cent of the total catch, pike-perch 8.1 per cent, pike 19.8 per cent, whitefish 6.9 per cent, suckers 9.5 per cent and miscellaneous species less than 0.1 per cent. In 1948 the same species comprised 64.6, $15.2,10.4,2.7,7.0$ and 0.1 per cent of the total catch respectively and in $1949,60.8,3.3,21.0,6.8,8.1$ and less than 0.1 per cent.

The percentage composition of the catch by species shculd be determined each year since a significant change in catch composition would probably precede a deterioration in the goldeye fishery in this area based on a knowledge of the predator-prey relationship of goldeye and pike in Lake Claire and other regions.

It is to be hoped that the Lake Claire goldeye fishery will continue and that the experience gained by the fishermen and Licensee during the 1948 and 1949 fishery will provide the means whereby the quota may be reallzed in subsequent years. The future of the fishery does not appear bright based on casual observation of the various dissentions that existed on occasion in 1949. Few of the fishermen were able to show a profit at the end of the season and most incurred an appreciable debt. The reasons for this are not fully understood but the anticipated result is that little interest in the fishery will be shown by these men another year. They will be held responsible for the debt if they participate in a future fishery, naturally, and they will realize that little is to be gained financially for another summer's work.

The Lake Claire fishery, based on the production realized rather than on the quota set, already has established itself as the mainstay of the present Dominion production of goldeye. It would be unfortunate if this resource could not be exploited in the future because of difficulties arising from geographical boundaries, personal prejudices and administration complications, The fishery is unique from the scientific standpoint since it is the only area where goldeye is fished that a biological survey preceded exploit-
ation. The data obtained from the survey and from subsequent annual analysis of the population will prove invaluable in establishing sound management policies applicable to goldeye in other areas. Thus every effort should be made by all those directly concerned to assure the successful continuation of this fishery.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Many of the recommendations embodied in the original report of the Lake Claire survey and in the report of the 1948 fishery have been accepted and are now in practice. The following recommendations are repetitions of former recommendations for the most part which have not been followed for various reasons.
(1) The base camp should be established in a central location, along the north shore of Lake Claire preferably, so that the better fishing grounds will be accessible to the fishermen and that the tine of exposure of the catch, while in transit to the freezer, may be minimized.
(2) A minimum of 15 skiffs should be in operation each day of the fishing season, each operating approximately 1000 ynrds of net. At least 20 skiffs would be required on the lake to assure the operation of 15 each day.
(3) The Indian Agent at Fort Chipewyan should be responsible for supplying the required number of crews if Treaty Indian fishermen are to fish exclusively. It is suggested that if sufficient interest is not shown by this group other fishermen should be given permission to enter the fishery.
(4) Supplies of chopped ice and suitable covered containers should be carried by each skiff whenever a lift is made.
(5) The nets should be visited daily, weather permitting, and the catch returned to the base camp before noon each day.
(6) The native fishermen should be educated regarding the proper care of nets and supplied with nets of coarse twine in order to reduce deterioration and consequent, loss.
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## SUMMARY

(1) A commercial goldeye fishery was operated at Lake Claire, Wood Buffalo Park, Alberta, from June 9 to July 31, 1949. A license to take 250,000 pounds, round weight, was issued to McInnes Products Corporation Limited.
(2) An average of 10.8 skiffs operated each day and fished an average of 729 yards of $3 \frac{3}{4}$-inch mesh nets per skiff per day.
(3) The total round weight production amounted to a little over 240,200 pounds and comprised 146,100 pounds of goldeye, 7,900 pounds of pike-perch, 50,400 , pounds of pike, 16,400 pounds
of whitefish, 19,400 pounds of suckers and less than 100 pounds of miscellaneous species including burbot, perch, chub, and grayling.
(4) There was no indication obtained that the fishery was being over-exploited. Failure to reach the quota set for the lake appeared to result from too few skiffs participating in the fishery.
(5) The catch was handled quickly and efficiently and a product of first quality was produced.
(6) Reasons for the continuation of the fishery in the future are expressed.
(7) A list of recommendations based on experience gained during the 1948 and 1949 fishery is included.

Table 1. Analysis of the marketable catch taken in the 1949 Lake Claire fishery showing the fishing effort, landed dressed weight of mariketable goldeye and pike-perch and the avallabllity of goldeye for each dey.

| Date | No. or skiffdays | No. of yard-netdays | No. of pounds Goldeye | marketed (dressed P1ke-perch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { weight) } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Availability of goldeye. Marketed pounds per 100 yards per day. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 10 | 5 | 2,600 | 344 | 24 | 368 | 13.2 |
| June 11 | 10 | 5,900 | 1,082 | 206 | 1,288 | 18.3 |
| June 12 | 10 | 5,000 | 2,264 | 118 | 2,382 | 45.3 |
| June 13 | 10 | 4,900 | 2,012 | 246 | 2,258 | $41 . \frac{1}{8}$ |
| June 14 | 11. | 8,600 | 2,653 | 144 | 2,797 | 30.8 |
| June 15 | 10 | 7,300 | 3,642 | 184 | 3,826 | 49.9 |
| June 16 | 9 | 2,200 | 660 | 4 | 660 | 30.0 |
| June 17 | 10 | 7,500 | 1,395 | 49 | 1, 44, | 18.6 |
| June 18 | 10 | 8,000 | 2,150 | 73 | 2,223 | 26.9 |
| June 19 | 10 | 7,500 | 3,278 | 123 | 3,401 | 43.7 |
| June 20 | 9 | 5,400 | 2,257 | 120 | 2,377 | 41.8 |
| June 21 | 12 | 8,100 | 2,519 | 86 | 2,605 | 31.1 |
| June 22 | 13 | 10,800 | 3,871 | 207 | 4,078 | 35.8 |
| June 23 | 13 | 10,000 | 2,308 | 179 | 2,487 | 23.1 |
| June 24 | $\frac{11}{12}$ | 13,600 | - 253 | 3 | , 256 | 42.2 |
| June 25 | 12 | 13,400 | 1,943 | 58 | 2,001 | 14.5 |
| June 26 | 10 | 7,300 | 1,508 | 84 | 1,592 | 20.7 |
| June 27 | 11 | 7,900 | 2,269 | 84 | 2,353 | 28.7 |
| June 28 | 12 | 9,100 | 2,867 | 145 | 3,012 | 31.5 |
| June 29 | 13 | 10,700 | 2,865 | 164 | 3,029 | 27.5 |
| June 30 | 13 | 10,000 | 2,944 | 104 | 3,048 | 29.4 |
| July 1 | 12 | 8,800 | 2,366 | 85 | 2,451 | 26.9 |
| July 2 | 11 | 9,300 | 2,578 | 71 | 2,649 | 27.7 |
| July 3 | 11 | 8,300 | 2,559 | 15 | 2,574 | 30.8 |
| July 4 | 10 | 8,300 | 2,257 | 90 | 2,347 | 27.2 |
| July 5 | 13 | 10,700 | 2,687 | 58 | 2,745 | 25.1 |
| July 6 | 11 | 7,250 | 1,968 | 50 | 2,018 | 27.1 |

Table 1. (continued)

| $\begin{array}{lr} \text { July } & ? \\ \text { July } & 8 \\ \text { July } & 9 \\ \text { July } & 10 \\ \text { July } & 11 \\ \text { July } & 12 \\ \text { July } & 13 \\ \text { July } 14 \\ \text { July } & 15 \\ \text { July } & 16 \\ \text { July } & 17 \\ \text { July } & 18 \\ \text { July } & 19 \\ \text { July } & 20 \\ \text { July } & 21 \\ \text { July } 22 \\ \text { July } & 23 \\ \text { July } 24 \\ \text { July } & 25 \\ \text { July } 26 \\ \text { July } & 27 \\ \text { July } & 28 \\ \text { July } & 29 \\ \text { July } & 30 \\ \text { July } 31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 14 \\ 11 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,750 \\ 8,610 \\ 8,710 \\ 11,090 \\ 7,180 \\ 11,180 \\ 10,720 \\ 7,300 \\ 7,100 \\ 1,600 \\ 4,400 \\ 8,400 \\ 8,900 \\ 8,450 \\ 8,150 \\ 10,000 \\ 10,400 \\ 9,420 \\ 10,820 \\ 10,220 \\ 7,320 \\ 9,120 \\ 7,770 \\ 1,800 \\ 11,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,288 \\ 2,908 \\ 2,900 \\ 2,773 \\ 2,457 \\ 2,173 \\ 2,242 \\ 1,456 \\ 1,010 \\ 4+50 \\ 56 \\ 818 \\ 1,921 \\ 3,102 \\ 2,065 \\ 2,482 \\ 4,018 \\ 3,540 \\ 2,903 \\ 3,821 \\ 2,522 \\ 2,719 \\ 2,100 \\ 3,076 \\ 2,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 19 \\ 2 \\ 20 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ 6 \\ 9 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ \hline \\ \hline 3 \\ 15 \\ \hline 2 \\ 8 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,310 \\ 2,927 \\ 2,902 \\ 2,793 \\ 2,458 \\ 2,191 \\ 2,248 \\ 1,465 \\ 2,012 \\ 452 \\ 56 \\ 818 \\ 1,924 \\ 3,117 \\ 2,065 \\ 2,484 \\ 4,026 \\ 3,546 \\ 2,907 \\ 3,823 \\ 2,533 \\ 2,724 \\ 2,109 \\ 2,076 \\ 2,000 \end{array}$ | 30.6 33.8 33.3 25.0 34.2 19.4 20.9 19.9 14.2 28.1 14.0 18.6 21.6 36.7 25.3 24.8 38.6 37.6 26.8 37.4 34.5 29.8 27.0 20.9 16.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 52 | 560 | 408,360 | 115,599 | 2,936 | 118,535 | 28.3 |

Table 11. Analysis of the marketable catch taken in the 1949 Lake Claire flshery showing the flsiing effort, marketed poundage and the everage avallability of goldeye for each fisherman.


Table 111. Analysis of the unmarketable catch taken in the 1949 Lake Claire flshery.


The number of specimens discardod at the barge (actual $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}\text { count) } & 19,337 & 1,593 & 16,861 & 7,908 & 2,346 & 6,134 & 1,300 & 28 & 28 & 1 & 1\end{array}$

The number of specimens alscarded on the


Total number of
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { fish discarded. } 19,337+6601,593 & 18,007 & 8,192 & 2,456 & 6,525 & 1,368 & 28 & 28 & 1 & 1\end{array}$

The average round weigit per specimen $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}\text { In pounds } & 0.9 & 2.3 & 2.8 & 2.0 & 1.8 & 1.9 & 1.9 & 2.0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5\end{array}$

Estimated total $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}\begin{array}{l}\text { number of pounds } \\ \text { discarded }\end{array} & 28,063 & 3,664 & 50,420 & 16,384 & 4,421 & 12,398 & 2,599 & 56 & 14 & 0.5 & 0.5\end{array}$

Table 1V. Analysis of the goldeyo catch from $3 \frac{1}{2}-$ and 4 -inch mesh survey nets set prior to the fishing season at Lako Claire in 1949 and from $3 \frac{4}{4}$-inch commercial nets set during the fishing season showing the longth, weight and age composition of the various samples.


Table V. Comparison of the species composition of the total catch taken in Lake Claire in 1947, 1948 and 1949, based on round weight.

## Lake survey Commercial fishery 1947 <br> 1948 <br> 1949

| Size of mesh (inches) | 4 | 33 ${ }^{\frac{3}{4}}$ and 4 | 3年辛 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of yard-net-days | 450 | 176,810 | 408,360 |
| Total pounds caught | 449 | 119,000 | 240,200 |
| Percentage of total catch by weight. |  |  |  |
| Goldeye | 55.7 | 64.6 | 60.8 |
| Pike-perch | 8.1 | 15.2 | 3.3 |
| Pike | 19.8 | 10.4 | 21.0 |
| Whitefish | 6.9 | 2.7 | 6.8 |
| Suckers (mixed) | 9.5 | 7.0 | 8.1 |
| Miscellaneous <br> (burbot, chub, perch, and grayling) | - | 0.1 | - |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

* 300 yard-net-days of 4 -inch mesh.

Table VI. Summary of the Lake Claire fishery statistics for 1948 and 1949.

1948
1949

Fishing period
Number of days
Average No. of skiffs operating per day Maximum No. " Minimum No. " Number of yard-net-days Number of yards set for 1 day Number of yards set for 2 days

$$
126,610
$$ Number of yards set for 3 days Number of l-day-sets lifted Number of 2-day-sets lifted Number of 3-day-sets lifted Average number of yards set per day June 5-29 June 10 - July 31 25

12.5 17 52 10.8

$$
176,810
$$

" " " " " " skiff per day
 Total pounds caught (all species)
Av. availability per 100 yards per day Total pounds caught Goldeye

92,600
119,010

Suckers Burbot
Chub
Perch
Grayling
Average availability of goldeye per 100 yards per day (pounds)
Estimated pounds of goldeye discarded 3,238
, 352
1
$-$
-

Pounds of goldeye marketed (dressed weight, no shrinkage deduction)

66,810

